

CONSTRUCTING ULTRAPOWERS FROM ELEMENTARY EXTENSIONS OF FULL CLONES

JOSEPH VAN NAME

ABSTRACT. Let A be an infinite set. Let $\Omega(A)$ be the algebra over A where every constant is a fundamental constant and every finitary function is a fundamental operation. We shall give a method of representing any algebra \mathcal{L} in the variety generated by $\Omega(A)$ as limit reduced powers and even direct limits of limit reduced powers of \mathcal{L} . If the algebra \mathcal{L} is elementarily equivalent to $\Omega(A)$, then this construction represents $\Omega(A)$ as a limit ultrapower and also as direct limits of limit ultrapowers of $\Omega(A)$. This method therefore gives a method of representing Boolean ultrapowers and other generalizations of the ultrapower construction as limit ultrapowers and direct limits of limit ultrapowers.

1. MOTIVATION

For this paper, let A be a fixed infinite set. If $a \in A$, then let \hat{a} be a constant symbol, and if $f : A^n \rightarrow A$, then let \hat{f} be an n -ary function symbol. Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \{\hat{f}|f : A^n \rightarrow A \text{ for some } n \geq 1\} \cup \{\hat{a}|a \in A\}.$$

Let $\Omega(A)$ be the algebra of type \mathcal{F} with universe A and where $\hat{a}^{\Omega(A)} = a$ for all $a \in A$ and where $\hat{f}^{\Omega(A)} = f$ for each function f of finite arity. We shall now study the variety $V(\Omega(A))$ generated by $\Omega(A)$.

It is well known that $V(\Omega(A)) = HPS(\Omega(A)) = HSP(\Omega(A))$. Therefore every algebra in $V(\Omega(A))$ is isomorphic to a quotient of a subdirect power of $\Omega(A)$. We shall soon see that the quotients of the subdirect powers of $\Omega(A)$ are simply the limit reduced powers of $\Omega(A)$.

If I is a set, then we shall write $\Pi(I)$ for the lattice of partitions of I . If $f : I \rightarrow X$ is a function, then we shall write $\Pi(f)$ for the partition $\{f_{-1}(\{x\})|x \in X\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Let I be a set, and let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \Omega(A)^I$ be a subalgebra. Then there is a filter F on $\Pi(I)$ such that $f \in \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $\Pi(f) \in F$.*

Proof. Let $F = \{\Pi(f)|f \in \mathcal{B}\}$. We shall now show that F is a filter. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{B}$, and let $i : A^2 \rightarrow A$ be an injective function. Then $\hat{i}^{\mathcal{B}}(f, g) : I \rightarrow A$ is a function with $\hat{i}^{\mathcal{B}}(f, g) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\Pi(\hat{i}^{\mathcal{B}}(f, g)) = \Pi(f) \wedge \Pi(g)$. If $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\Pi(f) \preceq P$, then there is a function $L : A \rightarrow A$ such that $\Pi(\hat{L}^{\mathcal{B}}(f)) = \Pi(L \circ f) = P$. Therefore F is a filter.

We now claim that $\mathcal{B} = \{f \in \Omega(A)^I | \Pi(f) \in F\}$. If $\Pi(f) \in F$, then there is a function $g \in \mathcal{B}$ with $\Pi(f) = \Pi(g)$. Therefore there is a function $i : A \rightarrow A$ such that $f = i \circ g = \hat{i}^{\mathcal{B}}(g)$. Therefore $f \in \mathcal{B}$. \square

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 03C20, 03H99; Secondary: 08B20, 08B99, 54E15.

Key words and phrases. Ultrapower, Full Clone.

In other words, every subalgebra of $\Omega(A)^I$ is of the form $\{f \in \Omega(A)^I \mid \Pi(f) \in F\}$ for some filter $F \subseteq \Pi(I)$. We shall write $\Omega(A)^F$ for the algebra $\{f \in \Omega(A)^I \mid \Pi(f) \in F\}$. One can easily show that $\{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup F$ is a Boolean algebra. We shall now give a one-to-one correspondence between the filters on $\{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup F$ and the congruences on $\Omega(A)^F$.

