FINITE NILPOTENT SEMIGROUPS OF SMALL COCLASS

ANDREAS DISTLER

ABSTRACT. The parameter coclass has been used successfully in the study of nilpotent algebraic objects of different kinds. In this paper a definition of coclass for nilpotent semigroups is introduced and semigroups of coclass 0, 1, and 2 are classified. Presentations for all such semigroups and formulae for their numbers are obtained. The classification is provided up to isomorphism as well as up to isomorphism or anti-isomorphism. Commutative and self-dual semigroups are identified within the classification.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nilpotency is an important concept in many areas of algebra. For semigroups there exist two common definitions; on the one hand the generalisation of nilpotency for groups introduced by Mal'cev [8], and on the other hand a natural adaptation of the notion for algebras. The latter is used in this paper: a semigroup *S* is *nilpotent* if there exists $c \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $|S^{c+1}| = 1$, the least such *c* is the (*nilpotency*) class of *S*.

A parameter used successfully in the studies of nilpotent groups and Lie algebras is the coclass of such objects [7, 12]. For a finite nilpotent semigroup *S* of class *c* we define the (*nilpotency*) coclass of *S* to be |S| - 1 - c.

It is immediate from the definition that every nilpotent semigroup S contains a zero and that |S| - 1 is an upper bound for both class and coclass. Throughout the paper attributing class or coclass to a semigroup S shall imply that S is nilpotent.

The above definition of class and coclass is in parallel to that for other algebraic structures. It ensures for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that the class of a nilpotent semigroup S equals the sum of the classes of the ideal S^k and the quotient S/S^k . Furthermore class and coclass of S equal the respective attributes of the nilpotent algebra naturally associated with S over any given field, that is the contracted semigroup algebra of S (compare [2]).

The main results obtained in this paper are complete classifications of nilpotent semigroups of coclass 1 and of coclass 2. These demonstrate that coclass is a useful parameter for the classification, seemingly better suited than the more directly defined nilpotency class.

The forthcoming section contains technical background on basic properties of nilpotent semigroups. An immediate consequence is the description of semigroups of coclass 0 in Lemma 2.3. In Sections 3 and 4 the main results of the paper are obtained. Classifications of semigroups of coclass 1 respectively 2 are given in Theorem 3.2 respectively Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. Presentations of the semigroups are provided in all cases. Ideas and problems for an extension of the results to higher coclass are briefly discussed at the end of Section 4. In the final section the main results are applied to the enumeration of semigroups of coclass 1 and 2. Formulae for the numbers of such semigroups are given along with a table containing the numbers for small orders.

The lists of presentations from the main theorems have been implemented in GAP [5] by the author. The implementation is available in the package SMALLSEMI [4] and can be accessed through the function PresentationsOfNilpotentSemigroups¹.

The author thanks Bettina Eick and James D. Mitchell for comments on earlier versions of the paper. The work was developed with support from the doctoral program of the University of St Andrews and from the project PTDC/MAT/101993/2008 of Centro de Álgebra da Universidade de Lisboa, financed by FCT and FEDER.

¹Currently under development

ANDREAS DISTLER

2. Preliminaries and Coclass 0

This section contains basic results about the structure of nilpotent semigroups and a characterisation of semigroups with coclass 0.

Lemma 2.1. Let S be a nilpotent semigroup of class c. Then the following hold:

- (i) the sets $S^k \setminus S^{k+1}$ with $1 \le k \le c$ are non-empty and form a partition of $S \setminus S^{c+1}$; (ii) if $s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k \in S^k \setminus S^{k+1}$ with $1 \le k \le c$, then $s_i \cdots s_j \in S^{j-i+1} \setminus S^{j-i+2}$ for all $1 \le i \le j \le k;$
- (iii) if $|S^l \setminus S^{l+1}| = 1$ for $1 \le l \le c$ then $|S^k \setminus S^{k+1}| = 1$ for all $l \le k \le c$.

Proof. (i): For any three sets A, B, C with $A \supseteq B \supseteq C$ the set $A \setminus C$ equals the disjoint union of $A \setminus B$ and $B \setminus C$. Hence it suffices to show that the sets $S^k \setminus S^{k+1}$ are non-empty for $1 \le k \le c$. From $S^k = S^{k+1}$ it would follow that $S^k = S^{c+1}$ contains just one element and c = k - 1, a contradiction to $k \leq c$. Thus S^{k+1} is a proper subset of S^k for $1 \leq k \leq c$.

(ii): The statement is shown by contradiction. Assume that $s_i \cdots s_j \in S^{j-i+2}$ for some i, j with $1 \le i \le j \le k$. This means $s_i \cdots s_j$ can be expressed as a product $t_1 \cdots t_{j-i+2} \in S^{j-i+2}$. Replacing $s_i \cdots s_j$ by $t_1 \cdots t_{j-i+2}$ in *s*, that is

$$s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k = s_1 \cdots s_{i-1} t_1 \cdots t_{j-i+2} s_{j+1} \cdots s_k,$$

yields $s \in S^{k+1}$, a contradiction.

(iii): Let $s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k \in S^k \setminus S^{k+1}$ for some $l < k \leq c$. According to Part (ii) the product $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_l$ of length l equals the unique element $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_l$ in $S^l \setminus S^{l+1}$. Hence $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_k =$ $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_l s_{l+1} \cdots s_k$. The right hand side of this equation is still a product of length k equalling s. Thus, again by Part (ii) $t_2 t_3 \cdots t_l s_{l+1}$ equals the unique element in $S^l \setminus S^{l+1}$ and can be replaced by $t_1t_2\cdots t_l$. Applying this argument repeatedly and always replacing the product of length l with $t_1t_2\cdots t_l$ yields $s = t_1^{k-l+1}t_2\cdots t_l$. This implies $|S^k \setminus S^{k+1}| = 1$, since $s \in S^k \setminus S^{k+1}$ was chosen arbitrarily.

