

Automorphism groups of graphs ^{*}

Ashwin Ganesan[†]

Abstract

These lecture notes provide an introduction to automorphism groups of graphs. Some special families of graphs are then discussed, especially the families of Cayley graphs generated by transposition sets.

Keywords — Automorphism groups of graphs; Cayley graphs; transposition sets.

1 Introduction

A *permutation* of a set Ω is a bijection from Ω to itself. For example, $\pi = (1, 5, 4)(3, 6)(2) = (1, 5, 4)(3, 6) = [5, 2, 6, 1, 4, 3]$ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. We can also write $\pi : 1 \mapsto 5, 2 \mapsto 2, 3 \mapsto 6, 4 \mapsto 1, 5 \mapsto 4, 6 \mapsto 3$. The inverse of π is $\pi^{-1} = (1, 4, 5)(3, 6)(2)$. $\text{Sym}(\Omega)$ denotes the set of all permutations of Ω . S_n denotes the symmetric group $\text{Sym}(\{1, \dots, n\})$. The composition of permutations is carried out left to right: $(1, 2)(2, 3) = (1, 3, 2)$. A *transposition* $\tau \in S_n$ is a permutation that interchanges two elements and fixes the remaining elements: $\tau = (i, j)$.

Let $\Gamma := (V, E)$ be a simple, undirected graph. An *automorphism* of a graph is a permutation of the vertex set that preserves adjacency. The *automorphism group* of Γ is the set of permutations of the vertex set that preserve adjacency, i.e., $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) := \{\pi \in \text{Sym}(V) : \pi(E) = E\}$. (Some of the

^{*}Based on an Invited Lecture delivered by the author at the *Pre-Conference Workshop on Algebraic Graph theory* under the auspices of the *8th Annual Conference of the Academy of Discrete Mathematics and Applications*, Virudhunagar, India, June 2012.

[†]Department of Mathematics, Amrita School of Engineering, Amrita University, Amritanagar, Coimbatore - 641 112, India. Email: ashwin.ganesan@gmail.com.

literature uses the notation $E^\pi = E$ instead of $\pi(E) = E$.) Note that if π is a bijection from V to itself, then π induces a bijection on the 2-subsets of V , and so $\pi(E)$ and E have the same cardinality. So, if π is an automorphism, then it necessarily must preserve non-adjacency as well. So, an equivalent definition is that: π is an automorphism of $\Gamma = (V, E)$ if for all $u, v \in V$, $u \sim v$ iff $\pi(u) \sim \pi(v)$. Since the composition of two automorphisms is another automorphism, the set of automorphisms of a graph is a permutation group.

The notation to use Γ rather than G for a graph is standard in algebraic graph theory. We shall use A to denote $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Later, we shall use G (and a subset $S \subseteq G$) to denote the group that generates a Cayley graph, i.e. $\Gamma = (V, E) = \text{Cay}(G, S)$, and we shall determine its automorphism group $A := \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$ for some families of (G, S) .

Example 1. The n -cycle. Let Γ be the graph on vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ having edge set $E := \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \dots, \{n, 1\}\}$. The rotation $r = (1, 2, \dots, n)$ is an automorphism of the graph, because r sends 1 to 2 and 2 to 3, hence $\{1, 2\}$ to $\{2, 3\}$, and it is clear that $E^r = E$. Let s be the permutation of V induced by the reflection of the n -cycle graph about the axis that passes through the vertex 1 and the center of the n -cycle. Thus, $s = (1)(2, n)(3, n-1) \dots$. Note that $\langle r, s \rangle = D_{2n}$, the dihedral group of order $2n$. So $D_{2n} \leq A := \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. We now show that there are no other automorphisms. Let $\pi \in A$. Suppose $\pi : 1 \mapsto i$. Then it must send 2 to a neighbor of i , i.e. to $i-1$ or $i+1$. In the first case π sends 3 to $i-2$, 4 to $i-3$, and so on. In the second case, π sends 2 to $i+1$ and hence 3 (a neighbor of 2) to a neighbor of $i+1$, i.e. to i or $i+2$, but $\pi : 1 \mapsto i$, so $3 \mapsto i+2$. In either case, $\pi \in D_{2n}$. Thus $A = D_{2n}$.

