

INTEGRAL AFFINE SCHUR–WEYL RECIPROCITY

QIANG FU

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ be the double Ringel–Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver $\Delta(n)$ and let $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ be the modified quantum affine algebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$. We will construct an integral form $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ for $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ such that the natural algebra homomorphism from $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ to the integral affine quantum Schur algebra is surjective. Furthermore, we will use Hall algebras to construct the integral form $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ of the loop algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$, and prove that the natural algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ to the affine Schur algebra over \mathbb{Z} is surjective.

1. INTRODUCTION

The representation of the general linear group $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_r over \mathbb{C} are related by Schur–Weyl reciprocity (cf. [21]). This reciprocity is also true over \mathbb{Z} . That is, the natural algebra homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{S}_r]}(V^{\otimes r}), \quad \mathbb{Z}[\mathfrak{S}_r] \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)}(V^{\otimes r})$$

are surjective, where $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ is the Kostant \mathbb{Z} -form [15] of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_n := \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Q})$, and V is the natural module for $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ (see [3, 4, 6]). The quantum Schur–Weyl reciprocity between quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n and Hecke algebras of type A in the generic case was established in [14] and the integral quantum Schur–Weyl reciprocity was proved in [7, 9]. Furthermore, the cyclotomic Schur–Weyl reciprocity between quantum groups and Ariki–Koike algebras was investigated in [2, 20, 1, 12].

Let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ be the double Ringel–Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver $\Delta(n)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$, where v is an indeterminate. Then $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is isomorphic to the quantum loop algebra $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ defined by Drinfeld’s new presentation (cf. [5, 2.3.5]), where $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n = \mathfrak{gl}_n \otimes \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$ is the loop algebra associated to \mathfrak{gl}_n . In [5, 3.6.3], it is proved that the natural algebra homomorphism ζ_r from $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ to $\mathfrak{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ is surjective, where $\mathfrak{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ is the affine quantum Schur algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ (with v an indeterminate). It is natural to ask whether this result is true over any field. Before discussing this problem, we have to construct a suitable integral form for $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$. A certain \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$, denoted by $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$, was introduced in [5, (3.8.1.1)], and it is

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, the Program NCET, Fok Ying Tung Education Foundation and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

conjectured in [5, 3.8.6] that $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$. If this conjecture is true, then $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ becomes an integral form for $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$.

Let $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ be the modified quantum affine algebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$. Associated with $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$, we will construct a certain free \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$, denoted by $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$, such that $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) = \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(v)$. We will prove in 4.2 and 4.3 that $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ and the natural algebra homomorphism $\dot{\zeta}_r$ from $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ to $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ is surjective, where $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ is the affine quantum Schur algebra over \mathcal{Z} .

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ (resp., $\widehat{\dot{\mathfrak{D}}}_\Delta(n)$) be the completion algebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ (resp., $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$). We will see in 4.4 and 4.5 that the double Ringel–Hall algebra $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ can be regarded as a subalgebra of $\widehat{\dot{\mathfrak{D}}}_\Delta(n)$ and we have a proper inclusion $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \subset \widehat{\dot{\mathfrak{D}}}_\Delta(n) \cap \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$. Furthermore we will prove in 6.5 that this proper inclusion becomes an equality in the classical case. More precisely, we will use Hall algebras to construct a certain lattice, denoted by $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$. Let $\widehat{\dot{\mathfrak{D}}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (resp., $\widehat{\dot{\mathfrak{D}}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathbb{Z}}$) be the completion algebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ (resp., $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$), where \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Z} are regarded as \mathcal{Z} -modules by specializing v to 1. We will prove in 6.5 that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \widehat{\dot{\mathfrak{D}}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. Here $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is regarded as a subalgebra of $\widehat{\dot{\mathfrak{D}}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ via the map φ defined in 6.4. In particular, we conclude that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and hence $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is the integral form of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. As the quantum affine case, there is a natural surjective algebra homomorphism $\eta_r : \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. We will prove in 6.7 that the restriction of η_r to $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ yields a surjective \mathbb{Z} -algebra homomorphism $\eta_r : \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r) \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$ (cf. [10]).

We organize this paper as follows. In §2, we will recall the definition of the double Ringel–Hall algebra $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ and the modified quantum affine algebra $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$. We collect in §3 several results concerning affine quantum Schur algebras. In §4 we will construct the \mathcal{Z} -submodule $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ (resp., $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$) of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ (resp., $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$) and prove in 4.2 that $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$. In addition, we will prove in 4.3 that the natural algebra homomorphism $\dot{\zeta}_r$ from $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ to the integral quantum affine Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ is surjective and establish certain relation between $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ and $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ in 4.5. In 5.3, we derive certain commutator formulas in $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$, which will be used in §6. Finally, we will use Hall algebras to introduce the free \mathbb{Z} -submodule $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, and prove in 6.5 and 6.7 that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ such that the natural algebra homomorphism $\eta_r : \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is surjective.

Notation 1.1. For a positive integer n , let $M_{\Delta, n}(\mathbb{Q})$ be the set of all matrices $A = (a_{i, j})_{i, j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $a_{i, j} \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

- (a) $a_{i, j} = a_{i+n, j+n}$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$;
- (b) for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, both sets $\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a_{i, j} \neq 0\}$ and $\{j \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a_{j, i} \neq 0\}$ are finite.

Let $\Theta_\Delta(n) = \{A \in M_{\Delta, n}(\mathbb{Q}) \mid a_{i, j} \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i, j\}$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n = \{(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_i = \lambda_{i-n} \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_\Delta^n = \{(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n \mid \lambda_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We will identify \mathbb{Z}_Δ^n with \mathbb{Z}^n via the following bijection

$$(1.1.1) \quad \flat : \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad \mathbf{j} \longmapsto \flat(\mathbf{j}) = (j_1, \dots, j_n).$$

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, where v is an indeterminate, and let $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ be the fraction field of \mathcal{Z} . Specializing v to 1, \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Z} will be viewed as \mathcal{Z} -modules.

2. DOUBLE RINGEL-HALL ALGEBRAS OF CYCLIC QUIVERS

Let $\Delta(n)$ ($n \geq 2$) be the cyclic quiver with vertex set $I = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and arrow set $\{i \rightarrow i+1 \mid i \in I\}$. Let \mathbb{F} be a field. For $i \in I$, let S_i be the irreducible representation of $\Delta(n)$ over \mathbb{F} with $(S_i)_i = \mathbb{F}$ and $(S_i)_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Let

$$\Theta_\Delta^+(n) := \{A \in \Theta_\Delta(n) \mid a_{i,j} = 0 \text{ for } i \geq j\}.$$

For any $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, let

$$M(A) = M_{\mathbb{F}}(A) = \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i < j, j \in \mathbb{Z}}} a_{i,j} M^{i,j},$$

where $M^{i,j}$ is the unique indecomposable representation for $\Delta(n)$ of length $j - i$ with top S_i . For $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ let $\mathbf{d}(A) \in \mathbb{N}I$ be the dimension vector of $M(A)$. We will identify $\mathbb{N}I$ with \mathbb{N}_Δ^n under (1.1.1). By definition we have

$$(2.0.2) \quad \mathbf{d}(A) = \left(\sum_{\substack{s \leq i < t \\ s, t \in \mathbb{Z}}} a_{s,t} \right)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

for $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$,

For $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $E_{i,j}^\Delta \in \Theta_\Delta(n)$ be the matrix $(e_{k,l}^{i,j})_{k,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defined by

$$e_{k,l}^{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = i + sn, l = j + sn \text{ for some } s \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ let

$$A_\lambda = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i E_{i,i+1}^\Delta \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n).$$

Then $M_{\mathbb{F}}(A_\lambda)$ is a semisimple representation of $\Delta(n)$ over \mathbb{F} .

The Euler form associated with the cyclic quiver $\Delta(n)$ is the bilinear form $\langle -, - \rangle : \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n \times \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i \mu_i - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i \mu_{i+1}$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$.

By [19], for $A, B, C \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, there is a polynomial $\varphi_{A,B}^C \in \mathbb{Z}[v^2]$ such that, for any finite field \mathbb{F}_q , $\varphi_{A,B}^C|_{v^2=q}$ is equal to the number of submodules N of $M_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C)$ satisfying $N \cong M_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B)$ and $M_{\mathbb{F}_q}(C)/N \cong M_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$.

Let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ be the double Ringel–Hall algebra of the cyclic quiver of $\Delta(n)$ (cf. [22] and [5, (2.1.3.2)]). By [5, 2.4.1 and 2.4.4 and 3.9.2] we obtain the following.

