Thermal quantum discord in the anisotropic Heisenberg XYZ model with the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction

Mamtimin Tursun^{1*}, Ahmad Abliz^{1†}, Rabigul Mamtimin, Pan-Pan Qiao, Ablimt Abliz School of Physics and Electronic Engineering, Xinjiang Normal University, Urumchi 830054, P. R. China

The various thermal quantum correlations as well as the effect of intrinsic decoherence on the correlations is studied in a two-qubit Heisenberg XYZ spin chain with the Dzyaloshinski-Moriya (D - M) interaction. The quantum entanglement (C) of the system is also discussed and compared with the classical correlation (CC) and quantum discord (QD). We show situation where both QD and CC decreases asymptotically to zero with temperature T while entanglement decreases to zero at the point of critical temperature. situation where C, CC and QD increases with certain tunable parameter such as D_z . We also show that tunable parameter D_z may plays a constructive role to the C, CC and QD in thermal equilibrium.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

A generic bipartite quantum state mathematically represented by a density operator, is a hybrid object with both classical and quantum characteristics. It can encode classical as well as quantum correlations by means of superposition, entanglement, and mixing. How to distinguish these two kinds of correlations is of basic importance and interest in quantum information theory.

Entanglement is a kind of quantum nonlocal correlation and has been deeply studied in the past years[1–3], nevertheless, the quantum discord measures quantum correlations of a more general type of quantum correlation, and there exists separable mixed state having nonzero quantum discord[4]. QD is built on the fact that two classical equivalent ways of defining the mutual information turn out to be in equivalent in the quantum domain. In addition to its conceptual role, some recent results [5] suggest that QD and entanglement may be responsible for the efficiency of a mixed state based quantum computer.

Recently, the QD has been intensively investigated in the literature both theoretically [8–31] and experimentally [6–32]. Generally, it is somewhat difficult to calculate QD and the analytical solutions can hardly be obtained except for some particular cases, such as the so-called X states [11]. Some researches show that QD, concurrence (C) and classical correlation (CC) are respectively independent measures of correlations with no simple relative ordering and QD is more practical than entanglement [6]. Quite recently, B.Dakic et al [25]have introduced an easily analytically computable quantity, geometric measure of discord (GMD), and given a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of nonzero QD for any dimensional bipartite states. Moreover, the dynamical behavior of QD in terms of decoherence [28–35] in both Markovian [11] and Non-Markovian [13, 36, 37] cases is also taken into account.

In previous studies, the quantum discord of a two qubit onedimensional XYZ Heisenberg chain in thermal equilibrium has been studied [38] where many unexpected ways different from the thermal entanglement have been shown. For the Heisenberg model, many properties have been studied, however, the Dzyaloshinski- Moriya (D - M) anisotropic antisymmetric interaction has rarely been considered [39, 40]which arises from the weak inter-molecular interactions and describes an interaction of extended super exchange mechanism by considering a term arising naturally from the perturbation theory due to the spin-orbit coupling. It has been shown that the D-M interaction may play an important role in the entanglement of the bipartite system and the three-particle system [41–45]. Thus, considering the quantum discord in a two qubit system with the D-M interaction is also interesting. In this paper, we will study the quantum discord in the anisotropic Heisenberg XYZ model with the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriva interaction, and discuss how the D - M interaction affects the various correlation in such system.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We give the analytic solution of the system in section 1, then discuss the effect D - M interaction in thermalized and Intrinsic decoherence case on various correlation in section 2 and section 3 and give the summary in section 4 finally.

II. THE THERMALIZED HEISENBERG SYSTEM

We consider the anisotropic XYZ Heisenberg model with the anisotropic, antisymmetric D-M interaction. The D-Manisotropic, anti-symmetric interaction arises from spin-orbit coupling, which can be described as $D \bullet (\sigma_1 \times \sigma_2)$. In our study, we consider only the case of D-M interaction along the z direction $D_z(\sigma_1^x \sigma_2^y \times \sigma_1^y \sigma_2^x)$, then the Hamiltonian of such a model can be expressed as

$$H = \frac{1}{2} [J_x \sigma_1^x \sigma_2^x + J_y \sigma_1^y \sigma_2^y + J_z \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z + D_z (\sigma_1^x \sigma_2^y - \sigma_1^y \sigma_2^x)]$$
(1)

where J_x , J_y and J_z are the coupling constants; σ_i^x , σ_i^y and σ_i^z are the Pauli operators acting on qubit i(i = 1; 2). In the standard basi $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$, $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$, $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$, the Hamiltonian can be

