#### Mach's Principle selects 4 space-time dimensions

Boris L. Altshuler<sup>[1](#page-0-0)</sup>

*Theoretical Physics Department, P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, 53 Leninsky Prospect, Moscow, 119991, Russia*

#### Abstract

Bi-tensor kernel in integral form of Einstein equations realizing Mach's idea of non-existence of empty space-times is taken as an inverse of differential operator ("Mach operator") defined conventionally as a second variation of Einstein's gravity Action over contravariant components of metric tensor. The choice of transverse gauge condition used in this definition does not influence results of the paper since only transverse and traceless tensor modes written on different background space-times are studied. Presence of ghosts among modes of Mach operator invalidates the integral formulation of Einstein equations. And the demand of absence of these ghosts proves to be a selection rule for dimensionality of the background space-time. In particular Mach operator written on De Sitter background or on the background of so called "Einstein Universe" does not possess tensor ghosts only in 4-dimensions. The similar demand gives non-trivial formula for dimensionalities of subspaces of the Freund-Rubin background.

*Keywords: Space-time dimensionality and ghosts*

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>E-mail adresses: baltshuler@yandex.ru & altshul@lpi.ru

## 1 Introduction. Integral form of Einstein equations and definition of Mach operator

There are no dynamical answer yet to the questions: why observed Universe is 3+1 dimensional? Why, if we suppose higher dimensions of equal rights at the Big Bang, only 3 space dimensions expand to large volume? Beginning from the Ehrenfest pioneer work [\[1\]](#page-13-0) a number of important observations about the privileged character of 3+1 space-time were made. In frames of string theory and branes' dynamics interesting attempts to explain the expansion of 3 dimensions based upon the observations that  $2 + 2 < 5$ and  $4 + 4 < 10$  were made in [\[2\]](#page-13-1) and [\[3\]](#page-13-2) correspondingly. Also promising recent publication says that this explanation is known to supecomputer [\[4\]](#page-13-3). In the present paper the special role of  $(3+1)$  is revealed in totally different context.

In [\[5\]](#page-13-4)-[\[10\]](#page-13-5) the integral representation of solutions of Einstein equations

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
R_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}R = \kappa T_{AB} \tag{1}
$$

was proposed:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
g_{AB}(x) = \kappa N \int G_{AB}^{ret\ PQ}(x, y|g) T_{PQ}(y) \sqrt{-g} \, d^N y. \tag{2}
$$

which we write down here for space-time of arbitrary dimensionality N  $(A, B = 0, 1, 2 \cdots (N-1))$ ; signature:  $- + + + \cdots$ ;  $\kappa$  is gravitational constant in  $N$  dimensions). The integral form  $(2)$  is the vivid formulation of Mach's conjecture of the relativity of non-inertial movements [\[11\]](#page-13-6) in formulation given by Einstein [\[12\]](#page-14-0) of space-time being totally created by matter. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) is evidently a selection rule excluding in particular empty solutions of Einstein equations [\(1\)](#page-1-1) for which [\(2\)](#page-1-0) symbolically comes to impossible relation  $1 = 0$ . Also it is easy to show that [\(2\)](#page-1-0) excludes asymptotically flat space-times.

Kernel  $G_{AB}^{ret\ PQ}(x,y|g)$  in [\(2\)](#page-1-0) (indices A,B refer to the point  $x;\ P,Q$  - to  $y$ ) is a bi-tensor Green function on the background space-time described by the same metric given by [\(2\)](#page-1-0) and is the retarded solution of the equation

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
E_{AB}^{CD}G_{CD}^{PQ}(x,y) = \delta_A^P \delta_B^Q \frac{\delta^N(x-y)}{\sqrt{-g}},\tag{3}
$$

where covariant differential operator  $E_{AB}^{CD}$  is defined on the background [\(2\)](#page-1-0). This operator must satisfy the simple condition:

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
E_{AB}^{CD}g_{CD} = N(R_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}R),
$$
\n(4)

then its action upon [\(2\)](#page-1-0) with account of [\(3\)](#page-1-2) immediately gives [\(1\)](#page-1-1).

