

FACTORIZATIONS OF ANALYTIC SELF-MAPS OF THE UPPER HALF-PLANE

HARI BERCOVICI AND DAN TIMOTIN

ABSTRACT. We extend a factorization due to Kreĭn to arbitrary analytic functions from the upper half-plane to itself. The factorization represents every such function as a product of fractional linear factors times a function which, generally, has fewer zeros and singularities than the original one. The result is used to construct functions with given zeros and poles on the real line.

1. INTRODUCTION

Denote by $\mathbb{C}^+ = \{x + iy : x \in \mathbb{R}, y > 0\}$ the upper half of the complex plane. We consider the multiplicative structure of the set \mathcal{H} of analytic functions $f: \mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{C}^+}$. We start with a classical result of M. G. Kreĭn [10, Theorem 27.2.1]. Assume that $k \in \mathcal{H}$ is the restriction of a meromorphic function defined on \mathbb{C} with the property that $k(\bar{z}) = \overline{k(z)}$ and, in addition, k has infinitely many positive and infinitely many negative zeros. Denote by $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ the zeros of k , all of which are real and simple, and by $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ all the poles of k , all of which are also real and simple, arranged so that the interval (b_n, a_n) contains no zeros or poles. Then Kreĭn proved that there exist positive constants $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad k(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \frac{z - a_n}{z - b_n}.$$

The constants c_n are needed for convergence of the product, and they can be taken to be equal to b_n/a_n for all but one or two values of n . This result was studied in further detail by Chalendar, Gorkin, and Partington [3], including the cases where there are, for instance, only finitely many negative zeros. Furthermore, [3] addresses the more general situation where f is not meromorphic, but is allowed a finite number of essential singularities on the real line. However the results are not as complete in this more general situation.

Our main result states that any function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ can be written as

$$f = kg,$$

where k is a product of the form (1.1), and $g \in \mathcal{H}$ has the following additional property: if $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is any interval such that g extends continuously to a real-valued function on I , then the extension is positive on I . This factorization is of interest only for functions f which do extend to a real function on a nonempty open subset Ω of \mathbb{R} . When the complement of this set Ω has linear measure equal to zero, the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary:30H15.

HB was supported in part by grants from the National Science Foundation. DT was supported in part by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0119.

factor g is a positive constant, and this extends Kreĭn's theorem as well as the factorization results in [3]. In particular, we see in Section 4 under what conditions we can prescribe the real zeros and poles of a function in \mathcal{H} .

Our factorization is closely related to results of Aronszajn and Donoghue [1]. These authors also consider the multiplicative structure of \mathcal{H} starting with the observation that $\log f \in \mathcal{H}$ provided that $f \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$. A result essentially equivalent to Kreĭn's theorem is stated in [1, page 331]. The emphasis in these works is however not on factorization into linear fractional factors. We also refer to Donoghue's book [5] for information about the class \mathcal{H} , and Section 2 of [6] for a brisk review of the basic results concerning this class.

2. KREĬN PRODUCTS

We now describe in more detail the products k needed in our results. Given a proper open interval $J \subset \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, there exists a unique conformal automorphism $p_J \in \mathcal{H}$ such that p_J is negative precisely on J , and $|p_J(i)| = 1$. Explicitly, if $J = (b, a)$ with $b < a$ is a finite interval, then

$$p_J(z) = \frac{|i - b|}{|i - a|} \cdot \frac{z - a}{z - b}.$$

If $J = (-\infty, a)$, then

$$p_J(z) = \frac{z - a}{|i - a|}.$$

If J is the complement of a closed interval $\overline{J'}$, where J' is of the preceding two types, then

$$p_J = -\frac{1}{p_{J'}}.$$

We also agree that $p_\emptyset(z) = 1$ and $p_{\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}}(z) = -1$.

In order to simplify the notation of intervals in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, we set

$$(b, a) = (b, \infty) \cup \{\infty\} \cup (-\infty, a)$$

when $b > a$.

Consider now an arbitrary open subset $O \subset \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, and write it as a union of pairwise disjoint open intervals

$$O = \bigcup_{0 \leq n < N} J_n, \quad J_n = (b_n, a_n), \quad 0 \leq n < N,$$

where $N \in \{0, 1, \dots, \infty\}$. Denote by X the closure of the set $\{b_n : 0 \leq n < N\}$ in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. The function

$$k_O(z) = \prod_{0 \leq n < N} p_{J_n}$$

is called the *Kreĭn product* associated with the set O .

We collect in the following statement the basic properties of Kreĭn products. The proofs are similar to the ones available in the classical case where $\sup_n b_n = +\infty$ and $\inf_n b_n = -\infty$. We sketch these arguments for the reader's convenience.

