

In the name of Allah, the Beneficent, the Merciful.

A particular case of the Jacobian conjecture

Ural Bekbaev

Turin Polytechnic University in Tashkent,

INSPEM, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

e-mail: bekbaev2011@gmail.com, u.bekbaev@polito.uz

Abstract

A particular case of the Jacobian conjecture is considered and for small dimensional cases a computational approach is offered.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 13F25, 30B10, 16W60.

Key words: polynomial map, Jacobian conjecture, inverse polynomial map.

In this paper we want to show an application of a result from [1] and offer a computational approach, in small dimensional cases, to the Jacobian conjecture.

Let F stand for the field of real or complex numbers, $\varphi : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ and $f : F^n \rightarrow F^{n'}$ be any polynomial maps. In such case one can attach to f the following sequence of polynomial maps $\Phi_m : F^n \rightarrow F^{n'}$ defined by the recurrent formula $\Phi_{m+1}(f) = \Phi_m(f) - \Phi_m(f) \circ \varphi$, where $\Phi_0(f) = f$ and \circ stands for the composition (superposition) operation. It is not difficult to see that

$$\Phi_m(f) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} f \circ \varphi^{\circ k}$$

Proposition 1. *The following properties of Φ_m are evident:*

1. $\Phi_m(\lambda f) = \lambda \Phi_m(f)$ for any $\lambda \in F$
2. $\Phi_m(f + g) = \Phi_m(f) + \Phi_m(g)$, where $g : F^n \rightarrow F^{n'}$
3. $\Phi_m(f) \circ \varphi = \Phi_m(f \circ \varphi)$
4. $\Phi_m(\Phi_k(f)) = \Phi_{m+k}(f)$

In [1] the following criterion of polynomiality was given.

Proposition 2. *If $\varphi : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ is a polynomial map of the form $\varphi(x) = x +$ "higher order terms" then $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ is a polynomial map whenever $\Phi_m(id) = 0$ for some natural m , where $id : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ is the identity map $id(x) = x$, $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.*

In common case checking polynomiality of $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ by finding explicit expression for $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ in terms of x is not an easy thing. In such cases the the presented criterion may be very helpful.

We don't know if polynomiality of $\varphi(x)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ implies existence of such a natural m for which $\Phi_m(id) = 0$ but if one wants to find a counter example to the Jacobian Conjecture [2], probably such example exists, he can try to find it among such polynomials $\varphi(x) = x +$ "higher order terms" for which the Jacobian determinant is 1 but for it never $\Phi_m(id) = 0$. Moreover according to [2] to prove the Jacobian Conjecture it is enough to prove it for polynomial maps $\varphi(x) : F^n \rightarrow F^n$ of the form $\varphi(x) = x + ((xA_1)^3, (xA_2)^3, \dots, (xA_n)^3)$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ -row vector of independent variables, A_i are the corresponding columns of a matrix $A = (a_j^i)_{i,j=1,2,\dots,n}$. In this paper we follow this direction.

Definition 1. *A polynomial map $f : F^n \rightarrow F$ is said to be φ -invariant if $f \circ \varphi = f$ that is $\Phi_1(f) = 0$.*

Let $I_0(\varphi)$ stand for the set of all such φ -invariant polynomials. It is clear that $\Phi_m(\lambda f) = \lambda \Phi_m(f)$ for any $\lambda(x) \in I_0(\varphi)$

Definition 2. *A polynomial map $f : F^n \rightarrow F$ is said to be nearly φ -invariant if $f \circ \varphi \in f + I_0(\varphi)$ that is $\Phi_2(f) = 0$.*

Let $I_1(\varphi)$ stand for the set of all such nearly φ -invariant polynomials.

Proposition 3. *If $f \in I_1(\varphi)$ then for any natural $m \geq 1$ one has $\Phi_{m+1}(f^m) = 0$.*

Proof. If $f \circ \varphi = f + f_0$, where $f_0 \in I_0(\varphi)$, then $f^m - (f \circ \varphi)^m = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f^i c_i$, where $c_i \in I_0(\varphi)$. Let us prove Proposition 3 by induction on m . Proposition 3 is true at $m = 1$ due to the condition $\Phi_{1+1}(f^1) = 0$ and $\Phi_{m+1}(f^m) = \Phi_m(f^m) - \Phi_m(f^m) \circ \varphi = \Phi_m(f^m - f^m \circ \varphi) = \Phi_m(f^m - (f \circ \varphi)^m) = \Phi_m(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} f^i c_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i \Phi_m(f^i) = 0$ on induction.

The following result is about $n = 2$ and $n = 3$ cases.

Theorem. *If $\varphi(x) = x + ((xA_1)^3, (xA_2)^3)$ or $\varphi(x) = x + ((xA_1)^3, (xA_2)^3, (xA_3)^3)$ and determinant of its Jacobian matrix equals 1 then $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ is a polynomial in x .*

Proof. Here is a proof of $n = 3$ case, $n = 2$ case is more simple. The equality of determinant of its Jacobian matrix to 1 yields in the following system of equalities.

