CYCLIC CODES FROM APN AND PLANAR FUNCTIONS

CUNSHENG DING

ABSTRACT. Cyclic codes are a subclass of linear codes and have applications in consumer electronics, data storage systems, and communication systems as they have efficient encoding and decoding algorithms. In this paper, almost perfect nonlinear functions and planar functions over finite fields are employed to construct a number of classes of cyclic codes. Lower bounds on the minimum weight of some classes of the cyclic codes are developed. The minimum weights of some other classes of the codes constructed in this paper are determined. The dimensions of the codes are flexible. Many of the codes presented in this paper are optimal or almost optimal in the sense that they meet some bound on linear codes. Ten open problems regarding cyclic codes from highly nonlinear functions are also presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let *q* be a power of a prime *p*. A linear $[n, k, d]$ code over $GF(q)$ is a *k*-dimensional subspace of $GF(q)^n$ with minimum (Hamming) nonzero weight *d*. A linear [n, k] code C over the finite field GF(*q*) is called *cyclic* if $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$ ∈ *C* implies $(c_{n-1}, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-2})$ ∈ *C*. Let gcd(*n*,*q*) = 1. By identifying any vector $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in GF(q)^n$ with

$$
c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + \dots + c_{n-1} x^{n-1} \in \text{GF}(q)[x]/(x^n - 1),
$$

any code *C* of length *n* over GF(*q*) corresponds to a subset of GF(*q*)[*x*]/(*xⁿ* – 1). The linear code *C* is cyclic if and only if the corresponding subset in $GF(q)[x]/(x^{n}-1)$ is an ideal of the ring GF(*q*)[*x*]/(*xⁿ* − 1). It is well known that every ideal of GF(*q*)[*x*]/(*xⁿ* − 1) is principal. Let $C = (g(x))$ be a cyclic code. Then $g(x)$ is called the *generator polynomial* and $h(x) = (x^n - 1)/g(x)$ is referred to as the *parity-check* polynomial of *C*.

A vector $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in GF(q)^n$ is said to be *even-like* if $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i = 0$, and is *oddlike* otherwise. The minimum weight of the even-like codewords, respectively the odd-like codewords of a code is the minimum even-like weight, denoted by *deven*, respectively the minimum odd-like weight of the code, denoted by *dodd*. The *even-like subcode* of a linear code consists of all the even-like codewords of this linear code.

The error correcting capability of cyclic codes may not be as good as some other linear codes in general. However, cyclic codes have wide applications in storage and communication systems because they have efficient encoding and decoding algorithms [\[13,](#page-36-0) [20,](#page-37-0) [29\]](#page-37-1). For example, Reed-Solomon codes have found important applications from deep-space communication to consumer electronics. They are prominently used in consumer electronics such as CDs, DVDs, Blu-ray Discs, in data transmission technologies such as DSL & WiMAX, in broadcast systems such as DVB and ATSC, and in computer applications such as RAID 6 systems.

Date: August 19, 2018.

Key words and phrases. Almost perfect nonlinear functions, cyclic codes, linear span, planar functions, sequences.

2 CUNSHENG DING

Cyclic codes have been studied for decades and a lot of progress has been made (see for example, [\[3,](#page-36-1) [12,](#page-36-2) [25,](#page-37-2) [27\]](#page-37-3)). The total number of cyclic codes over $GF(q)$ and their constructions are closely related to cyclotomic cosets modulo *n*, and thus many areas of number theory. One way of constructing cyclic codes over GF(*q*) with length *n* is to use the generator polynomial

$$
(1) \qquad \qquad \frac{x^n - 1}{\gcd(S(x), x^n - 1)}
$$

where

$$
S(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_i x^i \in \text{GF}(q)[x]
$$

and $s^{\infty} = (s_i)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of period *n* over GF(*q*). Throughout this paper, we call the cyclic code C_s with the generator polynomial of [\(1\)](#page-1-0) the *code defined by the sequence* s^{∞} , and the sequence s^{∞} the *defining sequence* of the cyclic code C_s .

One basic question is whether good cyclic codes can be constructed with this approach. It will be demonstrated in this paper that the code C_s could be an optimal or almost optimal linear code if the sequence *s* [∞] is properly designed.

In this paper, almost perfect nonlinear (APN) functions and planar functions over $GF(q^m)$ will be employed to construct a number of classes of both binary and nonbinary cyclic codes. Lower bounds on the minimum weight of some classes of the cyclic codes are developed. The minimum weights of some other classes of the codes constructed in this paper are determined. The dimensions of the codes of this paper are flexible. Some of the codes obtained in this paper are optimal or almost optimal as they meet certain bounds on linear codes. Ten open problems regarding cyclic codes from highly nonlinear functions are also presented in this paper.

Our first motivation of this study is that the codes constructed in this paper are often optimal. Our second motivation is the simplicity of the constructions of the cyclic codes that may lead to efficient encoding and decoding algorithms.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we present basic notations and results of almost perfect nonlinear and planar functions, *q*-cyclotomic cosets, and sequences that will be employed in subsequent sections.

2.1. **Some notations fixed throughout this paper.** Throughout this paper, we adopt the following notations unless otherwise stated:

- *p* is a prime.
- *q* is a positive power of *p*.
- *m* is a positive integer.
- $r = q^m$.
- $n = q^m 1$.
- $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ associated with the integer addition modulo *n* and integer multiplication modulo *n* operations.
- α is a generator of GF(r)^{*}.
- $m_a(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of $a \in \text{GF}(r)$ over $\text{GF}(q)$.
- $\mathbb{N}_p(x)$ is a function defined by $\mathbb{N}_p(i) = 0$ if $i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $\mathbb{N}_p(i) = 1$ otherwise, where *i* is any nonnegative integer.
- Tr(*x*) is the trace function from $GF(r)$ to $GF(q)$.

2.2. **The** *q***-cyclotomic cosets modulo** $q^m - 1$. The *q*-cyclotomic coset containing *j* modulo *n* is defined by

$$
C_j = \{j,qj,q^2j,\cdots,q^{\ell_j-1}j\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_n
$$

where ℓ_j is the smallest positive integer such that $q^{\ell_j-1}j \equiv j \pmod{n}$, and is called the size of C_j . It is known that ℓ_j divides *n*. The smallest integer in C_j is called the *coset leader* of C_j . Let Γ denote the set of all coset leaders. By definition, we have

$$
\bigcup_{j\in\Gamma}C_j=\mathbb{Z}_n.
$$

2.3. **The linear span and minimal polynomial of sequences.** Let $s^L = s_0 s_1 \cdots s_{L-1}$ be a sequence over $GF(q)$. The *linear span* (also called *linear complexity*) of s^L is defined to be the smallest positive integer ℓ such that there are constants $c_0 = 1, c_1, \dots, c_\ell \in \text{GF}(q)$ satisfying

$$
-c_0s_i = c_1s_{i-1} + c_2s_{i-2} + \dots + c_ls_{i-\ell} \text{ for all } \ell \le i < L.
$$

In engineering terms, such a polynomial $c(x) = c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_lx^l$ is called the *feedback polynomial* of a shortest linear feedback shift register (LFSR) that generates *s L* . Such an integer always exists for finite sequences s^L . When *L* is ∞ , a sequence s^{∞} is called a semiinfinite sequence. If there is no such an integer for a semi-infinite sequence *s* [∞], its linear span is defined to be ∞. The linear span of the zero sequence is defined to be zero. For ultimately periodic semi-infinite sequences such an ℓ always exists.

Let *s* [∞] be a sequence of period *L* over GF(*q*). Any feedback polynomial of *s* [∞] is called a *characteristic polynomial*. The characteristic polynomial with the smallest degree is called the *minimal polynomial* of the periodic sequence *s* [∞]. Since we require that the constant term of any characteristic polynomial be 1, the minimal polynomial of any periodic sequence *s* [∞] must be unique. In addition, any characteristic polynomial must be a multiple of the minimal polynomial.

For periodic sequences, there are a few ways to determine their linear span and minimal polynomials. One of them is given in the following lemma [\[26\]](#page-37-4).

Lemma 2.1. Let s^{∞} be a sequence of period L over $GF(q)$. Define

$$
S^{L}(x) = s_0 + s_1 x + \dots + s_{L-1} x^{L-1} \in GF(q)[x].
$$

Then the minimal polynomial $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ *of* s^{∞} *is given by*

$$
\frac{x^L - 1}{\gcd(x^L - 1, S^L(x))}
$$

and the linear span L*^s of s*[∞] *is given by*

(3)
$$
L-\deg(\gcd(x^L-1,S^L(x))).
$$

The other one is given in the following lemma [\[1\]](#page-36-3)

Lemma 2.2. *Any sequence s[∞] <i>over* GF(*q*) *of period* $q^m - 1$ *has a unique expansion of the form*

$$
s_t = \sum_{i=0}^{q^m-2} c_i \alpha^{it}, \text{ for all } t \ge 0,
$$

 α *is a generator of* $GF(q^m)^*$ *and* $c_i \in GF(q^m)$ *. Let the index set* $I = \{i \mid c_i \neq 0\}$ *, then the minimal polynomial* $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ *of* s^{∞} *is*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = \prod_{i \in I} (1 - \alpha^i x),
$$

and the linear span of s^{∞} *is* |*I*|*.*

It should be noticed that in some references the reciprocal of $M_s(x)$ is called the minimal polynomial of the sequence *s* [∞]. So Lemma [2.2](#page-2-0) is a modified version of the original one in [\[1\]](#page-36-3).

2.4. **Perfect and almost perfect nonlinear functions on** $GF(r)$. A function $f: GF(r) \rightarrow$ GF(*r*) is called *almost perfect nonlinear (APN)* if

$$
\max_{a \in \text{GF}(r)^*} \max_{b \in \text{GF}(r)} |\{x \in \text{GF}(r) : f(x+a) - f(x) = b\}| = 2,
$$

and is referred to as *perfect nonlinear or planar* if

$$
\max_{a \in \text{GF}(r)^*} \max_{b \in \text{GF}(r)} |\{x \in \text{GF}(r) : f(x+a) - f(x) = b\}| = 1.
$$

There is no perfect nonlinear (planar) function on GF(2 *^m*). The following is a summary of known APN monomials x^e over $GF(2^m)$:

- $e = 2^m 2$, *m* odd ([\[2,](#page-36-4) [28\]](#page-37-5)).
- $e = 2^h + 1$ with $gcd(h, m) = 1$, where $1 \le h \le (m-1)/2$ if *m* is odd and $1 \le h \le$ (*m*−2)/2 if *m* is even ([\[21\]](#page-37-6)).
- $e = 2^{2h} 2^h + 1$ with $gcd(h, m) = 1$, where $1 \le h \le (m 1)/2$ if *m* is odd and 1 ≤ *h* ≤ (*m*−2)/2 if *m* is even ([\[23\]](#page-37-7)).
- $e = 2^{(m-1)/2} + 3$, where *m* is odd ([\[18,](#page-37-8) [24\]](#page-37-9)).
- $e = 2^{(m-1)/2} + 2^{(m-1)/4} 1$, where $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ([\[19,](#page-37-10) [24\]](#page-37-9)).
- $e = 2^{(m-1)/2} + 2^{(3m-1)/4} 1$, where $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ([\[19,](#page-37-10) [24\]](#page-37-9)).
- $e = 2^{4i} + 2^{3i} + 2^{2i} + 2^i 1$, where $m = 5i$ ([\[19\]](#page-37-10)).

A number of other types of APN functions $f(x)$ on $GF(2^m)$ were discovered in [\[5,](#page-36-5) [6,](#page-36-6) [7,](#page-36-7) [8\]](#page-36-8).

- The following is a summary of known APN monomials x^e over $GF(p)^m$ where p is odd:
- $e = 3, p > 3$ ([\[17\]](#page-37-11)). • $e = p^m - 2$, $p > 2$ and $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ([\[17\]](#page-37-11)). • $e = \frac{p^m-3}{2}, p \equiv 3,7 \pmod{20}, p^m > 7, p^m \neq 27 \text{ and } m \text{ is odd (}[17]).$ $e = \frac{p^m-3}{2}, p \equiv 3,7 \pmod{20}, p^m > 7, p^m \neq 27 \text{ and } m \text{ is odd (}[17]).$ $e = \frac{p^m-3}{2}, p \equiv 3,7 \pmod{20}, p^m > 7, p^m \neq 27 \text{ and } m \text{ is odd (}[17]).$ • $e = \frac{p^m+1}{4} + \frac{p^m-1}{2}, p^m \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$ ([\[17\]](#page-37-11)). • $e = \frac{p^m + 1}{4}$, $p^m \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ ([\[17\]](#page-37-11)). • $e = \frac{2p^m - 1}{3}$, $p^m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ([\[17\]](#page-37-11)). • $e = p^m - 3$, $p = 3$ and *m* is odd. • $e = p^l + 2$, $p^l \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $m = 2l \pmod{17}$. • $e = \frac{5^h + 1}{2}$, $p = 5$ and $gcd(2m, h) = 1$. • $e = (3^{(m+1)/4} - 1) (3^{(m+1)/2} + 1)$, $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and $p = 3$ [\[33\]](#page-37-12). • Let $p = 3$, and $e =$ $\int \frac{3^{(m+1)/2}-1}{2^{(m+1)/2}}$ if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ $\frac{3^{(m+1)/2}-1}{2} + \frac{3^m-1}{2}$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. • Let $p = 3$, and $e =$ $\int \frac{3^{m+1}-1}{8}$ if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ $\frac{3^{m+1}-1}{8} + \frac{3^m-1}{2}$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

• $e = \frac{5^m-1}{4} + \frac{5^{(m+1)/2}-1}{2}$, $p = 5$ and *n* is odd [\[33\]](#page-37-12).

The following is a list of some known palnar functions over p^m , p odd:

- $f(x) = x^2$.
- $f(x) = x^{p^h+1}$, where $m/gcd(m, h)$ is odd ([\[15\]](#page-36-9)).
- $f(x) = x^{(3^h+1)/2}$, where $p = 3$ and $gcd(m, h) = 1$ ([\[14\]](#page-36-10)).
- $f(x) = x^{10} u^2x^2$, where $p = 3$, $u \in \text{GF}(p^m)$, *m* is odd ([\[14,](#page-36-10) [16\]](#page-36-11)).

Recently, more planar functions were discovered in [\[31,](#page-37-13) [32,](#page-37-14) [33\]](#page-37-12).

In the sequel, some of the APN functions and all the planar functions on $GF(q^m)$ above will be employed to construct cyclic codes over GF(*q*).

2.5. **Codes defined by highly nonlinear functions.** Given any function $f(x)$ on $GF(r)$, we define its associated sequence *s* [∞] by

$$
(4) \t\t\t s_i = \text{Tr}(f(\alpha^i + 1))
$$

for all $i \geq 0$, where α is a generator of $GF(r)^*$ and $Tr(x)$ denotes the trace function from $GF(r)$ to $GF(q)$.

The objective of this paper is to consider the codes C_s defined by planar functions and APN functions over $GF(q^m)$. We need to treat the cases $q = 2$ and q being odd separately as planar functions on $GF(2^m)$ do not exist, while both APN and planar functions on $GF(q^m)$ exist when *q* is odd.

Highly nonlinear (i.e., almost perfect nonlinear, perfect nonlinear and bent) functions were employed to construct linear codes with good parameters in [\[9,](#page-36-12) [10,](#page-36-13) [11\]](#page-36-14). The approach to the constructions of cyclic codes with APN and planar functions employed in this paper is quite different.

3. BINARY CYCLIC CODES FROM APN FUNCTIONS ON GF(2 *m*)

Planar functions on $GF(2^m)$ do not exist. In this section, we treat binary cyclic codes derived from APN functions on $GF(2^m)$, and fix *q* to be 2 throughout this section.