■

If $\pi \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then π preserves adjacency and hence sends the neighbors of u to the neighbors of $\pi(u)$. So, if π sends u to v , then u and v have the same degree (the same number of neighbors).

One can similarly define the automorphism group of (X, S) for any structure S on X . In the case of directed graphs, each element of S would be an ordered pair, and in case of edge-colored digraphs each element of S is an ordered triple. The automorphism group of (X, S) is the set of permutations π in $\text{Sym}(X)$ such that $S^\pi = S$.

We now introduce a definition [11]:

Definition 2. Let $A \leq \text{Sym}(X), B \leq \text{Sym}(Y)$, with X and Y being disjoint. Their *sum* (also known as the product or direct product) $A + B$ is the

permutation group

$$A + B := \{(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha \in A, \beta \in B\}$$

acting on the disjoint union $X \cup Y$ by the rule:

$$(\alpha, \beta)(z) = \begin{cases} \alpha(z) & \text{if } z \in X \\ \beta(z) & \text{if } z \in Y. \end{cases}$$

So $A + B \leq \text{Sym}(X \cup Y)$ and has $|A| |B|$ elements.

It is clear that $\text{Aut}(K_n) = S_n$.

Also, $\text{Aut}(K_{1,n}) = E_1 + S_n \cong S_n$, where E_1 is the trivial group.

Consider the graph K_4 minus an edge, where $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and the edge removed from K_4 is $\{2, 4\}$ say. Then $A = \{(1, 3), (2, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4), 1\}$, and so $A = S_2 + S_2$.

Example 3. The octahedron graph. Let Γ be the octahedron graph obtained from the solid which is the convex hull of the six points (in 3-dimensional space) $V = \{(\pm 1, 0, 0), (0, \pm 1, 0), (0, 0, \pm 1)\}$. Then, the complement graph of the octahedron graph is $3K_2$. Also, a graph and its complement have the same automorphism group (this is because every automorphism preserves adjacency as well as non-adjacency). It is easy to see that the number of automorphisms of $3K_2$ is $2^3 3! = 48$ since every automorphism can move vertices from one edge to another (and there are $3!$ ways to permute the edges) and on each edge, one can either switch the vertices on that edge or leave them fixed (2 ways for each edge, yielding another 2^3 automorphisms). Thus, $|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)| = 48$. ■

Note that the number of rigid motions of the octahedron is exactly 24. The transposition that just swaps two nonadjacent vertices of the octahedron graph is an automorphism of the octahedron graph but not a rigid motion of the solid. This is unlike in the case of the n -cycle, for which the group of rigid motions of the regular n -sided polygon and the group of automorphisms of the n -cycle graph are the same.

Definition 4. The *wreath product* (or composition) $A[B]$ of “A around B” acts on $X \times Y$. Suppose $|X| = d$. Then, every $\pi \in A[B]$ can be written as $\pi = (\alpha; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$, and $(\alpha; \beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)(x_i, y_j) = (\alpha x_i, \beta_i y_j)$.

The following result is due to Frucht:

Lemma 5. [6] *The automorphism group of n disjoint copies of a graph Γ is $\text{Aut}(n\Gamma) = S_n[\text{Aut}(\Gamma)]$.*

It can be seen why Frucht's theorem is true, as we did above for the special case $3K_2$. In this special case, label the two vertices on the i th edge as (x_i, y_1) and (x_i, y_2) , for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and we can see that $\alpha \in S_3$ permutes the three edges (i.e. the x_i 's), and $\beta_i \in S_2$ (for $i = 1, 2, 3$) acts on the i -th edge (i.e. it permutes the y_i 's). So $\text{Aut}(3K_2) = S_3[S_2]$.

Theorem 6. *The full automorphism group of the Petersen graph is isomorphic to S_5 .*

Proof: Let Γ be the Petersen graph. Thus, its vertices are the 2-element subsets of $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$, with two vertices A and B adjacent iff they are disjoint. We write ij for the vertex $\{i, j\}$.

