

RELATIVE SINGULARITY CATEGORIES I: AUSLANDER RESOLUTIONS

MARTIN KALCK AND DONG YANG

Dedicated to Idun Reiten on the occasion of her 70th birthday

ABSTRACT. Let R be an isolated Gorenstein singularity with a non-commutative resolution $A = \text{End}_R(R \oplus M)$. In this paper, we show that the relative singularity category $\Delta_R(A)$ of A has a number of pleasant properties, such as being Hom-finite. Moreover, it determines the classical singularity category $\mathcal{D}_{sg}(R)$ of Buchweitz and Orlov as a certain canonical quotient category. If R has finite CM type, which includes for example Kleinian singularities, then we show the much more surprising result that $\mathcal{D}_{sg}(R)$ determines $\Delta_R(\text{Aus}(R))$, where $\text{Aus}(R)$ is the corresponding Auslander algebra. The proofs of these results use dg algebras, A_∞ Koszul duality, and the new concept of dg Auslander algebras, which may be of independent interest.

Keywords: isolated Gorenstein singularity, non-commutative resolution, singularity category, relative singularity category, dg Auslander algebra.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Derived categories, dg algebras and Koszul duality	7
3. Quotient functors associated with idempotents	18
4. The fractional Calabi–Yau property	20
5. DG-Auslander algebras and relative singularity categories	22
6. Classical vs. relative singularity categories for Gorenstein rings	27
7. Related work	35
Appendix A. DG-Auslander algebras for ADE–singularities	37
References	40

1. INTRODUCTION

Triangulated categories of singularities were introduced and studied by Buchweitz [20] and later also by Orlov [73, 74, 75] who related them to Kontsevich’s Homological Mirror Symmetry Conjecture. They may be seen as a categorical measure

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14B05, 14E15; Secondary 18E30.

M.K. was supported by DFG grant Bu–1866/2–1 and EPSRC grant EP/L017962/1.

D.Y. was supported by the DFG program SPP 1388 (YA297/1-1 and KO1281/9-1), a JSPS post-doctoral fellowship program (P12318) and the National Science Foundation in China No. 11401297.

for the complexity of the singularities of a Noetherian scheme X . If X has only isolated Gorenstein singularities x_1, \dots, x_n , then the singularity category is triangle equivalent to the direct sum of the stable categories of maximal Cohen–Macaulay $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{x_i}$ -modules (up to direct summands) [20, 75].

Starting with Van den Bergh’s works [90, 91], non-commutative analogues of (crepant) resolutions (NC(C)R) of singularities have been studied intensively in recent years. Non-commutative resolutions are useful even if the primary interest lies in commutative questions: for example, the Bondal-Orlov Conjecture concerning derived equivalences between (commutative) crepant resolutions and the derived McKay-Correspondence [17, 53] led Van den Bergh to the notion of an NCCR. Moreover, moduli spaces of quiver representations provide a very useful technique to obtain commutative resolutions from non-commutative resolutions, see e.g. [91, 94].

Inspired by the construction of the singularity category, Burban and the first author introduced and studied the notion of *relative singularity categories* [21]. These categories measure the difference between the derived category of a non-commutative resolution (NCR) [29] and the smooth part $K^b(\text{proj } -R) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -R)$ of the derived category of the singularity. Continuing this line of investigations, this article focuses on the relation between relative and classical singularity categories.

The techniques developed in this article led to a ‘purely commutative’ result: in joint work with Iyama and Wemyss [51], we decompose Iyama & Wemyss’ ‘new triangulated category’ for complete rational surface singularities [46] into blocks of singularity categories of ADE-singularities. Moreover, using relative singularity categories, Van den Bergh & Thanhoffer de Völcsey showed [28] that the stable category of a complete Gorenstein quotient singularity of Krull dimension three is a generalized cluster category [2, 39]. We recover some of their results using quite different techniques. We proceed with a more detailed outline of the results of this article.

1.1. Setup. Let k be an algebraically closed field. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a commutative local complete Gorenstein k -algebra such that $k \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$. Let

$$\text{MCM}(R) = \{ M \in \text{mod } -R \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(M, R) = 0 \text{ for all } i > 0 \} \quad (1.1)$$

be the full subcategory of *maximal Cohen–Macaulay* R -modules. Let $M_0 = R$, M_1, \dots, M_t be pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable MCM R -modules and $A = \text{End}_R(M := \bigoplus_{i=0}^t M_i)$. If $\text{gldim}(A) < \infty$ then A is called a *non-commutative resolution* (NCR) of R (cf. [29]). For example, if R has only finitely many indecomposable MCMs and M denotes their direct sum, then the *Auslander algebra* $\text{Aus}(\text{MCM}(R)) := \text{End}_R(M)$ is an NCR [10, Theorem A.1]. There is a fully faithful triangle functor $K^b(\text{proj } -R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -A)$, whose essential image equals $\text{thick}(eA) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -A)$, where $e \in A$ is the idempotent corresponding to the projection on R .

Definition 1.1. The *relative singularity category* is the Verdier quotient category

$$\Delta_R(A) := \frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -A)}{K^b(\text{proj } -R)} \cong \frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -A)}{\text{thick}(eA)}. \quad (1.2)$$

Definition 1.2. The *classical singularity category* is the Verdier quotient category

$$\mathcal{D}_{sg}(R) := \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -R)/K^b(\text{proj } -R). \quad (1.3)$$

Theorem 1.3 (Buchweitz [20]). $\underline{\text{MCM}}(R) \cong \mathcal{D}_{sg}(R)$ as triangulated categories.

In the sequel, we often use this result to identify these two categories.

1.2. Main Result. It is natural to ask how the two concepts of singularity categories defined above are related. Our main result gives a first answer to this question.

Theorem 6.20. *Let R and R' be MCM–representation finite complete Gorenstein k -algebras with Auslander algebras $A = \text{Aus}(\text{MCM}(R))$ and $A' = \text{Aus}(\text{MCM}(R'))$, respectively. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *There is an equivalence $\underline{\text{MCM}}(R) \cong \underline{\text{MCM}}(R')$ of triangulated categories.*
- (ii) *There is an equivalence $\Delta_R(A) \cong \Delta_{R'}(A')$ of triangulated categories.*

The implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) holds more generally for non-commutative resolutions A and A' of arbitrary isolated Gorenstein singularities R and R' , respectively.

Knörrer’s periodicity theorem [60, 87] yields a wealth of non-trivial examples for (i):

$$\underline{\text{MCM}}(S/(f)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\text{MCM}}(S[[x, y]]/(f + xy)), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\text{char } k \neq 2$, $S = k[[z_0, \dots, z_d]]$, f is a non-zero element in (z_0, \dots, z_d) and $d \geq 0$.

Example 1.4. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[[x]]/(x^2)$ and $R' = \mathbb{C}[[x, y, z]]/(x^2 + yz)$. Knörrer’s equivalence (1.4) in conjunction with Theorem 6.20 above, yields a triangle equivalence $\Delta_R(\text{Aus}(\text{MCM}(R))) \cong \Delta_{R'}(\text{Aus}(\text{MCM}(R')))$, which may be written explicitly as

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}^b \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{pr} \\ \xleftarrow{incl} \end{array} 2 \\ \hline K^b(\text{add } P_1) \end{array} \Big/ (pr \circ incl) \right)}{\sim} \frac{\mathcal{D}^b \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x} \\ \xrightarrow{y} \\ \xleftarrow{y} \\ \xleftarrow{x} \end{array} 2 \\ \hline K^b(\text{add } P_1) \end{array} \Big/ (xy - yx) \right)}{\quad}. \quad (1.5)$$

The quiver algebra on the right is the completion of the preprojective algebra of the Kronecker quiver $\circ \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{x} \\ \xrightarrow{y} \end{array} \circ$. The derived McKay–Correspondence [53, 17] shows that these categories are triangle equivalent to the quotient category

$$\Delta_{R'}(Y) := \frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{Coh } Y)}{\pi^*(\text{Perf}(R'))}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $\pi: Y \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R')$ denotes the minimal resolution of singularities.

1.3. Idea of the proof. We prove Theorem 6.20 by developing a general dg algebra framework. More precisely, to every Hom-finite idempotent complete algebraic triangulated category \mathcal{T} with finitely many indecomposable objects satisfying a certain extra condition (e.g. this holds for $\mathcal{T} = \underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R)$), we associate a dg algebra $\Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T})$ called the *dg Auslander algebra of \mathcal{T}* (Definition 5.3). It is completely determined by the triangulated category \mathcal{T} . Now, using recollements generated by idempotents, Koszul duality and the fractional Calabi–Yau property (1.11), we prove the existence of an equivalence of triangulated categories (Theorem 5.5)

$$\Delta_R\left(\mathbf{Aus}(\mathbf{MCM}(R))\right) \cong \mathbf{per}\left(\Lambda_{dg}(\underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R))\right). \quad (1.7)$$

In particular, this shows that (i) implies (ii). Conversely, written in this language, the quotient functor (1.10), induces an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$\frac{\mathbf{per}\left(\Lambda_{dg}(\underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R))\right)}{\mathcal{D}_{fd}\left(\Lambda_{dg}(\underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R))\right)} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R). \quad (1.8)$$

Since the category $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Lambda_{dg}(\underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R)))$ of dg modules with finite-dimensional total cohomology admits an intrinsic characterization in $\mathbf{per}(\Lambda_{dg}(\underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R)))$, this proves that $\underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R)$ is determined by $\Delta_R(\mathbf{Aus}(\mathbf{MCM}(R)))$. Hence, (ii) implies (i).

Example. Let $R = \mathbb{C}\llbracket z_0, \dots, z_d \rrbracket / (z_0^{n+1} + z_1^2 + \dots + z_d^2)$ be an A_n -singularity of even Krull dimension. Then the graded quiver Q of $\Lambda_{dg}(\underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R))$ is given as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \xleftarrow{\alpha_1} & 2 & \xleftarrow{\alpha_2} & 3 & \xleftarrow{\alpha_3} & \cdots & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{n-2}} & n-1 & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{n-1}} & n \\ \circlearrowleft & \alpha_1^* & \circlearrowleft & \alpha_2^* & \circlearrowleft & \alpha_3^* & & \alpha_{n-2}^* & \circlearrowleft & \alpha_{n-1}^* & \circlearrowleft \\ \rho_1 & & \rho_2 & & \rho_3 & & & & \rho_{n-1} & & \rho_n \end{array}$$

where the broken arrows are concentrated in degree -1 and the remaining generators, i.e. solid arrows and idempotents, are in degree 0 . The continuous k -linear differential $d: \widehat{kQ} \rightarrow \widehat{kQ}$ is completely specified by sending ρ_i to the mesh relation (or preprojective relation) starting in the vertex i , e.g. $d(\rho_2) = \alpha_1\alpha_1^* + \alpha_2^*\alpha_2$.

We include a complete list of (the graded quivers, which completely determine) the dg Auslander algebras for ADE-singularities in all Krull dimensions in the Appendix.

Remark 1.5. The triangle equivalence (1.8) and its proof yield relations to generalized cluster categories [2, 39, 28] and stable categories of special Cohen–Macaulay modules over complete rational surface singularities [96, 46, 51]. Moreover, Bridgeland determined a connected component of the stability manifold of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Lambda_{dg}(\underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R)))$ for ADE-surfaces R [16]. We refer to Section 7 for more details on these remarks.

1.4. General properties of relative singularity categories. In the notations of the setup given in Subsection 1.1 above, we assume that R has an *isolated* singularity and that A is an NCR of R . Let $\underline{A} := A/AeA \cong \underline{\mathbf{End}}_R(M)$ be the corresponding stable

endomorphism algebra. Since R is an isolated singularity, \underline{A} is a finite-dimensional k -algebra. We denote the simple \underline{A} -modules by S_1, \dots, S_t . Then the relative singularity category $\Delta_R(A) = \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)/K^b(\mathbf{proj} - R)$ has the following properties:

- (a) All morphism spaces are finite-dimensional over k (see [28] or Prop. 6.15).
- (b) Let M_{t+1}, \dots, M_s be further indecomposable MCM R -modules and let $A' = \mathbf{End}_R(\bigoplus_{i=0}^s M_i)$. There exists a fully faithful triangle functor (Prop. 6.11)

$$\Delta_R(A) \longrightarrow \Delta_R(A'). \quad (1.9)$$

- (c) $\Delta_R(A)$ is idempotent complete and $K_0(\Delta_R(A)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^t$ (see [21, Thm. 3.2]).
- (d) There is an exact sequence of triangulated categories (see [28] or Prop. 6.13)

$$\mathbf{thick}(S_1, \dots, S_t) = \mathcal{D}_{\underline{A}}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A) \longrightarrow \Delta_R(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{sg}(R), \quad (1.10)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{A}}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)$ denotes the full subcategory consisting of complexes with cohomologies in $\mathbf{mod} - \underline{A}$. Moreover, this subcategory admits an intrinsic description inside $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)$ (see [28] or Cor. 6.18).

- (e) If $\mathbf{add} M$ has d -almost split sequences [45], then $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{A}}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)$ has a Serre functor ν , whose action on the generators S_i is given by

$$\nu^n(S_i) \cong S_i[n(d+1)], \quad (1.11)$$

where $n = n(S_i)$ is given by the length of the τ_d -orbit of M_i (Thm. 4.2).

- (f) In the setup of (e), the sequence in (d) gives a triangle equivalence (Prop. 6.19)

$$\Delta_R(A)_{sg} \cong \mathcal{D}_{sg}(R), \quad (1.12)$$

where $\Delta_R(A)_{sg} = \Delta_R(A)/\Delta_R(A)_{hlf}$ is Orlov's general singularity category construction for arbitrary triangulated categories [74, Definition 1.7].

- (g) If $\mathbf{kr. dim} R = 3$ and $\mathbf{MCM}(R)$ has a cluster-tilting object M , then $C = \mathbf{End}_R(M)$ is a *non-commutative crepant resolution* of R , see [44, Section 5]. If M' is another cluster-tilting object in $\mathbf{MCM}(R)$ and $C' = \mathbf{End}_R(M')$, then $? \otimes_C^{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{Hom}_R(M', M): \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} C) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} C')$ is a triangle equivalence (see *loc. cit.* and [76, Prop. 4]), which is compatible with the embeddings from $K^b(\mathbf{proj} R)$ [76, Cor. 5]. Hence, one obtains a triangle equivalence

$$\Delta_R(C) \longrightarrow \Delta_R(C'). \quad (1.13)$$

- (h) Assume additionally that R has Krull dimension $d \geq 2$ and that A is an NCCR of R . Then the subcategory $\Delta_R(A)_{lhf} = \Delta_R(A)_{rhf} \subseteq \Delta_R(A)$ of left (respectively, right) homologically finite objects is d -Calabi–Yau. By Proposition 6.19, this subcategory equals $\mathbf{thick}(\mathbf{mod} - \underline{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^b(A)$, which is d -CY since \underline{A} is finite dimensional, see e.g. [95, Theorem 4.23].

This subcategory can be Calabi–Yau even if A is not an NCCR. For example, let R_k be a $2k$ -dimensional ADE-singularity and let $A_k = \mathbf{Aus}(\mathbf{MCM}(R_k))$ be the Auslander algebra. Then $\Delta_{R_k}(A_k) \cong \Delta_{R_1}(A_1)$ for all $k \geq 1$ by our main result (Theorem 6.20) and $\Delta_{R_1}(A_1)_{lhf}$ is 2-CY by the discussion above. But A_k is an NCCR if and only if $k = 1$.

- (i) Let $(\mathcal{D}_{\underline{A}}(\mathbf{Mod} - A))^c \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\underline{A}}^b(\mathbf{Mod} - A)$ be the full subcategory of compact objects. There is an equivalence of triangulated categories (see Rem. 2.14).

$$\Delta_R(A) \cong (\mathcal{D}_{\underline{A}}(\mathbf{Mod} - A))^c. \quad (1.14)$$

Remark 1.6. The Hom-finiteness in (a) is surprising since (triangulated) quotient categories tend to behave quite poorly in this respect (see e.g. [21, Remark 6.5.]).

Remark 1.7. All our results actually hold in the generality of Gorenstein S -orders with an isolated singularity, in the sense of Auslander (see [9, Section III.1] and [10]) or finite-dimensional selfinjective k -algebras. Here $S = (S, \mathfrak{n})$ denotes a local complete regular Noetherian k -algebra, with $k \cong S/\mathfrak{n}$. It is a matter of heavier notation and terminology to generalize our proofs to this setting.

1.5. Contents. Section 2 provides the necessary material on dg algebras and derived categories of dg modules. Moreover, we give an apparently new criterion for the Hom-finiteness of the category of perfect dg modules $\mathbf{per}(B)$ over some non-positive dg k -algebra B (Proposition 2.5). Further, we study recollements of derived categories of dg modules associated to an idempotent. Section 3 deals with equivalences between triangulated (quotient) categories arising from derived module categories of a right Noetherian ring A and idempotents in A . This is used in Section 6 to show that $\mathbf{MCM}(R)$ may be obtained as a triangle quotient of $\Delta_R(A)$. The first result in Section 5 shows that certain relative singularity categories enjoy a (weak) fractional Calabi–Yau property (Theorem 4.2). We use this and the results of Section 2 to show that for ‘finite’ Frobenius categories the relative Auslander singularity categories only depend on the stable category (Theorem 5.5). The results from Sections 2 to 5 are applied in Section 6 to study relative singularity categories over complete isolated Gorenstein k -algebras R . In particular, we prove our main result (Theorem 6.20). In Section 7, we remark on relations to Bridgeland’s stability manifold and generalized cluster categories. In Appendix A, we give a complete list of the dg Auslander algebras for the complex ADE-singularities in all Krull dimensions.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Hanno Becker, Igor Burban, Will Donovan, Bernhard Keller, Hao Su, Michel Van den Bergh and Michael Wemyss for helpful discussions on parts of this article. They express their deep gratitude to Bernhard Keller for pointing out an error in a preliminary version and helping to correct it. Moreover, they thank Igor Burban and Michael Wemyss for valuable suggestions concerning the presentation of the material. They thank the referee for helpful comments and suggestions, which led to an improvement of the text. M.K. thanks Stefan Steinerberger for improving the language of this article.