Proposition 2.1. *Consider an open set $O = \bigcup_{0 \leq n < N} (b_n, a_n) \subset \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, where the intervals (b_n, a_n) are pairwise disjoint. Denote by X the closure of the set $\{b_n : 0 \leq n < N\}$ in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$.*

- (1) The product k_O converges uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^+ , and it defines a function in \mathcal{H} .
- (2) The function k_O continues analytically to $(\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus X$.
- (3) The function $k_O|_{(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus X}$ is real-valued. More precisely, $k_O(x) < 0$ for $x \in O$ and $k_O(x) > 0$ for $x \in (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \overline{O}$.
- (4) If $a_n \notin X$ for some n , then a_n is a simple zero of k_O .
- (5) If b_n is an isolated point of X for some n , then b_n is a simple pole of k_O .
- (6) Let $O_1, O_2 \subset \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be two open sets such that the symmetric difference $O_1 \triangle O_2$ has linear Lebesgue measure equal to zero. Then $k_{O_1} = k_{O_2}$.
- (7) If φ is a conformal automorphism of \mathbb{C}^+ , then $k_{\varphi^{-1}(O)} = ck_O \circ \varphi$, where $c = 1/|k_O(\varphi(i))| > 0$.

Proof. The convergence in (1) only needs to be discussed when $N = \infty$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, the argument $\arg p_{J_n}(z)$ is equal to the angle at z subtended by the interval (b_n, a_n) , and therefore the sum of these arguments converges to a number $\leq \pi$. Since $|p_{(b_n, a_n)}| = 1$, we conclude that the infinite product $k_O(i)$ converges and $|k_O(i)| = 1$. Convergence elsewhere in \mathbb{C}^+ can be deduced via a normal family argument. Indeed, the partial products $p_{(b_0, a_0)} p_{(b_1, a_1)} \cdots p_{(b_n, a_n)}$ form a normal family in \mathbb{C}^+ , and any limit point f of this family is such that $|f(i)| = 1$ and $\arg f(z)$ equals the angle at z subtended by the set O . This determines the function f completely, hence f is the limit (uniform on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^+) of these partial products.

To verify (2) and (3), we use the alternative formula $k_O = e^v$, where

$$v(z) = \int_O \frac{1+tz}{t-z} \cdot \frac{dt}{1+t^2}.$$

Indeed, $\Re v(i) = 0$, while

$$\Im v(z) = \Im z \int_O \frac{dt}{|t-z|^2}, \quad z \notin \overline{O}.$$

When $\Im z > 0$, this integral equals precisely the angle at z subtended by O . The function v is analytic on $(\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \overline{O}$, and it is real-valued on $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \overline{O}$. Thus $k_O(x)$ is positive on this last set. The same argument shows that $k_O/p_{(a_n, b_n)}$ can be continued analytically across the interval (b_n, a_n) , and the continuation is positive on this interval. We deduce that k_O can also be continued across (b_n, a_n) , and the continuation is negative on this interval.

Properties (4) and (5) follow from the fact that $k_O/p_{(b_n, a_n)}$ is analytic and positive at a_n if $a_n \notin X$, and it is analytic and positive at b_n if $b_n \notin X$.

To prove (6), observe that $\arg k_{O_1}(z) = \arg k_{O_2}(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. It follows that k_{O_1}/k_{O_2} is constant, and the constant is 1, as can be seen by evaluating the quotient at $z = i$.

For (7), observe that $p_{\varphi^{-1}(J)}/p_J \circ \varphi$ is a positive constant (depending on J) for every interval J . It follows that $k_{\varphi^{-1}(J)}/k_J \circ \varphi$ is a positive constant as well. \square

Part (6) of the preceding proposition indicates how a Kreĩn product can be modified to minimize the number of factors. To make this precise, we define the *Lebesgue regularization* of an open set O to consist of all points $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for which there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \setminus O$ has Lebesgue measure equal to zero. Thus, the Lebesgue regularization of O is the largest open set $O_1 \supset O$ such that the Lebesgue measure of $O_1 \setminus O$ is equal to zero, and therefore we have $k_O = k_{O_1}$.

For instance,

$$k_{(1,2)\cup(2,3)} = p_{(1,3)}.$$

More generally, the Lebesgue regularization of a union $\bigcup_n (b_n, a_n)$ of subintervals of (b, a) is equal to (b, a) if $a - b = \sum_n (a_n - b_n)$. Thus, $k_{\mathbb{R}\setminus C} = -1$ if C denotes the usual Cantor ternary set.

An open set O is said to be *Lebesgue regular* if it equals its Lebesgue regularization.