Every element $\pi \in S_5$ induces a permutation of the 2-element subsets of $\{1, \dots, 5\}$, i.e. induces a permutation $\hat{\pi}$ of Γ . For example, if $\pi = (1, 3, 4)(2, 5) \in S_5$, then $\hat{\pi}$ sends $\{1, 2\}$ to $\{3, 5\}$, and $\hat{\pi} = (12, 35, 42, 15, 32, 45)(13, 34, 41)(25) \in \text{Sym}(V)$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that different elements of S_5 induce distinct permutations of V . And each of these induced permutations is an automorphism of Γ because for all $\pi \in S_5$, $A, B \in V$ are disjoint if and only if $\pi(A)$ and $\pi(B)$ are disjoint. Thus, the map $\phi : S_5 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma), \pi \mapsto \hat{\pi}$ is an injective group homomorphism into $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, and so $S_5 \cong \phi(S_5) \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$.

We now show that $\phi(S_5)$ is the full automorphism group of Γ . It suffices to show that $A \leq \phi(S_5)$. To prove this, let $\pi \in A$. We show that there exists $\hat{g} \in \phi(S_5)$ such that $\pi\hat{g} = 1$. This would imply that $\pi = \hat{g}^{-1} \in \phi(S_5)$.

Suppose $\pi : \{1, 2\} \rightarrow \{a, b\}$. Let $g_1 \in S_5$ map $a \mapsto 1, b \mapsto 2$. Then $\pi\hat{g}_1$ fixes the vertex 12 and hence permutes its neighbors 34, 45 and 35. We consider a few cases:

Case (1) Suppose $\pi\hat{g}_1$ fixes all three neighbors 34, 45, 35. So $\pi\hat{g}_1$ permutes the neighbors of 35, and hence fixes 14 and 24, or swaps 14 and 24.

Case (1.1): If $\pi\hat{g}_1 : 14 \mapsto 14$, then 15 is sent to a vertex adjacent to 34 and not adjacent to 14, hence 15 is fixed. Similarly, all the other vertices are seen to be fixed, and so $\pi\hat{g}_1 = 1$, as was to be shown.

Case (1.2): If $\pi\hat{g}_1 : 14 \mapsto 24$. Then, it swaps 14 and 24. 15 is sent to a neighbor of $24^{\pi\hat{g}_1} = 14$, and hence 15 is sent to 25. Thus, we see that $\pi\hat{g}_1$

swaps 14 and 24, 15 and 25, and also 13 and 23, and fixes the remaining vertices. But then $\pi\hat{g}_1 = \hat{g}_2$, where $g_2 = (1, 2)$. So $\pi \in \phi(S_5)$.

Case (2): Suppose $\pi\hat{g}_1$ fixes exactly 2 of the 3 vertices 34, 45 and 35. But this case is not possible, because if it fixes 2 of the 3 vertices, it must also fix the third vertex.

Case (3): Suppose $\pi\hat{g}_1$ fixes exactly 1 of 34, 45 and 35, say 34. So $\pi\hat{g}_1$ swaps 45 and 35. Let $g_2 = (3, 4)$. Then $\pi\hat{g}_1\hat{g}_2$ satisfies the conditions of Case (1).

Case (4): Suppose $\pi\hat{g}_1$ fixes none of 34, 45 and 35. Say it has (34, 45, 35) as a 3-cycle. Let $g_2 = (3, 4)$. Then $\pi\hat{g}_1\hat{g}_2 = (34, 35)(45)$, and we are back to Case (3).

Thus, in all cases if $\pi \in A$, then there exists g_1, \dots, g_r (for some nonnegative integer r) such that $\pi\hat{g}_1 \dots \hat{g}_r = 1$, implying that $\pi \in \phi(S_5)$. ■

The family of the generalized Kneser graphs $J(n, k, i)$ is defined as follows. The vertex set of $J(n, k, i)$ is the set of k -element subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and two subsets are adjacent iff their intersection has size i . Biggs [1] defines the family of Odd graphs O_k to be $J(2k - 1, k - 1, 0)$, a special case of which is the Petersen graph O_3 . Thus, the Petersen graph is $J(5, 2, 0)$ and hence is isomorphic to the complement of the line graph of K_5 . It is an open problem to determine the automorphism group of $J(n, k, i)$ for many special cases of these three parameters. We now give another proof (based on [17]) for the automorphism group of the Petersen graph.