2. DERIVED CATEGORIES, DG ALGEBRAS AND KOSZUL DUALITY

2.1. Notations. Let k be a commutative ring. Let $D = \mathbf{Hom}_k(?, k)$ denote the k -dual. When the input is a graded k -module, D means the graded dual. Namely, for $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^i$, the graded dual DM has components $(DM)^i = \mathbf{Hom}_k(M^{-i}, k)$.

Generating subcategories/subsets. Let \mathcal{A} be an additive k -category. Let \mathcal{S} be a subcategory or a subset of objects of \mathcal{A} . We denote by $\mathbf{add}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{S})$ (respectively, $\mathbf{Add}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{S})$) the smallest full subcategory of \mathcal{A} which contains \mathcal{S} and which is closed under taking finite direct sums (respectively, all existing direct sums) and taking direct summands.

If \mathcal{A} is a triangulated category, then $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{S})$ (respectively, $\mathbf{Tria}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{S})$) denotes the smallest triangulated subcategory of \mathcal{A} which contains \mathcal{S} and which is closed under taking direct summands (respectively, all existing direct sums). In this situation, the class \mathcal{S} is called a class of generators of $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{S})$.

When it does not cause confusion, we omit the subscripts and write the above notations as $\mathbf{add}(\mathcal{S})$, $\mathbf{Add}(\mathcal{S})$, $\mathbf{thick}(\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathbf{Tria}(\mathcal{S})$.

Derived categories of abelian categories. Let \mathcal{A} be an additive k -category. Let $* \in \{\emptyset, -, +, b\}$ be a boundedness condition. Denote by $K^*(\mathcal{A})$ the homotopy category of complexes of objects in \mathcal{A} satisfying the boundedness condition $*$.

Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian k -category. Denote by $\mathcal{D}^*(\mathcal{A})$ the derived category of complexes of objects in \mathcal{A} satisfying the boundedness condition $*$.

Let R be a k -algebra. Without further remark, by an R -module we mean a right R -module. Denote by $\mathbf{Mod} - R$ the category of R -modules, and denote by $\mathbf{mod} - R$ (respectively, $\mathbf{proj} - R$) its full subcategory of finitely generated R -modules (respectively, finitely generated projective R -modules). When k is a field, we will also consider the category $\mathbf{fdmod} - R$ of those R -modules which are finite-dimensional over k . We often view $K^b(\mathbf{proj} - R)$ as a triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^*(\mathbf{Mod} - R)$.

Truncations. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian k -category. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for a complex M of objects in \mathcal{A} , we define the *standard truncations* $\sigma^{\leq i}$ and $\sigma^{> i}$ by

$$(\sigma^{\leq i} M)^j = \begin{cases} M^j & \text{if } j < i, \\ \ker d_M^i & \text{if } j = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } j > i, \end{cases} \quad (\sigma^{> i} M)^j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < i, \\ \frac{M^i}{\ker d_M^i} & \text{if } j = i, \\ M^j & \text{if } j > i, \end{cases}$$

and the *brutal truncations* $\beta_{< i}$ and $\beta_{\geq i}$ by

$$(\beta_{< i} M)^j = \begin{cases} M^j & \text{if } j \leq i, \\ 0 & \text{if } j > i, \end{cases} \quad (\beta_{\geq i} M)^j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < i, \\ M^j & \text{if } j \geq i. \end{cases}$$

Their respective differentials are inherited from M . Notice that $\sigma^{\leq i}(M)$ and $\beta_{\geq i}(M)$ are subcomplexes of M and $\sigma^{> i}(M)$ and $\beta_{< i-1}(M)$ are the corresponding quotient

complexes. Thus we have two sequences, which are componentwise short exact,

$$0 \rightarrow \sigma^{\leq i}(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \sigma^{> i}(M) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \beta_{\geq i}(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \beta_{\leq i-1}(M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, taking standard truncations behaves well with respect to cohomology.

$$H^j(\sigma^{\leq i}M) = \begin{cases} H^j(M) & \text{if } j \leq i, \\ 0 & \text{if } j > i, \end{cases} \quad H^j(\sigma^{> i}M) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \leq i, \\ H^j(M) & \text{if } j > i. \end{cases}$$

2.2. DG algebras and their derived categories. Let A be a dg k -algebra. Consider the *derived category* $\mathcal{D}(A)$ of dg A -modules, see [54]. It is a triangulated category with shift functor being the shift of complexes [1]. It is obtained from the category $\mathcal{C}(A)$ of dg A -modules by formally inverting all quasi-isomorphisms. Let $\mathbf{per}(A) = \mathbf{thick}(A_A)$. A k -algebra R can be viewed as a dg algebra concentrated in degree 0. In this case, we have $\mathcal{D}(R) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Mod} - R)$ and $\mathbf{per}(R) = K^b(\mathbf{proj} - R)$. Assume that k is a field. Consider the full subcategory $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ consisting of those dg A -modules whose total cohomology is finite-dimensional.

Let M, N be dg A -modules. Define the complex $\mathcal{H}om_A(M, N)$ componentwise as

$$\mathcal{H}om_A^i(M, N) = \left\{ f \in \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{Hom}_k(M^j, N^{i+j}) \mid f(ma) = f(m)a \right\},$$

with differential given by $d(f) = d_N \circ f - (-1)^i f \circ d_M$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}om_A^i(M, N)$. The complex $\mathcal{E}nd_A(M) = \mathcal{H}om_A(M, M)$ with the composition of maps as product is a dg k -algebra. We will use the following results from [54]. Let A and B be dg k -algebras.

- Every dg A -module M has a natural structure of dg $\mathcal{E}nd_A(M)$ - A -bimodule.
- If M is a dg A - B -bimodule, then there is an adjoint pair of triangle functors

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{? \otimes_A^L M} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbf{RHom}_B(M, ?)} \end{array} \mathcal{D}(B).$$

- Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a quasi-isomorphism of dg algebras. Then the induced triangle functor $? \otimes_A^L B : \mathcal{D}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B)$ is an equivalence. A quasi-inverse is given by the restriction $\mathcal{D}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ along f . It can be written as $? \otimes_B^L B = \mathbf{RHom}_B(B, ?)$ where B is considered as a dg B - A -bimodule respectively dg A - B -bimodule via f . These equivalences restrict to equivalences between $\mathbf{per}(A)$ and $\mathbf{per}(B)$ and, if k is a field, between $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$. By abuse of language, by a quasi-isomorphism we will also mean a zigzag of quasi-isomorphisms.

2.3. The Nakayama functor. We follow [54, Section 10]. Let k be a field and let A be a dg k -algebra. We consider the dg functor $\nu = \nu_A = D\mathcal{H}om_A(?, A) : \mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A)$. By abuse of notation the left derived functor of ν is also denoted by ν . It is clear that $\nu(A) = D(A)$ holds, so ν restricts to a triangle functor

$$\nu : \mathbf{per}(A) \rightarrow \mathbf{thick}(D(A)),$$

which is a triangle equivalence provided that A has finite-dimensional cohomologies in each degree.

Furthermore, for dg A -modules M and N there is a binatural map

$$\begin{aligned} D\mathcal{H}om_A(M, N) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}om_A(N, \nu(M)) \\ \varphi &\longmapsto (n \mapsto (f \mapsto \varphi(g))) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $f \in \mathcal{H}om_A(M, A)$ and $g: m \mapsto nf(m)$. If we let $M = A$, then (2.1) is an isomorphism and hence a quasi-isomorphism for $M \in \mathbf{per}(A)$. Passing to the derived category in (2.1) yields a binatural isomorphism for $M \in \mathbf{per}(A)$ and $N \in \mathcal{D}(A)$:

$$D\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(M, N) \cong \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(N, \nu(M)). \quad (2.2)$$

This shows that ν defines a (right) Serre functor on $\mathbf{per}(A) = K^b(\mathbf{proj} - A)$, if A is a finite-dimensional Gorenstein k -algebra.

2.4. Non-positive dg algebras: t -structures and co- t -structures. Let \mathcal{C} be a triangulated k -category with shift functor $[1]$. A t -structure on \mathcal{C} [13] is a pair $(\mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0})$ of strictly (i.e. closed under isomorphisms) full subcategories such that

- $\mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}[1] \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}[-1] \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$,
- $\mathbf{Hom}(M, N[-1]) = 0$ for $M \in \mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}$ and $N \in \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$,
- for each $M \in \mathcal{C}$ there is a triangle $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow M'[1]$ in \mathcal{C} with $M' \in \mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}$ and $M'' \in \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}[-1]$.

The heart $\mathcal{C}^{\leq 0} \cap \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0}$ of the t -structure $(\mathcal{C}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{C}^{\geq 0})$ is an abelian category [13].

Let A be a dg k -algebra such that $A^i = 0$ for $i > 0$. Such a dg algebra is called a *non-positive dg algebra*. The canonical projection $A \rightarrow H^0(A)$ is a homomorphism of dg algebras. We view a module over $H^0(A)$ as a dg module over A via this homomorphism. This defines a natural functor $\mathbf{Mod} - H^0(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let A be a non-positive dg algebra.*

- (a) ([42, Theorem 1.3], [2, Section 2.1] and [59, Section 5.1]) *Let $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}$ respectively $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ which consists of objects M such that $H^i(M) = 0$ for $i > 0$ respectively for $i < 0$. Then $(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0})$ is a t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(A)$. Moreover, taking H^0 is an equivalence from the heart to $\mathbf{Mod} - H^0(A)$, and the natural functor $\mathbf{Mod} - H^0(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ induces a quasi-inverse to this equivalence. We will identify $\mathbf{Mod} - H^0(A)$ with the heart via these equivalences.*
- (b) *Assume that k is a field. The t -structure in (a) restricts to a t -structure on $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ whose heart is $\mathbf{fdmod} - H^0(A)$. Moreover, as a triangulated category $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ is generated by the heart.*
- (c) *Assume that k is a field. Assume that $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A) \subseteq \mathbf{per}(A)$ and $\mathbf{per}(A)$ is Hom-finite. Then the t -structure in (a) restricts to a t -structure on $\mathbf{per}(A)$, whose heart is $\mathbf{fdmod} - H^0(A)$.*

Proof. (a) We only give the key point. Let M be a dg A -module. Thanks to the assumption that A is non-positive, the standard truncations $\sigma^{\leq 0}M$ and $\sigma^{> 0}M$ are again dg A -modules. A dg A -module M whose cohomologies are concentrated in degree 0 is related to the $H^0(A)$ -module $H^0(M)$ via the following chain of quasi-isomorphisms $H^0(M) \leftarrow \sigma^{\leq 0}M \hookrightarrow M$. Moreover, we have a distinguished triangle

$$\sigma^{\leq 0}M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \sigma^{> 0}M \longrightarrow \sigma^{\leq 0}M[1] \quad (2.3)$$

in $\mathcal{D}(A)$. This is the triangle required to show that $(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0})$ is a t -structure.

(b) For the first statement, it suffices to show that, under the assumptions, the standard truncations are endo-functors of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$. This is true because $H^*(\sigma^{\leq 0}M)$ and $H^*(\sigma^{> 0}M)$ are subspaces of $H^*(M)$.

To show the second statement, let $M \in \mathcal{D}_{fd}(M)$. Suppose that for $m \geq n$ we have $H^n(M) \neq 0$, $H^m(M) \neq 0$ but $H^i(M) = 0$ for $i > m$ or $i < n$. We prove that M is generated by the heart by induction on $m - n$. If $m - n = 0$, then a shift of M is in the heart. Now suppose $m - n > 0$. The standard truncations yield a triangle

$$\sigma^{\leq n}M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \sigma^{> n}M \longrightarrow \sigma^{\leq n}M[1].$$

Now the cohomologies of $\sigma^{\leq n}M$ are concentrated in degree n , and hence $\sigma^{\leq n}M$ belongs to a shifted copy of the heart. Moreover, the cohomologies of $\sigma^{> n}(M)$ are bounded between degrees $n+1$ and m . By induction hypothesis $\sigma^{> n}(M)$ is generated by the heart. Therefore M is generated by the heart.

(c) Same as the proof for [2, Proposition 2.7]. \square

Let \mathcal{C} be as above. A *co- t -structure* on \mathcal{C} [77] (or *weight structure* [14]) is a pair $(\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0})$ of strictly full subcategories of \mathcal{C} satisfying the following conditions

- (C1) both $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$ are closed under finite direct sums and direct summands,
- (C2) $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}[-1] \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}[1] \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$,
- (C3) $\text{Hom}(M, N[1]) = 0$ for $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $N \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$,
- (C4) for each $M \in \mathcal{C}$ there is a triangle $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow M'[1]$ in \mathcal{C} with $M' \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $M'' \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}[1]$.

It follows that $\mathcal{C}_{\leq 0} = \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}^{\perp}[-1]$. The *co-heart* is defined as the intersection $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$.

Lemma 2.2. ([14, Proposition 1.3.3.6]) *For $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$, there exists a distinguished triangle $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow M'[1]$ with $M' \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$ and $M'' \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}[1]$.*

Proof. For convenience, we include the proof. Take a triangle as in (C4). Since $\mathcal{C}_{\leq 0} = \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}^{\perp}[-1]$ is extension closed, M' is in $\mathcal{C}_{\leq 0}$. Indeed M' is an extension of $M''[-1]$ and M . \square

Let A be a non-positive dg k -algebra. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}$ respectively $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 0}$ denote the smallest full subcategory of $\text{per}(A)$ which contains $A[i]$ for $i \leq 0$ respectively $i \geq 0$ and is closed under taking extensions and direct summands. We need a result from [14].

Proposition 2.3. *$(\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}, \mathcal{P}_{\leq 0})$ is a co- t -structure of $\text{per}(A)$, with co-heart $\text{add}(A)$.*

Proof. This follows from [14, Proposition 5.2.2, Proposition 6.2.1], see also [48, Proposition 3.4] for an elementary proof (note that A is a silting object in $\mathbf{per}(A)$). \square

Hence, objects in $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 0}$ are characterised by the vanishing of the positive cohomologies:

Corollary 2.4. $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 0} = \{M \in \mathbf{per}(A) \mid H^i(M) = 0 \text{ for any } i > 0\}$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{S} be the category on the right. By the preceding proposition, $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 0} = \mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}^\perp[-1] = (\mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}[-1])^\perp$. In particular, for $M \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq 0}$ and $i < 0$ this implies that $\mathbf{Hom}(A[i], M) = 0$. Hence, $H^i(M) = 0$ holds for any $i > 0$ and M is in \mathcal{S} . Conversely, if $H^i(M) = \mathbf{Hom}(A[-i], M) = 0$ for any $i > 0$, then it follows by dévissage that $\mathbf{Hom}(N, M) = 0$ for any $N \in \mathcal{P}_{\geq 0}[-1]$. This shows that M is contained in $\mathcal{P}_{\leq 0}$. \square

2.5. Non-positive dg algebras: Hom-finiteness. Let A be a dg algebra. Then the subcomplex $\sigma^{\leq 0}A$ inherits a dg algebra structure from A . Therefore if $H^i(A) = 0$ for any $i > 0$, the embedding $\sigma^{\leq 0}A \hookrightarrow A$ is a quasi-isomorphism of dg algebras.

We generalise [2, Lemma 2.5 & Prop. 2.4] and [39, Lemma 2.4 & Prop. 2.5].

Proposition 2.5. *Let k be a field and A be a dg k -algebra such that*

- $A^i = 0$ for any $i > 0$,
- $H^0(A)$ is finite-dimensional,
- $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A) \subseteq \mathbf{per}(A)$.

Then $H^i(A)$ is finite-dimensional for any i . Consequently, $\mathbf{per}(A)$ is Hom-finite.

Proof. It suffices to prove the following induction step: if $H^i(A)$ is finite-dimensional for $-n \leq i \leq 0$, then $H^{-n-1}(A)$ is finite-dimensional.