3. THE NEVANLINNA REPRESENTATION

The additive structure of the class \mathcal{H} is described by the Nevanlinna representation. Given $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and a finite, positive Borel measure ρ on \mathbb{R} , the function

$$(3.1) \quad f(z) = \alpha z + \beta + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+zt}{t-z} d\rho(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

belongs to \mathcal{H} . Conversely, every function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ can be represented under this form. The constants α, β and the measure ρ are uniquely determined by the function f . For instance,

$$\alpha = \lim_{y \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(iy)}{iy},$$

while ρ is the weak limit as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ of the measures

$$d\rho_\varepsilon(t) = \frac{\Im f(t+i\varepsilon)}{\pi(1+t^2)} dt.$$

We denote by $\sigma(f)$ the closed support of the measure ρ , to which we add ∞ if $\alpha > 0$ or the support is unbounded. If the set $\Omega(f) = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \sigma(f)$ is not empty, then the formula (3.1) defines an analytic function in $(\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \sigma(f)$ which takes real values on $\Omega(f)$. Conversely, if $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval such that f can be extended to a continuous function on J , then $J \subset \Omega(f)$. The isolated points of $\sigma(f)$ are simple poles of f . Observe that

$$f'(z) = \alpha + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+t^2}{(t-z)^2} d\rho(t),$$

and this shows that f is an increasing function on any interval in \mathbb{R} disjoint from $\sigma(f)$. In particular, the zeros of f in such an interval must be simple.

A few simple illustrations will help clarify these notions. The function $f(z) = z+i$ belongs to \mathcal{H} , and it is the restriction to \mathbb{C}^+ of an entire function. However, this entire function is not real-valued at any point of \mathbb{R} , and therefore $\sigma(f) = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. The measure ρ corresponding to this function is the Cauchy distribution

$$d\rho(t) = \frac{dt}{\pi(1+t^2)}.$$

A more interesting example is the function

$$f(z) = z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1},$$

where the square root is taken to be positive for $z > 1$, for which we have $\sigma(f) = [-1, 1] \cup \{\infty\}$. For this example we have

$$-\frac{1}{f(z)} = \sqrt{z^2 - 1} - z,$$

and $\sigma(-1/f) = [-1, 1]$. For a Kreĭn factor p_J , where $J = (b, a)$ is a proper interval, we have $\sigma(p_J) = \{b\}$.

For any function $f \in \mathcal{H}$, the limit

$$f(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f(t + i\varepsilon)$$

exists for almost every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (relative to Lebesgue measure). Moreover, the absolutely continuous part of the measure ρ in the representation (3.1) is equal to

$$\frac{\Im f(t) dt}{\pi(1+t^2)}.$$

In particular, the measure ρ is singular relative to Lebesgue measure if and only if $f(x)$ is real for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

The following result was proved by G. Letac [9]; the case of measures with finite support is due to Boole [2].

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that the function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is given by*

$$f(z) = z + \beta + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+zt}{t-z} d\rho(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

where ρ is singular relative to Lebesgue measure. Then the map f preserves Lebesgue measure, that is, the Lebesgue measure of the set

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \in (c, d)\}$$

equals $d - c$ when $-\infty < c < d < \infty$.

We will actually require an equivalent result, first proved by Hruščëv and Vinogradov [8]. We include the simple derivation from Theorem 3.1. Given a finite, positive, measure μ on \mathbb{R} , singular with respect to Lebesgue measure, the Cauchy transform

$$G_\mu(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{z-t}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

has real limit values

$$G_\mu(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} G_\mu(x + i\varepsilon)$$

for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Here is the result of [8]; the special case of measures with finite support is due again to Boole [2].

Theorem 3.2. *Let μ be a finite, positive measure on \mathbb{R} , singular with respect to Lebesgue measure. For every $y > 0$, the Lebesgue measure of the sets*

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} : G_\mu(x) > y\}, \quad \{x \in \mathbb{R} : G_\mu(x) < -y\}$$

is equal to $\mu(\mathbb{R})/y$.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case when μ is a probability measure. The function $f(z) = 1/G_\mu(z)$ is easily seen to belong to \mathcal{H} , and $f(x)$ is real for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, since

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} iyG_\mu(iy) = \mu(\mathbb{R}) = 1,$$

we deduce that Theorem 3.1 applies to f . The result follows now immediately because, for instance,

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} : G_\mu(x) > y\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \in (0, 1/y)\}. \quad \square$$

We need an easy consequence of this result.

