

NEAREST-NEIGHBOR ENTROPY ESTIMATORS WITH WEAK METRICS

EVGENIY TIMOFEEV

Department of Computer Science,
Yaroslavl State University, Yaroslavl, 150000, Russia

ALEXEI KALTCHENKO

Department of Physics and Computing,
Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Ontario, N2L3C5, Canada

(Communicated by the associate editor name)

ABSTRACT. A problem of improving the accuracy of nonparametric entropy estimation for a stationary ergodic process is considered. New weak metrics are introduced and relations between metrics, measures, and entropy are discussed. Based on weak metrics, a new nearest-neighbor entropy estimator is constructed and has a parameter with which the estimator is optimized to reduce its bias. It is shown that estimator's variance is upper-bounded by a nearly optimal Cramér-Rao lower bound.

1. Introduction. This study is concerned with improving the accuracy of estimation of the entropy (entropy rate) of information sources with a finite state space, whose statistical characterization is unknown. Sequences of symbols or strings drawn from some finite alphabet appear in many applications where objects can be encoded into strings in natural ways. Such sequences are often viewed as realizations of stochastic processes also known as “information sources”. An important quantity characterizing an information source is its entropy (entropy rate). For a comprehensive review of previous work on entropy estimation, see, for example, [6]. Most widely used are so-called “nonparametric” entropy estimators. However, an analytical evaluation of the accuracy of those estimators is very difficult and few results are known. So, in most published work on nonparametric entropy estimation, only an asymptotical convergence to entropy is proved and tested by computer simulation.

For a given data sample of the size n , the most important characterization of an estimator h_n is its efficiency (accuracy), or L^2 -error $E(h_n - h)^2$, where h is the entropy of the source and n is the number of observations. We recall the relation

$$E(h_n - h)^2 = \text{Var } h_n + (Eh_n - h)^2,$$

where the quantity $E(h_n - h)$ is called *bias*.

Most known nonparametric entropy estimators are based either on Lempel-Ziv compression or the nearest-neighbor method, see, for instance, their review in [6].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 58F15, 58F17; Secondary: 53C35.

Key words and phrases. entropy, stochastic process, stationary, estimation, nonparametric, nearest neighbor, bias, metric.

The first author is supported by the Russian government project 11.G34.31.0053.

Those estimators are shown to converge almost everywhere (for most general results, see [6], [8]). Unfortunately, due to a slow convergence (less than $O(1/\log n)$), their accuracy is not good for many practical applications, with a relatively small sample size of $\log_2 n$ ($\log_2 n \leq 30 - 40$). This motivates a search for estimators with a more rapid convergence.

Lempel-Ziv estimators are very hard to analyze and evaluate their accuracy analytically. For example, the initial motivation for paper [1] was the desire to obtain asymptotic properties for an entropy estimation algorithm due to Ziv [13], but they show that calculations of the bias and the variance is very difficult. Up to date, there are no published work on Lempel-Ziv estimator's bias or variance.

From now on, we will focus on nearest-neighbor estimators and will now briefly state most important published results. We point out that it is often more convenient to estimate, instead of the entropy, its inverse quantity $1/h$.

Two modifications of a Grassberger's estimator [5] are proposed in [11]. In this paper notation, they are written as $r_n^{(k,m)}(\rho)/\log n$ (see 7) and $\eta_n^{(k,m)}(\rho)$ (see 16), where ρ is a metric.

For estimator $r_n^{(k,m)}(\rho)/\log n$, L^1 -convergence and variance bound $O(n^{-c})$ are shown [11] under certain restriction on source measures. For metric 3 specifically, this measure restriction is relaxed (see 8) and convergence almost everywhere is established in [6]. It is also shown [6] that variance bound $O(n^{-c})$ holds for any $c < 1$.

For estimator $\eta_n^{(k,m)}(\rho)$, L^1 -convergence is established in [11] under certain restrictions on metrics and source measures.

For metric 3 computer simulation [6] showed that the estimator 16 with metric 3 is more efficient than the estimator $r_n^{(k,m)}(\rho)/\log n$. But in a subsequent work [7] for symmetric Bernoulli measures, it was established that the estimator's bias is a periodic function, with a period proportional to $\log n$. In a computer simulation, such a bias was difficult to catch because its amplitude was less than 10^{-6} for sources with a small entropy ($h < 3$).

In [12], the bias was also explicitly calculated for Markov measures and the metric 3. This bias was equal to zero if the logarithms of the transitional probabilities were rationally incommensurable. Otherwise, the bias was a periodic function with a period proportional to $\log n$. This result demonstrates a new obstacle in an estimator's analytical evaluation, namely, an estimator's bias can be a discontinuous function of measure parameters.

