

# THE RATIONALITY OF THE MODULI SPACES OF TRIGONAL CURVES

SHOUHEI MA

ABSTRACT. The moduli spaces of trigonal curves are proven to be rational when the genus is divisible by 4.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A smooth projective curve is called *trigonal* if it carries a free  $g_3^1$ . When the curve has genus  $\geq 5$ , such a pencil is unique if it exists. The object of our study is the moduli space  $\mathcal{T}_g$  of trigonal curves of genus  $g \geq 5$ . This space has been proven to be rational when  $g \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  by Shepherd-Barron [10], and when  $g$  is odd in [8]. In the present article we prove that  $\mathcal{T}_g$  is rational in the remaining case  $g \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , completing the following.

**Theorem .** The moduli space  $\mathcal{T}_g$  of trigonal curves of genus  $g$  is rational for every  $g \geq 5$ .

$\mathcal{T}_g$  is naturally regarded as a sublocus of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  of genus  $g$  curves. The rationality of  $\mathcal{T}_g$  can be seen as an extension of that of the hyperelliptic locus due to Katsylo and Bogomolov [6], [2]. It would be interesting whether the tetragonal and pentagonal loci are rational as well. They are unirational (see, e.g., [1], [12]), but at present known to be rational only for tetragonal of genus 7 ([3]). A related question is whether one can find a rational locus in  $\mathcal{M}_g$  of larger dimension. When  $g \geq 23$ , Castorena and Ciliberto [4] show that  $\mathcal{T}_g$  has larger dimension than any other locus that is (generically) the natural image of a linear system on a surface. Thus, for the above question, one would next look at curves in a variety of dimension  $\geq 3$  whose ideals have simple description. Note that tetragonal and pentagonal curves can be constructed in such ways ([12]).

We approach our problem from invariant theory for  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ . Let  $V_{a,b} = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(a,b))$  be the space of bi-forms of bidegree  $(a,b)$  on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , which is an irreducible representation of  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ . It is classically known that a general trigonal curve  $C$  of genus  $g = 4N$  is canonically embedded in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  as a smooth curve of bidegree  $(3, 2N + 1)$ . This is based on the fact that the canonical model of  $C$  lies on a unique rational normal scroll which is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . As a

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14H45, Secondary 14H10, 14E08, 14L30.

*Key words and phrases.* trigonal curve, rationality,  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ , bi-transvectant.

Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (S), No 22224001.

consequence, we have a natural birational equivalence

$$\mathcal{T}_{4N} \sim \mathbb{P}V_{3,2N+1}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2.$$

Hence the problem is restated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The quotient  $\mathbb{P}V_{3,b}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  is rational for every odd  $b \geq 5$ .*

To prove this, we adopt the traditional and computational method of *double bundle* ([2], [11]) as follows. By examining the Clebsch-Gordan formula for  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ , we take a suitable  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ -bilinear mapping (bi-transvectant)

$$T : V_{3,b} \times V_{a',b'} \rightarrow V_{a'',b''}$$

such that  $\dim V_{a',b'} > \dim V_{a'',b''}$ . Put  $c = \dim V_{a',b'} - \dim V_{a'',b''}$  and let  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$  be the Grassmannian of  $c$ -dimensional subspaces of  $V_{a',b'}$ . Then  $T$  induces the rational map

$$(1.1) \quad V_{3,b} \dashrightarrow G(c, V_{a',b'}), \quad v \mapsto \mathrm{Ker}(T(v, \cdot)).$$

We shall find a bi-transvectant for which (1.1) is well-defined as a rational map and is dominant. In that case, (1.1) makes  $V_{3,b}$  birationally an  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ -linearized vector bundle over  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$ . Utilizing this bundle structure and taking care of  $-1$  scalar action, we reduce the rationality of  $\mathbb{P}V_{3,b}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  to a stable rationality of  $G(c, V_{a',b'})/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ , which in turn can be shown in a more or less standard way.

The point for this proof is to choose the bi-transvectant  $T$  carefully so that (i)  $a', b', c$  are odd (to care  $-1$  scalar action) and that (ii)  $c$  is small (for  $V_{3,b}$  to have larger dimension than  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$ ). For that, we will provide  $T$  according to the remainder of  $b$  modulo 5, based on some easy calculation. Then the bulk of proof is devoted to verifying non-degeneracy of (1.1), which is facilitated by keeping  $c$  small but is still somewhat laborious.

The rest of the article is as follows. In §2.1 we recall bi-transvectants. We explain the method of double bundle in §2.2. In §3 we prepare some stable rationality results in advance, to which the rationality of  $\mathbb{P}V_{3,b}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  will be eventually reduced. Then we prove Theorem 1.1 in §4.

We work over the complex numbers. The Grassmannian  $G(a, V)$  parametrizes  $a$ -dimensional linear subspaces of the vector space  $V$ .

**Acknowledgement.** I would like to thank the referees for valuable suggestions on the presentation of the manuscript.

## 2. BI-TRANSVECTANT

**2.1. Bi-transvectant.** We write  $V_d$  for the  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -representation  $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d))$ , the space of binary forms of degree  $d$ . Let  $e \leq d$ . According to the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition

$$(2.1) \quad V_d \otimes V_e = \bigoplus_{r=0}^e V_{d+e-2r},$$

there exists a unique (up to constant)  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ -bilinear mapping

$$T^{(r)} : V_d \times V_e \rightarrow V_{d+e-2r},$$

which is called the  $r$ -th *transvectant*. For two binary forms  $F(X, Y) \in V_d$  and  $G(X, Y) \in V_e$ , we have the well-known explicit formula (cf. [9])

$$(2.2) \quad T^{(r)}(F, G) = \frac{(d-r)! (e-r)!}{d! e!} \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \frac{\partial^r F}{\partial X^{r-i} \partial Y^i} \frac{\partial^r G}{\partial X^i \partial Y^{r-i}}.$$

We will need this formula when  $r = e$  and  $r = e - 1$ .