3.1. **Binary cyclic codes from the inverse APN function.** In this subsection we study the code C_s defined by the inverse APN function on $GF(2^m)$. To this end, we need to prove the following lemma.

Let ρ_i denote the total number of even integers in the 2-cyclotomic coset C_i . We then define

(5)
$$
v_i = \frac{m p_i}{\ell_i} \mod 2
$$

for each $i \in \Gamma$, where $\ell_i = |C_i|$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* s^{∞} *be the sequence of* [\(4\)](#page-4-0), where $f(x) = x^{2^m-2}$. Then the linear span \mathbb{L}_s *of* s^{∞} *is equal to* $(n+1)/2$ *and the minimal polynomial* $M_s(x)$ *of* s^{∞} *is given by*

(6)
$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = \prod_{j \in \Gamma, \nu_j = 1} m_{\alpha^{-j}}(x)
$$

where $m_{\alpha j}(x)$ *is the minimal polynomial of* α^{j} *over* GF(2).

Proof. The linear span of this sequence was already determined in [\[30\]](#page-37-15). Below we prove only the conclusion on the minimal polynomial of this sequence.

It was proved in [\[30\]](#page-37-15) that

(7)
$$
s_t = \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} \alpha^{2it}\right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{j\in\Gamma} \sum_{u=0}^{\ell_j-1} \alpha^{jt2^u} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f_{j,i}\right),
$$

where

(8)
$$
f_{j,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (j2^{m-i} \mod n) \mod 2 = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

It then follows from (5) , (8) and (7) that

(9)
$$
s_t = \sum_{j \in \Gamma} \nu_j \left(\sum_{i \in C_j} (\alpha^t)^i \right).
$$

The desired conclusion on the minimal polynomial $M_s(x)$ then follows from Lemma [2.2](#page-2-0) and (9) .

The following theorem provides information on the code *C^s* and its dual.

Theorem 3.2. *The binary code C^s defined by the sequence of Lemma [3.1](#page-4-2) has parameters* $[2^m - 1, 2^{m-1} - 1, d]$ *and generator polynomial* $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ *of* [\(6\)](#page-4-3)*.*

*If m is odd, the minimum distance d of C^s is at least d*1*, where d*¹ *is the smallest positive even integer with* $d_1^2 - d_1 + 1 \ge n$ *, and the dual code* C_s^{\perp} *has parameters* $[2^m - 1, 2^{m-1}, d^{\perp}]$ *where* d^{\perp} *satisfies that* $(d^{\perp})^2 - d^{\perp} + 1 \ge n$ *.*

Proof. The dimensions of C_s and its dual follow from Lemma [3.1](#page-4-2) and the definitions of the codes C_s and C_s^{\perp} .

If *m* is odd, by Lemma [3.1,](#page-4-2) $m_1(x) = x - 1$ is a divisor of the generator polynomial $M_s(x)$ of C_s . Hence, all the codewords in C_s have even Hamming weights, i.e., C_s is an even-weight code. By definition, we have

(10)
$$
\rho_i + \rho_{n-i} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}
$$

for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Note that *m* is odd. It then follows from [\(10\)](#page-5-3) that

$$
v_i + v_{n-i} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}
$$

for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Hence, one and only one of $m_{\alpha^i}(x)$ and $m_{\alpha^{-i}}(x)$ is a divisor of $\mathbb{M}_s(x)/(x-1)$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{C}_s}$ denote the cyclic code with generator polynomial $\mathbb{M}_s(x)/(x-1)$. Then $\overline{C_s}$ contains C_s as its even-weight subcode. Using a similar approach to the proof of the square-root bound on the minimum weight for the quadratic residue codes, one proves the desired conclusions on the minimum weights of $\overline{C_s}$ and C_s^{\perp} .

Example 3.3. *Let* $m = 3$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ *. In this case, the three 2-cyclotomic cosets are*

$$
C_0 = \{0\}, C_1 = \{1, 2, 4\}, C_3 = \{3, 6, 5\}.
$$

The generator polynomial of the code C_s *is*

$$
M_s(x) = m_{\alpha^0}(x) m_{\alpha^{-3}}(x) = (x+1)(x^3 + x + 1) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1
$$

and C^s is a [7,3,4] *binary cyclic code. Its dual is a* [7,4,3] *cyclic code. Both codes are optimal.*

Example 3.4. *Let* $m = 5$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^5 + \alpha^2 + 1 = 0$ *. Then the generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = m_{\alpha^{0}}(x)m_{\alpha^{-3}}(x)m_{\alpha^{-5}}(x)m_{\alpha^{-15}}(x)
$$

\n
$$
= (x+1)(x^{5}+x^{3}+x^{2}+x+1) \times
$$

\n
$$
(x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{3}+x+1)(x^{5}+x^{2}+1)
$$

\n
$$
= x^{16}+x^{14}+x^{13}+x^{10}+x^{9}+x^{8}+x^{7}+x^{6}+x^{5}+x^{2}+x+1.
$$

and C^s is a [31,15,8] *binary cyclic code. Its dual is an* [31,16,7] *cyclic code. Both codes are optimal.*

When *m* is odd, the code C_s has the square-root bound, although *n* could be a composite number. In addition, the examples above show that the actual minimum weight could be much larger than the lower bound on the minimum weight.

When *m* is even, x^{-1} is not APN. In this case, the code C_s may not have a good minimum distance. For example, if $m = 4$, the code C_s has parameters [15,7,3]. So we are not interested in the case that *m* is even.

3.2. **Binary cyclic codes from the Gold APN function.** In this subsection we study the code C_s defined by the Gold APN function. To this end, we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let m be odd. Let s*[∞] *be the sequence of* [\(4\)](#page-4-0)*, where* $f(x) = x^{2^h + 1}$, gcd(*h*,*m*) = 1*. Then the linear span* \mathbb{L}_s *of s*[∞] *is equal to m* + 1 *and the minimal polynomial* $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ *of s*[∞] *is given by*

(11)
$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = (x-1)m_{\alpha^{-(2^h+1)}}(x)
$$

where $m_{\alpha^{-(2^h+1)}}(x)$ *is the minimal polynomial of* $\alpha^{-(2^h+1)}$ *over* GF(2)*.*

Proof. It is easily seen that

(12)
$$
s_t = 1 + \text{Tr}\left(\alpha^{t(2^h+1)}\right) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\alpha^t)^{(2^h+1)2^j}.
$$

By assumption, $gcd(h,m) = 1$. We have then $gcd(2^h - 1, 2^m - 1) = 1$. It then follows that

$$
\gcd(2^h+1, 2^m-1) = \gcd(2^{2h}-1, 2^m-1) = 2^{\gcd(2h,m)} - 1 = 1.
$$

Therefore, the size of the 2-cyclotomic coset contating $2^h + 1$ is *m*. The desired conclusions on the linear span and the minimal polynomial $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ then follow from Lemma [2.2](#page-2-0) and $(12).$ $(12).$

The following theorem provides information on the code *C^s* .

Theorem 3.6. Let m be odd. The binary code C_s defined by the sequence of Lemma [3.5](#page-6-1) has *parameters* $[2^m - 1, 2^m - 2 - m, d]$ *and generator polynomial* $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ *of* [\(11\)](#page-6-2)*, where* $d \geq 4$ *.*

Proof. The dimension of C_s follows from Lemma [3.5](#page-6-1) and the definition of the code C_s . We need to prove the conclusion on the minimum distance *d* of C_s . To this end, let $\overline{C_s}$ denote the cyclic code with generator polynomial $m_{\alpha^{-(2^h+1)}}(x)$. Then C_s is the even-weight subcode of $\overline{\mathcal{C}_s}$. Since $m_{\alpha^{-(2^h+1)}}(x)$ is a primitive polynomial with order $2^m - 1$, it does not divide $1 + x^j$ for any *j* with $2 \le j \le n - 1$. This means that the minimum weight \bar{d} of $\overline{C_s}$ is at least 3. Since *d* is even, we have then $d \geq 4$. 8 CUNSHENG DING

Example 3.7. *Let* $(m,h) = (3,1)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ *. Then C^s is a* [7,3,4] *binary code with generator polynomial*

$$
M_s(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1.
$$

Its dual is a [7,4,3] *cyclic code. Both codes are optimal.*

Example 3.8. *Let* $(m, h) = (5, 1)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^5 + \alpha^2 + 1 = 0$ *. Then the generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
M_s(x) = x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + 1
$$

and C^s is a [31,25,4] *binary cyclic code. Its dual is a* [31,6,15] *cyclic code. Both codes are optimal.*

Example 3.9. *Let* $(m,h) = (7,2)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^7 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ *. Then the generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^8 + x^4 + x + 1
$$

and C^s is a [127,119,4] *binary cyclic code. Its dual is a* [127,8,63] *cyclic code. Both codes are optimal.*

When m is odd, the code C_s is optimal by the sphere packing bound and may be equivalent to the even-weight subcode of the Hamming code. When *m* is even, one can prove that C_s is a $[2^m - 1, 2^m - 1 - m, 2]$ code and is almost optimal. We are not interested in this case as $d = 2$.

3.3. **Binary cyclic codes from the Welch APN function.** In this subsection we study the code C_s defined by the Welch APN function. Before doing this, we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.10. *Let* $m = 2t + 1 \ge 7$ *. Let* s^{∞} *be the sequence of [\(4\)](#page-4-0), where* $f(x) = x^{2^t + 3}$ *. Then the linear span* \mathbb{L}_s *of s*[∞] *is equal to* 5*m* + 1 *and the minimal polynomial* $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ *of s*[∞] *is given by*

$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = (x-1)m_{\alpha^{-1}}(x)m_{\alpha^{-3}}(x)m_{\alpha^{-(2^{t}+1)}}(x)m_{\alpha^{-(2^{t}+2)}}(x)m_{\alpha^{-(2^{t}+3)}}(x)
$$

(13)

where $m_{\alpha^{-j}}(x)$ *is the minimal polynomial of* α^{-j} *over* GF(2).

Proof. By definition, we have

$$
s_i = \text{Tr}\left((\alpha^i + 1)^{2^i + 2 + 1}\right)
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}\left((\alpha^i)^{2^i + 3} + (\alpha^i)^{2^i + 2} + (\alpha^i)^{2^i + 1} + (\alpha^i)^3 + \alpha^i + 1\right)
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\alpha^i)^{(2^i + 3)2^j} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\alpha^i)^{(2^i + 2)2^j} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\alpha^i)^{(2^i + 1)2^j} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\alpha^i)^{3 \times 2^j} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\alpha^i)^{2^j} + 1.
$$

We will prove that the following 2-cyclotomic cosets are pairwise disjoint:

(15)
$$
C_1, C_3, C_{2^t+1}, C_{2^t+2}, C_{2^t+3}.
$$

Note that two cyclotomic cosets are either identical or disjoint. Since *C*¹ contains only powers of 2, it cannot be identical with any of the remaining four 2-cyclotomic cosets.

We now prove that $C_3 \cap C_{2^t+j} = \emptyset$ for all $j \in \{1,2,3\}$. Define

$$
\Delta(h, j) = 3 \times 2^h - 2^t - j
$$

where $0 \le h \le m-1$. When $h = m-1 = 2t$, we have

$$
\Delta(h, j) = 2m - 1 + 2m-1 - 2t - (j - 1).
$$

Note that $0 < 2^{m-1} - 2^t - (j-1) < n$. It follows that *n* does not divide $\Delta(h, j)$ in this case. When $h \le m - 2 = 2t - 1$, it is easily checked that $\Delta(h, j) \ne 0$ for any *h* as $t \ge 3$. In this case we have

$$
-n < -(2t + j) \le \Delta(h, j) = 2m-1 + 2m-2 - 2t - j < n.
$$

Hence *n* does not divide $\Delta(h, j)$ for all $h \leq m - 2 = 2t - 1$. It then follows that $C_3 \cap C_{2^t + j} = \emptyset$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

One can similarly prove that the three cyclotomic cosets $C_{2^t+1}, C_{2^t+2}, C_{2^t+3}$ are pairwise disjoint. We omit the details here.

We now prove that all the five cyclotomic cosets of [\(15\)](#page-7-0) have size *m*. Clearly $\ell_1 = |C_1|$ = $\ell_{-1} = m$. Note that

$$
\gcd(2^{2t+1}-1,3)=\gcd(2^{2t+1}-1,2^2-1)=2^{\gcd(2t+1,2)}-1=1.
$$

We have $\ell_3 = |C_3| = \ell_{-3} = m$.

Since $gcd(2^{2t+1} - 1, 2^t - 1) = 1$, we have

$$
gcd(2^{2t+1} - 1, 2^t + 1) = gcd(2^{2t+1} - 1, 2^{2t} - 1)
$$

=
$$
2^{gcd(2t+1, 2t)} - 1
$$

= 1.

Hence $\ell_{2^t+1} = |C_{2^t+1}| = \ell_{-(2^t+1)} = m$. We now compute

$$
\gcd := \gcd(2^{2t+1} - 1, 2^t + 2) = \gcd(2^{2t+1} - 1, 2^{t-1} + 1).
$$

Note that

$$
2^{2t+1} - 1 = 2^{t+2}(2^{t-1} + 1) - (2^{t+2} + 1).
$$

We have $gcd = gcd(2^{t+2} + 1, 2^{t-1} + 1)$. Since

$$
2^{t+2} + 1 = 2^3(2^{t-1} + 1) - (2^3 - 1),
$$

we obtain that $gcd = gcd(2^{t-1} + 1, 2^3 - 1)$. Let $t_1 = \lfloor (t-1)/3 \rfloor$. Using the Euclidean division recursively, one gets

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\gcd &=& \gcd(2^{t-1-3t_1}+1, 2^3-1) \\
&=& \begin{cases}\n\gcd(2^0+1, 2^3-1) = 1 & \text{if } t-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\
\gcd(2^1+1, 2^3-1) = 1 & \text{if } t-1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\
\gcd(2^2+1, 2^3-1) = 1 & \text{if } t-1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}.\n\end{cases}\n\end{array}
$$

Therefore $\ell_{2^t+2} = |C_{2^t+2}| = \ell_{-(2^t+2)} = m$.

We now prove that $gcd := gcd(2^{2t+1} - 1, 2^t + 3) = 1$. The conclusion is true for all $1 \le t \le 4$. So we consider only the case that $t \ge 5$.

Note that

$$
2^{2t+1} - 1 = (2^{t+1} - 6)(2^t + 3) + 17.
$$

We have $gcd = gcd(2^t + 3, 17)$. Since

$$
2^{t} + 3 = 2^{t-4}(2^{4} + 1) - (2^{t-4} - 3),
$$

we obtain that $gcd = gcd(2^{t-4} - 3, 2^3 - 1)$. Let $t_1 = \lfloor t/4 \rfloor$. Using the Euclidean division recursively, one gets

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\gcd &=& \gcd(2^{t-1-4t_1} + 3 \times (-1)^{t_1}, 2^3 - 1) \\
&=& \left\{\begin{array}{rcl}\n\gcd(2^0 + (-1)^{t_1}3, 17) = 1 & \text{if } t \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\
\gcd(2^1 + (-1)^{t_1}3, 17) = 1 & \text{if } t \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\
\gcd(2^2 + (-1)^{t_1}3, 17) = 1 & \text{if } t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\
\gcd(2^3 + (-1)^{t_1}3, 17) = 1 & \text{if } t \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.\n\end{array}\right.\n\end{array}
$$

Therefore $\ell_{2^t+3} = |C_{2^t+3}| = \ell_{-(2^t+3)} = m$.

