POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR THREE-POINT INTEGRAL BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEMS FOR SECOND-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

FAOUZI HADDOUCHI, SLIMANE BENAICHA

ABSTRACT. We investigate the existence of positive solutions to the nonlinear second-order three-point integral boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \ 0 < t < T,$$

$$u(0)=\beta u(\eta),\ u(T)=\alpha \int_0^\eta u(s)ds,$$

where $0<\eta< T,\, 0<\alpha<\frac{2T}{\eta^2},\, 0\leq\beta<\frac{2T-\alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T}$ are given constants. We show the existence of at least one positive solution if f is either superlinear or sublinear by applying Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem in cones.

1. Introduction

The study of the existence of solutions of multipoint boundary value problems for linear second-order ordinary differential equations was initiated by II'in and Moiseev [5]. Then Gupta [2] studied three-point boundary value problems for nonlinear second-order ordinary differential equations. Since then, nonlinear second-order three-point boundary value problems have also been studied by several authors. We refer the reader to [1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 21] and the references therein.

Tariboon and Sitthiwirattham [20] proved the existence of positive solutions for the three-point boundary-value problem with integral condition

$$u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \ t \in (0,1), \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(0) = 0, \ u(1) = \alpha \int_0^{\eta} u(s)ds,$$
 (1.2)

where $0 < \eta < 1$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{2}{\eta^2}$.

This paper is concerned with the existence of positive solutions of the equation

$$u''(t) + a(t)f(u(t)) = 0, \ t \in (0, T), \tag{1.3}$$

with the three-point integral boundary condition

$$u(0) = \beta u(\eta), \ u(T) = \alpha \int_0^{\eta} u(s)ds, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta \ge 0$, $\eta \in (0, T)$ are given constants. Clearly if $\beta = 0$ and T = 1, then (1.4) reduces to (1.2). The purpose of this paper is to give some results for existence

1

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 34B15, 34C25, 34B18.

Key words and phrases. Positive solutions; Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem; Three-point boundary value problems; Cone.

for positive solutions to (1.3)-(1.4), assuming that $0 < \alpha < \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$, $0 \le \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha \eta^2}{\alpha \eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$ and f is either superlinear or sublinear.

Our results extend and complete those obtained by J. Tariboon and T. Sitthiwirattham [20]. On the other hand, we point out that the proof of the last part in the sublinear case ($f_{\infty} = 0$) of Theorem 3.1 in [20] is not correct since it is based on an inequality which is not true. We give a new proof, which is different from that of Theorem 3.1 in [20], and obtain an extended result.

Set

$$f_0 = \lim_{u \to 0^+} \frac{f(u)}{u}, \ f_\infty = \lim_{u \to \infty} \frac{f(u)}{u}.$$
 (1.5)

Then $f_0 = 0$ and $f_{\infty} = \infty$ correspond to the superlinear case, and $f_0 = \infty$ and $f_{\infty} = 0$ correspond to the sublinear case. By the positive solution of (1.3)-(1.4) we mean that function u(t) is positive on 0 < t < T and satisfies the problem (1.3)-(1.4).

Throughout this paper, we assume the following hypotheses:

- (H1) $f \in C([0, \infty), [0, \infty)).$
- (H2) $a \in C([0,T],[0,\infty))$ and there exists $t_0 \in [\eta,T]$ such that $a(t_0) > 0$.

The following theorem (Krasnoselskii's fixed-point theorem), will play an important role in the proof of our main results.

Theorem 1.1 ([6]). Let E be a Banach space, and let $K \subset E$ be a cone. Assume Ω_1 , Ω_2 are open bounded subsets of E with $0 \in \Omega_1$, $\overline{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$, and let

$$A: K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \backslash \Omega_1) \longrightarrow K$$

be a completely continuous operator such that either

- (i) $||Au|| \le ||u||$, $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$, and $||Au|| \ge ||u||$, $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$; or
- (ii) $||Au|| \ge ||u||$, $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$, and $||Au|| \le ||u||$, $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$

hold. Then A has a fixed point in $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