There are many doubts and questions as regards to integral formulation [\(2\)](#page-1-0) of Einstein equations. One of questions was put to the author by John Archibald Wheeler (in 1968 at the Second International Gravitational Conference in Tbilisi) who said: "Why don't you include energy-momentum of gravitational waves in the source in the RHS of [\(2\)](#page-1-0)?". I came back now to this old stuff not because I found out an answer, but because for the naturally defined (see [\(5\)](#page-2-0) below) differential operator  $E_{AB}^{CD}$  in [\(3\)](#page-1-2) the demand of validity of integral representation of solutions of Einstein equations unexpectedly proves to be a selection rule for the dimensionality of space-time.

We define the differential operator  $E_{AB}^{CD}$  taking the second variation of the Einstein Action  $\int R\sqrt{-g}$ :

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
E_{AB,CD}h^{CD} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta^2 (R\sqrt{-g})}{\delta g^{AB} \delta g^{CD}} h^{CD} =
$$
  

$$
\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta[\sqrt{-g}(R_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}R)]}{\delta g^{CD}} h^{CD} =
$$
  

$$
\left[ -g_{AC}g_{BD}\nabla^2 - 2R_{AC,BD} + R_{AC}g_{BD} + R_{BC}g_{AD} + \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}g_{CD}\nabla^2 +
$$
  

$$
R_{AB}g_{CD} + g_{AB}R_{CD} - g_{AC}g_{BD}R - \frac{1}{2}g_{AB}g_{CD}R \right] h^{CD},
$$
 (5)

where  $\nabla^2 = g^{PQ} \nabla_P \nabla_Q$  is D'Alambertian, and  $h_{CD}$  are small variations of metric

$$
g_{CD} \to g_{CD} + h_{CD} \tag{6}
$$

subject to the transverse gauge condition

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\nabla_B (h_A^B - \frac{1}{2} \delta_A^B h_C^C) = 0.
$$
 (7)

It is worthwhile to note immediately that in this paper we, following [\[13\]](#page-14-1), [\[14\]](#page-14-2), [\[15\]](#page-14-3), basically consider gauge-invariant tensor variations of the stationary background metrics of class [\(13\)](#page-4-0) - see below. And since tensor modes are transverse and traceless by their definition the conclusions of this paper do not depend on the choice of gauge condition [\(7\)](#page-2-1).

First four terms of operator  $E_{AB,CD}$  in square brackets in the RHS of [\(5\)](#page-2-0) are the standard Lichnerowicz operator  $\Delta_L$  which gives the variation of Ricci tensor in the gauge [\(7\)](#page-2-1):  $2\delta R_{AB} = (\Delta_L h)_{AB} = -\nabla^2 h_{AB} - 2R_A{}^C{}_B{}^D h_{CD} +$  $R_A^C h_{BC} + R_B^C h_{AC}$ . Substitution of  $g_{CD}$  instead of  $h_{CD}$  in [\(5\)](#page-2-0) gives [\(4\)](#page-2-2); thus Green function defined by [\(3\)](#page-1-2), [\(5\)](#page-2-0) may be used in formulation of Mach's Principle in a form [\(2\)](#page-1-0). We call differential operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0) *Mach operator*.

Boundary conditions imposed by integral form [\(2\)](#page-1-0) upon solutions of Einstein equations [\(1\)](#page-1-1) are easily received if we express energy-momentum tensor in the RHS of [\(2\)](#page-1-0) from [\(1\)](#page-1-1), [\(4\)](#page-2-2):  $N\kappa T_{PQ} = E_{PQ}^{CD}g_{CD}$ , and then integrate (2) by parts. This (with account  $\nabla_M g_{CD} \equiv 0$ ) gives

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\oint \left[ \sqrt{-g(y)} \nabla_{N_y} G_{AB}^{ret\, Q} (x, y) \right] dS^{N_y} = 0 \tag{8}
$$

which is the integral over boundary of space-time. Fulfillment of  $(8)$  guarantees the validity of [\(2\)](#page-1-0).

Now we come to the formulae which will be used in the bulk of this paper.