Corollary 3.3. *Assume that the function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is given by (3.1), and the restriction of the measure ρ to an interval J is nonzero but singular relative to Lebesgue measure. Then the function f is not essentially bounded below or above on the interval J .*

Proof. Denote by μ the restriction of the measure $(1+t^2)d\rho(t)$ to the interval J . Then the function $f(z) + G_\mu(z)$ can be continued analytically across the interval J , thus $f(x) + G_\mu(x)$ is bounded on any compact subset of J . The corollary follows now immediately from Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.4. *For every $f \in \mathcal{H}$, the set*

$$\Gamma(f) = \{x \in \Omega(f) : f(x) < 0\}$$

is Lebesgue regular.

Proof. Assume to the contrary that there exists $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Gamma(f)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the interval $J = (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ is contained almost everywhere in $\Gamma(f)$, but $J \cap \sigma(f) \neq \emptyset$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is given by (3.1), it follows that the restriction of ρ to J is nonzero, and this restriction is singular as well since its support is a closed set of Lebesgue measure equal to zero. Corollary 3.3 implies that f is not essentially bounded above on J , contrary to the assumption that $f < 0$ almost everywhere on J . \square

Proposition 2.1 describes the sets $\sigma(f)$, $\Omega(f)$, and $\Gamma(f)$ in the case of a Krein product. We state the result for further use.

Proposition 3.5. *Consider a Lebesgue-regular open set $O = \bigcup_{0 \leq n < N} (b_n, a_n) \subset \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, where the intervals (b_n, a_n) are pairwise disjoint. Denote by X the closure of the set $\{b_n : 0 \leq n < N\}$ in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then*

- (1) $\sigma(k_O) = X$;
- (2) $\Gamma(k_O) = O$;
- (3) *the real poles of k_O are precisely the points b_n which are isolated in X ;*
- (4) *a point a_n is in $\sigma(k_O)$ precisely when $a_n \in X$, otherwise a_n is a simple zero.*

4. FACTORIZATION

We begin by considering factors of the form p_J .

Lemma 4.1. *Consider a nonzero function $f \in \mathcal{H}$, and an interval $J \subset \Omega(f)$ such that $f(x) < 0$ for all $x \in J$. Then there exists a function $g \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f = p_J g$.*

Proof. Replacing the function f by $f \circ \varphi$ for some conformal automorphism of \mathbb{C}^+ , we may assume that $J = (-\infty, 0)$, so that we need to prove that the function $f(z)/z$ belongs to \mathcal{H} . Since

$$\frac{f(z)}{z} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{f(z - \varepsilon)}{z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

and \mathcal{H} is closed under pointwise limits, we may also assume that $\sigma(f) \subset [\varepsilon, +\infty)$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, and $f(0) < 0$. Thus f can be written as

$$f(z) = \alpha z + \beta + \int_{[\varepsilon, +\infty)} \frac{1+zt}{t-z} d\rho(t), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

for some $\alpha > 0, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and some finite, positive, Borel measure ρ on $[\varepsilon, +\infty)$. We have then

$$f(0) = \beta + \int_{[\varepsilon, +\infty)} \frac{1}{t} d\rho(t) < 0,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(z)}{z} &= \alpha + \left[\beta + \int_{[\varepsilon, +\infty)} \frac{1}{t} d\rho(t) \right] \frac{1}{z} + \int_{[\varepsilon, +\infty)} \left[\frac{1+zt}{z(t-z)} - \frac{1}{zt} \right] d\rho(t) \\ &= \alpha + \left[\beta + \int_{[\varepsilon, +\infty)} \frac{1}{t} d\rho(t) \right] \frac{1}{z} + \int_{[\varepsilon, +\infty)} \frac{1+t^2}{t(t-z)} d\rho(t), \end{aligned}$$

and it is clear that this function has nonnegative imaginary part for $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. \square

Our main factorization result follows. Recall that $\Omega(f) = (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \sigma(f)$ and $\Gamma(f) = \{x \in \Omega(f) : f(x) < 0\}$.

Theorem 4.2. *For every nonzero function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists $g \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f = k_{\Gamma(f)}g$. The function g has the following properties.*

- (1) $\sigma(g) \subset \sigma(f)$.
- (2) $g(x) > 0$ for every $x \in \Omega(g)$.
- (3) The set $\Omega(g)$ is Lebesgue regular.
- (4) $\Omega(f) = \Omega(k_{\Gamma(f)}) \cap \Omega(g)$.

Proof. Denote by $(J_n)_{0 \leq n < N}$ the connected components of $\Gamma(f)$, so that

$$k_{\Gamma(f)} = \prod_{0 \leq n < N} p_{J_n}.$$

We first verify by induction that the function

$$g_k = f / \prod_{0 \leq n < k} p_{J_n}$$

belongs to \mathcal{H} for every finite $k \leq N$. The case $k = 0$ is vacuously verified since $g_0 = f$. The function g_k has the property that $J_{k+1} \subset \Gamma(g_k)$, and thus the fact that $g_{k+1} \in \mathcal{H}$ follows by an application of Lemma 4.1. If N is finite, we are done showing that $g \in \mathcal{H}$. If N is infinite, we have $g(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$, and we conclude again that $g \in \mathcal{H}$.