2. Preliminaries

To prove the main existence results we will employ several straightforward lemmas. These lemmas are based on the linear boundary-value problem.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\beta \neq \frac{2T - \alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$. Then for $y \in C([0,T],\mathbb{R})$, the problem

$$u''(t) + y(t) = 0, \ t \in (0, T), \tag{2.1}$$

$$u(0) = \beta u(\eta), \ u(T) = \alpha \int_0^{\eta} u(s)ds, \tag{2.2}$$

has a unique solution

$$u(t) = \frac{\beta(2T - \alpha\eta^{2}) - 2\beta(1 - \alpha\eta)t}{(\alpha\eta^{2} - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^{2} - 2T)} \int_{0}^{\eta} (\eta - s)y(s)ds + \frac{\alpha\beta\eta - \alpha(\beta - 1)t}{(\alpha\eta^{2} - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^{2} - 2T)} \int_{0}^{\eta} (\eta - s)^{2}y(s)ds + \frac{2(\beta - 1)t - 2\beta\eta}{(\alpha\eta^{2} - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^{2} - 2T)} \int_{0}^{T} (T - s)y(s)ds - \int_{0}^{t} (t - s)y(s)ds.$$

Proof. From (2.1), we have

$$u(t) = u(0) + u'(0)t - \int_0^t (t - s)y(s)ds.$$
 (2.3)

Integrating (2.3) from 0 to η , where $\eta \in (0,T)$, we have

$$\int_0^{\eta} u(s)ds = u(0)\eta + u'(0)\frac{\eta^2}{2} - \int_0^{\eta} (\int_0^{\tau} (\tau - s)y(s)ds)d\tau$$
$$= u(0)\eta + u'(0)\frac{\eta^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)^2 y(s)ds.$$

Since

$$u(T) = u(0) + u'(0)T - \int_0^T (T - s)y(s)ds \text{ and}$$
$$u(\eta) = u(0) + u'(0)\eta - \int_0^\eta (\eta - s)y(s)ds.$$

By (2.2), from $u(0) = \beta u(\eta)$, we have

$$(\beta - 1)u(0) + \eta \beta u'(0) = \beta \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)y(s)ds,$$

and from $u(T) = \alpha \int_0^{\eta} u(s) ds$, we have

$$(1 - \alpha \eta)u(0) + (T - \alpha \frac{\eta^2}{2})u'(0) = \int_0^T (T - s)y(s)ds - \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_0^\eta (\eta - s)^2 y(s)ds.$$

Therefore,

$$u(0) = \frac{\beta(2T - \alpha\eta^2)}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)y(s)ds$$
$$-\frac{2\beta\eta}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^T (T - s)y(s)ds$$
$$+\frac{\alpha\beta\eta}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)^2 y(s)ds,$$

$$u'(0) = \frac{2(\beta - 1)}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^T (T - s)y(s)ds - \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)^2 y(s)ds - \frac{2\beta(1 - \alpha\eta)}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)y(s)ds,$$

from which it follows that

$$u(t) = \frac{\beta(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - 2\beta(1 - \alpha\eta)t}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)y(s)ds$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha\beta\eta - \alpha(\beta - 1)t}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)^2 y(s)ds$$

$$+ \frac{2(\beta - 1)t - 2\beta\eta}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^T (T - s)y(s)ds - \int_0^t (t - s)y(s)ds.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $0 < \alpha < \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$, $0 \le \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha \eta^2}{\alpha \eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$. If $y \in C([0, T], [0, \infty))$, then the unique solution u of (2.1)-(2.2) satisfies $u(t) \ge 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. From the fact that $u''(t) = -y(t) \le 0$, we have that the graph of u(t) is concave down on (0,T) and

$$\int_0^{\eta} u(s)ds \ge \frac{\eta}{2}(u(0) + u(\eta)). \tag{2.4}$$

Combining (2.2) with (2.4), we get

$$u(T) \ge \frac{\alpha(\beta+1)\eta}{2}u(\eta). \tag{2.5}$$

Since the graph of u is concave down, we get

$$\frac{u(\eta) - u(0)}{\eta} \ge \frac{u(T) - u(0)}{T}.$$

Combining this with (2.2) and (2.5), we obtain

$$(1-\beta)\frac{u(\eta)}{\eta} \ge \frac{\alpha(\beta+1)\eta - 2\beta}{2T}u(\eta).$$