The objective of this research is to construct a new estimator based on an existing nearest-neighbor estimator and its modifications to achieve efficiency $O(n^{-c})$ for some measures, where $c > 0$ is a constant. The main idea of this construction is as follows. A nearest-neighbor estimator is based on some metric. We introduce a wider class of so-called "weak" [2] metrics, for which the triangle inequality holds with some constant $C > 1$. The new estimator now has a parameter which is a non-decreasing function. We expect that the function can be selected so that to reduce the bias. Specifically, we introduce a class of functions with one parameter which we optimize to reduce the bias. It is shown that for symmetric Bernoulli measures there exists such a parameter value for which the bias is asymptotically zero.

Our paper is organized as follows:

- In Section 3, we introduce new weak metrics and discuss a connection between metrics, measures, and entropy.

- In Section 4, we discuss a nearest-neighbor statistic and show that the statistic's variance is upper-bounded by $O(n^{-1})$ for a large class of measures and weak metrics,
- In Section 5, we introduce our new nearest-neighbor estimator (based on the statistic of Section 4) and its modifications and prove that this estimator is unbiased for symmetric Bernoulli measures.

2. Notation and Definitions. For our purposes, an information source, or stationary process, is a shift-invariant ergodic measure μ on the space $\Omega = A^{\mathbb{N}}$ of right-sided infinite sequences drawn from a finite alphabet A , where $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Thus, an infinite random sequence generated by μ is viewed as a point in Ω chosen randomly with respect to μ and is denoted by $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$.

For a cylinder centered at $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$, we use the following notation

$$C_s(\mathbf{x}) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \Omega : y_1 = x_1, \dots, y_s = x_s\}.$$

Let ρ be a metric on Ω . We denote an open ball of radius r centered at x by $B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho) = \{\mathbf{y} \in \Omega : \rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) < r\}$. In order to simplify the notation, it is convenient to write $B(\mathbf{x}, r)$ for $B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho)$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots)$ be a point in Ω chosen randomly with respect to μ . Recall that the entropy h (entropy rate) of a measure μ is defined as follows

$$h = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \log \mu(C_n(\boldsymbol{\xi})), \quad (1)$$

here and throughout the paper, all logarithms are to base e , i.e., natural.

Problem Statement:

Let μ be a shift-invariant ergodic probability measure on $\Omega = A^{\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\xi}_0, \boldsymbol{\xi}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n$ be independent random variables taking values in Ω and identically distributed with a common law μ . We want to evaluate the entropy of the measure μ .

3. Metrics on Sequence Spaces. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ be points in Ω . We define the following metric on Ω :

$$\rho(a\mathbf{x}, b\mathbf{y}) = \begin{cases} e^{-1} \rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), & a = b; \\ e^{-\lambda(-\log \rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))}, & a \neq b; \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is a nondecreasing function such that $\lambda(0) = 0$ and $\lambda(t) \leq 1$, $0 \leq t < \infty$.

In particular, for $\lambda(t) = 0$, $0 \leq t < \infty$, we obtain the following well-known metric:

$$\rho_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = e^{-\min\{k: x_k \neq y_k\}}, \quad (3)$$

We stress that metric 2 is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to metric 3, i.e. we have

$$\rho_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq \rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq e \rho_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}). \quad (4)$$

Therefore, according to [2], ρ is a weak metric (or near-metric), i.e. the triangle inequality holds with some constant $C > 1$.

While each point \mathbf{x} has infinitely many coordinates, for any practical estimate calculations, we need to limit the number of coordinates which are used for calculation. We make it by introducing a truncation of a metric that uses only the first m coordinates of the points.

We define $\rho^{(m)}$, a truncation of a metric ρ , as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{(0)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) &= 1; \\ \rho^{(m)}(a\mathbf{x}, b\mathbf{y}) &= \begin{cases} e^{-1}\rho^{(m-1)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), & a = b; \\ e^{-\lambda(-\log \rho^{(m-1)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))}, & a \neq b; \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

To simplify the notation, we define:

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -\log \rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad \alpha^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -\log \rho^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}). \quad (6)$$

Note that $-1/\log \rho_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is also a metric on Ω , but $1/\alpha(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is not a metric.

Proposition 1. *Let μ be a shift-invariant ergodic measure on Ω and ρ be metric 2; then, for μ -almost all points $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho))}{\log r} = h,$$

where h is the entropy of μ .

