## ON PERFECT METRIZABILITY OF THE FUNCTOR OF IDEMPOTENT PROBABILITY MEASURES A.A.Zaitov, Kh.F.Kholturayev

## Abstract

In this paper we establish that the functor of idempotent probability measures acting in the category of compacta and their continuous mappings is perfect metrizable.

*Keywords*: metric, idempotent probability measures. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54C65, 52A30; Secondary 28A33.

The notion of idempotent measure finds important applications in different parts of mathematics, mathematical physics, economics, mathematical biology and others. One can find a row of applications of idempotent mathematics from [1].

Consider the set  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers with two algebraic operations: addition  $\oplus$  and multiplication  $\odot$  defined as  $u \oplus v = \max\{u, v\}$  and  $u \odot v = u + v$ .  $\mathbb{R}$  forms semifield with respect to this operations and, the unity  $\mathbf{1} = 0$  and zero  $\mathbf{0} = -\infty$ , i. e.

(i) the addition  $\oplus$  and the multiplication  $\odot$  are associative;

(*ii*) the addition  $\oplus$  is commutative;

(*iii*) the multiplication  $\odot$  is distributive with respect to the addition  $\oplus$ ;

(iv) each nonzero element  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  is invertible.

It denotes by  $\mathbb{R}_{\max}$ . It is idempotent, i. e.  $x \oplus x = x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and commutative, i. e. the multiplication  $\odot$  is commutative.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, C(X) the algebra of continuous functions  $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}$  with the usual algebraic operations. On C(X) the operations  $\oplus$  and  $\odot$  define as follow:

 $\varphi \oplus \psi = \max{\{\varphi, \psi\}}, \text{ where } \varphi, \psi \in C(X),$ 

 $\varphi \odot \psi = \varphi + \psi$ , where  $\varphi, \psi \in C(X)$ ,

 $\lambda \odot \varphi = \varphi + \lambda_X$ , where  $\varphi \in C(X)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\lambda_X$  is a constant function.

Recall [2] that a functional  $\mu : C(X) \to \mathbb{R}(\subset \mathbb{R}_{\max})$  is called to be an idempotent probability measure on X, if:

1)  $\mu(\lambda_X) = \lambda$  for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ;

2)  $\mu(\lambda \odot \varphi) = \mu(\varphi) + \lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \varphi \in C(X)$ ;

3)  $\mu(\varphi \oplus \psi) = \mu(\varphi) \oplus \mu(\psi)$  for every  $\varphi, \psi \in C(X)$ .

For a compact Hausdorff space X a set of all idempotent probability measures on X denotes by I(X). Consider I(X) as a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{C(X)}$ . In the induced topology the sets of the view

$$\langle \mu; \varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_k; \varepsilon \rangle = \{ \nu \in I(X) : |\mu(\varphi_i) - \nu(\varphi_i)| < \varepsilon, i = 1, ..., k \},\$$

form a base of neighborhoods of the idempotent measure  $\mu \in I(X)$ , where  $\varphi_i \in C(X)$ , i = 1, ..., k, and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The topology generated by this base coincide with pointwise topology on I(X). The topological space I(X) is compact [2]. Given a map  $f : X \to Y$  of compact Hausdorff spaces the map  $I(f) : I(X) \to I(Y)$  defines by the formula  $I(f)(\mu)(\varphi) = \mu(\varphi \circ f), \mu \in I(X)$ , where  $\varphi \in C(Y)$ . The construction I is a covariant functor, acting in the category of compact Hausdorff spaces and their continuous mappings. Moreover, I is uniform metizable functor [3].