If u(0)<0, then $u(\eta)<0$. It implies $\beta\geq\frac{2T-\alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T}$, a contradiction to $\beta<\frac{2T-\alpha\eta^2}{\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T}$. If u(T)<0, then $u(\eta)<0$, and the same contradiction emerges. Thus, it is true

If u(T) < 0, then $u(\eta) < 0$, and the same contradiction emerges. Thus, it is true that $u(0) \ge 0$, $u(T) \ge 0$, together with the concavity of u(t), we have $u(t) \ge 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\alpha > \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$, $\beta \geq 0$. If $y \in C([0,T],[0,\infty))$, then the problem (2.1)-(2.2) has no positive solutions.

Proof. Suppose that problem (2.1)-(2.2) has a positive solution u satisfying $u(t) \ge 0$, $t \in [0, T]$.

If u(T) > 0, then $\int_0^{\eta} u(s)ds > 0$. It implies

$$u(T) = \alpha \int_0^{\eta} u(s)ds > \frac{2T}{\eta^2} \frac{\eta}{2} (u(0) + u(\eta)) = \frac{T(\beta + 1)u(\eta)}{\eta} \ge \frac{Tu(\eta)}{\eta},$$

that is

$$\frac{u(T)}{T} > \frac{u(\eta)}{\eta},$$

which is a contradiction to the concavity of u.

If u(T) = 0, then $\int_0^{\eta} u(s)ds = 0$, this is $u(t) \equiv 0$ for all $t \in [0, \eta]$. If there exists $t_0 \in (\eta, T)$ such that $u(t_0) > 0$, then $u(0) = u(\eta) < u(t_0)$, a violation of the concavity of u. Therefore, no positive solutions exist.

Lemma 2.4. Let $0 < \alpha < \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$, $0 \le \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha \eta^2}{\alpha \eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$. If $y \in C([0,T],[0,\infty))$, then the unique solution u of (2.1)-(2.2) satisfies

$$\min_{t \in [\eta, T]} u(t) \ge \gamma ||u||, \ ||u|| = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |u(t)|, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\gamma := \min \left\{ \frac{\eta}{T}, \frac{\alpha(\beta+1)\eta^2}{2T}, \frac{\alpha(\beta+1)\eta(T-\eta)}{2T - \alpha(\beta+1)\eta^2} \right\} \in (0,1).$$
 (2.7)

Proof. We divide the proof into three cases. Set $u(t_1) = ||u||$.

Case 1. If $\eta \leq t_1 \leq T$ and $\min_{t \in [\eta, T]} u(t) = u(\eta)$, then the concavity of u implies that

$$\frac{u(\eta)}{\eta} \ge \frac{u(t_1)}{t_1} \ge \frac{u(t_1)}{T}.$$

Thus,

$$\min_{t \in [\eta, T]} u(t) \ge \frac{\eta}{T} ||u||.$$

Case 2. If $\eta \le t_1 \le T$ and $\min_{t \in [\eta, T]} u(t) = u(T)$, then (2.2), (2.4) and the concavity of u implies

$$u(T) = \alpha \int_0^{\eta} u(s)ds \ge \frac{\alpha(\beta+1)\eta^2}{2} \frac{u(\eta)}{\eta}$$

$$\ge \frac{\alpha(\beta+1)\eta^2}{2} \frac{u(t_1)}{t_1}$$

$$\ge \frac{\alpha(\beta+1)\eta^2}{2} \frac{u(t_1)}{T}.$$

This implies that

$$\min_{t \in [\eta, T]} u(t) \ge \frac{\alpha(\beta + 1)\eta^2}{2T} \|u\|.$$

Case 3. If $t_1 \leq \eta < T$, then $\min_{t \in [\eta, T]} u(t) = u(T)$. Using the concavity of u and (2.2), (2.4), we obtain