Lemma 2.1. *The algebra $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is the algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ generated by u_A^+ , $K_i^{\pm 1}$, u_A^- ($A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$) subject to the following relations:*

- (1) $K_i = K_{i+n}$, $K_i K_j = K_j K_i$, $K_i K_i^{-1} = 1$, $u_0^+ = u_0^- = 1$;
- (2) $K^{\mathbf{j}} u_A^+ = v^{\langle \mathbf{d}(A), \mathbf{j} \rangle} u_A^+ K^{\mathbf{j}}$, $u_A^- K^{\mathbf{j}} = v^{\langle \mathbf{d}(A), \mathbf{j} \rangle} K^{\mathbf{j}} u_A^-$, where $K^{\mathbf{j}} = K_1^{j_1} \cdots K_n^{j_n}$ for $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$;
- (3) $u_A^+ u_B^+ = \sum_{C \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)} v^{\langle \mathbf{d}(A), \mathbf{d}(B) \rangle} \varphi_{A,B}^C u_C^+$;
- (4) $u_A^- u_B^- = \sum_{C \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)} v^{\langle \mathbf{d}(B), \mathbf{d}(A) \rangle} \varphi_{B,A}^C u_C^-$;
- (5) commutator relations: for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$,

$$v^{\langle \mu, \mu \rangle} \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \\ \lambda - \alpha = \mu - \beta \geq 0}} \varphi_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} v^{\langle \beta, \lambda + \mu - \beta \rangle} \tilde{K}^{\mu - \beta} u_{A_\beta}^- u_{A_\alpha}^+ = v^{\langle \mu, \lambda \rangle} \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \\ \lambda - \alpha = \mu - \beta \geq 0}} \varphi_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} v^{\langle \mu - \beta, \alpha \rangle + \langle \mu, \beta \rangle} \tilde{K}^{\beta - \mu} u_{A_\alpha}^+ u_{A_\beta}^-,$$

where $\tilde{K}^\nu := (\tilde{K}_1)^{\nu_1} \cdots (\tilde{K}_n)^{\nu_n}$ with $\tilde{K}_i = K_i K_{i+1}^{-1}$ for $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$, and

$$\varphi_{\lambda, \mu}^{\alpha, \beta} = v^{2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (\lambda_i - \alpha_i)(1 - \alpha_i - \beta_i)} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 \leq s \leq \lambda_i - \alpha_i - 1}} \frac{1}{v^{2(\lambda_i - \alpha_i)} - v^{2s}}.$$

Let $\mathbf{U}_\Delta(n)$ be the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ generated by $u_{E_{i,i+1}^\Delta}^+$, $u_{E_{i+1,i}^\Delta}^-$ and $K_i^{\pm 1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The algebra $\mathbf{U}_\Delta(n)$ is a proper subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ and it is the quantum affine algebra considered in [17, 7.7].

Let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)}\{u_A^+ \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)\}$, $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)}\{u_A^- \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)\}$, and $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^0(n) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)}\{K^{\mathbf{j}} \mid \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n\}$. Then we have

$$(2.1.1) \quad \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \cong \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n) \otimes \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^0(n) \otimes \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n).$$

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $e_i^\Delta \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$ be the element satisfying $\mathfrak{b}(e_i^\Delta) = \mathbf{e}_i = (0, \dots, 0, \underset{(i)}{1}, 0, \dots, 0)$, where \mathfrak{b} is defined in (1.1.1). Let $\Pi_\Delta(n) = \{\alpha_j^\Delta := e_j^\Delta - e_{j+1}^\Delta \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. By 2.1 the algebra $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_Δ^n -graded algebra with

$$\deg(u_A^+) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_i \alpha_i^\Delta, \quad \deg(u_A^-) = - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_i \alpha_i^\Delta \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(K_i^{\pm 1}) = 0$$

for $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $(d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = \mathbf{d}(A)$. For $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\nu$ be the set of homogeneous elements in $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ of degree ν . Then we have

$$\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z} \Pi_\Delta(n)} \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\nu.$$

Lemma 2.2. *For $\lambda, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $t \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\lambda$ we have $K^{\mathbf{j}} t = v^{\mathbf{j} \cdot \lambda} t K^{\mathbf{j}}$, where $\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \lambda_j j_i$.*

Proof. Clearly for $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ we have $\langle \mathbf{d}(A), \mathbf{j} \rangle = (\deg u_A^+) \cdot \mathbf{j}$ and $-\langle \mathbf{d}(A), \mathbf{j} \rangle = (\deg u_A^-) \cdot \mathbf{j}$. Combining this with 2.1(2) proves the assertion. \square

Following [16] we now introduce the modified quantum affine algebra $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)$ of $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n$ we set

$${}_{\lambda}\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mu} = \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n) \left/ \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n} (K^{\mathbf{j}} - v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}}) \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n) + \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n} \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n) (K^{\mathbf{j}} - v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}}) \right) \right.$$

Let $\pi_{\lambda, \mu} : \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n) \rightarrow {}_{\lambda}\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mu}$ be the canonical projection. Let

$$\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n) := \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n} {}_{\lambda}\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mu}.$$

Since ${}_{\lambda}\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mu} = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi_{\Delta}(n)} \pi_{\lambda, \mu}(\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\nu})$, we have $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi_{\Delta}(n)} \dot{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\nu}$, where $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\nu} = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n} \pi_{\lambda, \mu}(\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\nu})$.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n$, $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi_{\Delta}(n)$ and $\nu \neq \lambda - \mu$. Then we have $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\nu}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $t \in \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\nu}$. By 2.2 we see that $(v^{\lambda_i} - v^{\mu_i + \nu_i})\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = \pi_{\lambda, \mu}(K_i t) - \pi_{\lambda, \mu}(v^{\nu_i} t K_i) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\nu \neq \lambda - \mu$ and v is an indeterminate, there exist $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$ such that $v^{\lambda_{i_0}} \neq v^{\mu_{i_0} + \nu_{i_0}}$. Consequently, $\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(t) = 0$. \square

We define the product in $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)$ as follows. For $\lambda', \mu', \lambda'', \mu'' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n$ with $\lambda' - \mu', \lambda'' - \mu'' \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi_{\Delta}(n)$ and any $t \in \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\lambda' - \mu'}$, $s \in \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\lambda'' - \mu''}$, define

$$\pi_{\lambda', \mu'}(t) \pi_{\lambda'', \mu''}(s) = \begin{cases} \pi_{\lambda', \mu''}(ts), & \text{if } \mu' = \lambda'' \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then by 2.3 one can check that $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)$ becomes an associative $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra structure with the above product.

The algebra $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)$ is naturally a $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)$ -bimodule defined by

$$(2.3.1) \quad t' \pi_{\lambda', \lambda''}(s) t'' = \pi_{\lambda' + \nu', \lambda'' - \nu''}(t' s t'')$$

for $t' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\nu'}$, $s \in \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)$, $t'' \in \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)_{\nu''}$ and $\lambda', \lambda'' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n$.

3. AFFINE QUANTUM SCHUR ALGEBRAS

For $r \geq 0$ let $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)^1$ be the affine quantum Schur algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ defined in [17, 1.9]. Recall the set $\Theta_{\Delta}(n)$ defined in 1.1. The algebra $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)$ has a normalized $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -basis $\{[A] \mid A \in \Theta_{\Delta}(n, r)\}$ (cf. [17, 1.9]), where

$$\Theta_{\Delta}(n, r) = \{A \in \Theta_{\Delta}(n) \mid \sigma(A) := \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}}} a_{i, j} = r\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, where v is an indeterminate. Let $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)$ be the \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)$ spanned by $\{[A] \mid A \in \Theta_{\Delta}(n, r)\}$. Then $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)$ is the \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)$.

¹The algebra $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{U}_{r, n, n}$ in [17, 1.9].

For $r \geq 0$, let $\Lambda_\Delta(n, r) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \mid \sigma(\lambda) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i = r\}$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)$ and $A \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$, we have

$$(3.0.2) \quad [\text{diag}(\lambda)] \cdot [A] = \begin{cases} [A], & \text{if } \lambda = \text{ro}(A); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad [A][\text{diag}(\lambda)] = \begin{cases} [A], & \text{if } \lambda = \text{co}(A); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\text{ro}(A) = (\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\text{co}(A) = (\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j})_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (see [17, 1.9]). In particular, we have

$$(3.0.3) \quad [\text{diag}(\lambda)][\text{diag}(\mu)] = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}[\text{diag}(\lambda)]$$

for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)$.

We now recall certain triangular relation in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$, which will be needed in §3. First we need the following order relation \preceq on $\Theta_\Delta(n)$. For $A \in \Theta_\Delta(n)$ and $i \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$\sigma_{i,j}(A) = \sum_{s \leq i, t \geq j} a_{s,t} \text{ if } i < j, \text{ and } \sigma_{i,j}(A) = \sum_{s \geq i, t \leq j} a_{s,t} \text{ if } i > j.$$

For $A, B \in \Theta_\Delta(n)$, define $B \preceq A$ if $\sigma_{i,j}(B) \leq \sigma_{i,j}(A)$ for all $i \neq j$. Put $B \prec A$ if $B \preceq A$ and, for some pair (i, j) with $i \neq j$, $\sigma_{i,j}(B) < \sigma_{i,j}(A)$.

