

A G -family of quandles and handlebody-knots

Atsushi Ishii, Masahide Iwakiri, Yeonhee Jang and Kanako Oshiro

Abstract

We introduce the notion of a G -family of quandles which is an algebraic system whose axioms are motivated by handlebody-knot theory, and use it to construct invariants for handlebody-knots. Our invariant can detect the chiralities of some handlebody-knots including unknown ones.

1 Introduction

A quandle [11, 15] is an algebraic system whose axioms are motivated by knot theory. Carter, Jelsovsky, Kamada, Langford, and Saito [1] defined the quandle homology theory and quandle cocycle invariants for links and surface-links. The quandle chain complex in [1] is a subcomplex of the rack chain complex in [4]. The quandle cocycle invariant extracts information from quandle colorings by a quandle cocycle, and are used to detect the chirality of links in [3, 18].

In this paper, we introduce the notion of a G -family of quandles which is an algebraic system whose axioms are motivated by handlebody-knot theory, and use it to construct invariants for handlebody-knots. A *handlebody-knot* is a handlebody embedded in the 3-sphere. A handlebody-knot can be represented by its trivalent spine, and the first author, in [6], gave a list of local moves connecting diagrams of spatial trivalent graphs which represent equivalent handlebody-knots. The axioms of a G -family of quandles are derived from the local moves.

A G -family of quandles gives us not only invariants for handlebody-knots but also a way to handle a number of quandles at once. We see that a G -family of quandles is indeed a family of quandles associated with a group G . Any quandle is contained in some G -family of quandles as we see in Proposition 2.3. We introduce a homology theory for G -families of quandles. A cocycle of a G -family of quandles gives a family of cocycles of quandles. Thus it is efficient to find cocycles of a G -family of quandles, and indeed Nosaka [17] gave some cocycles together with a method to construct a cocycle of a G -family of quandles induced by a G -invariant group cocycle.

A G -family of quandles induces a quandle which contains all quandles forming the G -family of quandles as subquandles. This quandle, which we call the associated quandle, has a suitable structure to define colorings of a diagram of a handlebody-knot. Putting weights on colorings with a cocycle of a G -family of quandles, we define a quandle cocycle invariant for handlebody-knots. In

[7], the first and second authors defined quandle colorings and quandle cocycle invariants for handlebody-links by introducing the notion of an A -flow for an abelian group A . Quandle cocycle invariants we define in this paper are non-abelian versions of the invariants. A usual knot can be regarded as a genus one handlebody-knot by taking its regular neighborhood, and some knot invariants have been modified and generalized to construct invariants for handlebody-knots. In [10], the third and fourth authors defined symmetric quandle colorings and symmetric quandle cocycle invariants for handlebody-links by generalizing symmetric quandle cocycle invariants of classical knots given in [12, 13].

A table of genus two handlebody-knots with up to 6 crossings is given in [8], and the handlebody-knots $0_1, \dots, 6_{16}$ in the table were proved to be mutually distinct by using the fundamental groups of their complements, quandle cocycle invariants in [7] and some topological arguments in [9, 14]. Our quandle cocycle invariant can distinguish the handlebody-knots 6_{14} and 6_{15} whose complements have isomorphic fundamental groups, and detect the chiralities of the handlebody-knots $5_2, 5_3, 6_5, 6_9, 6_{11}, 6_{12}, 6_{13}, 6_{14}, 6_{15}$. In particular, the chiralities of $5_3, 6_5, 6_{11}$ and 6_{12} were not known.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the definition of a G -family of quandles together with some examples. In Section 3, we describe colorings with a G -family of quandles for handlebody-links. We define the homology for a G -family of quandles in Section 4 and define several invariants for handlebody-links including quandle cocycle invariants in Section 5. In Section 6, we calculate quandle cocycle invariants for handlebody-knots with up to 6 crossings and show the chirality for some of the handlebody-knots. In Section 7, we prove that our invariants can be regarded as a generalization of the invariants defined in [7].

2 A G -family of quandles

A *quandle* [11, 15] is a non-empty set X with a binary operation $* : X \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the following axioms.

- For any $x \in X$, $x * x = x$.
- For any $x \in X$, the map $S_x : X \rightarrow X$ defined by $S_x(y) = y * x$ is a bijection.
- For any $x, y, z \in X$, $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z)$.

A *rack* is a non-empty set X with a binary operation $* : X \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfying the second and third axioms. When we specify the binary operation $*$ of a quandle (resp. rack) X , we denote the quandle (resp. rack) by the pair $(X, *)$. An *Alexander quandle* $(M, *)$ is a Λ -module M with the binary operation defined by $x * y = tx + (1 - t)y$, where $\Lambda := \mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]$. A *conjugation quandle* $(G, *)$ is a group G with the binary operation defined by $x * y = y^{-1}xy$.

Let G be a group with identity element e . A G -family of quandles is a non-empty set X with a family of binary operations $*^g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ ($g \in G$) satisfying the following axioms.

- For any $x \in X$ and any $g \in G$, $x *^g x = x$.
- For any $x, y \in X$ and any $g, h \in G$,

$$x *^{gh} y = (x *^g y) *^h y \text{ and } x *^e y = x.$$

- For any $x, y, z \in X$ and any $g, h \in G$,

$$(x *^g y) *^h z = (x *^h z) *^{h^{-1}gh} (y *^h z).$$

When we specify the family of binary operations $*^g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ ($g \in G$) of a G -family of quandles, we denote the G -family of quandles by the pair $(X, \{ *^g \}_{g \in G})$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a group. Let $(X, \{ *^g \}_{g \in G})$ be a G -family of quandles.*

- (1) *For each $g \in G$, the pair $(X, *^g)$ is a quandle.*
- (2) *We define a binary operation $*$: $(X \times G) \times (X \times G) \rightarrow X \times G$ by*

$$(x, g) * (y, h) = (x *^h y, h^{-1}gh).$$

*Then $(X \times G, *)$ is a quandle.*

We call the quandle $(X \times G, *)$ in Proposition 2.1 the *associated quandle* of X . We note that the involution $f : X \times G \rightarrow X \times G$ defined by $f((x, g)) = (x, g^{-1})$ is a good involution of the associated quandle $X \times G$, where we refer the reader to [12] for the definition of a good involution of a quandle. Before proving this proposition, we introduce a notion of a Q -family of quandles. Let (Q, \triangleleft) be a quandle. A Q -family of quandles is a non-empty set X with a family of binary operations $*^a : X \times X \rightarrow X$ ($a \in Q$) satisfying the following axioms.

