

ON THE ELLIPTIC CURVE  $y^2 = x^3 - 2rDx$  AND FACTORING INTEGERS

XIUMEI LI, JINXIANG ZENG

ABSTRACT. Let  $D = pq$  be the product of two distinct odd primes. Assume the parity conjecture, we construct infinitely many  $r \geq 1$  such that  $E_{2rD} : y^2 = x^3 - 2rDx$  has conjectural rank one and  $v_p(x([k]Q)) \neq v_q(x([k]Q))$  for any odd integer  $k$ , where  $Q$  is the generator of the free part of  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ . Furthermore, under the Generalized Riemann hypothesis, the minimal value of  $r$  is in  $O(\log^4 D)$ . As a corollary, one can factor  $D$  by computing the generator  $Q$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we study the elliptic curve  $E_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q}$  defined by

$$E_{2rD} : y^2 = x^3 - 2rDx, \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1},$$

where  $D = pq$  is a product of two distinct odd primes and  $2rD$  is square-free. Burhanuddin and Huang [4] studied  $E_D : y^2 = x^3 - Dx$  with  $D = pq, p \equiv q \equiv 3 \pmod{16}$ . And they proved that, under the parity conjecture,  $E_D$  has conjectural rank one and  $v_p(x(Q)) \neq v_q(x(Q))$ , where  $Q$  is the generator of  $E_D(\mathbb{Q})/E_D(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ , so one can recover  $p$  and  $q$  from  $D$  and  $x(Q)$ . Furthermore, they conjectured that factoring  $D$  is polynomial time equivalent to computing the generator  $Q$ .

In this paper, we generalize their results to all the  $D = pq$  with odd primes  $p \neq q$ . Moreover, for a given  $D$ , we prove that there are infinitely many  $r \geq 1$  such that  $E_{2rD}$  has conjectural rank one and  $v_p(x([k]Q)) \neq v_q(x([k]Q))$  for any odd integer  $k$ , where  $Q$  is the generator of  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})/E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ . The main results are summarized as follows,

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $D = pq$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct odd primes. Under the parity conjecture, there are infinitely many positive integer  $r$  such that  $E_{2rD} : y^2 = x^3 - 2rDx$  has conjectural rank one. Let  $Q$  be the generator of  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})/E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ , then  $v_p(x([k]Q)) \neq v_q(x([k]Q))$  for any odd integer  $k$ . Furthermore, assume the Generalized Riemann hypothesis, the minimal value of  $r$  is in  $O(\log^4 D)$ .*

**Corollary 1.2.** *Given  $D$ , a product of two distinct odd primes. Assume the parity conjecture and the Generalized Riemann hypothesis. Then there exists an odd integer  $r \in O(\log^4 D)$  such that  $D$  can be recovered from the data  $x([2k+1]Q)$  and  $D$ , where  $Q$  is the generator of  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})/E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  and  $k$  is any rational integer.*

*Remark 1.3.* Burhanuddin and Huang [4] have proved that, if the naive height of the generator of the free part of  $E_D(\mathbb{Q})$  with  $D = pq, p \equiv q \equiv 3 \pmod{16}$ , grows polynomially in  $\log \Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the minimal discriminant of  $E_D$ , then factoring  $D$  is polynomial time reducible to computing the generator of the group  $E_D(\mathbb{Q})$ . On the other hand, for general  $D$ , Lang [9] conjectured that the log height of the generator is (approximately) bounded above by  $D^{1/12}$  and is widely believed that this upper bound is accurate for "most" elliptic curves. More precisely, it is a folklore conjecture that the probability of an elliptic curve  $E_D(\mathbb{Q})$  has a generator with height  $h(P) \leq D^{1/13}$  is less than  $D^{-c}$  for some absolute constant  $c$ . So although the additional parameter  $r$  leads to a family of curves which are suitable for factoring  $D$ , it's unlikely to find one, whose height of generator grows polynomially in  $\log \Delta$ .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11Y05, 11Y11, 11Y40; Secondary 11G05, 14G50.

*Key words and phrases.* integer factoring, elliptic curve, Selmer group.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we use the 2-descent method to compute the Selmer groups of these elliptic curves  $E_{2rD}$  and their isogenous curves  $E'_{2rD}$ , which will give an upper bound of rank of Mordell-Weil group  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})$ , and we construct infinitely many such curves  $E_{2rD}$  such that  $r_{E_{2rD}} \leq 1$  for an appropriately chosen value of the parameter  $r$ . In section 3 we calculate the global root number of these elliptic curves  $E_{2rD}$ , which will give a lower bound of rank of Mordell-Weil group  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})$  under the weak parity conjecture. In section 4 we study the property of non-torsion points of Mordell-Weil group  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})$  such as arithmetic property and canonical height. In section 5 we prove our main results.

## 2. COMPUTATION OF THE SELMER GROUPS

In this section, we determine the Selmer group  $S^{(\phi)}(E_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})$  of the elliptic curve  $E_{2rD}$  defined as in the introduction.