Let $\Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) := \{A \in \Theta_\Delta(n) \mid a_{i,j} = 0 \text{ for } i = j\}$. For $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$, define $A(\mathbf{j}, r) \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ by

$$A(\mathbf{j}, r) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r - \sigma(A))} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} [A + \text{diag}(\lambda)], & \text{if } \sigma(A) \leq r; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$, write $A = A^+ + A^-$ with $A^+ \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, $A^- \in \Theta_\Delta^-(n)$, where

$$\Theta_\Delta^-(n) := \{A \in \Theta_\Delta(n) \mid a_{i,j} = 0 \text{ for } i \leq j\}.$$

The following triangular relation in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ is given in [5, 3.7.3].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $C \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$. Then the following triangular relation holds in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$:*

$$C^+(\mathbf{0}, r)C^-(\mathbf{0}, r) = C(\mathbf{0}, r) + \sum_{\substack{X \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \\ X \prec C, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n}} h_{C, X, \mathbf{j}; r} X(\mathbf{j}, r),$$

where $h_{C, X, \mathbf{j}; r} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$.

Using 3.1 one can construct a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ as follows.

Corollary 3.2 ([5, 3.7.7]). *The set $\{A^+(\mathbf{0}, r)[\text{diag}(\lambda)]A^-(\mathbf{0}, r) \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n), \lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r), \lambda_i \geq \sigma_i(A), \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$, where $\sigma_i(A) = \sum_{j < i} (a_{i,j} + a_{j,i})$.*

The double Ringel–Hall algebra $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is related to the affine quantum Schur algebra in the following way (cf. [11, 17]).

Lemma 3.3. [5, 3.6.3] *For $r \geq 0$, there is a surjective algebra homomorphism $\zeta_r : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ such that*

$$\zeta_r(K^{\mathbf{j}}) = 0(\mathbf{j}, r), \quad \zeta_r(\tilde{u}_A^+) = A(\mathbf{0}, r), \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_r(\tilde{u}_A^-) = ({}^t A)(\mathbf{0}, r),$$

for all $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, where ${}^t A$ is the transpose matrix of A and $\tilde{u}_A^\pm = u_{\nu^{\dim \text{End}(M(A)) - \dim M(A)}}^\pm$.

For convenience, we set $[A] = 0 \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ for $A \notin \Theta_\Delta(n, r)$. Then we have the following commutation formula in $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi_\Delta(n)$. If $t \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\nu$, then*

$$\zeta_r(t)[\text{diag}(\lambda)] = [\text{diag}(\lambda + \nu)]\zeta_r(t).$$

Proof. Applying (3.0.2) gives

$$(3.4.1) \quad C(\mathbf{0}, r)[\text{diag}(\lambda)] = [C + \text{diag}(\lambda - \text{co}(C))] = [\text{diag}(\lambda + \text{ro}(C) - \text{co}(C))]C(\mathbf{0}, r)$$

for $C \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. Furthermore by (2.0.2), we conclude that

$$(3.4.2) \quad \deg(u_A^+) = \text{ro}(A) - \text{co}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \deg(u_A^-) = \text{co}(A) - \text{ro}(A)$$

for $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$. Combining (3.4.1) and (3.4.2) shows that $\zeta_r(\tilde{u}_A^+)[\text{diag}(\lambda)] = [\text{diag}(\lambda + \deg(\tilde{u}_A^+))]\zeta_r(u_A^+)$ and $\zeta_r(\tilde{u}_A^-)[\text{diag}(\lambda)] = [\text{diag}(\lambda + \deg(\tilde{u}_A^-))]\zeta_r(\tilde{u}_A^-)$. This finishes the proof. \square

Finally, we prove that the map $\zeta_r : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ induces a natural algebra homomorphism $\dot{\zeta}_r : \dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$.

Lemma 3.5. *There is an algebra homomorphism $\dot{\zeta}_r : \dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ such that*

$$\dot{\zeta}_r(\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(u)) = [\text{diag}(\lambda)]\zeta_r(u)[\text{diag}(\mu)]$$

for $u \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$.

Proof. Clearly we have

$$[\text{diag}(\lambda)]\zeta_r \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} (K^{\mathbf{j}} - v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}})\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) + \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)(K^{\mathbf{j}} - v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}}) \right) [\text{diag}(\mu)] = 0$$

for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. Thus $\dot{\zeta}_r$ is well defined.

Assume $\lambda', \mu', \lambda'', \mu'' \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ is such that $\lambda' - \mu', \lambda'' - \mu'' \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi_\Delta(n)$. Let $t \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\lambda' - \mu'}$ and $s \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\lambda'' - \mu''}$. If $\mu' \neq \lambda''$, then by (3.0.3), $\dot{\zeta}_r(\pi_{\lambda', \mu'}(t)\pi_{\lambda'', \mu''}(s)) = 0 = \dot{\zeta}_r(\pi_{\lambda', \mu'}(t))\dot{\zeta}_r(\pi_{\lambda'', \mu''}(s))$. If $\mu' = \lambda''$, then by (3.0.3) and 3.4, $\dot{\zeta}_r(\pi_{\lambda', \mu'}(t))\dot{\zeta}_r(\pi_{\mu', \mu''}(s)) = [\text{diag}(\lambda')]\zeta_r(t)\zeta_r(s)[\text{diag}(\mu'')] = \dot{\zeta}_r(\pi_{\lambda', \mu''}(ts)) = \dot{\zeta}_r(\pi_{\lambda', \mu'}(t)\pi_{\mu', \mu''}(s))$. \square

Recall that $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ is a $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ -bimodule defined by (2.3.1).

Lemma 3.6. *We have $\dot{\zeta}_r(u_1 u_2 u_3) = \zeta_r(u_1) \dot{\zeta}_r(u_2) \zeta_r(u_3)$ for $u_1, u_3 \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ and $u_2 \in \dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$.*

Proof. By 3.4, for $\lambda, \mu, \nu', \nu'' \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$ and $u' \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\nu'}$, $u'' \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\nu''}$, $u \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_{\lambda-\mu}$, we have $\zeta_r(u') \dot{\zeta}_r(\pi_{\lambda, \mu}(u)) \zeta_r(u'') = \zeta_r(u') \zeta_r(u) [\text{diag}(\mu)] \zeta_r(u'') = \zeta_r(u') \zeta_r(u) \zeta_r(u'') [\text{diag}(\mu - \nu'')] = \dot{\zeta}_r(\pi_{\lambda+\nu', \mu-\nu''}(u' u u'')) = \dot{\zeta}_r(u' \pi_{\lambda \mu}(u) u'')$. \square

4. THE INTEGRAL FORM $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ OF $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$

Let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n) = \text{span}_{\mathcal{Z}}\{\tilde{u}_A^+ \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)\}$, $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n) = \text{span}_{\mathcal{Z}}\{\tilde{u}_A^- \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^-(n)\}$, and let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^0(n)$ be the \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ generated by $K_i^{\pm 1}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} K_i; 0 \\ t \end{bmatrix}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $t > 0$, where $\begin{bmatrix} K_i; 0 \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{s=1}^t \frac{K_i v^{-s+1} - K_i^{-1} v^{s-1}}{v^s - v^{-s}}$. Let $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) = \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n) \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^0(n) \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n)$ and let

$$(4.0.1) \quad \dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) = \text{span}_{\mathcal{Z}}\{\tilde{u}_A^+ 1_\lambda \tilde{u}_B^- \mid A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n\} \subseteq \dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n),$$

where $1_\lambda = \pi_{\lambda, \lambda}(1)$. Clearly by definition we have

$$\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \pi_{\lambda, \mu}(\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)).$$

Furthermore by (2.1.1), the set $\{\tilde{u}_A^+ 1_\lambda \tilde{u}_B^- \mid A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$.

In [5, 3.8.6], it is conjectured that $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$. We will prove in 4.2 that the modified version $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$, and prove in 4.3 that the restriction of $\dot{\zeta}_r : \dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ to $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ gives a surjective algebra homomorphism from $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ to $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$. Furthermore we will establish certain relation between $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ and $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ in (4.5.1).

For $A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ we write

$$(4.0.2) \quad \tilde{u}_B^- \tilde{u}_A^+ = \sum_{\substack{C \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \\ \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n, j_n=0}} g_{A, B, C, \mathbf{j}} \tilde{u}_{C^+}^+ \tilde{u}_{\mathfrak{t}(C^-)}^- \tilde{K}_1^{j_1} \cdots \tilde{K}_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}}$$

where $\mathfrak{t}(C^-)$ is the transpose matrix of C^- and $g_{A, B, C, \mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$

For $A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, $C \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$, let

$$f_{A, B, C, \lambda} = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n, j_n=0} g_{A, B, C, \mathbf{j}} v^{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)j_1 + \cdots + (\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n)j_{n-1}}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Fix $A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. Then we have $f_{A, B, C, \lambda} \in \mathcal{Z}$ for $C \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{C \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \mid f_{A, B, C, \lambda} \neq 0\}$. Then \mathcal{I} is a finite set. It is enough to prove $\mathcal{J} := \{C \in \mathcal{I} \mid f_{A, B, C, \lambda} \notin \mathcal{Z}\} = \emptyset$. Suppose this is not the case. We choose a maximal element C_0 in \mathcal{J} with respect to \preceq . Then $f_{A, B, C_0, \lambda} \notin \mathcal{Z}$. Furthermore, we choose $m > 0$ such that $\lambda + m\mathbf{1} \geq \text{co}(C)$ for all $C \in \mathcal{I}$. Let $\mu = \lambda + m\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$, where $\mathbf{1} = (\cdots, 1, \cdots, 1, \cdots) \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, n)$. Let $r = \sigma(\mu) \geq 0$.