Since I is normal functor then for an arbitrary idempotent measure  $\mu \in I(X)$  we may define the support of  $\mu$ : supp $\mu = \bigcap \{A \subset X : \overline{A} = A, \mu \in I(A)\}$ . For brevity, put  $S\mu = \text{supp}\mu$ . For a positive integer n put  $I_n(X) = \{\mu \in I(X) : |S\mu| \le n\}$ . Put  $I_{\omega}(X) =$ 

 $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n(X)$ . It is known [2] that  $I_{\omega}(X)$  is everywhere dense in I(X). An idempotent probability measure  $\mu \in I_{\omega}(X)$  is named as an idempotent probability measure with finite support. Note that if  $\mu$  is an idempotent probability measure with a finite support  $S\mu = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_k\}$  then it represents in the form

$$\mu = \lambda_1 \odot \delta_{x_1} \oplus \lambda_2 \odot \delta_{x_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_k \odot \delta_{x_k}$$
(1)

uniquely, where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_{\max}$ , i = 1, ..., k,  $\lambda_1 \oplus \lambda_2 \oplus ... \oplus \lambda_k = \mathbf{1}$ . Let  $\mu_1, \ \mu_2 \in I_{\omega}(X)$ . Then by (1) we have  $\mu_k = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_k \odot \delta_{x_{ki}}$ , i = 1, 2. Put

$$\Lambda_{12} = \Lambda(\mu_1, \ \mu_2) = \{\xi \in I(X^2) : I(\pi_i)(\xi) = \mu_i, \ i = 1, 2\},\$$

where  $\pi_i: X \times X \longrightarrow X$  is projection onto *i*-th factor, i = 1, 2. By definition for each  $\bigoplus_{(x_{1j},x_{2k})\in S\xi} |\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{1j}| \odot \rho(x_{1j},x_{2k}) <$ idempotent probability measure  $\xi \in \Lambda(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  we have

 $\infty$ . On the other hand as the set  $\{|\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{1j}| \odot \rho(x_{1j}, x_{2k}) : j = 1, ..., n_1; k = 1, ..., n_2\}$  is finite there exists the number  $\min_{\xi \in \Lambda_{12}} \{ \bigoplus_{(x_{1j}, x_{2k}) \in S\xi} |\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{1j}| \odot \rho(x_{1j}, x_{2k}) \}$ . Put

$$H(\mu_1, \ \mu_2) = \min_{\xi \in \Lambda_{12}} \{ \bigoplus_{(x_{1j}, x_{2k}) \in S\xi} |\lambda_{2k} - \lambda_{1j}| \odot \rho(x_{1j}, x_{2k}) \}$$

In [4] shown that the map  $\rho_{\omega}$  :  $I_{\omega}(X) \times I_{\omega}(X) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined as  $\rho_{\omega}(\mu_1, \mu_2) =$ min{diamX,  $H(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ } is metric. Moreover, the function  $\rho_I : I(X) \times I(X) \to \mathbb{R}$ which is an extension of  $\rho_{\omega}$  onto completion I(X) of  $I_{\omega}(X)$  is a metric as well.

We note this paper is continuation of papers [3-4]

Let now recall some notions. Put  $I^0(X) = X$ ,  $I^n(X) = I(I^{n-1}(X))$ , n = 1, 2, ...Consider two systems  $\eta$  and  $\psi$ . The system  $\eta$  consists of all maps  $\eta_X : X \to I(X)$ ,  $X \in Comp$ , where  $\eta_X$  defines as  $\eta_X(x) = \delta_x$ ,  $x \in X$ . Here  $\delta_x$  is a Dirac measure concentrated on  $\{x\}$ . The system  $\psi$  consists of all mappings  $\psi_X : I^2(X) \to I(X)$ , acting as the following. Given  $M \in I^2(X)$  put  $\psi_X(M)(\varphi) = M(\overline{\varphi})$ , where for any function  $\varphi \in C(X)$  the function  $\overline{\varphi} : I(X) \to \mathbb{R}$  defines by the formula  $\overline{\varphi}(\mu) = \mu(\varphi)$ . Fix compactum X and for a positive integer n put  $\psi_{n+1,n} = \psi_{I^{n-1}(X)} : I^{n+1}(X) \to I^n(X)$  and  $\eta_{n, n+1} = \eta_{I^n(X)} : I^n(X) \to I^{n+1}(X).$  Note that  $\psi_{n+1,n} \circ \eta_{n, n+1} = Id_{I^n(X)}$  [2].