The  $e$ -th transvectant  $T^{(e)} : V_d \times V_e \rightarrow V_{d-e}$  is especially called the *apolar covariant*. By (2.2),  $T^{(e)}(F, G)$  is calculated by applying the differential polynomial  $(d!)^{-1}(d-e)!G(-\partial_Y, \partial_X)$  to  $F(X, Y)$ . In particular, we have

$$T^{(e)}(X^i Y^{d-i}, X^{e-j} Y^j) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{e-j} \binom{d}{i}^{-1} \binom{d-e}{i-j} X^{i-j} Y^{(d-e)-(i-j)}, & j \leq i, e-j \leq d-i, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For the  $(e-1)$ -th transvectant  $T^{(e-1)} : V_d \times V_e \rightarrow V_{d-e+2}$ , we have

$$T^{(e-1)}(\cdot, X^{e-j} Y^j) = (-1)^{e-j} \frac{1}{e} \frac{(d-e+1)!}{d!} \{jY \partial_X^{j-1} \partial_Y^{e-j} - (e-j)X \partial_X^j \partial_Y^{e-j-1}\},$$

where  $\partial_X^{-1} = \partial_Y^{-1} = 0$  by convention. Therefore

$$T^{(e-1)}(X^i Y^{d-i}, X^{e-j} Y^j) = \begin{cases} AX^{i-j+1} Y^{(d-i)-(e-j)+1}, & j \leq i+1, e-j \leq d-i+1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$A = (-1)^{e-j} \binom{d}{i}^{-1} \binom{d-e+2}{i-j+1} \frac{j(d+2) - (i+1)e}{e(d-e+2)}.$$

We stress in particular that

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $0 \leq j \leq i+1$  and  $0 \leq e-j \leq d-i+1$ . The bilinear map*

$$T^{(e-1)} : \mathbb{C}X^i Y^{d-i} \times \mathbb{C}X^{e-j} Y^j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}X^{i-j+1} Y^{(d-e+2)-(i-j+1)}$$

*is non-degenerate if and only if  $j(d+2) \neq (i+1)e$ . This is always the case when  $d+2$  is coprime to  $e$ .*

Now we consider  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ -representations. The space  $V_{a,b} = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}(a, b))$  is the tensor representation  $V_a \boxtimes V_b$ . Substituting (2.1) into

$$V_{a,b} \otimes V_{a',b'} = (V_a \otimes V_{a'}) \boxtimes (V_b \otimes V_{b'}),$$

we obtain the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition for  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ ,

$$V_{a,b} \otimes V_{a',b'} = \bigoplus_{r,s} V_{a+a'-2r, b+b'-2s},$$

where  $0 \leq r \leq \min\{a, a'\}$  and  $0 \leq s \leq \min\{b, b'\}$ . To each irreducible summand  $V_{a+a'-2r, b+b'-2s}$  is associated the  $(r, s)$ -th *bi-transvectant*

$$T^{(r,s)} : V_{a,b} \times V_{a',b'} \rightarrow V_{a+a'-2r, b+b'-2s}.$$

This  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ -bilinear mapping is calculated from the transvectants by

$$T^{(r,s)}(F \boxtimes G, F' \boxtimes G') = T^{(r)}(F, F') \boxtimes T^{(s)}(G, G'),$$

where  $F \in V_a, G \in V_b, F' \in V_{a'},$  and  $G' \in V_{b'}$ .

**2.2. The method of double bundle.** In §4, we will use the method of double bundle ([2]) and its generalization ([11]). We here give some account in the present situation. The strategy is to find a certain bi-transvectant which introduces on the target  $\mathbb{P}V_{a,b}$  a fibration structure over a Grassmannian, and then reduce the rationality of  $\mathbb{P}V_{a,b}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  to a stable rationality of the quotient of the latter.

Suppose we have a bi-transvectant

$$T = T^{(r,s)} : V_{a,b} \times V_{a',b'} \rightarrow V_{a'',b''}$$

such that  $c := \dim V_{a',b'} - \dim V_{a'',b''}$  is positive and that  $\dim V_{a,b} > c \cdot \dim V_{a'',b''}$ . This bilinear map induces an  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ -linear embedding

$$V_{a,b} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(V_{a',b'}, V_{a'',b''}).$$

The space  $\mathrm{Hom}(V_{a',b'}, V_{a'',b''})$  is birationally fibered over  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$ , by sending a surjective linear map to its kernel. We can thus consider an  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ -equivariant rational map

$$\varphi : V_{a,b} \dashrightarrow G(c, V_{a',b'}), \quad v \mapsto \mathrm{Ker}(T(v, \cdot)).$$

We assume (hope) that

(♣)  $\varphi$  is defined on a non-empty locus, and is dominant.

This means that the position of  $V_{a,b}$  inside  $\mathrm{Hom}(V_{a',b'}, V_{a'',b''})$  is "non-degenerate" with regards to the fibration over  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$ . The inequality  $\dim V_{a,b} > c \cdot \dim V_{a'',b''}$  above is the dimension condition necessary for the dominance of  $\varphi$  to be possible. If (♣) holds, then  $V_{a,b}$  becomes birational to the unique component  $\mathcal{E}$  of the incidence

$$\mathcal{X} = \{(v, P) \in V_{a,b} \times G(c, V_{a',b'}), T(v, P) \equiv 0\}$$

that dominates  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$ . Indeed, the first projection  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow V_{a,b}$  is isomorphic over the domain  $U$  of regularity of  $\varphi$ , and then the dominance of  $\varphi$  implies that  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  is contained in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is (generically) a sub vector bundle of  $V_{a,b} \times G(c, V_{a',b'})$  preserved under the  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ -action, it is an  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ -linearized vector bundle over  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$ . We shall then try to apply the following no-name lemma (cf. [5]).