The desired conclusions on the linear span and the minimal polynomial $M_s(x)$ then follow from Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-0) [\(14\)](#page-7-1) and the conclusions on the five cyclotomic cosets and their sizes. \Box

The following theorem provides information on the code C_s .

Theorem 3.11. *Let* $m \ge 7$ *be odd. The binary code* C_s *defined by the sequence of Lemma* [3.10](#page-7-2) has parameters $[2^m - 1, 2^m - 2 - 5m, d]$ and generator polynomial $M_s(x)$ of [\(13\)](#page-7-3), *where* $d \geq 6$ *.*

Proof. The dimension of C_s follows from Lemma [3.10](#page-7-2) and the definition of the code C_s . We need to prove the conclusion on the minimum distance *d* of C_s . To this end, let $\overline{C_s}$ denote the cyclic code with generator polynomial $\mathbb{M}_s(x)/(x-1)$. Then \mathcal{C}_s is the evenweight subcode of $\overline{\mathcal{C}_s}$.

Note that

$$
C_1\cup C_{2^t+1}\cup C_{2^t+2}\cup C_{2^t+2}\supset \{2^t, 2^t+1, 2^t+2, 2^t+3\}.
$$

By the BCH bound, the cyclic code generated by the reciprocal of $\mathbb{M}_s(x)/(x-1)$ has minimum weight at least 5. So does $\overline{\mathcal{C}_s}$. Note that *d* is even. It then follows that $d \geq 6$. \Box

Example 3.12. Let $m = 3$ and α be a generator of $GF(2^m)^*$ with $\alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = 0$. Then C_s *is a* [7,3,4] *binary code with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1.
$$

Its dual is a [7,4,3] *cyclic code. Both codes are optimal.*

Example 3.13. Let $m = 5$ and α be a generator of $GF(2^m)^*$ with $\alpha^5 + \alpha^2 + 1 = 0$. Then *the generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
M_s(x) = x^{16} + x^{15} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^8 + x^6 + x^3 + 1
$$

and C^s is a [31,15,8] *binary cyclic code. Its dual is a* [31,16,7] *cyclic code. Both codes are optimal.*

Example 3.14. Let $m = 7$ and α be a generator of $GF(2^m)^*$ with $\alpha^7 + \alpha + 1 = 0$. Then the *generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
M_s(x) = x^{36} + x^{34} + x^{33} + x^{32} + x^{29} + x^{28} + x^{27} + x^{26} + x^{25} + x^{24} + x^{24} + x^{12} + x^{11} + x^9 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^3 + x + 1
$$

and C^s is a [127,91,8] *binary cyclic code.*

3.4. **Binary cyclic codes from the function** $f(x) = x^{2^h-1}$. Functions over GF(2^{*m*}) of the form $f(x) = x^{2^h-1}$ may have good nonlinearity [\[4\]](#page-36-15). Let *h* be a positive integer satistying the following condition:

(16)
$$
1 \le h \le \begin{cases} (m-1)/2 \text{ if } m \text{ is odd and} \\ (m-2)/2 \text{ if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}
$$

In this subsection, we deal with the binary code C_s defined by the sequence s^{∞} of [\(4\)](#page-4-0), where $f(x) = x^{2h-1}$. The binary code of this subsection can be viewed as a special case of the code of Section [4.4.](#page-24-0) However, in the special case $q = 2$ we are able to obtain better results, and will need the special techniques of this subsection to handle the codes of Section [3.6.](#page-16-0) Hence, we have to treat the code of this subsection here separately.

We first prove a number of auxiliary results on 2-cyclotomic cosets, which are stated in the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.15. *For any j with* $1 \le j \le 2^h$ *, the size* $\ell_j = |C_j| = m$ *.*

Proof. Let $1 \le j \le 2^h - 1$, and let *u* and *v* be any two integers with $m - 1 \ge u > v \ge 0$. Define

$$
\Delta(j, u, v) = j2^u - j2^v = 2^v j(2^{u-v} - 1).
$$

Note that

$$
\gcd(2^{u-v}-1,2^m-1)=2^{\gcd(u-v,m)}-1.
$$

If $u - v = 1$, $\Delta(j, u, v) = 2^v j$ is not divisble by $n = 2^m - 1$. We now consider the case that *m*−1 ≥ *u*−*v* ≥ 2. In this case, gcd(*u* − *v*,*m*) < *m*. It then follows that

$$
\gcd(2^{u-v}-1,2^m-1) \le 2^{m/2}-1.
$$

Note that

$$
1 \le j \le 2^h - 1 \le 2^{(m-1)/2} - 1.
$$

It then follows that

$$
1\leq j\gcd(2^{u-v}-1,2^m-1)\leq (2^{m/2}-1)(2^{(m-1)/2}-1)<2^m-1.
$$

Hence $\Delta(j, u, v) \neq 0 \pmod{n}$ in the case $m - 1 \geq u - v \geq 2$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.16. For any pair of distinct odd i and odd j in the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^h-1\}$, $C_i \cap C_j =$ 0/*, i.e., they cannot be in the same* 2*-cyclotomic coset modulo n.*

Proof. Define

$$
\Delta_1 = i2^u - j
$$
 and
$$
\Delta_2 = j2^{m-u} - i
$$
.

Because *i* and *j* both are odd, $\Delta_i \neq 0$ for both *i*.

Suppose that *i* and *j* are in the same cyclotomic coset. Then *n* divides both Δ_1 and Δ_2 . We distinguish between the following two cases. When $u \leq h+1$, we have

$$
-n < -(2h - 2) \le 1 - j \le \Delta_1 \le (2h - 1)2h+1 - j < n.
$$

In this case $\Delta_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Hence, we have reached a contradiction.

When $u \ge h + 2$, we have $m - u \le m - h - 2$ and

$$
-n < -(2h - 2) \le 1 - i \le \Delta_2 \le (2h - 1)2m-h-2 - j < n.
$$

In this case $\Delta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$. Hence, we have also reached a contradiction. This completes the proof. \Box

We need to do more preparations before presenting and proving the main results of this subsection. Let *t* be a positive integer. We define $T = 2^t - 1$. For any odd $a \in$ $\{1,2,3,\cdots,T\}$ we define

$$
\mathbf{\varepsilon}_a^{(t)} = \left\lceil \log_2 \frac{T}{a} \right\rceil
$$

and

$$
B_a^{(t)} = \left\{ 2^i a : i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, \varepsilon_a^{(t)} - 1 \right\}.
$$

Then it can be verified that

$$
\bigcup_{1 \le 2a+1 \le T} B_{2a+1}^{(t)} = \{1, 2, 3, \cdots, T\}
$$

and

$$
B_a^{(t)} \cap B_b^{(t)} = \emptyset
$$

for any pair of distinct odd numbers *a* and *b* in $\{1,2,3,\dots,T\}$.

The following lemma follows directly from the definitions of $\varepsilon_a^{(t)}$ and $B_a^{(t)}$.

Lemma 3.17. *Let a be an odd integer in* $\{0, 1, 2, \cdots, T\}$ *. Then*

$$
B_a^{(t+1)} = B_a^{(t)} \cup \{a2^{e_a^{(t)}}\} \text{ if } 1 \le a \le 2^t - 1,
$$

\n
$$
B_a^{(t+1)} = \{a\} \text{ if } 2^t + 1 \le a \le 2^{t+1} - 1,
$$

\n
$$
\varepsilon_a^{(t+1)} = \varepsilon_a^{(t)} + 1 \text{ if } 1 \le a \le 2^t - 1,
$$

\n
$$
\varepsilon_a^{(t+1)} = 1 \text{ if } 2^t + 1 \le a \le 2^{t+1} - 1.
$$

Lemma 3.18. Let N_t denote the total number of odd $\epsilon_a^{(t)}$ when a ranges over all odd *numbers in the set* $\{1, 2, \cdots, T\}$ *. Then* $N_1 = 1$ *and*

$$
N_t = \frac{2^t + (-1)^{t-1}}{3}
$$

for all $t \geq 2$ *.*

Proof. It is easily checked that $N_2 = 1$, $N_3 = 3$ and $N_4 = 5$. It follows from Lemma [3.17](#page-11-0) that $N_t = 2^{t-2} + (2^{t-2} - N_{t-1}).$

Hence

$$
N_t - 2^{t-2} = 2^{t-3} - (N_{t-1} - 2^{t-3}) = 3 \times 2^{t-4} + (N_{t-2} - 2^{t-4}).
$$

With the recurcive application of this recurrence formula, one obtains the desired formula for N_t . . В последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последн
В последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последнит

Lemma 3.19. *Let s*^{∞} *be the sequence of* [\(4\)](#page-4-0), where $f(x) = x^{2^h-1}$, $h \ge 2$ *and h satisfies the conditions of* [\(16\)](#page-10-0)*. Then the linear span* \mathbb{L}_s *of* s^{∞} *is given by*

(17)
$$
\mathbb{L}_s = \begin{cases} \frac{m(2^h + (-1)^{h-1})}{3} \text{ if } m \text{ is even} \\ \frac{m(2^h + (-1)^{h-1}) + 3}{3} \text{ if } m \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
$$

We have then

(18)
$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = (x-1)^{\mathbb{N}_{2}(m)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq 2j+1 \leq 2^{h}-1 \\ \epsilon_{2j+1}^{\{h\}} \text{ mod } 2=1}} m_{\alpha^{-(2j+1)}}(x),
$$

where $m_{\alpha^{-j}}(x)$ *is the minimal polynomial of* α^{-j} *over* GF(2)*.*

Proof. We have

(19)

$$
Tr(f(x+1)) = Tr((x+1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} 2^{i}})
$$

\n
$$
= Tr\left(\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} (x^{2^{i}} + 1)\right)
$$

\n
$$
= Tr\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^{h}-1} x^{i}\right)
$$

\n
$$
= Tr(1) + Tr\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^{h}-1} x^{i}\right)
$$

\n
$$
= Tr(1) + Tr\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq 2^{i}+1 \leq 2^{h}-1 \\ e^{(h)} \mod 2}} x^{2^{i}+1}\right)
$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma [3.16.](#page-10-1)

By definition, the sequence of [\(4\)](#page-4-0) is given by $s_t = \text{Tr}(f(\alpha^{t} + 1))$ for all $t \ge 0$. The desired conclusions on the linear span and the minimal polynomial $M_s(x)$ then follow from Lemmas [2.2,](#page-2-0) [3.15,](#page-10-2) [3.16,](#page-10-1) [3.18](#page-11-1) and Equation [\(19\)](#page-12-0).

The following theorem provides information on the code *C^s* .

Theorem 3.20. *Let* $h \geq 2$ *. The binary code* C_s *defined by the binary sequence of Lemma* [3.19](#page-11-2) has parameters $[2^m - 1, 2^m - 1 - \mathbb{L}_s, d]$ and generator polynomial $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ of [\(18\)](#page-11-3), where L*s is given in [\(17\)](#page-11-4) and*

$$
d \ge \begin{cases} 2^{h-2} + 2 \text{ if } m \text{ is odd and } h > 2 \\ 2^{h-2} + 1. \end{cases}
$$

Proof. The dimension of C_s follows from Lemma [3.19](#page-11-2) and the definition of the code C_s . We now derive the lower bounds on the minimum weight *d* of the code. It is well known that the codes generated by $M_s(x)$ and its reciprocal have the same weight distribution. It follows from Lemmas [3.19](#page-11-2) and [3.17](#page-11-0) that the reciprocal of $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ has zeros α^{2j+1} for all *j* in $\{2^{h-2}, 2^{h-2} + 1, \dots, 2^{h-1} - 1\}$. By the Hartman-Tzeng bound, we have *d* ≥ $2^{h-2} + 1$. If *m* is odd, C_s is an even-weight code. In this case, $d \ge 2^{h-2} + 2$.

Example 3.21. *Let* $(m, h) = (3, 2)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ *. Then C^s is a* [7,3,4] *binary code with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1.
$$

Its dual is a [7,4,3] *cyclic code. Both codes are optimal.*

Example 3.22. *Let* $(m, h) = (5, 2)$ *and* α *be a generator of* GF $(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^5 + \alpha^2 + 1 = 0$ *. Then the generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + 1
$$

and C^s is a [31,25,4] *binary cyclic code and optimal.*

Example 3.23. *Let* $(m, h) = (7, 2)$ *and* α *be a generator of* GF $(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^7 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ *. Then the generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
M_s(x) = x^8 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1
$$

and C^s is a [127,119,4] *binary cyclic code and optimal.*

Example 3.24. *Let* $(m,h) = (7,3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^7 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ *. Then the generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
M_s(x) = x^{22} + x^{21} + x^{20} + x^{18} + x^{17} + x^{16} + x^{14} + x^{13} + x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + 1
$$

and C_s *is a* [127, 105,*d*] *binary cyclic code, where* $4 \le d \le 8$ *.*

Remark 3.25. *The code* C_s *of Theorem* [3.20](#page-12-1) *may be bad when* $gcd(h, m) \neq 1$ *. In this case the function* $f(x) = x^{2^h-1}$ *is not a permutation of* $GF(2^m)$ *. For example, when* (m,h) = (6,3)*, C^s is a* [63,45,3] *binary cyclic code, while the best known linear code has parameters* [63,45,8]*.*

3.5. **Binary cyclic codes from the first Niho APN function.** The first Niho APN function is defined by $f(x) = x^e$, where $e = 2^{(m-1)/2} + 2^{(m-1)/4} - 1$ and $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Define $h = (m-1)/4$. We have then

$$
\operatorname{Tr}(f(x+1)) = \operatorname{Tr}\left((x^{2^{2h}}+1)(x+1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} 2^i}\right)
$$

$$
= \operatorname{Tr}\left((x^{2^{2h}}+1)\prod_{i=0}^{h-1} (x^{2^i}+1)\right)
$$

$$
= \operatorname{Tr}\left((x^{2^{2h}}+1)\sum_{i=0}^{2^h-1} x^i\right)
$$

$$
= 1 + \operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^h-1} x^{i+2^{2h}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^h-1} x^i\right).
$$
 (20)

The sequence s^{∞} of [\(4\)](#page-4-0) defined by the first Niho function is then given by

(21)
$$
s_{t} = 1 + \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^{h}-1} (\alpha^{t})^{i+2^{2h}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^{h}-1} (\alpha^{t})^{i}\right)
$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where α is a generator of $GF(2^m)^*$. In this subsection, we deal with the code C_s defined by the sequence s^{∞} of [\(21\)](#page-13-0). To this end, we need to prove a number of auxilary results on 2-cyclotomic cosets.