$$u(t_1) \leq u(T) + \frac{u(T) - u(\eta)}{T - \eta} (t_1 - T)$$

$$\leq u(T) + \frac{u(T) - u(\eta)}{T - \eta} (0 - T)$$

$$\leq u(T) \left[1 - T \frac{1 - \frac{2}{\alpha(\beta + 1)\eta}}{T - \eta} \right]$$

$$= \frac{2T - \alpha(\beta + 1)\eta^2}{\alpha(\beta + 1)\eta(T - \eta)} u(T),$$

from which it follows that

$$\min_{t \in [\eta,T]} u(t) \geq \frac{\alpha(\beta+1)\eta(T-\eta)}{2T - \alpha(\beta+1)\eta^2} \|u\|.$$

Summing up, we have

$$\min_{t \in [\eta, T]} u(t) \ge \gamma ||u||,$$

where

$$\gamma := \min \left\{ \frac{\eta}{T}, \frac{\alpha(\beta+1)\eta^2}{2T}, \frac{\alpha(\beta+1)\eta(T-\eta)}{2T - \alpha(\beta+1)\eta^2} \right\}.$$

This completes the proof.

3. Existence of positive solutions

Now we are in the position to establish the main result.

Theorem 3.1. Assume (H1) and (H2) hold, and $0 < \alpha < \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$, $0 \le \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha \eta^2}{\alpha \eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$. Then the problem (1.3)-(1.4) has at least one positive solution in the case

- (i) $f_0 = 0$ and $f_{\infty} = \infty$ (superlinear), or
- (ii) $f_0 = \infty$ and $f_\infty = 0$ (sublinear).

Proof. It is known that $0 < \alpha < \frac{2T}{\eta^2}$, $0 \le \beta < \frac{2T - \alpha \eta^2}{\alpha \eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T}$. From Lemma 2.1, u is a solution to the boundary value problem (1.3)-(1.4) if and only if u is a fixed point of operator A, where A is defined by

$$Au(t) = \frac{\beta(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - 2\beta(1 - \alpha\eta)t}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha\beta\eta - \alpha(\beta - 1)t}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)^2 a(s)f(u(s))ds$$

$$+ \frac{2(\beta - 1)t - 2\beta\eta}{(\alpha\eta^2 - 2T) - \beta(2\eta - \alpha\eta^2 - 2T)} \int_0^T (T - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds$$

$$- \int_0^t (t - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds.$$

Denote

$$K = \left\{ u/u \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}), u \ge 0, \min_{t \in [\eta, T]} u(t) \ge \gamma ||u|| \right\},\,$$

where γ is defined in (2.7). It is obvious that K is a cone in $C([0,T],\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4, $AK \subset K$. It is also easy to check that $A: K \to K$ is completely continuous.

Superlinear case. $f_0 = 0$ and $f_{\infty} = \infty$. Since $f_0 = 0$, we may choose $H_1 > 0$ so that $f(u) \le \epsilon u$, for $0 < u \le H_1$, where $\epsilon > 0$ satisfies

$$\epsilon \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)ds \le 1.$$

Thus, if we let

$$\Omega_1 = \{ u \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) : ||u|| < H_1 \},$$

then, for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$, we get

$$\begin{array}{lll} Au(t) & \leq & \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha\eta)t-\beta(2T-\alpha\eta^2)}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & + \frac{\alpha(\beta-1)t-\alpha\beta\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta-s)^2a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & + \frac{2\beta\eta-2(\beta-1)t}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{T} (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & \leq & \frac{2\beta T+\alpha\beta\eta^2}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & + \frac{\alpha\beta T}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta-s)^2a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & + \frac{2\beta\eta+2T}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{T} (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & \leq & \frac{2T(\beta+1)+\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2)}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{T} (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & + \frac{\alpha\beta T}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{T} (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & \leq & \frac{2T(\beta+1)+\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2)}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{T} (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & \leq & \frac{2(\beta+1)+T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2)+\alpha\beta T}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{T} T(T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & \leq & \epsilon \|u\| \frac{2(\beta+1)+T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2)+\alpha\beta T}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{T} T(T-s)a(s)ds \\ & \leq & \|u\|. \end{array}$$

Thus $||Au|| \le ||u||$, $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_1$.