Let

$$E'_{2rD} : y^2 = x^3 + 8rDx$$

be its 2-isogenous elliptic curve and

$$\phi : E_{2rD} \longrightarrow E'_{2rD} \text{ and } \hat{\phi} : E'_{2rD} \longrightarrow E_{2rD}$$

be the corresponding 2-isogeny defined as

$$\phi((x, y)) = \left( \frac{y^2}{x^2}, \frac{-y(2rD + x^2)}{x^2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\phi}((x, y)) = \left( \frac{y^2}{4x^2}, \frac{y(8rD - x^2)}{8x^2} \right)$$

respectively.

Let  $S = \{\infty\} \cup \{\text{primes dividing } 2rD\}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(S, 2) = \langle S \rangle$  be the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}^*/\mathbb{Q}^{*2}$ . For  $d \in \mathbb{Q}(S, 2)$ , the corresponding homogeneous spaces are defined as follows,

$$C_d : dW^2 = d^2 + 8rDZ^4 \quad \text{and} \quad C'_d : dW^2 = d^2 - 2rDZ^4.$$

By Proposition 4.9 in [11], the Selmer groups have the following identifications:

$$\{1, 2rD\} \subseteq S^{(\phi)}(E_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{d \in \mathbb{Q}(S, 2) : C_d(\mathbb{Q}_v) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } v \in S\},$$

$$\{1, -2rD\} \subseteq S^{(\hat{\phi})}(E'_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{d \in \mathbb{Q}(S, 2) : C'_d(\mathbb{Q}_v) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } v \in S\}.$$

For  $d \in \mathbb{Q}(S, 2)$  and  $2 \nmid d$ , the following two lemmas give the sufficient and necessary conditions for  $d \in S^{(\phi)}(E_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})$  or  $d \in S^{(\hat{\phi})}(E'_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $C_d : W^2 = d + \frac{8rD}{d}Z^4$  with  $d|rD$ , then*

- (1)  $C_d(\mathbb{Q}_2) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ ;
- (2)  $C_d(\mathbb{Q}_t) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $(\frac{d}{t}) = 1$  for any prime  $t | \frac{rD}{d}$ ;
- (3)  $C_d(\mathbb{Q}_l) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $(\frac{2rD/d}{l}) = 1$  for any prime  $l | d$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity, let  $f(Z, W) := W^2 - \frac{8rD}{d}Z^4 - d$ .

- (1) If  $C_d(\mathbb{Q}_2) \neq \emptyset$ , take any point  $(z, w) \in C_d(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ , then  $v_2(z) \geq 0$ ,  $v_2(w) = 0$  and  $w^2 = d + \frac{8rD}{d}z^4$ . Taking the valuation  $v_2$  at 2 of both sides, we have  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . On the other hand, if  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $v_2(f(0, 1)) > 2v_2(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}(0, 1))$ . By Hensel's lemma in [11],  $f(Z, W) = 0$  has a solution in  $\mathbb{Q}_2^2$ , that is,  $C_d(\mathbb{Q}_2) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (2) For any prime  $t | \frac{rD}{d}$ , if  $C_d(\mathbb{Q}_t) \neq \emptyset$ , take any point  $(z, w) \in C_d(\mathbb{Q}_t)$ , then  $v_t(z) \geq 0$ ,  $v_t(w) = 0$  and  $w^2 = d + \frac{8rD}{d}z^4$ . Taking the valuation  $v_t$  at  $t$  of both sides, we have  $(\frac{d}{t}) = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $(\frac{d}{t}) = 1$ , then there exists an integer  $a$  such that  $a^2 \equiv d \pmod{t}$ , therefore  $v_t(f(0, a)) > 2v_t(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}(0, a))$ . By Hensel's lemma,  $f(Z, W) = 0$  has a solution in  $\mathbb{Q}_t^2$ , that is,  $C_d(\mathbb{Q}_t) \neq \emptyset$ .

- (3) For any prime  $l|d$ , let  $g(Z_1, W_1; l, i) := W_1^2 - \frac{8rD}{d}Z_1^4 - dl^{4i}$  for some non-negative integer  $i$ . If  $C_d(\mathbb{Q}_l) \neq \emptyset$ , take any point  $(z, w) \in C_d(\mathbb{Q}_l)$ , then  $z = l^{-i}z_1$ ,  $w = w_1^{-2i}$ , where  $v_l(z_1) = v_l(w_1) = 0$ ,  $i \geq 0$  and  $(z_1, w_1)$  satisfying  $w_1^2 = dl^{4i} + \frac{8rD}{d}z_1^4$ . Taking the valuation  $v_l$  at  $l$  of both sides, we have  $(\frac{2rD/d}{l}) = 1$ . On the other hand, if  $(\frac{2rD/d}{l}) = 1$ , then there exists an integer  $b$  such that  $b^2 \equiv \frac{8rD}{d} \pmod{l}$ , therefore we have  $v_l(f(0, b)) > 2v_l(\frac{\partial f}{\partial W}(0, b))$ . By Hensel's lemma,  $g(Z_1, W_1; l, i) = 0$  has a solution in  $\mathbb{Q}_l^2$ , that is,  $C_d(\mathbb{Q}_l) \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $C'_d : W^2 = d - \frac{2rD}{d}Z^4$  with  $d|rD$ , then

