ON SOLUBILITY OF GROUPS WITH FINITELY MANY CENTRALIZERS

MOHAMMAD ZARRIN

Communicated by

ABSTRACT. For any group G, let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ denote the set of centralizers of G. We say that a group G has n centralizers (G is a \mathcal{C}_n -group) if $|\mathcal{C}(G)| = n$. In this note, we prove that every finite \mathcal{C}_n -group with $n \leq 21$ is soluble and this estimate is sharp. Moreover, we prove that every finite \mathcal{C}_n -group with $|G| < \frac{30n+15}{19}$ is non-nilpotent soluble. This result gives a partial answer to a conjecture raised by A. Ashrafi in 2000.

1. Introduction

For any group G, let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ denote the set of centralizers of G. We say that a group G has n centralizers ($G \in \mathcal{C}_n$, or G is a \mathcal{C}_n -group) if $|\mathcal{C}(G)| = n$. Also we say that G has a finite number of centralizers, written $G \in \mathcal{C}$, if $G \in \mathcal{C}_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} \mathcal{C}_i$. It is clear that a group is a \mathcal{C}_1 -group if and only if it is abelian. Belcastro and Sherman in [5], showed that there is no finite \mathcal{C}_n -group for $n \in \{2, 3\}$ (while Ashrafi in [2], showed that, for any positive integer $n \neq 2, 3$, there exists a finite group G such that $|\mathcal{C}(G)| = n$). Also they characterized all finite \mathcal{C}_n -groups for $n \in \{4, 5\}$. Tota (see Appendix of [10]) proved that every arbitrary \mathcal{C}_4 -group is soluble. The author in [11] showed that the derived length of a soluble \mathcal{C}_n -group (not necessarily finite) is $\leq n$.

1

MSC(2010): Primary: 20D99; Secondary: 20E07.

Keywords: Centralizer, n-centralizer group, simple group.

Received: 25 December 2011, Accepted: 18 April June 2012.

^{© 2011} Iranian Mathematical Society.

For more details concerning C_n -groups see [1, 2, 3, 4, 11, 12]. In this paper, we obtain a solubility criteria for C_n -groups in terms of |G| and n.

Our main results are:

Theorem A. Let G be a finite C_n -group with $n \leq 21$, then G is soluble. The alternating group of degree 5 has 22 centralizers.

Theorem B. If G is a finite C_n -group, then the following hold: (1) |G| < 2n, then G is a non-nilpotent group.

(2) $|G| < \frac{30n+15}{19}$, then G is a non-nilpotent soluble group.

Let G be a finite C_n -group. In [5], Belcastro and Sherman raised the question whether or not there exists a finite C_n -group G other than Q_8 and D_{2p} (p is a prime) such that $|G| \leq 2n$. Ashrafi in [2] showed that there are several counterexamples for this question and then Ashrafi raised the following conjecture (conjecture 2.4): If $|G| \leq 3n/2$, then G is isomorphic to $S_3, S_3 \times S_3$, or a dihedral group of order 10. Now by Theorem A, we can obtain that if $|G| \leq 3n/2$, then G is soluble. Therefore Theorem A give a partial answer to the conjecture put forward by Ashrafi.

2. Proofs

Let n > 0 be an integer and \mathcal{X} be a class of groups. We say that a group G satisfies the condition (\mathcal{X}, n) (G is a (\mathcal{X}, n) -group) whenever in every subset with n + 1 elements of G there exist distinct elements x, y such that $\langle x, y \rangle$ is in \mathcal{X} . Let \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{A} be the classes of nilpotent groups and abelian groups, respectively. Indeed, in a group satisfying the condition (\mathcal{A}, n) , the largest set of non-commuting elements (or the largest set of elements in which no two generate an abelian subgroup) has size at most n.

Here we give an interesting relation between groups that have n centralizers and groups that satisfy the condition $(\mathcal{A}, n-1)$.

Proposition 2.1. Let n be a positive integer and G be a C_n -group (not necessarily finite). Then G satisfies the condition $(\mathcal{A}, n-1)$.

Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that G does not satisfy the condition $(\mathcal{A}, n-1)$. Therefore there exists a subset $X = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$ of G

On solubility of groups with finitely many centralizers

such that $\langle a_i, a_j \rangle$ is not abelian, for every $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. This follows that $C_G(a_i) \neq C_G(a_j)$ for every $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Now since $C_G(e) = G$, where e is the trivial element of G, we get $n = |\mathcal{C}(G)| \geq n + 1$, which is impossible.

Note that by easy computation we can see that the symmetric group of degree 4, S_4 , satisfies the condition (\mathcal{A} , 10), but S_4 is not a \mathcal{C}_{11} -group (in fact, S_4 is a \mathcal{C}_{14} -group). That is, the converse of the above Proposition is not true.

We can now deduce Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Clearly every group satisfies the condition (\mathcal{A}, n) also satisfies the condition (\mathcal{N}, n) . Thus, by Proposition 2.1, G satisfies the condition (\mathcal{N}, n) for some $n \leq 20$. Now this statement follows from the main result of [6]. By easy computation we can obtain that the alternating group of degree 5, has 22 centralizers.

Note that Ashrafi and Taeri in [4], proved that, if G is a finite simple group and $|\mathcal{C}(G)| = 22$, then $G \cong A_5$. Then they, by this result, claimed that, if G is a finite group and $|\mathcal{C}(G)| \leq 21$, then G is soluble. Therefore, in view of Theorem A, we gave positive answer to their claim.

Tota in [10, Theorem 6.2]) showed that a group G belongs to C if and only if it is center-by-finite. Therefore it is natural problem to obtain bounds for |G: Z(G)| in terms of n.

Theorem 2.2. There is some constant $c \in \mathbf{R}_{>0}$ such that for any C_n -group G

$$n \le |G: Z(G)| \le c^{n-1}.$$

Proof. First, by the main result of [9] and Proposition 2.1 we have $|G : Z(G)| \le c^{n-1}$, for some constant c. To remain the prove we may assume that $Z(G) \ne 1$. Since elements in the same coset modulo Z(G) have the same centralizer, it follows that $n \le |G : Z(G)|$.

For the proof of Theorem B, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Let G be a finite C_n -group. Then

$$n \le \frac{|G| + |I(G)|}{2},$$

where $I(G) = \{a \in G \mid a^2 = 1\} = \{a \in G \mid a = a^{-1}\}.$

Proof. Since $C_G(a) = C_G(a^{-1})$, we can obtain that

$$n \le |I(G)| + |\frac{G - I(G)}{2}| \le \frac{|G| + |I(G)|}{2},$$

as wanted.

Corollary 2.4. Let G be a finite simple C_n -group. Then 3n/2 < |G|.

Proof. It is well known that for every simple group we have I(G) < |G|/3. Now the result follows from Lemma 2.3.

Here we show that a semi-simple C_n -group has order bounded by a function of n. (Recall that a group G is semi-simple if G has no non-trivial normal abelian subgroups.)

Proposition 2.5. Let G be a semi-simple C_n -group. Then G is finite and $|G| \leq (n-1)!$.

Proof. The group G acts on the set $A := \{C_G(x) \mid a \in G \setminus Z(G)\}$ by conjugation. By assumption |A| = n - 1. Put $B = \bigcap_{x \in G} N_G(C_G(x))$. The subgroup B is the kernel of this action and so

$$G/B \hookrightarrow S_{n-1}.$$
 (*)

By definition of B, the centralizer $C_G(a)$ is normal in B for any element $a \in G$. Therefore $a^{-1}a^b \in C_G(a)$ for any two elements $a, b \in B$. So B is a 2-Engel group (see [7]). Now it is well known that B is a nilpotent group of class at most 3. Now as G is a semi-simple group, we can obtain that B = 1. It follows from (*) that G is a finite group and $|G| \leq (n-1)!$, as wanted.

We need the following result, for the proof of Theorem B.

Theorem 2.6. (Potter, 1988) Suppose G admits an automorphism which inverts more than 4|G|/15 elements. Then G is soluble.

Proof of Theorem B. (1). Suppose, for a contradiction, that G is nilpotent group, so in particular $Z(G) \neq 1$. Now it follows from Theorem 2.2 that $2n \leq |G|$, which is contradiction.

(2). From part (1) we obtain that G is not nilpotent. Since $|G| < \frac{30n+15}{19}$ and so $2n > \frac{19|G|-15}{15}$, Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$|I(G)| \ge 2n - |G| > \frac{4|G|}{15} - 1.$$

On the other hand, since I(G) is the set of all elements of G that inverted by the identity automorphism, Theorem 2.6 completes the proof.

Zarrin

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by University of Kurdistan.

References

- A. Abdollahi, S. M. Jafarian Amiri and A. Mohammadi Hassanabadi, Groups with specific number of centralizers, *Houston Journal of Mathematics* 33 (2007) No. 1, pp. 43-57.
- [2] A. R. Ashrafi, On finite groups with a given number of centralizers, Algebra Collog. 7 (2000) 139–146.
- [3] A. R. Ashrafi, Counting the centralizers of some finite groups, Korean J. Comput. Appl. Math. 7 (2000) 115–124.
- [4] A. R. Ashrafi and B. Taeri, On finite groups with a certain number of centralizers, J. Appl. Math. Computing 17 (2005) 217–227.
- [5] S. M. Belcastro and G. J. Sherman, Counting centralizers in finite groups, *Math. Mag.* 5 (1994) 111–114.
- [6] G. Endimioni, Groupes finis satisfaisant la condition (N, n), C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I 319 (1994) 1245–1247.
- [7] W. P. Kappe, Die A-Norm einer Gruppe, Illinois J. Math. 5 (1961) 187–197.
- [8] W. M. Potter, Nonsolvable groups with an automorphism inverting many elements, Archiv der Mathematik (Basel) 50 (1988) 292–299.
- [9] L. Pyber, The number of pairwise non-commuting elements and the index of the centre in a finite group, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 35 (1987) 287–295.
- [10] M. Tota, Groups with a Finite Number of Normalizer Subgroups, Comm. Algebra 32 (2004) 4667–4674.
- [11] M. Zarrin, Criteria for the solubility of finite groups by its centralizers, Arch. Math. 96 (2011) 225–226.
- [12] M. Zarrin, On element-centralizers in finite groups, Arch. Math. 93 (2009) 497– 503.

Department of Mathematics, University of Kurdistan, P.O.Box 416, Sanandaj, Iran Email: m.zarrin@uok.ac.ir