To prove this claim, we consider the triangle induced by the standard truncations

$$\sigma^{\leq -n-1}A \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \sigma^{> -n-1}A \longrightarrow (\sigma^{\leq -n-1}A)[1].$$

Since $H^i(\sigma^{> -n-1}A) = H^i(A)$ for $i \geq -n$, it follows by the induction hypothesis that $\sigma^{> -n-1}A$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$, and hence to $\mathbf{per}(A)$ by the third assumption on A . Therefore $\sigma^{\leq -n-1}A \in \mathbf{per}(A)$. By Corollary 2.4, $(\sigma^{\leq -n-1}A)[-n-1] \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq 0}$. Moreover, Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 imply that there is a triangle

$$M' \longrightarrow (\sigma^{\leq -n-1}A)[-n-1] \longrightarrow M'' \longrightarrow M'[1]$$

with $M' \in \mathbf{add}(A)$ and $M'' \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq 0}[1]$. It follows from Corollary 2.4 that $H^0(M'') = 0$. Therefore applying H^0 to the above triangle, we obtain an exact sequence

$$H^0(M') \longrightarrow H^0((\sigma^{\leq -n-1}A)[-n-1]) = H^{-n-1}(A) \longrightarrow 0.$$

But $H^0(M')$ is finite-dimensional because $M' \in \mathbf{add}(A)$ holds and $H^0(A)$ has finite dimension by assumption. Thus $H^{-n-1}(A)$ is finite-dimensional. \square

2.6. Complete path algebras and minimal relations. Let k be a field and Q be a finite quiver. Denote by \widehat{kQ} the *complete path algebra* of Q , i.e. the completion of the path algebra kQ with respect to the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology, where \mathfrak{m} is the ideal of kQ generated by all arrows. Namely, \widehat{kQ} is the inverse limit in the category of algebras of the inverse system $\{kQ/\mathfrak{m}^n, \pi_n : kQ/\mathfrak{m}^{n+1} \rightarrow kQ/\mathfrak{m}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where π_n is the canonical projection. Later we will also work with completions \widehat{kQ} of path algebras of graded quivers Q : they are defined as above with the inverse limit taken in the category of graded algebras. In particular, the degree n component of \widehat{kQ} consists of elements of the form $\sum_p \lambda_p p$ where the sum is taken over all paths of degree n and $\lambda_p \in k$.

The complete path algebra \widehat{kQ} has a natural topology, the J -adic topology for J the ideal generated by all arrows. Let I be a closed ideal of \widehat{kQ} contained in J^2 and let $A = \widehat{kQ}/I$. For a vertex i of Q , let e_i denote the trivial path at i . A *set of minimal relations* of A (or of I) is a finite subset R of $\bigcup_{i,j \in Q_0} e_i I e_j$ such that I coincides with the closure $\overline{(R)}$ of the ideal of \widehat{kQ} generated by R but not with $\overline{(R')}$ for any proper subset R' of R .

2.7. Koszul duality. In this subsection we recall the dual bar construction of an A_∞ -algebra and discuss Koszul duality for locally finite non-positive dg algebras. Our main references are [55, 64, 65, 66]. Our main aim is to establish Theorem 2.8, which plays an essential role in the proof of Theorem 5.5.

We start with the definition of A_∞ -algebras. Assume that k is a field. Let K be a direct product of finitely many copies of k and consider it as a k -algebra via the diagonal embedding.

An A_∞ -algebra A over K is a graded K -bimodule endowed with a family of homogeneous K -bilinear maps of degree 1 (called *multiplications*) $\{b_n : (A[1])^{\otimes_{K^n}} \rightarrow A[1] | n \geq 1\}$ satisfying the following identities

$$\sum_{i+j+l=n} b_{i+1+l}(id^{\otimes i} \otimes b_j \otimes id^{\otimes l}) = 0, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.4)$$

Here we take a non-commutative viewpoint: for an A_∞ -algebra over K the left and right graded K -module structures may be different, while for an A_∞ - K -algebra in the usual sense (see *e.g.* [67, Section 2.1]) the left and right graded K -module structures coincide. Let A be an A_∞ -algebra over K . A is said to be *strictly unital* if there is a K -bilinear map $\eta : K \rightarrow A$ (called the *unit* of A) which is homogeneous of degree 0 such that $b_n(id \otimes \cdots \otimes id \otimes \eta \otimes id \otimes \cdots \otimes id) = 0$ for $i \neq 2$ and $b_2(id_A \otimes \eta) = b_2(\eta \otimes id_A) = id_A$. Note that the identity (2.4) for $n = 1$ is $b_1^2 = 0$, thus A is a complex of K -bimodules with differential b_1 . A is said to be *minimal* if $b_1 = 0$. A graded K -sub-bimodule B of A is called an A_∞ -subalgebra if for all $n \geq 1$ and for all $a_1, \dots, a_n \in B$ we have $b_n(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) \in B$. A dg algebra A over K is a strictly unital A_∞ -algebra over K with vanishing b_n for $n \geq 3$. The differential of A is $d = -b_1$ and the multiplication is $a_1 a_2 = (-1)^{|a_1|} b_2(a_1 \otimes a_2)$ for elements a_1, a_2 of A such that a_1 is homogeneous of degree $|a_1|$. We emphasize that the notion

of dg algebra over K and the notion of dg K -algebra (which is A_∞ - K -algebra with vanishing b_n for $n \geq 3$) are different. We remark that shifting the b_n 's properly yields another family of maps $\{m_n : A^{\otimes_K n} \rightarrow A | n \geq 1\}$, which contains the same information as the family $\{b_n | n \geq 1\}$ and is often used to define A_∞ -algebras in the literature.

Let A and B be two strictly unital A_∞ -algebras over K . A *morphism* $f : A \rightarrow B$ of strictly unital A_∞ -algebras is a family $\{f_n : (A[1])^{\otimes_K n} \rightarrow B[1]\}$ of homogeneous K -bilinear maps of degree 0 such that $f_1 \eta_A[1] = \eta_B[1]$, $f_n(id \otimes \cdots \otimes id \otimes \eta_A[1] \otimes id \otimes \cdots \otimes id) = 0$ for all $n \geq 2$, and that

$$\sum_{i+j+l=n} f_{i+1+l}(id^{\otimes i} \otimes b_j \otimes id^{\otimes l}) = \sum_{i_1+\dots+i_s=n} b_s(f_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{i_s}), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.5)$$

It follows that f_1 is a chain map with respect to the differentials b_1 . If f_1 is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes, we say that f is an A_∞ -*quasi-isomorphism*. If $f_n = 0$ for $n \geq 2$, then the above identities amounts to saying that $f_1 : A[1] \rightarrow B[1]$ commutes with all multiplications b_n . In this case, we shall call the corresponding map $f_1[-1] : A \rightarrow B$ a *map* of strictly unital A_∞ -algebras. Further, it is an *isomorphism* of A_∞ -algebras if it is in addition an isomorphism of graded K -bimodules.

Let A be a strictly unital A_∞ -algebra over K . A is said to be *augmented* if there is a map $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow K$ of strictly unital A_∞ -algebras, which is called the *augmentation* of A . Let A and B be two augmented A_∞ -algebras over K . A *morphism* $f : A \rightarrow B$ of augmented A_∞ -algebras is a morphism of strictly unital A_∞ -algebras such that $\varepsilon_B f_1[-1] = \varepsilon_A$.

Let A be an augmented A_∞ -algebra over K . Then the cohomology $H^*(A)$ carries the structure of a minimal augmented A_∞ -algebra over K and there is an A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $i : H^*(A) \rightarrow A$ of augmented A_∞ -algebras such that i_1 induces the identity on cohomologies. See [50], [64, Corollaires 1.4.1.4 and 3.2.4.1] and [88]. We call it the *minimal model* of A .

Let A be an augmented A_∞ -algebra over K . Denote by $\bar{A} = \ker \varepsilon$. Note that \bar{A} is an A_∞ -subalgebra of A . The *bar construction* of A , denoted by BA , is the graded K -bimodule

$$T_K(\bar{A}[1]) = K \oplus \bar{A}[1] \oplus \bar{A}[1] \otimes_K \bar{A}[1] \oplus \dots$$

It is naturally a coalgebra with comultiplication defined by splitting the tensors. Moreover, $\{b_n | n \geq 1\}$ uniquely extends to a K -bilinear differential on BA making it a dg coalgebra over K . We define the *Koszul dual* of A as the graded k -dual of BA :

$$E(A) = B^\# A := D(BA).$$

As a graded algebra $E(A) = \widehat{T}_K(D(\bar{A}[1]))$ is the complete tensor algebra of $D(\bar{A}[1]) = \mathbf{Hom}_k(\bar{A}[1], k)$ over K . It is naturally an augmented dg algebra over K with differential d being the unique continuous K -bilinear map satisfying the graded Leibniz rule and taking $f \in D(\bar{A}[1])$ to $d(f) \in B^\# A$, defined by

$$d(f)(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = f(b_n(a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n)), \quad a_1, \dots, a_n \in \bar{A}[1].$$

Let \mathfrak{m} be the ideal of $E(A)$ generated by $D(\bar{A}[1])$. Then A being minimal amounts to saying that $d(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^2$ holds true. The minimal model A^* of $E(A)$ is called the A_∞ -Koszul dual of A .

The following result is known, see for example [88] and [34, Section 19 exercise 4]. An A_∞ -algebra over K has the natural structure of an A_∞ - k -algebra. Similarly, a dg algebra A over K has the natural structure of a dg k -algebra. When considering dg A -modules and derived categories, we use this structure of A .

Lemma 2.6. *If A is an augmented dg algebra over K , then $E(A)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathrm{RHom}_A(K, K)$ as a dg k -algebra. Here we consider K as a dg A -module via the augmentation ε . In particular, A^* is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(K, \Sigma^i K)$ as a graded k -algebra.*

If there is an A_∞ -quasi-isomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ of augmented A_∞ -algebras over K , then $E(A)$ and $E(B)$ are quasi-isomorphic as augmented dg algebras over K , see for example [64, Proposition 1.3.5.1.b and proof of Corollaire 1.3.1.3.b].

Theorem 2.7. *If $E(A)$ is finite-dimensional in each degree, then $E(E(A))$ is A_∞ -quasi-isomorphic to A as an augmented A_∞ -algebra over K . In particular, A is A_∞ -quasi-isomorphic to $E(A^*)$ as an augmented A_∞ -algebra over K .*

Proof. The first statement is a ‘several-object’ version of [66, Theorem 2.4] with trivial Adams grading. The proof of [66, Theorem 2.4] can be easily adapted here. \square

Moreover, we can describe the graded algebra underlying $E(A)$ in terms of quivers. Let e_1, \dots, e_r be the standard basis of K . Define Q as the following graded quiver:

- the set of vertices is $\{1, \dots, r\}$,
- the set of arrows of degree m from i to j is given by a k -basis of the degree m component of $e_j D(\bar{A}[1]) e_i$.

Then as a graded algebra $E(A)$ is the graded completion \widehat{kQ} (with respect to the ideal generated by the arrows) of the path algebra kQ of the graded quiver Q , see Subsection 2.6 for more details on graded completions. In this case, A being minimal amounts to saying that the differential of $E(A)$ takes an arrow of Q to a possibly infinite linear combination of paths of length ≥ 2 . In particular, if A is minimal and is concentrated in non-negative degrees and the degree 0 component of A is K , then Q is concentrated in non-positive degrees and the quiver of the algebra $H^0(E(A))$ is the degree zero component Q^0 of Q .

Koszul duality for locally finite non-positive dg algebras. The following special case will be important in Section 5. Let B be an augmented dg algebra over K which is a non-positive dg k -algebra in the sense of Subsection 2.4 such that $H^i(B)$ is finite-dimensional for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We consider K as a dg B -module via the augmentation ε and denote it by S .

Theorem 2.8. *Keep the notation and assumption in the preceding paragraph. Then*

- (a) B^* is A_∞ -quasi-isomorphic to $\mathrm{RHom}_B(S, S)$ as an A_∞ - k -algebra.

(b) B is quasi-isomorphic to $E(B^*)$ as a dg k -algebra.

Proof. (a) The desired result follows immediately from Lemma 2.6 as B^* is A_∞ -quasi-isomorphic to $E(B)$.

(b) All the (A_∞) -quasi-isomorphisms below respect augmentations over K . Let A be the minimal model of B . Then $E(A)$ and $E(B)$ are quasi-isomorphic, and A^* and B^* are A_∞ -isomorphic. As A is non-positive and is finite-dimensional in each degree, $E(A)$ is finite-dimensional in each degree by construction. Applying Theorem 2.7 to A , we see that A is A_∞ -quasi-isomorphic to $E(A^*)$, which is quasi-isomorphic to $E(B^*)$. Therefore B is A_∞ -quasi-isomorphic to $E(B^*)$, in particular, they are A_∞ -quasi-isomorphic as A_∞ - k -algebras, and the desired result follows immediately from [67, Proposition 2.8]. \square

2.8. Recollements generated by idempotents. In this subsection our object of study is the triangle quotient $K^b(\mathbf{proj} - A)/\mathbf{thick}(eA)$, where A is an algebra and $e \in A$ is an idempotent. By Keller's Morita theorem for triangulated categories [56, Theorem 3.8 b)], the idempotent completion of this category is equivalent to the perfect derived category $\mathbf{per}(B)$ of some dg algebra B . Below we show that we can choose B such that there is a homomorphism of dg algebras $A \rightarrow B$, the restriction $\mathcal{D}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ along which is fully faithful.

Following [13], a *recollement* of triangulated categories is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & i^* & \\ \mathcal{T}'' & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{i_* = i_!} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} & \mathcal{T} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{j_!} \\ \xleftarrow{j^! = j_*} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} & \mathcal{T}' & \\ & i^! & & j_* & \end{array} \quad (2.6)$$

of triangulated categories and triangle functors such that

- 1) $(i^*, i_* = i_!, i^!)$ and $(j_!, j^! = j_*, j_*)$ are adjoint triples;
- 2) $j_!, i_* = i_!, j_*$ are fully faithful;
- 3) $j^* i_* = 0$;
- 4) for every object X of \mathcal{T} there exist two distinguished triangles

$$i_! i^! X \rightarrow X \rightarrow j_* j^* X \rightarrow i_! i^! X[1] \quad \text{and} \quad j_! j^! X \rightarrow X \rightarrow i_* i^* X \rightarrow j_! j^! X[1],$$

where the morphisms starting from and ending at X are the units and counits.

Remark 2.9. Conditions 1) and 2) in conjunction with the Yoneda lemma imply that the composites $i^* i_*$, $i^! i_!$, $j^! j_!$ and $j^* j_*$ are equivalent to the identity functors.

Let k be a commutative ring and A be a k -algebra. The following Proposition shows that every idempotent $e \in A$ gives rise to a recollement. In the literature, much attention has been paid to the special case that B has cohomologies concentrated in degree 0, see for example [26, 27, 25, 61]. Recall that A can be viewed as a dg k -algebra concentrated in degree 0 and in this case $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Mod} - A)$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let A be a flat k -algebra and $e \in A$ an idempotent. There is a dg k -algebra B with a homomorphism of dg k -algebras $f: A \rightarrow B$ and a recollement of derived categories*

$$\mathcal{D}(B) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{i^*} \\ \xrightarrow{i_* = i_!} \\ \xleftarrow{i^!} \end{array} \mathcal{D}(A) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{j^!} \\ \xrightarrow{j^! = j^*} \\ \xleftarrow{j_*} \end{array} \mathcal{D}(eAe), \quad (2.7)$$

such that the following conditions are satisfied

- (a) the adjoint triples $(i^*, i_* = i_!, i^!)$ and $(j^!, j^! = j^*, j_*)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} i^* &= ? \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_A B, & j^! &= ? \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{eAe} eA, \\ i_* &= \mathbf{RHom}_B(B, ?), & j^! &= \mathbf{RHom}_A(eA, ?), \\ i_! &= ? \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_B B, & j^* &= ? \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_A Ae, \\ i^! &= \mathbf{RHom}_A(B, ?), & j_* &= \mathbf{RHom}_{eAe}(Ae, ?), \end{aligned}$$

where B is considered as an A - A -bimodule via the morphism f ;

- (b) $B^i = 0$ for $i > 0$;
(c) $H^0(B)$ is isomorphic to A/AeA .

This result is known to hold in greater generality, see [33, Section 2 and 3] (which uses different terminologies). For convenience, we include a proof.

Proof. The exact functor $\mathbf{Hom}_A(eA, ?) = ? \otimes_A Ae: \mathbf{Mod} - A \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod} - eAe$ has both a left adjoint $? \otimes_{eAe} eA$ and a right adjoint $\mathbf{Hom}_{eAe}(Ae, ?)$. Deriving these functors, we obtain the right half of the recollement (2.7). The derived functors are still adjoint and it is known that $? \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{eAe} eA$ is fully faithful (see e.g. [54, Lemma 4.2]). An application of the Yoneda Lemma shows that there is a natural isomorphism $j^! j_! \cong 1_{\mathcal{D}(eAe)}$. Hence, $j^!$ is a quotient functor with left and right adjoints. In particular, it is a so called Bousfield localization and colocalization functor. Its kernel is $\mathcal{D}_{A/AeA}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$, the full subcategory of complexes with cohomologies in $\mathbf{Mod} - A/AeA$. Hence, $j^!$ yields a recollement (see e.g. [71, Section 9])

$$\mathcal{D}_{A/AeA}(A) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathcal{D}(A) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{j^!} \\ \xrightarrow{j^! = j^*} \\ \xleftarrow{j_*} \end{array} \mathcal{D}(eAe). \quad (2.8)$$

By [72, Theorem 4] (which needs the flatness assumption) and the first paragraph after Lemma 4 of loc. cit., there exists a dg algebra B' and a morphism of dg-algebras $f': A \rightarrow B'$ such that there is a recollement (2.7) and the adjoint triple $(i^*, i_* = i_!, i^!)$ is given as in (a) with B replaced by B' . We claim that $H^i(B') = 0$ for $i > 0$ and that $H^0(f')$ induces an isomorphism of algebras $A/AeA \cong H^0(B')$. Then taking $B = \sigma^{\leq 0} B'$ and $f = \sigma^{\leq 0} f'$ finishes the proof for (a) (b) and (c).