If $Z \subseteq \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup F$ is a filter, then let $\theta \subseteq \Omega(A)^F \times \Omega(A)^F$ be the relation where we have $(f, g) \in \theta$ if and only if $\{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in Z$. One can easily show that θ is a congruence on $\Omega(A)^F$. We shall let $\Omega(A)^F/Z$ denote the quotient algebra $\Omega(A)^F/\theta$, and we shall call $\Omega(A)^F/Z$ a limit reduced power of $\Omega(A)$. If Z is an ultrafilter, then we shall call $\Omega(A)^F/Z$ a limit ultrapower of $\Omega(A)$. If Z is a filter on the set I , then we shall write $\Omega(A)^I/Z$ for $\Omega(A)^{\Pi(I)}/Z$, and we shall call $\Omega(A)^I/Z$ a reduced power of A , and if Z is an ultrafilter, then we shall simply call $\Omega(A)^I/Z$ an ultrapower of A . The following theorem shows that every quotient of $\Omega(A)^F$ is a limit reduced power of $\Omega(A)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $F \subseteq \Pi(I)$ be a filter. Let θ be a congruence on $\Omega(A)^F$. Then define $Z \subseteq \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup F$ to be the set where we have $R \in Z$ if and only if whenever $f, g \in \Omega(A)^F$ and $f|_R = g|_R$, we have $(f, g) \in \theta$. Then Z is a filter on $\{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup F$. Furthermore, we have $(f, g) \in \theta$ if and only if $\{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in Z$.*

Proof. We shall first show that Z is a filter. If $R, S \in \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup F$, $R \subseteq S$, $R \in Z$, then whenever $f|_S = g|_S$, we have $f|_R = g|_R$, so $(f, g) \in \theta$. Therefore $S \in Z$ as well. We conclude that Z is an upper set. Now assume that $R, S \in Z$. Assume that $f|_{R \cap S} = g|_{R \cap S}$. Then there is a function $h \in \Omega(A)^F$ where $h|_R = f|_R$ and $h|_S = g|_S$. Therefore $(h, f) \in \theta$, $(h, g) \in \theta$, so $(f, g) \in \theta$. Therefore Z is a filter.

Now assume that $(f, g) \in \theta$. Then let $R = \{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\}$. Now let $f^\#, g^\#$ be functions where $f^\#|_R = g^\#|_R$. Let $P = \Pi(f) \wedge \Pi(g) \wedge \Pi(f^\#) \wedge \Pi(g^\#)$ and let $h : I \rightarrow A$ be a function such that $\Pi(h) = P$. One can easily show that there is a function $\alpha : A^2 \rightarrow A$ such that $\alpha(h(i), f(i)) = f^\#(i)$ for $i \in I$ and $\alpha(h(i), g(i)) = g^\#(i)$ for $i \in I$. In other words, there is a function α where $\hat{\alpha}^{\Omega(A)^F}(h, f) = f^\#$ and $\hat{\alpha}^{\Omega(A)^F}(h, g) = g^\#$. Therefore since $(f, g) \in \theta$, we have $(f^\#, g^\#) \in \theta$ as well. Therefore $R \in Z$. Similarly, if $\{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in Z$, then clearly $(f, g) \in \theta$. We conclude that $(f, g) \in \theta$ if and only if $\{i \in I \mid f(i) = g(i)\} \in Z$. \square

It is now clear that the elements of the variety $V(\Omega(A))$ are simply the algebras isomorphic to the limit reduced powers of $\Omega(A)$. We also conclude that the lattice of congruences on $\Omega(A)^F$ is isomorphic to the lattice of filters on the Boolean algebra $\{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup F$. Furthermore, if $\Omega(A)^F/Z$ is a limit reduced power, then the lattice of congruences on $\Omega(A)^F/Z$ is isomorphic to the lattice of filters on the Boolean algebra $(\{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup F)/Z$.

Let $\mathcal{L} \in V(\Omega(A))$. Then define a function $e : \Omega(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ by letting $e(a) = \hat{a}^{\mathcal{L}}$ for $a \in A$. One can easily show that e is the only homomorphism from $\Omega(A)$ to \mathcal{L} . The following theorem shows that every elementary extension \mathcal{L} of $\Omega(A)$ is isomorphic to a limit ultrapower of $\Omega(A)$. In the following theorem, one needs to take note that the variety $V(\Omega(A))$ is congruence permutable (congruence permutable means that $\theta_1 \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ \theta_1$ whenever θ_1 and θ_2 are congruences in some algebra $\mathcal{L} \in V(\Omega(A))$). Congruence permutability follows from the limit reduced power representation of algebras or from Mal'cev's characterization of congruence permutable varieties [1][Sec. 2.12].