**Lemma 2.2** (no-name lemma). *Let  $G$  be an algebraic group and  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$  a  $G$ -linearized vector bundle of rank  $N + 1$ . Suppose that  $G$  acts on  $X$  almost freely. Then*

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/G \sim \mathbb{P}^N \times (X/G).$$

In the present situation, however,  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  never acts on  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$  almost freely because of the presence of  $(\pm 1, \mp 1) \in \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ . So we should take  $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ , whose action on  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$  is now almost free in most cases, but then the  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ -linearization on  $\mathcal{E}$  may not descends to that of  $G$ . To deal with

this problem, we want to tensor  $\mathcal{E}$  with an  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ -linearized line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  that kills the action of  $(\pm 1, \mp 1)$  on  $\mathcal{E}$ . If this was successful, we would have

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/G = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{L})/G \sim \mathbb{P}^N \times (G(c, V_{a',b'})/G)$$

where  $N = \dim \mathbb{P}V_{a,b} - \dim G(c, V_{a',b'})$ . Thus the rationality of  $\mathbb{P}V_{a,b}/G$  could be reduced to a stable rationality of  $G(c, V_{a',b'})/G$ , which is much easier to prove: we prepare results of this sort in the next §3.

In practice, we will check the non-degeneracy requirement ( $\clubsuit$ ) as follows.

**Lemma 2.3** (cf. [2]). *The condition ( $\clubsuit$ ) is satisfied if and only if there exist vectors  $v \in V_{a,b}$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_c \in V_{a',b'}$  such that*

- (i)  $w_1, \dots, w_c$  are linearly independent,
- (ii)  $T(v, w_i) = 0$  for every  $w_i$ ,
- (iii) the map  $T(v, \cdot) : V_{a',b'} \rightarrow V_{a'',b''}$  is surjective, and
- (iv) the map  $(T(\cdot, w_1), \dots, T(\cdot, w_c)) : V_{a,b} \rightarrow V_{a'',b''}^{\oplus c}$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $P \in G(c, V_{a',b'})$  be the span of  $w_1, \dots, w_c$ . The conditions (ii) and (iii) mean that  $v$  is contained in the domain  $U$  of regularity of  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(v) = P$ , whence  $U \neq \emptyset$ . Then (iv) implies that the fiber of the morphism  $\varphi : U \rightarrow G(c, V_{a',b'})$  over  $P$  has the expected dimension  $\dim V_{a,b} - \dim G(c, V_{a',b'})$ . Hence  $\varphi(U)$  has dimension  $\geq \dim G(c, V_{a',b'})$ , and so  $\varphi$  is dominant.  $\square$

### 3. SOME STABLE RATIONALITY

A variety  $X$  is said to be *stably rational of level  $N$*  if  $X \times \mathbb{P}^N$  is rational. In this section we prepare stable rationality results for some quotients of Grassmannians, to which the proof of Theorem 1.1 will be finally reduced. We set  $\overline{G} = \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 / (-1, -1)$ . When  $a, b \geq 0$  are odd, the element  $(-1, -1)$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  acts on  $V_{a,b}$  trivially so that  $\overline{G}$  acts on  $V_{a,b}$ . This linear  $\overline{G}$ -action is almost free if  $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}V_{a,b}$  almost freely, that is, general bidegree  $(a, b)$  curves on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  have no non-trivial stabilizer.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The group  $\overline{G}$  acts on  $V_{1,1}^{\oplus 3}$  almost freely with the quotient  $V_{1,1}^{\oplus 3}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  rational.*

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from the almost freeness of the  $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ -action on  $(\mathbb{P}V_{1,1})^3$ . For the second assertion, we first note that

$$V_{1,1}^{\oplus 3}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \sim (V_{1,1}^{\oplus 3}/\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2) \times \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

The group  $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$  acts on  $V_{1,1}$  almost transitively with the stabilizer of a general point isomorphic to  $\mathrm{GL}_2$  (identify  $V_{1,1}$  with  $\mathrm{Hom}(V_1, V_1)$ ). Hence, applying the slice method (cf. [5]) to the first projection  $V_{1,1}^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow V_{1,1}$ , we obtain

$$V_{1,1}^{\oplus 3}/\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2 \sim V_{1,1}^{\oplus 2}/\mathrm{GL}_2,$$

where  $\mathrm{GL}_2$  acts on  $V_{1,1}^{\oplus 2}$  linearly in the right hand side. Then the quotient  $V_{1,1}^{\oplus 2}/\mathrm{GL}_2$  is rational by the result of Katsylo [7].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $n > 0$  be an odd number. Then  $\mathbb{P}V_{1,n}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  and  $\mathbb{P}V_{3,n}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  are stably rational of level 13.*

*Proof.* We treat the case of  $V_{1,n}$ . For dimensional reason we may assume  $n > 3$ . Then the group  $\overline{G}$  acts on  $V_{1,n}$  almost freely. Hence we may apply the no-name lemma to both projections  $V_{1,1}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{1,n} \rightarrow V_{1,n}$  and  $V_{1,1}^{\oplus 3} \oplus V_{1,n} \rightarrow V_{1,1}^{\oplus 3}$  to see that

$$(3.1) \quad (V_{1,n}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2) \times \mathbb{C}^{12} \sim (V_{1,1}^{\oplus 3}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2) \times \mathbb{C}^{2n+2}.$$