We define the following two sets for convenience:

$$
A = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, 2^{h} - 1\}, B = 2^{2h} + A = \{i + 2^{2h} : i \in A\}.
$$

Lemma 3.26. *For any* $j \in B$ *, the size* $\ell_j = |C_j| = m$ *.*

Proof. Let $j = i + 2^{2h}$, where $i \in A$. For any *u* with $1 \le u \le m - 1$, define

$$
\Delta_1(j, u) = j(2^u - 1) = (i + 2^{2h})(2^u - 1),
$$

\n
$$
\Delta_2(j, u) = j(2^{m-u} - 1) = (i + 2^{2h})(2^{m-u} - 1).
$$

If $\ell_j < m$, there would be an integer $1 \le u \le m-1$ such that $\Delta_t(j, u) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ for all $t \in \{1,2\}.$

Note that $1 \le u \le m - 1$. We have that $\Delta_1(j, u) \ne 0$ and $\Delta_2(j, u) \ne 0$. When $u \le$ *m*−2*h*−1, we have

$$
2^{h} \le \Delta_1(j, u) \le (2^{2h} + 2^{h} - 1)(2^{m-2h-1} - 1) < n.
$$

In this case, $\Delta_1(j, u) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

When $u \ge m - 2h$, we have $m - u \le 2h$ and

 $2^h \leq \Delta_2(j, u) \leq (2^{2h} + 2^h - 1)(2^{2h} - 1) < n.$

In this case, $\Delta_2(j, u) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

Combining the conclusions of the two cases above completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 3.27. *For any pair of distinct i and j in B, C_i ∩ C_j = 0, <i>i.e., they cannot be in the same* 2*-cyclotomic coset modulo n.*

Proof. Let
$$
i = i_1 + 2^{2h}
$$
 and $j = j_1 + 2^{2h}$, where $i_1 \in A$ and $j_1 \in A$. Define
\n
$$
\Delta_1(i, j, u) = i2^u - j = (i_1 + 2^{2h})2^u - (j_1 + 2^{2h}),
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_2(i, j, u) = j2^{m-u} - i = (j_1 + 2^{2h})2^{m-u} - (i_1 + 2^{2h}).
$$

If $C_i = C_j$, there would be an integer $1 \le u \le m - 1$ such that $\Delta_t(i, j, u) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ for all *t* ∈ {1,2}.

We first prove that $\Delta_1(i, j, u) \neq 0$. When $u = 0$, $\Delta_1(i, j, u) = i_1 - j_1 \neq 0$. When $1 \leq u \leq 0$ *m*−1, we have

$$
\Delta_1(i, j, u) \ge 2i_1 + 2^{2h+1} - 2^{2h} - j_1 > 0.
$$

Since $1 \le u \le m-1$, one can similarly prove that $\Delta_2(i, j, u) > 0$. When $u \le m - 2h - 1$, we have

$$
-n < -2^{2h} \le \Delta_1(i, j, u) \le (2^{2h} + 2^h - 1)(2^{m-2h-1} - 1) < n.
$$

In this case, $\Delta_1(i, j, u) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

When $u \ge m - 2h$, we have $m - u \le 2h$ and

$$
0 < \Delta_2(i, j, u) \le (2^{2h} + 2^h - 1)2^{2h} - i_1 - 2^h < n.
$$

In this case, $\Delta_2(i, j, u) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

Combining the conclusions of the two cases above completes the proof. \Box

Lemma 3.28. *For any* $i + 2^{2h} \in B$ *and odd* $j \in A$ *,*

(22)
$$
C_{i+2^{2h}} \cap C_j = \begin{cases} C_j & \text{if } (i,j) = (0,1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Proof. Define

$$
\Delta_1(i, j, u) = j2^u - (i + 2^{2h}),
$$

\n
$$
\Delta_2(i, j, u) = (i + 2^{2h})2^{m-u} - j.
$$

Suppose $C_{i+2^{2h}} = C_j$, there would be an integer $0 \le u \le m-1$ such that $\Delta_t(i, j, u) \equiv 0$ (mod *n*) for all $t \in \{1,2\}$.

If $u = 2h$, then

$$
0 \equiv \Delta_1(i, j, u) \equiv 2^{2h+1}(j2^{2h} - (i + 2^{2h})) \pmod{n}
$$

\n
$$
\equiv j2^m - i2^{2h+1} - 2^m \pmod{n}
$$

\n
$$
\equiv j - 1 - i2^{2h+1} \pmod{n}
$$

\n
$$
= j - 1 - i2^{2h+1}.
$$

Whence, the only solution of $\Delta_1(i, j, 2h) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ is $(i, j) = (0, 1)$.

We now consider the case that $0 \le u < 2h$. We claim that $\Delta_1(i, j, u) \ne 0$. Suppose on the contrary that $\Delta_1(i, j, u) = 0$. We would then have

$$
j2^u - i - 2^{2h} = 0.
$$

Because $u < 2h$ and *j* is odd, there is an odd i_1 such that $i = 2^u i_1$. It then follows from $i < 2^h$ that $u < h$. We obtain then

$$
j = i_1 + 2^{2h-u} > i_1 + 2^h > 2^h - 1.
$$

This is contrary to the assumption that *j* ∈ *A*. This proves that $\Delta_1(i, j, u) \neq 0$.

Finally, we deal with the case that $2h+1 \le u < 4h = m-1$. We prove that $\Delta_2(i, j, u) \neq 0$ (mod *n*) in this case. Since *j* is odd, $\Delta_2(i, j, u) \neq 0$. We have also

$$
\Delta_2(i,j,u) = i2^{m-u} + 2^{m+2h-u} - j
$$

\n
$$
\leq (2^h - 1)2^{m-u} + 2^{m-1} - j
$$

\n
$$
\leq 2^{m-(h-1)} + 2^{m-1} - j
$$

\n
$$
< n.
$$

Clearly, $\Delta_2(i, j, u) > -j > -n$. Hence in this case we have $\Delta_2(i, j, u) \neq 0 \pmod{n}$. Summarizing the conclusions above proves this lemma.

Lemma 3.29. *Let* $m \geq 9$ *be odd. Let* s^{∞} *be the sequence of* [\(21\)](#page-13-0)*. Then the linear span* \mathbb{L}_s *of s*[∞] *is given by*

(23)
$$
\mathbb{L}_{s} = \begin{cases} \frac{m(2^{(m+7)/4} + (-1)^{(m-5)/4}) + 3}{3} \text{ if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ \frac{m(2^{(m+7)/4} + (-1)^{(m-5)/4} - 6) + 3}{3} \text{ if } m \equiv 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}
$$

We have also

$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = (x - 1)^{2 \tfrac{m-1}{4} - 1} m \underset{\alpha^{-i-2} = 2^{m-1} \atop s \geq j+1 \leq 2^{m-1} \text{ mod } 2^{m-1} \atop s \geq j+1 \text{ mod } 2^{m}} m_{\alpha^{-2}j-1}(x)
$$

if m ≡ 1 (mod 8)*; and*

$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = (x - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{2^{\frac{m-1}{4}} - 1} m \prod_{\substack{\alpha^{-i-2} \ \alpha^{-i-2} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha^{(m-1)/4} \\ \epsilon^{(m-1)/4} \\ 0 \text{ mod } 2 = 1}} m_{\alpha^{-2j-1}}(x)
$$

if $m \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, where $m_{\alpha^{-j}}(x)$ *is the minimal polynomial of* α^{-j} *over* GF(2) *and* $\epsilon_{2j}^{(h)}$ 2 *j*+1 *was defined in Section [3.1.](#page-4-4)*

Proof. By Lemma [3.27,](#page-14-0) the monomials in the function

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^h-1} x^{i+2^{2h}}\right)
$$

will not cancel each other. Lemmas [3.18](#page-11-1) and [3.19](#page-11-2) say that after cancellation, we have

(25)
$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2^h-1} x^i\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq 2j+1 \leq 2^h-1 \\ \epsilon_{2j+1}^{(h)}}} x^{2j+1}\right).
$$

By Lemma [3.28,](#page-14-1) the monomials in the function of [\(24\)](#page-15-0) will not cancel the monomials in the function in the right-hand side of [\(25\)](#page-15-1) if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, and only the term $x^{2^{2h}}$ in

the function of [\(24\)](#page-15-0) cancels the monomial *x* in the function in the right-hand side of [\(25\)](#page-15-1) if $m \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$.

The desired conclusions on the linear span and the minimal polynomial $M_s(x)$ then follow from Lemmas [2.2,](#page-2-0) [3.26,](#page-13-1) and Equation [\(20\)](#page-13-2). \Box

The following theorem provides information on the code *C^s* .

Theorem 3.30. *Let* $m \geq 9$ *be odd. The binary code* C_s *defined by the sequence of* [\(21\)](#page-13-0) *has* p arameters $[2^m - 1, 2^m - 1 - \mathbb{L}_s, d]$ and generator polynomial $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$, where \mathbb{L}_s and $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ *are given in Lemma [3.29](#page-15-2) and the minimum weight d has the following bounds:*

(26)
$$
d \ge \begin{cases} 2^{(m-1)/4} + 2 & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ 2^{(m-1)/4} & \text{if } m \equiv 5 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}
$$

Proof. The dimension and the generator polynomial of C_s follow from Lemma [3.29](#page-15-2) and the definition of the code C_s . We now derive the lower bounds on the minimum weight *d*. It is well known that the codes generated by $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ and its reciprocal have the same weight distribution. The reciprocal of $M_s(x)$ has the zeros $\alpha^{i+2^{2h}}$ for all *i* in $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^h - 1\}$ if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, and for all *i* in $\{1, 2, \dots, 2^h - 1\}$ if $m \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$. Note that C_s is an even-weight code. Then the desired bounds on *d* follow from the BCH bound.

Example 3.31. *Let* $m = 5$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^5 + \alpha^2 + 1 = 0$ *. Then the generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
M_s(x) = x^6 + x^3 + x^2 + 1
$$

and C^s is a [31,25,4] *binary cyclic code and optimal.*

Example 3.32. Let $m = 9$ and α be a generator of $GF(2^m)^*$ with $\alpha^9 + \alpha^4 + 1 = 0$. Then *the generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
M_s(x) = x^{46} + x^{45} + x^{41} + x^{40} + x^{39} + x^{36} + x^{35} + x^{34} + x^{35} + x^{26} + x^{27} + x^{26} + x^{25} + x^{24} + x^{22} + x^{21} + x^{20} + x^{19} + x^{14} + x^{12} + x^7 + x^4 + x^2 + x + 1
$$

and C_s *is a* [511,465,*d*] *binary cyclic code, where* $d \geq 6$ *. The actual minimum weight may be larger than 6.*

3.6. **Binary cyclic codes from the Kasami APN function.** The Kasami APN function is defined by $f(x) = x^e$, where $e = 2^{2h} - 2^h + 1$ and $gcd(m, h) = 1$. The case $h = 1$ is covered by the Gold APN function. In this subsection, we have the following additional restrictions on *h*:

(27)
$$
2 \le h \le \begin{cases} \frac{m-1}{4} & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{m-3}{4} & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{m-4}{4} & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \frac{m-2}{4} & \text{if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}
$$

Note that

$$
Tr(f(x+1)) = Tr((x+1)(x+1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} 2^{h+i}})
$$

\n
$$
= Tr((x+1)) \prod_{i=0}^{h-1} (x^{2^{h+i}} + 1)
$$

\n
$$
= Tr((x+1)) \sum_{i=0}^{2^h-1} x^{2^{h_i}})
$$

\n
$$
= Tr(\sum_{i=0}^{2^h-1} x^{2^{h_i}+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{2^h-1} x^{2^{h_i}})
$$

\n
$$
= Tr(\sum_{i=0}^{2^h-1} x^{2^{h_i}+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{2^h-1} x^i)
$$

\n(28)
\n
$$
= Tr(\sum_{i=0}^{2^h-1} x^{i+2^{m-h}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^h-1} x^i) + 1.
$$

The sequence *s* [∞] of [\(4\)](#page-4-0) defined by the Kasami function is then

(29)
$$
s_t = \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2^h-1} (\alpha^t)^{i+2^{m-h}} + \sum_{i=1}^{2^h-1} (\alpha^t)^i\right) + 1
$$

for all $t \geq 0$, where α is a generator of $GF(2^m)^*$.

In this subsection, we deal with the code \mathcal{C}_s defined by the sequence s^{∞} of [\(29\)](#page-17-0). It is noticed that the final expression of the function of [\(28\)](#page-17-1) is of the same format as that of the function of [\(20\)](#page-13-2). The proofs of the lemmas and theorems in this subsection are very similar to those of Section [3.4.](#page-10-3) Hence, we present only the main results without providing proofs.

We define the following two sets for convenience:

$$
A = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots, 2^{h} - 1\}, B = 2^{m-h} + A = \{i + 2^{m-h} : i \in A\}.
$$

Lemma 3.33. *Let h satisfy the conditions of [\(27\)](#page-16-1). For any* $j \in B$ *, the size* $\ell_j = |C_j| = m$.

Proof. The proof of Lemma [3.26](#page-13-1) is easily modified into a proof for this lemma. The detail is left to the reader. \Box

Lemma 3.34. *Let h satisfy the conditions of [\(27\)](#page-16-1). For any pair of distinct i and j in B,* $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$, *i.e., they cannot be in the same 2-cyclotomic coset modulo n.*

Proof. The proof of Lemma [3.27](#page-14-0) is easily modified into a proof for this lemma. The detail is left to the reader. \Box

Lemma 3.35. *Let h satisfy the conditions of [\(27\)](#page-16-1). For any* $i + 2^{m-h} \in B$ *and odd* $j \in A$ *,*

(30)
$$
C_{i+2^{m-h}} \cap C_j = \begin{cases} C_j & \text{if } (i,j) = (0,1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma [3.28](#page-14-1) is easily modified into a proof for this lemma. The detail is left to the reader. \Box

Lemma 3.36. *Let h satisfy the conditions of [\(27\)](#page-16-1). Let s*[∞] *be the sequence of [\(29\)](#page-17-0). Then the linear span* L*^s of s*[∞] *is given by*

(31)
$$
\mathbb{L}_{s} = \begin{cases} \frac{m(2^{(h+2} + (-1)^{h-1}) + 3}{3} & \text{if } h \text{ is even} \\ \frac{m(2^{h+2} + (-1)^{h-1} - 6) + 3}{3} & \text{if } h \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
$$

We have also

$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = (x - 1) \prod_{i=0}^{2^{h}-1} m_{\alpha^{-i-2^{m-h}}}(x) \prod_{\substack{1 \leq 2j+1 \leq 2^{h}-1 \\ \epsilon_{2j+1}^{h} \mod 2 = 1}} m_{\alpha^{-2j-1}}(x)
$$

if h is even; and

$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = (x - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{2^{h}-1} m_{\alpha^{-i-2^{m-h}}}(x) \prod_{\substack{3 \le 2j+1 \le 2^{h}-1 \ \varepsilon_{j+1}^{h} \text{ mod } 2 = 1}} m_{\alpha^{-2j-1}}(x)
$$

if h is odd, where $m_{\alpha^{-j}}(x)$ *is the minimal polynomial of* α^{-j} *over* GF(2) *and* $\epsilon_{2j+1}^{(h)}$ *was defined in Section [3.1.](#page-4-4)*

Proof. The proof of Lemma [3.29](#page-15-2) is easily modified into a proof for this lemma. The detail is left to the reader. \Box

The following theorem provides information on the code C_s .

Theorem 3.37. *Let h satisfy the conditions of [\(27\)](#page-16-1). The binary code C^s defined by the sequence of* [\(29\)](#page-17-0) has parameters $[2^m - 1, 2^m - 1 - \mathbb{L}_s, d]$ and generator polynomial $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$, *where* \mathbb{L}_s *and* $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ *are given in Lemma* [3.36](#page-18-0) *and the minimum weight d has the following bounds:*

(32)
$$
d \ge \begin{cases} 2^h + 2 & \text{if } h \text{ is even} \\ 2^h & \text{if } h \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
$$

Proof. The proof of Lemma [3.30](#page-16-2) is easily modified into a proof for this lemma with the helps of the lemmas presented in this subsection. The detail is left to the reader.