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{L} \in V(\Omega(A))$ be an algebra with more than one element. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. \mathcal{L} is simple.
 2. \mathcal{L} is subdirectly irreducible.
 3. \mathcal{L} is directly indecomposable.
 4. The mapping $e : \Omega(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is an elementary embedding.
- If $\mathcal{L} = \Omega(A)^F/Z$ is a limit reduced power of $\Omega(A)$, then the above four statements are equivalent to the following statement.
5. Z is an ultrafilter on the Boolean algebra $\{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup F$.

Proof. Since every algebra in $V(\Omega(A))$ is isomorphic to some limit reduced power of A , we may assume that $\mathcal{L} = \Omega(A)^F/Z$.

1 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 3 These directions are trivial.

5 \rightarrow 4 This is a consequence of Los's theorem for limit ultrapowers [4][Sec. 6.4].

5 \rightarrow 1. If Z is an ultrafilter, then since $\text{Con}(\Omega(A)^F/Z)$ is isomorphic to the lattice of congruences on $(\{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup F)/Z$, there are only 2 congruences on $\Omega(A)^F/Z$.

4 \rightarrow 3 We shall prove this direction by contrapositive. Assume that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \times \mathcal{L}_2$ where \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_2 are non-trivial algebras. Let $a, b \in A$ be distinct elements, and let $i : A \rightarrow A$ be a function with $i''(A) = \{a, b\}$ and where $i(a) = a, i(b) = b$. Then $\Omega(A)$ satisfies the sentence $\forall x(\hat{i}(x) = \hat{a} \vee \hat{i}(x) = \hat{b})$. However, we have $\hat{i}^{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{a}^{\mathcal{L}_1}, \hat{b}^{\mathcal{L}_2}) = (\hat{i}^{\mathcal{L}_1}(\hat{a}^{\mathcal{L}_1}), \hat{i}^{\mathcal{L}_2}(\hat{b}^{\mathcal{L}_2})) = (\hat{a}^{\mathcal{L}_1}, \hat{b}^{\mathcal{L}_2})$, but $(\hat{a}^{\mathcal{L}_1}, \hat{b}^{\mathcal{L}_2}) \neq \hat{a}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $(\hat{a}^{\mathcal{L}_1}, \hat{b}^{\mathcal{L}_2}) \neq \hat{b}^{\mathcal{L}}$. Therefore $\mathcal{L} \not\models \forall x(\hat{i}(x) = \hat{a} \vee \hat{i}(x) = \hat{b})$. Therefore the mapping e is not an elementary embedding.

3 \rightarrow 5 If Z is not an ultrafilter on $\{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup F$, then since the lattice of congruences on $\Omega(A)^F/Z$ is isomorphic to $\text{Con}((\{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup F)/Z)$, there is a pair θ_1, θ_2 of non-trivial congruences such that $\theta_1 \cap \theta_2 = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$ and $\theta_1 \vee \theta_2 = X^2$. Clearly, we have $\theta_1 \circ \theta_2 = \theta_2 \circ \theta_1$ since variety $V(\Omega(A)^F)/Z$ is congruence permutable. Therefore, we have

$$\Omega(A)^F/Z \simeq (\Omega(A)^F/Z)/\theta_1 \times (\Omega(A)^F/Z)/\theta_2$$

by [1][Sec. 2.7], so $\Omega(A)^F/Z$ is not direct indecomposable. \square

See [4][Sec. 6.4] for a similar but more model theoretic proof that every elementary extension of $\Omega(A)$ is a limit ultrapower of $\Omega(A)$, and see [2] for an algebraic proof of this result. We shall now represent the free algebras in $V(\Omega(A))$ as algebras of the form $\Omega(A)^F$. Since every algebra in $V(\Omega(A))$ can easily be represented as a quotient of a free algebra, one can easily represent any algebra in $V(\Omega(A))$ as a quotient of $\Omega(A)^F$, so the algebras in $V(\Omega(A))$ are representable as limit reduced powers and limit ultrapowers of $\Omega(A)$.

If P is a partition of a set X , then we shall write $x = y(P)$ if x and y are contained in the same block of the partition P . Let I be a set. If $i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$, then let $\mathcal{P}_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$ be the partition of A^I where $f = g(\mathcal{P}_{i_1, \dots, i_n})$ if and only if $f(i_1) = g(i_1), \dots, f(i_n) = g(i_n)$. Clearly $\{\mathcal{P}_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, i_1, \dots, i_n \in I\}$ is a filterbase on $\Pi(A^I)$. We shall write $\mathcal{P}(A, I)$ for the filter generated by the filterbase $\{\mathcal{P}_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, i_1, \dots, i_n \in I\}$. Let $\mathbf{F}(A, I) = \Omega(A)^{\mathcal{P}(A, I)}$.