Essentially more strong conditions than [\(8\)](#page-3-0) are imposed upon metric satisfying integral representation [\(2\)](#page-1-0) if we demand that "neighboring" solutions of Einstein equations also are purely inhomogeneous. Thus we present integral form for the small variations of metric  $\delta g_{AB} = h_{AB}^{(e)}$  (symbol  $(e)$ ) means that this is a solution of linear variation of Einstein equations [\(1\)](#page-1-1) on the background metric  $g_{AB}$  satisfying [\(2\)](#page-1-0)). Variation of (2) gives (symbolically)  $\delta q = \delta G \cdot T + G \cdot \delta T$ . The first term is calculated from variation of [\(3\)](#page-1-2) preserving the retarded nature of the Green function:  $\delta G = -G \cdot \delta E \cdot G$ , thus with account of [\(2\)](#page-1-0):  $\delta G \cdot T = -G \cdot \delta E \cdot g$ . Second term is received from variation of [\(1\)](#page-1-1), [\(4\)](#page-2-2):  $G \cdot \delta T = G \cdot \delta E \cdot g + G \cdot E \cdot \delta g$ . This chain of variations gives finally:

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
h_{AB}^{(e)}(x) = \int G_{AB}^{ret\ CD}(x, y | g) E_{CD}^{PQ} h_{PQ}^{(e)}(y) \sqrt{-g} \, d^N y. \tag{9}
$$

Here, according to the definition of  $E_{AB}^{CD}$  in [\(5\)](#page-2-0):

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
E_{AB}^{CD}h_{CD}^{(e)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\delta(\sqrt{-g}\kappa T_{AB}),\tag{10}
$$

which is just the variation of Einstein equations [\(1\)](#page-1-1).

Eq. [\(9\)](#page-3-1) comes to identity if we act upon it with differential operator  $E_{AB}^{CD}$ . "Machian" absence of the "free term" in the RHS of [\(9\)](#page-3-1) means the fulfillment, in analogy with [\(8\)](#page-3-0), of the following boundary condition:

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
\oint \{ \sqrt{-g(y)} [(\nabla_{N_y} G_{AB}^{ret\ PQ}(x, y)) (h_{PQ}^{(e)} - \frac{1}{2} g_{PQ} h_K^{(e)K}) - G_{AB}^{ret\ PQ}(x, y) \nabla_{N_y} (h_{PQ}^{(e)} - \frac{1}{2} g_{PQ} h_K^{(e)K})] \} dS^{N_y} = 0.
$$
\n(11)

Demand of validity of [\(9\)](#page-3-1), or equivalently of [\(11\)](#page-4-1), for any Einstein  $h_{AF}^{(e)}$ AB variation of background metric  $g_{AB}$  is a strong selection rule for this background metric. Formulae  $(9)$ ,  $(11)$  (with account of  $(3)$ ,  $(5)$ ,  $(10)$ ) are explored below in a number of simple models.

# 2 Ghosts of Mach operator invalidate the integral representation: Einstein Universe as an example of preferred 4 dimensions

Existence of the ghost solutions of variation [\(10\)](#page-3-2) of the Einstein equations would mean as usual the instability of the background space-time  $g_{AB}$ . Whereas existence of ghosts of Mach operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0), i.e. presence of ghosts among solutions of the homogeneous equations

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
E_{AB}^{CD}u_{CD}=0,\t\t(12)
$$

results in non-fulfillment of the "retarded" boundary condition [\(11\)](#page-4-1) imposed by the integral representation [\(9\)](#page-3-1). We shall demonstrate it below on a simplest example of the background Einstein Universe where Mach operator  $E_{AB}^{CD}$  proves to be "non-ghost" for tensor modes only in 4 dimensions.

But first let us make several notes about general approach of this paper. We shall follow analyses of [\[13\]](#page-14-1), [\[14\]](#page-14-2), [\[15\]](#page-14-3) and study a number of stationary background space-time models described by the metric of type

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
ds^2 = g_{ab}dx^a dx^b + r^2(x)d\sigma_n^2; \qquad (13)
$$

here  $x^a$  are coordinates of the m-dimensional space-time,  $a = 0, 1 \dots (m-1)$ ;  $d\sigma_n^2 = \gamma_{ij} dx^i dx^j$  is the metric of the *n*-dimensional  $G_n$ -invariant space with normalized constant sectional curvature  $K = 0, \pm 1$ . So the dimension of the whole space-time is  $N = m + n$ .

