EXPONENTIALS OF NORMAL OPERATORS AND COMMUTATIVITY OF OPERATORS: A NEW APPROACH.

MOHAMMED HICHEM MORTAD

ABSTRACT. We present a new approach to the question of when the commutativity of operator exponentials implies that of the operators. This is proved in the setting of bounded normal operators on a complex Hilbert space. The proofs are based on some similarities results by Berberian and Embry as well as the celebrated Fuglede theorem.

1. Introduction

Let A and B be two linear bounded operators on a Banach space H. The way of defining e^A , the exponential of A, is known at an undergraduate level. The functions $\sinh A$, $\cosh A$ can be defined similarly. It is also an easy exercise to show that AB = BA implies $e^A e^B = e^B e^A$. While the converse is not always true, it is, however, true under the hypothesis that A and B self-adjoint on a \mathbb{C} -Hilbert space. This result is stated in the following theorem (for the readers convenience, a proof may be found in [13])

Theorem 1. Let A and B be two self-adjoint operators defined on a Hilbert space. Then

$$e^A e^B = e^B e^A \iff AB = BA.$$

There have been several attempts to prove the previous theorem for non self-adjoint operators using the $2\pi i$ -congruence-free hypothesis (see eg. [7, 9, 10, 11, 13]). See also [3] for some low dimensions results without the $2\pi i$ -congruence-free hypothesis.

In this paper, we present a different approach to this problem using results about similarities due to Berberian [2] and also to Embry [5]. The main question asked here is under which assumptions we have

$$e^A e^B = e^B e^A \Longrightarrow AB = BA$$

for normal A and B?

Another result obtained in this article is about sufficient conditions implying AB = BC given that $e^A e^B = e^B e^C$, where A, B and C are self-adjoint operators.

All operators considered in this paper are assumed to be bounded and defined on a separable complex Hilbert space. The notions of normal, self-adjoint and unitary operators are defined in the usual fashion. So is the

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A10, 47A60.

Key words and phrases. Self-adjoint and normal operators. Cramped operators. Operator exponentials. Commutativity. Spectrum. Berberian theorem. Fuglede Theorem. Hilbert space.

notion of the spectrum (with the usual notation σ). It is, however, more convenient to recall the notion of a cramped operator. A *unitary* operator U is said to be *cramped* if its spectrum is completely contained on some open semi-circle (of the unit circle), that is

$$\sigma(U) \subseteq \{e^{it} : \ \alpha < t < \alpha + \pi\}.$$

While we assume the reader is familiar with other notions and results on bounded operators (some standard references are [4, 8]), we will be recalling on several occasions some results that might not be known to some readers. One of them is the following result (first established in [1]).

Theorem 2. [Berberian, [2]] Let U be a cramped operator and let X be a bounded operator such that $UXU^* = X^*$. Then X is self-adjoint.

2. Main Results

The following lemma is fundamental to prove our results. Its proof follows from the holomorphic functional calculus.

Lemma 1. Let A and B be two commuting normal operators, on a Hilbert space, having spectra contained in simply connected regions not containing θ . Then

$$A^i B^i = B^i A^i$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ is the usual complex number.

Lemma 2. Let A be a self-adjoint operator such that $\sigma(A) \subset (0,\pi)$. Then

$$(e^{iA})^i = e^{-A}.$$

Proof. The proof follows from the functional calculus.

Before stating and proving the main theorem, we first give an intermediate result.

Proposition 1. Let N be a normal operator with cartesian decomposition A + iB. Let S be a self-adjoint operator. If $\sigma(B) \subset (0, \pi)$, then

$$e^S e^N = e^N e^S \Longrightarrow SN = NS.$$

Proof. Let N = A + iB where A and B are two commuting self-adjoint operators. Hence $e^A e^{iB} = e^{iB} e^A$. Consequently,

$$e^{S}e^{N} = e^{N}e^{S} \iff e^{S}e^{A}e^{iB} = e^{A}e^{iB}e^{S}$$
$$\iff e^{S}e^{A}e^{iB} = e^{iB}e^{A}e^{S}$$
$$\iff e^{S}e^{A}e^{iB} = e^{iB}(e^{S}e^{A})^{*}$$

Since B is self-adjoint, e^{iB} is unitary. It is also cramped by the spectral hypothesis on B. Now, Theorem 2 implies that $e^S e^A$ is self-adjoint, i.e.

$$e^S e^A = e^A e^S$$
.

Theorem 1 then gives us AS = SA.

It only remains to show that BS = SB. Since $e^S e^A = e^A e^S$, we immediately obtain

$$e^S e^N = e^N e^S \Longrightarrow e^S e^A e^{iB} = e^A e^{iB} e^S$$
 or $e^A e^S e^{iB} = e^A e^{iB} e^S$

and so

$$e^S e^{iB} = e^{iB} e^S$$

by the invertibility of e^A .

Using Lemmas 1 & 2 we immediately see that

$$e^S e^{-B} = e^{-B} e^S.$$

Theorem 1 yields BS = SB and thus

$$SN = S(A + iB) = (A + iB)S = NS.$$

The proof of the proposition is complete.

Remark. We could have bypassed Berberian's result by alternatively using some of Embry's results (see [5]).

Similar results can be obtained too by a result due to I. H. Sheth [12]. They all more or less deduce the self-adjointness of an operator N from the operational equation $AN = N^*A$ (under obviously extra conditions on N and/or on A).

In the proof of the previous proposition, N is a product of self-adjoint operators. Then a result by the author (which appeared in [6]) can be applied too.