Proof. First, we consider a special case $\rho = \rho_0$. Balls in the metric ρ_0 are cylinders; i.e.

$$B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho_0) = C_n(\mathbf{x}), \quad e^{-n-1} < r \leq e^{-n}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho_0))}{\log r} = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(C_n(\mathbf{x}))}{n}.$$

Applying Shannon–MacMillan–Breiman theorem [9, 2.10], we obtain, for μ -almost all points $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$

$$: \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho_0))}{\log r} = - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(C_n(\mathbf{x}))}{n} = h.$$

Now we consider a general metric 2. Since ρ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent (see 4) to a metric 3, we have

$$B(\mathbf{x}, e^{-1}r, \rho_0) \subset B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho) \subset B(\mathbf{x}, er, \rho_0).$$

Hence, we have

$$\mu(B(\mathbf{x}, e^{-1}r, \rho_0)) \leq \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho)) \leq \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, er, \rho_0)).$$

Therefore, for μ -almost all points $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, we obtain:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho))}{\log r} = h.$$

□

4. Nearest-neighbor statistics. In this section, we consider a nonparametric statistic $r_n^{(k,m)}(\rho)$. This statistic is based on a sample of $n+1$ independent points ξ_0, \dots, ξ_n in the space Ω chosen randomly with respect to μ and the metric ρ on Ω and is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} r_n^{(k,m)}(\rho) &= -\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \log \left(\min_{i:i \neq j}^{(k)} \rho^{(m)}(\xi_i, \xi_j) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \max_{i:i \neq j}^{(k)} \alpha^{(m)}(\xi_i, \xi_j), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where ρ is a metric [2](#) and $\min^{(k)}\{X_1, \dots, X_N\}$ is defined by $\min^{(k)}\{X_1, \dots, X_N\} = X_k$ if $X_1 \leq X_2 \leq \dots \leq X_N$.

We stress that this statistic uses only first m coordinates of points ξ_0, \dots, ξ_n .

Theorem 1 and Proposition 8 of [\[11\]](#) imply the following statement:

Proposition 2. *Let ξ_0, \dots, ξ_n be $n+1$ independent points in the space Ω chosen randomly with respect to μ and $k = O(\log n)$, then the following limit holds:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}r_n^{(k, \infty)}(\rho)}{\log n} = \frac{1}{h}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let a measure μ satisfy the following condition*

$$\exists a, b > 0 : \mu(C_n(\mathbf{x}, r)) \leq be^{-an}, \quad \forall n > 0, a.e. \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad (8)$$

then, there exist constants c_1, c_2 such that the following inequality holds:

$$\mathbb{E}r_n^{(k, \infty)}(\rho) - \mathbb{E}r_n^{(k, m)}(\rho) \leq c_1 n^{-1}, \quad \text{for } m \geq c_2 \log n. \quad (9)$$

Proof. Arguing as in proof of Theorem 1 [\[11\]](#), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}r_n^{(k, m)}(\rho) &= -n \binom{n-1}{k-1} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^1 \log r \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho^{(m)}))^{k-1} \\ &\quad \left(1 - \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho^{(m)}))\right)^{n-k} d_r \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho^{(m)})) d\mu(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From an identity

$$B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho) = B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho^{(m)}), \quad r > e^{-m},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}r_n^{(k, \infty)}(\rho) - \mathbb{E}r_n^{(k, m)}(\rho) &= -n \binom{n-1}{k-1} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{e^{-m}} \log r \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho))^{k-1} \\ &\quad \left(1 - \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho))\right)^{n-k} d_r \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho)) d\mu(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned}$$

Using Condition [8](#) and [4](#), we obtain

$$\mu(B(\mathbf{x}, r, \rho)) \leq c_3 r^a.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{E}r_n^{(k, \infty)}(\rho) - \mathbb{E}r_n^{(k, m)}(\rho) \leq -n^k \int_0^{e^{-m}} \log r (c_3 r^a)^{k-1} dr.$$

Calculating the above integral, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}r_n^{(k, \infty)}(\rho) - \mathbb{E}r_n^{(k, m)}(\rho) = O\left(n^k m e^{-m(a(k-1)+1)}\right).$$

If we set $c_2 > 1/a$, then the inequality [9](#) follows from the above equality. \square

Applying Proposition [2](#), we get the following statement:

Corollary 1. *For some constant $c > 1/a$, let the following relations hold:*

$$c \log n \leq m, \quad k = O(\log n),$$

then the following limit holds:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}r_n^{(k, m)}}{\log n} = \frac{1}{h}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $r_n^{(k,m)}$ be a statistic defined in (7), then the following inequality holds*

$$\text{Var } r_n^{(k,m)} \leq \frac{m^2(km+1)^2}{4(n+1)}. \quad (11)$$

Proof. In this proof, we use McDiarmid's method [10].