We shall now show that $\mathbf{F}(A, I)$ is a free algebra. Let $\pi_i : A^I \rightarrow A$ be the projection function where $\pi_i(f) = f(i)$ for each $f : I \rightarrow A$. Clearly $\Pi(\pi_i) = \mathcal{P}_i$ since $\pi_i(f) = \pi_i(g)$ if and only if $f(i) = g(i)$ if and only if $f = g(\mathcal{P}_i)$. Therefore $\pi_i \in \mathbf{F}(A, I)$ for all $i \in I$.

Theorem 1.4. *The functions $(\pi_i)_{i \in I}$ freely generate $\mathbf{F}(A, I)$.*

Proof. For each $i \in I$, we have $\Pi(\pi_i) = \mathcal{P}_i$. Therefore we have $\langle \{\pi_i | i \in I\} \rangle = \Omega(A)^{\mathcal{P}(A,I)} = \mathbf{F}(A, I)$, so $\{\pi_i | i \in I\}$ generates $\mathbf{F}(A, I)$.

We shall now show that $(\pi_i)_{i \in I}$ freely generates $\mathbf{F}(A, I)$. It suffices to show that whenever $f : A^n \rightarrow A, g : A^m \rightarrow A$ and $\hat{f}^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_n}) = \hat{g}^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{j_1}, \dots, \pi_{j_m})$, then the identity $\hat{f}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = \hat{g}(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m})$ holds. If $(a_i)_{i \in I} \in A^I$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n}) &= f(\pi_{i_1}(a_i)_{i \in I}, \dots, \pi_{i_n}(a_i)_{i \in I}) = \hat{f}^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_n})(a_i)_{i \in I} \\ &= \hat{g}^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{j_1}, \dots, \pi_{j_m})(a_i)_{i \in I} = g(a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_m}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the identity $\hat{f}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = \hat{g}(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m})$ holds in the variety $V(\Omega(A))$. \square

If $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, then let $\phi_\alpha : \mathbf{F}(A, I) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be the unique homomorphism where we have $\phi_\alpha(\pi_i) = \alpha(i)$ for $i \in I$. One can clearly see that

$$\phi_\alpha(\hat{f}^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_n})) = \hat{f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\phi_\alpha(\pi_{i_1}), \dots, \phi_\alpha(\pi_{i_n})) = \hat{f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha(i_1), \dots, \alpha(i_n)).$$

Let Z_α be the filter on $\{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}(A, I)$ where

$$\Omega(A)^{\mathcal{P}(A,I)} / Z_\alpha = \Omega(A)^{\mathcal{P}(A,I)} / \ker(\phi_\alpha) \simeq \langle \alpha''(I) \rangle.$$

Clearly Z_α is an ultrafilter if and only if $\langle \alpha''(I) \rangle$ is simple. If \mathcal{L} is simple, then Z_α is always an ultrafilter for each $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. Let

$$\iota_\alpha : \Omega(A)^{\mathcal{P}(A,I)} / Z_\alpha \rightarrow \langle \alpha''(I) \rangle$$

be the canonical isomorphism. In other words, we have $\iota_\alpha([\ell]) = \phi_\alpha(\ell)$ where $[\ell]$ denotes the equivalence class of ℓ . Take note that if $\alpha''(I)$ generates \mathcal{L} , then ι_α is an isomorphism from $\Omega(A)^{\mathcal{P}(A,I)} / Z_\alpha$ to \mathcal{L} . We therefore have a method of representing any algebra in $V(\Omega(A))$ as a limit reduced power of $\Omega(A)$. In particular, if the mapping $e : \Omega(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is an elementary embedding, then we can construct a limit ultrapower of $\Omega(A)$ isomorphic to \mathcal{L} .

If \mathcal{L} is finitely generated, then one can easily show that \mathcal{L} is generated by a single element. Furthermore, if $\alpha : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is a function such that $\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(n)$ generates \mathcal{L} , then since $\iota_\alpha : \Omega(A)^{A^n} / Z_\alpha = \mathbf{F}(A, \{1, \dots, n\}) / Z_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is an isomorphism, the algebra \mathcal{L} is representable as a reduced power of $\Omega(A)$. In particular, if \mathcal{L} is simple and finitely generated, then \mathcal{L} is representable as an ultrapower of $\Omega(A)$. Conversely, if $|I| \leq |A|$, then every reduced power and ultrapower of $\Omega(A)$ of the form $\Omega(A)^I / Z$ is finitely generated.