Example 3.38. *Let* $(m, h) = (3, 2)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ *. Then C^s is a* [7,3,4] *binary code with generator polynomial*

$$
M_s(x) = x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1.
$$

Its dual is a [7,4,3] *cyclic code. Both codes are optimal. In this example, the condition of [\(27\)](#page-16-1) is not satisfied. So the conclusions on the code of this example may not agree with the conclusions of Theorem [3.37.](#page-18-1)*

Example 3.39. *Let* $(m, h) = (5, 2)$ *and* α *be a generator of* GF $(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^5 + \alpha^2 + 1 = 0$ *. Then the generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
M_s(x) =
$$

x¹⁶ + x¹⁴ + x¹⁰ + x⁹ + x⁸ + x⁷ + x⁵ + x⁴ + x³ + x² + x + 1

and C^s is a [31,15,8] *binary cyclic code. Its dual is a* [31,16,7] *cyclic code. Both codes are optimal. In this example, the condition of [\(27\)](#page-16-1) is not satisfied. So the conclusions on the code of this example do not agree with the conclusions of Theorem [3.37.](#page-18-1)*

Example 3.40. *Let* $(m,h) = (7,2)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^7 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ *. Then the generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
M_s(x) = x^{36} + x^{28} + x^{27} + x^{23} + x^{21} + x^{20} + x^{18} + x^{13} + x^{12} + x^9 + x^7 + x^6 + x^5 + 1
$$

and C^s is a [127,91,8] *binary cyclic code.*

In this subsection, we obtained interesting results on the code C_s under the conditions of [\(27\)](#page-16-1). When *h* is outside the ranges, it may be hard to determine the dimension of the code C_s , let alone the minimum weight *d* of the code. Hence, it would be nice if the following open problem can be solved.

Open Problem 3.41. *Determine the dimension and the minimum weight of the code C^s defined by the Kasami APN power function when h satisfies*

(33)
$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{m-1}{2} \geq h > \frac{m-1}{4} \text{ if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\
\frac{m-3}{2} \geq h > \frac{m-3}{4} \text{ if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \\
\frac{m-4}{2} \geq h > \frac{m-4}{4} \text{ if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\
\frac{m-2}{2} \geq h > \frac{m-2}{4} \text{ if } m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.\n\end{cases}
$$

3.7. **Open problems regarding binary cyclic codes from APN functions.** In the previous subsections of Section [3,](#page-4-5) we investigated binary cyclic codes from some APN functions. It would be good if the following open problems could be solved.

Open Problem 3.42. *Determine the dimension and the minimum weight of the code* C_s α defined by the second Niho APN function x^e , where $e = 2^{(m-1)/2} + 2^{(3m-1)/4} - 1$ and $m \equiv 3$ (mod 4)*.*

Open Problem 3.43. *Determine the dimension and the minimum weight of the code* C_s *defined by the Dobbertin APN function* x^e , where $e = 2^{4i} + 2^{3i} + 2^{2i} + 2^i - 1$ and $m = 5i$.

A number of other types of APN functions $f(x)$ were discovered in [\[5,](#page-36-5) [6,](#page-36-6) [7,](#page-36-7) [8\]](#page-36-8). The code C_s defined by these APN functions may also have good parameters. It would be interesting to investigate these codes.

4. NONBINARY CYCLIC CODES FROM APN AND PLANAR FUNCTIONS

In this section, *q* is a power of an odd prime *p*. Note that both APN and planar functions on $GF(q^m)$ exist.

4.1. **Cyclic codes from the planar function** x^2 . In this subsection we study the code C_s defined by the planar function $f(x) = x^2$ on GF(*r*). To this end, we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Let s^{∞} be the sequence of [\(4\)](#page-4-0), where $f(x) = x^2$. Then the linear span \mathbb{L}_s of \int *s*[∞] *is equal to* $2m + N_p(m)$ *and the minimal polynomial* $M_s(x)$ *of s*[∞] *is given by*

(34)
$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = (x-1)^{\mathbb{N}_{p}(m)} m_{\alpha^{-1}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-2}}(x)
$$

where $m_{\alpha^{-j}}(x)$ *is the minimal polynomial of* α^{-j} *over* $GF(q)$ *,* $\mathbb{N}_p(i) = 0$ *if* $i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ *and* $\mathbb{N}_p(i) = 1$ *otherwise.*

Proof. It is easily seen that

(35)
$$
s_t = \text{Tr}((\alpha^t + 1)^2) = \text{Tr}(1) + 2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\alpha^t)^{q^j} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\alpha^t)^{2q^j}.
$$

It can be easily proved that $\ell_1 = \ell_{n-1} = \ell_2 = \ell_{n-2} = m$ and $C_1 \cap C_2 = \emptyset$. The desired conclusions on the linear span and the minimal polynomial $M_s(x)$ then follow from Lemma [2.2](#page-2-0) and [\(35\)](#page-20-0).

The following theorem provides information on the code *C^s* .

Theorem 4.2. *The code* C_s *defined by the sequence of Lemma [4.1](#page-19-0) has parameters* $[n, n - 1]$ 2*m* − $\mathbb{N}_p(m)$,*d and generator polynomial* $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ *of* [\(34\)](#page-19-1)*, where*

$$
\begin{cases}\nd = 4 & \text{if } q = 3 \text{ and } \mathbb{N}_p(m) = 0, \\
4 \le d \le 5 & \text{if } q = 3 \text{ and } \mathbb{N}_p(m) = 1, \\
3 \le d \le 4 & \text{if } q > 3 \text{ and } \mathbb{N}_p(m) = 1, \\
d = 3 & \text{if } q > 3 \text{ and } \mathbb{N}_p(m) = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The dimension of C_s follows from Lemma [4.1](#page-19-0) and the definition of the code C_s . We need to prove the conclusion on the minimum weight *d* of C_s . The code C_s of this theorem has the same weight distribution as the dual code in Theorem 11 in [\[11\]](#page-36-14) when $m \equiv 0$ (mod p) and has the same weight distribution as the even-like subcode of the dual code in Theorem 11 in [\[11\]](#page-36-14) when $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. This is because the generator polynomial of the code C_s of this theorem and that of the dual code in Theorem 11 in [\[11\]](#page-36-14) are reciprocals of each other when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and the generator polynomial of the code C_s of this theorem and that of the even-like subcode of the dual code in Theorem 11 in [\[11\]](#page-36-14) are reciprocals of each other when $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. The conclusions on *d* then follow from those on the minimum weight of the dual code in Theorem 11 in [\[11\]](#page-36-14). \Box

Remark 4.3. *Both this paper and* [\[11\]](#page-36-14) *employ planar functions in constructing codes. The two approaches are different in general. The approach of this paper always produces cyclic codes. The approach of* [\[11\]](#page-36-14) *gives cyclic codes only when the planar function* $f(x)$ *is a power function. Another difference is that the two approaches produce codes with* different dimensions. However, for this quadratic planar function $f(x) = x^2$, the codes *obtained with the two approaches are closely related. The relation between the two codes is made clear in the proof of Theorem [4.2.](#page-20-1)*

Example 4.4. *Let* $(m,q) = (2,3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 2 = 0$ *. Then C^s is a* [8,3,5] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^5 + 2x^3 + x^2 + x + 1.
$$

This cyclic code is an optimal linear code.

Example 4.5. *Let* $(m,q) = (3,3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + 2\alpha + 1 = 0$ *. Then C^s is a* [26,20,4] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
M_s(x) = x^6 + x^5 + x^3 + 2x + 2.
$$

This cyclic code is an optimal linear code.

22 CUNSHENG DING

Example 4.6. *Let* $(m, q) = (4, 3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^4 + 2\alpha^3 + 2 = 0$ *. Then C^s is a* [80,71,5] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^9 + 2x^8 + x^7 + 2x^6 + x^4 + x^2 + 1.
$$

This cyclic code is an optimal linear code.

Example 4.7. *Let* $(m,q) = (2,5)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 2 = 0$ *. Then C^s is a* [24,19,4] *cyclic code over* GF(5) *with generator polynomial*

$$
M_s(x) = x^5 + 3x^4 + 2x^3 + 3x^2 + 3x + 3.
$$

This cyclic code is an optimal linear code.

Example 4.8. *Let* $(m,q) = (3,5)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + 3\alpha + 3 = 0$ *. Then C^s is a* [124,117,4] *cyclic code over* GF(5) *with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^7 + 4x^6 + 4x^4 + 3x^2 + 3.
$$

This cyclic code is an optimal linear code.

4.2. **Cyclic codes from the Dembowski-Ostrom planar function.** The Dembowski-Ostrom planar function is given by $f(x) = x^{q^{k}+1}$, where $m/gcd(m, \kappa)$ and *q* are odd. In this subsection we study the code C_s defined by this planar function. To this end, we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.9. Let m be odd. Let s^{∞} be the sequence of [\(4\)](#page-4-0), where $f(x)$ is the Dembowski-*Ostrom planar function. Then the linear span* \mathbb{L}_s *of s*[∞] *is equal to* $2m + \mathbb{N}_p(m)$ *and the minimal polynomial* M*^s of s*[∞] *are given by*

(36)
$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = (x-1)^{\mathbb{N}_{p}(m)} m_{\alpha^{-1}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-(p^{\kappa}+1)}}(x)
$$

where $m_{\alpha^{-j}}(x)$ *is the minimal polynomial of* α^{-j} *over* $GF(q)$ *,* $\mathbb{N}_p(i) = 0$ *if* $i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ *and* $\mathbb{N}_p(i) = 1$ *otherwise.*

Proof. It is easily seen that

(37)
$$
s_t = \text{Tr}((\alpha^t + 1)^{q^k+1})
$$

$$
= \text{Tr}(1) + 2\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\alpha^t)^{q^j} + \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\alpha^t)^{(q^k+1)q^j}.
$$

Since $m/gcd(m, \kappa)$ is odd, $gcd(2\kappa, m) = gcd(\kappa, m)$. Because *q* is odd, $gcd(q^{\kappa} - 1, q^{\kappa} +$ $1) = 1$. It then follows that

$$
gcd(q^{k} + 1, q^{m} - 1) = \frac{gcd(q^{2k} - 1, q^{m} - 1)}{gcd(q^{k} - 1, q^{m} - 1)}
$$

=
$$
\frac{q^{gcd(2k,m)} - 1}{q^{gcd(k,m)} - 1}
$$

= 1.

Therefore, the size of the q-cyclotomic coset contating $q^{k} + 1$ is *m*. Clearly, $C_1 \cap C_{q^{k}+1} = \emptyset$. The desired conclusions on the linear span and the minimal polynomial $M_s(x)$ then follow from Lemma [2.2](#page-2-0) and [\(37\)](#page-21-0). \Box

The following theorem provides information on the code *C^s* .

Theorem 4.10. *The code* C_5 *defined by the sequence of Lemma* [4.9](#page-21-1) *has parameters* $[n, n-1]$ 2*m* − $\mathbb{N}_p(m)$,*d and generator polynomial* $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ *of* [\(36\)](#page-21-2)*, where*

$$
\begin{cases}\n d = 4 & \text{if } q = 3 \text{ and } m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\
 4 \le d \le 5 & \text{if } q = 3 \text{ and } m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\
 d = 3 & \text{if } q > 3 \text{ and } m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\
 3 \le d \le 4 & \text{if } q > 3 \text{ and } m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.\n\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The dimension of C_s follows from Lemma [4.9](#page-21-1) and the definition of the code C_s . We need to prove the conclusion on the minimum distance d of C_s . The code C_s of this theorem has the same weight distribution as the dual code in Theorem 15 in [\[11\]](#page-36-14) when $m \equiv 0$ (mod *p*) and has the same weight distribution as the even-like subcode of the dual code in Theorem 15 in [\[11\]](#page-36-14) when $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. This is because the generator polynomial of the code C_s of this theorem and that of the dual code in Theorem 15 in [\[11\]](#page-36-14) are reciprocals of each other when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and the generator polynomial of the code C_s of this theorem and that of the even-like subcode of the dual code in Theorem 15 in [\[11\]](#page-36-14) are reciprocals of each other when $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. The conclusions on *d* then follow from those on the minimum weight of the dual code in Theorem 15 in [\[11\]](#page-36-14). \Box

Remark 4.11. *Although the approach of this paper and that of* [\[11\]](#page-36-14) *to the construction of linear codes with planar functions are different, for the Dembowski-Ostrom planar function, the codes obtained with the two approaches are closely related. The relation between the two codes is made clear in the proof of Theorem [4.10.](#page-22-0)*

Example 4.12. *Let* $(m, \kappa, q) = (3, 1, 3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + 2\alpha + 1 =$ 0*. Then C^s is a* [26,20,4] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
M_s(x) = x^6 + 2x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 1.
$$

This cyclic code is an optimal linear code.

Example 4.13. Let $(m, \kappa, q) = (4, 4, 3)$ and α be a generator of $GF(r)^*$ with $\alpha^4 + 2\alpha^3 + 2 =$ 0*. Then C^s is a* [80,71,5] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^9 + 2x^8 + x^7 + 2x^6 + x^4 + x^2 + 1.
$$

This cyclic code is an optimal linear code.

4.3. **Cyclic codes from the planar functions** $x^{10} - u x^6 - u^2 x^2$ over GF(3^{*m*}). Throughout this subsection, let $q = 3$ and let *m* be odd. A family of planar functions $f(x) = x^{10} - u x^6$ u^2x^2 on GF(*r*) was discovered in [\[14,](#page-36-10) [16\]](#page-36-11), where $u \in GF(r)$. In this subsection we study the code C_s defined by these planar functions. To this end, we need to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.14. *Let* s^{∞} *be the sequence of* [\(4\)](#page-4-0)*, where* $f(x) = x^{10} - u x^6 - u^2 x^2$. *Then the linear span* L*^s of s*[∞] *is given by*

$$
\mathbb{L}_s = \begin{cases} 2m + \delta_u \text{ if } u^6 + u = 0 \\ 3m + \delta_u \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

and the minimal polynomial $M_s(x)$ *of* s^{∞} *is given by*

$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = \begin{cases} (x-1)^{\delta_{u}} m_{\alpha^{-1}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-10}}(x) \text{ if } u^{6} + u = 0 \\ (x-1)^{\delta_{u}} m_{\alpha^{-1}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-2}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-10}}(x) \text{ otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

where $m_{\alpha^{-j}}(x)$ *is the minimal polynomial of* α^{-j} *over* GF(*q*), $\delta_u = 0$ *if* Tr($u^2 + u - 1$) = 0 $and \delta_u = 1$ *otherwise.*

Proof. By definition, we have

$$
f(x+1) = x^{10} + x^9 - ux^6 - u^2x^2 + (1 + u + u^2)x + (1 - u - u^2).
$$

It then follows that

Tr(
$$
f(x+1)
$$
) =
Tr($x^{10} - (u^{3^{m-1}} + u^2)x^2 + (u^2 + u - 1)x$) - Tr($u^2 + u - 1$).

By definition,

(38)
$$
s_t = \text{Tr}\left((\alpha^t)^{10} - (u^{3^{m-1}} + u^2)(\alpha^t)^2 + v\alpha^t\right) - \text{Tr}(v),
$$

where $v = u^2 + u - 1$.

It can be easily proved that

$$
\ell_1 = \ell_{n-1} = \ell_2 = \ell_{n-2} = \ell_{10} = \ell_{n-10} = m
$$

and that the three q -cyclotomic cosets C_1 , C_2 and C_{10} are pairwise disjoint. We now prove that $v = u^2 + u - 1 \neq 0$ for all $u \in GF(r)$. Suppose

(39)
$$
u^2 + u - 1 = 0.
$$

Multiplying both sides of [\(39\)](#page-23-0) by *u* yields

(40)
$$
u^3 + u^2 - u = 0.
$$

Combining [\(39\)](#page-23-0) and [\(40\)](#page-23-1) gives

(41)
$$
u^3 + u + 1 = (u - 1)^3 + (u - 1) = 0.
$$

Since *m* is odd, -1 is not a quadratic residue in GF(*r*). The only solution of $y^3 + y = 0$ is $y = 0$. Hence the only solution of [\(41\)](#page-23-2) is $u = 1$. However, $u = 1$ is not a solution of [\(39\)](#page-23-0). Hence $v \neq 0$ for all *u*.