$$\begin{cases} (xA_1)^2 a_1^1 + (xA_2)^2 a_2^2 + (xA_3)^2 a_3^3 = 0 \\ (xA_1)^2 (xA_2)^2 \begin{vmatrix} a_1^1 & a_2^1 \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 \end{vmatrix} + (xA_1)^2 (xA_3)^2 \begin{vmatrix} a_1^1 & a_3^1 \\ a_1^2 & a_3^2 \end{vmatrix} + (xA_2)^2 (xA_3)^2 \begin{vmatrix} a_2^2 & a_3^2 \\ a_2^3 & a_3^3 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \\ (xA_1)^2 (xA_2)^2 (xA_3)^2 \begin{vmatrix} a_1^1 & a_2^1 & a_3^1 \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 & a_3^2 \\ a_1^3 & a_2^3 & a_3^3 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Let r stand for the rank of the matrix A

1-case. If $r = 1$ then, for example $A_1 \neq 0$ and $A_2 = \lambda_2 A_1, A_3 = \lambda_3 A_1$, system of equalities (1) is equivalent to

$$a_1^1 + \lambda_2^2 a_1^2 + \lambda_3^2 a_1^3 = 0$$

, $\varphi(x) = x + (xA_1)^3(1, \lambda_2^3, \lambda_3^3)$, $\Phi_1(id)(x) = -(xA_1)^3(1, \lambda_2^3, \lambda_3^3)$ and $f(x) = xA_1$ is a φ -invariant. It implies that $\Phi_2(id) = 0$ and therefore φ^{-1} is a polynomial map.

2-case. If $r = 2$ then, for example $\{A_1, A_2\}$ is linear independent and $A_3 = \lambda_1 A_1 + \lambda_2 A_2$, system (1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} a_1^1 + \lambda_1^2 a_1^3 = a_2^2 + \lambda_2^2 a_2^3 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 a_3^3 = 0 \\ \begin{vmatrix} a_1^1 & a_2^1 \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 \end{vmatrix} + \lambda_1^2 \begin{vmatrix} a_2^2 & a_3^2 \\ a_2^3 & a_3^3 \end{vmatrix} + \lambda_2^2 \begin{vmatrix} a_1^1 & a_3^1 \\ a_1^2 & a_3^2 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \\ \lambda_2^2 \begin{vmatrix} a_2^2 & a_3^2 \\ a_2^3 & a_3^3 \end{vmatrix} = \lambda_1^2 \begin{vmatrix} a_1^1 & a_3^1 \\ a_1^2 & a_3^2 \end{vmatrix} = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \begin{vmatrix} a_1^1 & a_3^1 \\ a_1^2 & a_3^2 \end{vmatrix} = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \begin{vmatrix} a_2^2 & a_3^2 \\ a_2^3 & a_3^3 \end{vmatrix} = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

2-1-case. If $\lambda_1 = 0$ then (2) is equivalent to $a_1^1 = a_2^2 + \lambda_2^2 a_2^3 = a_2^2(a_1^1 + \lambda_2^2 a_1^3) = 0$.

2-1-1-case. If in addition to 2-1-case $a_2^1 = 0$ then

$$\varphi_1(x) = x_1 + (a_1^2 x_2 + a_1^3 x_3)^3, \varphi_2(x) = x_2 + (a_2^3(-\lambda_2^3 x_2 + x_3))^3, \varphi_3(x) = x_3 + (\lambda_2 a_2^3(-\lambda_2^3 x_2 + x_3))^3$$

This map is of the form $\varphi_1(x) = x_1 + (ax_2 + bx_3)^3, \varphi_2(x) = x_2 + c(-\lambda x_2 + x_3)^3, \varphi_3(x) = x_3 + c\lambda(-\lambda x_2 + x_3)^3$ for which $\Phi_1(id)(x) = -((ax_2 + bx_3)^3, c(-\lambda x_2 + x_3)^3, c\lambda(-\lambda x_2 + x_3)^3), -\lambda x_2 + x_3 \in I_0(\varphi)$ and $f(x) = ax_2 + bx_3 \in I_1(\varphi)$. It implies that at least $\Phi_5(id) = 0$ for this φ and therefore φ^{-1} is a polynomial map.

2-1-2-case. If in addition to 2-1-case $a_2^1 \neq 0$ then

$$\varphi_1(x) = x_1 + (a_1^3(-\lambda_2^3 x_2 + x_3))^3, \varphi_2(x) = x_2 + (x_1 a_2^1 + a_2^3(-\lambda_2^3 x_2 + x_3))^3, \varphi_3(x) = x_3 + \lambda_2^3 (x_1 a_2^1 + a_2^3(-\lambda_2^3 x_2 + x_3))^3$$

This map is of the form

$$\varphi_1(x) = x_1 + a(-\lambda x_2 + x_3)^3, \varphi_2(x) = x_2 + (bx_1 + c(-\lambda x_2 + x_3))^3, \varphi_3(x) = x_3 + \lambda(bx_1 + c(-\lambda x_2 + x_3))^3$$

Once again $-\lambda x_2 + x_3 \in I_0(\varphi)$ and $f(x) = bx_1 \in I_1(\varphi)$, so at least $\Phi_5(id) = 0$ for this φ and therefore φ^{-1} is a polynomial map.

2-2-case. If $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \neq 0$ then (2) is equivalent to $a_3^3 = a_1^1 = a_2^2 = a_1^2 a_2^1 = a_1^3 a_3^1 = a_3^2 a_2^3 = 0$ and there is no such kind polynomial map φ .

References

- [1] Ural Bekbaev. *An inversion formula for multivariate power series.*
arXiv:1203.3834v1 [math. AG] 17 Mar 2012
- [2] Dan Yan, Michiel de Bondt. *Some remarks on the Jacobian conjecture and polynomial endomorphisms.*
arXiv:1203.3609v1 [math. AG] 16 Mar 2012