In the remainder of this paper, we shall discuss a method of representing every algebra $\mathcal{L} \in V(\Omega(A))$ as a direct limit of limit reduced powers of $\Omega(A)$. By representing algebras \mathcal{L} as direct limits of limit reduced powers of $\Omega(A)$, one may be able to represent \mathcal{L} as a limit reduced power besides the quotients of the algebra $\mathbf{F}(A, I)$. Furthermore, one may also represent \mathcal{L} in terms of Boolean reduced powers and other generalizations of the reduced power and ultrapower constructions.

If X is a set and F is a filter on $\Pi(X)$, then the covers F generate a uniformity on X , so we may shall regard (X, F) as a uniform space. We shall call the partitions in the filter F uniform partitions. One may refer to [3] for information about uniform spaces, but no prior knowledge of uniform spaces is necessary to finish reading this paper.

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a function and P is a partition of Y , then we shall write $[f]_{-1}(P)$ for the partition $\{f_{-1}(R) \mid R \in P\} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. One can easily show that the following properties hold.

1. $[f]_{-1}(P_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge P_n) = [f]_{-1}(P_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge [f]_{-1}(P_n)$, and
2. $[f \circ g]_{-1}(P) = [g]_{-1}[f]_{-1}(P)$.

If X, Y are sets and $F \subseteq \Pi(X), G \subseteq \Pi(Y)$ are filters, then a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be uniformly continuous if whenever $P \in G$, then $[f]_{-1}(P) \in F$. If G is generated by a filterbase \mathfrak{G} , then f is uniformly continuous if and only if whenever $P \in \mathfrak{G}$ we have $[f]_{-1}(P) \in F$. Clearly the composition of uniformly continuous maps is uniformly continuous.

The sets A^I shall always be given the uniformity generated by the filter $\mathcal{P}(A, I)$. Furthermore, the set A shall always have the uniformity generated by $\Pi(A)$.

Theorem 1.5. *A function $f : A^I \rightarrow A^J$ is uniformly continuous if and only if for each projection $\pi_j : A^J \rightarrow A$, we have $\pi_j \circ f$ be uniformly continuous.*

Proof. \rightarrow The projections π_j are all uniformly continuous, so the mappings $\pi_j \circ f$ are uniformly continuous as well being the composition of two uniformly continuous functions.

\leftarrow Assume that each $\pi_j \circ f$ is uniformly continuous. If $j_1, \dots, j_n \in J$ are distinct elements, then we have $\mathcal{P}_{j_1, \dots, j_n} = \mathcal{P}_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{P}_{j_n}$. However, if $P = \{\{a\} \mid a \in A\}$, then we have

$$\mathcal{P}_{j_1} = [\pi_{j_1}]_{-1}(P), \dots, \mathcal{P}_{j_n} = [\pi_{j_n}]_{-1}(P).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [f]_{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{j_1, \dots, j_n}) &= [f]_{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{P}_{j_n}) = [f]_{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{j_1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge [f]_{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{j_n}) \\ &= [f]_{-1}[\pi_{j_1}]_{-1}(P) \wedge \cdots \wedge [f]_{-1}[\pi_{j_n}]_{-1}(P) = [\pi_{j_1} \circ f]_{-1}(P) \wedge \cdots \wedge [\pi_{j_n} \circ f]_{-1}(P) \end{aligned}$$

is a uniform partition since each $\pi_j \circ f$ is uniformly continuous. \square

Let $f \in \mathbf{F}(A, I)$, and let $\mathcal{L} \in V(\Omega(A))$. Then let $\overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be the mapping defined as follows. If $f = \hat{g}^{\mathbf{F}(A, I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_n})$, then let $\overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}}((\ell_i)_{i \in I}) = \hat{g}^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_{i_1}, \dots, \ell_{i_n})$. We now show that $\overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is well defined. Assume that $f = \hat{g}^{\mathbf{F}(A, I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_n}) = \hat{h}^{\mathbf{F}(A, I)}(\pi_{j_1}, \dots, \pi_{j_m})$. Then since $(\pi_i)_{i \in I}$ freely generates $\mathbf{F}(A, I)$, the identity $\hat{f}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_n}) = \hat{g}(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m})$ holds in the variety $V(\Omega(A))$, so $\hat{g}^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_{i_1}, \dots, \ell_{i_n}) = \hat{h}^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_{j_1}, \dots, \ell_{j_m})$. Therefore, the mapping $\overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is well defined. If $f : A^I \rightarrow A^J$ is uniformly continuous, then let $\overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}} : \mathcal{L}^I \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^J$ be the mapping where $\overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha) = (\overline{\pi_j} \circ \overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha))_{j \in J}$.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $f : A^I \rightarrow A^J, g : A^J \rightarrow A^K$ be uniformly continuous. Then $\overline{g}^{\mathcal{L}} \circ \overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}} = \overline{g \circ f}^{\mathcal{L}}$.*