Assume next that $x \in \Omega(f)$. If $f(x) > 0$ then x is at positive distance from $\Gamma(f)$, and therefore the product $k_{\Gamma(f)}$ converges on a neighborhood of x , and it takes positive values at real points close to x . (This argument also works for $x = \infty$ with the usual interpretation of the word ‘close’.) It follows that $x \in \Omega(g)$. If $f(x) < 0$ then $k_{\Gamma(f)}$ is again analytic in a neighborhood of x , and it takes negative values at real points close to x . We conclude again that $x \in \Omega(g)$. If $f(x) = 0$, it follows that, for some $\varepsilon > 0$, $(x - \varepsilon, x) \subset \Gamma(f)$ and $(x, x + \varepsilon) \cap \Gamma(f) = \emptyset$. In particular, f has a simple zero at x . In this case $k_{\Gamma(f)}$ also has a simple zero at x , and the ratio $g = f/k_{\Gamma(f)}$ is positive in $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ and analytic near x . In particular, $x \in \Omega(g)$. This verifies property (1).

The above argument also shows that $g(x) > 0$ for every $x \in \Omega(f)$. Assume now that $x \in \Omega(g) \setminus \Omega(f)$ and $g(x) < 0$. Since $k_{\Gamma(f)} = f/g$, we deduce that we have $x \in \sigma(k_{\Gamma(f)})$, and therefore x is an accumulation point of a sequence of endpoints of some intervals J_n . Since g is positive on each J_n , we deduce $g(x) \geq 0$,

a contradiction. Thus we must have $g(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in \Omega(g)$. However, when a function g in \mathcal{H} vanishes at some point $x \in \Omega(g)$, the function g must change sign in a neighborhood of that point. This proves (2).

Property (3) follows from Corollary 3.4 applied to the function $-1/g$.

The inclusion $\Omega(f) \supset \Omega(k_{\Gamma(f)}) \cap \Omega(g)$ is obvious. Conversely, observe that any point $a \in \Omega(f)$ such that $f(a) = 0$ is a simple zero of f and of $k_{\Gamma(f)}$. Indeed, there is an interval $(b, a) \subset \Gamma(f)$, and a is isolated in $\partial\Gamma(f)$. Moreover, $k_{\Gamma(f)}$ is analytic and real in a neighborhood of a . It follows that the quotient $g = f/k_{\Gamma(f)}$ is analytic and real on a neighborhood of a . It is also clear that f and $k_{\Gamma(f)}$ are analytic and nonzero in the neighborhood of any point $a \in \Omega(f)$ such that $f(a) \neq 0$. Thus both $k_{\Gamma(f)}$ and g are analytic and real on $\Omega(f)$, thus verifying the opposite inclusion. \square

The preceding result is most effective when $\sigma(f)$ is small.

Corollary 4.3. *Assume that $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\sigma(f)$ has Lebesgue measure equal to zero. Then we have $f = ck_{\Gamma(f)}$ for some positive constant c .*

Proof. Consider the factorization $f = k_{\Gamma(f)}g$ provided by the preceding theorem. The set $\Omega(g)$ is Lebesgue regular, and hence $\Omega(g) = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ because its complement has measure zero. The Nevanlinna representation of g shows then that g is a constant function, and the constant is positive by Theorem 4.2(2). \square

The preceding corollary recovers Kreĭn's original factorization result, as well as the extensions considered in [3]. Note that the measure ρ in the Nevanlinna representations of the functions considered in [3] is a discrete measure whose support has only finitely many accumulation points. Our corollary covers functions for which the support of ρ is an arbitrary closed set of Lebesgue measure zero, for instance the ternary Cantor set.

5. FUNCTIONS g POSITIVE ON $\Omega(g)$

In this section we analyze more carefully the factor g in the decomposition $f = k_{\Gamma(f)}g$. The characteristic properties of these functions are that $\Omega(g)$ is Lebesgue regular, and $g(x) > 0$ for $x \in \Omega(g)$. Such a function can then be written as $g = e^h$ for some function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$0 < \Im h(z) < \pi$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^+$. Moreover, the function h can be continued analytically across $\Omega(g)$, and $h(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for $x \in \Omega(g)$. Conversely, g can be continued analytically across $\Omega(h)$, and $g(x) > 0$ for $x \in \Omega(h)$. We conclude that $\Omega(h) = \Omega(g)$ and $\sigma(h) = \sigma(g)$. These facts imply that the Nevanlinna representation of the function h is of the form

$$h(z) = \gamma + \int_{\sigma(g)} \frac{1+zt}{t-z} \cdot \frac{\psi(t)}{1+t^2} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\psi : \sigma(g) \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is a measurable function, and there is no open interval J such that $\psi(t) = 1$ almost everywhere on J . The fact that $\sigma(h) = \sigma(g)$ amounts to saying that the support of the measure $\psi(t)dt$ is equal to $\sigma(g)$ or, equivalently since $\Omega(g)$ is Lebesgue regular, $\psi(t) > 0$ for almost every $t \in \sigma(g)$.

