

# Binary Response in MicroRNA-mediated Regulation of Gene Expression

Indrani Bose\* and Sayantari Ghosh

*Department of Physics, Bose Institute,  
93/1, Acharya Prafulla Chandra Road, Kolkata - 700009, India*

MicroRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression is characterised by some distinctive features which set it apart from unregulated and transcription factor-regulated gene expression. Recently, a mathematical model has been proposed to describe the dynamics of post-transcriptional regulation by microRNAs. The model explains quite well the observations made in single cell experiments. In this paper, we introduce additional features into the model and consider two specific cases. In the first case, a non-cooperative positive feedback loop is included in the transcriptional regulation of the target gene expression. In the second case, a stochastic version of the original model is considered in which there are random transitions between the inactive and active states of the gene. In the first case we show that bistability is possible in a parameter regime due to the presence of a non-linear protein decay term in the gene expression dynamics. In the second case, we derive the conditions for obtaining stochastic binary gene expression. We find that this type of gene expression is more favourable in the case of regulation by microRNAs as compared to the case of unregulated gene expression. The theoretical predictions on binary gene expression are experimentally testable.

arXiv:1205.0381v1 [q-bio.QM] 2 May 2012

---

\* [indrani@bosemain.boseinst.ac.in](mailto:indrani@bosemain.boseinst.ac.in)

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of small noncoding RNAs which regulate gene expression in prokaryotes and eukaryotes at the post-transcriptional level. They play critical roles in a number of cellular processes like stress response, developmental transitions, differentiation, apoptosis etc. [1–3]. The mechanisms of regulation by small RNAs differ in specific features in prokaryotes and eukaryotes. These are, however, based on the common principle of the regulatory RNA base-pairing with the messenger RNA (mRNA) of the target gene inhibiting translation and/or promoting mRNA degradation. Various possibilities have been suggested for the fate of the inactive complex of the regulatory RNA and the target mRNA once it is formed [4–7]: (i) the complex has a finite lifetime followed by dissociation into its free components, (ii) the regulatory RNA co-degrades with the target mRNA at the same or different rates and (iii) only the target mRNA degrades with the regulatory RNA becoming free for further activity [4–7]. A number of functional features of RNA-regulated gene expression has been identified so far [4, 6, 7]. One prominent feature is that of threshold linear mode of action in which the target gene protein synthesis is highly repressed below a threshold level of target mRNA production and activated in a linear fashion once the threshold is crossed. Other significant characteristics include: suppression of protein fluctuations in the form of translational bursts, rapid response times and filtering of transient signals [7], sharpening of spatial expression patterns [8] and prioritization of the expression of target genes in the case of a single RNA regulating the expression of multiple genes.

Recently, a mathematical model has been proposed [4] to describe the biochemical interactions and kinetics of miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression. The model has been experimentally validated in single cell measurements using quantitative fluorescence microscopy and flow cytometry. The experiments clearly demonstrate the high repression of target protein synthesis below a threshold level of target mRNA production and a sensitive response above the threshold. A major finding is that the strength of the repression below the threshold has considerable cell-to-cell variation in a population of genetically identical cells. This indicates that stochasticity may have non-trivial consequences in miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression. Figure 1 shows a sketch of the different biochemical processes involved in the miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression and which form the basis of the mathematical model proposed in [4]. The mRNA, as shown in figure 1, is either free ( $m$ ) or is part of a miRNA-mRNA complex ( $m^*$ ). The target mRNA is transcribed with rate constant  $k_m$  and has a natural degradation rate constant  $\gamma_m$ . The rate constants  $k_{on}$  and  $k_{off}$  are associated with the formation and dissociation of the bound complex of mRNA and miRNA. The bound miRNA becomes free either by unbinding the target mRNA (rate constant  $k_{off}$ ) or by degrading the mRNA (rate constant  $\gamma_{m^*}$ ). The model incorporates the important feature of molecular titration similar to the protein-protein titration analysed earlier [10]. Protein sequestration occurs when a repressor protein binds an active protein thus forming an inactive complex. As shown in [10], the regulatory mechanism, termed molecular titration, can generate ultrasensitive input-output responses. In the case of miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression, the inactive complex is that of mRNA and miRNA. Molecular titration is responsible for the observed sensitive dependence of protein expression on target mRNA input around a threshold level of target mRNA production.