**Lemma 1.**  $\psi_{0,1}: I^2(X) \to I(X)$  is non-expanding map.

**PROOF.** It is enough to consider idempotent probability measures with everywhere finite supports. Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are such measures from  $I^2(X)$  and let  $SM_1 =$  $\{\mu_{11}, \mu_{12}, ..., \mu_{1n_1}\}, SM_2 = \{\mu_{21}, \mu_{22}, ..., \mu_{2n_2}\},$  be the their supports, where  $\mu_{lk}$  are idempotent probability measures with finite supports,  $k = 1, ..., n_l, l = 1, 2$ . Assume  $S\mu_{lk} = \{x_{k1}^l, ..., x_{kt_k}^l\}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} M_l &= m_{l1} \odot \delta_{\mu_{l1}} \oplus m_{l2} \odot \delta_{\mu_{l2}} \oplus \dots \oplus m_{ln_l} \odot \delta_{\mu_{ln_l}};\\ \mu_{lk} &= \lambda_{k1}^l \odot \delta_{x_{k1}^l} \oplus \lambda_{k2}^l \odot \delta_{x_{k2}^l} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_{kt_k}^l \odot \delta_{x_{kt_k}^l}; \end{split}$$

where  $t_k$  are positive integers,  $k = 1, 2, ..., n_l, l = 1, 2$ . By definition for any  $\varphi \in C(X)$ one has

$$\psi_X(M_l)(\varphi) = M_l(\overline{\varphi}) = (m_{l1} \odot \delta_{\mu_{l1}} \oplus m_{l2} \odot \delta_{\mu_{l2}} \oplus \dots \oplus m_{ln_1} \odot \delta_{\mu_{ln_l}})(\overline{\varphi}) =$$
  
=  $m_{l1} \odot \overline{\varphi}(\mu_{l1}) \oplus m_{l2} \odot \overline{\varphi}(\mu_{l2}) \oplus \dots \oplus m_{ln_l} \odot \overline{\varphi}(\mu_{ln_l}) =$   
=  $m_{l1} \odot \mu_{l1}(\varphi) \oplus m_{l2} \odot \mu_{l2}(\varphi) \oplus \dots \oplus m_{ln_l} \odot \mu_{ln_l}(\varphi) =$ 

$$= m_{l1} \odot (\lambda_{11}^{l} \odot \delta_{x_{11}^{l}} \oplus \lambda_{12}^{l} \odot \delta_{x_{12}^{l}} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_{1t_{1}}^{l} \odot \delta_{x_{1t_{1}}^{l}}(\varphi)) \oplus \\ \oplus m_{l2} \odot (\lambda_{21}^{l} \odot \delta_{x_{21}^{l}} \oplus \lambda_{22}^{l} \odot \delta_{x_{22}^{l}} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_{2t_{2}}^{l} \odot \delta_{x_{2t_{2}}^{l}}(\varphi)) \oplus \dots \oplus \\ \oplus m_{ln_{l}} \odot (\lambda_{nl_{1}}^{l} \odot \delta_{x_{nl_{1}}^{l}} \oplus \lambda_{nl_{2}}^{l} \odot \delta_{x_{nl_{2}}^{l}} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_{nl_{tn_{l}}}^{l} \odot \delta_{x_{nl_{tn_{l}}}^{l}}(\varphi)) = \\ = \left( \bigoplus_{s=1}^{t_{1}} (m_{l1} \odot \lambda_{1s}^{l}) \odot \delta_{x_{1s}^{l}} \oplus \bigoplus_{s=1}^{t_{2}} (m_{l2} \odot \lambda_{2s}^{l}) \odot \delta_{x_{2s}^{l}} \oplus \bigoplus_{s=1}^{tn_{l}} (m_{ln_{l}} \odot \lambda_{nl_{s}}^{l}) \odot \delta_{x_{nl_{s}}}^{l} \right) (\varphi) = \\ = \left( \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n_{l}} \bigoplus_{s=1}^{t_{k}} (m_{lk} \odot \lambda_{ks}^{l}) \odot \delta_{x_{ks}^{l}} \right) (\varphi),$$

i. e.