Second proof: The automorphism group of the Petersen graph is isomorphic to the automorphism group of the complement of the Petersen graph, which is $L(K_5)$. Thus, it suffices to find $\text{Aut}(L(K_5))$. Every automorphism of K_5 induces a unique automorphism of $L(K_5)$, as we saw above. We now show that each automorphism of $L(K_5)$ induce a unique permutation in S_5 , implying that $\text{Aut}(L(K_5))$ has at most 120 elements.

Let $\pi \in \text{Aut}(L(K_5))$. Since $L(K_5)$ is a line graph, its 4-cliques correspond to stars $K_{1,4}$ in K_5 . Thus, $L(K_5)$ has exactly 5 4-cliques, say C_1, \dots, C_5 , where C_i contains the 4 vertices in $L(K_5)$ corresponding to the 4 edges in K_5 that are incident to vertex i in K_5 . Since π is an automorphism, it sends 4-cliques to 4-cliques. Also, π induces a permutation of the C_i 's, for if π sends two different cliques to the same clique, then π is not a bijection on the edge set of $L(K_5)$, which is a contradiction. Also, distinct automorphisms of $L(K_5)$ induce distinct permutations of the C_i 's. (For if we have two distinct

automorphisms, say $\pi : 12 \mapsto 34, \pi' : 12 \mapsto 35$, then $\{C_1, C_2\}$ is mapped to $\{C_3, C_4\}$ by π and to $\{C_3, C_5\}$ by π' .) Equivalently, every vertex ij in $L(K_5)$ is uniquely determined by the intersection of two 4-cliques (namely the cliques C_i and C_j). Thus, every automorphism of $L(K_5)$ induces a unique permutation of $\{C_1, \dots, C_5\}$. ■

Example 7. Hypercubes. The hypercube graph Q_n has vertex set $V = \{0, 1\}^n$, and two vertices are adjacent in this graph iff the two n -bit strings differ in exactly one coordinate. Let $r_z : V \rightarrow V, x \mapsto x + z$ be the translation by z . Here, $x + z$ is the vertex obtained by adding the two bit strings x and z componentwise in the binary field. Then, $H := \{r_z : z \in V\}$ can be seen to be a group of automorphisms of Q_n , and $|H| = 2^n$. Also, let K be the group of permutations of the vertex set induced by the permutation of the n coordinates of the bit strings. Then K fixes $00 \dots 0$, and $|K| = n!$. Also, $H \cap K$ is trivial, and so $|HK| = |H| |K| / |H \cap K| = 2^n n!$. It can be shown that $|A| \leq 2^n n!$, so that HK is the full automorphism group. To show $|A| \leq 2^n n!$, note that any automorphism that fixes the vertex $00 \dots 0$ and each of its neighbors in Q_n also fixes all the remaining vertices. Thus, $|A_e| \leq n!$, where A_e is set of the automorphisms that fix the vertex $e = 00 \dots 0$. By the orbit-stabilizer lemma, $|A| = |A_e| |V|$, and we get the desired bound. It is shown in [12] that $\text{Aut}(Q_n)$ is isomorphic to the wreath product $S_n[S_2]$. ■

In algebraic graph theory, open-source software packages such as GAP and SAGE can be helpful with suggesting or testing conjectures. For example, an open problem is to determine the diameter of the modified-bubble sort graph $MBS(n)$ (cf. [15]), and it can be confirmed with the help of a computer that for $n = 3, \dots, 10$, the diameter of $MBS(n)$ is equal to $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$. (When the sequence of values obtained using the computer is entered into the Online Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, this formula is displayed; this formula is also mentioned in [13]). No proof of this formula for all n is available in the literature.

2 Cayley graphs generated by transposition sets

A very promising area of research is Cayley graphs generated by transposition sets. I shall now mention some results related to the automorphism groups

of these families of graphs.