In this Communication, we analyse the dynamics of the model, the key biochemical processes of which are shown in figure 1, from two different perspectives. In the first case, an additional process representing the autoactivation of the target gene expression by the target protein is included. In the second case, a simple stochastic version of the model of figure 1 is considered. In this model, the only stochasticity is associated with the random transitions between the inactive and active states of the gene. In the inactive state, there is no transcriptional activity, i.e., mRNA synthesis. Transcription is initiated in only the active state of the gene. The degradation of the mRNA occurs in both the inactive and active states of the gene. We show that binary gene expression is a possible outcome in both the scenarios described above. In the deterministic case, binary gene expression implies bistability, i.e., the coexistence of two stable expression states. In the stochastic case, the distribution of mRNA levels is bimodal, i.e., has two prominent peaks. In the following, we analyse the deterministic and stochastic models to investigate the origins of binary gene expression.

(i) *Deterministic Model*

The total concentration of miRNA is assumed to be constant as the miRNA turnover is slow compared to the timescale of gene expression [4]. The following set of differential equations describe the dynamics of the model.

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = k_m + \frac{\beta_m p}{K + p} - k_{on} m \cdot mi + k_{off} m^* - \gamma_m m \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{dm^*}{dt} = k_{on} m \cdot mi - k_{off} m^* - \gamma_{m^*} m^* \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = k_p m - \gamma_p p \quad (3)$$

The conservation condition for miRNA is




Figure 1. A schematic diagram describing the biochemical events involved in miRNA-regulated gene expression. The target mRNA is synthesized and degraded with rate constants  $k_m$  and  $\gamma_m$  respectively. The rate constants  $k_{on}$  and  $k_{off}$  describe the formation and dissociation of the bound complex  $m^*$  of free mRNA  $m$  and the miRNA. The bound miRNA degrades the mRNA with rate constant  $\gamma_{m^*}$ .

$$mi_T = mi + m^* \quad (4)$$

In the equations,  $mi$  and  $mi_T$  represent the free and total miRNA concentrations. The second term on the r.h.s. of equation (1) represents the autoactivation of the target gene expression. The rate constant  $\beta_m$  represents the maximum rate of mRNA synthesis due to autoactivation with  $K$  denoting the equilibrium dissociation constant for the binding of the regulatory protein at the promoter region of the target gene. The rate constants  $k_p$  and  $\gamma_p$  correspond to protein synthesis and degradation respectively with  $p$  being the protein concentration. In the steady state,  $\frac{dm}{dt} = 0$ ,  $\frac{dm^*}{dt} = 0$  and  $\frac{dp}{dt} = 0$  and one obtains the equations

$$k_m + \frac{\beta_m p}{K + p} - k_{on} m \cdot mi + k_{off} m^* - \gamma_m m = 0, \quad \gamma^* = \gamma_{m^*} \cdot mi_T \quad (5)$$

$$m^* = \frac{m \cdot mi_T}{m + \lambda}, \quad \lambda = \frac{k_{off} + \gamma_{m^*}}{k_{on}} \quad (6)$$

$$p = \frac{k_p}{\gamma_p} m \quad (7)$$

From these equations, the steady state protein concentration satisfies the equation

$$p_{st} = k_\delta + \frac{\alpha p}{K + p} - \frac{\phi p}{p + \lambda_\alpha} \quad (8)$$

with  $k_\delta = \frac{k_m}{\gamma_\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{\beta_m}{\gamma_\alpha}$ ,  $\phi = \frac{\gamma^*}{\gamma_\alpha}$ ,  $\lambda_\alpha = \lambda \frac{k_p}{\gamma_p}$  and  $\gamma_\alpha = \frac{\gamma_m \gamma_p}{k_m}$ .