Again following [\[13\]](#page-14-1), [\[14\]](#page-14-2), [\[15\]](#page-14-3) we consider the simplest case of the gaugeinvariant transverse and traceless tensor modes of Eq-s [\(12\)](#page-4-2) (and [\(10\)](#page-3-2)) written on the backgrounds [\(13\)](#page-4-0):

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
u_{ab} = u_{ai} = 0; \ u_{ij} = \tilde{u}_{ij}, \ \nabla_j \tilde{u}_i^j = 0, \ \tilde{u}_i^i = 0 \tag{14}
$$

(the same for  $h_{AB}^{(e)} = \tilde{h}_{AB}^{(e)}$  in [\(10\)](#page-3-2)). For the backgrounds [\(13\)](#page-4-0) tensor modes separate from vector and scalar modes in Eq-s [\(12\)](#page-4-2) or [\(10\)](#page-3-2). As it is shown in [\[14\]](#page-14-2), [\[15\]](#page-14-3) the analyses of the gauge-invariant vector and especially scalar modes is a complicated task, while for tensor modes the task is quite simple; detailed description of tensor excitations of different backgrounds of type  $(13)$  is given in [\[13\]](#page-14-1).

Tensor modes  $(14)$  "live" on the *n*-dimensional subspace of  $(13)$ . In Section 4 we shall also consider tensor modes "living" only on m-dimensional subspace of [\(13\)](#page-4-0):  $u_{ij} = u_{ai} = 0$ ,  $u_{ab} = \tilde{u}_{ab}$  which are transverse and traceless in m-dimensional space-time.

It will be shown that demand of absence of ghosts among solutions of [\(12\)](#page-4-2) is a strong selection rule for background space-times and in particular essentially restricts their dimensionality.

Now we come to the simplest example of the Einstein Universe background. In 1917 Einstein introduced Λ-term in his gravity equations and built with it a model of space-time  $T \times S^3$  known as the Einstein Universe. Let us consider metric of  $N = (1 + n)$  Einstein Universe  $T \times S^n$ 

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
ds^2 = -dt^2 + r_0^2 d\Omega_n^2.
$$
 (15)

This is metric [\(13\)](#page-4-0) for  $x^a = t$ ,  $r = r_0 = const$  and  $K = +1$ .

And let us consider metric [\(15\)](#page-5-1) as a background in Eq-s [\(12\)](#page-4-2) and [\(10\)](#page-3-2) written for tensor modes [\(14\)](#page-5-0) of  $u_{AB}$  and correspondingly of  $h_{AB}^{(e)}$  "living" on sphere  $S^n$ :

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
\tilde{u}_i^j = f(t)v_i^j(x^k), \quad \tilde{h}_i^{(e)j} = f^{(e)}(t)v_i^j(x^k), \tag{16}
$$

 $v_i^j$  $i(x<sup>k</sup>)$  are tensor eigenmodes of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on *n*-sphere of unit radius:  $\Delta_{S^n} v_i^j = l(l + n - 1) - 2; l = 1, 2...; n = 3, 4...$  (tensor modes do not exist on 2-sphere).

Then Eq-s [\(10\)](#page-3-2), [\(12\)](#page-4-2), with account of [\(5\)](#page-2-0) written on the background [\(15\)](#page-5-1), come correspondingly to the following simple equations for scalar functions  $f^{(e)}(t)$  and  $f(t)$  introduced in [\(16\)](#page-5-2) (we omit here momentum index l):

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \mu^{(e)2}\right] f^{(e)}(t) = 0, \ \mu^{(e)2} r_0^2 = l(l+n-1); \tag{17}
$$

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
\left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \mu^2\right] f(t) = 0, \ \mu^2 r_0^2 = l(l+n-1) - 2 - n(n-3), \tag{18}
$$

here  $l \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 3$ .

Since  $\mu^{(e)2}$  in [\(17\)](#page-6-0) is positive the Einstein Universe [\(15\)](#page-5-1) is stable. At the same time  $\mu^2$  in [\(18\)](#page-6-1) may be negative. For the most "ghosts-threatening" lowest value of momentum number  $(l = 1)$  we have from [\(18\)](#page-6-1):

<span id="page-6-5"></span>
$$
\mu^2 r_0^2 = 4n - 2 - n^2 \tag{19}
$$

which is positive for  $n = 3$  and negative for  $n \geq 4$ . Thus Mach operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0) 'selects' (1+3)-dimensional Einstein Universe.