^{*}E-mail:396855289@qq.com

[†]E-mail: Aahmad@126.com (Corresponding Author)

expressed in the following matrix form

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} J_z & 0 & 0 & J_x - J_y \\ 0 & -J_z & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \beta^* & -J_z & 0 \\ J_x - J_y & 0 & 0 & J_z \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

Whre $\beta = J_x + J_y + 2iD_z$. We are working in units, so that all parameters are dimensionless. The state of a typical solidstate system at thermal equilibrium in temperature T (canonical ensemble) is $\rho(T) = \frac{\exp(-\frac{H}{KT})}{Z}$, with $Z = tr[\exp(-\frac{H}{KT})]$ the partition function and K is the Boltzmann constant. Usually we work with natural unit system $\hbar = K = 1$ for simplicity and henceforth. This density matrix can be worked out to

$$\rho(T) = \frac{1}{Z} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 & 0 & A_{41} \\ 0 & B_{22} & B_{23}^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & B_{23} & B_{22} & 0 \\ A_{41} & 0 & 0 & A_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

where the elements of the matrix have been defined as

$$\begin{split} A_{11} &= \frac{1}{2} [exp(-\frac{J_x + J_y + J_z}{2T})(exp(\frac{J_x}{T}) + exp(\frac{J_y}{T}))], \\ A_{41} &= \frac{1}{2} [exp(-\frac{J_x + J_y + J_z}{2T})(-exp(\frac{J_x}{T}) + exp(\frac{J_y}{T}))], \\ B_{22} &= \frac{exp(\frac{J_z}{2T})\cosh[\frac{\sqrt{(J_x + J_y)^2 + 4D_z^2}}{2T}]}{Z}, \\ B_{23} &= \frac{(J_x + J_y - 2iD_z)exp(\frac{J_z}{2T})\sinh[\frac{\sqrt{(J_x + J_y)^2 + 4D_z^2}}{2T}]}{\sqrt{(J_x + J_y)^2 + 4D_z^2}Z}, \\ \text{and} \\ Z &= exp(-\frac{J_x + J_y + J_z}{2T})(exp(\frac{J_x}{T}) + exp(\frac{J_y}{T})) \end{split}$$

$$+2exp(\frac{J_z}{2T})\cosh[\frac{\sqrt{(J_x+J_y)^2+4D_z^2}}{2T}].$$

III. EFFECTS OF DM INTERACTION ON VARIOUS THERMAL CORRELATIONS

Firstly we give a brief overview of various correlation measures. Given a bipartite quantum state ρ_{AB} in a composite Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$, the concurrence [4–6] as an indicator for entanglement between the two qubits is

$$C(\rho_{AB}) = \max\{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4, 0\}, \qquad (4)$$

where $\lambda_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ are the square roots of the eigenvalues of the "spin-flipped" density operator $R = \rho \tilde{\rho} = \rho (\sigma_1^y \otimes \sigma_2^y) \rho^* (\sigma_1^y \otimes \sigma_2^y)$ in descending order. σ_y is the Pauli matrix and ρ^* is denotes the complex conjugation of the matrix ρ in the standard basis $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$. Let us now recalling the original definition of QD, in classical information theory the total correlations in a bipartite quantum system (A) and (B) are measured by the quantum mutual information defined as

$$\mathcal{I}(\rho_A;\rho_B) = S(\rho_A) + S(\rho_B) - S(\rho_{AB}), \tag{5}$$

where $\rho_{A(B)} = \text{Tr}_{B(A)}(\rho_{AB})$ is the reduced density matrix of the subsystem A(B) by tracing out the subsystem B(A). The quantum generalization of the conditional entropy is not the simply replacement of Shannon entropy with von Neumann entropy, but through the process of projective measurement on the subsystem B by a set of complete projectors B_k , with the outcomes labeled by k, then the conditional density matrix ρ_k becomes