In order to prove the claim, we take the distinguished triangle associated to A .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Ae \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{eAe} eA & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \longrightarrow & Ae \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{eAe} eA[1] \\ & & & & \parallel & & \\ & & & & i_* i^*(A) & & \end{array} \quad (2.9)$$

By applying H^0 to the triangle (2.9) we obtain a long exact cohomology sequence

$$\cdots \cdots H^i(Ae \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{eAe} eA) \xrightarrow{H^i(\varphi)} H^i(A) \xrightarrow{H^i(f')} H^i(B') \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(Ae \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{eAe} eA) \cdots \cdots$$

If $i > 0$, both $H^i(A)$ and $H^{i+1}(Ae \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{eAe} eA)$ are trivial, and hence $H^i(B')$ is trivial. If $i = 0$, then $H^0(B') \cong H^0(A)/\text{im}(H^0(\varphi))$. But $H^0(Ae \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{eAe} eA) \cong Ae \otimes_{eAe} eA$ and the image of $H^0(\varphi)$ is precisely AeA . Therefore $H^0(f') : A \rightarrow H^0(B')$ induces an isomorphism $H^0(B') \cong A/AeA$, which is clearly a homomorphism of algebras. \square

Remark 2.11. Assume that k is a field and A/AeA is finite-dimensional over k . Let $K = (A/AeA)/\text{rad}(A/AeA)$. Assume that K is a direct product of finitely many (say, r) copies of k . We claim that B has the structure of an augmented dg algebra over K up to quasi-equivalence in the sense of [54, Section 7]. Since $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(B)}(B, B) = H^0(B) \cong A/AeA$, it follows that B is isomorphic in $\mathcal{D}(B)$ to the direct sum of r dg B -modules, say, Y_1, \dots, Y_r , which are indecomposable and pairwise non-isomorphic in $\mathcal{D}(B)$. We assume that Y is \mathcal{H} -projective over B and let $C = \sigma^{\leq 0} \mathcal{E}nd_B(Y)$. Then Y is a quasi-equivalence from C to B . It is straightforward to check that C is a non-positive dg algebra over $K = k\{id_{Y_1}\} \times \cdots \times k\{id_{Y_r}\}$ and is augmented. The quasi-equivalence Y yields a derived equivalence $? \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_C Y : \mathcal{D}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(B)$, which takes C to $Y \cong B$, and which restricts to triangle equivalences $\text{per}(C) \rightarrow \text{per}(B)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$.

Corollary 2.12. *Keep the assumptions and notations as in Proposition 2.10.*

(a) *The functor i^* induces an equivalence of triangulated categories*

$$(K^b(\text{proj } -A)/\text{thick}(eA))^\omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{per}(B), \quad (2.10)$$

where $(-)^{\omega}$ denotes the idempotent completion (see [12]).

(b) *Let k be a field. Let $\mathcal{D}_{fd, A/AeA}(A)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ consisting of complexes with cohomologies supported on A/AeA . The functor i_* induces a triangle equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D}_{fd, A/AeA}(A)$. Moreover, the latter category coincides with $\text{thick}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(\text{fdmod } -A/AeA)$.*

Proof. (a) Since $j_!(eAe) = eAe \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{eAe} eA \cong eA$, eAe generates $\mathcal{D}(eAe)$ and $j_!$ commutes with direct sums, we obtain $\text{im } j_! = \text{Tria}(eA)$. Hence, i^* induces a triangle equivalence

$$\mathcal{D}(A)/\text{Tria}(eA) \cong \mathcal{D}(B). \quad (2.11)$$

As a projective A -module eA is compact in $\mathcal{D}(A)$. By definition, $\text{Tria}(eA)$ is the smallest localizing subcategory containing eA . Since $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is compactly generated,

Neeman's interpretation (and generalization) [70, Theorem 2.1] of Thomason & Trobaugh's and Yao's Localization Theorems shows that restricting (2.11) to the subcategories of compact objects yields a triangle equivalence $K^b(\mathbf{proj} - A)/\mathbf{thick}(eA) \rightarrow \mathbf{per}(B)$ up to direct summands. Hence, the equivalence (2.10) follows.

(b) By construction of the dg algebra B in Proposition 2.10, i_* induces a triangulated equivalence between $\mathcal{D}(B)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{A/AeA}(A)$, the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(A)$ consisting of complexes of A -modules which have cohomologies supported on A/AeA . Moreover, i_* restricts to a triangle equivalence between $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$ and $i_*(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B))$. The latter category is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ because i_* is the restriction along the homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$, and hence is contained in $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A) \cap \mathcal{D}_{A/AeA}(A) = \mathcal{D}_{fd, A/AeA}(A)$, which in turn is contained in $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(\mathbf{fdmod} - A/AeA)$. By Proposition 2.1 (b), $\mathbf{fdmod} - H^0(B)$ generates $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$. But i_* induces an equivalence from $\mathbf{fdmod} - H^0(B)$ to $\mathbf{fdmod} - A/AeA$. Therefore $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(\mathbf{fdmod} - A/AeA) = i_*(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B))$, and hence $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(\mathbf{fdmod} - A/AeA) = i_*(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)) = \mathcal{D}_{fd, A/AeA}(A)$. We are done. \square

The next result is used in the proof of Theorem 5.5 and is also interesting in itself.

Corollary 2.13. *We keep the notations and assumptions of Proposition 2.10. We assume additionally that k is a field, A/AeA is finite-dimensional over k and every simple A/AeA -module has finite projective dimension when viewed as an A -module. Then the following statements hold*

- (i) $H^i(B)$ is finite-dimensional for all i . In particular, $\mathbf{per}(B)$ is Hom-finite.
- (ii) $K^b(\mathbf{proj} - A)/\mathbf{thick}(eA)$ is Hom-finite.

Proof. Corollary 2.12(a) shows that (i) implies (ii). Statement (i) follows from Proposition 2.5. To apply this proposition, it suffices to show $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) \subseteq \mathbf{per}(B)$ since the other conditions hold by Proposition 2.10 and our assumptions. Let $M \in \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$. Using Corollary 2.12(b) and our assumption that all finite-dimensional A/AeA modules have finite projective dimension over A , we obtain $i_*(M) \in K^b(\mathbf{proj} - A)$. Remark 2.9 shows that $M \cong i^*i_*(M)$. Since i^* respects compact objects [70, Theorem 2.1], $i^*(K^b(\mathbf{proj} - A)) \subseteq \mathbf{per}(B)$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.14. The triangle equivalences (2.10) and $\mathcal{D}(B) \cong \mathcal{D}_{A/AeA}(A)$ show that

$$(\mathcal{D}_{A/AeA}(A))^c \cong (K^b(\mathbf{proj} - A)/\mathbf{thick}(eA))^\omega. \quad (2.12)$$

In particular, if A is a non-commutative resolution of a complete Gorenstein singularity R , then the relative singularity category $\Delta_R(A) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)/\mathbf{thick}(eA)$ is idempotent complete by [21, Section 3]. Hence, there is a triangle equivalence

$$(\mathcal{D}_{A/AeA}(A))^c \cong \Delta_R(A). \quad (2.13)$$

3. QUOTIENT FUNCTORS ASSOCIATED WITH IDEMPOTENTS

Definition 3.1. A triangulated functor $\mathbb{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is called *triangulated quotient functor* if the induced functor $\underline{\mathbb{F}} : \mathcal{C}/\ker \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is an equivalence of categories.

The following well-known lemma may be seen as an triangulated category analogue of the third isomorphism theorem for groups.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathbb{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a triangulated quotient functor with kernel \mathcal{K} . Let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ be a full triangulated subcategory, let $q: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{U}$ be the quotient functor and $\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{thick}(\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{U}))$. Then $\overline{\mathbb{F}}: \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{V}$ is a quotient functor with kernel $\mathbf{thick}(q(\mathcal{K}))$. In particular, $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ induces an equivalence of triangulated categories.*

$$\frac{(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{U})}{\mathbf{thick}(q(\mathcal{K}))} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof. \mathbb{F} induces a triangle functor $\overline{\mathbb{F}}: \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{V}$. We have $\mathbf{thick}(q(\mathcal{K})) \subseteq \ker(\overline{\mathbb{F}})$. We have to show that $\overline{\mathbb{F}}$ is universal with this property, see e.g. [71, Theorem 2.1.8 & Remark 2.1.10]. Let $\mathbb{G}: \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a triangle functor with $\mathbf{thick}(q(\mathcal{K})) \subseteq \ker(\mathbb{G})$. We explain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{K} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad \mathbb{F} \quad} & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow q & & \downarrow q' \\ \mathbf{thick}(q(\mathcal{K})) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\quad \overline{\mathbb{F}} \quad} & \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{V} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathbb{G} \nearrow \mathcal{T} \xleftarrow{\mathbb{I}_1} \mathcal{D} \\ \mathbb{I}_2 \searrow \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{V} \end{array}$$

\mathbb{I}_1 exists by the universal property of \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{I}_2 exists by the universal property of q' . Since $\mathbb{I}_2 \circ \overline{\mathbb{F}} \circ q = \mathbb{I}_1 \circ \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G} \circ q$ the universal property of q implies $\mathbb{I}_2 \circ \overline{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{G}$.

To show uniqueness of \mathbb{I}_2 let $\mathbb{H}: \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a triangle functor such that $\mathbb{H} \circ \overline{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{G}$. Then $\mathbb{H} \circ q' \circ \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G} \circ q$ and the universal property of \mathbb{F} imply $\mathbb{H} \circ q' = \mathbb{I}_1$. Since $\mathbb{H} \circ q' = \mathbb{I}_1 = \mathbb{I}_2 \circ q'$ the universal property of q' yields $\mathbb{I}_2 = \mathbb{H}$. \square

The case $e = f$ of the following proposition will be the most important in the sequel.

Proposition 3.3. *Let A be a right Noetherian ring and let $e, f \in A$ be idempotents. The exact functor $\mathbb{F} = \mathbf{Hom}_A(eA, -)$ induces an equivalence of triangulated categories*

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A) / \mathbf{thick}(fA)}{\mathbf{thick}(q(\mathbf{mod} - A / AeA))} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - eAe)}{\mathbf{thick}(fAe)}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. On the abelian level \mathbb{F} induces a well-known equivalence

$$\underline{\mathbb{F}}: \frac{\mathbf{mod} - A}{\mathbf{mod} - A / AeA} \longrightarrow \mathbf{mod} - eAe, \quad (3.2)$$

which may be deduced from an appropriate version of [35, Proposition III.5] in conjunction with classical Morita theory (see e.g. [31, Theorem 8.4.4.]). Using a compatibility result, which relates abelian quotients with triangulated quotients of derived categories [69, Theorem 3.2.], the equivalence (3.2) shows that \mathbb{F} induces a triangulated *quotient* functor $\mathbb{F}: \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - eAe)$. An application of Lemma 3.2 to \mathbb{F} and $\mathbf{thick}(fA)$ completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. Proposition 3.3 contains Chen’s [23, Theorem 3.1] as a special case. Namely, if we set $f = 1$ and assume that $\text{pr. dim}_{eAe}(Ae) < \infty$ holds, (3.1) yields a triangle equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{sg}(A)/\text{thick}(q(\text{mod } -A/AeA)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{sg}(eAe)$. If moreover every finitely generated A/AeA -module has finite projective dimension over A (i.e. the idempotent e is *singularly-complete* in the terminology of loc. cit.), we get an equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{sg}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{sg}(eAe)$ of singularity categories [23, Corollary 3.3].

Remark 3.5. Lemma 3.2 has another application. Let $\mathbb{X} = (X, \mathcal{A})$ be a (non-commutative) ringed space as studied in Burban & Kalck [21], see also Subsection 6.5. Let $j: U \rightarrow X$ be an open immersion. The restriction functor $j^*: \text{Perf}(X) \rightarrow \text{Perf}(U)$ is essentially surjective by [89, Lemma 5.5.1]. Moreover, $j^*: \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Coh}(\mathcal{A})) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\text{Coh}(\mathcal{A}|_U))$ is a triangulated quotient functor. Hence, Lemma 3.2 yields a triangle equivalence

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{Coh}(\mathcal{A}))/\text{Perf}(X)}{\text{thick}(q(\text{Coh}_{(X \setminus U)}(\mathcal{A})))} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{Coh}(\mathcal{A}|_U))}{\text{Perf}(U)} \quad (3.3)$$

In combination with [21, Proposition 2.6] this yields a proof of [21, Theorem 2.7]. This is analogous to the commutative case treated in [23, Proposition 1.2].

4. THE FRACTIONAL CALABI–YAU PROPERTY

Let \mathcal{E} be an idempotent complete Frobenius k -category.

Definition 4.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a full additive subcategory of \mathcal{E} . We say that \mathcal{C} has *d-almost split sequences* if \mathcal{C} is Krull–Schmidt and for any indecomposable object X of \mathcal{C} , which is not projective in \mathcal{E} (respectively, indecomposable object Y of \mathcal{C} , which is not injective in \mathcal{E}) there is an exact sequence (called a *d-almost split sequence*, see [45, Section 3.1])

$$0 \longrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{f_d} C_{d-1} \xrightarrow{f_{d-1}} \dots \longrightarrow C_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X \longrightarrow 0$$

with terms in \mathcal{C} and f_d, \dots, f_0 belong to the Jacobson radical $J_{\mathcal{C}}$ of \mathcal{C} such that the following two sequences of functors are exact

$$0 \longrightarrow (?, Y) \xrightarrow{f_d} (?, C_{d-1}) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow (?, C_0) \xrightarrow{f_0} J_{\mathcal{C}}(?, X) \longrightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \longrightarrow (X, ?) \xrightarrow{f_0} (C_0, ?) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow (C_{d-1}, ?) \xrightarrow{f_d} J_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, ?) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $(X, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$. As in [45, Proposition 3.1.1] one can show that X is indecomposable if and only if Y is indecomposable and that X and Y mutually determine each other. In particular, one gets well-defined maps on isomorphism classes of indecomposables by setting $\tau_d(X) = Y$ (respectively, $\tau_d^{-1}(Y) = X$).

We assume that there exists $P \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $\text{proj } \mathcal{E} = \text{add}(P)$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \text{add}(F)$ with $F = P \oplus F'$. We assume that \mathcal{C} has *d-almost split sequences*. In particular, \mathcal{C} is Krull–Schmidt and therefore we may assume that $F \cong P \oplus F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F_r$ such that F_1, \dots, F_r are pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable objects, which are not

projective in \mathcal{E} . Let $A = \text{End}_{\mathcal{E}}(F)$, $R = \text{End}_{\mathcal{E}}(P)$ and $e = id_P \in A$. Then A/AeA is the stable endomorphism algebra of F .

Recall from Corollary 2.12 (b) that $\mathcal{D}_{fd,A/AeA}(A)$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ consisting of complexes of A -modules which have cohomologies supported on A/AeA and that $\mathcal{D}_{fd,A/AeA}(A)$ is generated by $\text{fdmod} - A/AeA$. In particular, if A/AeA is finite-dimensional over k , then $\mathcal{D}_{fd,A/AeA}(A)$ is generated by the simple A/AeA -modules S_1, \dots, S_r , corresponding to F_1, \dots, F_r , respectively.

Theorem 4.2. *In the notation of the paragraph above, if $\text{add}(F)$ has d -almost split sequences and A/AeA is finite-dimensional over k , then the following statements hold.*

- (a) *Any finite-dimensional A/AeA -module has finite projective dimension over A .*
- (b) *The triangulated category $\mathcal{D}_{fd,A/AeA}(A)$ admits a Serre functor ν .*
- (c) *For $i = 1, \dots, r$, the simple A/AeA -module S_i is fractionally $\frac{(d+1)n_i}{n_i}$ -CY, where n_i is the smallest positive integer such that $\tau_d^{n_i}(F_i) \cong F_i$ holds.*
- (d) *There exists a permutation π on the isomorphism classes of simple A/AeA -modules such that $D\text{Ext}_A^l(S, S') \cong \text{Ext}_A^{d+1-l}(S', \pi(S))$, holds for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $e_i = \mathbf{1}_{F_i}$ and consider it as an element in A . Then $1_A = e + e_1 + \dots + e_r$. Let S_i be the simple A -module corresponding to e_i . By assumption there is an d -almost split sequence (see Definition 4.1)

$$\eta: 0 \longrightarrow F_{\pi(i)} \longrightarrow C_{d-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C_0 \longrightarrow F_i \longrightarrow 0 \quad (4.1)$$

where $C_{d-1}, \dots, C_0 \in \text{add}(F)$ and π is the permutation on the set $\{1, \dots, r\}$ induced by $F_{\pi(i)} = \tau_d(F_i)$. Applying $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(F, ?)$ to η yields a A -projective resolution of S_i

$$0 \longrightarrow (F, F_{\pi(i)}) \longrightarrow (F, C_{d-1}) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow (F, C_0) \longrightarrow (F, F_i) \longrightarrow S_i \longrightarrow 0. \quad (4.2)$$

In particular, this shows (a). Dually, we acquire an A -injective resolution of $S_{\pi(i)}$

$$0 \rightarrow S_{\pi(i)} \rightarrow D(F_{\pi(i)}, F) \rightarrow D(C_{d-1}, F) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow D(C_0, F) \rightarrow D(F_i, F) \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.3)$$

by applying $D\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{E}}(?, F)$ to η . Recall from Section 2.3 that there is a triangle functor $\nu: \text{per}(A) \rightarrow \text{thick}(DA)$. From (4.2) and (4.3), we see that $\nu(S_i) = S_{\pi(i)}[d+1]$ and therefore the subcategory $\mathcal{D}_{fd,A/AeA}(A) = \text{thick}(S_1, \dots, S_r) \subseteq \text{per}(A) \cap \text{thick}(DA)$ is invariant under ν . It follows from formula (2.2) that the restriction of ν on $\mathcal{D}_{fd,A/AeA}(A)$ is a right Serre functor and hence fully faithful [80, Corollary I.1.2] — note that $\mathcal{D}_{fd,A/AeA}(A) \subseteq \text{per}(A)$ is Hom-finite since $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(P, X)$ is finite-dimensional for all $P \in \text{per}(A)$ and $X \in \mathcal{D}_{fd,A/AeA}(A)$. As shown above, ν takes a set of generators of $\mathcal{D}_{fd,A/AeA}(A)$ to itself up to shift. It follows that ν restricts to an auto-equivalence of $\mathcal{D}_{fd,A/AeA}(A)$. Indeed, the essential image of a fully faithful triangle functor is a triangulated subcategory. Since the essential image of ν contains a set of generators for $\mathcal{D}_{fd,A/AeA}(A)$, we are done. In particular, ν is a Serre functor of $\mathcal{D}_{fd,A/AeA}(A)$. Moreover, if n denotes the number of elements in the π -orbit of i ,

then $\nu^n(S_i) \cong S_i[(d+1)n]$, i.e. S_i is fractionally Calabi–Yau of Calabi–Yau dimension $\frac{(d+1)n}{n}$. Finally, we have a chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} D \operatorname{Ext}_A^l(S_i, S_j) &\cong D \operatorname{Hom}_A(S_i, S_j[l]) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_A(S_j, \nu(S_i)[-l]) \\ &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_A(S_j, S_{\pi(i)}[d+1-l]) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_A^{d+1-l}(S_j, S_{\pi(i)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ and l denotes an integer. This proves part (d). \square

5. DG-AUSLANDER ALGEBRAS AND RELATIVE SINGULARITY CATEGORIES

In this section, we show that the relative Auslander singularity categories $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(\operatorname{Aus}(\mathcal{E}))$ of certain representation-finite Frobenius categories \mathcal{E} are triangle equivalent to perfect derived categories $\operatorname{per}(B)$ for dg algebras B which are determined by the stable categories $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$. In Section 6, we apply this general result to the Frobenius categories of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules over Gorenstein rings and module categories over finite-dimensional selfinjective algebras.