We introduce a function

$$f : \Omega^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

defined as

$$f(\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^n \max_{i:i \neq j}^{(k)} \alpha^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j).$$

In order to apply McDiarmid's method, we need to show that f satisfies the inequality

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y} \in \Omega} |f(\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) - f(\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)| \leq c_i, \quad (12)$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq n$.

We prove this inequality for

$$c_i = \frac{m(km+1)}{n+1}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n. \quad (13)$$

For brevity, we introduce the following notation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} &= (\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{X}} &= (\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{i-1}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_{i+1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), \\ g_j(\mathbf{X}) &= \max_{i:i \neq j}^{(k)} \alpha^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } J = \left\{ j \neq i : g_j(\mathbf{X}) \neq g_j(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) \right\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} g_j(\mathbf{X}) &= g_j(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}), \quad j \notin J, \quad j \neq i; \\ |g_j(\mathbf{X}) - g_j(\tilde{\mathbf{X}})| &\leq m, \quad \forall j; \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$|f(\mathbf{X}) - f(\tilde{\mathbf{X}})| \leq \frac{m(|J|+1)}{n+1}.$$

Let us prove that $|J| \leq km$.

If $j \in J$ then $g_j(\mathbf{X}) = \alpha^{(m)}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)$.

Suppose that $l = 1, 2, \dots, m$ is such that

$$\mathbf{x}_j \notin C_l(\mathbf{x}_i), \quad \mathbf{x}_j \in C_{l-1}(\mathbf{x}_i),$$

then $\forall \mathbf{y} \in C_l(\mathbf{x}_i)$

$$\alpha^{(m)}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_j) > \alpha^{(m)}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}_i).$$

Hence,

$$\left| C_l(\mathbf{x}_i) \cap \{\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\} \right| \leq k.$$

This proves (13).

As shown in [3], McDiarmid's martingale method provides the following bound on the variance of $f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n)$

$$\text{Var } [f(\boldsymbol{\xi}_0, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n)] \leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a=0}^n c_a^2. \quad (14)$$

Substituting (13) into (14), we obtain (11). \square

Corollary 2.

$$P\{|r_n^{(k,m)}(\rho) - \mathbb{E}r_n^{(k,m)}(\rho)| > \delta\} \leq 2e^{-2(n+1)\delta^2/m^2(km+1)^2}. \quad (15)$$

Proof. This inequality is obtained by applying the McDiarmid's inequality [10]

$$P\{|f(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n) - \mathbb{E}f(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n)| > \delta\} \leq 2e^{-2\delta^2/\sum_{i=0}^n c_i^2},$$

where c_i is defined in (12).

Substituting (13) into the above inequality, we get

$$P\{|f(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n) - \mathbb{E}f(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n)| > \delta\} \leq 2e^{-2(n+1)\delta^2/m^2(km+1)^2}.$$

Substituting $r_n^{(k,m)}(\rho) = f(\xi_0, \dots, \xi_n)$, we get 15. \square

Corollary 3. *Let a measure μ satisfy 8 and an inequality $c \log n \leq m$ hold for some constant $c > 1/a$, $m = O(\log n)$, and $k = O(\log n)$, then a sequence $\frac{\mathbb{E}r_n^{(k,m)}(\rho)}{\log n}$ converges to $1/h$ a.e.*

5. Entropy Estimator. In this section, we consider a nonparametric estimator $\eta_n^{(k,m)}(\rho)$ for the inverse entropy $1/h$, where metric ρ is defined in 2.

This estimator is based on a sample of $n + 1$ independent points ξ_0, \dots, ξ_n in the space Ω chosen randomly with respect to μ and the metric 2 on Ω and is defined as follows:

$$\eta_n^{(k,m)}(\rho) = k \left(r_n^{(k,m)}(\rho) - r_n^{(k+1,m)}(\rho) \right), \quad (16)$$

where $r_n^{(k,m)}(\rho)$ is defined in 7.

Applying Theorem 4.2 and inequality $\text{Var}(X + Y) \leq \left(\sqrt{\text{Var} X} + \sqrt{\text{Var} Y} \right)^2$, we obtain the following statement:

Proposition 3. *Let $m = O(\log n)$ and $k = O(\log n)$, then*

$$\text{Var} \eta_n^{(k,m)}(\rho) = O(n^{-1} \log^8 n).$$

Thus, we have just calculated the estimator's variance. We note that a calculation of the estimator's bias is much more complicated and we will do it for a asymmetric Bernoulli measure.