Applying (3.0.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_r(\tilde{K}_1^{j_1} \cdots \tilde{K}_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}})[\text{diag}(\mu)] &= \nu^{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)j_1 + \cdots + (\mu_{n-1} - \mu_n)j_{n-1}}[\text{diag}(\mu)] \\ &= \nu^{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)j_1 + \cdots + (\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_n)j_{n-1}}[\text{diag}(\mu)]. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with 3.3 and (4.0.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_r(\tilde{u}_B^- \tilde{u}_A^+)[\text{diag}(\mu)] &= \sum_{\substack{C \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \\ \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n, j_n=0}} g_{A,B,C,\mathbf{j}} C^+(\mathbf{0}, r) C^-(\mathbf{0}, r) \zeta_r(\tilde{K}_1^{j_1} \cdots \tilde{K}_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}})[\text{diag}(\mu)] \\ &= \sum_{C \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)} f_{A,B,C,\lambda} C^+(\mathbf{0}, r) C^-(\mathbf{0}, r)[\text{diag}(\mu)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\zeta_r(\tilde{u}_B^- \tilde{u}_A^+)[\text{diag}(\mu)] = ({}^t B)(\mathbf{0}, r) A(\mathbf{0}, r)[\text{diag}(\mu)] \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1.1) \quad Y &:= \zeta_r(\tilde{u}_B^- \tilde{u}_A^+)[\text{diag}(\mu)] - \sum_{\substack{C \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \\ C \notin \mathcal{J}}} f_{A,B,C,\lambda} C^+(\mathbf{0}, r) C^-(\mathbf{0}, r)[\text{diag}(\mu)] \\ &= \sum_{C \in \mathcal{J}} f_{A,B,C,\lambda} C^+(\mathbf{0}, r) C^-(\mathbf{0}, r)[\text{diag}(\mu)] \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \sum_{C \in \mathcal{J}} f_{A,B,C,\lambda} \left(C(\mathbf{0}, r) + \sum_{\substack{X \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \\ X \prec C, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n}} h_{C,X,\mathbf{j};r} X(\mathbf{j}, r) \right) [\text{diag}(\mu)] \\ &= \sum_{C \in \mathcal{J}} f_{A,B,C,\lambda} \left(\sum_{\substack{X \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \\ X \succ C}} t_{C,X} [X + \text{diag}(\mu - \text{co}(X))] \right) \\ &= \sum_{X \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)} l_X [X + \text{diag}(\mu - \text{co}(X))] \end{aligned}$$

where $t_{C,C} = 1$, $t_{C,X} = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} h_{C,X,\mathbf{j};r} \nu^{\mathbf{j} \cdot (\mu - \text{co}(X))}$ for $X \prec C$ and

$$l_X = \sum_{\substack{C \in \mathcal{J} \\ X \prec C}} f_{A,B,C,\lambda} t_{C,X}.$$

This, together with (4.1.1) and the fact that the set $\{[T] \mid T \in \Theta_\Delta(n, r)\}$ forms a \mathcal{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$, implies that that $l_X \in \mathcal{Z}$ for all $X \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$. Thus $f_{A,B,C_0,\lambda} = l_{C_0} \in \mathcal{Z}$. This is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4.2. *The \mathcal{Z} -module $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ is a \mathcal{Z} -subalgebra of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$. Thus $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ is the integral form for $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$.*

Proof. By (4.0.2) and 4.1 we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{u}_{A_2}^+ 1_\lambda \tilde{u}_{B_2}^-)(\tilde{u}_{A_1}^+ 1_\mu \tilde{u}_{B_1}^-) &= \sum_{\substack{C \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \\ j \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n, j_n=0}} g_{A_1, B_2, C, j}(\tilde{u}_{A_2}^+ 1_\lambda \tilde{u}_{C^+}^+)(\tilde{u}_{(C^-)}^- \tilde{K}_1^{j_1} \cdots \tilde{K}_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}} 1_\mu \tilde{u}_{B_1}^-) \\ &= \sum_{C \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)} f_{A_1, B_2, C, \mu}(\tilde{u}_{A_2}^+ 1_\lambda \tilde{u}_{C^+}^+)(\tilde{u}_{(C^-)}^- 1_\mu \tilde{u}_{B_1}^-) \in \dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n). \end{aligned}$$

for $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2 \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. This proves the assertion. \square

Corollary 4.3. *By restriction, the map $\dot{\zeta}_r$ defined in 3.5 induces a surjective algebra homomorphism $\dot{\zeta}_r : \dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)$.*

Proof. Applying 3.6 yields

$$\dot{\zeta}_r(\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)) = \text{span}_{\mathcal{Z}}\{A^+(\mathbf{0}, r)[\text{diag}(\lambda)]A^-(\mathbf{0}, r) \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n), \lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)\}.$$

Combining this with 3.2 and 4.2 proves the assertion. \square

We end this section by studying the relation between $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ and $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$. We define the completion algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ as follows. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ be the vector space of all formal (possibly infinite) $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -linear combinations $\sum_{A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \beta_{A, B, \lambda} u_A^+ 1_\lambda u_B^-$ satisfying

- (F): for any $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, the sets $\{(A, B, \lambda) \mid A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n, \beta_{A, B, \lambda} \neq 0, \lambda - \deg(u_B^-) = \mu\}$ and $\{(A, B, \lambda) \mid A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n, \beta_{A, B, \lambda} \neq 0, \lambda + \deg(u_A^+) = \mu\}$ are finite.

We define the product on $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ by

$$\sum_{A, B, \lambda} \beta_{A, B, \lambda} u_A^+ 1_\lambda u_B^- \sum_{A', B', \lambda'} \gamma_{A', B', \lambda'} u_{A'}^+ 1_{\lambda'} u_{B'}^- = \sum_{\substack{A, B, \lambda \\ A', B', \lambda'}} \beta_{A, B, \lambda} \gamma_{A', B', \lambda'} (u_A^+ 1_\lambda u_B^-)(u_{A'}^+ 1_{\lambda'} u_{B'}^-)$$

where $(u_A^+ 1_\lambda u_B^-)(u_{A'}^+ 1_{\lambda'} u_{B'}^-)$ is the product in $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$. Since $(u_A^+ 1_\lambda u_B^-)(u_{A'}^+ 1_{\lambda'} u_{B'}^-)$ is a linear combination of elements $u_X^+ 1_\mu u_Y^-$ such that $\lambda + \deg(u_A^+) = \mu + \deg(u_X^+)$ and $\lambda' - \deg(u_{B'}^-) = \mu - \deg(u_Y^-)$, the right hand side of the above equation is a well defined elements in $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$. In this way, $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ becomes an associative algebra. The element $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} 1_\lambda$ is the unit element of $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$.

Similarly, we may define the completion algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ of $\dot{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$. Then by definition, $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ is the \mathcal{Z} -submodule of $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ consisting of those elements $\sum_{A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \beta_{A, B, \lambda} u_A^+ 1_\lambda u_B^-$ in $\hat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ with $\beta_{A, B, \lambda} \in \mathcal{Z}$ for all A, B, λ .

Proposition 4.4. *The map $\Phi : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ defined by sending u to $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u 1_\lambda$ for $u \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is an injective algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. Let $u \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\lambda$, $w \in \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)_\mu$, where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}\Pi_\Delta(n)$. Since $1_\alpha w = w1_{\alpha-\mu}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$, we have

$$\Phi(u)\Phi(w) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} (u1_\alpha)(w1_\beta) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} uw(1_{\alpha-\mu}1_\beta) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} uw1_\beta = \Phi(uw).$$

Thus Φ is an algebra homomorphism.