Setup 5.1. Let \mathcal{E} be a Frobenius k -category over an algebraically closed field k satisfying the following conditions:

- (FM1) \mathcal{E} has 1-almost split sequences, also known as Auslander–Reiten sequences (see e.g. Definition 4.1) — in particular, \mathcal{E} is Krull–Schmidt and therefore idempotent complete, see e.g. [62, Lemma 3.2.2];
- (FM2) \mathcal{E} has only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects. We denote their representatives by N_1, \dots, N_s ;
- (FM3) the Auslander algebra $A = \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{E}}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^s N_i)$ of \mathcal{E} is right Noetherian;
- (FM4) the stable category $\underline{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}/\operatorname{proj} \mathcal{E}$ is Hom-finite and idempotent complete.

By (FM2), the category $\operatorname{proj} \mathcal{E}$ of projective objects of \mathcal{E} has an additive generator P . Let $e \in A$ be the idempotent endomorphism corresponding to $\mathbf{1}_P$. We define the *relative Auslander singularity category* of \mathcal{E} as the following triangle quotient

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(A) = \frac{K^b(\operatorname{proj} - A)}{\operatorname{thick}(eA)}. \quad (5.1)$$

5.1. Independence of the Frobenius model. Let \mathcal{E} be a Frobenius category as in Setup 5.1 above. Then $\mathcal{T} = \underline{\mathcal{E}}$ is an idempotent complete Hom-finite triangulated category with only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects, say M_1, \dots, M_r (we refer to Happel [40] for the triangulated structure on \mathcal{T}). By [1, Theorem 1.1], \mathcal{T} has a Serre functor and thus has Auslander–Reiten triangles [80, Theorem I.2.4]. Let τ be the Auslander–Reiten translation. By abuse of notation, τ also denotes the induced permutation on $\{1, \dots, r\}$ defined by $M_{\tau(i)} = \tau M_i$.

The quiver of the Auslander algebra $\Lambda(\mathcal{T}) = \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i)$ of \mathcal{T} is the quiver of irreducible maps Γ of \mathcal{T} , in which we identify the vertex i with the object M_i . We need the conditions (A1)–(A3) of the following lemma in our definition of dg Auslander algebra below.

Lemma 5.2. *There exists a sequence of elements $\gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$ in $\widehat{k\Gamma}$ which satisfies the following conditions:*

- (A1) *for each vertex i the element γ_i is a (possibly infinite) combination of paths of Γ from i to $\tau^{-1}i$ of length ≥ 2 ;*
- (A2) *if Γ has at least one arrow starting in i , then γ_i is non-zero;*
- (A3) *the non-zero γ_i 's form a set of minimal relations for $\Lambda(\mathcal{T})$ (see Section 2.6).*

Proof. This forms a part of the proof of Theorem 5.5. \square

We introduce the notion of a dg Auslander algebra, which plays a key role in the sequel.

Definition 5.3. Let $\mathcal{T} = \underline{\mathcal{E}}$ be a triangulated category as above and let $\gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$ be a sequence satisfying the conditions (A1)–(A3) as in Lemma 5.2. The dg Auslander algebra $\Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T}, \gamma)$ of \mathcal{T} with respect to γ is the dg algebra (\widehat{kQ}, d) , where Q is a graded quiver and $d: \widehat{kQ} \rightarrow \widehat{kQ}$ is a map such that

- (dgA1) Q is concentrated in degrees 0 and -1 ;
- (dgA2) the degree 0 part of Q is the same as the quiver of irreducible maps Γ of \mathcal{T} ;
- (dgA3) for each vertex i , there is precisely one arrow $\rho_i: i \dashrightarrow \tau^{-1}(i)$ of degree -1 ;
- (dgA4) d is the unique continuous k -linear map on \widehat{kQ} of degree 1 satisfying the graded Leibniz rule and taking ρ_i ($i \in Q_0$) to the relation γ_i .

It turns out that the dg Auslander algebra does not depend on the choice of γ :

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{T} = \underline{\mathcal{E}}$ be as above. Let $\gamma = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$ and $\gamma' = \{\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_r\}$ be sequences of elements of $\widehat{k\Gamma}$ satisfying the conditions (A1)–(A3). Then the dg Auslander algebras $\Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T}, \gamma)$ and $\Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T}, \gamma')$ are isomorphic as dg algebras.*

Proof. See Subsection 5.2 below. \square

Henceforth, we denote by $\Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T})$ the dg Auslander algebra of \mathcal{T} with respect to any sequence γ satisfying (A1)–(A3).

Theorem 5.5. *Let \mathcal{E} be a Frobenius category as in Setup 5.1. Denote by $\mathcal{T} = \underline{\mathcal{E}}$ the stable category and let $A = \text{Aus}(\mathcal{E})$ be the Auslander algebra of \mathcal{E} . Then the following statements hold*

- (a) $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(A)$ *is triangle equivalent to* $\text{per}(\Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T}))$ *(up to direct summands);*
- (b) $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(A)$ *is Hom-finite.*

Remark 5.6. If $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(A)$ is idempotent complete, then we can omit the supplement ‘up to direct summands’ in the statement above. In particular, this holds in the case $\mathcal{E} = \text{MCM}(R)$, where (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a local complete Gorenstein (R/\mathfrak{m}) -algebra [21].

Proof. Let P be the direct sum of indecomposable projective objects of \mathcal{E} (each isomorphism class occurring with multiplicity one) and $e \in A$ be the idempotent endomorphism corresponding to the projection on P . By Corollary 2.12 (a) and

Remark 2.11, there is a non-positive dg k -algebra B which is augmented over $K = H^0(B)/\text{rad } H^0(B)$ with $H^0(B) \cong A/AeA$, such that the idempotent completion of the relative singularity category $(\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(A))^{\omega} := (K^b(\text{proj } -A)/\text{thick}(eA))^{\omega}$ is triangle equivalent to $\text{per}(B)$.

The stable Auslander algebra A/AeA is finite-dimensional, since \mathcal{T} is Hom-finite by assumption. Theorem 4.2(a) shows that the simple A/AeA -modules have finite projective dimension over A . Corollary 2.13 implies statement (b) and in particular, that $H^i(B)$ is finite-dimensional for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. This is needed in our Koszul duality argument below.

Namely, by Theorem 2.8 (b), B is quasi-isomorphic to $E(B^*)$ as a dg algebra, where B^* is the A_{∞} -Koszul dual of B . Let $S = (A/AeA)/\text{rad}(A/AeA)$. Then $S \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r S_i$ as a dg B -module, where S_1, \dots, S_r is a complete set of pairwise non-isomorphic simple A/AeA -modules. B^* is A_{∞} -quasi-isomorphic to the dg algebra $\text{RHom}_B(S, S)$ by Theorem 2.8 (a). Because there is a dg algebra homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ inducing an embedding $\mathcal{D}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ (see Proposition 2.10), the dg algebra $\text{RHom}_B(S, S)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\text{RHom}_A(S, S)$. Thus as a graded algebra B^* is isomorphic to $\text{Ext}_A^*(S, S)$. It follows from Theorem 4.2 that $\text{Ext}_A^*(S, S)$ is concentrated in degrees 0, 1 and 2. Clearly $\text{Ext}_A^0(S_i, S_j) = 0$ unless $i = j$ in which case it is k . Analysing the proof of Theorem 4.2 shows that in the current situation the permutation π coincides with τ . Therefore, $\text{Ext}_A^2(S_i, S_j) = 0$ unless $j = \tau(i)$. Hence, $E(B^*) = (\widehat{kQ}, d)$ for a graded quiver Q and a continuous k -linear differential d of degree 1, where the graded quiver Q is concentrated in degree 0 and -1 , and starting from any vertex i there is precisely one arrow ρ_i of degree -1 whose target is $\tau^{-1}i$. This shows (dgA1) and (dgA3) in Definition 5.3.

Let Q^0 denote the degree 0 part of Q . By the paragraph after Theorem 2.7, we know that Q^0 is the quiver of $H^0(E(B^*)) \cong H^0(B) \cong A/AeA = \Lambda(\mathcal{T})$. In other words, Q^0 is the quiver of irreducible maps Γ of \mathcal{T} , so $E(B^*)$ also satisfies (dgA2).

Moreover, $\gamma = \{d(\rho_1), \dots, d(\rho_r)\}$ is a set of relations for $\Lambda(\mathcal{T})$, i.e. $\Lambda(\mathcal{T}) = \widehat{kQ^0}/\overline{(d(\rho_i))}$. In other words, we have to show that $\text{im } d^{-1} = \overline{(d(\rho_i))}$. The inclusion ' \subseteq ' follows from the continuity of d . To show the other inclusion, it suffices to prove that there exists $l > 0$ such that $J^l \subseteq \text{im } d^{-1}$, where $J \subseteq \widehat{kQ^0}$ is the ideal generated by the arrows. Let $q: \widehat{kQ^0} \rightarrow \widehat{kQ^0}/\text{im } d^{-1}$ be the canonical projection. Then $q(J) \subseteq \text{rad } \widehat{kQ^0}/\text{im } d^{-1}$ is a nilpotent ideal, since $\widehat{kQ^0}/\text{im } d^{-1} \cong H^0(E(B^*)) \cong H^0(B)$ is finite-dimensional. This completes the argument.

We claim that γ is a sequence satisfying the conditions (A1)–(A3) of Lemma 5.2. In particular, $E(B^*)$ satisfies (dgA4) with respect to γ . This completes the proof that $E(B^*)$ is isomorphic to the dg Auslander algebra $\Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T})$.

We prove the claim. Let J be the ideal of $\widehat{k\Gamma}$ generated by all arrows. Since B^* is a minimal A_{∞} -algebra, it follows that $d(\rho_j) \in J^2$ holds for any $j = 1, \dots, r$, see Subsection 2.7. Since we already know that $\rho_i: i \rightarrow \tau^{-1}(i)$ holds, $d(\rho_i)$ is a combination of paths of length ≥ 2 from i to $\tau^{-1}i$, for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. Hence,

condition (A1) holds. In order to show (A2), we assume that Γ has an arrow starting in i . Then there is an Auslander–Reiten triangle

$$M_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X_i \xrightarrow{g_i} M_{\tau^{-1}(i)} \longrightarrow M_i[1] \quad (5.2)$$

in \mathcal{T} , where f_i and g_i are non-zero and irreducible. We may view f_i and g_i as elements of $\widehat{k\Gamma}$. The arrows¹ of Γ which start in i form a basis of the vector space of irreducible maps $\text{rad}(M_i, X_i)/\text{rad}^2(M_i, X_i)$, see Happel [40, Section 4.8]. In particular, f_i may be written as follows

$$f_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \alpha_j + r_i, \quad (5.3)$$

where the α_j are the arrows starting in i , $r_i \in \text{rad}^2(M_i, X_i)$ and the $\lambda_j \in k$ are not all zero. Similarly,

$$g_i = \sum_{j=1}^m \mu_j \beta_j + s_i, \quad (5.4)$$

where the β_j are the arrows ending in $\tau^{-1}(i)$, $s_i \in \text{rad}^2(X_i, M_{\tau^{-1}(i)})$ and the $\mu_j \in k$ are not all zero.

Define $m_i = g_i f_i$ in $\widehat{k\Gamma}$, which is a relation for $\Lambda(\mathcal{T})$, since (5.2) is a triangle. Therefore, it is generated by $\{d(\rho_1), \dots, d(\rho_r)\}$. In other words, there exists an index set P and elements $c_{pj}, c^{pj} \in \widehat{k\Gamma}$ ($(p, j) \in P \times \{1, \dots, r\}$) such that

$$m_i = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{p \in P} c_{pj} d(\rho_j) c^{pj}. \quad (5.5)$$

If $j \neq i$ and $c_{pj} d(\rho_j) c^{pj} \neq 0$, then $c_{pj} d(\rho_j) c^{pj}$ is a combination of paths of length at least 4, because m_i is a combination of paths from i to $\tau^{-1}i$, while $d(\rho_j)$ is a combination of path of length ≥ 2 from j to $\tau^{-1}j$. Using (5.3) and (5.4), we see that $m_i = g_i f_i$ has a non-zero length 2 component. Thus (5.5) implies that $\sum_{p \in P} c_{pi} d(\rho_i) c^{pi}$ is non-zero and its length 2 component equals that of m_i . In particular, $d(\rho_i)$ is non-zero and cannot be generated by $\{d(\rho_j)\}_{j \neq i}$. To summarise, $d(\rho_i) \neq 0$ if and only if Γ has arrows starting in i (A2), and the non-zero $d(\rho_i)$'s form a set of minimal relations for $\Lambda(\mathcal{T})$ (A3). \square

Remark 5.7. Let \mathcal{T} be an idempotent complete Hom-finite algebraic triangulated category with only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects. We say that \mathcal{T} is *standard* if the Auslander algebra $\Lambda(\mathcal{T})$ is given by the Auslander–Reiten quiver with mesh relations, see [1, Section 5]. Examples of non-standard categories can be found in [83, 7].

Assume that \mathcal{T} is standard and $\mathcal{T} \cong \underline{\mathcal{E}}$ for some Frobenius category \mathcal{E} as in Setup 5.1. Theorem 5.5 shows that $\Delta_{\mathcal{E}}(A)$ is determined by the Auslander–Reiten quiver of \mathcal{T} (up to direct summands).

¹When we write ‘arrow’, we also mean the corresponding irreducible map in \mathcal{T} .

5.2. Proof of Proposition 5.4. By the assumptions (A1)–(A3), there exist $c_i \in k^\times$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$), an index set P and $c_{pj}, c^{pj} \in \widehat{k\Gamma}$ ($(p, j) \in P \times \{1, \dots, r\}$), such that for each pair (p, j) , at least one of c_{pj} and c^{pj} belongs to the ideal of $\widehat{k\Gamma}$ generated by all arrows, and

$$\gamma'_i = c_i \gamma_i + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{p \in P} c_{pj} \gamma_j c^{pj}. \quad (5.6)$$

To see that $c_i \neq 0$, using that the γ'_j form a set of relations, we write

$$\gamma_i = d_i \gamma'_i + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{p \in P} d_{pj} \gamma'_j d^{pj} \quad (5.7)$$

with d_{pj} or d^{pj} contained in the ideal of $\widehat{k\Gamma}$ generated by the arrows and $d_i \in k$. Using (5.6) to substitute the γ'_j in (5.7) we obtain

$$\gamma_i = d_i c_i \gamma_i + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{p \in P} \tilde{d}_{pj} \gamma_j \tilde{d}^{pj} \quad (5.8)$$

This shows that $d_i c_i = 1$, since \tilde{d}_{pj} or \tilde{d}^{pj} are contained in the arrow ideal.