By Lemma 3.1,  $V_{1,n}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  is stably rational of level 12. Since  $V_{1,n}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  is birational to  $\mathbb{C}^\times \times (\mathbb{P}V_{1,n}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2)$ , our assertion is proved. The case of  $V_{3,n}$  is similar: just replace  $V_{1,n}$  by  $V_{3,n}$  in this argument, now with  $n > 1$ . The only change is that the factor  $\mathbb{C}^{2n+2}$  in (3.1) is replaced by  $\mathbb{C}^{4n+4}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *When  $n > 1$  is odd,  $G(3, V_{3,n})/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  is stably rational of level 2.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow G(3, V_{3,n})$  be the universal sub vector bundle of rank 3, on which  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  acts equivariantly. The elements  $(\pm 1, \mp 1) \in \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  act on  $\mathcal{F}$  by multiplication by  $-1$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  has odd rank, they act on the line bundle  $\det \mathcal{F}$  also by  $-1$ . Hence the bundle  $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} \otimes \det \mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ -linearized. Note that  $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}$  is canonically identified with  $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}'$ . Since  $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$  acts on  $G(3, V_{3,n})$  almost freely, we can apply the no-name lemma to  $\mathcal{F}'$  to see that

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \sim \mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}'/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \sim \mathbb{P}^2 \times (G(3, V_{3,n})/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2).$$

Thus it suffices to show that  $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  is rational.

Regarding  $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}$  as an incidence in  $G(3, V_{3,n}) \times \mathbb{P}V_{3,n}$ , we have second projection  $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_{3,n}$ . Its fiber over  $\mathbb{C}l \in \mathbb{P}V_{3,n}$  is the sub Grassmannian in  $G(3, V_{3,n})$  of 3-planes containing  $\mathbb{C}l$ , and hence identified with  $G(2, V_{3,n}/\mathbb{C}l)$ . Therefore, if  $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_{3,n}$  is the universal quotient bundle of rank  $\dim V_{3,n} - 1$ , then  $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}$  is identified with the relative Grassmannian  $G(2, \mathcal{G})$ . The elements  $(\pm 1, \mp 1) \in \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  act on  $\mathcal{G}$  by multiplication by  $-1$ , and also on  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_{3,n}}(1)$  by  $-1$ . Thus the bundle  $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}V_{3,n}}(1)$  is  $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ -linearized, and  $G(2, \mathcal{G})$  is canonically isomorphic to  $G(2, \mathcal{G}')$ . Since  $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}V_{3,n}$  almost freely, we can use the no-name lemma to trivialize the  $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ -bundle  $\mathcal{G}'$  locally in the Zariski topology. Hence we have

$$G(2, \mathcal{G}')/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \sim G(2, \mathbb{C}^{4n+3}) \times (\mathbb{P}V_{3,n}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2).$$

Since  $\dim G(2, \mathbb{C}^{4n+3}) > 13$  for  $n > 1$ , our assertion follows from Corollary 3.2.  $\square$

We also treat  $G(3, V_{3,1})$  which is excluded above.

**Proposition 3.4.** *The quotient  $G(3, V_{3,1})/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  is stably rational of level 5.*

*Proof.* In this case the  $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ -action on  $\mathbb{P}V_{3,1}$  is not almost free, having the Klein 4-group as a general stabilizer, so that we cannot apply the above proof. But the following modification will work: replace  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\mathcal{F}^{\oplus 2}$ , and the projection  $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}V_{3,1}$  with

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^{\oplus 2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_{3,1}^{\oplus 2}), \quad (P, \mathbb{C}(v_1, v_2)) \mapsto \mathbb{C}(v_1, v_2),$$

where  $v_1, v_2 \in V_{3,1}$  are vectors contained in the 3-plane  $P$ . Then we can imitate the above argument to deduce that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^{\oplus 2})/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \sim \mathbb{P}^5 \times (G(3, V_{3,1})/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2),$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F}^{\oplus 2})/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2 \sim \mathbb{P}^5 \times (\mathbb{P}(V_{3,1}^{\oplus 2})/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2).$$

Thus it suffices to prove that  $\mathbb{P}(V_{3,1}^{\oplus 2})/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  is stably rational of level 5.

Consider the representation  $W = V_{1,1} \oplus V_{3,1}^{\oplus 2}$ . We apply the no-name lemma to both projections  $\mathbb{P}W \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_{3,1}^{\oplus 2})$  and  $\mathbb{P}W \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_{1,1} \oplus V_{3,1})$  to see that

$$\mathbb{C}^4 \times (\mathbb{P}(V_{3,1}^{\oplus 2})/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2) \sim \mathbb{C}^8 \times (\mathbb{P}(V_{1,1} \oplus V_{3,1})/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2).$$

Using the slice method for the projection  $V_{1,1} \oplus V_{3,1} \rightarrow V_{1,1}$ , we then have

$$(V_{1,1} \oplus V_{3,1})/\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2 \sim V_{3,1}/\mathrm{GL}_2.$$

Finally,  $V_{3,1}/\mathrm{GL}_2$  is rational by Katsylo [7].  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let  $b \geq 5$  be an odd number. In this section we prove that  $\mathbb{P}V_{3,b}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  is rational (Theorem 1.1) by executing the method of double bundle explained in §2.2. In logical order, the proof proceeds in the following line.

- (1) We choose a bi-transvectant

$$T = T^{(r,s)} : V_{3,b} \times V_{a',b'} \rightarrow V_{a'',b''}$$

according to Table 1 below. This satisfies that  $c := \dim V_{a',b'} - \dim V_{a'',b''}$  is either 1 or 3,  $\dim V_{3,b} > c \cdot \dim V_{a'',b''}$ , and that both  $a'$  and  $b'$  are odd.