Conversely, assume that $\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a closed set such that $\mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma$ is Lebesgue regular, and $\varphi : \sigma \rightarrow (0, 1]$ is measurable. We can then define a function $v \in \mathcal{H}$ by setting

$$v(z) = \int_{\sigma} \frac{1+zt}{t-z} \cdot \frac{\varphi(t)}{1+t^2} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+.$$

This function satisfies

$$\Im v(z) = \Im z \int_{\sigma} \frac{\varphi(t)}{|t-z|^2} dt \leq \Im z \int_{\sigma} \frac{dt}{|t-z|^2} \leq \Im z \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{|t-z|^2} = \pi.$$

Moreover, $\Gamma(g) = \emptyset$ provided that φ is not equal to 1 almost everywhere on any open interval.

We summarize these observations below.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $f \in \mathcal{H}$. There exist a constant $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and a measurable function $\psi : \sigma(f) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that*

$$f(z) = k_{\Gamma(f)}(z)e^{h(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

where $h \in \mathcal{H}$ is defined by

$$h(z) = \gamma + \int_{\sigma(f)} \frac{1+zt}{t-z} \cdot \frac{\psi(t)}{1+t^2} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+.$$

Conversely, given a closed set $\sigma \subset \mathbb{R}$, an open subset $O \subset (\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus \sigma$, and a measurable function $\varphi : \sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that φ is not equal to 1 almost everywhere on any open interval, the function

$$k_O(z)e^{v(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

where v is defined by

$$v(z) = \int_{\sigma} \frac{1+zt}{t-z} \cdot \frac{\varphi(t)}{1+t^2} dt, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+,$$

belongs to the class \mathcal{H} , and $e^{v(t)} > 0$ for every $t \in \Gamma(e^v)$.

Proof. We only need to verify the last assertion. Recall that the argument of $k_O(z)$ equals the angle subtended by the set Γ at z , that is

$$\Im z \int_O \frac{dt}{|t-z|^2}.$$

As shown above, the argument of $e^{v(z)}$ is at most

$$\Im z \int_{\sigma} \frac{dt}{|t-z|^2},$$

and the sum of these two numbers is at most π because $\sigma \cap O = \emptyset$. It follows that the argument of $k_{\Gamma}e^v$ is at most equal to π , and therefore this function belongs to \mathcal{H} . \square

6. INTERPOLATION

A natural question arises as to which pairs (Ω, O) of open subsets of $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ are of the form $(\Omega(f), \Gamma(f))$.

Proposition 6.1. *Consider open sets Ω and $O = \bigcup_{0 \leq n < N} (b_n, a_n)$ in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. Denote by X the closure in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ of the set $\{b_n : 0 \leq n < N\}$, and by Ω_1 the Lebesgue regularization of Ω . The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) There exists a function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\Omega(f) = \Omega$ and $\Gamma(f) = O$.
- (2) The sets Ω and O satisfy the following three requirements:
 - (a) $O \subset \Omega$;
 - (b) O is Lebesgue regular;
 - (c) $\Omega = \Omega_1 \setminus X$.

Proof. Assume first $f \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfies (1), and factor $f = k_O g$ for some $g \in \mathcal{H}$. Condition (a) is obviously satisfied. The sets O and $\Omega(g)$ are Lebesgue regular by Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 4.2(3), and

$$\Omega = \Omega(f) = \Omega(g) \cap \Omega(k_O) = \Omega(g) \setminus X.$$

Condition (c) now follows because $\Omega(g) \supset \Omega_1$ by regularity, while $\Omega \cap X = \emptyset$.