Proof. Assume $k \in K$. Then $\pi_k \circ g : A^J \rightarrow A$ is uniformly continuous. Therefore there are $j_1, \dots, j_n \in J$ and some $r : A^n \rightarrow A$ such that $\pi_k \circ g = \hat{r}^{\mathbf{F}(A, J)}(\pi_{j_1}, \dots, \pi_{j_n})$. Furthermore, since $\pi_{j_1} \circ f, \dots, \pi_{j_n} \circ f : A^I \rightarrow A$ are uniformly continuous, there are indices $i_1, \dots, i_m \in I$ and mappings $s_1, \dots, s_n : A^m \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\pi_{j_1} \circ f = \hat{s}_1^{\mathbf{F}(A, I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_m}), \dots, \pi_{j_n} \circ f = \hat{s}_n^{\mathbf{F}(A, I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_m}).$$

Now let $t : A^m \rightarrow A$ be the mapping where

$$t(a_1, \dots, a_m) = r(s_1(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m}), \dots, s_n(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m})).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_k \circ g \circ f(a_i)_{i \in I} &= \hat{r}^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{j_1}, \dots, \pi_{j_n})(f(a_i)_{i \in I}) = r(\pi_{j_1} \circ f(a_i)_{i \in I}, \dots, \pi_{j_n} \circ f(a_i)_{i \in I}) \\
&= r(\hat{s}_1^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_m})(a_i)_{i \in I}, \dots, \hat{s}_n^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_m})(a_i)_{i \in I}) \\
&= r(s_1(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m}), \dots, s_n(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m})) = t(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m}) = \hat{t}^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_m})(a_i)_{i \in I}, \\
\text{so } \pi_k \circ g \circ f &= \hat{t}^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_m}).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_k \circ \overline{g}^{\mathcal{L}} \circ \overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_i)_{i \in I} &= \pi_k \circ \overline{g}^{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{\pi_j \circ f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_i)_{i \in I})_{j \in J} \\
&= \overline{\pi_k \circ g}^{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{\pi_j \circ f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_i)_{i \in I})_{j \in J} = \hat{r}^{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{\pi_{j_1} \circ f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_i)_{i \in I}, \dots, \overline{\pi_{j_n} \circ f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_i)_{i \in I}) \\
&= \hat{r}^{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{s}_1^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_{i_1}, \dots, \ell_{i_m}), \dots, \hat{s}_n^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_{i_1}, \dots, \ell_{i_m})) = \hat{t}^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_{i_1}, \dots, \ell_{i_m}) \\
&= \overline{\pi_k \circ g \circ f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_i)_{i \in I} = \pi_k \circ \overline{g \circ f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\ell_i)_{i \in I}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\pi_k \circ \overline{g}^{\mathcal{L}} \circ \overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}} = \pi_k \circ \overline{g \circ f}^{\mathcal{L}}$ for all k . We conclude that $\overline{g}^{\mathcal{L}} \circ \overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}} = \overline{g \circ f}^{\mathcal{L}}$. \square

If $f : A^I \rightarrow A^J$ is uniformly continuous, then define a mapping $f^* : \mathbf{F}(A, J) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(A, I)$ by $f^*(g) = g \circ f$ whenever $g \in \mathbf{F}(A, J)$.

Theorem 1.7. *If $f : A^I \rightarrow A^J$, $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, $\beta : J \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ and $\beta = \overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)$, then*

1. $\phi_\beta = \phi_\alpha f^*$, and
2. *Whenever $R \in \{\emptyset\} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}(A, J)$, we have $R \in Z_\beta$ if and only if $f_{-1}(R) \in Z_\alpha$*