- (2) We check that  $T$  satisfies the non-degeneracy condition ( $\clubsuit$ ) by finding vectors  $v \in V_{3,b}$ ,  $w_1, \dots, w_c \in V_{a',b'}$  as in Lemma 2.3.
- (3) Then, as shown in §2.2,  $V_{3,b}$  gets birationally realized as an  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$ -linearized vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$  over  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$  which is a sub bundle of  $V_{3,b} \times G(c, V_{a',b'})$ . (In case  $c = 1$ ,  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$  is just  $\mathbb{P}V_{a',b'}$ .)
- (4) Since 3 and  $b$  are odd, the elements  $(\pm 1, \mp 1) \in \mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  act on  $\mathcal{E}$  by multiplication by  $-1$ .
- (5) Since  $a'$  and  $b'$  are odd,  $(\pm 1, \mp 1)$  act on the universal sub bundle  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$  also by  $-1$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  has odd rank ( $= c$ ),  $(\pm 1, \mp 1)$  act on  $\det \mathcal{F}$  by  $-1$ . Hence  $\mathcal{E} \otimes \det \mathcal{F}$  is  $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ -linearized.
- (6) It is not difficult to see that  $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$  acts on  $G(c, V_{a',b'})$  almost freely. Then by the no-name lemma we have

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}/\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2 \sim \mathbb{P}^N \times (G(c, V_{a',b'})/\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2)$$

as explained in (2.3), where  $N = \dim \mathbb{P}V_{3,b} - \dim G(c, V_{a',b'})$ .

- (7) The quotient  $G(c, V_{a',b'})/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  is stably rational of level  $\leq N$  by Corollary 3.2 and Propositions 3.3 and 3.4. (see the values of  $c, (a', b')$ ,  $N$  below.) This concludes that  $\mathbb{P}V_{3,b}/\mathrm{SL}_2 \times \mathrm{SL}_2$  is rational.

The bi-transvectant  $T^{(r,s)}$  is provided systematically according to the remainder  $[b] \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ , except the case  $b = 7$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *For odd  $b \geq 5$  we set the values of  $(r, s)$  and  $(a', b')$  (and hence  $(a'', b'')$ ,  $c$  and  $N$ ) by the following Table 1. Here  $n$  is even when  $b \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{5}$ , odd*

TABLE 1. Input of bi-transvectant

$b$	$(r, s)$	$(a', b')$	$(a'', b'')$	$c$	$N$
$5n$	$(3, n)$	$(3, n)$	$(0, 4n)$	3	$8n$
$5n + 1$	$(1, 3n + 1)$	$(1, 3n + 1)$	$(2, 2n)$	1	$14n + 4$
$5n + 2$	$(3, n)$	$(3, n)$	$(0, 4n + 2)$	1	$16n + 8$
$5n + 3$	$(3, n)$	$(3, n + 1)$	$(0, 4n + 4)$	3	$8n$
$5n + 4$	$(1, 3n + 3)$	$(1, 3n + 4)$	$(2, 2n + 2)$	1	$14n + 10$
7	$(2, 3)$	$(3, 3)$	$(2, 4)$	1	16

when  $b \equiv 0, 2, 4 \pmod{5}$ , and  $n > 1$  when  $b \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ . Then the above argument (1), ..., (7) works.

Notice that we have to separate the case  $b = 7$  because the  $\mathrm{PGL}_2 \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ -action on  $G(c, V_{a', b'}) = \mathbb{P}V_{3,1}$  is not almost free, so that the step (6) would not work with  $(r, s) = (a', b') = (3, 1)$ .

For the proof of Proposition 4.1, we are now only left with the step (2) to fill out. In the remainder of the article we choose vectors  $v \in V_{3,b}$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_c \in V_{a', b'}$  that should satisfy the conditions (i), ..., (iv) of Lemma 2.3. In any case the equality  $T(v, w_i) = 0$  (the condition (ii)) can be checked with a direct calculation using the formula of  $T = T^{(r,s)}$  given in §2.1. We leave this to the reader. The linear independence of  $w_1, \dots, w_c$  (the condition (i)) can be seen at a glance, and we also omit it. Note that this is even trivial when  $c = 1$ . Thus what we are going to verify below is the surjectivity conditions (iii) and (iv).

We shall use the notation  $([x, y], [X, Y])$  for the bi-homogeneous coordinate of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Thus elements of  $V_{a,b}$  will be expressed as

$$\sum_i F_i(x, y)G_i(X, Y),$$

where  $F_i, G_i$  are binary forms of degree  $a, b$  respectively.

4.1. **The case  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ .** We take vectors  $v \in V_{3,5n}$ ,  $\vec{w} = (w_1, w_2, w_3) \in (V_{3,n})^3$  by

$$v = \binom{5n}{n} X^n Y^{4n} x^3 + 3 \binom{5n}{2n} X^{2n} Y^{3n} x^2 y + 3 \binom{5n}{2n} X^{3n} Y^{2n} x y^2 + \binom{5n}{n} X^{4n} Y^n y^3,$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= Y^n x^3 - X^n x^2 y, \\ w_2 &= Y^n x^2 y - X^n x y^2, \\ w_3 &= Y^n x y^2 - X^n y^3. \end{aligned}$$

The map  $T(v, \cdot): V_{3,n} \rightarrow V_{0,4n}$  is surjective because

$$\begin{aligned} T(v, V_n x^3) &= \mathbb{C}\langle X^{4n}, \dots, X^{3n} Y^n \rangle, & T(v, V_n x^2 y) &= \mathbb{C}\langle X^{3n} Y^n, \dots, X^{2n} Y^{2n} \rangle, \\ T(v, V_n x y^2) &= \mathbb{C}\langle X^{2n} Y^{2n}, \dots, X^n Y^{3n} \rangle, & T(v, V_n y^3) &= \mathbb{C}\langle X^n Y^{3n}, \dots, Y^{4n} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$



To see the surjectivity of  $T(\cdot, \vec{w}): V_{3,5n} \rightarrow V_{0,4n}^{\oplus 3}$ , we note that

$$T(V_{5n}x^3 \oplus V_{5n}y^3, \vec{w}) = (V_{0,4n}, 0, V_{0,4n}) \subset V_{0,4n}^{\oplus 3}.$$

Since  $T(V_{5n}x^2y, w_2) = V_{0,4n}$ , then  $(0, V_{0,4n}, 0) \subset V_{0,4n}^{\oplus 3}$  is also contained in the image of  $T(\cdot, \vec{w})$ .