- (1)  $C'_d(\mathbb{Q}_2) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $d - 2rD/d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  or  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ ;
- (2)  $C'_d(\mathbb{Q}_t) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $(\frac{d}{t}) = 1$  for any prime  $t|\frac{rD}{d}$ ;
- (3)  $C'_d(\mathbb{Q}_l) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $(\frac{-2rD/d}{l}) = 1$  for any prime  $l|d$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to lemma 2.1.  $\square$

We now determine the Selmer groups of the elliptic curves appeared in our theorems in the introduction, by the lemmas above. For simplicity, the class of odd integer  $m$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$  will be denoted by  $\overline{m}$ , and define  $a_{\overline{3}} = a_{\overline{7}} = \overline{5}$  and  $a_{\overline{5}} = \overline{3}$ . Define the set  $A_D$  of parameters  $r$  as follows,

$$A_D = \begin{cases} \{l \mid l \in \overline{q}, (\frac{D}{l}) = -(\frac{l}{p}) = -1\}, & \text{if } D \equiv 7 \pmod{8}, p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ \{l \mid Dl \in \overline{1}, (\frac{D}{l}) = -(\frac{l}{p}) = -1\}, & \text{if } D \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}, p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ \{l \mid l \in a_{\overline{q}}, (\frac{D}{l}) = -1\}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ \{l \mid l \in a_{\overline{q}}, (\frac{D}{l}) = (\frac{q}{l}) = -1\}, & \text{if } D \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}, p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ \{l_1 l_2 \mid l_1 \in \overline{3}, l_2 \in \overline{7}, (\frac{D}{l_1}) = (\frac{D}{l_2}) = -1, (\frac{l_1 l_2}{p}) = -1\}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \pmod{8}, p \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

where  $l, l_1, l_2$  are primes. By density theorem,  $A_D$  is an infinite set. The Selmer group of  $E_{2rD}$  is determined by the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $D = pq$  be the product of two distinct odd primes  $p, q$ . Then for any  $r \in A_D$ , we have

$$S^{(\phi)}(E_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{(\hat{\phi})}(E'_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2.$$

*Proof.* By the lemmas above, we can determine the elements in the Selmer groups of  $E_{2rD}$  and  $E'_{2rD}$  easily, where  $r = 1, l, l_1 l_2$ . We only take  $r = l_1 l_2$  for an example.

In this case,  $S = \{\infty\} \cup \{2, p, q, l_1, l_2\}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(S, 2) = \langle -1, 2, p, q, l_1, l_2 \rangle$ , where  $p \equiv q \equiv 1, l_1 \equiv 3, l_2 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$  and they satisfying  $(\frac{D}{l_1}) = (\frac{D}{l_2}) = -1$  and  $(\frac{l_1}{p})(\frac{l_2}{p}) = -1$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $(\frac{l_1}{p}) = 1, (\frac{l_2}{p}) = -1$  and  $(\frac{l_1}{l_2}) = 1$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $S^{(\phi)}(E_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{1, 2Dl_1 l_2\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

For  $S^{(\hat{\phi})}(E'_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})$ , by Lemma 2.2, one can check

$$S^{(\hat{\phi})}(E'_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q}) = \begin{cases} \{1, -pl_2, 2ql_1, -2rD\}, & \text{if } (\frac{q}{p}) = 1 \\ \{1, -2pl_1, ql_2, -2rD\}, & \text{if } (\frac{q}{p}) = -1. \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $S^{(\hat{\phi})}(E'_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ .  $\square$

Use the exact sequences listed in [11], we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \frac{E'_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi(E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q}))} \longrightarrow S^{(\phi)}(E_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{TS}(E_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})[\phi] \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \frac{E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi(E'_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q}))} \longrightarrow S^{(\hat{\phi})}(E'_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{TS}(E'_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})[\hat{\phi}] \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\longrightarrow \frac{E'_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})[\hat{\phi}]}{\phi(E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})[2])} \longrightarrow \frac{E'_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi(E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q}))} \longrightarrow \frac{E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})}{2E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})} \longrightarrow \frac{E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})}{\phi(E'_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q}))} \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

which follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r_{E_{2rD}} + \dim_2(\mathrm{TS}(E_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})[\phi]) + \dim_2(\mathrm{TS}(E'_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})[\widehat{\phi}]) \\ = \dim_2(S^{(\phi)}(E_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})) + \dim_2(S^{(\widehat{\phi})}(E'_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})) - 2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dim_2$  denotes the dimension of an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space. The equality above gives an upper bound of  $r_{E_{2rD}}$  as follows,

$$r_{E_{2rD}} \leq \dim_2(S^{(\phi)}(E_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})) + \dim_2(S^{(\widehat{\phi})}(E'_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})) - 2$$

which implies that

$$r_{E_{2rD}} \leq 1, \text{ for any } r \in A_D. \quad (2.1)$$

In the next section, we study the global root number of  $E_{2rD}$ , which will give a lower bound of  $r_{E_{2rD}}$ .