Further, since $f_{\infty} = \infty$, there exists $\widehat{H}_2 > 0$ such that $f(u) \geq \rho u$ for $u \geq \widehat{H}_2$, where $\rho > 0$ is chosen so that

$$\rho\gamma\frac{2\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)}\int_{\eta}^{T}(T-s)a(s)ds\geq 1.$$

Let $H_2 = \max\{2H_1, \frac{\widehat{H}_2}{\gamma}\}$ and $\Omega_2 = \{u \in C([0,T],\mathbb{R}) : ||u|| < H_2\}$. Then $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_2$ implies that

$$\min_{t \in [\eta, T]} u(t) \ge \gamma ||u|| = \gamma H_2 \ge \widehat{H}_2,$$

and so,

$$Au(\eta) = \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha\eta)\eta - \beta(2T-\alpha\eta^2)}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ + \frac{\alpha(\beta - 1)\eta - \alpha\beta\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)^2a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ + \frac{2\beta\eta - 2(\beta - 1)\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ - \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ = \frac{2\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ - \frac{\alpha\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta^2 - 2\eta s + s^2)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ - \frac{2T-\alpha\eta^2}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ = \frac{2\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ + \frac{\alpha\eta^2}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} sa(s)f(u(s))ds \\ + \frac{2T}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} sa(s)f(u(s))ds \\ - \frac{\alpha\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ - \frac{2T\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ = \frac{2\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ + \frac{2(T-\eta)}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} sa(s)f(u(s))ds \\ + \frac{\alpha\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} sa(s)f(u(s))ds \\ + \frac{\alpha\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} f(T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\tau} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{\eta} (T-s)a(s)ds \\ \geq \frac{2\eta\rho\gamma}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 -$$

Hence, $||Au|| \ge ||u||$, $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$. By the first part of Theorem 1.1, A has a fixed point in $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$ such that $H_1 \le ||u|| \le H_2$. This completes the superlinear part of the theorem.

Sublinear case. $f_0 = \infty$ and $f_\infty = 0$. Since $f_0 = \infty$, choose $H_3 > 0$ such that $f(u) \ge Mu$ for $0 < u \le H_3$, where M > 0 satisfies

$$M\gamma \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^{T} (T - s)a(s)ds \ge 1.$$

Let $\Omega_3 = \{u \in C([0,T],\mathbb{R}) : ||u|| < H_3\}$, then for $u \in K \cap \partial\Omega_3$, we get

$$Au(\eta) = \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T (T - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds$$

$$- \frac{\alpha\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)^2 a(s)f(u(s))ds$$

$$- \frac{2T - \alpha\eta^2}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds$$

$$\ge \frac{2\eta}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T - s)a(s)f(u(s))ds$$

$$\ge \frac{2\eta M}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T - s)a(s)u(s)ds$$

$$\ge M\gamma \frac{2\eta \|u\|}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_{\eta}^T (T - s)a(s)ds$$

$$\ge \|u\|.$$

Thus $||Au|| \ge ||u||$, $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_3$. Now, since $f_{\infty} = 0$, there exists $\widehat{H}_4 > 0$ so that $f(u) \le \lambda u$ for $u \ge \widehat{H}_4$, where $\lambda > 0$ satisfies

$$\lambda \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T - s)a(s)ds \le 1.$$

We consider two cases:

Case (i). Suppose f is bounded, say $f(u) \leq N$ for all $u \in [0, \infty)$. Choosing $H_4 \geq \max\{2H_3, \frac{N}{\lambda}\}$. For $u \in K$ with $||u|| = H_4$, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} Au(t) & = & \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha\eta)t-\beta(2T-\alpha\eta^2)}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & + \frac{\alpha(\beta-1)t-\alpha\beta\eta}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{\eta} (\eta-s)^2a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & + \frac{2\beta\eta-2(\beta-1)t}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{T} (T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & - \int_0^t (t-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & \leq & \frac{2(\beta+1)+T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2)+\alpha\beta T}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{T} T(T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds \\ & \leq & N \frac{2(\beta+1)+T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2)+\alpha\beta T}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{T} T(T-s)a(s)ds \\ & \leq & H_4 \lambda \frac{2(\beta+1)+T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2)+\alpha\beta T}{(2T-\alpha\eta^2)-\beta(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)} \int_0^{T} T(T-s)a(s)ds \\ & \leq & H_4, \end{array}$$

and therefore $||Au|| \le ||u||$.