We define a continuous graded k -algebra homomorphism $\varphi: \Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T}, \gamma') \rightarrow \Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T}, \gamma)$ as follows: it is the identity on the degree 0 part and for arrows of degree -1 we set

$$\varphi(\rho'_i) = c_i \rho_i + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{p \in P} c_{pj} \rho_j c^{pj}. \quad (5.9)$$

Since $\gamma_i = d(\rho_i)$ and $\gamma'_i = d(\rho'_i)$, it follows from (5.6) and (5.9) that φ is a homomorphism of dg algebras. The equation (5.9), yields

$$\rho_i = c_i^{-1} \varphi(\rho'_i) - c_i^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{p \in P} c_{pj} \rho_j c^{pj}. \quad (5.10)$$

By iteratively substituting $c_j^{-1} \varphi(\rho'_j) - c_j^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{p \in P} c_{pk} \rho_k c^{pk}$ for ρ_j on the right hand side of (5.10), we see that there exists an index set P' and elements $c'_{pj}, c'^{pj} \in \widehat{k\Gamma}$ ($(p, j) \in P' \times \{1, \dots, r\}$) such that for each pair (p, j) at least one of c'_{pj} and c'^{pj} belongs to the ideal of $\widehat{k\Gamma}$ generated by all arrows, and the following equation holds

$$\rho_i = c_i^{-1} \varphi(\rho'_i) - \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{p \in P'} c'_{pj} \varphi(\rho'_j) c'^{pj}. \quad (5.11)$$

Define a continuous graded k -algebra homomorphism $\varphi': \Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T}, \gamma) \rightarrow \Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T}, \gamma')$ as follows: φ' is the identity on the degree 0 part and for arrows of degree -1 we set:

$$\varphi'(\rho_i) = c_i^{-1} \rho'_i - \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{p \in P'} c'_{pj} \rho'_j c'^{pj}. \quad (5.12)$$

It is clear that $\varphi \circ \varphi' = id$ holds. Since φ' and φ have a similar form, the same argument as above shows that there exists a continuous graded k -algebra homomorphism

$\varphi'': \Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T}, \gamma') \rightarrow \Lambda_{dg}(\mathcal{T}, \gamma)$ such that $\varphi' \circ \varphi'' = id$ holds. Therefore we have $\varphi = \varphi''$. In particular, we see that φ is an isomorphism.

6. CLASSICAL VS. RELATIVE SINGULARITY CATEGORIES FOR GORENSTEIN RINGS

Let k be an algebraically closed field. Throughout this subsection (R, \mathfrak{m}) and (R', \mathfrak{m}') denote commutative local complete Gorenstein k -algebras, such that their respective residue fields are isomorphic to k .

The results in this section actually hold in greater generality. Namely, we may (at least) replace R and R' respectively by Gorenstein S -orders in the sense of [9, Section III.1] or finite-dimensional selfinjective k -algebras. Here, $S = (S, \mathfrak{n})$ denotes a complete regular Noetherian k -algebra, with $k \cong S/\mathfrak{n}$. We decided to stay in the more restricted setup above to keep the exposition clear and concise. It is mostly a matter of heavier notation and not hard to work out the more general results.

6.1. Classical singularity categories. Let $\text{MCM}(R)$ be the category of maximal Cohen–Macaulay R -modules. Note that $\text{MCM}(R)$ is a Frobenius category with $\text{proj MCM}(R) = \text{proj } -R$ (see e.g. [20]). Hence, $\underline{\text{MCM}}(R) = \text{MCM}(R)/\text{proj } -R$ is a triangulated category [40].

The following concrete examples of hypersurface rings are of particular interest: Let $R = \mathbb{C}[[z_0, \dots, z_d]]/(f)$, where $d \geq 1$ and f is one of the following polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} (A_n) \quad & z_0^2 + z_1^{n+1} + z_2^2 + \dots + z_d^2 \quad (n \geq 1), \\ (D_n) \quad & z_0^2 z_1 + z_1^{n-1} + z_2^2 + \dots + z_d^2 \quad (n \geq 4), \\ (E_6) \quad & z_0^3 + z_1^4 + z_2^2 + \dots + z_d^2, \\ (E_7) \quad & z_0^3 + z_0 z_1^3 + z_2^2 + \dots + z_d^2, \\ (E_8) \quad & z_0^3 + z_1^5 + z_2^2 + \dots + z_d^2. \end{aligned}$$

Such a \mathbb{C} -algebra R is called *ADE-singularity* of dimension d . As hypersurface singularities they are known to be Gorenstein (see e.g. [18]). The following result is known as Knörrer’s Periodicity Theorem, see [60]. It was the main motivation for Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 6.20.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $d \geq 1$ and k an algebraically closed field such that $\text{char } k \neq 2$. Let $S = k[[z_0, \dots, z_d]]$ and $f \in (z_0, \dots, z_d) \setminus \{0\}$. Set $R = S/(f)$ and $R' = S[[x, y]]/(f + xy)$. Then there is a triangle equivalence*

$$\underline{\text{MCM}}(R') \rightarrow \underline{\text{MCM}}(R). \tag{6.1}$$

Definition 6.2. We say that R is *MCM-finite* if there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of indecomposable maximal Cohen–Macaulay R -modules.

Remark 6.3. Solberg [87] showed that Theorem 6.1 also holds in characteristic 2 if R is MCM-finite.

It follows from Theorem 6.1 that R is MCM-finite if and only if R' is MCM-finite. The ADE-curve singularities are MCM-finite by work of Drozd & Roiter [32] and

Jacobinsky [49]. Moreover, the ADE–surface singularities are MCM–finite by work of Herzog [41]. This has the following well-known consequence.

Corollary 6.4. *Let R be an ADE–singularity as above. Then R is MCM–finite.*

Remark 6.5. If k is an arbitrary algebraically closed field, then the ADE–polynomials listed above still describe MCM–finite singularities. Yet there exist further MCM–finite rings if k has characteristic 2, 3 or 5 (complete lists are contained in [38]).

6.2. Relative singularity categories. Henceforth, let F' be a finitely generated R -module and $F = R \oplus F'$. We call $A = \text{End}_R(F)$ a *partial resolution of R* . If A has finite global dimension we say that A is a *resolution*. Denote by $e \in A$ the idempotent endomorphism corresponding to the identity morphism $\mathbf{1}_R$ of R .

The situation is particularly nice if R is MCM–finite. Let $M_0 = R, M_1, \dots, M_t$ be representatives of the indecomposable objects of $\text{MCM}(R)$. Their endomorphism algebra $\text{Aus}(\text{MCM}(R)) = \text{End}_R(\bigoplus_{i=0}^t M_i)$ is called the *Auslander algebra*. Auslander [10, Theorem A.1] has shown that its global dimension is bounded above by the Krull dimension of R (respectively by 2 in Krull dimensions 0 and 1; for this case see also Auslander’s treatment in [8, Sections III.2 and III.3]). Hence, $\text{Aus}(\text{MCM}(R))$ is a resolution of R .

The next lemma motivates the definition of the *relative singularity categories*.

Lemma 6.6. *There is a fully faithful triangle functor $K^b(\text{proj } -R) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -A)$.*

Proof. By the definition of A , there exists an idempotent $e \in A$ such that $R \cong eAe$. Moreover, Proposition 2.10 (a) yields a fully faithful functor

$$- \otimes_{eAe}^{\mathbf{L}} eA: \mathcal{D}(eAe) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(A). \quad (6.2)$$

This functor descends to an embedding between the categories of compact objects

$$- \otimes_{eAe}^{\mathbf{L}} eA: K^b(\text{proj } -eAe) \longrightarrow K^b(\text{proj } -A) \quad (6.3)$$

since the image of the generator eAe is the projective A -module eA . Composing with the canonical embedding $K^b(\text{proj } -A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -A)$ completes the proof. \square

Remark 6.7. If A is Cohen–Macaulay when considered as an R -module, then F' is a Cohen–Macaulay R -module. Indeed, we have $Ae \cong R \oplus F'$ and $A \cong Ae \oplus A(1 - e)$ as R -modules. So F' is an R -direct summand of A and therefore Cohen–Macaulay.

In this situation, A is a modification algebra in the sense of Iyama & Wemyss [47]. In particular, Van den Bergh’s NCCRs [89] are of this form, *cf.* [47, Lemma 2.23].

Remark 6.8. Assume that F' is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay R -module — by Remark 6.7 this is satisfied if A is in $\text{MCM}(R)$, for example if A is an NCCR in the sense of Van den Bergh [91]. Then the fully faithful functor $j_* = \text{RHom}_{eAe}(Ae, ?)$ in Proposition 2.10 (a) also restricts to an embedding $K^b(\text{proj } -eAe) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -A)$ — namely, representing A as a matrix algebra one can check that $j_*(eAe) = eA$

using the vanishing condition in (1.1). One can check that this embedding coincides with the embedding in Lemma 6.6.

Definition 6.9. Using the embedding in Lemma 6.6, we can define the *relative singularity category* of the pair (R, A) as the triangulated quotient category

$$\Delta_R(A) = \frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)}{K^b(\mathbf{proj} - R)}. \quad (6.4)$$

Remark 6.10. As a projective A -module eA has no self-extensions, therefore $\Delta_R(A) \cong \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A) / \mathbf{thick}(eA)$ is a relative singularity category in the sense of Chen [24]. Different notions of relative singularity categories were introduced and studied by Positselski [78] and also by Burke & Walker [22]. We thank Greg Stevenson for bringing this unfortunate coincidence to our attention.

Let G' be another finitely generated R -module, which contains F' as a direct summand. As above, we define $G = R \oplus G'$, $A' = \mathbf{End}_R(G)$ and $e' = \mathbf{1}_R \in A'$.

We compare the relative singularity categories of A and A' respectively.

Proposition 6.11. *If A has finite global dimension, then there is a fully faithful triangle functor*

$$\Delta_R(A) \longrightarrow \Delta_R(A'). \quad (6.5)$$

Proof. There is an idempotent $f \in A'$ such that $A \cong fA'f$. This yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & K^b(\mathbf{proj} - e'A'e') & \\ \begin{array}{c} \swarrow \\ - \otimes_{e'A'e'} e'A'f \end{array} & & \begin{array}{c} \searrow \\ - \otimes_{e'A'e'} e'A' \end{array} \\ & K^b(\mathbf{proj} - fA'f) \xrightarrow{- \otimes_{fA'f} fA'} K^b(\mathbf{proj} - A') & \end{array} \quad (6.6)$$

The two ‘diagonal’ functors are the embeddings from Lemma 6.6 and the horizontal functor is fully faithful by the same argument. Since $fA'f$ has finite global dimension, this functor yields an embedding $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - fA'f) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A')$. Passing to the triangulated quotient categories yields the claim. \square

Remark 6.12. We show that the assumption on the global dimension of A is necessary: consider the nodal curve singularity $A = R = k[[x, y]]/(xy)$ and its Auslander algebra $A' = \mathbf{End}_R(R \oplus k[[x]] \oplus k[[y]])$. In this situation Proposition 6.11 would yield an embedding $\mathbf{MCM}(R) = \Delta_R(R) \rightarrow \Delta_R(A')$. But, $\mathbf{MCM}(R)$ contains an indecomposable object X with $X \cong X[2s]$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Whereas, $\Delta_R(A')$ does not contain such objects by the explicit description obtained in [21, Section 4]. Contradiction.

Without restriction we may assume that F' has no projective direct summands.

Proposition 6.13. *Let A be a partial resolution. There exists a triangle equivalence*

$$\frac{\Delta_R(A)}{\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)}(\mathbf{mod} - A/AeA)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{MCM}(R). \quad (6.7)$$

Proof. Buchweitz has shown that there exists an equivalence of triangulated categories $\underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R) \cong \mathcal{D}_{sg}(R)$ [20]. We have an isomorphism of rings $R \cong eAe$. Hence, the special case $f = e$ of Proposition 3.3 yields a triangle equivalence

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A) / \mathbf{thick}(eA)}{\mathbf{thick}(q(\mathbf{mod} - A/AeA))} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{sg}(R). \quad (6.8)$$

Verdier's [93, Proposition II.2.3.3] implies that

$$q: \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A) / \mathbf{thick}(eA)$$

induces a (canonical) triangle equivalence

$$\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)}(\mathbf{mod} - A/AeA) \cong \mathbf{thick}_{\Delta_R(A)}(q(\mathbf{mod} - A/AeA)), \quad (6.9)$$

since there are no non-trivial morphisms from $\mathbf{thick}(eA)$ to $\mathbf{thick}(\mathbf{mod} - A/AeA)$. In the sequel, we identify these two categories via this equivalence. \square

Therefore there is a quotient functor from the relative singularity category $\Delta_R(A)$ to the singularity category $\underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R)$. We want to give an intrinsic description of its kernel $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)}(\mathbf{mod} - A/AeA)$ inside $\Delta_R(A)$. We need some preparation.

Proposition 6.14. *In the notations of Propositions 2.10 and 6.13 assume additionally that A has finite global dimension and A/AeA is finite-dimensional.*

Then there exists a non-positive dg algebra B and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)}(\mathbf{mod} - A/AeA) & \hookrightarrow & \Delta_R(A) & \twoheadrightarrow & \underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R) \\ \cong \uparrow i_* & & \cong \downarrow i^* & & \cong \downarrow \mathbb{I} \\ \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{per}(B) & \twoheadrightarrow & \mathbf{per}(B) / \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) \end{array} \quad (6.10)$$

where the horizontal arrows denote (functors induced by) the canonical inclusions and projections respectively. Finally, the triangle functor \mathbb{I} is induced by i^* .

Proof. Firstly, $\Delta_R(A)$ is idempotent complete: using Schlichting's negative K-Theory for triangulated categories [84] this may be deduced from the idempotent completeness of $\underline{\mathbf{MCM}}(R)$ (see [21, Theorem 3.2.]). Since A has finite global dimension Corollary 2.12 implies the existence of a dg k -algebra B with $i^*: \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A) / \mathbf{thick}(eA) \cong \mathbf{per}(B)$. Moreover, since $\dim_k(A/AeA)$ is finite $i_*: \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) \cong \mathbf{thick}(\mathbf{mod} - A/AeA)$ by the same corollary. The inclusion $\mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)}(\mathbf{mod} - A/AeA) \hookrightarrow \Delta_R(A)$ is induced by the inclusion $\mathbf{mod} - A/AeA \hookrightarrow \mathbf{mod} - A$ (see the proof of Proposition 6.13). Since i_* and i^* are part of a recollement (Proposition 2.10) we obtain $i^* \circ i_* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}(B)}$. Hence, the first square commutes. The second square commutes by definition of \mathbb{I} . \square

Note that under the assumptions of Proposition 6.14, we have equalities

$$\mathcal{D}_{fd, A/AeA}(A) = \mathbf{thick}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)}(\mathbf{mod} - A/AeA) = \mathcal{D}_{A/AeA}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A). \quad (6.11)$$

Moreover, combining this Proposition with Proposition 2.5 yields the following.

Proposition 6.15. *In the setup of Prop. 6.14, the category $\Delta_R(A)$ is Hom-finite.*

Definition 6.16. For a triangulated category \mathcal{T} the full triangulated subcategory

$$\mathcal{T}_{rhf} = \{X \in \mathcal{T} \mid \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(Y, X[i]) = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in \mathcal{T} \text{ and all but finitely many } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is called *subcategory of right homologically finite objects*. Dually one defines the subcategory \mathcal{T}_{lhf} of *left homologically finite objects*, see also [74, Definition 1.6.].

Example 6.17. Let B be a dg k -algebra such that $\text{per}(B)$ is Hom-finite over k , then $\text{per}(B)_{rhf} = \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) \cap \text{per}(B)$ since $\text{Hom}(B, X[i]) \cong H^i(X)$ for any dg B -module X . In particular, if $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) \subseteq \text{per}(B)$, then $\text{per}(B)_{rhf} = \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$.

Corollary 6.18. *In the setup of Proposition 6.14 there is an equality*

$$\text{thick}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -A)}(\text{mod } -A/AeA) = \Delta_R(A)_{rhf}, \quad (6.12)$$

where we identify $\text{thick}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -A)}(\text{mod } -A/AeA)$ with its image in $\Delta_R(A)$.

Proof. One can check that subcategories of right homologically finite objects are identified under triangle equivalences. Therefore, Proposition 6.14 shows that i^* induces an equivalence $\Delta_R(A)_{rhf} \cong \text{per}(B)_{rhf}$. By Corollary 2.13 $\text{per}(B)$ is Hom-finite and $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) \subseteq \text{per}(B)$. So Example 6.17 yields $\text{per}(B)_{rhf} = \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$. Using Proposition 6.14 again, we see that also $i^*(\text{thick}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -A)}(\text{mod } -A/AeA)) = \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) = \text{per}(B)_{rhf}$. This finishes the proof. \square

6.3. Intermezzo: Orlov's singularity category for $\Delta_R(A)$. Orlov defines a singularity category for any triangulated category \mathcal{T} as follows ([74, Definition 1.7.])

$$\mathcal{T}_{sg} := \mathcal{T}/\mathcal{T}_{lhf}. \quad (6.13)$$

If X is a separated Noetherian k -scheme of finite Krull dimension having enough locally free sheaves, then Orlov's [74, Proposition 1.11.] shows

$$\mathcal{D}^b(\text{Coh } X)_{sg} \cong \mathcal{D}_{sg}(X). \quad (6.14)$$

We show that Orlov's singularity category of the relative singularity category $\Delta_R(A)$ is equivalent to the classical singularity category as well

Proposition 6.19. *Let (A, R) be a pair of the following form*

- (a) A is the Auslander algebra of an MCM-finite Gorenstein singularity R ;
- (b) A is the Auslander algebra of a representation-finite selfinjective algebra R ;
- (c) $A = \text{End}(R \oplus M)$ is an NCCR, where R is an isolated Gorenstein singularity of Krull dimension $d \geq 2$.