Equation (8) has two stable steady state solutions, i.e., bistability in specific parameter regions. Figure 2(a) shows a plot of the steady state protein concentration,  $p_{st}$ , as a function of the parameter  $\alpha$ . The stable steady states are represented by solid lines whereas the dotted line describes the branch of unstable steady states. The plot exhibits hysteresis since the discontinuous transitions from the lower to the upper branch and from the upper to the lower branch occur at different values of the parameter  $\alpha$ . Figure 2(b) shows a phase diagram in the  $\phi - \alpha$  plane in which a region of bistability separates two regions of monostability corresponding to low and high expression states respectively. Bistability, in general, is an outcome of dynamics involving positive feedback and sufficient nonlinearity. The latter condition is usually achieved via the binding of the regulatory protein molecules at multiple sites of the promoter region of the gene or when the regulatory proteins from multimers like dimers and tetramers which then bind the




Figure 2. (a) Steady state protein concentration  $p_{st}$  versus  $\alpha$ . The parameter values are  $k_\delta = 0.01$ ,  $k = 10$ ,  $\phi = 0.2$  and  $\lambda_\alpha = 0.1$ . (b) Phase diagram in the  $\phi - \alpha$  plane showing a region of bistability between two regions of monostability. A continuous path between the two monostable regions is also possible. The parameter values are  $k_\delta = 0.01$ ,  $K = 10$  and  $\lambda_\alpha = 0.1$

specific regions of the DNA (cooperativity in regulation) [11, 12]. In the case of transcriptional regulation, it has been demonstrated that nonlinear protein decay processes combined with a noncooperative positive feedback are sufficient for obtaining bistability [13, 14]. The origin of nonlinear protein decay lies in the synthesized protein inhibiting the growth of the embedding cell. In the model under study, the nonlinear protein decay (third term on the r.h.s. of equation (8)) arises naturally in the post-transcriptional, i.e., miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression, and this along with a noncooperative positive feedback in transcriptional regulation gives rise to bistability (binary gene expression) in certain parameter regimes.

(ii) *Stochastic model*

The model is a generalisation, incorporating miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression, of an earlier stochastic model [15] in which the effect of stochasticity on unregulated/transcriptional factor-regulated gene expression was considered. More specifically, the condition for obtaining stochastic binary gene expression in terms of two gene expression parameters  $r_1 = \frac{k_a}{\gamma_m}$  and  $r_2 = \frac{k_d}{\gamma_m}$  was derived in the previous study. The rate constants  $k_a$  and  $k_d$  are the activation and deactivation rate constants for transitions between the inactive and active states of the gene. The rate constant  $\gamma_m$  is the degradation rate constant of the gene expression product which could be either mRNA or protein. In the present study, we determine the steady state distribution of mRNA levels. We assume that the concentration  $m^*$  of the mRNA-miRNA complex attains its steady state value at an earlier time point than the concentration  $m$  of free mRNA. As already mentioned, the only stochasticity in the model considered is associated with the random transitions between the inactive and active states of the gene. In each state of the gene, the mRNA concentration evolves according to the equation,

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = k_m z - \frac{\gamma^* m}{m + \lambda} - \gamma_m m = f(m, z) \quad (9)$$

Equation (9) is obtained from equation (1) by ignoring the positive feedback term and substituting the steady state concentration of  $m^*$  (equation (6)). The random variable  $z = 1$  (0) when the gene is in the active (inactive) state. Let  $\rho_j(m, t)$  ( $j = 0, 1$ ) be the probability density function when  $z = j$ . The total probability density function  $\rho(m, t) = \rho_0(m, t) + \rho_1(m, t)$ . The rate equations for the probability density functions are given by

$$\frac{\partial \rho_0(m, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial m} \left\{ \left( -\frac{\gamma^* m}{m + \lambda} - \gamma_m m \right) \rho_0(m, t) \right\} + k_d \rho_1(m, t) - k_a \rho_0(m, t) \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_1(m, t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial m} \left\{ \left( k_m - \frac{\gamma^* m}{m + \lambda} - \gamma_m m \right) \rho_0(m, t) \right\} + k_a \rho_0(m, t) - k_d \rho_1(m, t) \quad (11)$$

In the steady state, both  $\frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial t}$  and  $\frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial t}$  are zero and the total probability density function  $\rho$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \frac{\left( \frac{k_a}{k_m} - f'(m) \right) \rho}{f(m)} + \frac{\left( f'(m) - \frac{k_d}{k_m} \right) \rho}{1 - f(m)} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(m) &= \frac{\gamma_{new}^* m}{m+\lambda} + \gamma_{new} m, \\ \gamma_{new}^* &= \frac{\gamma_m^*}{k_m}, \quad \gamma_{new} = \frac{\gamma_m}{k_m} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The steady state solution for  $\rho$  is given by

$$\rho(m) = N m^{a_1} (d_2 + m)^{a_2} (d_3 + m)^{a_3} (d_5 - m)^{a_4+a_5} (d_6 + m)^{a_4-a_5} \quad (14)$$

where  $N$  is the normalisation constant,

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{k_a}{k_m} \left( \frac{\lambda}{\gamma_{new}^* + \gamma_{new} \lambda} \right) - 1 \\ a_2 &= \frac{k_a}{k_m} \left( \frac{\gamma_{new}^*}{\gamma_{new} (\gamma_{new}^* + \gamma_{new} \lambda)} \right) - 1 \\ a_3 &= 2 \\ a_4 &= \frac{k_d}{k_m} \frac{1}{2\gamma_{new}} - 1 \\ a_5 &= \frac{k_d}{k_m} \left( \frac{1 - \gamma_{new}^* + \lambda \gamma_{new}}{2\gamma_{new}} \right) \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\lambda \gamma_{new} + \left( \frac{\gamma_{new}^* + \lambda \gamma_{new} - 1}{2} \right)^2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_2 &= \frac{\gamma_{new}^*}{\gamma_{new}} + \lambda \\ d_3 &= \lambda \\ d_5 &= -\frac{(\gamma_{new}^* + \lambda \gamma_{new} - 1)}{2\gamma_{new}} + \frac{1}{2\gamma_{new}} \sqrt{(\gamma_{new}^* + \lambda \gamma_{new} - 1)^2 + 4\lambda \gamma_{new}} \\ d_6 &= \frac{(\gamma_{new}^* + \lambda \gamma_{new} - 1)}{2\gamma_{new}} + \frac{1}{2\gamma_{new}} \sqrt{(\gamma_{new}^* + \lambda \gamma_{new} - 1)^2 + 4\lambda \gamma_{new}} \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $\gamma_{new}^* = 0$  and  $\lambda = 0$ , i.e., considering only unregulated gene expression, one recovers from equation (14) the beta distribution [15, 16]:

$$\rho(m) = N_1 m^{\left(\frac{k_a}{\gamma_m} - 1\right)} \left(\frac{k_m}{\gamma_m} - m\right)^{\left(\frac{k_d}{\gamma_m} - 1\right)} \quad (15)$$

where  $N_1$  is the normalisation constant. In this case, binary gene expression is obtained only when  $r_1 = \frac{k_a}{\gamma_m}$  and  $r_2 = \frac{k_d}{\gamma_m}$  are both  $< 1$ . Two prominent peaks in the probability density function appear when  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are comparable in magnitude. In the case of transcription-factor regulated gene expression, the effective activation and deactivation rate constants,  $k'_a(s)$  and  $k'_d(s)$ , are functions of the regulatory protein (transcription factor) concentration  $s$ . The gene expression response to a regulatory stimulus may be either graded or binary. The response is quantified in terms of the concentrations of mRNAs/proteins. In graded response, the steady state concentration of the gene expression product varies continuously as the concentration  $s$  of the regulatory molecules is changed till a saturation level is reached. In the case of binary response, gene expression occurs at either a low or a high level and expression at intermediate levels is minimal. The fraction of cells in the low/high expression level changes as  $s$  is changed. This gives rise to a bimodal distribution in the protein/mRNA levels in an ensemble of cells. In the case of transcription-factor regulated gene expression, the conditions for obtaining binary gene expression are  $r_1 = \frac{k'_a(s)}{\gamma_m} < 1$  and  $r_2 = \frac{k'_d(s)}{\gamma_m} < 1$ . One should point out that in the parameter regime corresponding to binary gene expression, unimodal distributions are obtained when  $r_1 \ll r_2$  or  $r_2 \ll r_1$ . The term ‘binary’ refers to the response of the system to changing activation and deactivation rate constants in terms of only two types of expression levels, low and high. For example, for  $r_2 \gg r_1$ , the probability distribution of mRNA levels has a single peak at a low level. As  $r_1$  increases, a second peak appears at a high expression level with the peak becoming more prominent as  $r_1$  approaches  $r_2$ . At the other extreme of parameter values,  $r_1 \gg r_2$ , a single peak at the high expression level is obtained. Stochastic binary gene expression refers to a bimodal distribution of mRNA/protein levels and the bifurcation from a unimodal to a bimodal distribution occurs in the parameter regime  $r_1 < 1$  and  $r_2 < 1$ .




Figure 3. Steady state probability density function,  $\rho(m)$  describing the distribution of mRNA levels when (a)  $a_1$  is  $< 0$  and  $a_4 + a_5 < 0$  ( $r_1 = 0.1$ ,  $r_2 = 0.03$ ) and (b)  $a_1$  is  $< 0$  and  $a_4 - a_5 < 0$  ( $r_1 = 0.27$ ,  $r_2 = 0.5$ ). The other parameter values are fixed at  $\gamma_{new} = 0.1$ ,  $\gamma_{new}^* = 0.2$  and  $\lambda = 1$  in both the cases.

In the case of miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression, the most prominent contribution to binary gene expression is obtained when both  $a_1$  and  $a_4 + a_5$  are  $< 0$  in equation (14), i.e., when the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$\frac{k_a}{\gamma_m} < 1 + \frac{\gamma_{new}^*}{\lambda\gamma_{new}} \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{k_d}{\gamma_m} < 1 + \frac{1-f}{1+f}, \quad f = \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\phi^2 + \lambda\gamma_{new}\gamma_{new}^*}}$$

with  $\phi = \frac{(1-\gamma_{new}^* + \lambda\gamma_{new})}{2}$ . One notes that the parameter region in which stochastic binary gene expression may be obtained is expanded from that ( $\frac{k_a}{\gamma_m} < 1$  and  $\frac{k_d}{\gamma_m} < 1$ ) in the case of unregulated gene expression. Figure 3(a) demonstrates a bimodal distribution in the steady state distribution of mRNA levels for the parameter values  $r_1 = \frac{k_a}{\gamma_m} = 0.1$ ,  $r_2 = \frac{k_d}{\gamma_m} = 0.03$ ,  $\gamma_{new} = 0.1$ ,  $\gamma_{new}^* = 0.2$  and  $\lambda = 1$ . In the case of unregulated gene expression, the values of  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  fall in the region of binary gene expression but since  $r_1 \gg r_2$ , a unimodal distribution of mRNA levels is obtained in the steady state. Stochastic binary gene expression, though less distinct, is also obtained when  $a_1$  and  $a_4 - a_5$  are less than zero in equation (14) with  $a_2$  and  $a_4 + a_5 > 0$  ( $a_2$  can also be  $< 0$ ). Figure 3(b) illustrates this for the parameter values  $r_1 = 0.27$ ,  $r_2 = 0.5$ ,  $\gamma_{new} = 0.1$ ,  $\gamma_{new}^* = 0.2$  and  $\lambda = 1$ . Though there are two distinguishable peaks at low and high expression levels, the intermediate levels contribute substantially to the steady state probability distribution.

In this paper, we have discussed two possible scenarios for miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression, one deterministic and the other stochastic, and demonstrated the existence of binary gene expression in each case. In the first case, a nonlinear protein decay term along with a noncooperative positive feedback generate bistability in specific parameter regimes. In the second case, the conditions for obtaining stochastic binary gene expression are obtained and one finds that this type of gene expression is more favourable in the case of miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression as compared to the case of unregulated gene expression. Some of the theoretical predictions made in the present study are experimentally testable. Stochastic binary gene expression generates phenotypic heterogeneity in a cell population with identical genetic makeup and exposed to the same environment. MicroRNA-regulated gene expression is often activated when the cell population is subjected to stress [1–3]. Phenotypic heterogeneity helps a subset of the population to adapt to stressful conditions. Recent experiments on *E. coli* [17], *B. subtilis* [18] and *M. smegmatis* [19, 20] have demonstrated the advantages of phenotypic heterogeneity in stressful circumstances. It is of great interest to obtain similar experimental evidence involving miRNA-mediated regulation of gene expression.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

SG acknowledges the support by CSIR, India, under Grant No. 09/015(0361)/2009-EMR-I.

- [2] Flynt A S and Lai E C 2008 *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 9 831-42
- [3] Leung A K L and Sharp P A 2010 *Mol. Cell* 40 205-15
- [4] Mukherji S, Ebert M S, Zheng G X Y, Tsang J S, Sharp P A and van Oudenaarden A 2011 *Nat. Genetics* 43 854-59
- [5] Whichard Z L, Motter A E, Stein P J and Corey S J 2011 *J. Biol. Chem.* 286(6) 4742-8
- [6] Levine E, Zhang Z, Kuhlman T and Hwa T 2007 *PLoS Biol.* 5(9): e229
- [7] Levine E and Hwa T 2008 *Curr. Opin. in Microbiol.* 11 574-579
- [8] Levine E, McHale P and Levine H 2007 *PLoS Comp. Biol.* 3: e233
- [9] Mitarai N, Andersson A M C, Krishna S, Searsey S and Sneppen K 2007 *Phys. Biol.* 4 164-71
- [10] Buchler N E and Louis M 2008 *J. Mol. Biol.* 384 1106-19
- [11] Ferrell J E Jr. 2002 *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 14 140-48
- [12] Mitrophanov A Y and Groisman E A 2008 *Bioessays* 30 542-55
- [13] Tan C, Marguet P and You L 2009 *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 5 842-8
- [14] Klumpp S, Zhang Z and Hwa T 2009 *Cell* 139 1366-75
- [15] Karmakar R and Bose I 2004 *Phys. Biol.* 1(3-4) 197-204.
- [16] Raj A, Peskin C S, Tranchina D, Vargas D Y and Tyagi S 2006 *PLoS Biol* 4(10): e309
- [17] Balaban NQ 2011 *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 21(6) 768-75
- [18] Veening JW, Smits WK and Kuipers OP 2008 *Annu Rev Microbiol.* 62 193-210
- [19] Sureka K, Ghosh B, Dasgupta A, Basu J, Kundu M and Bose I 2008 *PLoS ONE* 3(3): e1771
- [20] Ghosh S, Sureka K, Ghosh B, Bose I, Basu J and Kundu M 2011 *BMC Syst Biol.* 5:18