The previous lemma will be used repeatedly and it is worthwhile to gain some intuition for its content. First of all, for each element other than the zero, the length of a product equalling the element is restricted. An element in $S^k \setminus S^{k+1}$ can be written as product of length k, but not as product of length k + 1. We obtain a partition of S if we collect all elements with the same maximal length of a product equalling the element in a separate part. A semigroup of class c is partitioned into c + 1 sets: one for each maximal length between 1 and c and the zero element in a set by itself. This yields precisely the partition in Lemma 2.1(i). Further, each part of a product of maximal length is clearly maximal itself, which is essentially what is stated in the second part of Lemma 2.1. It follows in particular that every element in a product of maximal length lies in $S \setminus S^2$. That in addition each element in $S \setminus S^2$ clearly has to appear in every generating set, yields the following well-known result.

Lemma 2.2. Let S be a nilpotent semigroup containing at least 2 elements. Then $S \setminus S^2$ is the unique minimal generating set of S.

We shall see that the previous lemma together with Lemma 2.1(i) yields that a semigroup with coclass 0 can be generated by one element. A finite semigroup generated by a single element uwhich satisfies $u^{k} = u^{k+l}$ for minimal $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ is called *monogenic* of *index* k and *period* l.

Lemma 2.3. Let S be a semigroup of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) *S* is nilpotent of coclass 0;
- (ii) *S* is monogenic and nilpotent;
- (iii) *S* is monogenic with period 1;
- (iv) $\langle u \mid u^n = u^{n+1} \rangle$ is a presentation for S.

Proof. We may assume $n \ge 2$ as the statement is obvious for n = 1.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): According to Lemma 2.1(i) the sets $S^k \setminus S^{k+1}$ with $1 \le k \le n-1$ are non-empty. Hence each set contains exactly one element. The set $S \setminus S^2$ is a generating set by Lemma 2.2 and thus S is monogenic.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): If u denotes the generator of S, then u^n and u^{n+1} both equal the zero element. Therefore the period of S is 1.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): If u denotes the generator of S all of u, u^2, \ldots, u^n have to be pairwise different. And that the period of S is 1 implies that equality $u^n = u^{n+1}$ holds.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): Clearly u^n is a zero and every product of n elements equals u^n , making S nilpotent. Moreover, $S^k \setminus S^{k+1} = \{u^k\}$ for $1 \le k \le n-1$, showing that S has nilpotency coclass 0.

In a nilpotent semigroup S every element generates a nilpotent monogenic subsemigroup of coclass 0. The class of each such subsemigroup is at most the class of S. Of special interest is the case when equality of the classes holds for some elements in S.

Lemma 2.4. Let S be a nilpotent semigroup of class c. If $|S^{c-1} \setminus S^c| = 1$ then there exists an element in S that generates a nilpotent subsemigroup of class c.

Proof. For $s \in S^c \setminus S^{c+1}$ take a product $s_1s_2 \cdots s_c$ equal to s. Then both $s_1 \cdots s_{c-1}$ and $s_2 \cdots s_c$ are in $S^{c-1} \setminus S^c$ due to Lemma 2.1(ii) and hence $s_1 \cdots s_{c-1}$ equals $s_2 \cdots s_c$. This yields $s = s_1s_2s_3 \cdots s_c = s_1s_1s_2 \cdots s_{c-1}$. Repeating the process starting with $s = s_1s_1s_2 \cdots s_{c-1}$ gives $s = s_1s_1s_1s_2 \cdots s_{c-2}$ and leads after c-1 iterations to $s = s_1^c$. Conclude by Lemma 2.1(ii) that s_i^k for $1 \leq k \leq c$ are pairwise different and are also different from the zero s_1^{c+1} . Hence the semigroup generated by s_1 has size c+1 and class c.

The condition in the previous lemma is rather technical. For a fixed coclass we can turn it into a restriction on the size of the semigroup. The restriction can be strengthened if also the size of the generating set is incorporated.

Corollary 2.5. Let S be a finite, nilpotent semigroup of class c and coclass r. If either $c \ge r + 2$ or $c \ge r + 4 - |S \setminus S^2|$ then there exists an element in S that generates a nilpotent subsemigroup of class c.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1(iii) it is immediate that either of the two conditions in the statement implies that S satisfies the prerequisite in Lemma 2.4.

Note that for a nilpotent semigroup *S* the only case in which $|S \setminus S^2| = 1$ is, according to Lemma 2.3, if *S* has coclass 0. It follows that the generating set for a semigroup of positive coclass contains at least two elements and that the second bound in the previous corollary is at least as good as the first bound, usually better.

3. CLASSIFICATION FOR COCLASS 1

Some general remarks on the classification of semigroups are in place before stating the results of this section. Two semigroups are *anti-isomorphic* if one is isomorphic to the dual of the other, and a semigroup is *self-dual* if it is isomorphic to its dual. Semigroups are usually classified up to isomorphism or anti-isomorphism, and the results here are stated accordingly. For the sake of brevity we denote 'isomorphism or anti-isomorphism' by (*anti-)isomorphism* and correspondingly use (*anti-)isomorphic* to mean 'isomorphic or anti-isomorphic'. For computational purposes it is often useful to work with a classification just up to isomorphism. In all results the self-dual semigroups are indicated thus allowing the reader to extract each classification up to isomorphism.

We now use the structural information provided in the previous section to classify nilpotent semigroups of coclass 1. Though instead of doing so directly we prove a generalisation to arbitrary coclass which will also be useful for the classification of semigroups of coclass 2 in the forthcoming section.

ANDREAS DISTLER

Lemma 3.1. For $c, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $c \ge 3$ the following is a complete list up to (anti-)isomorphism of representatives of nilpotent semigroups of class c and coclass r, in which the unique minimal generating set is of size r + 1 and that contain at least r copies of the monogenic, nilpotent semigroup of class c:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{k} &= \langle u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{r}, v \mid u_{1}^{c+1} = u_{1}^{c+2}; u_{1}^{2} = u_{i}^{2} = u_{i}u_{j} = u_{j}u_{i}, 1 \leq j < i \leq r; \\ u_{i}v = vu_{i} = u_{1}^{k}, 1 \leq i \leq r; v^{2} = u_{1}^{2k-2} \rangle, 2 \leq k \leq c-1; \\ \mathcal{J}_{k} &= \langle u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{r}, v \mid u_{1}^{c+1} = u_{1}^{c+2}; u_{1}^{2} = u_{i}^{2} = u_{i}u_{j} = u_{j}u_{i}, 1 \leq j < i \leq r; \\ u_{i}v = vu_{i} = u_{1}^{k}, 1 \leq i \leq r; v^{2} = u_{1}^{c} \rangle, \lfloor c/2 \rfloor + 2 \leq k \leq c-1; \\ \mathcal{X} &= \langle u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{r}, v \mid u_{1}^{c+1} = u_{1}^{c+2}; u_{1}^{2} = u_{i}^{2} = u_{i}u_{j} = u_{j}u_{i}, 1 \leq j < i \leq r; \\ u_{i}v = vu_{i} = u_{1}^{(c+2)/2}, 1 \leq i \leq r; v^{2} = u_{1}^{c+1} \rangle, \text{ if } c \equiv 0 \mod 2; \\ \mathcal{N}_{k,l,m}^{e} &= \langle u_{1}, u_{2}, \dots, u_{r}, v \mid u_{1}^{c+1} = u_{1}^{c+2}; u_{1}^{2} = u_{i}^{2} = u_{i}u_{j} = u_{j}u_{i}, 1 \leq j < i \leq r; \\ vu_{i} = u_{i}v = u_{1}^{c+1}, 1 \leq i \leq k; \\ vu_{i} = u_{1}^{c+1}, u_{i}v = u_{1}^{c+1}, l+1 \leq i \leq l; \\ vu_{i} = u_{i}^{c+1}, u_{i}v = u_{1}^{c+1}, l+1 \leq i \leq m; \\ vu_{i} = u_{i}v = u_{1}^{c}, m+1 \leq i \leq r; v^{2} = u_{1}^{c+e} \rangle, \\ 0 \leq k \leq m \leq r, k \leq l \leq \lfloor (k+m)/2 \rfloor, e \in \{0,1\}. \end{aligned}$$

All semigroups in the list, except $\mathcal{N}_{k,l,m}^e$ with $l \neq (k+m)/2$, are self-dual.

Proof. Let $S = \langle u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r, v \rangle$ be a nilpotent semigroup fulfilling the conditions described in the statement of the lemma where u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r denote r elements of S that each generate a subsemigroup of class c. According to Lemma 2.1(i) the sets $S^k \setminus S^{k+1}$ for $2 \leq k \leq c$ each contain exactly one element which consequently equals u_i^k for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. As the index i does not influence the value of u_i^k for $k \geq 2$ we simplify notation and write just u^k .

For given $1 \le i, j \le r$ the equality $u_i u_j = u^k$ holds for some $2 \le k \le c+1$. It follows $u_i(u_i u_j) = u_i u^k = u^{k+1}$ and also $(u_i u_i) u_j = u^2 u_j = u^3$. This proves k = 2 using that u^3 is not the zero because 3 < c+1 by assumption. As i, j were arbitrary $u_i u_j$ equals u^2 for all $1 \le i, j \le r$.

We shall now conduct a case distinction depending on the value of u_1v . Note that the whole of S is determined if we know the value of v^2 and the values of u_jv and vu_j for all $1 \le j \le r$ because u^kv and vu^k can then be deduced for all $2 \le k \le c+1$. The choices not contradicting associativity are determined below. Choices leading to (anti-)isomorphic semigroups and are identified; no two semigroups from different cases are (anti-)isomorphic. Note that any (anti-)isomorphism sends generators to generators and is as such induced by a permutation of u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r, v .

Case 1: $u_1v = u^k$ with $2 \le k \le \lceil c/2 \rceil$. Let $l \in \{2, 3, ..., c+1\}$ such that $vu_1 = u^l$. From $u^{k+1} = u_1vu_1 = u^{l+1}$ it follows that k = l as u^{k+1} is not the zero. Using the same type of argument considering $u_jvu_1 = u_ju^k = u^{k+1}$ it follows $u_jv = u^k$ and similarly $vu_j = u^k$ for all $2 \le j \le r$. Also $v^2 = u^m$ implies $u^{m+1} = v^2u = vu^k = u^{2k-1}$, and hence m = 2k - 2 as u^{2k-1} is not the zero. It follows that the value of any proper product of generators is determined by its length and by how many times v appears (A product of length i + j that contains j times v equals $u^{i+j(k-1)}$.). This guarantees that the multiplication does not contradict associative. Hence S is a homomorphic image of \mathcal{H}_k . Apart from the generators each presentation contains at most the elements u^k for $2 \le k \le c+1$ and has therefore size c+2 and class c. For all values of k the semigroup is commutative and no two are (anti-)isomorphic since v^2 is different for different k. (Note that if k = 2, than $\langle v \rangle$ is also of class c and any permutation of the generators induces an automorphism of S.)

Case 2: $u_1v = u^k$ with $\lceil c/2 \rceil < k \le c-1$. As in the previous case $vu_j = u_jv = u^k$ for all $1 \le j \le r$. Now $vvu = u^{2k-1}$ equals the zero u^{c+1} . This leaves the two choices u^c and u^{c+1} for v^2 . Again, the value of a product of generators only depends on its length and the number of times v appears, making the multiplication associative. Similar to Case 1 it follows that S is isomorphic to one of the presentations \mathcal{H}_k or \mathcal{J}_k respectively \mathcal{X} if k = (c+2)/2 depending on the value of v^2 . All these presentations define pairwise not (anti-)isomorphic, commutative semigroups.

Case 3: $u_1v \in \{u^c, u^{c+1}\}$. Let $l \in \{2, 3, ..., c+1\}$ such that $vu_1 = u^l$. From $u_1(vu_1) = u^{l+1}$ and the fact that $(u_1v)u_1$ equals the zero, u^{c+1} , it follows that $l \in \{c, c+1\}$. Considering u_ivu_1 and u_1vu_j one then shows with the same type of argument that $u_jv, vu_j \in \{u^c, u^{c+1}\}$ for all $2 \leq j \leq r$. In a similar way $v^2 = u^m$ leads to $u^{m+1} = v(vu) = vu^l = u^{2l-1} = u^{c+1}$, and hence $m \in \{c, c+1\}$. Every choice gives an associative multiplication as all products of three elements involving v equal u^{c+1} . Some multiplications lead to (anti-)isomorphic semigroups because every permutation of u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_r yields an isomorphism and an anti-isomorphism. To find representatives we partition the set $\{u_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ into four parts depending on $u_j v$ and vu_i equalling u^c or u^{c+1} . Two semigroups from this case are isomorphic if and only if they have the same number of generators of each of the four types and v^2 takes the same value; and they are anti-isomorphic if by interchanging the two types with $vu_i \neq u_i v$ in one of the semigroups we obtain two isomorphic semigroups. Hence a semigroup from this case is self-dual if there is the same number of generators of the two types with $vu_i \neq u_i v$. Presentations for every possible choice for the numbers of types and the value of v^2 up to (anti-)isomorphism are then given by $\mathcal{N}_{k,l,m}^e$ as in the statement of the lemma.

For nilpotent semigroups of class 2 the step in the proof of Lemma 3.1 that restricts the possible results for products of two generators does not work. Indeed, for semigroups of class 2 every combination can occur, a fact that is used in [3] to count such semigroups of any order.

Theorem 3.2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \ge 5$ the following is a complete list up to (anti-)isomorphism of representatives of nilpotent semigroups of order n and coclass 1:

$$\begin{split} H_k &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-1} = u^n, uv = u^k, vu = u^k, v^2 = u^{2k-2} \rangle, 2 \le k \le n-1; \\ J_k &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-1} = u^n, uv = u^k, vu = u^k, v^2 = u^{n-2} \rangle, n/2 < k \le n-1; \\ X &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-1} = u^n, uv = u^{n/2}, vu = u^{n/2}, v^2 = u^{n-1} \rangle, \text{ if } n \equiv 0 \mod 2; \\ N_1 &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-1} = u^n, uv = u^{n-1}, vu = u^{n-2}, v^2 = u^{n-2} \rangle; \\ N_2 &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-1} = u^n, uv = u^{n-1}, vu = u^{n-2}, v^2 = u^{n-1} \rangle. \end{split}$$

All semigroups in the list, except N_1 and N_2 , are self-dual.

Proof. From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 it follows that every semigroup of coclass 1 is generated by 2 elements. Together with Corollary 2.5 this implies that Lemma 3.1 covers all semigroups of coclass 1 and order at least 5. The presentations in the statement are obtained by choosing r = 1 in Lemma 3.1. (There is a slight discrepancy in nomenclature as the four semigroups $H_{n-2}, H_{n-1}, J_{n-2}$, and J_{n-1} correspond to $\mathcal{N}_{0,0,0}^1, \mathcal{N}_{1,1,1}^1, \mathcal{N}_{0,0,0}^0$, and $\mathcal{N}_{1,1,1}^0$.)

Note that there are no semigroups of coclass 1 and order 1 or 2, and there is only the zero semigroup of order 3. For n = 4 the presentations given in Theorem 3.2 yield semigroups of order 4 and coclass 1, but N_1 becomes self-dual and the following two presentations of self-dual semigroups are missing from a complete list:

$$\langle u, v \mid u^2 = u^3, u^2 = v^2, uv = vu, u^2 = v^3 \rangle$$
 and $\langle u, v \mid u^2 = u^3, u^2 = v^2, u^2 = uv, u^2 = v^3 \rangle$.

4. CLASSIFICATION FOR COCLASS 2

For some general remarks on the classification see the beginning of Section 3. The natural next step is to consider nilpotent semigroups of coclass 2. Due to Lemmas 2.1(i) and 2.3 the minimal generating sets of such semigroups contain either 2 or 3 elements. These two possibilities shall be treated separately.

Theorem 4.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \ge 7$ the following is a complete list up to (anti-)isomorphism of representatives of nilpotent semigroups of order n and coclass 2 whose minimal generating set has size 2:

$$\begin{split} T_{1,i} &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, uv = vu, v^2 = uv, v^3 = u^{n-i} \rangle, i \in \{2,3\}; \\ T_{2,k} &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, uv = vu, v^2 = u^{2k-4}, u^2v = u^k \rangle, 3 \leq k < n/2; \\ T_{2,i,k} &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, uv = vu, v^2 = u^{n-i}, u^2v = u^k \rangle, n/2 \leq k \leq n-2, i \in \{2,3,4\}; \\ T_3 &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, v^2 = uv, vu = u^2, uv^2 = u^3 \rangle; \\ T_{3,i} &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, v^2 = uv, vu = u^{n-i}, uv^2 = u^{n-2} \rangle, i \in \{2,3\}; \\ T_{4,k} &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, uv = vu, uv = u^k, v^3 = u^{3k-3} \rangle, 2 \leq k < n/3; \\ T_{4,i,j,k} &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, uv = vu, uv = u^k, v^3 = u^{n-i} \rangle, n/3 \leq k \leq n-4, i \in \{2,3\}; \\ T_{4,i,j,k} &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, uv = u^{n-i}, vu = u^{n-j}, v^3 = u^{n-k} \rangle, i, j, k \in \{2,3\}; \\ T_{5,k} &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, uv = u^k, v^2 = u^{2k-2}, vu^2 = u^{k+1} \rangle, n/2 \leq k < n-5, i \in \{2,3\}; \\ T_{5,i,j,k} &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, uv = u^{n-i}, v^2 = u^{n-i}, vu^2 = u^{n-k} \rangle, i \in \{2,3,4\}, j, k \in \{2,3\}; \\ T_{5,i,j,k} &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, uv = u^k, v^2 = u^{n-i}, vu^2 = u^{k+1} \rangle, n/2 \leq k < n-5, i \in \{2,3\}; \\ T_{5,i,j,k} &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, uv = u^{n-i}, v^2 = u^{n-i}, vu^2 = u^{n-k} \rangle, i \in \{2,3,4\}, j, k \in \{2,3\}; \\ T_{5,i,j,k} &= \langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, uv = u^{n-i}, v^2 = u^{n-i}, vu^2 = u^{n-k} \rangle, i \in \{2,3,4\}, j, k \in \{2,3\}; \\ \end{array}$$

A semigroup from the list is self-dual if it is commutative or is $T_{5,2}$.

Proof. The proof uses the same methods as those of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.2. Let $S = \langle u, v \rangle$ be a semigroup of order n and coclass 2. By Corollary 2.5 we may assume without loss of generality that $|\langle u \rangle| = n - 2$. Further denote by y the element in S, which is neither v nor a power of u. As $y \in S^2 \setminus S^3$ at least one of uv, vu, v^2 has to equal y. Note that the values of these products with two generators together with the values of vu^2, vy, uy uniquely define S.² We shall determine the choices of values not contradicting associativity in several cases depending on which products equal y. For all resulting multiplications the value of a product with three elements will only depend on the number of times v and y appear. Such multiplications are obviously associative.

Each of the presentations from the list in the statement of the theorem leads to a semigroup with at most *n* elements. Hence, whenever the considerations in the following imply that *S* fulfils the relations *R* of one of the presentations then $\langle u, v | R \rangle$ is a presentation for *S*.

Case 1: $y = v^2 = uv = vu$. Let $vy = u^k$. Since u and v commute, this yields $uy = uv^2 = vuv = vy = u^k$ and $vu^2 = uvu = uy = u^k$. Then

$$u^{k+1} = uvy = uyv = u^kv = u^{k-1}uv = u^{k-1}y = u^{k-2}u^k = u^{2k-2}$$

which gives k = 3 or $k \ge n - 3$, and thus leads to 3 semigroups. For any $k \in \{3, n - 3, n - 2\}$ the respective semigroup fulfils the relations of

$$\langle u, v \mid u^{n-2} = u^{n-1}, uv = vu, v^2 = uv, v^3 = u^k \rangle.$$

Case 2: y = vu = uv. Let $v^2 = u^l$. It follows $vy = vvu = u^{l+1}$. Let $uy = u^k$ then $vu^2 = uvu = uy = u^k$ and

(1)
$$u^{l+2} = v^2 u^2 = v u v u = v u y = v u^k = u^k v = u^{k-2} u y = u^{2k-2} .$$

If $2 \le l \le n-5$ then (1) gives k = l/2 + 2. That k has to be an integer yields $\lceil n/2 \rceil - 3$ semigroups with presentations $T_{2,l}$.

²The remaining products are deduced as follows: $vu^{k} = (vu^{2})u^{k-2}$; $u^{k}v = u^{k-1}(uv)$;

$$yu^{k} = \begin{cases} vuu^{k} = (vu^{2})u^{k-1} & \text{if } vu = y \\ v^{2}u^{k} = v(vu)u^{k-1} = (vu)u^{l+k+2} = u^{2l+k-2} & \text{if } vu = u^{l}, v^{2} = y \\ uvu^{k} = u(vu)u^{k-1} = u^{l+k} & \text{if } vu = u^{l}, uv = y; \end{cases}$$
$$yv = \begin{cases} v^{2}v = vv^{2} = vy & \text{if } v^{2} = y \\ uvv = uu^{l} = u^{l+1} & \text{if } v^{2} = u^{l}, uv = y \\ vuv = vu^{k} = (vu^{2})u^{k-2} & \text{if } uv = u^{k}, vu = y \end{cases}$$

If $n - 4 \le l \le n - 2$ then $k \ge n/2$ due to (1). Hence for each of the three choices for l there are $3(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1)$ semigroups with presentation $T_{2,l,k}$.

Case 3: $y = uv = v^2$. Let $vu = u^l$. Then

$$u^{l+1} = uu^{l} = uvu = yu = vvu = vu^{l} = vuu^{l-1} = u^{2l-1}$$

yields either l = 2 or $l \ge n - 3$. Furthermore $vu^2 = u^{l+1}$ and

$$uy = uv^2 = uvv = yv = vvv = vy = vuv = u^l v = u^{l-2}uy,$$

which shows that all three values for l lead to valid choices for vu^2 , uy, and vy defining an associative multiplication. Hence this case accounts for 3 semigroups with presentations T_3 , $T_{3,2}$ and $T_{3,3}$.

Case 3': $y = vu = v^2$. This case leads to the dual semigroups to those from Case 3.

Case 4: $v^2 = y$. Let $vu = u^k$ and $uv = u^l$. Then $u^{k+1} = uvu = u^{l+1}$ and hence k = l or $k, l \in \{n-3, n-2\}$. Furthermore $vu^2 = vuu = u^{k+1}$ and $uy = uvv = u^l v = u^{2l-1}$. For the value of vy consider $uvy = u^l vv = u^{2l-1}v = u^{3l-2}$.

If $2 \le l < n/3$ then $vy = u^{3l-3}$ and l < n-3, which leads to $\lceil n/3 \rceil - 2$ semigroups with presentations $T_{4,l}$.

If $n/3 \le l \le n-4$ then $vy \in \{u^{n-3}, u^{n-2}\}$, leading in this case to $2(n-4-\lceil n/3\rceil+1)$ semigroups with presentations $T_{4,2,l}$ and $T_{4,3,l}$.

If $l \in \{n-3, n-2\}$ then again $vy \in \{u^{n-3}, u^{n-2}\}$. Recall that here $k \in \{n-3, n-2\}$. The two semigroups in which one of k and l equals n-3 and the other one n-2 are anti-isomorphic. Hence this case leads to 6 semigroups with presentations $T_{4,a,b,c}$ with $a, b, c \in \{2, 3\}$ and $a \leq b$.

Case 5: vu = y. Let $uv = u^k$ and $v^2 = u^l$. It follows $vy = vvu = u^{l+1}$ and $uy = uvu = u^{k+1}$. For vu^2 consider $uvu^2 = u^{k+2}$.

If $2 \le k < (n-1)/2$ then $vu^2 = u^{k+1}$. From $u^{l+1} = vvu = vu^k = u^{2k-1}$ it follows that l = 2k-2 which leads to $\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor - 2$ semigroups with presentations $T_{5,k}$.

If $(n-1)/2 \le k \le n-5$ then $l \in \{n-3, n-2\}$ which gives $2(n - \lceil (n-1)/2 \rceil - 4)$ semigroups with presentations $T_{5,2,k}$ and $T_{5,3,k}$.

If $n-4 \le k \le n-2$ then $vu^2 \in \{u^{n-3}, u^{n-2}\}$ and $l \in \{n-3, n-2\}$, leading to 12 semigroups with presentations $T_{5,k,a,b}$ with $a, b \in \{2, 3\}$.

Case 5': uv = y. This case leads to the dual semigroups to those from Case 5.

Only the transposition of the generators u and v might induce an isomorphism between two of the semigroups considered above. That no two semigroups from the same case are isomorphic follows immediately, but there are two semigroups from different cases which are isomorphic. These are the semigroups from Case 1 with k = 3 and from Case 4 with l = 2. For all other semigroups the transposition of u and v either induces an automorphism or an isomorphism to a semigroup in which $|\langle u \rangle| \neq n-2$.

Numbers of semigroups of coclass 2 with minimal generating set of size 2 and order less than 7 are contained in Table 1 in Section 5. There are no such semigroups with less than 5 elements. No further considerations will be undertaken for semigroups of orders 5 or 6 as semigroups of these orders have long been known [9, 10] and are available in the data library in Smallsemi [4].

From Lemma 3.1 we know certain types of semigroups with coclass 2 and minimal generating set of size 3. The remaining types for this case are given in the next theorem. To simplify the statement of the theorem we introduce a total ordering \prec on the semigroups of coclass 1 from Theorem 3.2 given by their order of appearance therein. Moreover if a semigroup *S* allows a presentation with relations *R* we denote the inverted relations that naturally yield a presentation of the dual semigroup by R^{\perp} and the dual semigroup itself by S^{\perp} . Note that a semigroup *S* from Theorem 3.2 is commutative if and only if it is self-dual, that is $S \cong S^{\perp}$.

Theorem 4.2. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 6$ define for every two semigroups $V, W \not\cong H_2$ of order n - 1 from Theorem 3.2 with $V \preceq W$ and with presentations $V = \langle u, v \mid Q \rangle$ respectively $W = \langle u, w \mid R \rangle$ the following presentation(s):

ANDREAS DISTLER

- (i) $\langle u, v, w | Q, R, vw = u^{k+l-1}, vw = wv \rangle$ if $k + l \le n 2$;
- (ii) $\langle u, v, w \mid Q, R, vw = u^{n-i}, wv = u^{n-j} \rangle$, $2 \le i \le j \le 3$ if $k + l \ge n 1$ and $(W \cong W^{\perp} \text{ or } V \cong W)$;
- (iii) $\langle u, v, w \mid Q, R, vw = u^{n-i}, wv = u^{n-j} \rangle, 2 \leq i, j \leq 3 \text{ if } V \prec W \text{ and } W \not\cong W^{\perp};$
- (iv) $\langle u, v, w \mid Q, R^{\perp}, vw = u^{n-i}, wv = u^{n-j} \rangle, 2 \leq i, j \leq 3 \text{ if } V \not\cong V^{\perp} \text{ and } W \not\cong W^{\perp},$

where k and l are given by the relations $uv = u^k$ and $uw = u^l$ in Q respectively R.

The presentations obtained in this way together with the presentations from Lemma 3.1 for c = n - 3and r = 2 form a complete list up to (anti-)isomorphism of representatives of nilpotent semigroups of order n and coclass 2 whose minimal generating set has size 3.

A semigroup from the above list is self-dual if and only if it is commutative or is from (iv) with $V \cong W$.

Proof. Let $S = \langle u, v, w \rangle$ be a semigroup of order $n, n \ge 6$, coclass 2. Due to Corollary 2.5 we may assume without loss of generality that $|\langle u \rangle| = n - 2$.

If one of v^2 or w^2 equals u^2 then the conditions for Lemma 3.1 are satisfied and the presentations follow from there. It remains to consider the case when neither v^2 nor w^2 equal u^2 . The proof follows a similar approach as the one of Lemma 3.1. All eight products $uv, vu, v^2, uw, wu, w^2, vw$, and wv of two generators not both equal to u are in the set $S^2 = \{u^k \mid 2 \le k \le n-2\}$, and knowing them uniquely determines S. The different choices are discussed below. For each of the resulting multiplications the value of a product with three factors will depend only on the number of times v and w appear, making all multiplications associative. The only non-trivial permutation of generators possibly inducing an (anti-)isomorphism between different multiplications is the transposition of v and w.

Denote $V = \langle u, v \rangle$ and $W = \langle u, w \rangle$. Both *V* and *W* are semigroups with n - 1 elements and coclass 1. The possible choices for *V* and *W* up to (anti-)isomorphism are the semigroups listed in Theorem 3.2 except H_2 (taking into account that $v^2, w^2 \neq u^2$), and the equations $uv = u^k$ and $uw = u^l$ hold for some $3 \leq k, l \leq n - 2$. Without loss of generality we may assume that *V* is isomorphic to a semigroup from Theorem 3.2 and that $V \preceq W$. The latter avoids considering isomorphic semigroups under the transposition of *v* and *w*, except if *V* and *W* are of the same type.

Case 1: $k + l \le n - 2$. From $uvw = uwv = u^{k+l-1}$ it follows that $vw = wv = u^{k+l-2}$. Using the latter equation as relation together with the relations of V and W yields the relations for a presentation of S.

Case 2: $k+l \ge n-1$. From $uvw = uwv = u^{n-2}$ it follows that $vw, wv \in \{u^{n-3}, u^{n-2}\}$. If W and hence V are commutative then the two choices with $vw \ne wv$ lead to a pair of anti-isomorphic semigroups; and if $V \cong W$ then these two choices lead to a pair of isomorphic semigroups (under the transposition of v and w). Hence in both cases there are three choices up to (anti-)isomorphism with presentations as given in (ii). For all further considerations we have $V \prec W$. If in addition W is isomorphic to a semigroup from Theorem 3.2, but not commutative then all four choices for $vw, wv \in \{u^{n-3}, u^{n-2}\}$ yield not (anti-)isomorphic semigroups with presentations as given in (iii). Finally W can be non-commutative and anti-isomorphic to a semigroup from Theorem 3.2, in other words isomorphic to N_1^{\perp} or N_2^{\perp} . For commutative V this yields semigroups that are anti-isomorphic to those in (iii). If V is non-commutative then all four choices for vw and wv yield not (anti-)isomorphic semigroups with presentations are given in (ii).

The semigroup *S* is self-dual if and only if it is commutative or the transposition of *v* and *w* induces an isomorphism from *S* to S^{\perp} . In the latter case $V \cong W^{\perp}$ is required. For commutative *V* this yields $V \cong W$ and hence a presentation from (ii). Following the considerations from above these semigroups are not self-dual. This leaves semigroups from (iv) where it is easy to verify that the condition $V \cong W^{\perp}$ is sufficient for a semigroups to be self-dual. \Box

Numbers of semigroups of coclass 2 with minimal generating set of size 3 and order less than 6 are contained in Table 1 in Section 5. The only such semigroup with at most 4 elements is the zero semigroup of order 4. No further considerations will be undertaken for semigroups of order

8

5 as semigroups of this order have long been known [9] and are available in the data library Smallsemi [4].

The strategy in the proof of the previous theorem can be extended to inductively determine semigroups of coclass r and generating set of size r + 1, the maximal possible. Let S be a semigroup of class c and coclass r with minimal generating set $\langle u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_{r+1} \rangle$ where each $u_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$ generates a semigroup of class c but none of the $v_i, k + 1 \leq i \leq r + 1$ does. If we define $V = \langle u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_r \rangle$ and $W = \langle u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_{r+1} \rangle$ then V would be known by induction hypothesis and W is one of the semigroups from Lemma 3.1. Hence every such S can be constructed from a known semigroup with one fewer element. The possible values for the products

(2)
$$v_i v_{r+1}$$
 and $v_{r+1} v_i$ for $k+1 \le i \le r$

in a semigroup constructed from *V* and *W* are either fixed by associativity or equal to one of u_1^c and u_1^{c+1} . It remains to avoid (anti-)isomorphic copies of the same semigroup. A first step towards an orderly algorithm (see [11]) for the construction is to define an ordering \prec on the semigroups listed in Lemma 3.1 and require

$$\langle u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_i \rangle \preceq \langle u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_j \rangle$$
 for all $k+1 \leq i \leq j \leq r+1$.

If W is not (anti-)isomorphic to any of the subsemigroups of V then the group of (anti-)automorphisms of V determines which choices for the products in (2) lead to (anti-)isomorphic semigroups. Depending on the size of the group the corresponding orbit calculations might be hard. The situation becomes even more difficult if W is of the same type like $\langle u_1, \ldots, u_k, v_r \rangle$ as permutations leading to isomorphisms to another constructed semigroup may move v_{r+1} . These problems prevent for the moment that an algorithm usable in practice can be derived from this inductive approach.

To classify all semigroups with coclass 3 the methods presented in Section 3 and in this section will need to be extended. For a semigroup S of coclass 3 it is not necessarily true that $S^3 \setminus S^4$ contains only one element, while the proofs of Lemma 3.1 and Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 rely on this as a key fact.

5. ENUMERATION

We present formulae for the numbers of semigroups of coclasses 1 or 2 up to (anti-)isomorphism and up to isomorphism, and formulae for the numbers of commutative semigroups of coclasses 1 or 2 up to isomorphism. The results are obtained by counting the presentations in the respective theorems in the previous sections. For small orders the formulae have been verified computationally and the computed numbers are presented.

The enumeration for coclass 1 follows from Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 5.1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \ge 5$ the number of nilpotent semigroups of order n and coclass $1 \dots$

- (i) ... counting up to (anti-)isomorphism equals $n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$.
- (ii) ... counting up to isomorphism equals $n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 2$.
- (iii) ... counting commutative semigroups up to isomorphism equals n + |n/2| 2.

For coclass 2 we shall determine the formulae depending on the size of the minimal generating set. If the set has size 2 we need to count the presentations in Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 5.2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \ge 7$ the number of nilpotent semigroups of order n, coclass 2 and minimal generating set of size $2 \dots$

- (i) ... counting up to (anti-)isomorphism equals $5n + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lfloor n/3 \rfloor 1$.
- (ii) ... counting up to isomorphism equals $7n \lfloor n/3 \rfloor + 5$.
- (iii) ... counting commutative semigroups up to isomorphism equals 3n + 2|n/2| [n/3] 8.

Proof. For each case considered in the proof of Theorem 4.1 also the number of semigroups has been given. It remains to note that the presentations that yield a commutative semigroup either have uv = vu as relation or are $T_{4,i,j,k}$ with i = j.

The enumeration of semigroups of coclass 2 with minimal generating set of size 3 follows from Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 4.2.

Lemma 5.3. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \ge 6$ the number of nilpotent semigroups of order n, coclass 2 and minimal generating set of size $3 \dots$

(i) ... counting up to (anti-)isomorphism equals

$$\frac{1}{8}(21n^2 + 22n - 96) \quad if n is even, and \frac{1}{8}(21n^2 + 36n - 81) \quad if n is odd.$$

(ii) ... counting up to isomorphism equals

$$\frac{1}{8}(27n^2 + 94n - 280) \quad if n is even, and \frac{1}{8}(27n^2 + 112n - 243) \quad if n is odd.$$

(iii) ... counting commutative semigroups up to isomorphism equals

$$\frac{1}{8}(15n^2 - 58n + 24) \quad if n is even, and
\frac{1}{8}(15n^2 - 48n + 9) \quad if n is odd.$$

Proof. We shall first count those semigroups that arise from the presentations in Lemma 3.1. We have r = 2 and hence c = n - 3 which leads to n - 5 presentations of type \mathcal{H}_k , a joint $n - 4 - \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ presentations of type \mathcal{J}_k or \mathcal{X} , and 14 of type $\mathcal{N}_{k,l,m}^e$.