**4.2. The case  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ .** We take the following vectors of  $V_{3,5n+1}$  and  $V_{1,3n+1}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \binom{5n+1}{2n} X^{3n+1} Y^{2n} x^3 + 3 \binom{5n+1}{n} X^{4n+1} Y^n x^2 y \\ &\quad + 3 \binom{5n+1}{2n} X^{2n} Y^{3n+1} xy^2 + \binom{5n+1}{n} X^n Y^{4n+1} y^3, \\ w &= (X^{3n+1} - Y^{3n+1})x - (X^n Y^{2n+1} - X^{2n+1} Y^n)y. \end{aligned}$$

We shall prove the surjectivity of  $T(v, \cdot): V_{1,3n+1} \rightarrow V_{2,2n}$  by showing that its kernel is 1-dimensional. Suppose we have a vector  $w' = G_+(X, Y)x + G_-(X, Y)y$  in  $V_{1,3n+1}$  with  $T(v, w') = 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(3n+1)}(X^n Y^{4n+1}, G_+) &= b_0 T^{(3n+1)}(X^{2n} Y^{3n+1}, G_-), \\ T^{(3n+1)}(X^{2n} Y^{3n+1}, G_+) &= b_1 T^{(3n+1)}(X^{4n+1} Y^n, G_-), \\ T^{(3n+1)}(X^{4n+1} Y^n, G_+) &= b_2 T^{(3n+1)}(X^{3n+1} Y^{2n}, G_-). \end{aligned}$$

for suitable constants  $b_j$ . Expanding  $G_{\pm} = \sum_i \alpha_i^{\pm} X^{3n+1-i} Y^i$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i^+ &= c_{1i} \alpha_{i+n}^- \quad (0 \leq i \leq n), & \alpha_i^- &= 0 \quad (0 \leq i \leq n-1), \\ \alpha_i^+ &= c_{2i} \alpha_{i+2n+1}^- \quad (0 \leq i \leq n), & \alpha_i^+ &= 0 \quad (n+1 \leq i \leq 2n), \\ \alpha_{i+n}^+ &= c_{3i} \alpha_i^- \quad (n+1 \leq i \leq 2n+1), & \alpha_i^- &= 0 \quad (2n+2 \leq i \leq 3n+1), \end{aligned}$$

for some fixed constants  $c_*$ . This reduces to the relations

$$\alpha_0^+ = d_1 \alpha_{3n+1}^+ = d_2 \alpha_n^- = d_3 \alpha_{2n+1}^-$$

where  $d_j$  are appropriate constants, and  $\alpha_i^{\pm} = 0$  for other  $i$ . This implies our assertion.

The surjectivity of  $T(\cdot, w): V_{3,5n+1} \rightarrow V_{2,2n}$  can be seen by noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} T(V_{5n+1}y^3, w) &= V_{2n}y^2, & T(V_{5n+1}x^3, w) &= V_{2n}x^2, \\ T(V_{5n+1}xy^2, (X^{3n+1} - Y^{3n+1})x) &= V_{2n}xy. \end{aligned}$$

**4.3. The case  $b \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ .** We take vectors in  $V_{3,5n+2}$  and  $V_{3,n}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} v &= X^n Y^{4n+2} x^3 + X^{2n+1} Y^{3n+1} x^2 y + X^{3n+1} Y^{2n+1} xy^2 + X^{4n+2} Y^n y^3, \\ w &= Y^n x^2 y - X^n xy^2. \end{aligned}$$

The map  $T(v, \cdot): V_{3,n} \rightarrow V_{0,4n+2}$  is surjective because

$$\begin{aligned} T(v, V_n x^3) &= \mathbb{C}\langle X^{4n+2}, \dots, X^{3n+2} Y^n \rangle, \\ T(v, V_n x^2 y) &= \mathbb{C}\langle X^{3n+1} Y^{n+1}, \dots, X^{2n+1} Y^{2n+1} \rangle, \\ T(v, V_n xy^2) &= \mathbb{C}\langle X^{2n+1} Y^{2n+1}, \dots, X^{n+1} Y^{3n+1} \rangle, \\ T(v, V_n y^3) &= \mathbb{C}\langle X^n Y^{3n+2}, \dots, Y^{4n+2} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have  $T(V_{5n+2}xy^2, w) = V_{0,4n+2}$  so that the map  $T(\cdot, w) : V_{3,5n+2} \rightarrow V_{0,4n+2}$  is also surjective.

4.4. **The case  $b \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$ .** We take the following vectors of  $V_{3,5n+3}$  and  $V_{3,n+1}$  according to the remainder of  $n$  modulo 5:

(1) When  $n \not\equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \binom{5n+3}{n} X^n Y^{4n+3} x^3 + \binom{5n+3}{2n+1} X^{2n+1} Y^{3n+2} x^2 y \\ &\quad + \binom{5n+3}{2n+1} X^{3n+2} Y^{2n+1} xy^2 + \binom{5n+3}{n} X^{4n+3} Y^n y^3, \\ w_1 &= X^{n+1} y^3 + Y^{n+1} xy^2, \\ w_2 &= X^{n+1} xy^2 + Y^{n+1} x^2 y, \\ w_3 &= X^{n+1} x^2 y + Y^{n+1} x^3. \end{aligned}$$

(2) When  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ , we denote  $n = 2m$  (remember  $n$  is even) and set

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \left\{ \frac{7m+3}{m+1} \frac{5m+2}{3m+2} \binom{5n+3}{m} X^m Y^{9m+3} + X^{9m+5} Y^{m-2} \right\} x^3 \\ &\quad + 3 \frac{5m+2}{3m+2} \binom{5n+3}{3m+1} X^{3m+1} Y^{7m+2} x^2 y + 3 \binom{5n+3}{5m+2} X^{5m+2} Y^{5m+1} xy^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{5m+3}{3m+1} \binom{5n+3}{7m+3} X^{7m+3} Y^{3m} y^3, \end{aligned}$$

and use the same  $w_i$  as above.