Let G be a group and S a subset of G . The Cayley digraph (also known as the Cayley diagram [3]) of G with respect to S , denoted by $\text{Cay}(G, S)$, is the digraph with vertex set G and with an arc from g to sg whenever $g \in G$ and $s \in S$. When S is closed under inverses (i.e. when $S^{-1} := \{s^{-1} : s \in S\} = S$), (g, h) is an arc of the Cayley digraph if and only if (h, g) is an arc, and so we can identify the two arcs (g, h) and (h, g) with the undirected edge $\{g, h\}$. When $1 \notin S$, the digraph contains no self-loops. Thus, when $1 \notin S = S^{-1}$, we view the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ as a simple, undirected graph. $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is connected if and only if S generates G .

For a group G , define the map of right translation by z , $r_z : G \rightarrow G, g \mapsto gz$. The right regular representation of G is the permutation group $R(G) := \{r_z : z \in G\}$, and $R(G)$ is isomorphic to G . The automorphism group of a Cayley graph $\Gamma := \text{Cay}(G, S)$ contains the right regular representation $R(G)$, and hence all Cayley graphs are vertex-transitive (cf. [1]). Since $R(G)$ is regular, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = \text{Aut}(\Gamma)_e R(G)$, where $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)_e$ is the stabilizer of e in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. The set of automorphisms of the group G that fix S setwise, denoted by $\text{Aut}(G, S) := \{\pi \in \text{Aut}(G) : S^\pi = S\}$, is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)_e$ (cf. [1]). For any Cayley graph $\Gamma := \text{Cay}(G, S)$, the normalizer $N_{\text{Aut}(\Gamma)} R(G)$ is equal to $R(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G, S)$ (cf. [9]), where \rtimes denotes the semidirect product (cf. [4]). A Cayley graph $\Gamma := \text{Cay}(G, S)$ is said to be *normal* if $R(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, or equivalently, if $\text{Aut}(\Gamma) = R(G) \rtimes \text{Aut}(G, S)$.

Let S be a set of transpositions in S_n . The transposition graph $T(S)$ of S is defined to be the graph with vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and with $\{i, j\}$ an edge of $T(S)$ whenever $(i, j) \in S$. A set of transpositions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ generates S_n if and only if the transposition graph $T(S)$ is connected, and a set of transpositions is a minimal generating set for S_n if and only if $T(S)$ is a tree (cf. [10]). A set of transpositions whose transposition graph is a tree is called a transposition tree. Cayley graphs of permutation groups generated by transposition sets have been well studied, especially for consideration as the topology of interconnection networks [16], [13].

Some particular families of Cayley graphs $\text{Cay}(Gr(S), S)$ are defined as follows:

- If $T(S) = K_{1, n-1}$, then $\text{Cay}(S_n, S)$ is called the star graph.
- If $T(S) = P_n$, then $\text{Cay}(S_n, S)$ is called the bubble-sort graph.
- If $T(S) = C_n$, then $\text{Cay}(S_n, S)$ is called the modified bubble-sort graph.

- If $T(S) = nK_2$, then $\text{Cay}(Gr(S), S)$ is the hypercube.
- If $T(S) = K_n$, then $\text{Cay}(S_n, S)$ is the complete transposition graph.
- If $T(S) = K_{k,n-k}$, then $\text{Cay}(S_n, S)$ is the generalized star graph.

We now mention some results, for which the proofs can be found in the given references.

Lemma 8. [10] *Let S be a set of transpositions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Then, S generates S_n if and only if the transposition graph $T(S)$ is connected.*

If $\pi \in S_n$ is a permutation and i and j lie in different cycles of π , then the number of cycles in the product of π and (i, j) is exactly one less than the number of cycles in π (cf. [2]). It can be shown that a product of $n - 1$ transpositions is an n -cycle if and only if the corresponding $n - 1$ edges form a tree in the transposition graph.

Lemma 9. [10] *Let S be a set of transpositions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) S is a minimal generating set for S_n
- (2) $T(S)$ is a tree
- (3) The product of the elements of S in any order is a cycle of length n .