$$\rho_k = \frac{1}{p_k} (\mathbb{I}_A \otimes B_k) \rho(\mathbb{I}_A \otimes B_k), \tag{6}$$

which is the locally post-measurement state of the subsystem **B** after obtaining the outcome k on the subsystem **A** with the probability

$$p_k = \operatorname{Tr}[(\mathbb{I}_A \otimes B_k)\rho(\mathbb{I}_A \otimes B_k)], \tag{7}$$

where \mathbb{I}_A is the identity operator on the subsystem **A**. The projectors B_k can be parameterized as $B_k = V|k\rangle\langle k|V^{\dagger}, k = 0, 1$ and the transform matrix $V \in U(2)$ [8] is

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & e^{-i\phi}\sin\theta \\ e^{i\phi}\sin\theta & -\cos\theta \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (8)

Then the conditional von Neumann entropy (quantum conditional entropy) and quantum extension of the mutual information can be defined as [4]

$$S(\rho|\{B_k\}) = \sum_k p_k S(\rho_k), \tag{9}$$

Following the definition of the CC in Ref.[4]

$$\mathcal{CC}(\rho_{AB}) = \sup_{\{B_k\}} \{ S(\rho_A(t)) - S(\rho_{AB}(t) | \{B_k\}) \}, \quad (10)$$

then QD defined by the difference between the quantum mutual information $\mathcal{I}(\rho_{AB})$ and the $\mathcal{CC}(\rho_{AB})$ is given by $\mathcal{QD}(\rho_{AB}) = \mathcal{I}(\rho_{AB}) - \mathcal{CC}(\rho_{AB})$. If we denote $S_{\min}(\rho_{AB}) = \min_{\{B_k\}} S(\rho_{AB} | \{B_k\})$, Then a variant expression of CC and QD in Ref.[13]

$$\mathcal{CC}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_A) - \min_{\{B_k\}} S(\rho_{AB} | \{B_k\}), \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{QD}(\rho_{AB}) = S(\rho_B) - S(\rho_{AB}) + S_{\min}(\rho_{AB}), \quad (12)$$

Figure 1.a Shows that In temperature T smaller, along with the increase of also become larger C(T). In figure 1.b and figure 1.c,in the limited temperature, along with the increase of CC(T) and QD(T) as increases until he critical value. Temperature T larger than 1.8 later CC(T) and QD(T) unchanged that is equal to zero.

We concluded that D_z turn out to be the most efficient parameters in increasing various correlations such as C, CC and QD in the finite temperature.



FIG. 1: (Color online) As three different quantifiers of correlations. The Concurrence(FIG.1.a with), The Classical correlation(FIG. 1.b with) and quantum Discord (FIG. 1.c with) as a function of the absolute temperature T and the D - M interaction, The above three plots in the XYZ model without external magnetic field.

IV. INTRINSIC DECOHERENCE OF VARIOUS CORRELATIONS

We consider the influence of intrinsic decoherence, proposed by Milburn [47] with the assumption that a system does not evolve continuously under unitary transformation for sufficiently short time steps, on various correlations. The master equation describing the intrinsic decoherence can be formu-



FIG. 2: (Color online)as three different quantifiers of correlations . The concurrence (FIG.2.a), the classical correlation (FIG.2.b) and quantum discord (FIG.2.c) as a function of the decoherence time t and the D - M interaction D_z , The above three plots in the XYZ model without external magnetic field. Here $J_x = 3$, $J_y = 0.6$, $D_z = 1, \gamma = 0.1$.

lated as

$$\frac{d\rho(t)}{dt} = -i[H,\rho] - \frac{1}{2\gamma}[H,[H,\rho(t)]],$$
(13)

where γ is the phase decoherence rate. Schrodingers equation is recovered in the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. The formal solution of the



FIG. 3: (Color online)The various quantum correlation C, QD, CC versus time t at a given $\gamma = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$. Here C, QD, CC corresponds respectively to the black, blue, red line.

above master equation can be expressed as[48]