Proof. 1. Let $\ell \in \mathbf{F}(A, J)$. Then there are $j_1, \dots, j_n \in J$ along with some map $r : A^n \rightarrow A$ such that $\ell = \hat{r}^{\mathbf{F}(A,J)}(\pi_{j_1}, \dots, \pi_{j_n})$. Since $\pi_{j_1} \circ f, \dots, \pi_{j_n} \circ f \in \mathbf{F}(A, I)$ there are $i_1, \dots, i_m \in I$ and functions $s_1, \dots, s_n : A^m \rightarrow A$ where

$$\pi_{j_1} \circ f = \hat{s}_1^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_m}), \dots, \pi_{j_n} \circ f = \hat{s}_n^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_m}).$$

Let $t : A^m \rightarrow A$ be the function where

$$t(a_1, \dots, a_m) = r(s_1(a_1, \dots, a_m), \dots, s_n(a_1, \dots, a_m)).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_\beta(\ell) &= \phi_\beta(\hat{r}^{\mathbf{F}(A,J)}(\pi_{j_1}, \dots, \pi_{j_n})) \\
&= \hat{r}^{\mathcal{L}}(\beta(j_1), \dots, \beta(j_n)) = \hat{r}^{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)(j_1), \dots, \overline{f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)(j_n)) \\
&= \hat{r}^{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{\pi_{j_1} \circ f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha), \dots, \overline{\pi_{j_n} \circ f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)) \\
&= \hat{r}^{\mathcal{L}}(\hat{s}_1^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha(i_1), \dots, \alpha(i_m)), \dots, \hat{s}_n^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha(i_1), \dots, \alpha(i_m))) \\
&= \hat{t}^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha(i_1), \dots, \alpha(i_m)).
\end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $(a_i)_{i \in I} \in A^I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f^*(\ell)(a_i)_{i \in I} &= \ell \circ f(a_i)_{i \in I} = \hat{r}^{\mathbf{F}(A,J)}(\pi_{j_1}, \dots, \pi_{j_n})(f(a_i)_{i \in I}) \\
&= r(\pi_{j_1} \circ f(a_i)_{i \in I}, \dots, \pi_{j_n} \circ f(a_i)_{i \in I}) \\
&= r(\hat{s}_1^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_m})(a_i)_{i \in I}, \dots, \hat{s}_n^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_m})(a_i)_{i \in I}) \\
&= r(s_1(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m}), \dots, s_n(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m})) = t(a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_m}) \\
&= \hat{t}^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_m})(a_i)_{i \in I}.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\phi_\alpha(f^*(\ell)) = \phi_\alpha(\hat{t}^{\mathbf{F}(A,I)}(\pi_{i_1}, \dots, \pi_{i_m})) = \hat{t}^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha(i_1), \dots, \alpha(i_m)) = \phi_\beta(\ell).$$

2. Let $\ell_1, \ell_2 : A^J \rightarrow A$ be two functions such that $\{\mathbf{a} \in A^J \mid \ell_1(\mathbf{a}) = \ell_2(\mathbf{a})\} = R$. Then $\mathbf{a} \in f_{-1}(R)$ if and only if $f(\mathbf{a}) \in R$ if and only if $\ell_1 \circ f(\mathbf{a}) = \ell_2 \circ f(\mathbf{a})$. Thus

$$f_{-1}(R) = \{\mathbf{a} \in A^I \mid \ell_1 \circ f(\mathbf{a}) = \ell_2 \circ f(\mathbf{a})\} = \{\mathbf{a} \in A^I \mid f^*(\ell_1)(\mathbf{a}) = f^*(\ell_2)(\mathbf{a})\}.$$

Therefore, we have $R \in Z_\beta$ if and only if $\phi_\beta(\ell_1) = \phi_\beta(\ell_2)$ if and only if $\phi_\alpha f^*(\ell_1) = \phi_\alpha f^*(\ell_2)$ if and only if $(f^*(\ell_1), f^*(\ell_2)) \in \ker(\phi_\alpha)$ if and only if

$$f_{-1}(R) = \{\mathbf{a} \in A^I \mid f^*(\ell_1)(\mathbf{a}) = f^*(\ell_2)(\mathbf{a})\} \in Z_\alpha.$$

□

In particular, for $\ell, \mathbf{m} \in \mathbf{F}(A, J)$, if $\{\mathbf{a} \in A^J \mid \ell(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{a})\} \in Z_\beta$, then

$$\{\mathbf{a} \in A^I \mid \ell(f(\mathbf{a})) = \mathbf{m}(f(\mathbf{a}))\} = f_{-1}(\{\mathbf{a} \in A^J \mid \ell(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{m}(\mathbf{a})\}) \in Z_\alpha.$$

Therefore define a mapping $f^{\beta, \alpha} : \mathbf{F}(A, J)/Z_\beta \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(A, I)/Z_\alpha$ by $f^{\beta, \alpha}([\ell]) = [\ell \circ f] = [f^*(\ell)]$. Let $\iota_{\beta, \alpha} : \langle \beta''(J) \rangle \rightarrow \langle \alpha''(I) \rangle$ be the inclusion mapping.