When  $n \not\equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ , we have no  $0 \leq j \leq n+1$  with  $j(5n+5) = (i+1)(n+1)$  for  $i = n, 2n+1, 3n+2, 4n+3$ . Hence by Lemma 2.1, for those  $i$  the bilinear map

$$(4.1) \quad T^{(n)} : \mathbb{C} X^i Y^{5n+3-i} \times \mathbb{C} X^{n+1-j} Y^j \rightarrow \mathbb{C} X^{i-j+1} Y^{4n+3-i+j}$$

is non-degenerate for any  $j$ , as far as the indices are non-negative. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T(v, V_{n+1} x^3) &= \mathbb{C} \langle X^{4n+4}, \dots, X^{3n+3} Y^{n+1} \rangle, \\ T(v, V_{n+1} x^2 y) &= \mathbb{C} \langle X^{3n+3} Y^{n+1}, \dots, X^{2n+2} Y^{2n+2} \rangle, \\ T(v, V_{n+1} xy^2) &= \mathbb{C} \langle X^{2n+2} Y^{2n+2}, \dots, X^{n+1} Y^{3n+3} \rangle, \\ T(v, V_{n+1} y^3) &= \mathbb{C} \langle X^{n+1} Y^{3n+3}, \dots, Y^{4n+4} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

whence the map  $T(v, \cdot) : V_{3,n+1} \rightarrow V_{0,4n+4}$  is surjective. We leave it to the reader to check similar surjectivity when  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ . In that case, since  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$ , we have no  $j$  with  $j(5n+5) = (i+1)(n+1)$  for  $i = m+k(n+1)$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq 3$ , and  $i = 9m+5$ . Hence for those  $i$  the map (4.1) is non-degenerate for any relevant  $j$ , again by Lemma 2.1.

To see that

$$T(\cdot, \vec{w}) = (T(\cdot, w_1), T(\cdot, w_2), T(\cdot, w_3)) : V_{3,5n+3} \rightarrow V_{0,4n+4}^{\oplus 3}$$

is surjective (regardless of  $[n] \in \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ ), we note that the bilinear maps

$$T^{(n)}(\cdot, X^{n+1}) : \mathbb{C} X^i Y^{5n+3-i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} X^{i+1} Y^{4n+3-i}$$

$$T^{(n)}(\cdot, Y^{n+1}) : \mathbb{C}X^i Y^{5n+3-i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}X^{i-n} Y^{5n+4-i}$$

are non-degenerate whenever the indices are non-negative. It follows that

$$T(V_{5n+3}x^3, \vec{w}) = (\mathbb{C}\langle X^{4n+4}, \dots, XY^{4n+3} \rangle, 0, 0),$$

$$T(\mathbb{C}\langle X^n Y^{4n+3} x^2 y, X^{2n+1} Y^{3n+2} xy^2, X^{3n+2} Y^{2n+1} y^3 \rangle, \vec{w}) \supset (\mathbb{C}Y^{4n+4}, 0, 0),$$

so that  $(V_{0,4n+4}, 0, 0) \subset V_{0,4n+4}^{\oplus 3}$  is contained in the image of  $T(\cdot, \vec{w})$ . Similarly, we see that  $(0, 0, V_{0,4n+4}) \subset V_{0,4n+4}^{\oplus 3}$  is contained in the image too. Finally, since  $T(\cdot, w_2)$  maps the space  $V_{5n+3}x^2y \oplus V_{5n+3}xy^2$  onto  $V_{0,4n+4}$ , we find using the above results that  $(0, V_{0,4n+4}, 0)$  is also contained in the image.

**4.5. The case  $b \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ .** We take the following vectors of  $V_{3,5n+4}$  and  $V_{1,3n+4}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \frac{3n+4}{n+2} \frac{3n+4}{n+1} \binom{5n+4}{2n+1} X^{3n+3} Y^{2n+1} x^3 + 3 \frac{3n+4}{n+1} \binom{5n+4}{n} X^{4n+4} Y^n x^2 y \\ &\quad - 3 \binom{5n+4}{2n+1} X^{2n+1} Y^{3n+3} xy^2 - \frac{n+2}{3n+4} \binom{5n+4}{n} X^n Y^{4n+4} y^3, \\ w &= (X^{3n+4} + Y^{3n+4})x + (X^{2n+3} Y^{n+1} + X^{n+1} Y^{2n+3})y. \end{aligned}$$