Case (ii). If f is unbounded, then we know from $f \in C([0,\infty),[0,\infty))$ that there is H_4 : $H_4 \ge \max\{2H_3,\frac{\hat{H}_4}{\alpha}\}$ such that

$$f(u) \le f(H_4) \text{ for } u \in [0, H_4].$$

Then for $u \in K$ and $||u|| = H_4$, we have

$$Au(t) \leq \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)f(u(s))ds$$

$$\leq \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)f(H_4))ds$$

$$\leq H_4\lambda \frac{2(\beta+1) + T^{-1}\beta\eta(\alpha\eta+2) + \alpha\beta T}{(2T - \alpha\eta^2) - \beta(\alpha\eta^2 - 2\eta + 2T)} \int_0^T T(T-s)a(s)ds$$

$$\leq H_4 = ||u||.$$

Therefore, in either case we may set

$$\Omega_4 = \{ u \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}) : ||u|| < H_4 \},$$

and for $u \in K \cap \partial \Omega_4$ we may have $||Au|| \leq ||u||$. By the second part of Theorem 1.1, it follows that A has a fixed point in $K \cap (\overline{\Omega}_4 \setminus \Omega_3)$ such that $H_3 \leq ||u|| \leq H_4$. This completes the sublinear part of the theorem. Therefore, the problem (1.3)-(1.4) has at least one positive solution.

4. Some examples

In this section, in order to illustrate our result, we consider some examples.

Example 4.1. Consider the boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + tu^p = 0, \quad 0 < t < 2, \tag{4.1}$$

$$u(0) = \frac{1}{2}u(\frac{3}{2}), \quad u(2) = \int_0^{\frac{3}{2}} u(s)ds.$$
 (4.2)

Set $\beta=1/2$, $\alpha=1$, $\eta=3/2$, T=2, a(t)=t, $f(u)=u^p$. We can show that $0<\alpha=1<16/9=2T/\eta^2$, $0<\beta=1/2<7/13=(2T-\alpha\eta^2)/(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)$. Now we consider the existence of positive solutions of the problem (4.1), (4.2) in two cases

Case 1: p > 1. In this case, $f_0 = 0$, $f_{\infty} = \infty$ and (i) holds. Then (4.1), (4.2) has at least one positive solution.

Case 2: $p \in (0,1)$. In this case, $f_0 = \infty$, $f_\infty = 0$ and (ii) holds. Then ((4.1), (4.2) has at least one positive solution.

Example 4.2. Consider the boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + t^2 u^2 \ln(1+u) = 0, \quad 0 < t < \frac{3}{4},$$
 (4.3)

$$u(0) = \frac{1}{10}u(\frac{1}{4}), \quad u(\frac{3}{4}) = 20\int_0^{\frac{1}{4}} u(s)ds.$$
 (4.4)

Set $\beta=1/10$, $\alpha=20$, $\eta=1/4$, T=3/4, $a(t)=t^2$, $f(u)=u^2\ln{(1+u)}$. We can show that $0<\alpha=20<24=2T/\eta^2$, $0<\beta=1/10<1/9=(2T-\alpha\eta^2)/(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)$. Through a simple calculation we can get $f_0=0$ and $f_\infty=\infty$. Thus, by the first part of Theorem 3.1, we can get that the problem (4.3), (4.4)) has at least one positive solution.