Conversely, assume that conditions (a-c) are satisfied, and set $f = k_O g$, where $g = e^v$ and v is defined as

$$v(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega_1} \frac{1-zt}{t-z} \cdot \frac{dt}{1+t^2} \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^+.$$

We have $\Gamma(f) = O$ and $\Omega(g) = \Omega_1$, while $\Omega(k_O) = \mathbb{R} \setminus X$. It is now easy to conclude using Theorem 4.2(4) that

$$\Omega(f) = \Omega(g) \cap \Omega(k_O) = \Omega_1 \cap \Omega(k_O) = \Omega_1 \setminus X = \Omega.$$

We conclude that f satisfies (1). \square

It is easy to construct examples of sets Ω and O satisfying conditions (a-c) of the preceding theorem. Consider, for instance the ternary Cantor set C , obtained by removing 2^{k-1} intervals of length 3^{-k} from the interval $[0, 1]$ for $k \geq 1$, and set $\Omega = \mathbb{R} \setminus C$. Denote by (b_n, c_n) these intervals, and select for each n a point $a_n \in (b_n, c_n)$. Then $O = \bigcup_n (b_n, a_n)$ is a Lebesgue regular open set and k_O has zeros at the points a_n and essential singularities at all the points of C . If we consider instead the union O of all intervals (b_n, c_n) of length 3^{-k} with k even, then k_O has no zeros or poles and it is still singular at all points in C . In general, if $\Omega = \Omega(f)$, $O = \Gamma(f)$, and (b, c) is a connected component of Ω , the intersection $(b, c) \cap O$ can be any interval of the form (b, a) for some $a \in [b, c]$.

The following result can be viewed as an interpolation result which yields, in the special case of a finite set Y , the results of [3]. The set A in the statement is the proposed set of zeros of a function in \mathcal{H} , B is the proposed set of poles, and Y is a set where the function is allowed to be essentially singular. The conditions in assertion (3) could be summarized by saying that the points of A and B are *interlaced* in each component of the complement of Y .

Theorem 6.2. *Consider pairwise disjoint sets $A, B, Y \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that Y is closed, and the limit points of $A \cup B$ belong to Y . The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) There exists a function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $A \subset \{x \in \Omega(f) : f(x) = 0\} \subset A \cup Y$ and $B \subset \sigma(f) \subset B \cup Y$.
- (2) There exists a Lebesgue regular open set $O = \bigcup_{0 \leq n < N} (b_n, a_n)$ such that the intervals (b_n, a_n) are pairwise disjoint, $A \subset \{a_n : 0 \leq n < N\} \subset A \cup Y$, and $B \subset \{b_n : 0 \leq n < N\} \subset B \cup Y$.
- (3) For every connected component J of $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus Y$, the following two conditions are satisfied.
 - (a) If a and a' are two distinct points in $A \cap J$, there is a point $b \in B$ between a and a' .

- (b) If b and b' are two distinct points in $B \cap J$, there is a point $a \in A$ between b and b' .

If these equivalent conditions are satisfied and Y is of Lebesgue measure equal to zero, then every function f satisfying (1) is of the form $f = ck_O$, where $c > 0$ and O is one of the open sets satisfying (2).

Proof. Assume first that f satisfies the conditions in (1), set $O = \Gamma(f)$, and write $O = \bigcup_{0 \leq n < N} (b_n, a_n)$ with pairwise disjoint intervals (b_n, a_n) . Then f has a zero at each point $a \in A$, and therefore $a = a_n$ for some n . On the other hand, if $a \notin A \cup Y$ then f is (at worst) meromorphic in a neighborhood of a and $f(a) \neq 0$, so that $a \neq a_n$ for all n . Similarly, if $b \in B$ then b is isolated in $B \cup Y$, in particular b is isolated in $\sigma(f)$. It follows that b is a pole of f , and therefore $b = b_n$ for some n . Finally, if $b \notin B \cup Y$ then $b \notin \sigma(f)$ so that f is analytic at b . We conclude that $b \neq b_n$ for all n . We have then verified that O satisfies the conditions in (2).

Conversely, assume that O is a Lebesgue regular open set satisfying the conditions in (2), and set $f = k_O$. The set $\sigma(f)$ is the closure of $\{b_n : 0 \leq n < N\}$ and it therefore contained in $B \cup Y$. Every $a \in A$ is of the form $a = a_n$ for some n , and the hypothesis on the set A implies that $a_n \notin B \cup Y$. We conclude that $a_n \in \Omega(f)$ and $f(a_n) = 0$. On the other hand, if $a \notin A \cup Y$ then $a \neq a_n$ for all n and therefore $f(a) \neq 0$ when $a \in \Omega(f)$. This proves the first two inclusions in (1). Similarly, each $b \in B$ is equal to some b_n and it is an isolated point in $\sigma(f)$, thus a pole. Points $b \notin B \cup Y$ belong to $\Omega(f)$, and this verifies the last two inclusions in (1).