### 3. COMPUTATION OF THE CONJECTURAL RANK

Let  $E$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with conductor  $N_E$ . By the Modularity Theorem [6], the L-function admits an analytic continuation to an entire function satisfying the functional equation

$$\Lambda_E(2-s) = W(E)\Lambda_E(s), \quad \text{where } \Lambda_E(s) = N_E^{s/2}(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)L_E(s),$$

and  $W(E) = \pm 1$  is called the global root number.

Let  $r_E^{an}$  and  $r_E$  be the analytic rank and arithmetic rank of  $E$  respectively, where  $r_E^{an}$  is the order of vanishing of  $L_E(s)$  at  $s = 1$  and  $r_E$  is the rank of the abelian group  $E(\mathbb{Q})$ . For the parity of  $r_E$ , there is a famous conjecture:

**Conjecture 3.1. ( Parity Conjecture )**  $(-1)^{r_E} = W(E)$ .

*Remark 3.2.* In this paper, we only need the weak form of the parity conjecture:

$$W(E) = -1 \implies r_E \geq 1.$$

For the detail of the parity conjecture, see the recent works by Tim Dokchiter [5].

This weak parity conjecture gives a lower bound of  $r_E$ , while  $W(E) = -1$ . Due to the special choice of our elliptic curve, the global root number is equal to  $-1$  by the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $E_{2N} : y^2 = x^3 - 2Nx$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $2N$  square-free, then  $W(E_{2N}) = -1$ .*

*Proof.* By [2], for any integer  $d$  such that  $d \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , the global root number of the elliptic curve  $E_d : y^2 = x^3 - dx$ , has the following formula,

$$W(E_d) = \mathrm{sgn}(-d) \cdot \epsilon(d) \cdot \prod_{p^2 \mid\mid d, p \geq 3} \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right)$$

where

$$\epsilon(d) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } d \equiv 1, 3, 11, 13 \pmod{16} \\ 1 & \text{if } d \equiv 2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 14, 15 \pmod{16}, \end{cases}$$

which tells us  $W(E_{2N}) = -1$ . □

**Corollary 3.4.** Assume the Parity conjecture, then for any  $r \in A_D$  we have,

$$r_{E_{2rD}} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{TS}((E'_{2rD}/\mathbb{Q})[\widehat{\phi}]) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Inequality 2.1, lemma 3.3 and the parity conjecture imply the conclusion. □

Section 2, section 3 and the density theorem state that there are infinitely many integers  $r$  such that  $E_{2rD}$  have conjectural rank one. For these constructed elliptic curves  $E_{2rD}$ , Mordell-Weil theorem tells us that

$$E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q}) \cong E_{2rD,\text{tors}}(\mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}.$$

And Proposition 6.1 in [11] tells us that  $E_{2rD,\text{tors}}(\mathbb{Q})$  is generated by the 2-order point  $T = (0, 0)$ , that is,  $E_{2rD,\text{tors}}(\mathbb{Q}) = \{O, T\}$ . In the next section, we study the arithmetic property and the canonical height of non-torsion points of  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})$ .

#### 4. THE PROPERTY OF NON-TORSION POINTS

For any finite place  $v \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , let  $\mathbb{Q}_v$  be the local field,  $\mathcal{M}$  be the maximal ideal and  $\mathbb{F}_v$  be the residue field of  $\mathbb{Q}$  at  $v$ . We define

$$\tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_v) := \{P \in \mathbb{F}_v : \tilde{P} \text{ is non-singular in } \tilde{E}(\mathbb{F}_v)\}$$

$$E_0(\mathbb{Q}_v) := \{P \in \mathbb{Q}_v : \tilde{P} \in \tilde{E}_{\text{ns}}(\mathbb{F}_v)\}$$

$$E_1(\mathbb{Q}_v) := \{P \in \mathbb{Q}_v : \tilde{P} = \tilde{O}\}$$

$$\hat{E}(\mathcal{M}) := \text{the formal group associated to } E.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any  $r \in A_D$ , let  $T = (0, 0)$  be the generator of  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$  and  $Q$  the generator of  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})/E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ , then for any odd prime  $t|rD$ , the following holds,*

(1) *if  $v_t(x(Q)) \geq 1$ , then for any nonzero integer  $k$ ,*

$$\begin{cases} 0 \geq v_t(x([2k]Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \leq v_t(x([2k+1]Q)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \leq v_t(x([2k]Q + T)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ -2 \geq v_t(x([2k+1]Q + T)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