Now let us prove that Φ is injective. Assume $x = \sum_{A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \beta_{A, B, \mathbf{j}} u_A^+ K^{\mathbf{j}} u_B^- \in \ker(\Phi)$, where $\beta_{A, B, \mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x) &= \sum_{\substack{A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n) \\ \mathbf{j}, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n}} \beta_{A, B, \mathbf{j}} u_A^+ K^{\mathbf{j}} 1_{\lambda + \deg(u_B^-)} u_B^- \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n) \\ \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \beta_{A, B, \mathbf{j}} v^{(\lambda + \deg(u_B^-)) \cdot \mathbf{j}} \right) u_A^+ 1_{\lambda + \deg(u_B^-)} u_B^-. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \beta_{A, B, \mathbf{j}} v^{(\lambda + \deg(u_B^-)) \cdot \mathbf{j}} = 0$ for $A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. By the proof of [8, 5.2] we see that $\det(v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}})_{\mu, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{[a, b]}^n} \neq 0$ for $a < b$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{[a, b]}^n = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid a \leq x_i \leq b, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. It follows that $\beta_{A, B, \mathbf{j}} = 0$ for all A, B, \mathbf{j} and hence $x = 0$. The proof is completed. \square

Remark 4.5. With the above proposition we may regard $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ as a subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$. Clearly, we have

$$(4.5.1) \quad \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \subseteq \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) \cap \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n).$$

Note that $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \neq \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) \cap \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$. For example we have $\frac{K_1 K_2}{v-1} - \frac{K_1}{v-1} \notin \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$. But $\frac{K_1 K_2}{v-1} - \frac{K_1}{v-1} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} v^{\lambda_1} \frac{v^{\lambda_2} - 1}{v-1} 1_\lambda \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) \cap \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$. Although $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n) \neq \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) \cap \mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$, we will prove in 6.5 that the proper inclusion (4.5.1) becomes an equality in the classical case.

5. THE COMMUTATOR FORMULAS FOR $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$

By [5, 1.4.3], $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$ is generated by $u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+$, $u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^-$ and K_i , for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i < j$. Note that $M(E_{i,j}^\Delta)$ is the indecomposable representation of $\Delta(n)$ for all $i < j$. We derive commutator formulas between indecomposable generators of $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$, which will be used in §6.

For $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, there is a polynomial $\mathfrak{a}_A = \mathfrak{a}_A(v^2) \in \mathcal{Z}$ in v^2 such that, for each finite field \mathbb{F} with q elements, $\mathfrak{a}_A|_{v^2=q} = |\text{Aut}(M_{\mathbb{F}}(A))|$ (see [18, Cor. 2.1.1]). Furthermore, for $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, set

$$\mathfrak{d}(A) = \sum_{i < j, 1 \leq i \leq n} a_{i,j}(j - i).$$

Then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} M(A) = \mathfrak{d}(A)$ for each finite field \mathbb{F} . For $A, B \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{+}(n)$, let

$$(5.0.2) \quad \begin{aligned} L_{A,B} &= v^{\langle \mathfrak{d}(B), \mathfrak{d}(B) \rangle} \sum_{A_1, B_1} \varphi_{A,B}^{A_1, B_1} v^{\langle \mathfrak{d}(B_1), \mathfrak{d}(A) + \mathfrak{d}(B) - \mathfrak{d}(B_1) \rangle} \widetilde{K}^{\mathfrak{d}(B) - \mathfrak{d}(B_1)} u_{B_1}^{-} u_{A_1}^{+}, \\ R_{A,B} &= v^{\langle \mathfrak{d}(B), \mathfrak{d}(A) \rangle} \sum_{A_1, B_1} \widetilde{\varphi}_{A,B}^{A_1, B_1} v^{\langle \mathfrak{d}(B) - \mathfrak{d}(B_1), \mathfrak{d}(A_1) \rangle + \langle \mathfrak{d}(B), \mathfrak{d}(B_1) \rangle} \widetilde{K}^{\mathfrak{d}(B_1) - \mathfrak{d}(B)} u_{A_1}^{+} u_{B_1}^{-} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{A,B}^{A_1, B_1} &= \frac{\mathfrak{a}_{A_1} \mathfrak{a}_{B_1}}{\mathfrak{a}_A \mathfrak{a}_B} \sum_{A_2 \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{+}(n)} v^{2\mathfrak{d}(A_2)} \mathfrak{a}_{A_2} \varphi_{A_1, A_2}^A \varphi_{B_1, A_2}^B, \\ \widetilde{\varphi}_{A,B}^{A_1, B_1} &= \frac{\mathfrak{a}_{A_1} \mathfrak{a}_{B_1}}{\mathfrak{a}_A \mathfrak{a}_B} \sum_{A_2 \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{+}(n)} v^{2\mathfrak{d}(A_2)} \mathfrak{a}_{A_2} \varphi_{A_2, A_1}^A \varphi_{A_2, B_1}^B. \end{aligned}$$

By [5, 2.4.4] we have the following result.

Lemma 5.1. *For all $A, B \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{+}(n)$, $L_{A,B} = R_{A,B}$.*

For $s < t$ we let

$$m_{s,t} = \left| \left\{ c \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 \leq c \leq \frac{t-s-1}{n} \right\} \right| - 1 = \left[\frac{t-s-1}{n} \right],$$

and set $m_{s,s} = 0$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let \bar{i} denote the integer modulo n .

Lemma 5.2. *Let $A = E_{i,j}^{\Delta}$, $B = E_{k,l}^{\Delta}$ with $i < j$ and $k < l$. Assume $A_1, B_1 \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{+}(n)$.*

(1) *If $\varphi_{A,B}^{A_1, B_1} \neq 0$, then one of the following holds.*

- (i) *If $A_1 = A$ and $B_1 = B$, then $\varphi_{A,B}^{A_1, B_1} = 1$.*
- (ii) *If either $A_1 \neq A$ or $B_1 \neq B$, then $\bar{j} = \bar{l}$ and*

$$\varphi_{A,B}^{A_1, B_1} = \begin{cases} (v^2 - 1)v^{2a_s} & \text{if } A_1 = E_{i,s}^{\Delta} \text{ and } B_1 = E_{k,s-j+l}^{\Delta} \text{ for } \max\{i, k-l+j\} < s < j, \\ (v^2 - 1)^{-1}v^{2a_i} & \text{if } k-l+j = i \text{ and } A_1 = B_1 = 0, \\ v^{2a_i} & \text{if } k-l+j < i, A_1 = 0 \text{ and } B_1 = E_{k,i-j+l}^{\Delta}, \\ v^{2a_{k-l+j}} & \text{if } k-l+j > i, A_1 = E_{i,k-l+j}^{\Delta} \text{ and } B_1 = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $a_s = m_{i,s} + m_{k-l+j,s} - m_{i,j} - m_{k,l} + m_{s,j} + j - s$, for $\max\{i, k-l+j\} \leq s \leq j$.

(2) *If $\widetilde{\varphi}_{A,B}^{A_1, B_1} \neq 0$, then one of the following holds.*

- (i) *If $A_1 = A$ and $B_1 = B$, then $\widetilde{\varphi}_{A,B}^{A_1, B_1} = 1$.*

(ii) If either $A_1 \neq A$ or $B_1 \neq B$, then $\bar{i} = \bar{k}$ and

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_{A,B}^{A_1,B_1} = \begin{cases} (v^2 - 1)v^{2b_s} & \text{if } A_1 = E_{s,j}^\Delta \text{ and } B_1 = E_{s,l-k+i}^\Delta \text{ for } i < s < \min\{j, l - k + i\}, \\ (v^2 - 1)^{-1}v^{2b_j} & \text{if } l - k + i = j \text{ and } A_1 = B_1 = 0, \\ v^{2b_j} & \text{if } l - k + i > j, A_1 = 0 \text{ and } B_1 = E_{j,l-k+i}^\Delta, \\ v^{2b_{l-k+i}} & \text{if } l - k + i < j, A_1 = E_{l-k+i,j}^\Delta \text{ and } B_1 = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $b_s = m_{s,j} + m_{s+k-i,l} - m_{i,j} - m_{k,l} + m_{i,s} + s - i$, for $i \leq s \leq \min\{j, l - k + i\}$.

Proof. Applying [5, (1.2.0.9)] yields $\mathbf{a}_{E_{s,t}^\Delta} = v^{2m_{s,t}}(v^2 - 1)$ for $s < t$. Furthermore if $A_1, A_2 \neq 0 \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$, then

$$\varphi_{A_1,A_2}^{E_{s,t}^\Delta} = \begin{cases} 1 & A_1 = E_{s,x}^\Delta \text{ and } A_2 = E_{x,t}^\Delta \text{ for some } s < x < t, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

since the module $M(E_{s,t}^\Delta)$ is uniserial. Now the assertion follows from the definition of $\varphi_{A,B}^{A_1,B_1}$. \square

For convenience, we let $\mathbf{d}(E_{i,i}^\Delta) = 0$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Fix $i < j$ and $k < l$. For $\max\{i, k - l + j\} \leq s \leq j$ let

$$\begin{aligned} f_s &= 2a_s + \langle \mathbf{d}(E_{k,l}^\Delta), \mathbf{d}(E_{k,l}^\Delta) \rangle + \langle \mathbf{d}(E_{k,s-j+l}^\Delta), \mathbf{d}(E_{i,j}^\Delta) + \mathbf{d}(E_{s,j}^\Delta) \rangle \\ \widetilde{f}_s &= f_s - f_j = 2a_s + \langle \mathbf{d}(E_{k,s-j+l}^\Delta), \mathbf{d}(E_{s,j}^\Delta) \rangle - \langle \mathbf{d}(E_{s-j+l,l}^\Delta), \mathbf{d}(E_{i,j}^\Delta) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where a_s is as in 5.2. Furthermore for $i \leq s \leq \min\{j, l - k + i\}$ let

$$\begin{aligned} g_s &= 2b_s + \langle \mathbf{d}(E_{k,l}^\Delta), \mathbf{d}(E_{i,j}^\Delta) \rangle + \langle \mathbf{d}(E_{i,s}^\Delta), \mathbf{d}(E_{s,j}^\Delta) \rangle + \langle \mathbf{d}(E_{k,l}^\Delta), \mathbf{d}(E_{s+k-i,l}^\Delta) \rangle \\ \widetilde{g}_s &= g_s - g_i = 2b_s + \langle \mathbf{d}(E_{i,s}^\Delta), \mathbf{d}(E_{s,j}^\Delta) \rangle - \langle \mathbf{d}(E_{k,l}^\Delta), \mathbf{d}(E_{k,s+k-i}^\Delta) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where b_s is as in 5.2. We can now prove the following commutator formulas in $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta(n)$.