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{l^k}{k!} M^k(t) \rho(0) M^{\dagger k}(t), \qquad (14)$$

where $\rho(0)$ is the density operator of the initial system and $M^k(t)$ is defined by

$$M^{k}(t) = H^{k} \exp(-iHt) \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2\gamma}H^{2}\right).$$
(15)

Insert the complete relation $\sum_{n} |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n|$ of the energy eigenstate into master equation [49], one can write the explicit expression of the density matrix of the states as

$$\rho(t) = \sum_{mn} \exp\left[-\frac{\gamma t}{2}(E_m - E_n)^2 - i(E_m - E_n)t\right]$$
$$\times \langle \psi_m | \rho(0) | \psi_n \rangle | \psi_m \rangle \langle \psi_n |, \qquad (16)$$

We assume that the system is initially prepared in the Bell state $|\Psi_1(0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|01\rangle + |10\rangle)$ From equation (16), the time

evolution of for this initial state can be obtained as

$$\rho(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{22} & \rho_{23} & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{32} & \rho_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(17)

where the elements of the matrix have been defined as

$$\rho_{22} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{D_z exp(-\frac{1}{2}t\gamma\mu^2)\sin[t\mu]}{\mu^2};$$

$$\rho_{23} = \frac{J_x + J_y - 2iD_z exp(-\frac{1}{2}t\gamma\mu^2)\cos[t\mu]}{2(J_x + J_y - 2iD_z)};$$

$$\rho_{32} = \frac{J_x + J_y + 2iD_z exp(-\frac{1}{2}t\gamma\mu^2)\cos[t\mu]}{2(J_x + J_y + 2iD_z)};$$

$$\rho_{33} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{D_z exp(-\frac{1}{2}t\gamma\mu^2)\sin[t\mu]}{\mu^2}$$

and where

$$\mu = \sqrt{J_x^2 + 2J_x J_y + J_y^2 + 4D_z^2}$$

In figure 2, we found that as D_z and t increase the C(t), CC(t) and QD(t) are down, but as D_z take a small value. the C(t), CC(t) and QD(t) drop a slow speed, especially CC(t) minimum values more than 0.9.

In figure 3, we found that when the quantum correlation CC(t) is little change and along with the increase of also become smaller. QD(t) take a maximum value in $\gamma = 0.2$, take a minimum value in $\gamma = 0.1$. But CC(t) is just the opposite, when $\gamma = 0.1$ take a maximum value, $\gamma = 0.2$ take a minimum value.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion ,we have studied the quantum discord in an anisotropic two qubits Heisenberg XYZ chain with the presence of D - M interaction and relation between various correlation and the D - M interaction .Our results show that the quantum discord can describe more information about classical correlation and quantum correlation than quantum entanglement and more properties can be revealed by quantum discord. Numerical results indicate that D-M interaction D_z plays a constructive role in thermal equilibrium. But D_z becomes to be destructive presence intrinsic decoherence.

- [1] Einstein , B. Podolsky and N. Rosen 1935 Phys. Rev. 47777.
- [2] Bell J S 1964 Phys. 1195.
- [3] Nielsen M A and Chuang I L 2000 Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press).
- [4] H. Ollivier and W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 017901 2001.
- [5] A.Datta,A. Shaji, andC.M. Caves,Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 050502.2008.
- [6] B. P. Lanyon, M. Barbieri, M. P. Almeida, and A. G. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 200501.2008.
- [7] S. L. Luo, Phys. Rev. A 77, 042303.2008.
- [8] M. S. Sarandy, Phys. Rev. A 80, 022108.2009.
- [9] T.Werlang, S. Souza, F. F. Fanchini, and C. J. Villas Boas, Phys.Rev. A 80, 024103.2009.
- [10] M. Ali, A. R. P. Rau, and G. Alber, Phys. Rev. A 81,

042105.2010.