We shall show that the kernel of  $T(v, \cdot) : V_{1,3n+4} \rightarrow V_{2,2n+2}$  is 1-dimensional, which then implies its surjectivity. We first note that  $5n+6$  and  $3n+4$  are coprime by the Euclidean algorithm. By Lemma 2.1, the bilinear map

$$T^{(3n+3)} : \mathbb{C}X^i Y^{5n+4-i} \times \mathbb{C}X^{3n+4-j} Y^j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}X^{i-j+1} Y^{2n+1-i+j}$$

is non-degenerate whenever the indices are non-negative. Now suppose a vector  $w' = G_+(X, Y)x + G_-(X, Y)y$  in  $V_{1,3n+4}$  satisfies  $T(v, w') = 0$ . This is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} T^{(3n+3)}(X^{3n+3} Y^{2n+1}, G_-) &= b_0 T^{(3n+3)}(X^{4n+4} Y^n, G_+), \\ T^{(3n+3)}(X^{4n+4} Y^n, G_-) &= b_1 T^{(3n+3)}(X^{2n+1} Y^{3n+3}, G_+), \\ T^{(3n+3)}(X^{2n+1} Y^{3n+3}, G_-) &= b_2 T^{(3n+3)}(X^n Y^{4n+4}, G_+), \end{aligned}$$

for some constants  $b_j$ . Expanding  $G_{\pm}(X, Y) = \sum_{j=0}^{3n+4} \alpha_j^{\pm} X^{3n+4-j} Y^j$ , we obtain the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{j+n+1}^+ &= c_{1j} \alpha_j^- \quad (n+2 \leq j \leq 2n+3), & \alpha_j^- &= 0 \quad (2n+4 \leq j \leq 3n+4), \\ \alpha_j^+ &= c_{2j} \alpha_{j+2n+3}^- \quad (0 \leq j \leq n+1), & \alpha_j^+ &= 0 \quad (n+2 \leq j \leq 2n+2), \\ \alpha_j^+ &= c_{3j} \alpha_{j+n+1}^- \quad (0 \leq j \leq n+1), & \alpha_j^- &= 0 \quad (0 \leq j \leq n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_*$  are suitable non-zero constants. This is reduced to the relations

$$\alpha_0^+ = d_1 \alpha_{n+1}^- = d_2 \alpha_{2n+3}^- = d_3 \alpha_{3n+4}^+$$

for some constants  $d_j$ , and  $\alpha_i^{\pm} = 0$  for other  $i$ . This proves our claim.

On the other hand, the surjectivity of  $T(\cdot, w) : V_{3,5n+4} \rightarrow V_{2,2n+2}$  follows by noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} T(V_{5n+4}x^3, w) &= V_{2n+2}x^2, & T(V_{5n+4}y^3, w) &= V_{2n+2}y^2, \\ T(V_{5n+4}xy^2, (X^{3n+4} + Y^{3n+4})x) &= V_{2n+2}xy. \end{aligned}$$

4.6. **The case  $b = 7$ .** We choose the following vectors of  $V_{3,7}$  and  $V_{3,3}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \binom{7}{3} X^3 Y^4 x^3 - 9Y^7 x^2 y + \binom{7}{1} X^6 Y x y^2 + \binom{7}{3} X^4 Y^3 y^3, \\ w &= Y^3 x^3 + X^3 x y^2 + (XY^2 + Y^3) y^3. \end{aligned}$$

We leave it to the reader to check that  $w$  spans the kernel of  $T(v, \cdot) : V_{3,3} \rightarrow V_{2,4}$  (cf. §4.2 and §4.5). We shall show that  $T(\cdot, w) : V_{3,7} \rightarrow V_{2,4}$  is surjective too. First note that the bilinear map

$$T^{(2)} : \mathbb{C}x^i y^{3-i} \times \mathbb{C}x^{3-j} y^j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}x^{i-j+1} y^{j-i+1}$$

is non-degenerate whenever the indices are non-negative, for 3 and 5 are coprime (Lemma 2.1). Then we have

$$T(V_7 y^3, w) = T(V_7 y^3, Y^3 x^3) = V_4 x y.$$

Since  $T^{(3)}(V_7, X^3) = V_4$ , we have  $T(V_7 x^3, w) \subset V_4 x^2 \oplus V_4 x y$  with surjective projection  $T(V_7 x^3, w) \rightarrow V_4 x^2$ . Therefore  $V_4 x^2$  is also contained in the image of  $T(\cdot, w)$ . Finally, since  $T(V_7 x y^2, X^3 x y^2) = V_4 y^2$ , the space  $V_4 y^2$  is contained in the image too.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Arbarello, E.; Cornalba, M. *Footnotes to a paper of Beniamino Segre*. Math. Ann. **256** (1981), no. 3, 341–362.
- [2] Bogomolov, F. A.; Katsylo, P. I. *Rationality of some quotient varieties*. Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **126(168)** (1985), 584–589.
- [3] Böhning, C.; Graf von Bothmer, H.-C.; Casnati, G. *Birational properties of some moduli spaces related to tetragonal curves of genus 7*. Int. Math. Res. Notices 2012, no. 22, 5219–5245.
- [4] Castorena, A.; Ciliberto, C. *On a theorem of Castelnuovo and applications to moduli*. Kyoto J. Math. **51** (2011), no. 3, 633–645.
- [5] Dolgachev, I. V. *Rationality of fields of invariants*. Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985, 3–16, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **46**, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1987.
- [6] Katsylo, P. I. *Rationality of the moduli spaces of hyperelliptic curves*. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. **48** (1984), 705–710.
- [7] Katsylo, P. I. *Rationality of fields of invariants of reducible representations of  $SL_2$* . Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull. **39** (1984), 80–83.
- [8] Ma, S. *The rationality of the moduli spaces of trigonal curves of odd genus*. J. Reine. Angew. Math. **683** (2013), 181–187.
- [9] Popov, V.L.; Vinberg, E.B. *Invariant theory*. in: Algebraic Geometry, IV, in: Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. **55**, Springer, 1994, 123–284.
- [10] Shepherd-Barron, N. I. *The rationality of certain spaces associated to trigonal curves*. Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985, 165–171, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., **46**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1987.
- [11] Shepherd-Barron, N. I. *Rationality of moduli spaces via invariant theory*. Topological methods in algebraic transformation groups (New Brunswick, 1988), 153–164, Progr. Math., **80**, Birkhäuser, 1989.
- [12] Schreyer, F.-O. *Syzygies of canonical curves and special linear series*. Math. Ann. **275** (1986), 105–137.