Theorem 1.8. *We have $\iota_{\beta, \alpha} \iota_\beta = \iota_\alpha f^{\beta, \alpha}$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{F}(A, J)/Z_\beta & \xrightarrow{f^{\beta, \alpha}} & \mathbf{F}(A, I)/Z_\alpha \\ \downarrow \iota_\beta & & \downarrow \iota_\alpha \\ \langle \beta''(J) \rangle & \xrightarrow{\iota_{\beta, \alpha}} & \langle \alpha''(I) \rangle \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $\ell \in \mathbf{F}(A, J)$. Then $\iota_{\beta, \alpha} \iota_\beta[\ell] = \iota_\beta[\ell] = \phi_\beta(\ell) = \phi_\alpha(f^*(\ell)) = \iota_\alpha[f^*(\ell)] = \iota_\alpha f^{\beta, \alpha}[\ell]$. Therefore $\iota_{\beta, \alpha} \iota_\beta = \iota_\alpha f^{\beta, \alpha}$. □

Since ι_α is bijective, we have $f^{\beta, \alpha} = \iota_\alpha^{-1} \iota_{\beta, \alpha} \iota_\beta$, and in particular, the function $f^{\beta, \alpha}$ does not depend on f . We shall therefore write $E^{\beta, \alpha}$ for the mapping $f^{\beta, \alpha} = \iota_\alpha^{-1} \iota_{\beta, \alpha} \iota_\beta$.

If $\alpha : I \rightarrow \mathcal{L}, \beta : J \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, then we shall write $\beta \leq \alpha$ if $\langle \beta''(J) \rangle \subseteq \langle \alpha''(I) \rangle$. Clearly, the relation \leq is a preordering on the class of all functions with range \mathcal{L} . One can clearly see that $\beta \leq \alpha$ if and only if for each $j \in J$ there is a $f : A^n \rightarrow A$ and $i_1, \dots, i_n \in I$ such that $\beta(j) = \hat{f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha(i_1), \dots, \alpha(i_n))$. Furthermore, using theorem 1.5, one may show that $\beta \leq \alpha$ if and only if there is a uniformly continuous mapping $f : A^I \rightarrow A^J$ such that $\beta = \bar{f}^{\mathcal{L}}(\alpha)$.

Assume D is a directed set, and for $d \in D$ there is a set I_d and a function $\alpha_d : I_d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, and also assume $\alpha_d \leq \alpha_e$ whenever $d \leq e$, and that $\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{d \in D} \langle \alpha_d''(I_d) \rangle$. Then we have $\mathcal{L} = \text{Lim}_{d \in D} (\langle \alpha_d''(I_d) \rangle, \iota_{\alpha_d, \alpha_e})_{d \leq e}$. However, since each $\iota_{\alpha_d} : \Omega(A)^{\mathcal{P}(A, I_d)}/Z_d \rightarrow \langle \alpha_d''(I_d) \rangle$ is an isomorphism and $\iota_{\alpha_d, \alpha_e} \iota_{\alpha_d} = \iota_{\alpha_e} E^{\alpha_d, \alpha_e}$, we have

$$\mathcal{L} \simeq \text{Lim}_{d \in D} (\mathbf{F}(A, I_d)/Z_d, E_{d, e})_{d \leq e}.$$

In fact, if we can find a directed system of mappings $(f_{d, e})_{d, e \in D, d \leq e}$ such that $\bar{f}_{d, e}(\alpha_e) = \alpha_d$ whenever $d \leq e$, then we can represent \mathcal{L} as a generalization of the Boolean reduced power construction called a Boolean partition algebra reduced power.

REFERENCES

1. Burris, Stanley, and H. P. Sankappanavar. A Course in Universal Algebra. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1981. www.math.uwaterloo.ca/~snburris.
2. A simple algebraic characterization of nonstandard extensions. Marco Forti. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 2903-2912
3. Isbell, J. R. Uniform Spaces,. Providence: American Mathematical Society, 1964.
4. Chang, Chen Chung, and H. Jerome Keisler. Model Theory. Amsterdam: North-Holland Pub., 1973.

E-mail address: `jvanname@mail.usf.edu`