- [11] T. Werlang, and G. Rigolin, Phys. Rev. A 81, 044101.2010.
- [12] F. F. Fanchini, T.Werlang, C. A. Brasil, L. G. E. Arruda, and A.O. Caldeira, Phys. Rev. A 81, 052107.2010.
- [13] Z. Y. Sun, L. Li, K. L. Yao, G. H. Du, J. W. Liu, B. Luo, N. Li, and H. N. Li, Phys. Rev. A 82, 032310.2010.
- [14] S. L. Luo, and S. S. Fu, Phys. Rev. A 82, 034302.2010.
- [15] L. Ciliberti, R. Rossignoli, and N. Canosa, Phys. Rev. A 82,042316.2010.
- [16] B. Q. Liu, B. Shao, and J. Zou, Phys. Rev. A 82, 062119.2010.
- [17] Z. N. Li, J. S. Jin, and C. S. Yu, Phys. Rev. A 83, 012317.2011.
- [18] B. Li, Z. X. Wang, and S. M. Fei, Phys.Rev.A 83, 022321.2011.
- [19] Al-Qasimi, and D. F. V. James, Phys.Rev.A 83, 032101.2011.
- [20] P. Parashar, and S. Rana, Phys. Rev. A 83, 032301.2011.
- [21] D. Girolami, and G. Adesso, Phys. Rev. A 83, 052108.2011.
- [22] R. Dillenschneider, Phys. Rev. B 78, 224413.2008.
- [23] Shabani, and D. A. Lidar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 100402.2009.
- [24] M. D. Lang, and C. M. Caves, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 150501.2010.
- [25] B. Dakic, V. Vedral, and C. Brukner, Phys.Rev.Lett. 105,190502.2010.
- [26] H.Kampermann, and D.Bru, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 160401.2011.
- [27] J. B. Yuan, L. M. Kuang, and J. Q. Liao, J. Phys. B: At. Mol.Opt. Phys. 43, 165503.2010.
- [28] Z. Sun, X. M. Lu, and L. J. Song, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt.Phys. 43, 215504.2010.
- [29] J. L. Guo, Y. J. Mi, J. Zhang and H. S. Song, J. Phys. B: At.Mol. Opt. Phys. 44, 065504.2011.
- [30] X.M. Lu, Z. J. Xi, Z. Sun and X. G.Wang, Quantum Inf. Comput.10, 0994.2010.
- [31] J. S. Xu, X. Y. Xu, C. F. Li, C. J. Zhang, X. B. Zou and G.

C.Guo, Nat. Commun. 1, 7.2010.

- [32] J. Maziero, L. C. Celeri, R. M. Serra and V. Vedral, Phys. Rev.A 80, 044102.2009.
- [33] J. Maziero, T. Werlang, F. F. Fanchini, L. C. Celeri, and R. M.Serra, Phys. Rev. A 81, 022116.2010.
- [34] L. Mazzola, J. Piilo, and S. Maniscalco, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104,200401.2010.
- [35] B. Wang, Z. Y. Xu, Z. Q. Chen and M. Feng, Phys. Rev. A 81,014101.2010.
- [36] R. Vasile, P. Giorda, S. Olivares, M. G. A. Paris, and S. Maniscalco, Phys. Rev. A 82, 012313.2010.
- [37] Werlang T and Rigolin G 2010 Phys. Rev. A 81 044101
- [38] Dzialoshinski I 1958 J. Phys. Chem. Solid 4 241
- [39] Moriya T 1960 Phys. Rev. Lett. 4 228
- [40] Zhang G F 2007 Phys. Rev. A 75 034304
- [41] Zhou Y and Zhang G F 2008 Opt. Commun. 281 5278
- [42] Gao F, Tang S Q, Xie L J, Zhan X G and Zhang D Y2009 Chin. Phys. B 18 3203
- [43] Zeng H F, Shao B, Yang L G, Li J and Zou J 2009 Chin.Phys. B 18 3265
- [44] Wang Q, Zeng H S and Liao J Q 2010 Chin. Phys. B 19100311
- [45] W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2245.1998.
- [46] G. J. Milburn, Phys. Rev. A 44, 5401.1991.
- [47] H. Moya-Cessa, V. Buzek, M.S. Kim, and P.L. Knight, Phys. Rev. A 48.1993 3900;
- [48] J.B. Xu, X.B. Zou, and J.H. Yu, Eur. Phys. J. D 10.2000 295.
- [49] Q.X. Xi, S.R. Hao, W.X. Chen, and R.H. Yue, Chin.Phys. Lett. 19.2002.1044.