Proposition 4. *Let μ be a asymmetric Bernoulli measure and the function $\lambda(t)$ of 2 be such that the following identity holds*

$$\lambda(t) = \log_\beta(\beta + (1 - \beta)\beta^t) \quad (17)$$

for $0 < \beta < 1$.

Then, for $\beta = 1/|A|$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\eta_n^{(k,\infty)}(\beta) = 1/h = 1/\log |A|.$$

Proof. We introduce notation

$$F(t) = \mu(B(\mathbf{x}, e^{-t})).$$

Clearly, for a symmetric Bernoulli measure (with equiprobable symbols), F does not depend on \mathbf{x} and satisfies an equation

$$F(t) = \beta F(t - 1) + (1 - \beta)F(\lambda^{-1}(t)),$$

where $\beta = 1/|A|$. It can easily be checked that $F(t) = \beta^t$ is a solution of this equation.

Substituting $F(t) = \beta^t$ for $m = \infty$ in 10, we get

$$Er_n^{(k,\infty)}(\rho) = -n \binom{n-1}{k-1} \int_0^1 \log r \beta^{-(k-1)\log r} (1 - \beta^{-\log r})^{n-k} d\beta^{-\log r}.$$

If we replace $\beta^{-\log r}$ by x , we obtain

$$Er_n^{(k,\infty)}(\rho) = \frac{n}{\log \beta} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \int_0^1 \log x x^{(k-1)} (1-x)^{n-k} dx.$$

Calculating the integral (see [4, 4.253.1]), we get

$$Er_n^{(k,\infty)}(\rho) = -\frac{H_n - H_{k-1}}{\log \beta},$$

where H_n are harmonic numbers

$$H_n = \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{1}{s}.$$

Using 16, we obtain

$$E\eta_n^{(k,\infty)}(\rho) = -\frac{1}{\log \beta}.$$

□

6. Conclusion. In this work, we have introduced a new nearest-neighbor entropy estimator which is based on a new large family of weak metrics. The estimator has a parameter with which it is optimized to reduce its bias. We have calculated estimator's variance and shown that it is upper-bounded by a nearly optimal Cramér-Rao lower bound.

We have explicitly calculated the estimator's bias for a special case - symmetrical Bernoulli measures. In a subsequent work, we expect to calculate the bias for a general case as well as to develop an efficient estimator's algorithm implementation.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Aldous and P. Shields, *A Diffusion Limit for a Class of Randomly-Growing Binary Trees*, Probab. Th. Rel. Fields, **79**, (1988), 509–542.
- [2] M. Deza and T. Deza “Encyclopedia of Distances,” Springer, 2009.
- [3] L. Devroye, *Exponential inequalities in nonparametric estimation*, in “Nonparametric Functional Estimation and Related Topics” (eds. G. Roussas), NATO ASI Series, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (1991), 31–44.
- [4] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik “Table of integrals, Series, and Products,” 5th edition, Academic Press, 1994.
- [5] P. Grassberger, *Estimating the information content of symbol sequences and efficient codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **35**, (1989), 669–675.
- [6] A. Kaltchenko and N. Timofeeva *Entropy Estimators with Almost Sure Convergence and an $O(n^{-1})$ Variance*, Advances in Mathematics of Communications, **2**, (2008), 1–13.
- [7] A. Kaltchenko and N. Timofeeva *Rate of convergence of the nearest neighbor entropy estimator*, AEU - International Journal of Electronics and Communications. **64**, (2010), 75–79.
- [8] I. Kontoyiannis and Yu. M. Suhov, *Prefixes and the entropy rate for long-range sources*, in “Probability Statistics and Optimization” (eds. F.P. Kelly), Wiley, New York, (1994), 89–98.
- [9] N. Martin and J. England, “Mathematical Theory of Entropy”, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1984.
- [10] C. McDiarmid, *On the method of bounded differences*, in “Surveys in Combinatorics”, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, (1989), 148–188.
- [11] E.A. Timofeev, *Statistical Estimation of measure invariants*, St. Petersburg Math. J., **17**, no 3 (2006), 527–551.

- [12] E.A. Timofeev, *Bias of a nonparametric entropy estimator for Markov measures*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, **176**, no. 2 (2011), 255–269.
- [13] J. Ziv and A. Lempel, *Compression of individual sequences by variable rate coding*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **24**, (1978), 530–536.

E-mail address: `tim@uniyar.ac.ru`

E-mail address: `akaltche@wlu.ca`