

# Distributions associated to homogeneous distributions

A. V. Kosyak, V. I. Polischook, and V. M. Shelkovich

ABSTRACT. In this paper we continue to study *quasi associated homogeneous distributions* (generalized functions) which were introduced in the paper by V.M. Shelkovich, Associated and quasi associated homogeneous distributions (generalized functions), J. Math. An. Appl., **338**, (2008), 48-70. For the multidimensional case we give the characterization of these distributions in the terms of the dilatation operator  $U_a$  (defined as  $U_a f(x) = f(ax)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $a > 0$ ) and its generator  $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ . It is proved that  $f_k \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a quasi associated homogeneous distribution of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k$  if and only if  $(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \lambda)^{k+1} f_k(x) = 0$ , or if and only if  $(U_a - a^\lambda I)^{k+1} f_k(x) = 0$ ,  $\forall a > 0$ , where  $I$  is a unit operator. The structure of a quasi associated homogeneous distribution is described.

## 1. Introduction

**1.1. Homogeneous distributions.** Let us consider one-parameter multiplicative group  $G$  of the *dilation operators*  $U_a$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+ = \{a \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0\}$ , which acts in  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  as follows:

$$C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \ni \phi(x) \rightarrow U_a \phi(x) = \phi(ax) = \phi(ax_1, \dots, ax_n), \quad x \neq 0, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Non zero function  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  is *eigenfunction* of a group  $G$  if  $U_a \phi = \mu_a \phi$  for all  $a > 0$ . If  $\mu_a \equiv 1$  for all  $a > 0$ , such an invariant function  $\phi$  is called *homogeneous*.

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *A function  $\phi$  is eigenfunction of a group  $G$  if and only if it can be represented in the form  $\phi = r^\lambda h$ , where  $r(x) = \|x\|$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $h \neq 0$  is a homogeneous function. Moreover, to any eigen-element  $\phi$  corresponds a unique  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mu(a) = a^\lambda$  for all  $a > 0$ , where  $\mathbb{C}$  is the set of complex numbers.*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46F10.

*Key words and phrases.* Homogeneous distributions, distributions associated to homogeneous distributions, Euler type system of differential equations.

The authors would like to thank the Institute of Applied Mathematics, University of Bonn for the hospitality. The first and the third authors were supported in part by DFG Project AL 214/41-1.

PROOF. Sufficiency of the representation  $\phi(x) = r^\lambda h(x)$  is evident. Suppose that  $0 \neq \phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  and  $\phi(ax) = \mu_a \phi(x)$  for all  $x \neq 0$  and  $a > 0$ . Let  $\phi(x_*) \neq 0$ . Since  $\phi$  is a continuous function then  $f(a) = \phi(ax_*)$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . As far as  $f(a) = \mu_a \phi(x_*)$ , we conclude that a function  $\mu_a$  is continuous. Next, we have

$$\mu_{ab} \phi(x_*) = \phi(abx_*) = \mu_a \phi(bx_*) = \mu_a \mu_b \phi(x_*).$$

Since  $\phi(x_*) \neq 0$ , therefore  $\mu_{ab} = \mu_a \mu_b$ . All continuous non-zero solutions of this equation have the form  $\mu_a = a^\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus,  $\phi(ax) = a^\lambda \phi(x)$  for all  $a > 0$  and for any  $x \neq 0$ , where  $\lambda$  is fixed for any function  $\phi$ . Setting  $a = 1/r(x)$ , we obtain the representation

$$\phi(x) = r^\lambda(x) \phi\left(\frac{x}{r(x)}\right) = r^\lambda(x) h(x),$$

where  $h(x) = \phi(x/r(x)) \neq 0$  is a *homogeneous function*. □

According to Proposition 1.1, a *spectral subspace* of a group  $G$  (of order zero) corresponding to the number  $\lambda$  is the following

$$S_0(\lambda) = \bigcap_{a>0} \ker(U_a - a^\lambda I),$$

where  $I$  is a unit operator.

We shall now carry some of the preceding results to the case of distributions.

DEFINITION 1.1. ([3, Ch.I,§3.11.,(1)], [5, 3.2.]) A distribution  $f_0 \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is said to be *homogeneous (HD)* of degree  $\lambda$  if for any  $a > 0$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have

$$\left\langle f_0(x), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \right\rangle = a^{\lambda+n} \langle f_0(x), \varphi(x) \rangle,$$

i.e.,

$$(1.1) \quad U_a f_0(x) = f_0(ax) = a^\lambda f_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The Euler differential operator

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$

is a generator of one-parameter group of dilatation  $G = \{U_a : a > 0\}$ .

Recall a well-known Euler theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. (see [3, Ch.III,§3.1.]) A distribution  $f_0 \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is homogeneous of degree  $\lambda$  if and only if it satisfies the Euler equation

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x_j} = \lambda f_0.$$

**1.2. Associated homogeneous distributions.** A concept of an *associated homogeneous distribution (AHD)* (for the one-dimensional case) was first introduced and studied in the book [3, Ch.I,§4.1.]. Later, *AHDs* were studied in [1], [2]. *AHDs* were introduced by the following way.

It is naturally to study *associated functions* of various orders [3, Ch.I,§4.1.] of an arbitrary linear transformation  $U$ .

Functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k, \dots$  are said to be *associated with the eigenfunction*  $f_0$  of the transformation  $U$  if

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Uf_0 &= cf_0, \\ Uf_k &= cf_k + df_{k-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c, d$  are constants. Consequently,  $U$  reproduces an *associated function* of  $k$ th order up to some *associated function* of  $(k-1)$ th order.

Since according to Definition 1.1, HD of degree  $\lambda$  is an eigenfunction of *any* dilatation operator  $U_a, a > 0$ , with the eigenvalue  $a^\lambda$ , in the book [3, Ch.I,§4.1.], by analogy with Definition (1.3), the following definition is introduced: a function  $f_1(x)$  is said to be *associated homogeneous* of order 1 and of degree  $\lambda$  if for any  $a > 0$

$$(1.4) \quad f_1(ax) = a^\lambda f_1(x) + h(a)f_0(x),$$

where  $f_0$  is a homogeneous function of degree  $\lambda$ . Here, in view of (1.1) and (1.3),  $c = a^\lambda$ . Next, in [3, Ch.I,§4.1.] it is proved that up to a constant factor  $h(a) = a^\lambda \log a$ . Thus, by setting in the relation (1.4)  $c = a^\lambda$  and  $d = h(a) = a^\lambda \log a$ , Definition (1.4) takes the following form:

DEFINITION 1.2. ([3, Ch.I,§4.1.,(1),(2)]) A distribution  $f_1 \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  is called *associated homogeneous (AHD)* of order 1 and of degree  $\lambda$  if for any  $a > 0$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\left\langle f_1, \varphi\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \right\rangle = a^{\lambda+1} \langle f_1, \varphi \rangle + a^{\lambda+1} \log a \langle f_0, \varphi \rangle,$$

i.e.,

$$U_a f_1(x) = f_1(ax) = a^\lambda f_1(x) + a^\lambda \log a f_0(x),$$

where  $f_0$  is a homogeneous distribution of degree  $\lambda$ .

In [6], it was proved that there exist *only* *AHDs* of order  $k = 0$ , i.e., HDs (given by Definition 1.1) and of order  $k = 1$  (given by Definition (1.4) or Definition 1.2).

**1.3. Quasi associated homogeneous distribution.** In view of the above facts, in [6], a definition of *quasi associated homogeneous distribution (QAHD)* was introduced, which is a natural generalization of the notion of an *associated eigenvector* (1.3).

DEFINITION 1.3. A distribution  $f_k \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  is said to be *quasi associated homogeneous* of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k, k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$  if for any  $a > 0$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\left\langle f_k(x), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \right\rangle = a^{\lambda+1} \langle f_k(x), \varphi(x) \rangle + \sum_{r=1}^k h_r(a) \langle f_{k-r}(x), \varphi(x) \rangle,$$

i.e.,

$$(1.5) \quad U_a f_k(x) = f_k(ax) = a^\lambda f_k(x) + \sum_{r=1}^k h_r(a) f_{k-r}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $f_{k-r}(x)$  is a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k-r$ ,  $h_r(a)$  is a differentiable function,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Here for  $k = 0$  we suppose that sums in the right-hand sides of the above relations are empty.

According to [6, Theorem 3.2.], in order to introduce a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and order  $k$  instead of Definition 1.3 one can use the following definition.

DEFINITION 1.4. A distribution  $f_k \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  is called a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , if for any  $a > 0$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$

$$(1.6) \quad \left\langle f_k(x), \varphi\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) \right\rangle = a^{\lambda+1} \langle f_k(x), \varphi(x) \rangle + \sum_{r=1}^k a^{\lambda+1} \log^r a \langle f_{k-r}(x), \varphi(x) \rangle,$$

i.e.,

$$(1.7) \quad U_a f_k(x) = f_k(ax) = a^\lambda f_k(x) + \sum_{r=1}^k a^\lambda \log^r a f_{k-r}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $f_{k-r}(x)$  is an QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k-r$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Here for  $k = 0$  we suppose that the sums in the right-hand sides of (1.6), (1.7) are empty.

In [6] the following multidimensional analog of Definition 1.4 was introduced.

DEFINITION 1.5. We say that a distribution  $f_k \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , if for any  $a > 0$  we have

$$(1.8) \quad U_a f_k(x) = f_k(ax) = a^\lambda f_k(x) + \sum_{r=1}^k a^\lambda \log^r a f_{k-r}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $f_{k-r}(x)$  is a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k-r$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . (Here we suppose that for  $k = 0$  the sum in the right-hand side of (1.8) is empty.)

## 2. Quasi associated homogeneous distributions and nilpotency

**2.1. Structure of the spectral subspace.** Let us define the *spectral subspace* of order  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  corresponding to  $\lambda$ :

$$S_k(\lambda) = \bigcap_{a>0} \ker(U_a - a^\lambda I)^{k+1}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

It is clear that

$$S_0(\lambda) \subseteq S_1(\lambda) \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_k(\lambda) \subseteq \dots$$

Below we describe all functions which belong to the spectral space  $S_k(\lambda)$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . Then  $\phi \in S_k(\lambda)$  if and only if  $f(x) = r^\lambda g(x)$ , where a distribution  $g \in S_k(0)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .*

**PROOF.** Let us define by  $\Delta_a(\lambda)$  the operator  $U_a - a^\lambda I$ . For operator of multiplication by the function  $r^\lambda$  and its inverse we will use functional symbols  $r^\lambda$  and  $r^{-\lambda}$ , respectively.

For any distribution  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_a(\lambda)r^\lambda f)(x) &= (U_a r^\lambda f - a^\lambda r^\lambda f)(x) = r^\lambda(ax)f(ax) - a^\lambda r^\lambda(x)f(x) \\ &= a^\lambda r^\lambda(x)(f(ax) - f(x)) = a^\lambda (r^\lambda(U_a - I)f)(x) = (a^\lambda r^\lambda \Delta_a(0)f)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_a(\lambda)r^\lambda = a^\lambda r^\lambda \Delta_a(0).$$

By successive iterations of (2.1) we obtain that

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta_a^{k+1}(\lambda)r^\lambda = a^{\lambda(k+1)}r^\lambda \Delta_a^{k+1}(0).$$

If  $f(x) = r(x)^\lambda g(x)$ , where a distribution  $g \in S_k(0)$ , then (2.2) implies that

$$\Delta_a^{k+1}(\lambda)f = \Delta_a^{k+1}(\lambda)r^\lambda g = (\Delta_a^{k+1}(\lambda)r^\lambda)g = a^{\lambda(k+1)}r^\lambda \Delta_a^{k+1}(0)g = 0,$$

i.e.,  $f \in \ker \Delta_a^{k+1}(\lambda)$  for any  $a > 0$ , and consequently,  $f \in S_k(\lambda)$ . Conversely, let  $g(x) = r(x)^{-\lambda}f(x) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , where a distribution  $g \in S_k(\lambda)$ . According to (2.2), for any  $a > 0$  we have

$$\Delta_a^{k+1}(0)g = a^{-\lambda(k+1)}r^{-\lambda} \Delta_a^{k+1}(\lambda)r^\lambda g = a^{-\lambda(k+1)}r^{-\lambda} \Delta_a^{k+1}(\lambda)f = 0,$$

i.e., a distribution  $g \in S_k(0)$ . □

Thus, the problem of describing the space  $S_k(\lambda)$  is reduced to a particular case  $\lambda = 0$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ .  $f \in S_k(0)$  if and only if*

$$(2.3) \quad f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k h_j(x) \log^j r,$$

where  $h_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$  are homogeneous distributions.

**PROOF.** Suppose that representation (2.3) holds. For  $k = 0$  the statement is right, since a function  $f = h_0$  is homogeneous, and, consequently,  $\Delta_a(0)f = (U_a - I)h_0 = 0$ . Let us assume that for  $k - 1$  the statement holds. For  $k$  we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^k h_j(x) \log^j r = h_k(x) \log^k r + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} h_j(x) \log^j r, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

where (in view of our induction assumption) the last summand belongs to the subspace  $S_{k-1}(0) \subseteq S_k(0)$ . Let us examine that  $\eta = \Delta_a(0)h_k \log^k r \in S_{k-1}(0)$  for any  $a > 0$ . Indeed,

$$\eta(x) = ((U_a - I)h_k \log^k r)(x) = h_k(ax) \log^k r(ax) - h_k(x) \log^k r(x) =$$

$$= h_k(x) \left( (\log r(x) + \log a)^k - \log^k r(x) \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{k}{j} h_k(x) \log^j r(x) \log^{k-j} a.$$

Thus,  $\eta$  is a linear combination of functions  $h_k \log^j r$  ( $j \leq k-1$ ) which by an induction assumption belongs to  $S_{k-1}(0)$ . Therefore,  $\Delta_a^{k+1}(0) h_k \log^k r = \Delta_a^k(0) \eta = 0$ , i.e.,  $h_k \log^k r \in S_k(0)$ .

Conversely, let a distribution  $f \in S_k(0)$ . Denote by  $\omega$  the homogeneous function  $x \mapsto x/r(x)$ . Here  $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , where  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is a unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Setting  $\tilde{f}(s, \omega) = \phi(\exp(s)\omega)$ , one can see that a distribution  $f$  can be represented as  $f(x) = \tilde{f}(\log r, \omega)$ , where  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \Delta_a^{k+1}(0) f = (U_a - I)^{k+1} f = \sum_{m=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{m} (-1)^{k+1-m} U_a^m f \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{m} (-1)^{k+1-m} \tilde{f}(m \log a + \log r, \omega). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $D$  the operator of differentiation of a function  $\tilde{f}$  with respect of the first argument. Next, applying the operator  $(a \frac{d}{da})^{k+1}$  to the right- and left-hand side of the last relation, setting  $a = 1$  and taking into account the identity

$$\sum_{m=0}^N (-1)^{N-m} \binom{N}{m} m^N = N!,$$

we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{m=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{m} (-1)^{k+1-m} m^{k+1} D^{k+1} \tilde{f}(\log r, \omega) = (k+1)! D^{k+1} \tilde{f}(\log r, \omega).$$

Thus,  $D^{k+1} \tilde{f}(s, \omega) = 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, according to [7, §3.3],  $\tilde{f}(s, \omega) = \sum_{j=0}^k c_j(\omega) s^j$ . Since  $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , we conclude that

$$c_j(\omega) = D^j \tilde{f}(0, \omega) / j! \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

Thus,  $f(x) = \tilde{f}(\log r, \omega) = \sum_{j=0}^k c_j(x/r(x)) \log^j r$ . Denoting by  $h_j$  homogeneous distribution  $c_j(\omega)$ , we obtain the representation (2.3).

The theorem is proved.  $\square$

Theorems 2.1, 2.2 imply the following statement.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . A distribution  $f \in S_k(\lambda)$  if and only if*

$$(2.4) \quad f(x) = r^\lambda \sum_{j=0}^k h_j(x) \log^j r, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

where  $h_j$  are homogeneous distributions,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

## 2.2. Characterization of QAHD by a dilation operator.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *A distribution  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \cap S_k(\lambda)$  if and only if  $f$  is QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k$  (i.e. satisfies Definition 1.5).*

**PROOF.** Let  $f \in S_k(\lambda)$ . Then, due to Theorem 2.3, a distribution  $f$  is represented in the form (2.4). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_a f(x) &= f(ax) = r(ax)^\lambda \sum_{j=0}^k h_j(ax) \log^j r(ax) \\
 &= a^\lambda r(x)^\lambda \sum_{j=0}^k h_j(x) (\log r(x) + \log a)^j = a^\lambda r^\lambda \sum_{j=0}^k h_j \sum_{m=0}^j \binom{j}{m} \log^{j-m} r \log^m a \\
 (2.5) \quad &= \sum_{m=0}^k \left( r^\lambda \sum_{j=m}^k \binom{j}{m} h_j \log^{j-m} r \right) a^\lambda \log^m a = \sum_{m=0}^k f_{k-m} a^\lambda \log^m a,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in view of Theorem 2.3,

$$f_{k-m} = r^\lambda \sum_{s=0}^{k-m} \binom{m+s}{m} h_{m+s} \log^s r \in S_{k-m}(\lambda), \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, k;$$

and  $f_k = r^\lambda \sum_{s=0}^k h_s \log^s r = f$ . Setting in (2.5) successively  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we conclude that  $f$  is a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$ .

Conversely, let  $f = f_k$  be a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$ , i.e., according to (1.7),

$$(2.6) \quad U_a f_k(x) = f_k(ax) = a^\lambda f_k(x) + \sum_{r=1}^k a^\lambda \log^r a f_{k-r}(x) \quad \text{for any } a > 0,$$

where  $f_{k-r}(x)$  is a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k-r$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

For  $k = 1$  we have  $U_a f_1(x) = a^\lambda f_1(x) + a^\lambda \log a f_0(x)$ , where  $f_0$  is HD. Therefore,  $(U_a - a^\lambda I)f_1 = a^\lambda \log a f_0$ , where  $(U_a - a^\lambda I)f_0 = 0$ . Thus,  $(U_a - a^\lambda I)^2 f_1 = 0$ .

Suppose that  $(U_a - a^\lambda I)^{j+1} f_j = 0$  for  $j = 2, 3, \dots, k-1$ . Then according to (2.6),  $(U_a - a^\lambda I)f_k = \sum_{r=1}^k a^\lambda \log^r a f_{k-r}$  for any  $a > 0$ . Taking into account our assumption, one can conclude that  $(U_a - a^\lambda I)^{k+1} f_k = \sum_{r=1}^k a^\lambda \log^r a (U_a - a^\lambda I)^k f_{k-r} = 0$ , i.e.,  $f = f_k \in S_k(\lambda)$ .  $\square$

Now we present another proof of the sufficiency in Theorem 2.4. Denote  $f = (f_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ , where  $f_k \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let us define the dilatation operator  $U_a$  on  $f = (f_k)_{k=0}^\infty$  as

$$(2.7) \quad (U_a f)_k(x) = f_k(ax) = f_k(ax_1, \dots, ax_n), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Here a vector component  $f_k$  can be considered as a vector  $f_k = (g_0, \dots, g_k, \dots)$ , where  $g_j = \delta_{jk}$ , and  $\delta_{jk}$  is the Kronecker symbol.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If  $f_k(x)$  is a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k$  then*

$$(2.8) \quad \left( (U_a - a^\lambda I)^{k+1} f \right)_k = 0, \quad \forall a > 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

PROOF. Suppose that  $f_k(x)$  is a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Then by Definition 1.5 we have

$$(2.9) \quad (U_a f)_k(x) = a^\lambda \left( \sum_{r=0}^k \log^{k-r} a f_r(x) \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus the operator  $R_a$  in right-hand side of relation (2.9) has the following matrix form

$$R_a = a^\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log a & \log^2 a & \log^3 a & \log^4 a & \dots \\ 0 & 1 & \log a & \log^2 a & \log^3 a & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \log a & \log^2 a & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \log a & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ & & & & & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $T$  be a operator defined as follows

$$(2.10) \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ & & & & & \dots \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} E_{r,r+1},$$

where  $E_{km}$  is a matrix such that  $(E_{km})_{ij} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jm}$ , and  $\delta_{ik}$ ,  $\delta_{jm}$  are the Kronecker symbols,  $k, m, i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . It is clear that

$$(2.11) \quad E_{km}E_{pq} = \begin{cases} E_{kq}, & \text{if } m = p, \\ 0, & \text{if } m \neq p. \end{cases}$$

In view of (2.11), we have

$$T^2 = \left( \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} E_{r,r+1} \right) \left( \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} E_{s,s+1} \right) = \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} E_{r,r+1} E_{s,s+1} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} E_{r,r+2}.$$

Similarly, using (2.11), we obtain

$$T^m = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} E_{r,r+m}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using the last relation, the operator  $R_a$  in right-hand side of relation (2.9) can be rewritten as

$$(2.12) \quad R_a = a^\lambda \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \log^s a T^s = a^\lambda (1 - (\log a) T)^{-1}.$$





Differentiating (3.2) with respect to  $a$  and setting  $a = 1$ , we derive the system

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j} &= \lambda f_k + f_{k-1}, \\
 \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial f_{k-1}}{\partial x_j} &= \lambda f_{k-1} + f_{k-2}, \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_j} &= \lambda f_1 + f_0, \\
 \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x_j} &= \lambda f_0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.3}$$

It is clear that system (3.3) implies (3.1).

Conversely, let  $f_k \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a distribution satisfying system (3.1). Denoting

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \lambda \right)^s f_k = f_{k-s}, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

we obtain  $(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \lambda) f_0 = 0$ ,  $(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \lambda) f_1 = f_0$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \lambda) f_{k-1} = f_{k-2}$ . Thus, there are distributions  $f_j \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$  such that system (3.3) holds. Now we prove by induction that  $f_k$  is a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k$ .

For  $k = 0$  this statement follows from Theorem 1.1. If  $k = 1$  then the following system of equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_j} = \lambda f_1 + f_0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial x_j} = \lambda f_0$$

holds. Here, in view of Theorem 1.1, the second equation in (3.4) implies that  $f_0$  is a HD.

Consider the function

$$g_1(a) = f_1(ax_1, \dots, ax_n) - a^\lambda f_1(x) - a^\lambda \log a f_0(x).$$

It is clear that  $g_1(1) = 0$ . By differentiation we have

$$g'_1(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_j}(ax_1, \dots, ax_n) - \lambda a^{\lambda-1} f_1(x) - (\lambda a^{\lambda-1} \log a + a^{\lambda-1}) f_0(x)$$

Applying the first relation in (3.4) to the arguments  $ax_1, \dots, ax_n$  we find that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_j}(ax_1, \dots, ax_n) = \frac{\lambda}{a} f_1(ax_1, \dots, ax_n) + \frac{1}{a} f_0(ax_1, \dots, ax_n).$$

Substituting (3.6) into (3.5) and taking into account that  $\frac{1}{a}f_0(ax_1, \dots, ax_n) = a^{\lambda-1}f_0$ , we find that  $g_1(a)$  satisfies the differential equation with the initial data

$$(3.7) \quad g_1'(a) = \frac{\lambda}{a}g_1(a), \quad g_1(1) = 0.$$

Obviously, its solution is  $g_1(a) = 0$ . Thus  $g_1(a) = f_1(ax_1, \dots, ax_n) - a^\lambda f_1(x) - a^\lambda \log a f_0(x) = 0$ , i.e.,  $f_1(x)$  is a QAHD of order  $k = 1$ .

Let us assume that for  $k - 1$  the theorem holds, i.e., if  $f_{k-1}$  satisfies all equations in (3.3) except the first one, then  $f_{k-1}$  is a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k - 1$ .

Now, we suppose that there exist distributions  $f_{k-1}, \dots, f_0$  such that (3.3) holds. Note that in view of our assumption,  $f_{k-1}$  is a QAHD of order  $k - 1$ .

Consider the function

$$(3.8) \quad g_k(a) = f_k(ax_1, \dots, ax_n) - a^\lambda f_k(x) - a^\lambda \log a f_{k-1}(x).$$

It is clear that  $g_k(1) = 0$ . By differentiation we have

$$(3.9) \quad g_k'(a) = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j}(ax_1, \dots, ax_n) - \lambda a^{\lambda-1} f_k(x) - (\lambda a^{\lambda-1} \log a + a^{\lambda-1}) f_{k-1}(x)$$

Applying the first relation in (3.3) to the arguments  $ax_1, \dots, ax_n$  we find that

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial f_k}{\partial x_j}(ax_1, \dots, ax_n) = \frac{\lambda}{a} f_k(ax_1, \dots, ax_n) + \frac{1}{a} f_{k-1}(ax_1, \dots, ax_n).$$

Substituting (3.10) into (3.9) and taking into account that according to our assumption,  $f_{k-1}$  is a QAHD of order  $k - 1$ , i.e.,

$$U_a f_{k-1}(x) = f_{k-1}(ax_1, \dots, ax_n) = a^\lambda f_{k-1}(x) + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} a^\lambda \log^r a f_{k-1-r}^{(k-1)}(x),$$

where  $f_{k-1-r}^{(k-1)}(x)$  is a QAHD of order  $k - 1 - r$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ , we find that  $g_k(a)$  satisfies the linear differential equation

$$(3.11) \quad g_k'(a) = \frac{\lambda}{a} g_k(a) + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} a^{\lambda-1} \log^r a f_{k-1-r}^{(k-1)}(x), \quad g_1(1) = 0.$$

Now it is easy to see that its general solution has the form

$$g_k(a) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} a^\lambda \log^{r+1} a \frac{f_{k-1-r}^{(k-1)}(x)}{r+1} + a^\lambda C(x),$$

where  $C(x)$  is a distribution. Taking into account that  $g_1(1) = 0$ , we calculate  $C(x) = 0$ . Thus

$$(3.12) \quad g_k(a) = \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} a^\lambda \log^{r+1} a \frac{f_{k-1-r}^{(k-1)}(x)}{r+1}.$$

By substituting (3.12) into (3.8), we find

$$(3.13) \quad U_a f_k(x) = a^\lambda f_k(x) - a^\lambda \log a f_{k-1}(x) + \sum_{r=2}^k a^\lambda \log^r a \frac{f_{k-r}^{(k-1)}(x)}{r},$$

where by our assumption  $f_{k-1}$  is a QAHD of order  $k-1$ , and, consequently,  $f_{k-r}^{(k-1)}(x)$  is a QAHD of order  $k-r$ ,  $r = 2, \dots, k$ . Thus, in view of Definition 1.5,  $f_k$  is a QAHD of order  $k$ .

By the induction axiom, the theorem is proved.  $\square$

Theorem 3.1 is a special case of Proposition 2.31 from Grudzinski's book [4].

## 4. Conclusion

Theorems 2.3, 2.4, 3.1 imply the following statement.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . The following statements are equivalent*

(i)  *$f_k \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k$  (in a sense of Definition 1.5), i.e.,*

$$U_a f_k(x) = f_k(ax) = a^\lambda f_k(x) + \sum_{r=1}^k a^\lambda \log^r a f_{k-r}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $f_{k-r}(x)$  is a QAHD of degree  $\lambda$  and of order  $k-r$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ;

(ii)  $(U_a - a^\lambda I)^{k+1} f_k(x) = 0$ ;

(iii)  $(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \lambda)^{k+1} f_k(x) = 0$ ;

(iv)  $f_k(x) = r^\lambda \sum_{j=0}^k h_j(x) \log^j r$ , where  $h_j$  are homogeneous distributions,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ ;  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

## References

- [1] R. Estrada, R. P. Kanwal, *Asymptotic analysis: A distributional approach*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994.
- [2] R. Estrada, R. P. Kanwal, *A distributional approach to asymptotics: Theory and applications*. Second edition. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, 2002.
- [3] I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions. vol 1: Properties and Operations*. New York, Acad. Press, 1964.
- [4] Olaf von Grudzinski, *Quasihomogeneous distributions*. Amsterdam, New York: North-Holland, Elsevier Science Pub. Co., 1991.
- [5] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I: Distribution theory and Fourier analysis*, Springer, 1990.
- [6] V. M. Shelkovich, *Associated and quasi associated homogeneous distributions (generalized functions)*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **338**, (2008), 48–70.
- [7] V. S. Vladimirov, *Generalized functions in mathematical physics* (English ed.), Moscow: Mir Publishers, 1979.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UKRAINIAN NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 3 TERE-  
SHCHENKIVS'KA, KYIV, 01601, UKRAINE.

*E-mail address:* `kosyak01@yahoo.com`, `kosyak@imath.kiev.ua`

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, ST. PETERSBURG STATE POLYTECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, POLY-  
TECHNICHESKAYA 29, 195251, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA

*E-mail address:* `polischook@list.ru`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ST.-PETERSBURG STATE ARCHITECTURE AND CIVIL EN-  
GINEERING UNIVERSITY, 2 KRASNOARMEISKAYA 4, 190005, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA.

*E-mail address:* `shelkv@vs1567.spb.edu`