$$\psi_X(M_l) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n_l} \bigoplus_{s=1}^{t_k} (m_{lk} \odot \lambda_{ks}^l) \odot \delta_{x_{ks}^l} \qquad l = 1, \ 2.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

From (2) immediately follows that

$$S\psi_X(M_l) = \{x_{11}^l, x_{12}^l, \dots, x_{1t_1}^l, x_{21}^l, x_{22}^l, \dots, x_{2t_2}^l, \dots, x_{n_l 1}^l, x_{n_l 2}^l, \dots, x_{n_l t_{n_l}}^l\}, \qquad l = 1, 2.$$

Suppose now  $\Xi$  be an existing according to Lemma 3 [3] idempotent probability measure from  $\Lambda(M_1, M_2)$  such that

$$\rho_{I^2}(M_1, M_2) = \min\{\bigoplus_{(\mu_{1i}, \mu_{2j}) \in S\Xi} |m_{1i} - m_{2j}| \odot \rho_I(\mu_{1i}, \mu_{2j}), \operatorname{diam} I(X)\}.$$

Let  $\xi_{ij} \in \Lambda(\mu_{1i}, \mu_{2j})$  be idempotent measures existing by Lemma 3 [3]. Then since diamI(X) = diam X we have

$$\begin{split} \rho_{I^{2}}(M_{1}, M_{2}) &= \min\{\bigoplus_{(\mu_{1i}, \mu_{2j}) \in S\Xi} (|m_{1i} - m_{2j}| \odot \bigoplus_{(x_{ip}^{1}, x_{jq}^{2}) \in S\xi_{ij}} |\lambda_{ip}^{1} - \lambda_{jq}^{2}| \odot \rho(x_{ip}^{1}, x_{jq}^{2})), \operatorname{diam} X\} = \\ &= \min\{\bigoplus_{(\mu_{1i}, \mu_{2j}) \in S\Xi} (\bigoplus_{(x_{ip}^{1}, x_{jq}^{2}) \in S\xi_{ij}} |m_{1i} - m_{2j}| \odot |\lambda_{ip}^{1} - \lambda_{jq}^{2}| \odot \rho(x_{ip}^{1}, x_{jq}^{2})), \operatorname{diam} X\} \geq \\ &\geq \min\{\bigoplus_{(\mu_{1i}, \mu_{2j}) \in S\Xi} (\bigoplus_{(x_{ip}^{1}, x_{jq}^{2}) \in S\xi_{ij}} |m_{1i} \odot \lambda_{ip}^{1} - m_{2j} \odot \lambda_{jq}^{2}| \odot \rho(x_{ip}^{1}, x_{jq}^{2})), \operatorname{diam} X\} \geq \\ &\geq \min\{\min_{\xi \in \Lambda(\psi_{X}(M_{1}), \psi_{X}(M_{2}))} \{\bigoplus_{(x_{ip}^{1}, x_{jq}^{2}) \in S\xi_{ij}} |m_{1i} \odot \lambda_{ip}^{1} - m_{2j} \odot \lambda_{jq}^{2}| \odot \rho(x_{ip}^{1}, x_{jq}^{2})\}, \operatorname{diam} X\} = \\ &= \rho_{I}(\psi_{X}(M_{1}), \psi_{X}(M_{2})), \end{split}$$

i. e.  $\rho_{I^2}(M_1, M_2) \ge \rho_I(\psi_X(M_1), \psi_X(M_2))$ . Lemma 1 is proved. Lemma 2.  $\rho_I(\mu, \delta_{x_0}) = \rho_{I^2}(\delta_{\delta_{x_0}}, N)$  for each  $N \in \psi_X^{-1}(\mu)$ .