Proposition 5.3. *Assume $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i < j$ and $k < l$.*

(1) If $\bar{j} \neq \bar{l}$ and $\bar{i} \neq \bar{k}$, then $u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- = u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+$.

(2) Assume $\bar{j} = \bar{l}$ and $\bar{i} \neq \bar{k}$.

(i) If $k - l < i - j$, then

$$u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- - u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ = (v^2 - 1) \sum_{i < s < j} v^{\widetilde{f}_s} K_s K_j^{-1} u_{E_{k,s-j+l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,s}^\Delta}^+ + v^{\widetilde{f}_i} K_i K_j^{-1} u_{E_{k,i-j+i}^\Delta}^-.$$

(ii) If $k - l > i - j$, then

$$u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- - u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ = (v^2 - 1) \sum_{k-l+j < s < j} v^{\widetilde{f}_s} K_s K_j^{-1} u_{E_{k,s-j+l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,s}^\Delta}^+ + v^{\widetilde{f}_{k-l+j}} K_k K_j^{-1} u_{E_{i,k-l+j}^\Delta}^+.$$

(3) Assume $\bar{j} \neq \bar{l}$ and $\bar{i} = \bar{k}$.

(i) If $k - l < i - j$, then

$$u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- - u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ = (1 - v^2) \sum_{i < s < j} v^{\tilde{g}_s} K_s K_i^{-1} u_{E_{s,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{s+k-i,l}^\Delta}^- - v^{\tilde{g}_j} K_j K_i^{-1} u_{E_{j+k-i,l}^\Delta}^-.$$

(ii) If $k - l > i - j$, then

$$u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- - u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ = (1 - v^2) \sum_{i < s < l - k + i} v^{\tilde{g}_s} K_s K_i^{-1} u_{E_{s,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{s+k-i,l}^\Delta}^- - v^{\tilde{g}_{l-k+i}} K_l K_i^{-1} u_{E_{l-k+i,j}^\Delta}^+.$$

(4) Assume $\bar{j} = \bar{l}$ and $\bar{i} = \bar{k}$.

(i) If $k - l = i - j$, then $E_{i,j}^\Delta = E_{k,l}^\Delta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^- - u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ &= (v^2 - 1) \sum_{i < s < j} (v^{\tilde{f}_s} K_s K_j^{-1} u_{E_{i,s}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,s}^\Delta}^+ - v^{\tilde{g}_s} K_s K_i^{-1} u_{E_{s,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{s,j}^\Delta}^-) \\ &\quad + \frac{K_i K_j^{-1} - K_i^{-1} K_j}{v^2 - 1} v^{\tilde{f}_i}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If $k - l < i - j$, then

$$\begin{aligned} u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- - u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ &= (v^2 - 1) \sum_{i < s < j} (v^{\tilde{f}_s} K_s K_j^{-1} u_{E_{k,s-j+l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,s}^\Delta}^+ - v^{\tilde{g}_s} K_s K_i^{-1} u_{E_{s,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{s+k-i,l}^\Delta}^-) \\ &\quad + v^{\tilde{f}_i} K_i K_j^{-1} u_{E_{k,i-j+l}^\Delta}^- - v^{\tilde{g}_j} K_i^{-1} K_j u_{E_{j+k-i,l}^\Delta}^-. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $k - l > i - j$, then

$$\begin{aligned} u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- - u_{E_{k,l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,j}^\Delta}^+ &= v^{\tilde{f}_{k-l+j}} K_i K_j^{-1} u_{E_{i,k-l+j}^\Delta}^+ + (v^2 - 1) \sum_{k-l+j < s < j} v^{\tilde{f}_s} K_s K_j^{-1} u_{E_{k,s-j+l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,s}^\Delta}^+ \\ &\quad - v^{\tilde{g}_{l-k+i}} K_i^{-1} K_j u_{E_{l-k+i,j}^\Delta}^+ - (v^2 - 1) \sum_{i < s < l - k + i} v^{\tilde{g}_s} K_i^{-1} K_s u_{E_{s,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{s+k-i,l}^\Delta}^-. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For convenience, we let $u_{E_{s,s}^\Delta}^+ = u_{E_{s,s}^\Delta}^- = 1$ for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $A = E_{i,j}^\Delta$ and $B = E_{k,l}^\Delta$. Recall from (5.0.2) the definition of $L_{A,B}$ and $R_{A,B}$. Applying (3.4.2) gives that $\tilde{K}^{\mathbf{d}(C)} = K^{\deg(u_C^+)} = K^{\text{ro}(C) - \text{co}(C)}$ for $C \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$. In particular we have $\tilde{K}^{\mathbf{d}(E_{s,t}^\Delta)} = K_s K_t^{-1}$ for $s < t$. This together with 5.2 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{A,A} &= v^{f_j} u_A^- u_A^+ + (v^2 - 1) \sum_{i < s < j} v^{f_s} K_s K_j^{-1} u_{E_{i,s}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,s}^\Delta}^+ + \frac{v^{f_i}}{v^2 - 1} K_i K_j^{-1}, \\ R_{A,A} &= v^{g_i} u_A^+ u_A^- + (v^2 - 1) \sum_{i < s < j} v^{g_s} K_s K_i^{-1} u_{E_{s,j}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{s,j}^\Delta}^- + \frac{v^{g_j}}{v^2 - 1} K_i^{-1} K_j, \end{aligned}$$

and if $A \neq B$, then

$$L_{A,B} = \begin{cases} v^{f_j} u_B^- u_A^+ & \text{if } \bar{j} \neq \bar{l}, \\ v^{f_j} u_B^- u_A^+ + (v^2 - 1) \sum_{a < s < j} v^{f_s} K_s K_j^{-1} u_{E_{k,s-j+l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,s}^+}^+ + v^{f_a} K_a K_j^{-1} u_{E_{k,a-j+l}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,a}^+}^+ & \text{if } \bar{j} = \bar{l}, \end{cases}$$

$$R_{A,B} = \begin{cases} v^{f_j} u_A^+ u_B^- & \text{if } \bar{i} \neq \bar{k}, \\ v^{f_j} u_A^+ u_B^- + (v^2 - 1) \sum_{i < s < b} v^{g_s} K_s K_i^{-1} u_{E_{s,j}^+}^+ u_{E_{s+k-i,l}^-}^- + v^{g_b} K_b K_i^{-1} u_{E_{b,j}^+}^+ u_{E_{b+k-i,l}^-}^- & \text{if } \bar{i} = \bar{k}, \end{cases}$$

where $a = \max\{i, k - l + j\}$ and $b = \min\{j, l - k + i\}$. Combining this with 5.1 proves the assertion. \square

6. THE CLASSICAL ($v = 1$) CASE

Let $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$, where $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n = \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$ is the loop algebra associated to the general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Q})$ over \mathbb{Q} . Using Hall algebras, we will construct the \mathbb{Z} -form $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and prove in 6.5 that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. In addition, we will prove in 6.7 that the natural algebra homomorphism from $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ to $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is surjective, where $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$ is the affine Schur algebra over \mathbb{Z} .

Recall the set $M_{\Delta, n}(\mathbb{Q})$ defined in 1.1. We will identify $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$ with $M_{\Delta, n}(\mathbb{Q})$ via the following lie algebra isomorphism

$$M_{\Delta, n}(\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n, \quad E_{i, j+ln}^\Delta \longmapsto E_{i, j} \otimes t^l, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let $\mathcal{U}^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ (resp., $\mathcal{U}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, $\mathcal{U}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$) be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ generated by $E_{i, j}^\Delta$ (resp., $E_{j, i}^\Delta$, $E_{i, i}^\Delta$), for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i < j$. Then we have

$$(6.0.1) \quad \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \mathcal{U}^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \otimes \mathcal{U}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \otimes \mathcal{U}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n),$$

Recall $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^+(n) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\tilde{u}_A^+ \mid A \in \Theta_{\Delta}^+(n)\}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^-(n) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\tilde{u}_A^- \mid A \in \Theta_{\Delta}^+(n)\}$. Then $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^+(n)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^-(n)$ are all \mathcal{Z} -subalgebras of $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}(n)$. Note that $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^+(n) \cong \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^-(n)^{\text{op}} \cong \mathfrak{H}_{\Delta}(n)$, where $\mathfrak{H}_{\Delta}(n)$ is the Hall algebra over \mathcal{Z} associated with cyclic quivers $\Delta(n)$. Let $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^+(n)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^+(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^+(n)_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^+(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^-(n)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^-(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^-(n)_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^-(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$, where \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Z} are regarded as \mathbb{Z} -modules by specializing v to 1. For $A \in \Theta_{\Delta}^+(n)$ let $u_{A,1}^+ = u_A^+ \otimes 1$ and $u_{A,1}^- = u_A^- \otimes 1$.