Finally $u^{3^{m-1}} + u^2 = 0$ if and only if $u^6 + u = 0$. The desired conclusions on the linear span and the minimal polynomial $M_s(x)$ then follow from Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-0) Equation [\(38\)](#page-23-3) and the conclusions on the cyclotomic cosets and their lengths.

The following theorem provides information on the code *C^s* .

Theorem 4.15. *The code* C_s *defined by the sequence of Lemma [4.14](#page-22-1) has parameters* $[n, n - 1]$ \mathbb{L}_s , d] and generator polynomial $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$, where \mathbb{L}_s and $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ are given in Lemma [4.14,](#page-22-1) and

$$
\begin{cases}\n5 \le d \le 8 \text{ if } u^6 + u \neq 0 \text{ and } \delta_u = 1; \\
4 \le d \le 6 \text{ if } u^6 + u \neq 0 \text{ and } \delta_u = 0; \\
3 \le d \le 6 \text{ if } u^6 + u = 0 \text{ and } \delta_u = 1; \\
3 \le d \le 4 \text{ if } u^6 + u = 0 \text{ and } \delta_u = 0.\n\end{cases}
$$

Proof. The dimension of C_s follows from Lemma [4.14](#page-22-1) and the definition of the code C_s . We need to prove the conclusions on the minimum distance d of C_s . It is known that the codes generated by any polynomial $g(x)$ and its reciprocal have the same weight distribution if $g(0) \neq 0$.

When $u^6 + u = 0$, the reciprocal of $M_s(x)$ has root α^9 and α^{10} . In this case $d \ge 3$ by the BCH bound. The upper bounds on *d* follow from the sphere-packing bound.

When $u^6 + u \neq 0$, the reciprocal of $M_s(x)$ has roots α^i for $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ and for all $i \in$ $\{0,1,2,3\}$ if $\delta_u = 1$. The lower bounds on *d* then come from the BCH bound. The upper bounds on d follow from the sphere-packing bound. \Box

Remark 4.16. *The Coulter-Mathews planar function* $x^{10} + x^6 - x^2$ *was employed in* [\[11\]](#page-36-14) *to construct linear codes, which are not cyclic. However, the codes of this subsection are cyclic. On the other hand, the dimension of the codes of this subsection has two different possible values, as more planar functions are employed in this subsection. This demonstrates that the two construction approaches of this paper and* [\[11\]](#page-36-14) *are different.*

It is interesting to note that the dimensions of the codes defined by $x^{10} + x^6 - x^2$ and $x^{10} - x^6 - x^2$ are different though both are quadratic planar trinomials.

Example 4.17. *Let* $(m, q, u) = (3, 3, 1)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + 2\alpha + 1 =$ 0*. Then C^s is a* [26,17,5] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
M_s(x) = x^9 + x^8 + 2x^7 + 2x^6 + 2x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 1.
$$

This cyclic code is an optimal linear code.

Example 4.18. *Let* $(m, q, u) = (3, 3, -1)$ *and* α *be a generator of* GF(*r*)^{*} *with* $\alpha^3 + 2\alpha +$ $1 = 0$. Then C_s is a $[26, 20, 4]$ *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^6 + 2x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 2.
$$

This cyclic code is an optimal linear code.

Example 4.19. *Let* $(m, q, u) = (3, 3, \alpha)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + 2\alpha + 1 =$ 0*. Then C^s is a* [26,16,6] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^{10} + x^8 + 2x^5 + x^2 + 2x + 2.
$$

This cyclic code is an optimal linear code.

4.4. **Cyclic codes from** $f(x) = x^{(q^h-1)/(q-1)}$. Let *h* be a positive integer satistying the following condition:

(42)
$$
1 \le h \le \begin{cases} (m-1)/2 \text{ if } m \text{ is odd and} \\ m/2 \text{ if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}
$$

In this subsection, we deal with the code C_s defined by the sequence s^{∞} of [\(4\)](#page-4-0), where $f(x) = x^{(q^h-1)/(q-1)}$. When *h* = 1, the code C_s has parameters $[n, n-m-\mathbb{N}_p(m), d]$, where $d = 3$ if $\mathbb{N}_p(m) = 1$ and $d = 2$ if $\mathbb{N}_p(m) = 0$. When $h = 2$, the code \mathcal{C}_s become a special case of the code in Section [4.2.](#page-21-3) Therefore, we assume that $h \geq 3$ in this subsection.

In order to study the code C_s of this subsection, we need to prove a number of auxiliary results on *q*-cyclotomic cosets.

Lemma 4.20. *Let h satisfy the condition of* [\(42\)](#page-24-1)*. For any* (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t) *with* $0 < i_1 < i_2 <$ \cdots < i_t ≤ $h-1$ *, the size* $\ell_i = |C_j| = \ell_{n-i} = m$ *, where* $i = q^0 + \sum_{j=1}^t q^{i_j}$ *.*

Proof. We prove the conclusion of this lemma only for the case that *m* is even. The conclusion for *m* being odd can be similarly proved.

Let *u* be any integer with $m-1 \ge u \ge 1$. Define

$$
\Delta_1 = i(q^u - 1), \ \Delta_2 = i(q^{m-u} - 1).
$$

Clearly $\Delta_i \neq 0$ for both *i* as $m-1 \geq u \geq 1$.

If $u \leq m/2$, we have

$$
\Delta_1 = i(q^u - 1)
$$

\n
$$
\leq (q^0 + q^{\frac{m-2t}{2}} + q^{\frac{m-2t+2}{2}} + \dots + q^{\frac{m-2}{2}})(q^{\frac{m}{2}} - 1)
$$

\n
$$
< n.
$$

26 CUNSHENG DING

On the other hand, we have obviously that $\Delta_1 > -i > -n$. Hence we have $\Delta_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ when $u \leq m/2$.

If $u > m/2$, then $m - u < m/2$. In this case one can similarly prove that $-n < \Delta_2 < n$. So we have $\Delta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ when $u > m/2$.

Summarizing the conclusions above proves the desired conclusions for *m* being even. \Box

Lemma 4.21. *Let h satisfy the condition of [\(42\)](#page-24-1). For any pair of distinct* $i = q^0 + \sum_{l=1}^{t} q^{i_l}$ *and* $j = q^0 + \sum_{l=1}^t q^{j_l}$ *with*

$$
0 < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots i_t \le h - 1 \text{ and } 0 < j_1 < j_2 < \cdots j_t \le h - 1,
$$

 $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$, *i.e., i and j cannot be in the same q-cyclotomic coset modulo n.*

Proof. Let *u* be any integer with $0 \le u \le m - 1$. Define

$$
\Delta_1 = iq^u - j \text{ and } \Delta_2 = jq^{m-u} - i.
$$

Notice that $i \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ and $j \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. We have that $\Delta_i \neq 0$ for both i as $i \neq j$. Suppose that *i* and *j* were in the same cyclotomic coset. Then *n* would divide both Δ_1 and Δ_2 .

We prove the desired conclusion only for the case that *m* is odd. The conclusions for *m* being even can be similarly proved.

We distinguish between the following two cases. When $u \leq (m-1)/2$, we have

$$
\Delta_1 = iq^u - j
$$

\n
$$
\leq (q^0 + q^{\frac{m-2t+1}{2}} + q^{\frac{m-2t+3}{2}} + \dots + q^{\frac{m-1}{2}})q^{\frac{m-1}{2}} - j
$$

\n
$$
< n.
$$

On the other hand, we have obviously that $\Delta_1 > -j > -n$. Hence we have $\Delta_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ when $u \leq (m-1)/2$.

When $u > (m-1)/2$, we have $m - u \le (m-1)/2$. In this case one can similarly prove that $-n < \Delta_2 < n$. In this case $\Delta_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$.

Summarizing the conclusions of the two cases above proves the desired conclusion for *m* being odd. \Box

We need to do more preparations before presenting and proving the main results of this subsection.

Let *J* ≥ *t* ≥ 2, and let $\mathbb{N}(J,t)$ denote the total number of vectors (i_1,i_2,\dots,i_{t-1}) wth $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{t-1} < J$. By definition, we have the following recursive formula:

(43)
$$
\mathbb{N}(J,t) = \sum_{j=t-1}^{J-1} \mathbb{N}(j,t-1).
$$

By definition, we have

(44)
$$
\mathbb{N}(J,2) = J - 1 \text{ for all } J \ge 2
$$

and

(45)
$$
\mathbb{N}(J,3) = \frac{(J-1)(J-2)}{2} \text{ for all } J \ge 3.
$$

It the follows from (43) , (44) and (45) that

$$
\mathbb{N}(J,4) = \sum_{j=3}^{J-1} \mathbb{N}(j,3)
$$

$$
= \sum_{j=3}^{J-1} \frac{(J-1)(J-2)}{2}
$$

$$
= \frac{J^3 - 6J^2 + 11J - 6}{6}.
$$

By definition, we have

(47)
$$
\mathbb{N}(t,t) = 1 \text{ for all } t \geq 2.
$$

For convenience, we define $\mathbb{N}(J,1) = 1$ for all $J \ge 1$.

Lemma 4.22. Let h satisfy the condition of (42) *. Let s*[∞] be the sequence of (4) *, where f*(*x*) = $x^{(q^h-1)/(q-1)}$. Then the linear span L_s and minimal polynomial M_s(*x*) of *s*[∞] are *given by*

$$
\mathbb{L}_s = \left(\mathbb{N}_p(h) + \sum_{t=1}^{h-1} \sum_{u=1}^{h-1} \mathbb{N}_p(h-u) \mathbb{N}(u,t)\right) m + \mathbb{N}_p(m)
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = (x-1)^{\mathbb{N}_{p}(m)} m_{\alpha^{-1}}(x)^{\mathbb{N}_{p}(h)} \prod_{\substack{1 \le u \le h-1 \\ \mathbb{N}_{p}(h-u)=1}} m_{\alpha^{-(q^{0}+q^{u})}}(x)
$$
\n
$$
\times \prod_{t=2}^{h-1} \prod_{\substack{r \le u \le h-1 \\ \mathbb{N}_{p}(h-u)=1}} \prod_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_{t-1} < u} m_{\alpha^{-(q^{0}+ \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} q^{i_j} + q^{u})}}(x)
$$

Proof. Define

 $x = \alpha^t$.

Then we have

$$
s_{t} = \text{Tr}((x+1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} q^{i}})
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}((1) + \text{Tr} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{h} \sum_{0 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{t} \leq h-1} x^{\sum_{j=1}^{t} q^{i_{j}}} \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}(1) + h \text{Tr}(x) + \text{Tr} \left[\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{h-1} (h-i_{1}) x^{q^{0} + q^{i_{1}}} \right] + \text{Tr} \left[\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{h-1} \sum_{i_{2}=i_{1}+1}^{h-1} \dots \sum_{i_{t}=i_{t-1}+1}^{h-1} (h-i_{t}) x^{q^{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{t} q^{j_{j}}} \right]
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}(1) + h \text{Tr}(x) + \text{Tr} \left[\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{h-1} (h-i_{1}) x^{q^{0} + q^{i_{1}}} \right] + \text{Tr} \left[\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{h-1} (h-i_{1}) x^{q^{0} + q^{i_{1}}} \right]
$$

\n(48)
$$
\text{Tr} \left[\sum_{t=2}^{h-1} \sum_{i_{t}=t}^{h-1} (h-i_{t}) \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{t-1} < i_{t}} x^{q^{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{t} q^{i_{j}}} \right].
$$

The desired conclusions on the linear span and the minimal polynomial $M_s(x)$ then follow from Lemmas [2.2,](#page-2-0) [4.20,](#page-24-2) [4.21,](#page-25-3) and Equation [\(48\)](#page-27-0). \Box

The following theorem provides information on the code *C^s* .

Theorem 4.23. *The code* C_s *defined by the sequence of Lemma [4.22](#page-26-0) has parameters* $[n, n-1]$ \mathbb{L}_s , *d*] and generator polynomial $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$, where \mathbb{L}_s and $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ are given in Lemma [4.22.](#page-26-0)

Proof. The dimension of C_s follows from Lemma [4.22](#page-26-0) and the definition of the code C_s . \Box

As a corollary of Theorem [4.23,](#page-27-1) we have the following.

Corollary 4.24. *Let* $h = 3$ *. The code* C_s *of Theorem* [4.23](#page-27-1) *has parameters* $[n, n - \mathbb{L}_s, d]$ *and generator polynomial* M*s*(*x*) *given by*

$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = (x-1)^{\mathbb{N}_{p}(m)} m_{\alpha^{-1}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-1-q}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-1-q}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-1-q-2}}(x)
$$

if $p \neq 3$ *; and*

$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x)=(x-1)^{\mathbb{N}_{p}(m)}m_{\alpha^{-1-q}}(x)m_{\alpha^{-1-q^{2}}}(x)m_{\alpha^{-1-q-q^{2}}}(x)
$$

if $p = 3$ *, where*

(49)
$$
\mathbb{L}_s = \begin{cases} 4m + \mathbb{N}_p(m) \text{ if } p \neq 3 \\ 3m + \mathbb{N}_p(m) \text{ if } p = 3. \end{cases}
$$

In addition,

$$
\begin{cases}\n3 \le d \le 8 & \text{if } p = 3 \text{ and } \mathbb{N}_p(m) = 1 \\
3 \le d \le 6 & \text{if } p = 3 \text{ and } \mathbb{N}_p(m) = 0 \\
3 \le d \le 8 & \text{if } p > 3.\n\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We need to prove only the bounds on the minimum weight of this code. The upper bounds on *d* follow from the sphere-packing bound and the dimension of the code. In both cases, the reciprocal of $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ has the roots α^{q+q^2} and α^{1+q+q^2} . It then follows from the BCH bound that $d > 3$.

Open Problem 4.25. *For the code C^s of Corollary [4.24,](#page-27-2) do the following lower bounds hold?*

$$
d \ge \begin{cases} 5 & when \ p = 3 \ and \ N_p(m) = 1 \\ 4 & when \ p = 3 \ and \ N_p(m) = 0 \\ 6 & when \ p > 3 \ and \ N_p(m) = 1 \\ 5 & when \ p > 3 \ and \ N_p(m) = 0. \end{cases}
$$

Example 4.26. *Let* $(m, h, q) = (2, 3, 3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 2 =$ 0*. Then C^s is a* [8,2,6] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^6 + 2x^5 + 2x^4 + 2x^2 + x + 1.
$$

This cyclic code is optimal. Notice that $h > m/2$ *. Hence, the parameters of this code do not agree with those of the code in Corollary [4.24.](#page-27-2) In this case f*(*x*) *is a permutation.*

Example 4.27. *Let* $(m, h, q) = (3, 3, 3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + 2\alpha + 1 =$ 0. Then C_s is a [26,26,1] *ternary code with generator polynomial* $\mathbb{M}_s(x) = 1$. This cyclic *code is optimal as it is MDS. Notice that* $h > (m-1)/2$ *. Hence, the parameters of this code do not agree with those of the code in Corollary [4.24.](#page-27-2) In this case f*(*x*) *is not a permutation. In fact,* $gcd((q^h - 1)/(q - 1), q^m - 1) = 13$.