Example 4.3. Consider the boundary value problem

$$u''(t) + e^{t} \frac{\sin u + \ln(1+u)}{u^{2}} = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{4.5}$$

$$u(0) = u(\frac{1}{3}), \quad u(1) = 2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{3}} u(s)ds.$$
 (4.6)

Set $\beta=1$, $\alpha=2$, $\eta=1/3$, T=1, $a(t)=e^t$, $f(u)=(\sin u+\ln{(1+u)})/u^2$. We can show that $0<\alpha=2<18=2T/\eta^2$, $0<\beta=1<8/7=(2T-\alpha\eta^2)/(\alpha\eta^2-2\eta+2T)$. Through a simple calculation we can get $f_0=\infty$ and $f_\infty=0$. Thus, by the second part of Theorem 3.1, we can get that the problem (4.5), (4.6)) has at least one positive solution.

References

- [1] Z. Chengbo; Positive solutions for semi-positone three-point boundary value problems, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **228** (2009), 279-286.
- [2] C. P. Gupta; Solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problem for a second order ordinary differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 168 (1992), 540-551.
- [3] Y. Guo, W. Ge; Positive solutions for three-point boundary value problems with dependence on the first order derivative, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 290 (2004), 291-301.
- [4] X. Han, Positive solutions for a three-point boundary value problem, Nonlinear Anal. 66 (2007), 679-688.
- [5] V. A. Il'in, E. I. Moiseev; Nonlocal boundary-value problem of the first kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator in its differential and finite difference aspects, Differ. Equ. 23 (1987), 803-810.
- [6] M. A. Krasnoselskii; Positive Solutions of Operator Equations, P. Noordhoff, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1964.
- [7] S. Liang, L. Mu; Multiplicity of positive solutions for singular three-point boundary value problems at resonance, Nonlinear Anal. 71 (2009), 2497-2505.
- [8] R. Liang, J. Peng, J. Shen; Positive solutions to a generalized second order three-point boundary value problem, Appl. Math. Compt. 196 (2008), 931-940.
- [9] J. Li, J. Shen; Multiple positive solutions for a second-order three-point boundary value problem, Appl. Math. Compt. 182 (2006), 258-268.
- [10] B. Liu; Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem, Appl. Math. Comput. 132 (2002), 11-28.
- [11] B. Liu; Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem, Comput. Math. Appl. 44 (2002), 201-211.
- [12] B. Liu, L. Liu, Y. Wu; Positive solutions for singular second order three-point boundary value problems, Nonlinear Anal. 66 (2007), 2756-2766.
- [13] H. Luo, Q. Ma; Positive solutions to a generalized second-order three-point boundary-value problem on time scales, Electron. J. Differen. Equat. 2005(2005), No. 17, 1-14.
- [14] R. Ma; Multiplicity of positive solutions for second-order three-point boundary value problems, Comput. Math. Appl. 40 (2000), 193-204.
- [15] R. Ma; Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary-value problem, Electron. J. Differen. Equat. 1999(1999), No. 34, 1-8.
- [16] R. Ma; Positive solutions for second-order three-point boundary value problems, Appl. Math. Lett. 14 (2001), 1-5.
- [17] H. Pang, M. Feng, W. Ge; Existence and monotone iteration of positive solutions for a three-point boundary value problem, Appl. Math. Lett 21 (2008), 656-661.

- [18] Y. Sun, L. Liu, J. Zhang, R. P. Agarwal; Positive solutions of singular three-point boundary value problems for second-order differential equations, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 230 (2009), 738-750.
- [19] H. Sun, W. Li; Positive solutions for nonlinear three-point boundary value problems on time scales, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 299 (2004), 508-524.
- [20] J. Tariboon, T. Sitthiwirattham; Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point integral boundary value problem, Bound. Val. Prob. 2010 (2010), ID 519210, 11 pages, doi:10.1155/2010/519210.
- [21] X. Xu; Multiplicity results for positive solutions of some semi-position three-point boundary value problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 291 (2004), 673-689.

Faouzi Haddouchi, Department of Physics, University of Sciences and Technology of Oran, El Mnaouar, BP 1505, 31000 Oran, Algeria

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: fhaddouchi@gmail.com}$

Slimane Benaicha, Department of Mathematics, University of Oran, Es-senia, 31000 Oran, Algeria

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: slimanebenaicha@yahoo.fr}$