Then $\Delta_R(A)_{lhf} = \text{thick}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -A)}(\text{mod } -A/AeA) = \Delta_R(A)_{rhf}$ inducing an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$\Delta_R(A)_{sg} \cong \mathcal{D}_{sg}(R). \quad (6.15)$$

Proof. Our assumptions on (A, R) , imply the existence of n -almost split sequences and therefore allow us to apply Theorem 4.2 later. Namely, for the cases (a), (b) the existence of 1-almost split sequences (=AR-sequences) on $\text{MCM}(R)$ and $\text{mod } -R$ respectively is well-known. For (c), Iyama's [44, Theorem 5.2.1] shows that $R \oplus M \in \text{MCM}(R)$ is maximal $(d-2)$ -orthogonal and therefore $\text{add}_R(R \oplus M)$ has $(d-1)$ -almost split sequences by [45, Theorem 3.3.1].

Moreover, under our assumptions, the algebra A has finite global dimension and A/AeA is finite-dimensional. So by Corollary 2.13, the dg algebra B has finite-dimensional cohomologies in each degree and we can apply Proposition 6.14 and Corollary 6.18 to reduce the statement to the equality $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) = \text{per}(B)_{lhf}$.

We prove $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) \subseteq \text{per}(B)_{lhf}$. Recall from Proposition 2.10 and Corollary 2.12 that $i_* : \mathcal{D}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A)$ is fully faithful and the essential image of $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$ under i_* is $\text{thick}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } -A)}(\text{mod } -A/AeA)$, which is invariant under the Nakayama functor ν_A on $\mathcal{D}(A)$ by the proof of Theorem 4.2. Thus for $X \in \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$, there exists $Z \in \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$ such that $\nu_A(i_*(X)) \cong i_*(Z)$. So for any $Y \in \text{per}(B)$ the spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} D \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(B)}(X, Y[p]) &\cong \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} D \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(i_*(X), i_*(Y)[p]) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(i_*(Y), \nu_A(i_*(X))[p]) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(A)}(i_*(Y), i_*(Z)[p]) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}(B)}(Y, Z[p]) \end{aligned}$$

are finite-dimensional, showing that $X \in \text{per}(B)_{lhf}$.

To show the equality $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) = \text{per}(B)_{lhf}$, we claim that the Nakayama functor ν_B on $\mathcal{D}(B)$ restricts to the Serre functor on $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$, which (using the equivalence i^*) exists by Theorem 4.2 (b). We have the following chain of implications

$$\begin{aligned} X \in \text{per}(B)_{lhf} &\Rightarrow \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{per}(B)}(X, B[p]) \text{ is finite-dimensional} \\ &\stackrel{(2.2)}{\Rightarrow} \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} H^p(\nu_B(X)) = \bigoplus_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\text{per}(B)}(B, \nu_B(X)[p]) \text{ is finite-dimensional} \\ &\Rightarrow \nu_B(X) \in \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) \subseteq \text{per}(B)_{lhf}$ this chain of implications shows that $\nu_B(\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$ and therefore by (2.2) that ν_B restricts a right Serre functor on $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$ has a Serre functor every right Serre functor is actually a Serre functor by Yoneda's Lemma. This completes the proof of the claim.

Because B has finite-dimensional cohomologies in each degree, ν_B restricts to a triangle equivalence (see Section 2.3)

$$\nu_B : \text{per}(B) \rightarrow \text{thick}(D(B)),$$

which, in conjunction with the above chain of implications, shows that ν_B restricts to a fully faithful functor

$$\nu_B : \text{per}(B)_{\text{lhf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B).$$

But, as shown above, the composition

$$\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) \hookrightarrow \text{per}(B)_{\text{lhf}} \xrightarrow{\nu_B} \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$$

is the Serre functor on $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$, in particular, it is an equivalence. So the inclusion $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) \hookrightarrow \text{per}(B)_{\text{lhf}}$ has to be an equality. \square

6.4. Main result. Now, we are able to state and prove the main result of this article. In particular, it applies to the ADE–singularities, which are listed above.

Theorem 6.20. *If R and R' are MCM–finite and $A = \text{Aus}(\text{MCM}(R))$ respectively $A' = \text{Aus}(\text{MCM}(R'))$ denote the Auslander algebras, then the following are equivalent.*

- (a) *There exists an additive equivalence $\underline{\text{MCM}}(R) \cong \underline{\text{MCM}}(R')$, which respects the action of the respective Auslander–Reiten translations on objects.*
- (b) *There is an equivalence $\underline{\text{MCM}}(R) \cong \underline{\text{MCM}}(R')$ of triangulated categories.*
- (c) *There exists a triangle equivalence $\Delta_R(A) \cong \Delta_{R'}(A')$.*

Moreover, the implication [(c) \Rightarrow (b)] (and hence also [(c) \Rightarrow (a)]) holds under much weaker assumptions. Namely, if A and A' are non-commutative resolutions of isolated Gorenstein singularities R and R' respectively.

Proof. [(b) \Rightarrow (a)] Clear.

[(a) \Rightarrow (c)] Let R be MCM–finite. It is sufficient to show that the Frobenius category $\text{MCM}(R)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5.5. Indeed, this implies

$$\Delta_R(A) \cong \text{per}(\Lambda_{\text{dg}}(\underline{\text{MCM}}(R))), \quad (6.16)$$

since $\Delta_R(A)$ is idempotent complete by [21, Theorem 3.2]. But by construction the dg Auslander algebra $\Lambda_{\text{dg}}(\underline{\text{MCM}}(R))$ only depends on the additive structure of $\underline{\text{MCM}}(R)$ and the action of its Auslander–Reiten translation on objects. The claim follows.


The assumptions, which we have to verify are: existence of almost split sequences in $\text{MCM}(R)$; Hom-finiteness and idempotent completeness of the stable category $\underline{\text{MCM}}(R)$. The last property follows from idempotent completeness of $\text{MCM}(R)$ and the existence of lifts of idempotents from $\underline{\text{MCM}}(R)$ to $\text{MCM}(R)$, which holds since R is complete. The first two assertions were shown by M. Auslander. Precisely, in our situation R -lattices (*cf.* [10, Appendix]) are Cohen–Macaulay, hence MCM–finiteness and [10, Corollary A.2] imply that R is an isolated singularity. Then the notions of Cohen–Macaulay R -modules and R -lattices coincide. Now, the main theorem in *op. cit.* completes the proof.

[(c) \Rightarrow (b)] We claim that this is a consequence of Proposition 6.13 and Corollary 6.18. Indeed, by Proposition 6.13 the stable category $\underline{\text{MCM}}(R)$ is a quotient of $\Delta_R(A)$ and by Corollary 6.18 the kernel $\mathcal{D}_{A/AeA}^b(\text{mod } -A) \subseteq \Delta_R(A)$ of the quotient functor

has an intrinsic characterization. Hence, the triangle equivalence in (c) induces an equivalence between the respective quotient categories as in (b).

We verify the (stronger) assumptions of Corollary 6.18. **Hom-finiteness** of $\mathbf{MCM}(R)$ follows as in the proof of $[(a) \Rightarrow (c)]$ and holds more generally for any (complete) isolated singularity R . In particular, the algebra A/AeA is finite-dimensional. The Auslander algebra of $\mathbf{MCM}(R)$ has finite global dimension by [10, Theorem A.1]. \square

Example 6.21. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[[u, v]]/(uv)$ and $R'' = \mathbb{C}[[u, v, w, x]]/(uv + wx)$ be the one and three dimensional A_1 -singularities, respectively. The latter is also known as the “conifold”. The Auslander–Reiten quivers $\mathcal{A}(R)$ and $\mathcal{A}(R'')$ of $\mathbf{MCM}(R)$ respectively $\mathbf{MCM}(R'')$, are known, *cf.* [85] (in particular, [85, Remark 6.3] in dimensions ≥ 3):



Let A and A'' be the respective Auslander algebras of $\mathbf{MCM}(R)$ and $\mathbf{MCM}(R'')$. They are given as quivers as quivers with relations, where the quivers are just the “solid” subquivers of $\mathcal{A}(R)$ and $\mathcal{A}(R'')$, respectively. Now, Knörrer’s Periodicity Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.20 above show that there is an equivalence of triangulated categories

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)}{K^b(\mathbf{add} P_*)} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A'')}{K^b(\mathbf{add} P_\star)}, \quad (6.17)$$

where P_* is the indecomposable projective A -module corresponding to the vertex $*$ and similarly $P_\star \in \mathbf{proj} - A''$ corresponds to \star .

Note, that the relative singularity category $\Delta_R(A) = \mathcal{D}^b(\mathbf{mod} - A)/K^b(\mathbf{add} P_*)$ from above has an explicit description, see [21, Section 4].

Remark 6.22. For finite-dimensional selfinjective k -algebras of finite representation type one can prove (the analogue of) implication $[(b) \Rightarrow (c)]$ in Theorem 6.20 above without relying on dg-techniques. Indeed, Asashiba [7, Corollary 2.2.] has shown that in this context stable equivalence implies derived equivalence. Now, Rickard’s [81, Corollary 5.5.] implies that the respective Auslander algebras are derived equivalent (a result, which was recently obtained by W. Hu and C.C. Xi in a much more general framework [43, Corollary 3.13]²). One checks that this equivalence induces a triangle equivalence between the respective relative singularity categories. This result is stronger than the analogue of Theorem 6.20 (c).

6.5. Global relative singularity categories. Let X be a quasi-projective scheme and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf, which is locally free on $X \setminus \mathbf{Sing}(X)$. We assume that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{E}nd_X(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{F})$ has finite global dimension. Hence, the ringed space $\mathbb{X} = (X, \mathcal{A})$

²The first author would like to thank Sefi Ladkani for pointing out this reference.

is a non-commutative resolution of X and $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{X}))$ is a categorical resolution in the spirit of works of Van den Bergh [90], Kuznetsov [63] and Lunts [68]. There is a triangle embedding $\mathrm{Perf}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{X}))$. Thus, we can define the *relative singularity category* as the idempotent completion [12] of the corresponding triangulated quotient category: $\Delta_X(\mathbb{X}) = (\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(\mathbb{X}))/\mathrm{Perf}(X))^\omega$. If X has *isolated* singularities, then the study of $\Delta_X(\mathbb{X})$ reduces to the “local” relative singularity categories defined above. Precisely, there exists an triangle equivalence [21, Cor. 2.11.]

$$\Delta_X(\mathbb{X}) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in \mathrm{Sing}(X)} \Delta_{\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_x}(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_x). \quad (6.18)$$

If X is a curve with nodal singularities, then this yields a complete and explicit description of the category $\Delta_X(\mathbb{X})$, where \mathcal{A} is the *Auslander sheaf* of X [21].

7. RELATED WORK

7.1. Relationship to Bridgeland’s moduli space of stability conditions. Let $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R_Q)$ be a Kleinian singularity with *minimal* resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$ and exceptional divisor $E = f^{-1}(0)$. Then E is a tree of rational (-2) -curves, whose dual graph Q is of ADE-type. Let us consider the following triangulated category

$$\mathcal{D} = \ker(\mathbb{R}f_*: \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))). \quad (7.1)$$

Bridgeland determined a connected component $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ of the stability manifold of \mathcal{D} [16]. More precisely, he proves that $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ is a covering space of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}/W$, where $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}} \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$ is the complement of the root hyperplanes in a fixed Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of the complex semi-simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of type Q and W is the associated Weyl group. It turns out, that $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(\mathcal{D})$ is even a *universal* covering of $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathrm{reg}}/W$. This follows [16] from a faithfulness result for the braid group actions generated by spherical twists (see [86] for type A and [15] for general Dynkin types).

The category \mathcal{D} admits a different description. Namely, as category of dg modules with finite-dimensional total cohomology $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$, where $B = B_Q$ is the dg-Auslander algebra $\Lambda_{dg}(\underline{\mathrm{MCM}}(R))$ of $R = \widehat{R}_Q$. Let $A = \mathrm{Aus}(\mathrm{MCM}(R))$ be the Auslander algebra of $\mathrm{MCM}(R)$ and denote by e the identity endomorphism of R considered as an idempotent in A . Then the derived McKay–Correspondence [53, 17] induces a commutative diagram of triangulated categories and functors, *cf.* [16, Section 1.1].

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{D} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \ker(\mathbb{R}f_*: \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(Y)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_E^b(\mathrm{Coh}(Y)) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{D}_{A/AeA}^b(\mathrm{mod} -A) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{D}_{fd}^b(\mathrm{mod} -A). \end{array} \quad (7.2)$$

Here $\mathcal{D}_{fd}^b(\mathrm{mod} A)$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{mod} A)$ consisting of complexes whose total cohomology is finite-dimensional. Thanks to [21, Lemma 2.3], the canonical functor $\mathcal{D}^b(\mathrm{fdmod} A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{fd}^b(\mathrm{mod} A)$ is an equivalence. For the equivalence $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B) \cong \mathcal{D}_{A/AeA}^b(\mathrm{mod} -A)$, we refer to Proposition 6.14 and (6.11). Moreover, this

category is triangle equivalent to the kernel of the quotient functor $\Delta_R(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{sg}(R)$, see Proposition 6.13.

Remark 7.1. It would be interesting to study Bridgeland’s space of stability conditions for the categories $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$ in the case of odd dimensional ADE–singularities R as well! Note that the canonical t -structure on $\mathcal{D}(B)$ restricts to a t -structure on $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$ by Proposition 2.1. Its heart is the finite length category of finite-dimensional modules over the stable Auslander algebra of $\text{MCM}(R)$.

7.2. Links to generalized cluster categories. Let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Let Q be a quiver of ADE–type. As above, we consider the dg Auslander algebra $B_Q = \Lambda_{dg}(\underline{\text{MCM}}(\widehat{R}_Q))$ of the corresponding ADE–singularity \widehat{R}_Q of even Krull dimension. There exists an isomorphism of dg algebras

$$B_Q \cong \Pi(Q, 2, 0), \quad (7.3)$$

where $\Pi(Q, d, W)$ denotes the deformed dg preprojective algebra, which was associated to a finite (graded) quiver Q , a positive integer d and a potential W of degree $-d + 3$ by Ginzburg [37] (see also [92]).

B_Q is a bimodule 2-Calabi–Yau algebra in the sense of [37]. Hence, the triangle equivalence (1.8) yields the well-known result that $\underline{\text{MCM}}(\widehat{R}_Q)$ is the 1–cluster category of kQ (see e.g. Reiten [79]). More generally, Van den Bergh’s [92, Theorem 10.2.2] shows that $\Pi(Q, d, W)$ is bimodule d -Calabi–Yau³.

Now, if $H^0(\Pi(Q, d, W))$ is finite-dimensional, then (by definition) the quotient

$$\mathcal{C}_{(Q,d,W)} = \frac{\text{per}(\Pi(Q, d, W))}{\mathcal{D}_{fd}(\Pi(Q, d, W))} \quad (7.4)$$

is a generalized $(d - 1)$ -cluster category. In particular, $\mathcal{C}_{(Q,d,W)}$ is $(d - 1)$ -Calabi–Yau and the image of $\Pi(Q, d, W)$ defines a $(d - 1)$ -cluster tilting object [2, 39].

The following Morita-type question attracted a lot of interest recently.

Question 7.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a k -linear Hom-finite d -Calabi–Yau algebraic triangulated category with d -cluster-tilting object. Is there a triple (Q, d, W) as above such that \mathcal{C} is triangle equivalent to the corresponding cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{(Q,d,W)}$?*

In a recent series of papers Amiot *et al.* answer this question to the affirmative in some interesting special cases [2, 6, 5, 4]. In [3] Amiot gives a nice overview.

Let us outline another promising approach [52] to tackle Question 7.2: a combination of Keller & Vossieck’s [58, Exemple 2.3] with the theory developed in this article shows that for many interesting algebraic triangulated categories \mathcal{T} there exists a non-positive dg algebra B and a triangle equivalence generalizing (1.8) above

$$\mathcal{T} \cong \frac{\text{per}(B)}{\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)}. \quad (7.5)$$

³More precisely, Van den Bergh proves that $\Pi(Q, d, W)$ is *exact* Calabi–Yau, which implies the bimodule Calabi–Yau property.

In particular, this holds for stable categories of maximal Cohen–Macaulay modules over certain Iwanaga–Gorenstein rings and the Calabi–Yau categories arising from subcategories of nilpotent representations over preprojective algebras (*cf.* [19, 36]). Palu [76] also obtained such an equivalence (in a slightly different form) in his study of Grothendieck groups of Calabi–Yau categories with cluster-tilting objects.

Now, if \mathcal{T} is d -Calabi–Yau category as in Question 7.2, then $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(B)$ is a $(d + 1)$ -Calabi–Yau category by Keller & Reiten’s [57, Theorem 5.4]. In conjunction with Van den Bergh’s [92, Theorem 10.2.2], we see that Question 7.2 has an affirmative answer, if the following statement holds (we use the terminology from [92]).

If A is a pseudo-compact dg algebra such that $\mathcal{D}_{fd}(A)$ is a d -Calabi–Yau triangulated category generated by a finite number of simple dg A -modules, then A is an exact d -Calabi–Yau dg algebra.

This statement has a conjectural status in general. However, for some interesting d -Calabi–Yau categories \mathcal{T} (with d -cluster-tilting object) one can show that B is strongly d -Calabi–Yau without relying on the statement above. For example, this was done by Thanhoffer de Völcsey & Van den Bergh for $\mathcal{T} = \underline{\text{MCM}}(R)$, where R is a complete Gorenstein quotient singularity of Krull dimension three [28]. They also prove (7.5) in a more restricted setup.