Example 4.28. *Let* $(m, h, q) = (4, 3, 3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* GF(r)^{*} *with* $\alpha^4 + 2\alpha^3 + 2 =$ 0*. Then C^s is a* [80,69,5] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^{11} + 2x^8 + 2x^6 + 2x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 2.
$$

This is an almost optimal linear code. The known optimal linear code has parameters [80,69,6] *which is not cyclic. Notice that h* > *m*/2*. Hence, the parameters of this code do not agree with those of the code in Corollary [4.24.](#page-27-2) In this case f*(*x*) *is a permutation.*

Example 4.29. *Let* $(m, h, q) = (5, 3, 3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^5 + 2\alpha + 1 =$ 0*. Then C^s is a* [242,226,*d*] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
M_s(x) = x^{16} + 2x^{14} + 2x^{12} + 2x^{11} + x^{10} + x^9 + x^6 + x^3 + 2x^2 + 2.
$$

Notice that h > *m*/2*. However, the parameters of this code do agree with those of the code in Corollary* [4.24.](#page-27-2) *In this case* $f(x)$ *is a permutation.*

Example 4.30. *Let* $(m, h, q) = (6, 3, 3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^6 + 2\alpha^4 +$ $\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 2 = 0$. Then C_s is a [728,710,*d*] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^{18} + 2x^{15} + 2x^{14} + 2x^{13} + 2x^{11} + x^{10} \n+ 2x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + 2x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 2.
$$

Notice that $h = m/2$ *. Hence, the parameters of this code agree with those of the code in Corollary* [4.24.](#page-27-2) *In this case* $f(x)$ *is not a permutation. In fact,* $gcd((q^h - 1)/(q - 1), q^m 1) = 13.$

Example 4.31. *Let* $(m, h, q) = (2, 3, 5)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 2 =$ 0*. Then C^s is a* [24,16,5] *cyclic code over* GF(5) *with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^8 + x^7 + 2x^4 + 2x^3 + 3x^2 + 4x + 2.
$$

The best linear code known has parameters [24,16,6] *which is not cyclic. Notice that h* > *m*/2*. Hence, the parameters of this code do not agree with those of the code in Corollary [4.24.](#page-27-2)*

Example 4.32. *Let* $(m, h, q) = (6, 3, 5)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^6 + \alpha^4 +$ $4\alpha^3 + \alpha^2 + 2 = 0$. Then C_s is a [15624,15599,*d*] *cyclic code over* GF(5) *with generator polynomial*

$$
M_s(x) = x^{25} + x^{24} + 3x^{23} + 2x^{22} + 3x^{21} + x^{20} + 2x^{19} + 4x^{18} + 4x^{17} + x^{16} + 2x^{14} + 4x^{12} + 2x^{11} + 3x^{10} + 4x^8 + 4x^6 + 4x^5 + x^4 + 4x^3 + x^2 + 4.
$$

Notice that $h = m/2$ *. Hence, the parameters of this code agree with those of the code in Corollary [4.24.](#page-27-2)*

4.5. **Cyclic codes from the Coulter-Mathews planar function.** Throughout this subsection, let $q = 3$, and $r = q^m$ as before. Let *h* be a positive integer satistying the following conditions:

(50)
$$
\begin{cases} h \text{ is odd,} \\ \gcd(m, h) = 1, \\ 3 \le h \le \begin{cases} (m-1)/2 \text{ if } m \text{ is odd and} \\ m/2 \text{ if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}
$$

In this subsection, we deal with the code C_s defined by the sequence s^{∞} of [\(4\)](#page-4-0), where $f(x) = x^{(3^h+1)/2}$. When *h* = 1, the code C_s becomes the code of Section [4.1.](#page-19-2) Therefore, we consider the case $h \geq 3$ in this subsection. The code of this subsection is related to the code of Section [4.4,](#page-24-0) so we need to use some of the notations, symbols and lemmas of Section [4.4.](#page-24-0)

In order to study the code C_s of this subsection, we need to prove a number of auxiliary results on *q*-cyclotomic cosets.

Lemma 4.33. Let h satisfy the third condition of [\(50\)](#page-29-0). For any (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t) with $0 <$ $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots i_t \leq h-1$, the size $\ell_i = |C_j| = \ell_{n-i} = m$, where $i = 2 + \sum_{j=1}^{t} 3^{i_j}$. In addition, $\ell_2 = \ell_{n-2} = m$.

Proof. The proof of Lemma [4.20](#page-24-2) is easily modified into a proof of this lemma. We omit the details here. \Box

Lemma 4.34. Let h satisfy the third condition of [\(50\)](#page-29-0). For any pair of distinct $i = 2 +$ $\sum_{u=1}^{t} 3^{i_u}$ *and* $j = 2 + \sum_{u=1}^{t} 3^{j_u}$ *with*

 $0 < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots i_t < h-1$ and $0 < j_1 < j_2 < \cdots j_t < h-1$,

 $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$, *i.e., i and j cannot be in the same q-cyclotomic coset modulo <i>n.* In addition,

- $C_2 \cap C_1 = \emptyset$.
- $C_2 \cap C_{1+\sum_{u=1}^t 3^{i_u}} = 0$, where $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_t \leq h-1$.
- $C_2 \cap C_{2+\sum_{u=1}^t 3^u} = 0$, where $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_t \leq h-1$.
- $C_{2+\sum_{u=1}^{t_1} 3^{iu}} \cap C_{1+\sum_{u=1}^{t_2} 3^{iu}} = \emptyset$ *, where* $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{t_1} \leq h-1$ and $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_{t_2} \leq h-1$.

Proof. The proof of Lemma [4.21](#page-25-3) can be modified into a proof of this lemma. We omit the details here.

Lemma 4.35. *Let h satisfy the third condition of [\(50\)](#page-29-0). Let s*[∞] *be the sequence of [\(4\)](#page-4-0), where* $f(x) = x^{(3^h+1)/2}$. Then the linear span \mathbb{L}_s and minimal polynomial $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ of s[∞] are given $\frac{b}{b}$

$$
\mathbb{L}_{s} = \mathbb{N}_{3}(m) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{h} \mathbb{N}_{3}(h-i+1)\right) m + \left(\sum_{t=2}^{h} \mathbb{N}(h,t) + \sum_{t=2}^{h-1} \sum_{i_{t}=t}^{h-1} \mathbb{N}_{3}(h-i_{t}+1) \mathbb{N}(i_{t},t)\right) m
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{lcl} \mathbb{M}_{\mathfrak{s}}(x) & = & (x-1)^{\mathbb{N}_{3}(m)}m_{\alpha^{-1}}(x)^{\mathbb{N}_{3}(h+1)}m_{\alpha^{-2}}(x)\times \\ & & \prod\limits_{t=1}^{h-1}\prod\limits_{1\leq i_{1}<\cdots
$$

where $\mathbb{N}_3(j)$ *and* $\mathbb{N}(j,t)$ *were defined in Sections* [2.1](#page-1-1) *and* [4.4](#page-24-0) *respectively.*

Proof. Note that

$$
\frac{3^h + 1}{2} = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} 3^i.
$$

Define $x = \alpha^t$ and

$$
f_h(x) = \text{Tr}\left((x+1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} 3^i}\right).
$$

Then we have

$$
s_{t} = \text{Tr}\left((x+1)^{1+\sum_{i=0}^{h-1}3^{i}}\right)
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}\left(x(x+1)^{\sum_{i=0}^{h-1}3^{i}}\right) + f_{h}(x)
$$

\n
$$
= \text{Tr}(x) + \text{Tr}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{h}\left[\sum_{0\leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{t} \leq h-1}x^{1+\sum_{j=1}^{t}3^{i_{j}}}\right]\right] + f_{h}(x)
$$

\n
$$
= f_{h}(x) + \text{Tr}(x) + \text{Tr}\left[\sum_{i_{1}=0}^{h-1}x^{1+3^{i_{1}}}\right] + \text{Tr}\left[\sum_{t=2}^{h}\left(\sum_{0\leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{t} \leq h-1}x^{1+\sum_{j=1}^{t}3^{i_{j}}}\right)\right]
$$

\n
$$
= f_{h}(x) + \text{Tr}(x) + \text{Tr}(x^{2}) + \text{Tr}\left[\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{h-1}x^{1+3^{i_{1}}}\right] + \text{Tr}\left[\sum_{t=2}^{h}\left(\sum_{1\leq i_{2} < \dots < i_{t} \leq h-1}x^{2+\sum_{j=1}^{t}3^{i_{j}}}\right)\right] + \text{Tr}\left[\sum_{t=2}^{h-1}\left(\sum_{1\leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{t} \leq h-1}x^{1+\sum_{j=1}^{t}3^{i_{j}}}\right)\right]
$$

Using the expression of [\(48\)](#page-27-0) for $f_h(x)$ and merging terms in [\(51\)](#page-31-0), we obtain

$$
s_{t} = \operatorname{Tr}(1) + (h+1)\operatorname{Tr}(x) + \operatorname{Tr}(x^{2}) + \operatorname{Tr}\left[\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{h-1} (h-i_{1}+1)x^{1+3^{i_{1}}}\right] + \operatorname{Tr}\left[\sum_{t=2}^{h} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i_{2} < \dots < i_{t} \leq h-1} x^{2+\sum_{j=1}^{t}3^{i_{j}}}\right)\right] + \operatorname{Tr}\left[\sum_{t=2}^{h-1} \sum_{i_{t}=t}^{h-1} (h-i_{t}+1) \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{t-1} \leq i_{t}} x^{1+\sum_{j=1}^{t}3^{i_{j}}}\right]
$$
\n(52)

The desired conclusions on the linear span and the minimal polynomial $M_s(x)$ then follow from Lemmas [2.2,](#page-2-0) [4.20,](#page-24-2) [4.33,](#page-29-1) [4.21,](#page-25-3) [4.34,](#page-29-2) and Equation [\(52\)](#page-31-1).

The following theorem provides information on the code *C^s* .

Theorem 4.36. *The code* C_s *defined by the sequence of Lemma [4.35](#page-30-0) has parameters* $[n, n - 1]$ \mathbb{L}_s , *d*] and generator polynomial $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$, where \mathbb{L}_s and $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ are given in Lemma [4.35.](#page-30-0)

Proof. The dimension of C_s follows from Lemma [4.35](#page-30-0) and the definition of the code C_s . \Box

Remark 4.37. *The Coulter-Mathews planar function* $f(x) = x^{(3^h+1)/2}$ *was employed in* [\[11\]](#page-36-14) *to construct cyclic codes whose dual codes have dimension n*−2*m, which is independent of h. However, the dimension of the code of Theorem [4.36](#page-31-2) depends on h. This once again shows the difference of the construction approach of this paper and that of* [\[11\]](#page-36-14)*.*

As a corollary of Theorem [4.36,](#page-31-2) we have the following.

Corollary 4.38. *Let* $h = 3$ *. The code* C_s *of Theorem* [4.36](#page-31-2) *has parameters* $[n, n - \mathbb{L}_s, d]$ *and the generator polynomial* $M_s(x)$ *given by*

$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = (x-1)^{\mathbb{N}_{3}(m)} m_{\alpha^{-1}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-2}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-5}}(x) \times \nm_{\alpha^{-10}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-11}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-13}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-14}}(x)
$$

where

$$
\mathbb{L}_s = 7m + \mathbb{N}_3(m).
$$

In addition,

$$
\begin{cases} 5 \le d \le 16 & \text{if } \mathbb{N}_3(m) = 1 \\ 4 \le d \le 16 & \text{if } \mathbb{N}_3(m) = 0. \end{cases}
$$

Proof. We need to prove only the bounds on the minimum weight of this code. The upper bound on *d* follows from the sphere-packing bound and the dimension of the code. In both cases, the reciprocal of $M_s(x)$ has the roots α^i for all $i \in \{1,2,3\}$. When $\mathbb{N}_3(m) = 1$, the reciprocal of $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ has the additional root α^0 . The lower bounds then follow from the BCH bound.

Open Problem 4.39. *For the code C^s of Corollary [4.38,](#page-32-0) do the following lower bounds hold?*

$$
d \ge \begin{cases} 9 & \text{when } \mathbb{N}_p(m) = 1 \\ 8 & \text{when } \mathbb{N}_p(m) = 0. \end{cases}
$$

Example 4.40. *Let* $(m, h, q) = (2, 3, 3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(r)^*$ *with* $\alpha^2 + 2\alpha + 2 =$ *. Then C^s is a* [8,3,5] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
M_s(x) = x^5 + 2x^3 + x^2 + x + 1.
$$

This cyclic code is optimal. Notice that h > *m*/2*. Hence, the parameters of this code do not agree with those of the code in Corollary [4.38.](#page-32-0)*

Example 4.41. *Let* $(m, h, q) = (4, 3, 3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* GF(r)^{*} *with* $\alpha^4 + 2\alpha^3 + 2 =$ *. Then C^s is a* [80,69,5] *ternary code with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^{11} + 2x^8 + 2x^6 + 2x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 2.
$$

This is an almost optimal linear code. The known optimal linear code has parameters [80,69,6] *which is not cyclic. Notice that h* > *m*/2*. Hence, the parameters of this code do not agree with those of the code in Corollary [4.38.](#page-32-0) In this case f*(*x*) *is a permutation.*

Example 4.42. *Let* $(m, h, q) = (7, 3, 5)$ *and* α *be a generator of* GF(r)^{*} *with* $\alpha^7 + 2\alpha^2 + 1 =$ *. Then C^s is a* [2186,2136,*d*] *cyclic code over* GF(5) *with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = x^{50} + x^{49} + x^{48} + 2x^{47} + 2x^{46} + x^{45} + 2x^{44} + 2x^{43} + x^{42} + x^{41} + 2x^{40} + 2x^{39} + 2x^{38} + 2x^{37} + x^{36} + 2x^{35} + 2x^{34} + 2x^{33} + x^{31} + 2x^{30} + x^{29} + 2x^{28} + 2x^{27} + 2x^{26} + 2x^{25} + x^{24} + x^{23} + x^{22} + 2x^{21} + 2x^{20} + x^{18} + x^{16} + x^{15} + x^{14} + x^{13} + 2x^{12} + x^{11} + 2x^{10} + 2x^9 + 2x^4 + 1.
$$

Notice that h = (*m*−1)/2*. Hence, the parameters of this code agree with those of the code in Corollary [4.38.](#page-32-0)*

4.6. **Cyclic codes from the APN function** x^3 . In this subsection we consider the code C_s defined by the APN function $f(x) = x^3$ over $GF(r)$, where $p > 3$. We present the results without providing proofs as this case is simple.

Lemma 4.43. Let s^{∞} be the sequence of [\(4\)](#page-4-0), where $f(x) = x^3$ and $p > 3$. Then the linear *span* \mathbb{L}_s *of s*[∞] *is equal to* 3*m* + $\mathbb{N}_p(m)$ *and the minimal polynomial* $\mathbb{M}_s(x)$ *of s*[∞] *is given by*

(53)
$$
\mathbb{M}_{s}(x) = (x-1)^{\mathbb{N}_{p}(m)} m_{\alpha^{-1}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-2}}(x) m_{\alpha^{-3}}(x)
$$

where $m_{\alpha j}(x)$ *is the minimal polynomial of* α^j *over* $GF(q)$ *.*

The following theorem provides information on the code C_s and its dual.

Theorem 4.44. *The code* C_s *defined by the sequence of Lemma* [4.43](#page-33-0) *has parameters* [$n, n - 1$] 3*m*−N_{*p*}(*m*),*d*] *and generator polynomial* $M_s(x)$ *of* [\(53\)](#page-33-1)*, where* 4 ≤ *d* ≤ 8*. When* $N_p(m)$ = 1, $5 \le d \le 6$.