Lemma 10. [10, Lemma 3.10.3] *Let S be a set of transpositions such that the transposition graph $T(S)$ does not contain a triangle, and let $t, k \in S$. Then, $tk = kt$ if and only if there is a unique 4-cycle in $\text{Cay}(S_n, S)$ containing e, t and k .*

Among the Cayley graphs of the symmetric group generated by a set of transpositions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, the automorphism groups of the following Cayley graphs are known. When $T(S)$ is a star, the corresponding Cayley graph is called a star graph and has automorphism group $S_{n-1}R(S_n)$ [14]. The Cayley graph generated by a path graph is called a bubble-sort graph and has automorphism group isomorphic to $Z_2R(S_n)$ [18]. The automorphism group of the Cayley graph generated by an asymmetric transposition tree is $R(S_n)$ and hence is isomorphic to S_n [10]. The automorphism group of the Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(S_n, S)$ generated by an arbitrary transposition tree $T(S)$ is shown in [5] to be isomorphic to $R(S_n) \times \text{Aut}(S_n, S)$. All these Cayley graphs are also known to be normal.

Theorem 11. [5] *Let S be a set of transpositions generating S_n . Then, $\text{Aut}(S_n, S) \cong \text{Aut}(T(S))$.*

Theorem 12. [5, Corollary 2.5] *Let S be a set of transpositions generating S_n satisfying the following condition for any two distinct transpositions $t, k \in S$: $tk = kt$ if and only if $\text{Cay}(S_n, S)$ has a unique 4-cycle containing e, t and k , and if $tk \neq kt$ then $\text{Cay}(S_n, S)$ has a unique 6-cycle containing e, t, k and a vertex at distance 3 from e . Then $\text{Cay}(S_n, S)$ is normal and has automorphism group isomorphic to $R(S_n) \rtimes \text{Aut}(S_n, S)$.*

The modified bubble-sort graph $MBS(n)$ is the Cayley graph of S_n with respect to the set S of cyclically adjacent transpositions $\{(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (n, 1)\}$. Thus, $T(S) = C_n$ in this case.

The results that were mentioned above on the automorphism groups of Cayley graphs generated by transposition graphs that are particular trees, asymmetric trees, and arbitrary trees have been generalized in [7] to arbitrary transposition graphs that are connected and do not contain triangles or 4-cycles:

Theorem 13. [7] *Let $MBS(n) := \text{Cay}(S_n, S)$ be the modified bubble-sort graph. Let $t, k \in S$. If $tk \neq kt$, then the modified bubble-sort graph $MBS(4)$ does not contain a unique 6-cycle passing through e, t, k and a vertex at distance 3 from e , and $MBS(n)$ does contain a unique 6-cycle passing through e, t, k and a vertex at distance 3 from e for all $n \geq 5$.*

Lemma 14. [7] *If $s_i, s_{i+1} \in S$ are two adjacent transpositions in $T(S) = C_4$, then there are exactly eight distinct 6-cycles in $MBS(4)$ that contain e, s_i, s_{i+1} and a vertex at distance 3 from e . The total number of vertices in 6-cycles of $MBS(4)$ that contain e, s_i and s_{i+1} and that are at distance 3 from e is exactly 6.*

Theorem 15. [7] *The automorphism group of the modified bubble-sort graph $MBS(n)$ is isomorphic to $D_{2n}V_4R(S_n)$, if $n = 4$, and is isomorphic to $D_{2n}R(S_n)$, if $n \geq 5$. Here, D_{2n} is the dihedral group of order $2n$, V_4 is the Klein four-group, and $R(S_n)$ is the right regular representation of S_n .*

Theorem 16. [7] *The modified bubble-sort graph $MBS(n)$ is not normal if $n = 4$ and is normal if $n \geq 5$.*

Theorem 17. [7] *Let S be a set of transpositions generating S_n , let $T(S)$ denote the transposition graph, and let $\Gamma := \text{Cay}(S_n, S)$. Let $k, t \in S$ be distinct transpositions. If $tk \neq kt$ and $T(S)$ does not contain triangles or 4-cycles, then there is a unique 6-cycle in Γ containing e, t, k and a vertex at distance 3 from e . Moreover, if $T(S)$ does contain a triangle or a 4-cycle, then there exist $t, k \in S$ such that $tk \neq kt$ and such that there does not exist a unique 6-cycle in Γ containing e, t, k and a vertex at distance 3 from e .*