Lemma 6.1 ([5, 6.1.2]). *There is a unique injective algebra homomorphism $\theta^+ : \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^+(n)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ (resp., $\theta^- : \mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^-(n)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$) taking $u_{E_{i,j,1}^+}^+ \mapsto E_{i,j}^\Delta$ (resp., $u_{E_{i,j,1}^-}^- \mapsto E_{j,i}^\Delta$) for all $i < j$ such that $\theta^+(\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^+(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{U}^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and $\theta^-(\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^-(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{U}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.*

We now use 6.1 to introduce the integral form $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ for $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \theta^+(\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^+(n)_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \theta^-(\mathfrak{D}_{\Delta}^-(n)_{\mathbb{Z}})$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the \mathbb{Z} -submodule of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ spanned

by $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \binom{E_{i,i}^\Delta}{\lambda_i}$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$, where

$$\binom{E_{i,i}^\Delta}{\lambda_i} = \frac{E_{i,i}^\Delta (E_{i,i}^\Delta - 1) \cdots (E_{i,i}^\Delta - \lambda_i + 1)}{\lambda_i!}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}^+(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}^-(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Z}} \left\{ w_A^+ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \binom{E_{i,i}^\Delta}{\lambda_i} w_B^- \mid A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n \right\},$$

where $w_A^+ = \theta^+(u_{A,1}^+)$ and $w_B^- = \theta^-(u_{B,1}^-)$.

Lemma 6.2. *The set $\{w_A^+ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \binom{E_{i,i}^\Delta}{\lambda_i} w_B^- \mid A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n\}$ forms a \mathbb{Z} -basis for $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \cong \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. By [15] and [13, 26.4] we conclude that the set $\{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \binom{E_{i,i}^\Delta}{\lambda_i} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n\}$ forms a \mathbb{Q} -basis for $\mathcal{U}^0(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. Now the assertion follows from (6.0.1) and 6.1. \square

To prove that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, we need some preparation. Recall $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$ defined in (4.0.1). Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. By 4.2, $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. For $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$, let $u_{A,1}^+ = u_A^+ \otimes 1$, $u_{A,1}^- = u_A^- \otimes 1$ and $1_{\lambda,1} = 1_\lambda \otimes 1$. By 5.3, we immediately get the following result.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i < j$ and $k < l$. The following formulas hold in $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.*

- (1) *If $\bar{j} \neq \bar{l}$ and $\bar{i} \neq \bar{k}$, then $1_{\lambda,1} u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^- = 1_{\lambda,1} u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^+$.*
(2) *If $\bar{j} = \bar{l}$ and $\bar{i} \neq \bar{k}$, then*

$$1_{\lambda,1} (u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^- - u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^+) = \begin{cases} 1_{\lambda,1} u_{E_{k,i-j+l,1}^\Delta}^- & \text{if } k-l < i-j \\ 1_{\lambda,1} u_{E_{i,k-l+j,1}^\Delta}^+ & \text{if } k-l > i-j \end{cases}$$

- (3) *If $\bar{j} \neq \bar{l}$ and $\bar{i} = \bar{k}$, then*

$$1_{\lambda,1} (u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^- - u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^+) = \begin{cases} -1_{\lambda,1} u_{E_{j+k-i,l,1}^\Delta}^- & \text{if } k-l < i-j \\ -1_{\lambda,1} u_{E_{l-k+i,j,1}^\Delta}^+ & \text{if } k-l > i-j \end{cases}$$

- (4) *If $\bar{j} = \bar{l}$ and $\bar{i} = \bar{k}$, then*

$$1_{\lambda,1} (u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^+ u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^- - u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^- u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^+) = \begin{cases} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) 1_{\lambda,1} & \text{if } k-l = i-j \\ 1_{\lambda,1} (u_{E_{k,i-j+l,1}^\Delta}^- - u_{E_{j+k-i,l,1}^\Delta}^-) & \text{if } k-l < i-j \\ 1_{\lambda,1} (u_{E_{i,k-l+j,1}^\Delta}^+ - u_{E_{l-k+i,j,1}^\Delta}^+) & \text{if } k-l > i-j \end{cases}$$

Mimicking the construction of $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)$, let $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathbb{Q}$ be the \mathbb{Q} -vector space of all formal (possibly infinite) \mathbb{Q} -linear combinations $\sum_{A, B \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \beta_{A, B, \lambda} u_{A,1}^+ 1_{\lambda,1} u_{B,1}^-$ satisfying the property (F) with a similar multiplication. This is an associative \mathbb{Q} -algebra with an identity: $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} 1_{\lambda,1}$. The algebra $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is related to the algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathbb{Q}$ in the following way (cf. 4.4).

Proposition 6.4. *There is an injective algebra homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\varphi(E_{i,j}^\Delta) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^+ 1_{\lambda,1}$, $\varphi(E_{j,i}^\Delta) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{E_{j,i,1}^\Delta}^- 1_{\lambda,1}$ and $\varphi(E_{i,i}^\Delta) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \lambda_i 1_{\lambda,1}$ for $i < j$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n$. Furthermore we have $\varphi(w_A^+) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{A,1}^+ 1_{\lambda,1}$ and $\varphi(w_A^-) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{A,1}^- 1_{\lambda,1}$ for $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$.*

Proof. For $x, y \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathbb{Q}$ we set $[x, y] = xy - yx$. Then by (3.4.2) we have in $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathbb{Q}$,

$$(6.4.1) \quad \left[\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \lambda_i 1_{\lambda,1}, \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^+ 1_{\lambda,1} \right] = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \lambda_i u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^+ 1_{\lambda - e_k^\Delta + e_l^\Delta} - \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \lambda_i u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^+ 1_{\lambda,1} \\ = (\delta_{i,\bar{k}} - \delta_{i,\bar{l}}) \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^+ 1_{\lambda,1}.$$

Applying [5, 6.1.1] yields

$$(6.4.2) \quad \left[\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^+ 1_{\lambda,1}, \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^+ 1_{\lambda,1} \right] = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} (\delta_{\bar{j},\bar{k}} u_{E_{i,l+j-k,1}^\Delta}^+ - \delta_{\bar{l},\bar{i}} u_{E_{k,j+l-i,1}^\Delta}^+) 1_{\lambda,1}, \\ \left[\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^- 1_{\lambda,1}, \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{E_{k,l,1}^\Delta}^- 1_{\lambda,1} \right] = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} (\delta_{\bar{j},\bar{l}} u_{E_{k+i-l,j,1}^\Delta}^- - \delta_{\bar{k},\bar{j}} u_{E_{i+k-j,l,1}^\Delta}^-) 1_{\lambda,1}.$$

for $i < j$ and $k < l$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ has a presentation with generators $E_{i,j}^\Delta$ ($1 \leq i \leq n, j \in \mathbb{Z}$), and relations:

- (a) $[E_{i,i}^\Delta, E_{k,l}^\Delta] = (\delta_{i,\bar{k}} - \delta_{i,\bar{l}}) E_{k,l}^\Delta$.
- (b) $[E_{i,j}^\Delta, E_{k,l}^\Delta] = \delta_{\bar{j},\bar{k}} E_{i,l+j-k}^\Delta - \delta_{\bar{l},\bar{i}} E_{k,j+l-i}^\Delta$ for $i \neq j$ and $k \neq l$.

This, together with 6.3, (6.4.1) and (6.4.2), implies that there is an algebra homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathbb{Q}$ defined by sending $E_{i,j}^\Delta$ to $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^+ 1_{\lambda,1}$, $E_{j,i}^\Delta$ to $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{E_{j,i,1}^\Delta}^- 1_{\lambda,1}$, and $E_{i,i}^\Delta$ to $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} \lambda_i 1_{\lambda,1}$.

Using an argument similar to the proof of 4.4, we can show that there is an injective \mathbb{Q} -algebra homomorphism $\rho^+ : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^+(n)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathbb{Q}$ (resp., $\rho^- : \mathfrak{D}_\Delta^-(n)_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_\Delta(n)_\mathbb{Q}$) taking $u_{A,1}^+ \mapsto \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{A,1}^+ 1_{\lambda,1}$ (resp., $u_{A,1}^- \mapsto \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{A,1}^- 1_{\lambda,1}$) for $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$. Since $\varphi \circ \theta^\pm(u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^\pm) = \rho^\pm(u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^\pm)$ and $\mathfrak{D}_\Delta^\pm(n)_\mathbb{Q}$ is generated by $u_{E_{i,j,1}^\Delta}^\pm$ for $i < j$, we see that $\varphi \circ \theta^\pm = \rho^\pm$ and hence

$$\varphi(w_A^\pm) = \varphi \circ \theta^\pm(u_{A,1}^\pm) = \rho^\pm(u_{A,1}^\pm) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_\Delta^n} u_{A,1}^\pm 1_{\lambda,1}$$

for $A \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$.

Finally, we prove that φ is injective. Assume

$$x = \sum_{A,B \in \Theta_{\Delta}^+(n), \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n} k_{A,B,\mathbf{j}} w_A^+ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} (E_{i,i}^{\Delta})^{j_i} w_B^- \in \ker(\varphi),$$

where $k_{A,B,\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{A,B \in \Theta_{\Delta}^+(n), \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n} k_{A,B,\mathbf{j}} \mu^{\mathbf{j}} \right) u_{A,1}^+ 1_{\mu,1} u_{B,1}^- = 0,$$

where $\mu^{\mathbf{j}} = \mu_1^{j_1} \cdots \mu_n^{j_n}$. This implies that $\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n} k_{A,B,\mathbf{j}} \mu^{\mathbf{j}} = 0$ for all A, B, \mathbf{j} . By [5, 6.3.3], we have $\det(\mu^{\mathbf{j}})_{\mu, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}_l^n} \neq 0$ for any $l \geq 1$, where $\mathbb{Z}_l^n = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid 0 \leq \lambda_i \leq l-1, \forall i\}$. It follows that $k_{A,B,\mathbf{j}} = 0$ for all A, B, \mathbf{j} and hence $x = 0$. The proof is completed. \square

We will identify $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ with the subalgebra $\varphi(\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n))$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ via φ , and hence identify w_A^{\pm} with $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n} u_{A,1}^{\pm} 1_{\lambda,1}$ for $A \in \Theta_{\Delta}^+(n)$, etc.

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Z}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$ and let $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the \mathbb{Z} -submodule of $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ consisting of those elements $\sum_{A,B \in \Theta_{\Delta}^+(n), \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n} \beta_{A,B,\lambda} u_{A,1}^+ 1_{\lambda,1} u_{B,1}^-$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $\beta_{A,B,\lambda} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all A, B, λ . Then by 4.2, $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We can now prove that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.

Theorem 6.5. *We have $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. In particular, $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$.*

Proof. Clearly $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \subseteq \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. On the other hand, if $x \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, then by 6.2, we may write

$$x = \sum_{\substack{A,B \in \Theta_{\Delta}^+(n) \\ \lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n}} k_{A,B,\lambda} w_A^+ \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \begin{pmatrix} E_{i,i}^{\Delta} \\ \lambda_i \end{pmatrix} w_B^- = \sum_{\substack{A,B \in \Theta_{\Delta}^+(n) \\ \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\Delta}^n}} \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n} k_{A,B,\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} \right) u_{A,1}^+ 1_{\mu,1} u_{B,1}^-,$$

where $k_{A,B,\lambda} \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_i \\ \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}$. Since $x \in \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ we have

$$k_{A,B,\mu} + \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n, \sigma(\lambda) < \sigma(\mu) \\ \lambda_i \leq \mu_i, \forall i}} k_{A,B,\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n} k_{A,B,\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for $A, B \in \Theta_{\Delta}^+(n)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n$, where, as before, $\sigma(\mu) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mu_i$. Using induction on $\sigma(\mu)$, we conclude that $k_{A,B,\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $A, B \in \Theta_{\Delta}^+(n)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{N}_{\Delta}^n$, and hence $x \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. This proves the first assertion. Since $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by 4.2, we conclude that $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \widehat{\mathfrak{D}}_{\Delta}(n)_{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. \square

Finally we will establish affine Schur–Weyl reciprocity at the integral level. Let $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r)_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathcal{S}_{\Delta}(n, r) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}$. For $A \in \Theta_{\Delta}^{\pm}(n)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\Delta}(n, r)$, let $A(\mathbf{0}, r)_1 =$

$A(\mathbf{0}, r) \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{Q}$ and $[\text{diag}(\lambda)]_1 = [\text{diag}(\lambda)] \otimes 1 \in \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{Q}$. The following result is due to [5, 6.1.3 and 6.1.4] (cf. [23]).

Lemma 6.6. *There is a surjective algebra homomorphism $\eta_r : \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\eta_r(E_{i,j}^\Delta) = E_{i,j}^\Delta(\mathbf{0}, r)_1$ for $i \neq j$ and $\eta_r(E_{i,i}^\Delta) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)} \lambda_i [\text{diag}(\lambda)]_1$. Furthermore we have $\eta_r(w_A^+) = A(\mathbf{0}, r)_1$ and $\eta_r(w_A^-) = {}^t A(\mathbf{0}, r)_1$ for $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$.*

Theorem 6.7. *The restriction of η_r to $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ gives a surjective \mathbb{Z} -algebra homomorphism $\eta_r : \mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\Delta(n, r)_\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Since $\eta_r(E_{i,i}^\Delta) = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)} \mu_i [\text{diag}(\mu)]_1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, by (3.0.3), we conclude that

$$\eta_r \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \begin{pmatrix} E_{i,i}^\Delta \\ \lambda_i \end{pmatrix} \right) = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r) \\ \mu_i \geq \lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq n}} \begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \lambda \end{pmatrix} [\text{diag}(\mu)]_1$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}_\Delta^n$. It follows that $\eta_r \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \leq n} \begin{pmatrix} E_{i,i}^\Delta \\ \lambda_i \end{pmatrix} \right) = [\text{diag}(\lambda)]_1$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r)$. This, together with 6.6 implies that

$$\eta_r(\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)) = \text{span}_\mathbb{Z} \{ A^+(\mathbf{0}, r)_1 [\text{diag}(\lambda)]_1 A^-(\mathbf{0}, r)_1 \mid A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n), \lambda \in \Lambda_\Delta(n, r) \}.$$

Combining this with 3.2 and 6.5 proves the assertion. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Ariki, *Cyclotomic q -Schur algebras as quotients of quantum algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **513** (1999), 53–69.
- [2] S. Ariki, T. Terasoma, and H. Yamada, *Schur–Weyl reciprocity for the Hecke algebra of $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr \mathfrak{S}_n$* , J. Algebra **178** (1995), 374–390.
- [3] R. Carter and G. Lusztig, *On the modular representations of the general linear and symmetric groups*, Math. Zeit. **136** (1974), 193–242.
- [4] C. de Concini and C. Procesi, *A characteristic free approach to invariant theory*, Adv. Math. **21** (1976), 330–354.
- [5] B.B. Deng, J. Du and Q. Fu, *A Double Hall Algebra Approach to Affine Quantum Schur–Weyl Theory*, preprint, arXiv:1010.4619.
- [6] S. Donkin, *Invariants of several matrices*, Invent. Math. **110** (1992), 389–401.
- [7] J. Du, *A note on the quantized Weyl reciprocity at roots of unity*, Alg. Colloq. **2** (1995), 363–372.
- [8] J. Du, Q. Fu and J.-P. Wang, *Infinitesimal quantum \mathfrak{gl}_n and little q -Schur algebras*, J. Algebra **287** (2005), 199–233.
- [9] J. Du, B. Parshall and L. Scott, *Quantum Weyl reciprocity and tilting modules*, Commun. Math. Phys. **195** (1998), 321–352.
- [10] Q. Fu, *BLM realization for $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{Z}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$* , preprint.
- [11] V. Ginzburg and E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type A_n* , Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1993), 67–85.
- [12] J. Hu, *Schur–Weyl reciprocity between quantum groups and Hecke algebras of type $G(r, 1, n)$* . Math. Z. **238** (2001), 505–521.

- [13] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978.
- [14] M. Jimbo, *A q -analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebras, and the YangCBaxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **11**(1986), 247–252.
- [15] B. Kostant, *Groups over \mathbf{Z}* , Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. (1966), 90–98.
- [16] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progress in Math. **110**, Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [17] G. Lusztig, *Aperiodicity in quantum affine \mathfrak{gl}_n* , Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), 147–177.
- [18] L. Peng, *Some Hall polynomials for representation-finite trivial extension algebras*, J. Algebra **197** (1997), 1–13.
- [19] C. M. Ringel, *The composition algebra of a cyclic quiver*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **66** (1993), 507–537.
- [20] M. Sakamoto, T. Shoji, *Schur–Weyl reciprocity for Ariki–Koike algebras*, J. Algebra **221** (1999) 293–314.
- [21] H. Weyl, *The classical groups*, Princeton U. Press, Princeton, 1946.
- [22] J. Xiao, *Drinfeld double and Ringel–Green theory of Hall algebras*, J. Algebra **190** (1997), 100–144.
- [23] D. Yang, *On the affine Schur algebra of type A* , Comm. Algebra **37** (2009), 1389–1419.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TONGJI UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, 200092, CHINA.

E-mail address: q.fu@hotmail.com