(2) *if  $v_t(x(Q)) \leq 0$ , then for any nonzero integer  $k$ ,*

$$\begin{cases} 0 \geq v_t(x([2k]Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 0 \geq v_t(x([2k+1]Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \leq v_t(x([2k]Q + T)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \leq v_t(x([2k+1]Q + T)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Case I. For  $v_t(x(Q)) = a \geq 3$  (note that  $a$  is odd) : Using Tate's algorithm in [12], we know the Tamagawa number  $c_t$  is equal to 2, which means  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q}_t)/E_{2rD,0}(\mathbb{Q}_t) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $Q \notin E_{2rD,0}(\mathbb{Q}_t)$ , we have

$$[2k]Q \in E_{2rD,0}(\mathbb{Q}_t), [2k+1]Q \in E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q}_t) \setminus E_{2rD,0}(\mathbb{Q}_t).$$

By the duplication formula,

$$x([2]Q) = \frac{x(Q)^4 + 4rDx(Q)^2 + 4(rD)^2}{4x(Q)^3 - 8rDx(Q)}$$

so  $v_t(x([2]Q)) = -(a-1) < 0$ , hence  $[2]Q \in E_{2rD,1}(\mathbb{Q}_t)$ . The isomorphism  $E_{2rD,1}(\mathbb{Q}_t) \cong \hat{E}_{2rD}(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto (z(x) = -\frac{x}{y}, w(z))$  together with Proposition 2.3 in [11] implies that  $v_t(z([2k]Q)) = v_t(2k) + \frac{a-1}{2}$ . So

$$v_t(x([2k]Q)) = -2v_t(2k) - (a-1),$$

hence  $v_t(x([2(2k+1)]Q)) = -2v_t(2k+1) - (a-1)$ , again the duplication formula gives the equality  $v_t(x([2(2k+1)]Q)) = 1 - v_t(x([2k+1]Q))$ , thus

$$v_t(x([2k+1]Q)) = 2v_t(2k+1) + a.$$

On the other hand, by the chord and tangent principal, we have

$$x(R) \cdot x(R + T) = -2rD, R \in E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{O, T\}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} v_t(x([2k]Q + T)) &= 2v_t(2k) + a, \\ v_t(x([2k + 1]Q + T)) &= -2v_t(2k + 1) - (a - 1). \end{aligned}$$

By the argument above, we have

$$\begin{cases} -2 \geq v_t(x([2k]Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 3 \leq v_t(x([2k + 1]Q)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 3 \leq v_t(x([2k]Q + T)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ -2 \geq v_t(x([2k + 1]Q + T)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Case II. For  $v_t(x(Q)) = 1$ : the duplication formula gives  $v_t(x([2]Q)) = 0$ , so  $\widetilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_t) = \langle \widetilde{[2]Q} \rangle$ , where  $\widetilde{[2]Q}$  is the reduction of  $[2]Q$  at the place  $t$ . Since  $|\widetilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_t)| = t$ , hence  $[t](\widetilde{[2]Q}) = O$ . Let  $b := -v_t(x([2t]Q))$ , then  $b$  is an even integer bigger than one. Similarly, we have  $v_t(x([t]Q)) = 1 + b$ . Since  $\widetilde{E}_{ns}(\mathbb{F}_t) = \langle \widetilde{[2]Q} \rangle$ , for any integer  $k$  not divided by  $t$ , the valuation  $v_t(x([k](\widetilde{[2]Q}))$  is equal to zero. While  $t|k$ , similarly to the Case I, we have

$$v_t(x([2k]Q)) = -2v_t(2k) - (b - 2).$$

Thus for any non-zero integer  $k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_t(x([2k]Q)) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \nmid k \\ -2v_t(2k) - (b - 2) & \text{if } t|k, \end{cases} \\ v_t(x([2k + 1]Q)) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \nmid 2k + 1 \\ 2v_t(2k + 1) + (b - 1) & \text{if } t|2k + 1, \end{cases} \\ v_t(x([2k]Q + T)) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \nmid k \\ 2v_t(2k) + (b - 1) & \text{if } t|k, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$v_t(x([2k + 1]Q + T)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \nmid 2k + 1 \\ -2v_t(2k + 1) - (b - 2) & \text{if } t|2k + 1. \end{cases}$$

so

$$\begin{cases} 0 \geq v_t(x([2k]Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \leq v_t(x([2k + 1]Q)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \leq v_t(x([2k]Q + T)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ -2 \geq v_t(x([2k + 1]Q + T)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

From Case I and Case II, we know that if  $v_t(x(Q)) \geq 1$ , then

$$\begin{cases} 0 \geq v_t(x([2k]Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \leq v_t(x([2k + 1]Q)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \leq v_t(x([2k]Q + T)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ -2 \geq v_t(x([2k + 1]Q + T)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Case III. For  $v_t(x(Q)) = 0$ : The nonsingular part of the reduction curve is generated by one point i.e.  $\widetilde{E}_{2rD,ns}(\mathbb{F}_t) = \langle \widetilde{Q} \rangle$ , where  $\widetilde{Q}$  is the reduction of  $Q$ . Similarly to the discussion in the Case II, for any non-zero integer  $k$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} 0 \geq v_t(x([2k]Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 0 \geq v_t(x([2k + 1]Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \leq v_t(x([2k]Q + T)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \leq v_t(x([2k + 1]Q + T)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