We have established the equivalence of (1) and (2). The equivalence of (2) and (3) is easily verified. Indeed, assume that (2) is satisfied, J is a component of the complement of Y , and $a, a' \in A \cap Y$. There are then integers n, m so that $a = a_n$ and $a' = a_m$. Then we have $b_n, b_m \in B \cap Y$, and therefore one of these points is between a and a' . The second condition in (3) is verified similarly.

Conversely, assume that (3) holds. For each connected component (c, d) of the complement of Y there exists then a family $\{(b_i, a_i) : i \in I\}$ of pairwise disjoint intervals contained in (c, d) such that $A \cap (c, d) \supset \{a_i : i \in I\}$, $B \cap (c, d) \supset \{b_i : i \in I\}$, and the sets $(A \cap (c, d)) \setminus \{a_i : i \in I\}$ and $(B \cap (c, d)) \setminus \{b_i : i \in I\}$ contain at most one point each. If $(A \cap (c, d)) \setminus \{a_i : i \in I\} = \{a\}$, then (c, a) is disjoint from (b_i, a_i) for all i . Analogously, if $(B \cap (c, d)) \setminus \{b_i : i \in I\} = b$ then (b, d) is disjoint from all the intervals (b_i, a_i) . The set O is now defined to be the smallest Lebesgue regular open set containing all the intervals (b_i, a_i) as well as the intervals (c, a) and (b, d) when needed.

The last assertion in the statement follows immediately from Corollary 4.3. \square

The special points a, b which appear in the proof of (3) \Rightarrow (2) are the ‘loners’ of [3]. When a loner a exists, the function $f = k_O$ has a pole at $c \in Y$ unless there is also a loner on the other side of c . Similarly, the function f may have a zero at d .

When a component (c, d) of $(\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}) \setminus Y$ contains no points in $A \cup B$, the entire interval (c, d) can be added to the set O . This is the extent of nonuniqueness allowed in the selection of the set O , and thus in the choice of the function f , when Y has zero Lebesgue measure.

The preceding result can be reformulated as an interpolation result for self maps of the unit disk using the conformal map $z \mapsto (z - \zeta)/(z + \bar{\zeta})$ of \mathbb{C}^+ onto the disk \mathbb{D} for some $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^+$. We state a particular case which may be useful in other contexts. We denote by $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$ the unit circle.

Corollary 6.3. *Assume that A, B , and Z are three pairwise disjoint subsets of \mathbb{T} such that Z is closed, the limit points of A and B are contained in Z , and A and B are interlaced on every component of $\mathbb{T} \setminus Z$. Fix $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$. Then there exists an analytic function $\vartheta : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that*

- (1) ϑ can be continued analytically across $\mathbb{T} \setminus Z$;
- (2) $|\vartheta(z)| = 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus Z$;
- (3) $A = \{a \in \mathbb{T} \setminus Z : \vartheta(a) = \alpha\}$ and $B = \{b \in \mathbb{T} \setminus Z : \vartheta(b) = \beta\}$.

When Z has zero linear Lebesgue measure, the function θ can be chosen to be inner.

The case of a finite set Z is considered in [3], while $Z = \emptyset$, corresponding to finite Blaschke products, was studied in [7].

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Aronszajn and W. F. Donoghue, On exponential representations of analytic functions in the upper half-plane with positive imaginary part, *J. Analyse Math.* **5** (1956-57), 321–388.
- [2] G. Boole, On the comparison of transcendents with applications to the theory of definite integrals, *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc.* **147** (1857), 745–803.
- [3] I. Chalendar, P. Gorkin, and J. R. Partington, Determination of inner functions by their value sets on the circle, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **11** (2011), 353–373.
- [4] J. A. Cima, A. L. Matheson, and W. T. Ross, *The Cauchy transform*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 125. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [5] W. F. Donoghue, Jr., *Monotone matrix functions and analytic continuation*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1974.
- [6] F. Gesztesy and E. Tsekanovskii, On matrix-valued Herglotz functions, *Math. Nachr.* **218** (2000), 61–138.
- [7] P. Gorkin and R. Rhoades, Boundary interpolation by finite Blaschke products, *Constr. Approx.* **27** (2008), 75–98.
- [8] V. S. Hruščëv, and S. A. Vinogradov, Free interpolation in the space of uniformly convergent Taylor series, *Complex analysis and spectral theory (Leningrad, 1979/1980)*, pp. 171–213, Lecture Notes in Math., 864, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.
- [9] G. Letac, Which functions preserve Cauchy laws?, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **67** (1977), 277–286.
- [10] B. Ja. Levin, *Lectures on entire functions*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1996.

HB: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405, USA
E-mail address: `bercovic@indiana.edu`

DT: SIMION STOILOW INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY, PO BOX 1-764, BUCHAREST 014700, ROMANIA
E-mail address: `Dan.Timotin@imar.ro`