Theorem 18. [7] *Let S be a set of transpositions generating S_n such that the transposition graph $T(S)$ does not contain triangles or 4-cycles. Then, $\text{Cay}(S_n, S)$ is normal and has automorphism group isomorphic to $R(S_n) \rtimes \text{Aut}(S_n, S)$.*

We summarize these results on the automorphism group $A := \text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(S_n, S))$ as follows:

- If $T(S)$ is a star, $A \cong S_{n-1}R(S_n)$ [14]
- If $T(S)$ is a path, $A \cong Z_2R(S_n)$ [14]
- If $T(S)$ is an asymmetric tree, $A \cong S_n$ [10]
- If $T(S)$ is a tree, $A \cong R(S_n) \rtimes \text{Aut}(S_n, S)$ [5]
- If $T(S)$ is an n -cycle, $A \cong D_{2n}VR(S_n)$ if $n = 4$ and $A \cong D_{2n}R(S_n)$ if $n \geq 5$ [7]
- If $T(S)$ is connected and does not contain triangles or 4-cycles, then $A \cong R(S_n) \rtimes \text{Aut}(S_n, S)$ [7]

3 Other graph invariants

A very promising area of research is Cayley graphs generated by transposition sets, as was mentioned earlier. Besides the automorphism group, other properties of interest are: diameter, vertex connectivity, fault tolerance, indices of routings, diameter vulnerability, and distributed routing algorithms, among others. See [8] and the references therein for open problems and questions on the diameter of these families of Cayley graphs; see also the survey articles [16], [13]. Many of these problems have applications in interconnection networks.

The rich theory of hypercubes can be generalized to or investigated for other Cayley graphs. For example, when the transposition graph $T(S)$ belongs to a particular family of graphs - say trees, complete bipartite graphs, n disjoint copies of some simple graph, cycles, etc - much remains to be investigated.

References

- [1] N. L. Biggs. *Algebraic Graph Theory, 2nd Edition*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [2] N. L. Biggs. *Discrete Mathematics, 2nd Edition*. Oxford University Press, 2003.
- [3] B. Bollobás. *Modern Graph Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 184, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [4] J. D. Dixon and B. Mortimer. *Permutation Groups*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 163, Springer, 1993.
- [5] Y-Q. Feng. Automorphism groups of Cayley graphs on symmetric groups with generating transposition sets. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory Series B*, 96:67–72, 2006.
- [6] R. Frucht. On the groups of repeated graphs. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 55:418–420, 1949.
- [7] A. Ganesan. The automorphism group of Cayley graphs on symmetric groups generated by transposition sets and of the modified bubble-sort graph, Technical report. 19 pages, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1205.5199>, May 2012.
- [8] A. Ganesan. An efficient algorithm for the diameter of Cayley graphs generated by transposition trees. 20 pages, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1202.5888>, May 2012.
- [9] C. Godsil. on the full automorphism group of the graph. *Combinatorica*, 1:243–256, 1981.

- [10] C. Godsil and G. Royle. *Algebraic Graph Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics vol. 207, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [11] F. Harary. *Graph Theory*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1969.
- [12] F. Harary. Automorphism group of a hypercube. *Journal of Universal Computer Science*, 6(1):136–138, 2000.
- [13] M. C. Heydemann. Cayley graphs and interconnection networks. In *Graph symmetry: algebraic methods and applications (Editors: Hahn and Sabidussi)*, pages 167–226. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1997.
- [14] Q. Huang and Z. Zhang. On the Cayley graphs of $\text{Sym}(n)$ with respect to transposition connections. *submitted to Europ. J. Combin.*
- [15] M. Jerrum. The complexity of finding minimum length generator sequences. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 36:265–289, 1985.
- [16] S. Lakshmivarahan, J-S. Jho, and S. K. Dhall. Symmetry in interconnection networks based on Cayley graphs of permutation groups: A survey. *Parallel Computing*, 19:361–407, 1993.
- [17] L. Lovász. *Combinatorial Problems and Exercises, 2nd Edition*. AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2007.
- [18] Z. Zhang and Q. Huang. Automorphism groups of bubble sort graphs and modified bubble sort graphs. *Advances in Mathematics (China)*, 34(4):441–447, 2005.