APPENDIX A. DG-AUSLANDER ALGEBRAS FOR ADE–SINGULARITIES

In this appendix, we work over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} . The stable Auslander–Reiten quivers for the curve and surface singularities of Dynkin type ADE are known, see [30] and [11] respectively. Hence, the stable Auslander–Reiten quiver for any ADE–singularity R is known by Knörrer’s periodicity (Theorem 6.1). The equivalence (6.16) in the proof of Theorem 6.20 describes the triangulated category $\Delta_R(\text{Aus}(R))$ as the perfect category for the dg-Auslander algebra associated to $\underline{\text{MCM}}(R)$. We list the graded quivers⁴ of these dg-algebras for the ADE–singularities in Subsections A.1 - A.7. For surfaces, this also follows from [28, 4].

Remark A.1. For ADE–singularities R , it is well-known that the stable categories $\underline{\text{MCM}}(R)$ are *standard*, i.e. the mesh relations form a set of minimal relations for the Auslander algebra $\text{Aus}(\underline{\text{MCM}}(R))$ of $\underline{\text{MCM}}(R)$ (*cf.* [1, 82], respectively [45]). Hence, the graded quivers completely determine the dg Auslander algebras in this case.

The conventions are as follows. Solid arrows \longrightarrow are in degree 0, whereas broken arrows $- - \rightarrow$ are in degree -1 and correspond to the action of the Auslander–Reiten translation. The differential d is uniquely determined by sending each broken arrow ρ to the mesh relation starting in $s(\rho)$. If there are no irreducible maps (i.e. solid arrows) starting in the vertex $s(\rho)$, then we set $d(\rho) = 0$ (*cf.* the case of

⁴M.K. thanks Hanno Becker for his help with the TikZ–package.




type (A_1) in odd dimension in Subsection A.1). Let us illustrate this by means of two examples: in type (A_{2m}) in odd Krull dimension (see Subsection A.1) we have

$$d(\rho_2) = \alpha_1\alpha_1^* + \alpha_2^*\alpha_2, \quad (\text{A.1})$$


whereas in odd dimensional type (E_8) (see Subsection A.6)

$$d(\rho_{10}) = \alpha_8\alpha_8^* + \alpha_{16}\alpha_{16}^* + \alpha_9^*\alpha_{10}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$


A.1. DG–Auslander algebras for Type A –singularities in odd dimension.




A.2. DG–Auslander algebras of odd dim. (D_{2m+1}) –singularities, $m \geq 2$.




A.3. DG–Auslander algebras of odd dimensional (D_{2m}) -singularities, $m \geq 2$.




A.4. The DG–Auslander algebra of odd dimensional (E_6) -singularities.






A.5. The DG–Auslander algebra of odd dimensional (E_7) -singularities.



A.6. The DG–Auslander algebra of odd dimensional (E_8) -singularities.



A.7. DG-Auslander algebras of even dimensional ADE–singularities.



REFERENCES

- [1] Claire Amiot, *On the structure of triangulated categories with finitely many indecomposables*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **135** (2007), no. 3, 435–474.
- [2] ———, *Cluster categories for algebras of global dimension 2 and quivers with potential*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **59** (2009), no. 6, 2525–2590.
- [3] ———, *On generalized cluster categories*, Representations of algebras and related topics, 1–53, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2011.

- [4] Claire Amiot, Osamu Iyama, and Idun Reiten, *Stable categories of Cohen-Macaulay modules and cluster categories*, Amer. J. Math. **137** (2015), no. 3, 813–857.
- [5] Claire Amiot, Osamu Iyama, Idun Reiten, and Gordana Todorov, *Preprojective algebras and c -sortable words*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **104** (2012), no. 3, 513–539.
- [6] Claire Amiot, Idun Reiten, and Gordana Todorov, *The ubiquity of generalized cluster categories.*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 4, 3813–3849.
- [7] Hideto Asashiba, *The derived equivalence classification of representation-finite selfinjective algebras*, J. Algebra **214** (1999), no. 1, 182–221.
- [8] Maurice Auslander, *Representation dimension of Artin algebras. With the assistance of Bernice Auslander*, Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes. London: Queen Mary College. 179 p. , 1971.
- [9] ———, *Functors and morphisms determined by objects*, Representation theory of algebras (Proc. Conf., Temple Univ., Philadelphia, Pa., 1976), Dekker, New York, 1978, pp. 1–244. Lecture Notes in Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 37.
- [10] ———, *Isolated singularities and existence of almost split sequences. Notes by Louise Unger.*, Representation theory II, Groups and orders, Proc. 4th Int. Conf., Ottawa/Can. 1984, Lect. Notes Math. 1178, 194–242 (1986).
- [11] ———, *Rational singularities and almost split sequences.*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **293** (1986), 511–531.
- [12] Paul Balmer and Marco Schlichting, *Idempotent completion of triangulated categories*, J. Algebra **236** (2001), no. 2, 819–834.
- [13] Alexander A. Beilinson, Joseph Bernstein, and Pierre Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque, vol. 100, Soc. Math. France, 1982 (French).
- [14] Mikhail V. Bondarko, *Weight structures vs. t -structures; weight filtrations, spectral sequences, and complexes (for motives and in general)*, J. K-Theory **6** (2010), no. 3, 387–504.
- [15] Christopher Brav and Hugh Thomas, *Braid groups and Kleinian singularities.*, Math. Ann. **351** (2011), no. 4, 1005–1017.
- [16] Tom Bridgeland, *Stability conditions and Kleinian singularities.*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2009** (2009), no. 21, 4142–4157.
- [17] Tom Bridgeland, Alastair King, and Miles Reid, *The McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), no. 3, 535–554.
- [18] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings. Rev. ed.*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. 39. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. xiv, 453 p. , 1998.
- [19] Aslak Bakke Buan, Osamu Iyama, Idun Reiten, and Jeanne Scott, *Cluster structures for 2-Calabi-Yau categories and unipotent groups*, Compos. Math. **145** (2009), no. 4, 1035–1079.
- [20] Ragnar-Olaf Buchweitz, *Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate-Cohomology over Gorenstein rings*, preprint 1987.
- [21] Igor Burban and Martin Kalck, *Relative singularity category of a non-commutative resolution of singularities*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 1, 414–435.
- [22] Jesse Burke and Mark Walker, *Matrix factorizations over projective schemes*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **14** (2012), no. 2, 37–61.
- [23] Xiao-Wu Chen, *Unifying two results of Orlov on singularity categories.*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamb. **80** (2010), no. 2, 207–212.
- [24] ———, *Relative singularity categories and Gorenstein-projective modules.*, Math. Nachr. **284** (2011), no. 2-3, 199–212.
- [25] Edward Cline, Brian Parshall, and Leonard L. Scott, *Endomorphism algebras and representation theory*, Algebraic groups and their representations (Cambridge, 1997), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 517, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998, pp. 131–149.

- [26] ———, *Finite-dimensional algebras and highest weight categories*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **391** (1988), 85–99.
- [27] ———, *Stratifying endomorphism algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), no. 591.
- [28] Louis de Thanhoffer de Völcsey and Michel Van den Bergh, *Explicit models for some stable categories of maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules*, arXiv:1006.2021.
- [29] Hailong Dao, Osamu Iyama, Ryo Takahashi, and Charles Vial, *Non-commutative resolutions and Grothendieck groups*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **9** (2015), no. 1, 21–34.
- [30] Ernst Dieterich and Alfred Wiedemann, *The Auslander-Reiten quiver of a simple curve singularity.*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **294** (1986), 455–475.
- [31] Yuriy A. Drozd and Vladimir V. Kirichenko, *Finite-dimensional algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994, Translated from the 1980 Russian original and with an appendix by Vlastimil Dlab.
- [32] Yu. A. Drozd, A. V. Roiter, *Commutative rings with a finite number of indecomposable integral representations*, Math. USSR Izv. **6** (1967), 757–772.
- [33] William G. Dwyer, *Noncommutative localization in homotopy theory*, Non-commutative localization in algebra and topology, 24–39, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., **330**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [34] Yves Félix, Stephen Halperin and Jean-Claude Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **205**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [35] Peter Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **90** (1962), 323–448.
- [36] Christof Geiß, Bernard Leclerc, and Jan Schröer, *Partial flag varieties and preprojective algebras*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **58** (2008), no. 3, 825–876.
- [37] Victor Ginzburg, *Calabi-Yau algebras*, arXiv:math/0612139v3 [math.AG].
- [38] Gert-Martin Greuel and Heike Kröning, *Simple singularities in positive characteristic.*, Math. Z. **203** (1990), no. 2, 339–354.
- [39] Lingyan Guo, *Cluster tilting objects in generalized higher cluster categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **215** (2011), no. 9, 2055–2071.
- [40] Dieter Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 119, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [41] Jürgen Herzog, *Ringe mit nur endlich vielen Isomorphieklassen von maximalen, unzerlegbaren Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln.* Math. Ann. **233** (1978), no. 1, 21–34.
- [42] Mitsuo Hoshino, Yoshiaki Kato, and Jun-Ichi Miyachi, *On t -structures and torsion theories induced by compact objects*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **167** (2002), no. 1, 15–35.
- [43] Wei Hu and Changchang Xi, *Derived equivalences for Φ -Auslander-Yoneda algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **365** (2013), no. 11, 5681–5711.
- [44] Osamu Iyama, *Auslander correspondence*, Adv. Math. **210** (2007), no. 1, 51–82.
- [45] ———, *Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory on maximal orthogonal subcategories*, Adv. Math. **210** (2007), no. 1, 22–50.
- [46] Osamu Iyama and Michael Wemyss, *A New Triangulated Category for Rational Surface Singularities*, Illinois J. Math. **55** (2011), no. 1, 325–341.
- [47] ———, *Maximal Modifications and Auslander-Reiten Duality for Non-isolated Singularities*, Invent. Math. **197** (2014), no. 3, 521–586.
- [48] Osamu Iyama and Dong Yang, *Silting reduction and Calabi-Yau reduction of triangulated categories*, arXiv:1408.2678.
- [49] H. Jacobinsky, *Sur les ordres commutatifs avec un nombre fini des réseaux indécomposables*, Acta Math. **118** (1967), 1–31.
- [50] Tornike V. Kadeišvili, *On the theory of homology of fiber spaces*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **35** (1980), no. 3(213), 183–188, International Topology Conference (Moscow State Univ., Moscow, 1979).

- [51] Martin Kalck, Osamu Iyama, Michael Wemyss, and Dong Yang, *Frobenius categories, Gorenstein algebras and rational surface singularities*, Compos. Math. **151** (2015), no. 3, 502–534.
- [52] Martin Kalck and Dong Yang, *Relative singularity categories III: Cluster resolutions*, in preparation.
- [53] Mikhail Kapranov and Eric Vasserot, *Kleinian singularities, derived categories and Hall algebras*, Math. Ann. **316** (2000), no. 3, 565–576.
- [54] Bernhard Keller, *Deriving DG categories*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **27** (1994), no. 1, 63–102.
- [55] ———, *A-infinity algebras, modules and functor categories*, Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics, Contemp. Math., vol. 406, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, pp. 67–93.
- [56] ———, *On differential graded categories*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006, pp. 151–190.
- [57] Bernhard Keller and Idun Reiten, *Cluster-tilted algebras are Gorenstein and stably Calabi-Yau*, Adv. Math. **211** (2007), 123–151.
- [58] Bernhard Keller and Dieter Vossieck, *Sous les catégories dérivées*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **305** (1987), no. 6, 225–228.
- [59] Bernhard Keller and Dong Yang, *Derived equivalences from mutations of quivers with potential*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 3, 2118–2168.
- [60] Horst Knörrer, *Cohen-Macaulay modules on hypersurface singularities. I.*, Invent. Math. **88** (1987), 153–164.
- [61] Steffen Koenig and Hiroshi Nagase, *Hochschild cohomologies and stratifying ideals*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), no. 4, 886–891.
- [62] Henning Krause, *Krull-Remak-Schmidt categories and projective covers*, note available at <http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~hkrause/krs.pdf> (2012).
- [63] Alexander Kuznetsov, *Lefschetz decompositions and categorical resolutions of singularities*, Selecta Math. **13** (2008), no. 4, 661–696.
- [64] Kenji Lefèvre-Hasegawa, *Sur les A_∞ -catégories*, Thèse de doctorat, Université Denis Diderot – Paris 7, November 2003, arXiv:math.CT/0310337.
- [65] Di Ming Lu, John H. Palmieri, Quan Shui Wu, and James J. Zhang, *A_∞ -algebras for ring theorists*, Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra, vol. 11, 2004, pp. 91–128.
- [66] ———, *Koszul equivalences in A_∞ -algebras*, New York J. Math. **14** (2008), 325–378.
- [67] Valery A. Lunts, *Formality of DG algebras (after Kaledin)*, J. Algebra **323** (2010), no. 4, 878–898.
- [68] ———, *Categorical resolution of singularities*, J. Algebra **323** (2010), no. 10, 2977–3003.
- [69] Jun-ichi Miyachi, *Localization of triangulated categories and derived categories.*, J. Algebra **141** (1991), no. 2, 463–483.
- [70] Amnon Neeman, *The connection between the K -theory localisation theorem of Thomason, Trobaugh and Yao, and the smashing subcategories of Bousfield and Ravenel*, Ann. Sci. École Normale Supérieure **25** (1992), 547–566.
- [71] ———, *Triangulated Categories*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 148, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001.
- [72] Pedro Nicolás and Manuel Saorín, *Parametrizing recollement data for triangulated categories*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), no. 4, 1220–1250.
- [73] Dmitri O. Orlov, *Triangulated categories of singularities and D-branes in Landau-Ginzburg models*, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova **246** (2004), no. Algebr. Geom. Metody, Svyazi i Prilozh., 240–262.
- [74] ———, *Triangulated categories of singularities, and equivalences between Landau-Ginzburg models*, Mat. Sb. **197** (2006), no. 12, 117–132.

- [75] ———, *Formal completions and idempotent completions of triangulated categories of singularities*, Adv. Math. **226** (2011), no. 1, 206–217.
- [76] Yann Palu, *Grothendieck group and generalized mutation rule for 2-Calabi-Yau triangulated categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), no. 7, 1438–1449.
- [77] David Pauksztello, *Compact corigid objects in triangulated categories and co-t-structures*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **6** (2008), no. 1, 25–42.
- [78] Leonid Positselski, *Coherent analogues of matrix factorizations and relative singularity categories*, Algebra Number Theory **9** (2015), no. 5, 1159–1292.
- [79] Idun Reiten, *Finite-dimensional algebras and singularities*, Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles (Lambrecht, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1273, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 35–57.
- [80] Idun Reiten and Michel Van den Bergh, *Noetherian hereditary abelian categories satisfying Serre duality*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 2, 295–366 (electronic).
- [81] Jeremy Rickard, *Derived equivalences as derived functors*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **43** (1991), no. 1, 37–48.
- [82] Christine Riedtmann, *Algebren, Darstellungsköcher, Überlagerungen und zurück*, Comment. Math. Helv. **55** (1980), no. 2, 199–224.
- [83] ———, *Representation-finite self-injective algebras of class D_n* , Compo. Math. **49** (1983), no. 2, 231–282.
- [84] Marco Schlichting, *Negative K -theory of derived categories*, Math. Z. **253** (2006), no. 1, 97–134.
- [85] Frank-Olaf Schreyer, *Finite and countable CM-representation type*, Singularities, representation of algebras, and vector bundles (Lambrech, 1985), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1273, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 35–57.
- [86] Paul Seidel and Richard Thomas, *Braid group actions on derived categories of coherent sheaves*, Duke Math. J. **108** (2001), no. 1, 37–108.
- [87] Øyvind Solberg, *Hypersurface singularities of finite Cohen-Macaulay type.*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., III. Ser., **58** (1989), no. 2, 258–280.
- [88] Hao Su, *A note on path A_∞ -algebras over positively graded quivers*, preprint (2016), arXiv:1601.04309.
- [89] Robert W. Thomason and Thomas F. Trobaugh, *Higher algebraic K -theory of schemes and of derived categories*, The Grothendieck Festschrift (a collection of papers to honor Grothendieck's 60'th birthday), vol. 3, Birkhäuser, 1990, pp. 247–435.
- [90] Michel Van den Bergh, *Three-dimensional flops and noncommutative rings*, Duke Math. J. **122** (2004), no. 3, 423–455.
- [91] ———, *Non-commutative crepant resolutions*, The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, Springer, 2004, pp. 749–770.
- [92] ———, *Calabi-Yau algebras and superpotentials*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **21** (2015), no. 2, 555–603.
- [93] J.-L. Verdier, *Des Catégories Dérivées des Catégories Abéliennes*, Astérisque (Société Mathématique de France, Marseilles) **239**, 1996.
- [94] Michael Wemyss, *Reconstruction Algebras of Type A*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 3101–3132.
- [95] ———, *Lectures on Noncommutative Resolutions*, arXiv:1210.2564.
- [96] Jürgen Wunram, *Reflexive modules on quotient surface singularities*, Math. Ann. **279** (1988), no. 4, 583–598.

MARTIN KALCK, THE MAXWELL INSTITUTE, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, JAMES CLERK
MAXWELL BUILDING, THE KING'S BUILDINGS, MAYFIELD ROAD, EDINBURGH, EH9 3JZ, UK.

E-mail address: `m.kalck@ed.ac.uk`

DONG YANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANJING UNIVERSITY, NANJING 210093, PR
CHINA

E-mail address: `yangdong@nju.edu.cn`