Case IV. For  $v_t(x(Q)) \leq -2$ : Similarly to Case I. we have

$$\begin{cases} -2 \geq v_t(x([2k]Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ -2 \geq v_t(x([2k+1]Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 3 \leq v_t(x([2k]Q+T)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 3 \leq v_t(x([2k+1]Q+T)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

From Case III and Case IV, we know that if  $v_t(x(Q)) \leq 0$ , then

$$\begin{cases} 0 \geq v_t(x([2k]Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 0 \geq v_t(x([2k+1]Q)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \leq v_t(x([2k]Q+T)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 1 \leq v_t(x([2k+1]Q+T)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

□

Using lemma 4.1, one can obtain the following observation.

**Corollary 4.2.** Assume the Parity conjecture, then for any  $r \in A_D$ ,

$$v_p(x([k]Q)) \neq v_q(x([k]Q)), \quad \text{for any odd integer } k,$$

where  $Q$  is the generator of  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})/E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ .

*Proof.* We first claim that, there exists two points  $R_1, R_2 \in E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})$  such that

$$v_p(x(R_i)) + 1 \equiv v_q(x(R_i)) \pmod{2} \quad \text{and} \quad v_p(x(R_i)) \cdot v_q(x(R_i)) \leq 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2. \quad (4.3)$$

We take the case  $(p, q) \equiv (5, 5) \pmod{8}$  and  $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = 1$  for example. The proof for other cases are similar.

In this case, the prime  $l$  is in the class  $a_{\bar{q}} = \bar{3}$  and  $\left(\frac{D}{l}\right) = -1$ . For the elliptic curve  $E_{2lD}$ , we have  $\text{TS}(E'_{2lD}/\mathbb{Q}[\hat{\phi}]) = 0$  and  $S^{(\hat{\phi})}(E'_{2lD}/\mathbb{Q}) = \{1, -q, 2pl, -2Dl\}$ . Thus  $C'_{-q}(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset, C'_{2pl}(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ . Take  $(z_1, w_1) \in C'_{2pl}(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $(z_2, w_2) \in C'_{-q}(\mathbb{Q})$ . One can see  $v_p(z_1) \leq 0, v_q(z_1) \geq 0$  and  $v_p(z_2) \geq 0, v_q(z_2) \leq 0$ . Denote the images of  $(z_1, w_1)$  and  $(z_2, w_2)$  under the following isomorphism

$$C'_d \longrightarrow E_{2rD}, \quad (z, w) \longmapsto \left(\frac{d}{z^2}, \frac{dw}{z^3}\right),$$

by  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  respectively. So  $R_1 := \left(\frac{2pl}{z_1^2}, \frac{2plw_1}{z_1^3}\right)$  and  $R_2 := \left(\frac{-q}{z_2^2}, \frac{-qw_2}{z_2^3}\right)$ . Thus  $R_1, R_2$  satisfy the relations (4.3).

Now, by Lemma 4.1, we have  $v_p(x(Q)) \geq 1, v_q(x(Q)) \leq 0$  or  $v_p(x(Q)) \leq 0, v_q(x(Q)) \geq 1$ . Hence, for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , (4.1) and (4.2) give

$$v_p(x([2k+1]Q)) \neq v_q(x([2k+1]Q)).$$

□

*Remark 4.3.* Corollary 4.2 implies that, given the generator  $Q$  of  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})/E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ , one can recover the prime divisor  $p$  from  $x([k]Q)$  and  $D$ . The complexity of searching rational points of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$  depends on the height of the rational points. For the elliptic curve  $E_{2rD}$ , the canonical height of rational points is known as follows,

**Proposition 4.4.** For any  $r \in A_D$ , let  $P \in E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})$  be a non-torsion rational point, and write the  $x$ -coordinate of  $P$  as  $x = \frac{a}{D^2}$ . Then,

$$\hat{h}(P) \geq \frac{1}{16} \log(4rD), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \log\left(\frac{a^2 + 2rDd^4}{2rD}\right) \leq \hat{h}(P) \leq \frac{1}{4} \log(a^2 + 2rDd^4) + \frac{1}{12} \log 2. \quad (4.5)$$

*Proof.* We first estimate the archimedean contribution  $\widehat{h}_\infty$  to the canonical height by using Tate's series. Arguing as Proposition 2.1 in [3] we have

$$0 \leq \widehat{h}_\infty(P) - \frac{1}{4} \log(x(P)^2 + 2rD) + \frac{1}{12} \log |\Delta| \leq \frac{1}{12} \log 2. \quad (4.6)$$