Now, we state and prove the main theorem in this paper, namely

Theorem 3. Let N and M be two normal operators with cartesian decompositions A + iB and C + iD respectively. If $\sigma(B), \sigma(D) \subset (0, \pi)$, then

$$e^M e^N = e^N e^M \Longrightarrow MN = NM$$
.

Proof. We have

$$e^M e^N = e^N e^M \Longrightarrow e^{M^*} e^N = e^N e^{M^*}$$

by the Fuglede theorem since e^M is normal. Hence by using again the normality of M

$$e^{M^*}e^Me^N=e^{M^*}e^Ne^M\Longrightarrow e^{M^*}e^Me^N=e^Ne^{M^*}e^M$$

or

$$e^{M^*+M}e^N = e^N e^{M^*+M}$$

Since $M^* + M$ is self-adjoint, Proposition 1 applies and gives

$$(M^* + M)N = N(M^* + M)$$
 or just $CN = NC$.

The previous implies that $N^*C = CN^*$ and thus $(N+N^*)C = C(N+N^*)$. Therefore, we have

$$AC = CA$$
 and hence $BC = CB$.

Doing the same work for N in lieu of M, very similar arguments and Proposition 1 all yield

$$AM = MA$$
 and hence $AD = DA$.

To prove the remaining bit, we go back to the equation $e^N e^M = e^M e^N$. Then by the commutativity of B and C and by that of A and D, we obtain

$$e^A e^{iB} e^C e^{iD} = e^C e^{iD} e^A e^{iB} \iff e^A e^C e^{iB} e^{iD} = e^C e^A e^{iD} e^{iB}.$$

Since A and C commute and since $e^A e^C$ is invertible, we are left with

$$e^{iB}e^{iD} = e^{iD}e^{iB}.$$

Lemmas 1 & 2 yield

$$e^{-B}e^{-D} = e^{-D}e^{-B}$$

which leads to BD = DB. Hence BM = MB.

Finally, we have

$$NM = (A + iB)M = AM + iBM = MA + iMB = M(A + iB) = MN$$
, completing the proof.

We finish this paper by a result on self-adjoint operators which generalizes Theorem 1 to the case of three operators. We have

Theorem 4. Let \mathcal{H} be a \mathbb{C} -Hilbert space. Let A, B and C be all self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{H} . If

$$\begin{cases} \cosh Ae^B = e^B \cosh A, \\ \sinh Ae^C = e^B \sinh A, \\ e^C \cosh A = \cosh Ae^C, \\ e^C \sinh A = \sinh Ae^B, \end{cases}$$

then

$$AC = BA$$

Proof. Define on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ the operators

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}$.

One has

$$\tilde{A}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} A^2 & 0 \\ 0 & A^2 \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{A}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^3 \\ A^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \cdots$$

Hence

$$e^{\tilde{A}} = \begin{pmatrix} I + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^4}{4!} + \cdots & A + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \frac{A^5}{5!} + \cdots \\ A + \frac{A^3}{3!} + \frac{A^5}{5!} + \cdots & I + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \frac{A^4}{4!} + \cdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh A & \sinh A \\ \sinh A & \cosh A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, we can find that

$$e^{\tilde{B}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^B & 0 \\ 0 & e^C \end{array} \right).$$

The hypotheses of the theorem guarantee that $e^{\tilde{A}}e^{\tilde{B}}=e^{\tilde{B}}e^{\tilde{A}}$ and since \tilde{A} and \tilde{B} are both self-adjoint, Theorem 1 then implies that $\tilde{A}\tilde{B}=\tilde{B}\tilde{A}$.

Examining the entries of the matrices $\tilde{A}\tilde{B}$ and $\tilde{B}\tilde{A}$, we see that AC = BA, establishing the result

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author wishes to thank the referee for his/her remarks.

REFERENCES

- 1. W. A. Beck, C. R. Putnam, A note on normal operators and their adjoints, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **31** (1956), 213-216.
- S. K. Berberian, A note on operators unitarily equivalent to their adjoints, J. London Math. Soc., 37 (1962) 403-404.
- 3. G. Bourgeois, On commuting exponentials in low dimensions, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **423/2-3** (2007), 277-286.
- 4. J. B. Conway, A Course in functional analysis, Springer, 1990 (2nd edition).
- 5. M. R. Embry, Similarities involving normal operators on Hilbert space, *Pacific J. Math.*, **35** (1970) 331-336.
- 6. M. H. Mortad, An application of the Putnam-Fuglede theorem to normal products of self-adjoint operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **131/10**, (2003) 3135-3141.
- 7. F. C. Paliogiannis, On Commuting Operator Exponentials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131/12, (2003), 3777-3781.
- 8. W. Rudin, Functional analysis, McGraw-Hill, 1991 (2nd edition).
- 9. C. Schmoeger, Remarks on commuting exponentials in Banach algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **127**/**5**, (1999) 1337-1338.
- 10. C. Schmoeger, Remarks on commuting exponentials in Banach algebras II, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **128/11**, (2000) 3405-3409.
- C. Schmoeger, On normal operator exponentials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 130/3, (2001) 697-702.
- 12. I. H. Sheth, On hyponormal operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 17, (1966) 998-1000.
- 13. E. M. E. Wermuth, A remark on commuting operator exponentials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **125/6**, (1997) 1685-1688.

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ D'ORAN (ES-SENIA), B.P. 1524, EL MENOUAR, ORAN 31000, ALGERIA.

Mailing address:

DR MOHAMMED HICHEM MORTAD

BP 7085 Es-Seddikia

Oran

31013

Algeria

E-mail address: mhmortad@gmail.com, mortad@univ-oran.dz.