- For any $x \in X$ and any $a \in Q$, $x *^a x = x$.
- For any $x \in X$ and any $a \in Q$, the map $S_{x,a} : X \rightarrow X$ defined by $S_{x,a}(y) = y *^a x$ is a bijection.
- For any $x, y, z \in X$ and any $a, b \in Q$, $(x *^a y) *^b z = (x *^b z) *^{a \triangleleft b} (y *^b z)$.

Let Q be a rack. A Q -family of racks is a non-empty set X with a family of binary operations $*^a : X \times X \rightarrow X$ ($a \in Q$) satisfying the second and third axioms.

Lemma 2.2. *Let (Q, \triangleleft) be a quandle (resp. rack). Let $(X, \{\ast^a\}_{a \in Q})$ be a Q -family of quandles (resp. racks). We define a binary operation $\ast : (X \times Q) \times (X \times Q) \rightarrow X \times Q$ by*

$$(x, a) \ast (y, b) = (x \ast^b y, a \triangleleft b).$$

Then $(X \times Q, \ast)$ is a quandle (resp. rack).

Proof. The first axiom of a quandle follows from the equalities

$$(x, a) \ast (x, a) = (x \ast^a x, a \triangleleft a) = (x, a).$$

For any $(x, a), (y, b) \in X \times Q$, there is a unique $(z, c) \in X \times Q$ such that $x = z \ast^b y$ and $a = c \triangleleft b$. By the equalities $(x, a) = (z \ast^b y, c \triangleleft b) = (z, c) \ast (y, b)$, we have the second axiom of a quandle. The third axiom of a quandle follows from

$$\begin{aligned} ((x, a) \ast (y, b)) \ast (z, c) &= ((x \ast^b y) \ast^c z, (a \triangleleft b) \triangleleft c) \\ &= ((x \ast^c z) \ast^{b \triangleleft c} (y \ast^c z), (a \triangleleft c) \triangleleft (b \triangleleft c)) \\ &= ((x, a) \ast (z, c)) \ast ((y, b) \ast (z, c)). \end{aligned}$$

□

Conversely, we can prove the following. Let \triangleleft be a binary operation on a non-empty set Q . Let \ast^a be a binary operation on a non-empty set X for $a \in Q$. We define a binary operation $\ast : (X \times Q) \times (X \times Q) \rightarrow X \times Q$ by

$$(x, a) \ast (y, b) = (x \ast^b y, a \triangleleft b).$$

If $(X \times Q, \ast)$ is a quandle (resp. rack), then (Q, \triangleleft) is a quandle (resp. rack) and $(X, \{\ast^a\}_{a \in Q})$ is a Q -family of quandles (resp. racks).

Proof of Proposition 2.1. (1) The first and third axioms of a quandle are easily checked. The second axiom of a quandle follows from the equalities

$$(x \ast^g y) \ast^{g^{-1}} y = (x \ast^{g^{-1}} y) \ast^g y = x.$$

Then (X, \ast^g) is a quandle.

(2) Let (G, \triangleleft) be the conjugation quandle. By Lemma 2.2, $(X \times G, \ast)$ is a quandle. □

The following proposition gives us many examples for a G -family of quandles.

Proposition 2.3. *(1) Let (X, \ast) be a quandle. Let $S_x : X \rightarrow X$ be the bijection defined by $S_x(y) = y \ast x$. Let m be a positive integer such that $S_x^m = \text{id}_X$ for any $x \in X$ if such an integer exists. We define the binary operation $\ast^i : X \times X \rightarrow X$ by $x \ast^i y = S_y^i(x)$. Then X is a \mathbb{Z} -family of quandles and a \mathbb{Z}_m -family of quandles, where $\mathbb{Z}_m = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$.*

- (2) Let R be a ring, and G a group with identity element e . Let X be a right $R[G]$ -module, where $R[G]$ is the group ring of G over R . We define the binary operation $*^g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ by $x *^g y = xg + y(e - g)$. Then X is a G -family of quandles.

Proof. (1) We verify the axioms of a G -family of quandles.

$$\begin{aligned} x *^0 y &= S_y^0(x) = \text{id}_X(x) = x, \\ x *^i x &= S_x^i(x) = x, \\ (x *^i y) *^j y &= S_y^j(S_y^i(x)) = S_y^{i+j}(x) = x *^{i+j} y. \end{aligned}$$

For the last axiom of a G -family of quandles, we can prove

$$(x *^j z) *^i (y *^j z) = (x *^i y) *^j z$$

by induction.

- (2) We verify the axioms of a G -family of quandles.

$$\begin{aligned} x *^e y &= xe + y(e - e) = x, \\ x *^g x &= xg + x(e - g) = x, \\ (x *^g y) *^h y &= (xg + y - yg)h + y - yh = x *^{gh} y, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(x *^h z) *^{h^{-1}gh} (y *^h z) \\ &= (xh + z - zh)h^{-1}gh + (yh + z - zh) - (yh + z - zh)h^{-1}gh \\ &= (xg + y - yg)h + z - zh \\ &= (x *^g y) *^h z. \end{aligned}$$

□

3 Handlebody-links and X -colorings

A *handlebody-link* is a disjoint union of handlebodies embedded in the 3-sphere S^3 . Two handlebody-links are *equivalent* if there is an orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism of S^3 which sends one to the other. A *spatial graph* is a finite graph embedded in S^3 . Two spatial graphs are *equivalent* if there is an orientation-preserving self-homeomorphism of S^3 which sends one to the other. When a handlebody-link H is a regular neighborhood of a spatial graph K , we say that K *represents* H , or H *is represented by* K . In this paper, a trivalent graph may contain circle components. Then any handlebody-link can be represented by some spatial trivalent graph. A *diagram* of a handlebody-link is a diagram of a spatial trivalent graph which represents the handlebody-link.

An *IH-move* is a local spatial move on spatial trivalent graphs as described in Figure 1, where the replacement is applied in a 3-ball embedded in S^3 . Then we have the following theorem.




Figure 1:




Figure 2:

Theorem 3.1 ([6]). *For spatial trivalent graphs K_1 and K_2 , the following are equivalent.*

- K_1 and K_2 represent an equivalent handlebody-link.
- K_1 and K_2 are related by a finite sequence of IH-moves.
- Diagrams of K_1 and K_2 are related by a finite sequence of the moves depicted in Figure 2.

Let D be a diagram of a handlebody-link H . We set an orientation for each edge in D . Then D is a diagram of an oriented spatial trivalent graph K . We may represent an orientation of an edge by a normal orientation, which is obtained by rotating a usual orientation counterclockwise by $\pi/2$ on the diagram. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(D)$ the set of arcs of D , where an arc is a piece of a curve each of whose endpoints is an undercrossing or a vertex. For an arc α incident to a vertex ω , we define $\epsilon(\alpha; \omega) \in \{1, -1\}$ by

$$\epsilon(\alpha; \omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the orientation of } \alpha \text{ points to } \omega, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let X be a G -family of quandles, and Q the associated quandle of X . Let p_X (resp. p_G) be the projection from Q to X (resp. G). An X -coloring of D is a map $C : \mathcal{A}(D) \rightarrow Q$ satisfying the following conditions at each crossing χ and each vertex ω of D (see Figure 3).

- Let χ_1, χ_2 and χ_3 be respectively the under-arcs and the over-arc at a crossing χ such that the normal orientation of χ_3 points from χ_1 to χ_2 . Then

$$C(\chi_2) = C(\chi_1) * C(\chi_3).$$




Figure 3:

- Let $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3$ be the arcs incident to a vertex ω arranged clockwise around ω . Then

$$(p_X \circ C)(\omega_1) = (p_X \circ C)(\omega_2) = (p_X \circ C)(\omega_3),$$

$$(p_G \circ C)(\omega_1)^{\epsilon(\omega_1; \omega)} (p_G \circ C)(\omega_2)^{\epsilon(\omega_2; \omega)} (p_G \circ C)(\omega_3)^{\epsilon(\omega_3; \omega)} = e.$$

We denote by $\text{Col}_X(D)$ the set of X -colorings of D . We call $C(\alpha)$ the *color* of α . For two diagrams D and E which locally differ, we denote by $\mathcal{A}(D, E)$ the set of arcs that D and E share.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a G -family of quandles. Let D be a diagram of an oriented spatial trivalent graph. Let E be a diagram obtained by applying one of the R1–R6 moves to the diagram D once, where we choose orientations for E which agree with those for D on $\mathcal{A}(D, E)$. For $C \in \text{Col}_X(D)$, there is a unique X -coloring $C_{D,E} \in \text{Col}_X(E)$ such that $C|_{\mathcal{A}(D,E)} = C_{D,E}|_{\mathcal{A}(D,E)}$.*

Proof. The color of an edge in $\mathcal{A}(E) - \mathcal{A}(D, E)$ is uniquely determined by the colors of edges in $\mathcal{A}(D, E)$, since we have

$$a *^g a = a$$

for the R1, R4 moves, and

$$(a *^g b) *^{g^{-1}} b = a *^e b = a$$

for the R2 move, and

$$(a *^g b) *^h c = (a *^h c) *^{h^{-1}gh} (b *^h c)$$

for the R3 move, and

$$((b *^g a) *^h a) *^{(gh)^{-1}} a = a *^e b = b$$

for the R5 move, and only the coloring condition for the R6-move. \square

Let X be a G -family of quandles. An X -set is a non-empty set Y with a family of maps $*^g : Y \times X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following axioms, where we note that we use the same symbol $*^g$ as the binary operation of the G -family of quandles.

- For any $y \in Y$, $x \in X$, and any $g, h \in G$,

$$y *^{gh} x = (y *^g x) *^h x \text{ and } y *^e x = y.$$

- For any $y \in Y$, $x_1, x_2 \in X$, and any $g, h \in G$,

$$(y *^g x_1) *^h x_2 = (y *^h x_2) *^{h^{-1}gh} (x_1 *^h x_2).$$

Any G -family of quandles $(X, \{ *^g \}_{g \in G})$ itself is an X -set with its binary operations. Any singleton set $\{y\}$ is also an X -set with the maps $*^g$ defined by $y *^g x = y$ for $x \in X$ and $g \in G$, which is a trivial X -set.

Let D be a diagram of an oriented spatial trivalent graph. We denote by $\mathcal{R}(D)$ the set of complementary regions of D . Let X be a G -family of quandles, and Y an X -set. Let Q be the associated quandle of X . An X_Y -coloring of D is a map $C : \mathcal{A}(D) \cup \mathcal{R}(D) \rightarrow Q \cup Y$ satisfying the following conditions.

- $C(\mathcal{A}(D)) \subset Q$, $C(\mathcal{R}(D)) \subset Y$.
- The restriction $C|_{\mathcal{A}(D)}$ of C on $\mathcal{A}(D)$ is an X -coloring of D .
- For any arc $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(D)$, we have

$$C(\alpha_1) * C(\alpha) = C(\alpha_2),$$

where α_1, α_2 are the regions facing the arc α so that the normal orientation of α points from α_1 to α_2 (see Figure 4).

We denote by $\text{Col}_X(D)_Y$ the set of X_Y -colorings of D .

For two diagrams D and E which locally differ, we denote by $\mathcal{R}(D, E)$ the set of regions that D and E share. Since colors of regions are uniquely determined by those of arcs and one region, Lemma 3.2 implies the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let X be a G -family of quandles, Y an X -set. Let D be a diagram of an oriented spatial trivalent graph. Let E be a diagram obtained by applying one of the R1–R6 moves to the diagram D once, where we choose orientations for E which agree with those for D on $\mathcal{A}(D, E)$. For $C \in \text{Col}_X(D)_Y$, there is a unique X_Y -coloring $C_{D,E} \in \text{Col}_X(E)_Y$ such that $C|_{\mathcal{A}(D,E)} = C_{D,E}|_{\mathcal{A}(D,E)}$ and $C|_{\mathcal{R}(D,E)} = C_{D,E}|_{\mathcal{R}(D,E)}$.*




Figure 4:

4 A homology

Let X be a G -family of quandles, and Y an X -set. Let $(Q, *)$ be the associated quandle of X . Let $B_n(X)_Y$ be the free abelian group generated by the elements of $Y \times Q^n$ if $n \geq 0$, and let $B_n(X)_Y = 0$ otherwise. We put

$$((y, q_1, \dots, q_i) * q, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) := (y * q, q_1 * q, \dots, q_i * q, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n)$$

for $y \in Y$ and $q, q_1, \dots, q_n \in Q$. We define a boundary homomorphism $\partial_n : B_n(X)_Y \rightarrow B_{n-1}(X)_Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n(y, q_1, \dots, q_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i ((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}) * q_i, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \end{aligned}$$

for $n > 0$, and $\partial_n = 0$ otherwise. Then $B_*(X)_Y = (B_n(X)_Y, \partial_n)$ is a chain complex (see [1, 2, 4, 5]).

Let $D_n(X)_Y$ be the subgroup of $B_n(X)_Y$ generated by the elements of

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\{ (y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), (x, h), q_{i+2}, \dots, q_n) \mid \begin{array}{l} y \in Y, x \in X, g, h \in G \\ q_1, \dots, q_n \in Q \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, gh), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\ -(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\ -((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, g), (x, h), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \end{array} \mid \begin{array}{l} y \in Y, x \in X, \\ g, h \in G, \\ q_1, \dots, q_n \in Q \end{array} \right\}.$$

We remark that

$$(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, e), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\ &+ ((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, g), (x, g^{-1}), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \end{aligned}$$

belong to $D_n(X)_Y$.

Lemma 4.1. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\partial_n(D_n(X)_Y) \subset D_{n-1}(X)_Y$. Thus $D_*(X)_Y = (D_n(X)_Y, \partial_n)$ is a subcomplex of $B_*(X)_Y$.

Proof. It is sufficient to show the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_n(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), (x, h), q_{i+2}, \dots, q_n) = 0, \\ & \partial_n(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, gh), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\ & = \partial_n(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\ & \quad + \partial_n((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, g), (x, h), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \end{aligned}$$

in $B_{n-1}(X)_Y/D_{n-1}(X)_Y$. We verify the first equality in the quotient group.

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_n(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), (x, h), q_{i+2}, \dots, q_n) \\ & = (-1)^i(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, h), q_{i+2}, \dots, q_n) \\ & \quad + (-1)^{i+1}(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), q_{i+2}, \dots, q_n) \\ & \quad - (-1)^i((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, g), (x, h), q_{i+2}, \dots, q_n) \\ & \quad - (-1)^{i+1}((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g)) * (x, h), q_{i+2}, \dots, q_n) \\ & = (-1)^i(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, h), q_{i+2}, \dots, q_n) \\ & \quad + (-1)^{i+1}(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, gh), q_{i+2}, \dots, q_n) \\ & \quad - (-1)^{i+1}((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, h), (x, h^{-1}gh), q_{i+2}, \dots, q_n) \\ & = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality follows from

$$((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), (x, h), q_{i+2}, \dots, q_{j-1}) * q_j, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_n) = 0.$$

We verify the second equality in the quotient group.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_n(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, gh), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&= \sum_{j < i} (-1)^j (y, q_1, \dots, q_{j-1}, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j < i} (-1)^j ((y, q_1, \dots, q_{j-1}, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, g), (x, h), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&\quad + (-1)^i (y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j > i} (-1)^j (y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_{j-1}, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j > i} (-1)^j ((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, g), (x, h), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_{j-1}, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j < i} (-1)^j ((y, q_1, \dots, q_{j-1}) * q_j, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j < i} (-1)^j (((y, q_1, \dots, q_{j-1}) * q_j, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, g), (x, h), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&\quad - (-1)^i ((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, gh), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&\quad - \sum_{j > i} (-1)^j ((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, gh), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_{j-1}) * q_j, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&= \partial_n(y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&\quad + \partial_n((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, g), (x, h), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, gh), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&= (((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, g)) * (x, h), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_n)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, gh), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_{j-1}) * q_j, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&= ((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}, (x, g), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_{j-1}) * q_j, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_n) \\
&\quad + (((y, q_1, \dots, q_{i-1}) * (x, g), (x, h), q_{i+1}, \dots, q_{j-1}) * q_j, q_{j+1}, \dots, q_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\partial_n(D_n(X)_Y) \subset D_{n-1}(X)_Y$. \square

We put $C_n(X)_Y = B_n(X)_Y / D_n(X)_Y$. Then $C_*(X)_Y = (C_n(X)_Y, \partial_n)$ is a chain complex. For an abelian group A , we define the cochain complex $C^*(X; A)_Y = \text{Hom}(C_*(X)_Y, A)$. We denote by $H_n(X)_Y$ the n th homology group of $C_*(X)_Y$.

5 Cocycle invariants

Let X be a G -family of quandles, and Y an X -set. Let D be a diagram of an oriented spatial trivalent graph. For an X_Y -coloring $C \in \text{Col}_X(D)_Y$, we define

the weight $w(\chi; C) \in C_2(X)_Y$ at a crossing χ of D as follows. Let χ_1, χ_2 and χ_3 be respectively the under-arcs and the over-arc at a crossing χ such that the normal orientation of χ_3 points from χ_1 to χ_2 . Let R_χ be the region facing χ_1 and χ_3 such that the normal orientations χ_1 and χ_3 point from R_χ to the opposite regions with respect to χ_1 and χ_3 , respectively. Then we define

$$w(\chi; C) = \epsilon(\chi)(C(R_\chi), C(\chi_1), C(\chi_3)),$$

where $\epsilon(\chi) \in \{1, -1\}$ is the sign of a crossing χ . We define a chain $W(D; C) \in C_2(X)_Y$ by

$$W(D; C) = \sum_{\chi} w(\chi; C),$$

where χ runs over all crossings of D .

Lemma 5.1. *The chain $W(D; C)$ is a 2-cycle of $C_*(X)_Y$. Further, for cohomologous 2-cocycles θ, θ' of $C^*(X; A)_Y$, we have $\theta(W(D; C)) = \theta'(W(D; C))$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $W(D; C)$ is a 2-cycle of $C_2(X)_Y$. We denote by $\mathcal{SA}(D)$ the set of curves obtained from D by removing (small neighborhoods of) crossings and vertices. We call a curve in $\mathcal{SA}(D)$ a *semi-arc* of D . We note that a semi-arc is obtained by dividing an over-arc at all crossings. We denote by $\mathcal{SA}(D; \chi)$ the set of semi-arcs incident to χ , where χ is a crossing or a vertex of D .

We define the orientation and the color of a semi-arc by those of the arc including the semi-arc. For a semi-arc α , there is a unique region R_α facing α such that the orientation of α points from the region R_α to the opposite region with respect to α . For a semi-arc α incident to a crossing or a vertex χ , we define

$$\epsilon(\alpha; \chi) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the orientation of } \alpha \text{ points to } \chi, \\ -1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let χ_1, χ_2 be the semi-arcs incident to a crossing χ such that they originate from the under-arcs at χ and that the normal orientation of the over-arc points from χ_1 to χ_2 . Let χ_3, χ_4 be the semi-arcs incident to a crossing χ such that they originate from the over-arc at χ and that the normal orientation of the under-arcs points from χ_3 to χ_4 (see Figure 5). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_2(w(\chi; C)) &= -\epsilon(\chi)(C(R_{\chi_1}), C(\chi_3)) + \epsilon(\chi)(C(R_{\chi_1}), C(\chi_1)) \\ &\quad + \epsilon(\chi)(C(R_{\chi_1}) * C(\chi_1), C(\chi_3)) \\ &\quad - \epsilon(\chi)(C(R_{\chi_1}) * C(\chi_3), C(\chi_1) * C(\chi_3)) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{SA}(D; \chi)} \epsilon(\alpha; \chi)(C(R_\alpha), C(\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{SA}(D; \omega)} \epsilon(\alpha; \omega)(C(R_\alpha), C(\alpha))$ is an element of $D_1(X)_Y$ for a vertex




Figure 5:

ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_2 \left(\sum_{\chi} w(\chi; C) \right) &= \sum_{\chi} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{SA}(D; \chi)} \epsilon(\alpha; \chi)(C(R_\alpha), C(\alpha)) \\
&= \sum_{\chi} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{SA}(D; \chi)} \epsilon(\alpha; \chi)(C(R_\alpha), C(\alpha)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\omega} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{SA}(D; \omega)} \epsilon(\alpha; \omega)(C(R_\alpha), C(\alpha)) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{SA}(D)} ((C(R_\alpha), C(\alpha)) - (C(R_\alpha), C(\alpha))) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

in $C_1(X)_Y$, where χ and ω respectively run over all crossings and vertices of D . \square

We recall that, for $C \in \text{Col}_X(D)_Y$, there is a unique X_Y -coloring $C_{D,E} \in \text{Col}_X(E)$ such that $C|_{\mathcal{A}(D,E)} = C_{D,E}|_{\mathcal{A}(D,E)}$ and $C|_{\mathcal{R}(D,E)} = C_{D,E}|_{\mathcal{A}(R,E)}$ by Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 5.2. *Let D be a diagram of an oriented spatial trivalent graph. Let E be a diagram obtained by applying one of the R1–R6 moves to the diagram D once, where we choose orientations for E which agree with those for D on $\mathcal{A}(D, E)$. For $C \in \text{Col}_X(D)_Y$ and $C_{D,E} \in \text{Col}_X(E)_Y$ such that $C|_{\mathcal{A}(D,E)} = C_{D,E}|_{\mathcal{A}(D,E)}$ and $C|_{\mathcal{R}(D,E)} = C_{D,E}|_{\mathcal{R}(D,E)}$, we have $[W(D; C)] = [W(E; C_{D,E})] \in H_2(X)_Y$.*

Proof. We have the invariance under the R1, R4 and R5 moves, since the difference between $[W(D; C)]$ and $[W(E; C_{D,E})]$ is an element of $D_2(X)_Y$. The invariance under the R2 move follows from the signs of the crossings which appear in the move. We have the invariance under the R3 move, since the difference between $[W(D; C)]$ and $[W(E; C_{D,E})]$ is an image of ∂_3 . We have the invariance under the R6 move, since no crossings appear in the move. \square




Figure 6:

We denote by G_H (resp. G_K) the fundamental group of the exterior of a handlebody-link H (resp. a spatial graph K). When H is represented by K , the groups G_H and G_K are identical. Let D be a diagram of an oriented spatial trivalent graph K . By the definition of an X_Y -coloring C of D , the map $p_G \circ C|_{\mathcal{A}(D)}$ represents a homomorphism from G_K to G , which we denote by $\rho_C \in \text{Hom}(G_K, G)$. For $\rho \in \text{Hom}(G_K, G)$, we define

$$\text{Col}_X(D; \rho)_Y = \{C \in \text{Col}_X(D)_Y \mid \rho_C = \rho\}.$$

For a 2-cocycle θ of $C^*(X; A)_Y$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(D) &:= \{[W(D; C)] \in H_2(X)_Y \mid C \in \text{Col}_X(D)_Y\}, \\ \Phi_\theta(D) &:= \{\theta(W(D; C)) \in A \mid C \in \text{Col}_X(D)_Y\}, \\ \mathcal{H}(D; \rho) &:= \{[W(D; C)] \in H_2(X)_Y \mid C \in \text{Col}_X(D; \rho)_Y\}, \\ \Phi_\theta(D; \rho) &:= \{\theta(W(D; C)) \in A \mid C \in \text{Col}_X(D; \rho)_Y\} \end{aligned}$$

as multisets.

Lemma 5.3. *Let D be a diagram of an oriented spatial trivalent graph K . For $\rho, \rho' \in \text{Hom}(G_K, G)$ such that ρ and ρ' are conjugate, we have $\mathcal{H}(D; \rho) = \mathcal{H}(D; \rho')$ and $\Phi_\theta(D; \rho) = \Phi_\theta(D; \rho')$.*

Proof. Let g_0 be an element of G such that $\rho'(x) = g_0^{-1}\rho(x)g_0$ for any $x \in G_K$. Fix $x_0 \in X$. We set $q_0 := (x_0, g_0)$. Let $f : \text{Col}_X(D; \rho)_Y \rightarrow \text{Col}_X(D; \rho')_Y$ be the bijection defined by $f(C)(x) = C(x) * q_0$ (see Figure 6).

We prove $[W(D; C)] = [W(D; f(C))] \in H_2(X)_Y$ for $C \in \text{Col}_X(D; \rho)_Y$. We assume that spatial trivalent graphs are drawn in $\mathbb{R}^2(\subset S^2)$. Let D' be a diagram obtained from D by putting an oriented loop γ in the outermost region R_∞ so that the loop bounds a disk, where the loop is oriented counterclockwise (see Figure 7). Let C' be the X_Y -coloring of D' defined by $C'(\gamma) = q_0$ and $C' = C$ on $\mathcal{A}(D, D') \cup \mathcal{R}(D, D')$. Then we note that $C'(R'_\infty) = C(R_\infty) * q_0$ for the region R'_∞ surrounded by the loop γ in D' . We deform the diagram D' by using R2, R3 and R5 moves so that the loop passes over all arcs of D exactly once. Then we denote by D'' and $C'' \in \text{Col}_X(D'')_Y$ the resulting diagram and the corresponding X_Y -coloring of D'' , respectively. We obtain the X_Y -coloring $f(C)$ from C'' by removing the loop from D'' , which also implies that f is well-defined.



Figure 7:

Since no crossings increase or decrease when we add or remove the loop γ , we have

$$[W(D; C)] = [W(D'; C')] = [W(D''; C'')] = [W(D; f(C))],$$

where the second equality follows from Lemma 5.2. Then we have $\mathcal{H}(D; \rho) = \mathcal{H}(D; \rho')$ and $\Phi_\theta(D; \rho) = \Phi_\theta(D; \rho')$. \square

We denote by $\text{Conj}(G_K, G)$ the set of conjugacy classes of homomorphisms from G_K to G . By Lemma 5.3, $\mathcal{H}(D; \rho)$ and $\Phi_\theta(D; \rho)$ are well-defined for $\rho \in \text{Conj}(G_K, G)$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let D be a diagram of an oriented spatial trivalent graph K . Let E be a diagram obtained from D by reversing the orientation of an edge e . For $\rho \in \text{Hom}(G_K, G)$, we have $\mathcal{H}(D) = \mathcal{H}(E)$, $\Phi_\theta(D) = \Phi_\theta(E)$, $\mathcal{H}(D; \rho) = \mathcal{H}(E; \rho)$ and $\Phi_\theta(D; \rho) = \Phi_\theta(E; \rho)$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{H}(D; \rho) = \mathcal{H}(E; \rho)$. We define a bijection $f : \text{Col}_X(D; \rho)_Y \rightarrow \text{Col}_X(E; \rho)_Y$ by $f(C)(\alpha) = (p_X(C(\alpha)), p_G(C(\alpha))^{-1})$ if α is an arc originates from the edge e , $f(C)(\alpha) = C(\alpha)$ otherwise. We remark that $\rho_{f(C)} = \rho_C = \rho$. The map f is well-defined, since $z_1 * (x, g) = z_2$ is equivalent to $z_2 * (x, g^{-1}) = z_1$. Then we have $w(\chi; C) = w(\chi; f(C))$ for every crossing χ , since we have

$$\begin{aligned} (y, (x_1, g_1), (x_2, g_2)) &= -(y * (x_1, g_1), (x_1, g_1^{-1}), (x_2, g_2)) \\ &= -(y * (x_2, g_2), (x_1, g_1) * (x_2, g_2), (x_2, g_2^{-1})) \\ &= ((y * (x_1, g_1)) * (x_2, g_2), (x_1, g_1^{-1}) * (x_2, g_2), (x_2, g_2^{-1})) \end{aligned}$$

in $C_2(X)_Y$ (see Figure 8). Then we have $\mathcal{H}(D; \rho) = \mathcal{H}(E; \rho)$. \square

By Lemma 5.4, $\mathcal{H}(D)$, $\Phi_\theta(D)$, $\mathcal{H}(D; \rho)$ and $\Phi_\theta(D; \rho)$ are well-defined for a diagram D of an unoriented spatial trivalent graph, which is a diagram of a handlebody-link. For a diagram D of a handlebody-link H , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{\text{hom}}(D) &:= \{\mathcal{H}(D; \rho) \mid \rho \in \text{Hom}(G_H, G)\}, \\ \Phi_\theta^{\text{hom}}(D) &:= \{\Phi_\theta(D; \rho) \mid \rho \in \text{Hom}(G_H, G)\}, \\ \mathcal{H}^{\text{conj}}(D) &:= \{\mathcal{H}(D; \rho) \mid \rho \in \text{Conj}(G_H, G)\}, \\ \Phi_\theta^{\text{conj}}(D) &:= \{\Phi_\theta(D; \rho) \mid \rho \in \text{Conj}(G_H, G)\} \end{aligned}$$




Figure 8:

as “multisets of multisets”. We remark that, for X_Y -colorings C and $C_{D,E}$ in Lemma 5.2, we have $\rho_C = \rho_{C_{D,E}}$. Then, by Lemmas 5.1–5.4, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *Let X be a G -family of quandles, Y an X -set. Let θ be a 2-cocycle of $C^*(X; A)_Y$. Let H be a handlebody-link represented by a diagram D . Then the following are invariants of a handlebody-link H .*

$$\mathcal{H}(D), \quad \Phi_\theta(D), \quad \mathcal{H}^{\text{hom}}(D), \quad \Phi_\theta^{\text{hom}}(D), \quad \mathcal{H}^{\text{conj}}(D), \quad \Phi_\theta^{\text{conj}}(D).$$

We denote the invariants of H given in Theorem 5.5 by

$$\mathcal{H}(H), \quad \Phi_\theta(H), \quad \mathcal{H}^{\text{hom}}(H), \quad \Phi_\theta^{\text{hom}}(H), \quad \mathcal{H}^{\text{conj}}(H), \quad \Phi_\theta^{\text{conj}}(H),$$

respectively.

Let $\{y\}$ be a trivial X -set. For the trivial 2-cocycle 0 of $C^*(X; A)_{\{y\}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0(H) &= \{0 \mid C \in \text{Col}_X(D)_{\{y\}}\}, \\ \Phi_0^{\text{hom}}(H) &= \{\{0 \mid C \in \text{Col}_X(D; \rho)_{\{y\}}\} \mid \rho \in \text{Hom}(G_H, G)\}, \\ \Phi_0^{\text{conj}}(H) &= \{\{0 \mid C \in \text{Col}_X(D; \rho)_{\{y\}}\} \mid \rho \in \text{Conj}(G_H, G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \# \text{Col}_X(H) &:= \# \text{Col}_X(D)_{\{y\}}, \\ \# \text{Col}_X^{\text{hom}}(H) &:= \{\# \text{Col}_X(D; \rho)_{\{y\}} \mid \rho \in \text{Hom}(G_H, G)\}, \\ \# \text{Col}_X^{\text{conj}}(H) &:= \{\# \text{Col}_X(D; \rho)_{\{y\}} \mid \rho \in \text{Conj}(G_H, G)\} \end{aligned}$$

are invariants of a handlebody-link H represented by a diagram D , where $\#S$ denotes the cardinality of a multiset S . We remark that these invariants do not depend on the choice of the singleton set $\{y\}$.

We denote by H^* the mirror image of a handlebody-link H . Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. *For a handlebody-link H , we have*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}(H^*) &= -\mathcal{H}(H), & \Phi_\theta(H^*) &= -\Phi_\theta(H), \\ \mathcal{H}^{\text{hom}}(H^*) &= -\mathcal{H}^{\text{hom}}(H), & \Phi_\theta^{\text{hom}}(H^*) &= -\Phi_\theta^{\text{hom}}(H), \\ \mathcal{H}^{\text{conj}}(H^*) &= -\mathcal{H}^{\text{conj}}(H), & \Phi_\theta^{\text{conj}}(H^*) &= -\Phi_\theta^{\text{conj}}(H),\end{aligned}$$

where $-S = \{-a \mid a \in S\}$ for a multiset S .

Proof. Let D be a diagram of a handlebody-link H . We suppose that D is depicted in an xy -plane \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be the involution defined by $\varphi(x, y) = (-x, y)$. Let $\tilde{\varphi} : S^3 \rightarrow S^3$ be the involution defined by $\varphi(x, y, z) = (-x, y, z)$ and $\varphi(\infty) = \infty$, where we regard the 3-sphere S^3 as $\mathbb{R}^3 \cup \{\infty\}$. Then $\varphi(D)$ is a diagram of the handlebody-link $H^* = \tilde{\varphi}(H)$. For $\rho \in \text{Hom}(G_H, G)$ and $C \in \text{Col}_X(D; \rho)_Y$, we have $\tilde{\varphi}_*(\rho) \in \text{Hom}(G_{H^*}, G)$ and $C \circ \varphi \in \text{Col}_X(\varphi(D); \tilde{\varphi}_*(\rho))_Y$, where $\tilde{\varphi}_*$ is the isomorphism induced by $\tilde{\varphi}$. For each crossing χ of D , $\epsilon(\chi) = -\epsilon(\varphi(\chi))$, and hence we have $w(\varphi(\chi), C \circ \varphi) = -w(\chi, C)$. Then $[W(\varphi(D); C \circ \varphi)] = -[W(D; C)]$, which implies the equalities in this theorem. \square

6 Applications

In this section, we calculate cocycle invariants defined in the previous section for the handlebody-knots $0_1, \dots, 6_{16}$ in the table given in [8], by using a 2-cocycle given by Nosaka [17]. This calculation enables us to distinguish some of handlebody-knots from their mirror images, and a pair of handlebody-knots whose complements have isomorphic fundamental groups.

Let $G = SL(2; \mathbb{Z}_3)$ and $X = (\mathbb{Z}_3)^2$. Then X is a G -family of quandles with the proper binary operation as given in Proposition 2.3 (2). Let Y be the trivial X -set $\{y\}$. We define a map $\theta : Y \times (X \times G)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$ by

$$\theta(y, (x_1, g_1), (x_2, g_2)) := \lambda(g_1) \det(x_1 - x_2, x_2(1 - g_2^{-1})),$$

where the abelianization $\lambda : G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$ is given by

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = (a + d)(b - c)(1 - bc).$$

By [17], the map θ is a 2-cocycle of $C^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_3)_Y$. Table 1 lists the invariant $\Phi_\theta^{\text{conj}}(H)$ for the handlebody-knots $0_1, \dots, 6_{16}$. We represent the multiplicity of elements of a multiset by using subscripts. For example, $\{\{0_2, 1_3\}_1, \{0_3\}_2\}$ represents the multiset $\{\{0, 0, 1, 1, 1\}, \{0, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0\}\}$.

	$\Phi_\theta(H)$
0 ₁	$\{\{0_9\}_{76}\}$
4 ₁	$\{\{0_9\}_{83}, \{0_{27}\}_{22}, \{0_{81}\}_{3}\}$
5 ₁	$\{\{0_9\}_{76}\}$
5 ₂	$\{\{0_9\}_{95}, \{0_{27}\}_{6}, \{0_{81}\}_{1}, \{0_9, 1_{18}\}_{4}, \{0_{27}, 1_{54}\}_{2}\}$
5 ₃	$\{\{0_9\}_{102}, \{0_{27}\}_{4}, \{0_{27}, 2_{54}\}_{2}\}$
5 ₄	$\{\{0_9\}_{74}, \{0_{81}\}_{2}\}$
6 ₁	$\{\{0_9\}_{91}, \{0_{27}\}_{16}, \{0_{81}\}_{1}\}$
6 ₂	$\{\{0_9\}_{106}, \{0_{45}, 1_{18}, 2_{18}\}_{2}\}$
6 ₃	$\{\{0_9\}_{74}, \{0_{27}\}_{2}\}$
6 ₄	$\{\{0_9\}_{76}\}$
6 ₅	$\{\{0_9\}_{74}, \{0_9, 1_{18}\}_{2}\}$
6 ₆	$\{\{0_9\}_{72}, \{0_{27}\}_{4}\}$
6 ₇	$\{\{0_9\}_{85}, \{0_{27}\}_{16}, \{0_{81}\}_{3}, \{0_{45}, 1_{18}, 2_{18}\}_{4}\}$
6 ₈	$\{\{0_9\}_{76}\}$
6 ₉	$\{\{0_9\}_{91}, \{0_{27}\}_{6}, \{0_{81}\}_{1}, \{0_9, 1_{18}\}_{6}, \{0_{27}, 1_{54}\}_{2}, \{0_{27}, 2_{54}\}_{2}\}$
6 ₁₀	$\{\{0_9\}_{76}\}$
6 ₁₁	$\{\{0_9\}_{70}, \{0_9, 1_{18}\}_{6}\}$
6 ₁₂	$\{\{0_9\}_{97}, \{0_{81}\}_{1}, \{0_9, 1_{18}\}_{8}, \{0_9, 1_{36}, 2_{36}\}_{2}\}$
6 ₁₃	$\{\{0_9\}_{95}, \{0_{27}\}_{6}, \{0_{81}\}_{1}, \{0_9, 2_{18}\}_{4}, \{0_{27}, 2_{54}\}_{2}\}$
6 ₁₄	$\{\{0_9\}_{119}, \{0_{27}\}_{6}, \{0_{81}\}_{11}, \{0_9, 1_{18}\}_{12}, \{0_{27}, 1_{54}\}_{24}\}$
6 ₁₅	$\{\{0_9\}_{119}, \{0_{27}\}_{6}, \{0_{81}\}_{11}, \{0_9, 2_{18}\}_{12}, \{0_{27}, 1_{54}\}_{24}\}$
6 ₁₆	$\{\{0_9\}_{44}, \{0_{81}\}_{32}\}$

Table 1:

	chirality	M	II	LL	IKO	IIJO
0 ₁	○					
4 ₁	○					
5 ₁	×			✓		
5 ₂	×		✓	✓		✓
5 ₃	×					✓
5 ₄	×				✓	
6 ₁	×	✓				
6 ₂	?					
6 ₃	?					
6 ₄	×			✓		
6 ₅	×					✓
6 ₆	○					
6 ₇	○					
6 ₈	?					
6 ₉	×		✓			✓
6 ₁₀	?					
6 ₁₁	×					✓
6 ₁₂	×					✓
6 ₁₃	×		✓	✓		✓
6 ₁₄	×				✓	✓
6 ₁₅	×				✓	✓
6 ₁₆	○					

Table 2:

From Table 1, we see that our invariant can distinguish the handlebody-knots 6_{14} , 6_{15} , whose complements have the isomorphic fundamental groups. Together with Theorem 5.6, we also see that handlebody-knots 5_2 , 5_3 , 6_5 , 6_9 , 6_{11} , 6_{12} , 6_{13} , 6_{14} , 6_{15} are not equivalent to their mirror images. In particular, the chiralities of 5_3 , 6_5 , 6_{11} and 6_{12} were not known. Table 2 shows us known facts on the chirality of handlebody-knots in [8] so far. In the column of “chirality”, the symbols \bigcirc and \times mean that the handlebody-knot is amphichiral and chiral, respectively, and the symbol $?$ means that it is not known whether the handlebody-knot is amphichiral or chiral. The symbols \checkmark in the right five columns mean that the handlebody-knots can be proved chiral by using the method introduced in the papers corresponding to the columns. Here, M, II, LL, IKO and IIJO denote the papers [16], [7], [14], [9] and this paper, respectively.

7 A generalization

In this section, we show that our invariant is a generalization of the invariant $\Phi_\theta^I(H)$ defined by the first and second authors in [7]. We refer the reader to [7]

for the details of the invariant $\Phi_\theta^I(H)$. We recall the definition of the chain complex for the invariant $\Phi_\theta^I(H)$.

Let X be a \mathbb{Z}_m -family of quandles, Y an X -set. Let $B_n^I(X)_Y$ be the free abelian group generated by the elements of $Y \times X^n$ if $n \geq 0$, and let $B_n^I(X)_Y = 0$ otherwise. We put

$$((y, x_1, \dots, x_i) *^j x, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) := (y *^j x, x_1 *^j x, \dots, x_i *^j x, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

for $y \in Y$, $x, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_m$. We define a boundary homomorphism $\partial_n : B_n^I(X)_Y \rightarrow B_{n-1}^I(X)_Y$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n(y, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (y, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i ((y, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) *^1 x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

for $n > 0$, and $\partial_n = 0$ otherwise. Then $B_*^I(X)_Y = (B_n^I(X)_Y, \partial_n)$ is a chain complex. Let $D_n^I(X)_Y$ be the subgroup of $B_n^I(X)_Y$ generated by the elements of

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \{(y, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x, x, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n) \mid y \in Y, x, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X\}$$

and

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} ((y, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) *^j x_i, x_i, \dots, x_n) \mid y \in Y, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X \right\}.$$

Then $D_*^I(X)_Y = (D_n^I(X)_Y, \partial_n)$ is a chain complex.

We put $C_n^I(X)_Y = B_n^I(X)_Y / D_n^I(X)_Y$. Then $C_*^I(X)_Y = (C_n^I(X)_Y, \partial_n)$ is a chain complex. For an abelian group A , we define the cochain complex $C_I^*(X; A)_Y = \text{Hom}(C_*^I(X)_Y, A)$. We denote by $H_n^I(X)_Y$ the n th homology group of $C_*^I(X)_Y$.

Proposition 7.1. *For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$H_n^I(X)_Y \cong H_n(X)_Y.$$

Proof. The homomorphism $f_n : C_n^I(X)_Y \rightarrow C_n(X)_Y$ defined by

$$f_n((y, x_1, \dots, x_n)) = (y, (x_1, 1), \dots, (x_n, 1))$$

is an isomorphism, since the homomorphism $g_n : C_n(X)_Y \rightarrow C_n^I(X)_Y$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &g_n(y, (x_1, s_1), \dots, (x_n, s_n)) \\ &= \sum_{i_1=0}^{s_1-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{s_2-1} \cdots \sum_{i_n=0}^{s_n-1} (\cdots ((y *^{i_1} x_1, x_1) *^{i_2} x_2, x_2) \cdots *^{i_n} x_n, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

is the inverse map of f_n . It is easy to see that $f = \{f_n\}$ is a chain map from $C_*^I(X)_Y$ to $C_*(X)_Y$. Therefore $H_n^I(X)_Y \cong H_n(X)_Y$. \square

For a 2-cocycle θ of $C_1^*(X; A)_Y$, the composition $\theta \circ g_2$ is a 2-cocycle of $C^*(X; A)_Y$, and we have

$$\Phi_\theta^I(H) = \Phi_{\theta \circ g_2}^{\text{hom}}(H),$$

where g_2 is the map defined in Proposition 7.1. Then our invariant is a generalization of the invariant introduced in [7].

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Takefumi Nosaka for valuable discussions about his cocycles.

References

- [1] J. S. Carter, D. Jelsovsky, S. Kamada, L. Langford and M. Saito, *Quandle cohomology and state-sum invariants of knotted curves and surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003) 3947–3989.
- [2] J. S. Carter, D. Jelsovsky, S. Kamada and M. Saito, *Quandle homology groups, their Betti numbers, and virtual knots*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **157** (2001) 135–155.
- [3] J. S. Carter, S. Kamada, and M. Saito, *Geometric interpretations of quandle homology*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **10** (2001), 345–386.
- [4] R. Fenn, C. Rourke and B. Sanderson, *Trunks and classifying spaces*, Appl. Categ. Structures **3** (1995), 321–356.
- [5] R. Fenn, C. Rourke and B. Sanderson, *The rack space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 701–740.
- [6] A. Ishii, *Moves and invariants for knotted handlebodies*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **8** (2008), 1403–1418.
- [7] A. Ishii and M. Iwakiri, *Quandle cocycle invariants for spatial graphs and knotted handlebodies*, Canad. J. Math. **64** (2012), 102–122.
- [8] A. Ishii, K. Kishimoto, H. Moriuchi and M. Suzuki, *A table of genus two handlebody-knots up to six crossings*, to appear in J. Knot Theory Ramifications
- [9] A. Ishii, K. Kishimoto and M. Ozawa, *Knotted handle decomposing spheres for handlebody-knots*, preprint.
- [10] Y. Jang and K. Oshiro, *Symmetric quandle colorings for spatial graphs and handlebody-links*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications.

- [11] D. Joyce, *A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **23** (1982) 37–65.
- [12] S. Kamada, *Quandles with good involutions, their homologies and knot invariants*, in: Intelligence of Low Dimensional Topology 2006, Eds. J. S. Carter et. al., pp. 101–108, World Scientific Publishing Co., 2007.
- [13] S. Kamada and K. Oshiro, *Homology groups of symmetric quandles and cocycle invariants of links and surface-links*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010) 5501–5527.
- [14] J. H. Lee and S. Lee, *Inequivalent handlebody-knots with homeomorphic complements*, preprint.
- [15] S. V. Matveev, *Distributive groupoids in knot theory*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **119(161)** (1982) 78–88.
- [16] M. Motto, *Inequivalent genus 2 handlebodies in S^3 with homeomorphic complement*, Topology Appl. **36** (1970), 283–290.
- [17] T. Nosaka, *Quandle cocycles from invariant theory*, preprint.
- [18] C. Rourke and B. Sanderson, *There are two 2-twist-spun trefoils*. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.65.3250>