Let  $P_1 = 2P$ , from the Lemma 4.1, it follows that  $P_1 \in E_{2rD,0}(\mathbb{Q}_t)$  for any rational prime  $t$ , the local height of  $P_1$  at  $t$  is given by the formula Theorem 4.1 in [12]

$$\widehat{h}_t(P) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{0, -\text{ord}_t(x(P_1)) \log t\} + \frac{1}{12} \text{ord}_t(\Delta) \log t - \begin{cases} 0, & P \in E_{2rD,0}(\mathbb{Q}_t), \\ \frac{1}{4} \text{ord}_t(2rD) \log t, & P \notin E_{2rD,0}(\mathbb{Q}_t). \end{cases} \quad (4.7a)$$

$$\widehat{h}_t(P_1) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{0, -\text{ord}_t(x(P_1)) \log t\} + \frac{1}{12} \text{ord}_t(\Delta) \log t.$$

Writing  $x(P_1) = \frac{\alpha}{\delta^2}$ , and combining (4.6) we obtain:

$$\widehat{h}(P_1) \geq \frac{1}{4} \log(\alpha^2 + 2rD\delta^4)$$

Since  $P_1 \in E_{2rD,0}(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $\alpha/\delta^2 \geq \sqrt{2rD}$ , so in fact  $\alpha^2 + 2rD\delta^4 \geq 4rD\delta^4 \geq 4rD$ . This gives the lower bound

$$\widehat{h}(P_1) \geq \frac{1}{4} \log(4rD)$$

and then the formula  $\widehat{h}(P_1) = \widehat{h}(2P) = 4\widehat{h}(P)$  gives the desired inequality as Proposition 4.4.

In order to prove the upper and lower bounds, rewrite the inequalities (4.6), (4.7a) and (4.7b) respectively, as

$$0 \leq \widehat{h}_\infty(P) - \frac{1}{4} \log(x(P)^2 + 2rD) + \frac{1}{12} \log |\Delta| \leq \frac{1}{12} \log 2$$

$$-\frac{1}{4} \text{ord}_t(2rD) \log t \leq \widehat{h}_t(P) - \text{ord}_t(d) \log t - \frac{1}{12} \text{ord}_t(\Delta) \log t \leq 0 (t \geq 2)$$

Adding these estimates over all places yields

$$-\frac{1}{4} \log(2rD) \leq \widehat{h}(P) - \frac{1}{4} \log(a^2 + 2rDd^4) \leq \frac{1}{12} \log 2.$$

Therefore the required inequality follows from the inequality above.  $\square$

*Remark 4.5.* The lower bound (4.4) is a special case of a conjecture of Serge Lang [9], which says that the canonical height of a non-torsion point on elliptic curve should satisfy

$$\widehat{h}(P) \gg \log |\Delta|,$$

where  $\Delta = 2^9(rD)^3$  is the discriminant of  $E_{2rD}$ .

*Remark 4.6.* Using inequality (4.5), one can obtain the difference between the canonical height and the Weil height such as

$$-\frac{1}{4} \log(4rD) \leq \widehat{h}(P) - \frac{1}{2} h(P) \leq \frac{1}{4} \log(2rD + 1) + \frac{1}{12} \log 2,$$

where  $h(P)$  is the Weil height of  $P$  defined as  $h(P) = \frac{1}{2} \log \max\{|a|, |d^2|\}$ . Thus if one can give an efficient and practical algorithm for an upper bound estimate of the canonical height, then by Zagier's Theorem 1.1 in [10] one can find the generator of  $E_{2rD}$ .

## 5. THE PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Now let's come to prove our main results theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.

**Proof of theorem 1.1.** The definition of  $A_D$ , Corollary 3.4, Corollary 4.2 and the density theorem state that there are infinitely many integers  $r$  such that  $E_{2rD}$  has conjectural rank one and

$$v_p(x([k]Q)) \neq v_q(x([k]Q)), \quad \text{for any odd integer } k,$$

where  $Q$  is the generator of  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})/E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ .

The following lemma shows that the least additional parameter  $r$  in  $A_D$  has a small upper bound.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Given positive integers  $k, m$ , there exists a constant  $c$  dependent only on  $m$  and  $k$  such that for any sequences of pairwise different odd primes  $p_1, \dots, p_k$ , signs  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k \in \{-1, 1\}$ , and an integer  $a$  coprime to  $m$ , where  $p_i \nmid m$  for all  $i$ , the least odd prime  $l$  satisfying*

$$\left(\frac{p_i}{l}\right) = \epsilon_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \quad \text{and} \quad l \equiv a \pmod{m}$$

is upper bounded by  $c \log^2(\prod_{i=1}^k p_i)$ .

proof. Let

$$d_i = \begin{cases} p_i & \text{if } p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 4p_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq k)$$

and  $K_i = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_i})$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Then  $\text{Gal}(K_i/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{1, -1\}$ . Identifying the two groups, we have  $\left(\frac{p_i}{l}\right) = \left(\frac{K_i/\mathbb{Q}}{l}\right)$ . The latter is the Artin symbol.

Let  $K_0 = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ , where  $\zeta_m$  is a  $m$ -th primary root of unity. We have  $\text{Gal}(K_0/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*$ , and  $l \equiv a \pmod{m}$  if and only if  $\left(\frac{K_0/\mathbb{Q}}{l}\right) = a \pmod{m}$ .

Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1}, \dots, \sqrt{p_k}, \zeta_m)$ , which is an abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . An odd prime  $l$  is un-ramified in  $K$  if and only if  $l \nmid m \prod_{i=1}^k d_i$ . For such  $l$ , we have

$$\left(\frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{l}\right)\Big|_{K_i} = \left(\frac{K_i/\mathbb{Q}}{l}\right) \quad (0 \leq i \leq k).$$

Since  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  are pairwise different and  $(p_1 \cdots p_k, m) = 1$ , we have  $K_i \cap K_j = \mathbb{Q}$  for  $0 \leq i \neq j \leq k$ , and so we have the isomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \prod_{0 \leq i \leq k} \text{Gal}(K_i/\mathbb{Q}) \quad \sigma \mapsto (\sigma|_{K_i})_{0 \leq i \leq k}.$$

Thus there exists a unique  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\sigma|_{K_i} = \epsilon_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $\sigma|_{K_0} = a \pmod{m}$ . By Theorem 3.1(3) in [1], there exists a prime  $l \leq (1 + o(1)) \log^2(|\Delta_K|)$  such that  $\left(\frac{K/\mathbb{Q}}{l}\right) = \sigma$ , where  $\Delta_K$  is the discriminant of  $K$ . For fixed  $k$  and  $m$ , one can get easily that there exists a constant  $c' > 0$  satisfying  $|\Delta_K| < c' (\prod_{i=1}^k p_i)^{m2^{k-1}}$ . Thus we can find a constant  $c$ , dependent on  $m$  and  $k$ , such that there exists a prime  $l < c \log^2(\prod_{i=1}^k p_i)$  satisfying  $\left(\frac{p_i}{l}\right) = \epsilon_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , and  $l \equiv a \pmod{m}$ .

Lemma 5.1 shows that there exists an integer  $r \in O(\log^4 D)$  such that the elliptic curve  $E_{2rD}$  has conjectural rank one and

$$v_p(x([k]Q)) \neq v_q(x([k]Q)), \quad \text{for any odd integer } k,$$

where  $Q$  is the generator of  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})/E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ . □

**Proof of Corollary 1.2.** It follows directly from the theorem 1.1. □

*Remark 5.2.* Theorem 1.1 tells us that any point of infinite order in  $E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q})$  can be used to factor  $D$  (if the point is of even order, use the duplication formula to "halving" it). Since  $\text{rank}(E_{2rD}(\mathbb{Q}))$  is equal to one, beside standard methods, there are two other methods of searching for a point of infinite order. One method (see [13]) is the canonical height search algorithm, the other method (see [7] and [8]) is the Heegner point algorithm, both methods are still quite efficiently for conductor of moderately large (say  $10^5$  to  $10^7$ ). But both seem quite impractical in general if  $D$  is greater than  $10^8$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bach, and J. Sorenson, Explicit bounds for primes in residue classes, *Math. Comp.*, 65(216): 1717 - 1735, 1996.
- [2] B.J. Birch, and N.M. Stephens, The parity of the rank of the Mordell-Weil group, *Topology* 5, 295-299 (1966).
- [3] A. Bremner, J.H. Silverman and N. Tzanakis, Integral Points in Arithmetic Progression on  $y^2 = x(x^2 - n^2)$ , *Journal of Number Theory* 80, 187-208(2000).
- [4] I.A. Burhanuddin and Ming-Deh A.Huang, Factoring integers and computing elliptic curve rational points. *Computer Science Technical Report 06-875*, Preprint.
- [5] T. Dokchitser, Notes on the parity conjecture, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.5389>.
- [6] B. Edixhoven, Rational elliptic curves are modular ( after Breuil, Conrad, Diamond and Taylor), *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 871 (2000). In: *Asérisque* 276 (2002), 161-188.
- [7] N. Elkies, Heegner point computations, *Algorithmic Number Theory (L.M. Adelman, M.-D. Huang, eds.)*, ANTS-I, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 877, 1994, pp. 122-133. MR 96f:11080
- [8] B. Gross and D. Zagier, Heegner points and derivatives of L-series, *Invent. Math.* 84 (1986), 225-320. MR 87j:11057
- [9] S. Lang, S. Conjectured Diophantine estimates on elliptic curves. *Arithmetic and geometry*, Vol. I, *Progr. Math.*, vol. 35 (1983), 155-171.
- [10] S. Siksek, Infinite descent on elliptic curves, *Rock Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 25, No. 4 (1995), 1501-1538.
- [11] J.H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* 106, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [12] J.H. Silverman, *Advances Topics in the Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Springer, New York, 1994.
- [13] J. H. Silverman, Computing rational points on rank 1 elliptic curves via L-series and canonical heights. *Math. Comp.* 68 (1999), no. 226, 835C858.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, P. R. CHINA  
*E-mail address:* xm-li09@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn, cengjx09@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn