

**Rapid communication**

**A general mathematical formula to quantify  
biocellular signaling cascades using  
the fluctuation theorem**

Tatsuaki Tsuruyama, PhD, MD\*

*\*Department of Diagnostic Pathology, Center for Anatomical, Forensic Medical and Pathological Research, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Yoshida-Konoe-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8315, Japan*

**Send correspondence to:** T. Tsuruyama

Department of Diagnostic Pathology, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine

1 Yoshida-Konoe-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

Phone: +81-75-753-4473; Fax: +81-75-761-9591

E-mail: [tsuruyam@kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp](mailto:tsuruyam@kuhp.kyoto-u.ac.jp)

## **Abstract**


Cells are non-equilibrium reaction systems that contain biochemical reaction cascades of multiple steps collectively known as 'signaling cascades (SC)' or 'signaling pathways'. The SC, or pathway, have the potency to *transform* concentration changes of extracellular biochemical substances into concentration changes of modified proteins that are involved in SC. The SC accompanies with entropy production during the modification in individual steps. In the current study, we propose a novel biophysical quantification method based on a simple model in reference to data of reported SC. According to the actual data, the more upstream steps that are less likely to occur are long-lived, suggesting that the SCs are similar to the minimally redundant coding way. Here I aimed to analyze the SC that is minimally redundant from the perspective of information theory. By application of the fluctuation theorem (FT), we obtained basic equations of the probability distribution of signaling, channel capacity and density of information per signaling molecule, which were described by the average entropy production rate of SC. This approach may provide a broad quantitative picture of SC.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In molecular cellular biology, mapping of the biochemical reaction cascades (SC) is one of the key approaches to understand cellular activity. In fact, many SCs have been mapped and the qualitative analytical studies have been reported. On the other hand, quantitative analysis of SC remains difficult because of the complexity. However, two recent advances have made such quantitative analysis feasible. First, an analytical method based on the concept of a chemical reaction network (CRN) has recently produced meaningful results using numerical simulation, and computational/automata methodologies have been developed to implement this concept [1-3]. Second, non-equilibrium thermodynamics has recently advanced with the formulation of fluctuation theorem (FT) and Jarzynski's equality [4-8]. According to these recent developments in CRN research field, we propose a novel quantitative formula re-defining an SC.

Consider an SC that has the potential to transmit a concentration change of the extracellular biochemical substance through an SC of protein-modification reactions. Further, this SC requires a low weight molecular co-factor that promotes conformational change in the signaling molecules by binding, as well as interaction among signaling protein molecules. In turn, this interaction triggers the next reaction, and so on, so that the modification is relayed as signal along SC.

This SC is modeled quantitatively, as follows, where  $X_j$  ( $0 \leq j \leq n$ ) are signaling molecular proteins that become modified with conformation change as an SC propagates, and  $M$  is the low molecular weight co-factor:



In scheme (1.1), an increase in ligand  $X_0$  triggers SC when it associates with  $X_1$  and activates  $X_1$  to  $X_1^*$ , and also induces the binding of  $M$ . Subsequently,  $X_1^*$

associates and activates  $X_2$  to  $X_2^*$ , which, in turn, binds  $M$ . More generally, each activated signaling molecule  $X_{j-1}^*$  potentially activates  $X_j$ . Signaling finally terminates when  $X_n^*$  translocates into the nucleus of the cell, binds to a specific region of genomic DNA, and promotes subsequent transcription of RNA (Fig. 1).  $k_j$  and  $k_{-j}$  are coefficients of the forward and reverse reactions, respectively. Note that in this model, the signaling molecule  $X_j$  is continuously modified if it encounters a constant supply of  $M$ . As shown in (1.1),  $M$  is relayed in one direction from the top to the bottom of the SC, reflecting downstream polarity. Moreover, a larger value of  $j$  indicates that  $X_j$  is further *downstream* signaling molecule in the SC.

The SC is assumed to be at detailed balance, the steady state, so that

$$\frac{dX_j^*}{dt} = -k_{j-1}X_{j-1}^*X_j + k_{-j}X_{j-1}X_j^* = 0 \quad \text{with } X_j + X_j^* = X_j^{tot} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $X_j^{tot}$  is the sum of inactive species  $X_j$  and active species  $X_j^*$ , of which only the latter actually transmits biological information. According to (1.2),

$$X_j^e = \frac{k_{-j}X_j^{tot}}{k_{-j} + Mk_jk_{j-1}}, X_j^{e*} = \frac{Mk_jk_{j-1}X_j^{tot}}{k_{-j} + Mk_jk_{j-1}} \quad (1.3)$$

Here small letter  $e$  signifies the concentrations at the steady state. If the SC is represented using  $dX_j$ ,  $X_j$  fluctuates around the steady state as follows:

$$S_j : X_j^* = X_j^{e*} \rightarrow X_j^{e*} + dX_j^*, \quad S_{-j} : X_j^* = X_j^{e*} \rightarrow X_j^{e*} - dX_j^* \quad (1.4)$$

This formulation implies that when the concentration of  $X_j^*$  increases by  $dX_j^*$ , the signal is transmitted downstream. On the other hand, a decrease in concentration by  $dX_j^*$  indicates signal transmission in the inverse direction. Individual sequential reactions are coded  $S_j$  ( $n < j < -n$ ), so that an SC can be described in an alphabetic sequence such, for example, as shown in Figure 2. Below, the model SC is modeled according to these typical features.

## II. METHODS

### A. General formulation of a signaling pathway

To mathematically model SC, ideas from information theory are applied [9]. Based on the reactions depicted in (1.1),  $\tau_j$  corresponds to the duration of the  $j$ -th step, which is the forward reaction generating  $X_j^*$ , that transmit biological signaling.  $\tau_j$  corresponds to the duration of the inverse reaction of  $j$ -th step. The total duration of SC is

$$\tau \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^* \tau_j, \quad \tau' \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^* \tau_{-j} \quad (2.1)$$

The total number of active signaling molecules,  $X^*$ , is

$$X^* = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j^* \quad \text{and} \quad X = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j \quad (2.2)$$

The a priori probability  $p_j$  and  $p_{-j}$  are defined as

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j^{e*} + dX_j^*) / X^* \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n p_{-j} = \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j^{e*} - dX_j^*) / X^* \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n p_{-j} = 1$$

Eq. (2.1) can be rewritten so that

$$\tau = X^* \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \tau_j \quad (2.4)$$

The logarithm of the totality of signaling events,  $\Phi$ , allowing for permutations in the sequence of signaling molecules, is given by

$$\Phi = X^*! / \prod_{j=1}^n X_j^*! \quad (2.5)$$

Using Stirling's approximation,

$$\log \Phi = -X^* \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log p_j \quad (2.6)$$

The aim is to maximize channel capacity in the SC. Equations (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5) are collected, and  $\log \Phi$  is maximized as using parameters,  $\alpha$  or  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta$  or  $\beta'$ .

$$d \log \Phi - \alpha d \sum_{j=1}^n p_j - \beta d \tau = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d \log \Phi - \alpha' d \sum_{j=1}^n p_{-j} - \beta' d \tau' = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

This is an application of Lagrange's non-determined coefficient determination method.

Differentiating (2.6), the following is obtained:

$$d \log \Phi = -dX^* \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log p_j - X^* \sum_{j=1}^n p_j (1 + \log p_j) dp_j \quad (2.8)$$

Substituting (2.7) into (2.8),

$$-dX^* \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log p_j + \beta \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \tau_j \right] + \sum_{j=1}^n dp_j \left[ -\alpha - \beta X^* \tau_j - X^* (1 + \log p_j) \right] = 0 \quad (2.9)$$

Because  $dX^*$  and  $dp_j$  are independent variables, the following equations hold:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log p_j + \beta \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \tau_j = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

$$-\alpha - \beta X^* \tau_j - X^* (1 + \log p_j) = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

Substituting (2.11) into (2.10) yields

$$\sum_{j=1}^n p_j (-1 - \alpha / X^*) = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

To satisfy (2.12),

$$-1 - \alpha / X^* = 0 \quad (2.13)$$

From (2.11) and (2.12), the following is derived:

$$-\log p_j = \beta \tau_j \quad (2.14)$$

Likewise, using  $\beta'$ ,

$$-\log p_{-j} = \beta' \tau_{-j} \quad (2.14')$$

I emphasize that  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  are constants independent of  $j$ .

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \exp(-\beta \tau_j) = 1 \quad \text{with} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \exp(-\beta' \tau_{-j}) = 1 \quad (2.15)$$

When a signal is sufficiently long-lived, the distribution (2.15) yields variable signaling (2.5):

$$\log \Phi = X_j^* \sum_{j=1}^n \beta \tau_j \exp(-\beta \tau_j) = \beta X^* \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \tau_j = \beta \tau \quad (2.16)$$

According to (2.16),

$$\Phi = e^{\beta \tau} \quad (2.17)$$

Information density per one activated signaling molecules, according to (2.13), is

$$i = -\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log p_j = -\sum_{j=1}^n e^{-\beta \tau_j} \beta \tau_j = \beta \frac{\tau}{X^*} \quad (2.18)$$

Using (2.19), channel capacity of SC is given by:

$$C = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X^* i}{\tau} = \beta \quad (2.19)$$

The formula for the duration of the entire SC, given the rate of transmission defined by Eqs. (2.20), is

$$R = \frac{C}{i} = \frac{X^*}{\tau} \quad (2.20)$$

## B. Mathematical formulation of an idealized signaling pathway

The present study models signal transduction and offers the possibility of predicting the kinetic properties of biological systems using FT. Using (2.3), the function  $Z_j$  is defined for the  $j$ -th and  $-j$ -th step in SC to be

$$\begin{aligned} Z_j &\triangleq \log \frac{p_j(\bar{\sigma}_j = \sigma_j)}{p_{-j}(\bar{\sigma}_j = -\sigma_j)} \\ Z_{-j} &\triangleq \log \frac{p_j(\bar{\sigma}_j = -\sigma_{-j})}{p_{-j}(\bar{\sigma}_j = \sigma_{-j})} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n) \quad (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

In above, I defined the average entropy production rates of the  $j$ -th step in SC the using variables  $s$  and  $s'$ :

$$\bar{\sigma}_j = \frac{1}{\tau_j} \int_0^{\tau_j} \sigma(s) ds \quad \bar{\sigma}_{-j} = -\frac{1}{\tau_{-j}} \int_0^{\tau_{-j}} \sigma(s') ds' \quad (3.2)$$

Equation (3.1) gives the following forms of  $\tau_j$  and  $\tau_{-j}$  in terms of the  $\bar{\sigma}_j$  and  $-\bar{\sigma}_{-j}$  in SC as modeled by FT [10-13]:

$$\lim_{\tau_j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tau_j} Z_j = \bar{\sigma}_j, \quad \lim_{\tau_{-j} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\tau_{-j}} Z_{-j} = -\bar{\sigma}_{-j} \quad (3.3)$$

Accordingly, when the duration is sufficiently long,

$$Z_j \simeq \tau_j \bar{\sigma}_j, \quad Z_{-j} \simeq -\tau_{-j} \bar{\sigma}_{-j} \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$p_{-j} = \exp(-\sigma \tau_{-j}) p_j \quad (3.5)$$

Eqs. (2.13) and (3.1) yield

$$Z_j = \beta \tau_j - \beta' \tau_{-j}, \quad Z_{-j} = -\beta \tau_j + \beta' \tau_{-j} \quad (3.6)$$

Eqs. (3.4) and (3.6) provide a simple formulation of the ideal SC as

$$\tau_j \bar{\sigma}_j = \tau_{-j} \bar{\sigma}_{-j} \triangleq \bar{\sigma} \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\beta = \beta' = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_j \bar{\sigma}_{-j}}{\bar{\sigma}_j + \bar{\sigma}_{-j}} \quad (3.8)$$

In actual SCs,  $\tau_j \ll \tau_{-j}$  and therefore  $\sigma_j \ll \sigma_{-j}$  from (3.6),

$$\frac{\bar{\sigma}_j \bar{\sigma}_{-j}}{\bar{\sigma}_j + \bar{\sigma}_{-j}} \simeq \bar{\sigma}_{-j} \triangleq \sigma \quad (3.9)$$

This formula implies that the entropy production rate of individual SCs are independent of  $j$ , if the SC is non-redundant system. Accordingly,

$$\beta = \beta' = \sigma \quad (3.10)$$

Using (3.6), Eq. (2.13) is rewritten as

$$-\log p_j = \sigma \tau_j, \quad -\log p_{-j} = \sigma \tau_{-j} \quad (3.11)$$

Information density, according to (2.13), is

$$i = -\sum_{j=1}^n p_j \log p_j = \sum_{j=1}^n e^{-\sigma \tau_j} \sigma \tau_j = \sigma \frac{\tau}{X^*} \quad (3.12)$$

The channel capacity of SC is given by

$$C = \frac{iX^*}{\tau} = \sigma \quad (3.13)$$

### C. Transinformation



Subsequently, mutual information in a SC must be examined. A simple example of a discrete channel is depicted in Fig. 3. The quiescent molecule  $X_j$  is not affected by noise, because it does not transmit information. On the other hand, the active molecule has probability  $\phi_j$  of coming through unmodified, and  $(1 - \phi_j)$  probability of being deactivated. Let  $\zeta_j = [\phi_j \log \phi_j + (1 - \phi_j) \log(1 - \phi_j)]$  and  $\zeta_{-j} = [\phi_{-j} \log \phi_{-j} + (1 - \phi_{-j}) \log(1 - \phi_{-j})]$ .

In an actual SC as depicted in Fig. 1, activation of the  $j+1$ -th signal is delayed following activation of the  $j$ -th step: when modification of  $X_j$  occurs, modification of  $X_{j+1}$  is not immediately observed. To an observer, modification of  $X_j$  comes with probability  $\phi_j$  that  $X_{j+1}$  is unmodified, and probability  $(1 - \phi_j)$  that  $X_{j+1}$  is modified. The entropy  $H_j$  and the conditional entropies  $H_j(X_j; X_{j+1})$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_j &= -p_j \log p_j - p_{-j} \log p_{-j} \\ H_j(X_j; X_{j+1}) &= \zeta_j p_j \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Likewise, the inverse SC can be described as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{-j} &= -p_j \log p_j - p_{-j} \log p_{-j} \\ H_{-j}(X_j; X_{j-1}) &= \zeta_{-j} p_{-j} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

The idea is to choose  $p_j$  and  $p_{-j}$  in such a way as to maximize  $I_j = (H_j - H_j(X_j; X_{j+1})) + (H_{-j} - H_{-j}(X_{j+1}; X_j))$ . Detailed calculations are shown in APPENDIX. The difference in entropy, which is given by  $\zeta_{-j} = \zeta_j$  in A1, is

$$\begin{aligned} I_j &= (H_j - H_j(X_j; X_{j+1})) + (H_{-j} - H_{-j}(X_{j+1}; X_j)) \\ &= \zeta_j p_j + \zeta_{-j} p_{-j} = \zeta_j (p_j - p_{-j}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Using (3.5), and the above result,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} I_j = \zeta_j (p_j - \exp(-\sigma \tau_j) p_j) \sim \zeta_j p_j \sigma \tau_j \leq \sigma \tau_j \quad (\tau_j \rightarrow \infty) \quad (4.4)$$

The maximum transmission rate for the  $j$ -th step is obtained from (4.4):

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \frac{I_j}{\tau_j} &\simeq \frac{\zeta_j \tau_j \sigma p_j}{\tau_j} = \zeta_j p_j \sigma \\ &= p_j \sigma [\phi_j \log \phi_j + (1 - \phi_j) \log(1 - \phi_j)] \leq p_j \sigma \triangleq C_j \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

The sum of transmission per unit time is given by

$$C \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^n C_j = \sigma \sum_{j=1}^n p_j = \sigma \quad (4.6)$$

which is identical to (3.13).

### III. DISCUSSION

In the current study, the basic properties of a non-redundant SC, including information density, channel capacity were newly formulated in (3.6), (3.9), (4.5), and (4.6) .

Eq. (2.13) implies that the most probable signaling step has  $p_j$  relatively close to unity, and hence  $-\log p_j$  will be a relatively small positive number. Therefore, the signal should have a relatively short lifetime. In other words, the most probable reactions in the more downstream steps, which are shared by different SCs, are short-lived, while pathway-specific signals in the more upstream steps that are less likely to occur are long-lived. This is consistent with published experimental data on actual SCs [9-17] (Fig. 2). As examples of SCs, the epidermal growth factor receptor/mitogen-activated protein kinase SC [9-14] and the Janus kinase/STAT SC are cascades of phosphorylation of the tyrosine or serine residues on the signaling molecular proteins [15-17]. In this instance, downstream molecules are shared by multiple SCs, and, as a result, they are more abundant relative to all other molecules (Figure 1). This implies that (i) a larger number of downstream signaling molecules are activated over a shorter period of time  $\tau$ , and (ii) upstream signaling is less likely to occur. Namely, downstream signals must be short-lived, while upstream signals are long-lived as shown in Figure 1,  $\tau_{j-1} > \tau_j$ .

Significantly, I obtained the same channel capacity by considering the mutual information (See 3.13), that is the same as the channel capacity (see 4.6) by considering the non-redundancy of SC by application of FT. This significant coincidence also suggests validity of the current formulation and reflects the kinetic features of the model SC.

Application of FT to SC requires assumptions of reversibility, detailed balance and a reservoir of co-factors. These assumptions are reasonable, because in actual biological systems, SCs are stable, so that the concentration of cofactors remains

precisely in steady state for sufficiently long times. Indeed, homeostasis is a hallmark of biological systems. Based on these assumptions, a formula was derived to quantify the density of information in an idealized non-redundant SC.

Obviously, these conclusions are not immediately applicable to experimental biological data. However, an actual SC could be modeled to some extent. The framework provides a useful platform for analyzing biochemical data that are now being accumulated for the express purpose of quantitatively modeling biological systems.

### **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology, Japan (*Synergy of Fluctuation and Structure: Quest for Universal Laws in Non-Equilibrium Systems*, P2013-201 Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas, MEXT, Japan).

I thank *Professor Kenichi Yoshikawa*, Department of Physics, Kyoto University and Doshisha University, for critical advice.

### **References**

1. S. Uda, T. H. Saito, T. Kudo, T. Kokaji, et al., *Science* **341**, 558-561(2013).
2. R. Cheong, A. Rhee, C. J. Wang, I. Nemenman, et al., *Science* **334**, 354-358 (2011).
3. J. Selimkhanov, B. Taylor, J. Yao, A. Pilko, et al., *Science* **346**, 1370-1373 [19].
4. D. Collin, F. Ritort, C. Jarzynski, S. B. Smith, et al., *Nature* **437**, 231-234 (2005).
5. G. E. Crooks, *Phys. Rev. E. Stat. Phys. Plasmas Fluids Relat. Interdiscip. Topics* **60**, 2721-2726 (1999).
6. G. E. Crooks, *J. Chem. Phys.* **130**, 107101-107102 (2009).
7. T. Gilbert, *Phys. Rev. E. Stat. Nonlin. Soft Matter Phys.* **73**, 035102 (2006).

8. T. Gilbert, J. R. Dorfman, *Phys. Rev. E. Stat. Nonlin. Soft Matter Phys.* **73**, 026121 (2006).
9. C. Gil, I. Chaib-Oukadour, J. Aguilera, *Biochem. J.* **373**, 613-620 (2003).
10. J. P. Mackeigan, L. O. Murphy, C. A. Dimitri, J. Blenis, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **25**, 4676-4682 (2005).
11. D. R. Newman, C. M. Li, R. Simmons, J. Khosla, et al., *Am. J. Physiol-Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.* **287**, L191-L200 (2004).
12. H. Wang, J. J. Ubl, R. Stricker, G. Reiser, *Am. J. Physiol. Cell. Physiol.* **283**, C1351-1364 (2002).
13. X. Xin, L. Zhou, C. M. Reyes, F. Liu, L. Q. Dong, *Am. J. Physiol-Endocrinol. Metabol.* **300**, E103-E110 (2011).
14. H. Kodama, K. Fukuda, J. Pan, M. Sano, et al., *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* **279**, H1635-1644 (2000).
15. E. Arguni, M. Arima, N. Tsuruoka, A. Sakamoto, et al. *Int. Immunol.* **18**, 1079-1089 (2006).
16. B. C. Betts, A. Veerapathran, J. Pidala, X. Z. Yu, et al., *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **95**, 205-213 [19].
17. T. Tsuruyama, T. Nakamura, G. Jin, M. Ozeki, et al., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* **99**, 8253-8258 (2002).


## Figure Legends

FIG. 1. Schematic of a modification SC.  $X_0$  is a ligand and  $X_1$  is a receptor that mediates cellular response to environmental changes. Signaling molecules  $X_1, X_2, X_3$ , and  $X_4$  relay the signal in individual steps. The last species  $X_4$  translocates to the nucleus, where it controls gene expression. Step 4, with the highest probability of occurring, must also be the shortest-lived reaction, while step 1, the least probable, is the longest-lived (*i.e.*,  $\tau_1 > \tau_2 > \tau_3 > \tau_4$ ).


FIG. 2. Time course of a SC. Chemical species are serially modified. The SC is coded  $S_1S_{-1}$  (top) and  $S_1S_2S_3S_{-3}S_{-1}S_{-2}$  (bottom) to capture the direction of individual steps. The vertical axis represents modification of signaling molecules, while the horizontal axis represents time.

FIG. 3. Schematic of a network of SC.  $Y, Z, V$ , and  $W$  are signaling molecules in other SCs. As downstream reactions with  $j = 2, 3$ , and  $4$  (*i.e.*, modification of  $X_2, X_3$ , and  $X_4$ ) are shared by two, three, and five other SCs, they are more likely to occur than the initial step.


FIG. 3. Schematic representation of the relationship between inputs and outputs at the  $j$ -th signaling step of a simple discrete channel.



**Figure 1** Tatsuaki Tsuruyama




**Figure 2** Tatsuaki Tsuruyama



**Figure 3** Tatsuaki Tsuruyama





$$\zeta_j = [\phi_j \log \phi_j + (1 - \phi_j) \log(1 - \phi_j)]$$

$$\zeta_{-j} = [\phi_{-j} \log \phi_{-j} + (1 - \phi_{-j}) \log(1 - \phi_{-j})]$$

**Figure 4** Tatsuaki Tsuruyama