To count the presentations listed in Theorem 4.2 we calculate that there is a total of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1+\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\rfloor-1} i = \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(n+\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\rfloor\right)^2 - 3\left(n+\lfloor\frac{n-1}{2}\rfloor\right)\right) + 1$$

choices for *V* and *W* with $V \leq W$. Each pair fulfils only one of the conditions from (i), (ii) and (iii) in Theorem 4.2. There are

$$\sum_{k=3}^{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2}\rceil+1} \left(\sum_{l=k}^{n-k-2} 1 + \sum_{l=\lceil \frac{n-1}{2}\rceil}^{n-k-2} 1 \right) = \sum_{k=3}^{\lceil \frac{n-1}{2}\rceil+1} (n-2k-1) + \left(n - \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil - k - 1 \right)$$

choices that lead to the 1 presentation from (i) and

$$(n + \lceil n/2 \rceil - 5 + n + \lceil n/2 \rceil - 4) = 8n - 8\lceil n/2 \rceil - 36$$

that lead to the 4 presentations from (iii). All other pairs fulfil the condition in (ii) and hence lead to those 3 presentations. The pairs of non-commutative *V* and *W* fulfil the conditions in (iv) and each one leads to 3 presentations. This yields and additional $4 \cdot 3 = 12$ presentations from (iv).

Simplifying the sum of all presentations separately for even and odd integer yields the stated formulae up to (anti-)isomorphism.

The remaining formulae are obtained in a similar way and the proof is left out.

Corollary 5.4. For $n \ge 7$ the number of nilpotent semigroups of order n, coclass $2 \dots$

(i) ... counting up to (anti-)isomorphism equals

$$\frac{1}{8}(21n^2 + 66n - 104) - \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil \quad if n \text{ is even, and} \\ \frac{1}{8}(21n^2 + 80n - 93) - \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil \quad if n \text{ is odd.}$$

(ii) ... counting up to isomorphism equals

$$\frac{1}{8}(27n^2 + 150n - 240) - \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even, and} \\ \frac{1}{8}(27n^2 + 168n - 203) - \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd.}$$

(iii) ... counting commutative semigroups up to isomorphism equals

$$\frac{1}{8}(15n^2 - 26n - 40) - \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil \quad if n \text{ is even, and} \\ \frac{1}{8}(15n^2 - 16n - 71) - \left\lceil \frac{n}{3} \right\rceil \quad if n \text{ is odd.}$$

For small orders the semigroups of coclass 1 or 2 where determined using the code from [1, Appendix C]. The numbers are given in Table 1. They coincide, where applicable, with the results obtained from the formulae given in this section.

type \ order	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
up to (anti-)isomorphism											
coclass 1	1	8	7	9	10	12	13	15	16	18	19
coclass 2	0	1	84	142	184	218	288	328	412	460	557
–, 2-generated	0	0	11	43	34	40	45	50	55	61	65
–, 3-generated	0	1	73	99	150	178	243	278	357	399	492
up to isomorphism											
coclass 1	1	9	9	11	12	14	15	17	18	20	21
coclass 2	0	1	118	219	284	333	434	491	610	677	813
–, 2-generated	0	0	15	62	51	58	65	71	78	85	91
–, 3-generated	0	1	103	157	233	275	369	420	532	592	722
commutative up to isomorphism											
coclass 1	1	5	5	7	8	10	11	13	14	16	17
coclass 2	0	1	23	42	67	86	123	146	193	222	278
–, 2-generated	0	0	4	15	16	21	24	28	31	36	38
–, 3-generated	0	1	19	27	51	65	99	118	162	186	240

TABLE 1. Numbers of semigroups with coclass 1 or 2

References

- Andreas Distler. Classification and Enumeration of Finite Semigroups. Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 2010. also PhD thesis, University of St Andrews, 2010, http://hdl.handle.net/10023/945.
- [2] Andreas Distler and Bettina Eick. Coclass theory for nilpotent semigroups via their associated algebras. *in preparation*, 2012.
- [3] Andreas Distler and James D. Mitchel. The number of nilpotent semigroups of degree 3. submitted, 2012.
- [4] Andreas Distler and James D. Mitchell. Smallsemi A library of small semigroups. http://www-history.mcs.st-and.ac.uk/~jamesm/smallsemi/, Oct 2011. A GAP 4 package [5], Version 0.6.4.
- [5] The GAP Group, (http://www.gap-system.org). GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.12, 2008.
- [6] V. L. Klee Jr. The November meeting in Los Angeles. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 62(1):13–23, 1956.
- [7] C. R. Leedham-Green and M. F. Newman. Space groups and groups of prime-power order. I. Arch. Math. (Basel), 35(3):193–202, 1980.
- [8] A. I. Mal'cev. Nilpotent semigroups. Ivanov. Gos. Ped. Inst. Uč. Zap. Fiz.-Mat. Nauki, 4:107-111, 1953.
- [9] T. S. Motzkin and J. L. Selfridge. Semigroups of order five. presented in [6], 1955.
- [10] Robert J. Plemmons. There are 15973 semigroups of order 6. Math. Algorithms, 2:2-17, 1967.
- [11] Ronald C. Read. Every one a winner or how to avoid isomorphism search when cataloguing combinatorial configurations. Ann. Discrete Math., 2:107–120, 1978. Algorithmic aspects of combinatorics (Conf., Vancouver Island, B.C., 1976).
- [12] Aner Shalev and Efim I. Zelmanov. Narrow Lie algebras: a coclass theory and a characterization of the Witt algebra. J. Algebra, 189(2):294–331, 1997.