PROOF. According to our metric it is enough to consider idempotent probability measures with everywhere finite supports. Fix an arbitrary point  $x_0 \in X$  and let  $\mu \in I_{\omega}(X)$  be an arbitrary measure. Then  $\mu$  has decomposition of the form (1). It is easy to see that measure  $\mu \otimes \delta_{x_0} = \lambda_1 \odot \delta_{(x_1,x_0)} \oplus \lambda_2 \odot \delta_{(x_2,x_0)} \oplus \lambda_k \odot \delta_{(x_k,x_0)}$  is an unique element of the set  $\Lambda(\mu, \delta_{x_0})$ . Consequently we have

$$\rho_I(\mu, \delta_{x_0}) = \min\{\operatorname{diam} X, \ |\lambda_1| \odot \rho(x_1, x_0) \oplus |\lambda_2| \odot \rho(x_2, x_0) \oplus |\lambda_k| \odot \rho(x_k, x_0)\}.$$

Let now  $N \in \psi_X^{-1}(\mu)$  be a measure with everywhere finite supports. Then we have

$$N = \alpha_1 \odot \delta_{\nu_1} \oplus \alpha_2 \odot \delta_{\nu_2} \oplus \dots \oplus \alpha_s \odot \delta_{\nu_s}, \nu_i = \lambda_1^i \odot \delta_{x_1^i} \oplus \lambda_2^i \odot \delta_{x_2^i} \oplus \dots \oplus \lambda_{k_i}^i \odot \delta_{x_{k_i}^i},$$

where i = 1, 2, ..., s. Hence  $S\psi_X(N) = \{x_j^i : j = 1, 2, ..., k_i; i = 1, 2, ..., s\}$ . On the other hand  $S\psi_X(N) = S\mu = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_k\}$ . Put  $J_l = \{x_j^i \in S\psi_X(N) : x_j^i = x_l\}, l = 1, 2, ..., k$ . After making slight modifications we can (2) rewrite in the view  $\psi_X(N) = \bigoplus_{l=1}^k (\bigoplus_{x_j^i \in J_l} \alpha_i \odot \lambda_j^i) \odot \delta_{x_l}$ . Since  $\psi_X(N) = \mu$  then for each l = 1, 2, ..., k, we have  $\lambda_l = \bigoplus_{x_j^i \in J_l} \alpha_i \odot \lambda_j^i$ .

Let now we find the distance between N and  $\delta_{\delta_{x_0}}$ :

$$\rho_{I^{2}}(N, \ \delta_{\delta_{x_{0}}}) = \min\{\operatorname{diam}I(X), \ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}(|\alpha_{i}| \odot \rho_{I}(\nu_{i}, \ \delta_{x_{0}}))\} = \\ = \min\{\operatorname{diam}I(X), \ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}(|\alpha_{i}| \odot \min\{\operatorname{diam}X, \ \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_{i}}|\lambda_{j}^{i}| \odot \rho(x_{j}^{i}, \ x_{0})\})\} = \\ = \min\{\operatorname{diam}X, \ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}(|\alpha_{i}| \odot \bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_{i}}|\lambda_{j}^{i}| \odot \rho(x_{j}^{i}, \ x_{0}))\} = \\ = \min\{\operatorname{diam}X, \ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_{i}}(|\alpha_{i}| \odot |\lambda_{j}^{i}| \odot \rho(x_{j}^{i}, \ x_{0}))\} = \\ = \min\{\operatorname{diam}X, \ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_{i}}(|\alpha_{i} \odot \lambda_{j}^{i}| \odot \rho(x_{j}^{i}, \ x_{0}))\} = \\ = \min\{\operatorname{diam}X, \ \bigoplus_{l=1}^{k}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{k_{i}}(|\alpha_{i} \odot \lambda_{j}^{i}| \odot \rho(x_{l}, \ x_{0}))\} = \\ = \min\{\operatorname{diam}X, \ \bigoplus_{l=1}^{k}\bigoplus_{j\in J_{l}}(|\alpha_{i} \odot \lambda_{j}^{i}| \odot \rho(x_{l}, \ x_{0}))\} = \\ = \min\{\operatorname{diam}X, \ \bigoplus_{l=1}^{k}|\lambda_{l}| \odot \rho(x_{l}, \ x_{0}))\} = \rho_{I}(\mu, \ \delta_{x_{0}}). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2 is proved.