Example 4.45. *Let* $(m, q) = (2, 5)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(q^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^2 + 4\alpha + 2 = 0$ *. Then generator polynomial of the code* C_s *is*

$$
M_s(x) = x^7 + 3x^6 + 4x^5 + 4x^4 + 2x^3 + 4x^2 + x + 1
$$

and C^s is a [24,17,5] *cyclic code over* GF(5)*. The upper bound on the minimum weight of any linear code of length 24 and dimension 17 over* GF(5) *is 6. The record linear code with parameters* [24,17,6] *reported in the database maintained by Markus Grassl is not cyclic.*

Example 4.46. *Let* $(m, q) = (3, 5)$ *and* α *be a generator of* GF $(q^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + 3\alpha + 3 = 0$ *. Then generator polynomial of the code* C_s *is*

$$
M_s(x) = x^{10} + x^9 + x^5 + 3x^4 + 4x^3 + x + 4
$$

and C^s is a [124,114,5] *cyclic code over* GF(5)*. The upper bound on the minimum weight of any linear code of length 125 and dimension 114 over* GF(5) *is 6. The record linear code with parameters* [124,114,6] *reported in the database maintained by Markus Grassl is not cyclic.*

4.7. **Open problems regarding the nonbinary cyclic codes from APN and planar dunctions.** In previous subsections of Section [4,](#page-19-3) some nonbinary cyclic codes from APN and planar functions were studied. It would be nice if the following open problems could be solved.

Open Problem 4.47. *Determine the dimension and the generator polynomial of the code* $\overline{C_s}$ *defined by the APN function* $f(x) = x^{(3^m-3)/2}$ *over* GF(3)*. Develop tight lower bounds on the minimum weight of this code.*

The following examples demonstrate that the code described in Open Problem [4.47](#page-33-2) looks promising.

Example 4.48. *Let* $(m, q) = (3, 3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(q^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + 2\alpha + 1 = 0$ *. Then generator polynomial of the code C^s is*

$$
M_s(x) = x^6 + 2x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 2
$$

and C^s is a [26,20,4] *cyclic code over* GF(3)*, and is an optimal linear code.*

Example 4.49. *Let* $(m, q) = (4, 3)$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(q^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^4 + 2\alpha^3 + 2 = 0$ *. Then generator polynomial of the code* C_s *is*

$$
\mathbb{M}_s(x) = x^{11} + 2x^8 + 2x^6 + 2x^5 + 2x^4 + x^3 + 2x^2 + x + 2
$$

and C^s is a [80,69,5] *cyclic code over* GF(3)*. The upper bound on the minimum weight of any linear code of length 80 and dimension 69 over* GF(3) *is 6. The record linear code with parameters* [80,69,6] *reported in the database maintained by Markus Grassl is not cyclic.*

Open Problem 4.50. *Determine the dimension and the generator polynomial of the code C*_{*s*} *defined by the inverse APN function* $f(x) = x^{q^m-2}$ *over* GF(*q*)*, where q is odd. Develop tight lower bounds on the minimum weight of this code.*

Regarding the cyclic code defined by the inverse APN function, the case for $q = 2$ was settled in Section [3.1.](#page-4-4) The case *q* being odd is more complicated. The code is interesting in the binary case.

Open Problem 4.51. *Determine the dimension and the generator polynomial of the code C*^{*s*} *defined by the APN function* $f(x) = x^{(5^h+1)/2}$ *over* GF(5^{*m*})*, where* gcd(2*m,h*) = 1*. Develop tight lower bounds on the minimum weight of this code.*

Open Problem 4.52. *Determine the dimension and the generator polynomial of the ternary code* C_s *defined by the APN function* $f(x) = x^e$ *over* $GF(3^m)$ *, where*

$$
e = \begin{cases} \frac{3^{(m+1)/2} - 1}{2} & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{3^{(m+1)/2} - 1}{2} + \frac{3^m - 1}{2} & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}
$$

Develop tight lower bounds on the minimum weight of this code.

Open Problem 4.53. *Determine the dimension and the generator polynomial of the ternary code* C_s *defined by the APN function* $f(x) = x^e$ *over* $GF(3^m)$ *, where*

$$
e = \begin{cases} \frac{3^{m+1}-1}{8} & \text{if } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{3^{m+1}-1}{8} + \frac{3^m-1}{2} & \text{if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}
$$

Develop tight lower bounds on the minimum weight of this code.

Open Problem 4.54. *Determine the dimension and the generator polynomial of the ternary code* C_s *defined by the APN function* $f(x) = x^e$ *over* $GF(3^m)$ *, where*

$$
e = \left(3^{(m+1)/4} - 1\right) \left(3^{(m+1)/2} + 1\right), \ m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.
$$

Develop tight lower bounds on the minimum weight of this code.

5. A RELATED CONSTRUCTION OF CYCLIC CODES FROM HIGHLY NONLINEAR FUNCTIONS

Given any nonlinear function $f(x)$ on $GF(r)$, we define its differential sequence \check{s}^{∞} by

(54)
$$
\check{s}_i = \text{Tr}(f(\alpha^i + 1) - f(\alpha^i))
$$

for all $i \geq 0$, where α is a generator of $GF(r)^*$ and $Tr(x)$ denotes the trace function from GF(*r*) to GF(*q*). We use \mathcal{C}_{δ} to denote the cyclic code defined by the sequence δ^{∞} .

Polynomials over $GF(r)$ of the form

$$
\sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} x^{q^i+q^j}
$$

36 CUNSHENG DING

are called *Dembowski-Ostrom polynomials*, where $a_{i,j} \in GF(r)$. If $f(x)$ is a Dembowski-Ostrom planar function, then $u(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)$ is an affine permutation of GF(*r*). In this case, one can prove that the code $C_{\tilde{s}}$ has generator polynomial $(x-1)^{\delta}m_{\alpha^{-1}}(x)$ and parameters $[n, n-m-\delta, d]$, where $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$
\begin{cases} d = 3 \text{ if } \delta = 1, \\ d = 2 \text{ if } \delta = 0, \end{cases}
$$

The code C_{δ} is optimal in this case.

When $f(x) = x^h$ is a monomial over GF(*r*), the relation between codes C_s and C_s must be one of the following:

- The generator polynomial of C_s is equal to $m_{\alpha^{-h}}(x)$ times that of C_s and the dimension of C_s is equal to that of C_s minus *m*. Hence, C_s is a subcode of C_s .
- The generator polynomial of C_{δ} is equal to $m_{\alpha^{-h}}(x)$ times that of C_{δ} and the dimension of C_{δ} is equal to that of C_{δ} minus *m*. Hence, C_{δ} is a subcode of C_{δ} .

All of the APN and planar functions could be plugged into this related construction above and the codes C_{δ} are extremely good (many are optimal and almost optimal). Theorems about the codes C_s developed in previous sections can be modified into theorems about the codes $C₅$. As an example, we will do this for the Welch APN function below. The rest of the modifications is left to the reader.

Lemma 5.1. Let $m = 2t + 1 \ge 7$. Let \check{s}^{∞} be the sequence of [\(54\)](#page-34-0), where $f(x) = x^{2^t + 3}$. Then *the linear span* $\mathbb{L}_{\check{s}}$ *of* \check{s}^{∞} *is equal to* $4m+1$ *and the minimal polynomial* $\mathbb{M}_{\check{s}}(x)$ *of* \check{s}^{∞} *is given by*

(55)
$$
\mathbb{M}_{\check{s}}(x) = (x-1)m_{\alpha^{-1}}(x)m_{\alpha^{-3}}(x)m_{\alpha^{-(2^t+1)}}(x)m_{\alpha^{-(2^t+2)}}(x)
$$

where $m_{\alpha^{-j}}(x)$ *is the minimal polynomial of* α^{-j} *over* GF(2)*.*

Proof. The proof of Lemma [3.10](#page-7-2) can be slightly modified into a proof of this lemma. The details are left to the reader.

The following theorem provides information on C_{δ} .

Theorem 5.2. Let $m \ge 7$ be odd. The binary code $C_{\tilde{s}}$ defined by the sequence of Lemma [5.1](#page-35-0) has parameters $[2^m - 1, 2^m - 2 - 4m, d]$ and generator polynomial $\mathbb{M}_{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}}(x)$ of [\(55\)](#page-35-1), where $d > 6$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem [3.11](#page-9-0) can be slightly modified into a proof of this theorem. The details are left to the reader. \Box

Example 5.3. *Let* $m = 3$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^3 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ *. Then* C_{δ} *is a* [7,6,2] *optimal binary cyclic code with generator polynomial* $x + 1$.

Example 5.4. *Let* $m = 5$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^5 + \alpha^2 + 1 = 0$ *. Then* C_{δ} *is a* [31,20,6] *optimal binary cyclic code with generator polynomial* $x^{11} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 +$ $x^2 + 1$.

Example 5.5. *Let* $m = 7$ *and* α *be a generator of* $GF(2^m)^*$ *with* $\alpha^7 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ *. Then* C_{δ} *is a* [127,98,8] *binary cyclic code with generator polynomial*

$$
\mathbb{M}_{\check{s}}(x) = x^{37} + x^{36} + x^{35} + x^{34} + x^{33} + x^{28} + x^{26} + x^{24} + x^{22} + x^{21} + x^{17} + x^{13} + x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^5 + x^4 + 1.
$$

This code is not an optimal linear code, but may be the best binary cyclic code of length 127 and dimension 98.

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND SUMMARY

In this paper, we studied the codes derived from a number of highly nonlinear functions (including planar functions and almost perfect nonlinear functions). Many of these codes obtained from these functions are optimal or almost optimal. The dimension of some of the codes is flexible. We determined the minimum weight for some classes of cyclic codes, and developed tight lower bounds for some other classes of cyclic codes. The main results of this paper showed that the two approaches of constructing cyclic codes with planar and APN functions are quite promising. While it is rare to see optimal cyclic codes constructed with tools in algebraic geometry and algebraic function fields, the simple constructions of cyclic codes with monomials and trinomials over $GF(r)$ employed in this paper are very impressive in the sense that it has produced many optimal and almost optimal cyclic codes.

Binary sequences defined by the inverse APN function and the first Niho APN function have large linear span. The sequences defined by the Kasami APN function have also large linear span when *h* is close to *m*/4. These sequences have also reasonable autocorrelation property. They may be employed in certain stream ciphers as keystreams. For example, the sequence defined by the inverse APN function is used in the stream cipher in [\[30\]](#page-37-15). So the contribution of this paper in cryptography is the computation of the linear spans of these sequences.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Antweiler, L. Bomer, "Complex sequences over $GF(p^M)$ with a two-level autocorrelation function and a large linear span," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 38, pp. 120–130, 1992.
- [2] T. Beth, C. Ding, "On almost perfect nonlinear permutations," in: *Advances in Cryptology–EUROCRYPT 93,* Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 765, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993, pp. 6576.
- [3] I. Blake, R. C. Mullen, *The Mathematical Theory of Coding,* Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [4] C. Blondeau, A. Canteaut, P. Charpin, "Differential properties of *x* 7→ *x* 2 *^t*−1 ," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 57, no. 12, pp. 8127–8137, December 2011.
- [5] L. Budaghyan, C. Carlet, "Classes of quadratic APN trinomials and hexanomials and related structures," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 2354–2357, 2008.
- [6] L. Budaghyan, C. Carlet, G. Leander. "Two classes of quadratic APN binomials inequivalent to power functions," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 54, no. 9, pp. 4218–4229, 2008.
- [7] L. Budaghyan, C. Carlet, G. Leander, "Constructing new APN functions from known ones," *Finite Fields and Their Applications,* vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 150–159, April 2009.
- [8] L. Budaghyan, C. Carlet, A. Pott, "New classes of almost bent and almost perfect nonlinear functions," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 1141–1152, March 2006.
- [9] A. Canteaut, P. Charpin, H. Dobbertin, "Weight divisibility of cyclic codes, highly nonlinear functions on *F*2*^m* , and crosscorrelation of maximum-length sequences," *SIAM. J. Discrete Math.*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 105– 138, 2000.
- [10] C. Carlet, P. Charpin, V. Zinoviev, "Codes, bent functions and permutations suitable for DES-like cryptosystems," *Des. Codes Cryptogr.,* vol. 15, pp. 125–156, 1998.
- [11] C. Carlet, C. Ding, J. Yuan, "Linear codes from highly nonlinear functions and their secret sharing schemes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 2089-2102, 2005.
- [12] P. Charpin, Open problems on cyclic codes, in: Handbook of Coding Theory, Part 1: Algebraic Coding, V. S. Pless, W. C. Huffman, and R. A. Brualdi, Eds. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier, 1998, ch. 11.
- [13] R. T. Chien, "Cyclic decoding procedure for the Bose-Chaudhuri-Hocquenghem codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 10, pp. 357–363, 1964.
- [14] R. S. Coulter, R. W. Matthews, "Planar functions and planes of LenzBarlotti class II," *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 10, pp. 167–184, 1997.
- [15] P. Dembowski, T.G. Ostrom, "Planes of order *n* with collineation groups of order n^2 ," *Math. Z.*, vol. 193, pp. 239–258, 1968.
- [16] C. Ding, J. Yuan, "A family of skew Hadamard difference sets," *J. of Combinatorial Theory,* Series A, vol. 113, pp. 1526–1535, 2006.

38 CUNSHENG DING

- [17] T. Helleseth, C. Rong, D. Sandberg, "New families of almost perfect nonlinear power mappings," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 475–485, 1999.
- [18] H. Dobbertin, "Almost perfect nonlinear power functions on GF(2*ⁿ*): the Welch case," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 45, pp. 1271–1275, 1999.
- [19] H. Dobbertin, "Almost perfect nonlinear power functions on GF(2*ⁿ*): The Niho case," *Inform. and Comput.,* vol. 151, pp. 57–72, 1999.
- [20] G. D. Forney, "On decoding BCH codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 549–557, 1995.
- [21] R. Gold, "Maximal recursive sequences with 3-valued recursive crosscorrelation functions," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 14, pp. 154–156, 1968.
- [22] C. R. P. Hartmann, K. K. Tzeng, "Generalizations of the BCH bound," *Information and Control,* vol. 20, pp. 489-498, 1972.
- [23] T. Kasami, "The weight enumerators for several classes of subcodes of the second order binary Reed-Muller codes," *Inform. and Control*, vol. 18, pp. 369–394, 1971.
- [24] H. D. L. Hollmanna, Q. Xiang, "A proof of the Welch and Niho conjectures on cross-correlations of binary m-sequences," *Finite Fields and Their Applications,* vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 253–286, April 2001.
- [25] W. C. Huffman, V. Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [26] L. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields,* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [27] J. H. van Lint, R. M. Wilson, "On the minimum distance of cyclic codes," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 23–40, 1986.
- [28] K. Nyberg, "Differentially uniform mappings for cryptography," in: *Advances in Cryptology–EUROCRYPT 93,* Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 765, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993, pp. 55–64.
- [29] E. Prange, "Some cyclic error-correcting codes with simple decoding algorithms," Air Force Cambridge Research Center-TN-58-156, Cambridge, Mass., April 1958.
- [30] W. Si, C. Ding, "A simple stream cipher with proven properties," *Cryptography and Communications,* to appear.
- [31] Z. Zha, G. M. Kyureghyan, X. Wang, "Perfect nonlinear binomials and their semifields", *Finite Fields and Their Applications,* vol 15, pp. 125–133, 2009.
- [32] Z. Zha, X. Wang, "New families of perfect nonlinear polynomial functions", *Journal of Algebra,* vol. 322, pp. 3912–3918, 2009.
- [33] Z. Zha, X. Wang, "Almost perfect nonlinear power functions in odd characteristic", *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory,* vol. 57, no. 7, pp. 4826–4832, 2011.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, THE HONG KONG UNIVERSITY OF SCI-ENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, CLEAR WATER BAY, KOWLOON, HONG KONG. *E-mail address*: cding@ust.hk