**Lemma 3.** If  $\rho_I(\mu, \eta_{0, 1}(X)) \ge \varepsilon$  then  $\rho_{I^2}(I(\eta_{0, 1})(\mu), \eta_{1, 2}(I(X))) \ge \varepsilon$ .

PROOF. As the above it is enough to consider idempotent probability measures with everywhere finite supports. Without loss of generality we may assume  $\varepsilon \leq \text{diam} X$ . In this case  $\rho_{I^n} = H_{I^n}$  for all positive integers n.

Let  $\mu = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \odot \delta_{x_l}$  be an arbitrary idempotent probability measure with finite support. For any  $\Phi \in C(I(X))$  we have

$$I(\eta_{0, 1})(\mu)(\Phi) = I(\eta_{0, 1})(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_{l} \odot \delta_{x_{l}})(\Phi) = (\bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_{l} \odot \delta_{x_{l}})(\Phi \circ \eta_{0, 1}) =$$
$$= \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_{l} \odot \delta_{x_{l}}(\Phi \circ \eta_{0, 1}) = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_{l} \odot \Phi(\eta_{0, 1}(x_{l})) = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_{l} \odot \Phi(\delta_{x_{l}}) = (\bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_{l} \odot \delta_{\delta_{x_{l}}})(\Phi).$$

This means that  $I(\eta_{0, 1})(\mu) = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_l \odot \delta_{\delta_{x_l}}$ .

Let now  $N = \eta_{1, 2}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i} \odot \delta_{y_{i}}) = \delta_{\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i} \odot \delta_{y_{i}}}$  be an arbitrary idempotent probability measure with everywhere finite support from  $\eta_{1, 2}(I(X))$ . We have

$$H_{I^{2}}(I(\eta_{0, 1})(\mu), N) = H_{I^{2}}(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_{l} \odot \delta_{\delta_{x_{l}}}, \delta_{\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i} \odot \delta_{y_{i}}}) = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} |\lambda_{l}| \odot H_{I}(\delta_{x_{l}}, \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_{i} \odot \delta_{y_{i}}) = \bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} |\lambda_{l}| \odot \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_{i}| \odot \rho(x_{l}, y_{i}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_{i}| \odot \rho(x_{l}, y_{i}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_{i}| \odot H_{I}(\bigoplus_{l=1}^{n} \lambda_{l} \odot \delta_{x_{l}}, \delta_{y_{i}}) =$$

$$= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_{i}| \odot H_{I}(\mu, \ \delta_{y_{i}}) \ge \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_{i}| \odot \min\{H_{I}(\mu, \ \delta_{y}): \ \delta_{y} \in \eta_{0, 1}(I(X))\} =$$
$$= \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_{i}| \odot H_{I}(\mu, \ \eta_{0, 1}(I(X))) \ge \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} |\alpha_{i}| \odot \varepsilon \ge \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 3 is proved.

Now Theorem 1 [3], Lemmas 1, 2 and 3 imply the main result of the paper. **Theorem 1.** The functor I is perfect metrizable. Note that undelivered notions one can find in [5].

## References

- [1] G. L. Litvinov. The Maslov dequantization, idempotent and tropical mathematics: a very brief introduction. arXiv:math.GM/0501038v4 11 Jan 2006.
- [2] M. Zarichnyi. Idempotent probability measures, I. //arXiv:math. GN/0608754v1 30 Aug 2006.
- [3] A.A.Zaitov, I.I.Tojiev. On uniform metrizability of the functor of idempotent probability measures. //arxiv: 1204.0074v1 [math.GN] 31 March 2012.
- [4] A.A.Zaitov, I.I.Tojiev. On a metric of the space of idempotent probability measures. //arxiv: 1006.3902v2 [math.GN] 15 March 2012.
- [5] V. V. Fedorchuk. Triples of infinite iterations of metrizable functors. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. 54 (1990). No. 2. P. 396-417; translation in Math. USSR-Izv. 36 (1991). No. 2. P. 411-433.