Let us show that existence of ghosts solutions of [\(18\)](#page-6-1) invalidates integral form  $(9)$ . With account of  $(16)$  in this simple case  $(9)$  looks as:

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
f^{(e)}(t) = \int D^{ret}(t - t') \left[ \frac{d^2}{dt'^2} + \mu^2 \right] f^{(e)}(t') dt'
$$
  
= 
$$
\int D^{ret}(t - t') \left[ \mu^2 - \mu^{(e)2} \right] f^{(e)}(t') dt',
$$
 (20)

where the last equality is written from comparison of  $(17)$  and  $(18)$ . And for the corresponding boundary (here - initial) condition [\(11\)](#page-4-1) we receive:

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
\lim_{t' \to -\infty} \left[ \frac{dD^{ret}(t - t')}{dt'} f^{(e)}(t') - D^{ret}(t - t') \frac{df^{(e)}(t')}{dt'} \right] = 0.
$$
 (21)

Green function  $D^{ret}(t-t')$  in [\(20\)](#page-6-2), [\(21\)](#page-6-3) obeys the equation (cf. [\(3\)](#page-1-2), [\(12\)](#page-4-2),  $(18)$ :

$$
\left[\frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \mu^2\right] D^{ret}(t - t') = \delta(t - t'),\tag{22}
$$

and is easily found explicitly:

<span id="page-6-4"></span>
$$
D^{ret}(t - t') = \frac{1}{2i\mu} \theta(t - t') \left[ e^{i\mu(t - t') - e^{-i\mu(t - t')}} \right].
$$
 (23)

For  $\mu^2 > 0$  integral form [\(20\)](#page-6-2) may be Fourier transformed in a standard way and becomes identity. Whereas for  $\mu^2 < 0$  one of two solutions of [\(18\)](#page-6-1) determining behavior of Green function [\(23\)](#page-6-4) exponentially increases at  $t'$  → −∞. Thus initial condition [\(21\)](#page-6-3) (hence integral form [\(20\)](#page-6-2)), can not be fulfilled when there are ghosts among solutions of Eq. [\(18\)](#page-6-1).

With this extremely simple model we demonstrated the connection of ghosts of Mach operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0) written on stationary background with nonfulfillment of "machian" integral form [\(9\)](#page-3-1) for Einstein variations of this background. In what follows, having this in mind, we just explore the "dimensionality dependence" of the appearance of ghosts of Mach operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0) on some typical background space-times.

### 3  $dS_N$  background:  $N = 4$  selected

For this highly symmetric background  $(R_{AB} = c(N-1)g_{AB})$  Eq-s [\(12\)](#page-4-2), where  $E_{AB}^{CD}$  is given in [\(5\)](#page-2-0), separate for traceless  $(\tilde{u}_A^B)$  and scalar  $(\bar{u} = u_D^D)$ components of  $u_A^B$ :

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
(\triangle_L \tilde{u})_A^B - cN(N-1)\tilde{u}_A^B = (-\nabla^2 - cN(N-3))\tilde{u}_A^B = 0,
$$
 (24)

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
(\nabla^2 - cN(N-1))\bar{u} = 0.
$$
 (25)

 $(\triangle_L)$  Lichnerowicz operator,  $\nabla^2$  - D'Alambertian in N dimensions).

From [\(24\)](#page-7-0) it is seen that on the De Sitter background  $(c > 0)$  mass squared of traceless modes of Mach operator becomes negative for spacetime dimension  $N \geq 4$ . Actually, as we'll show now, the ghost-problems of Mach operator at this background begin for  $N \geq 5$ .

The only difference of Eq. [\(24\)](#page-7-0) from the analogous equation [\(10\)](#page-3-2) for tensor variations of Einstein equations is in the term  $cN(N-1)$  in the first part of [\(24\)](#page-7-0). For the "mass shell" variation [\(10\)](#page-3-2) of De Sitter space-time this term must be changed by  $2c(N-1)$  (cf. Eq. (24) in [\[13\]](#page-14-1)); hence in [13] mass squared of traceless modes is non-negative and de Sitter space is stable as expected.

Let us now look at the ghosts of Mach operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0) written on the De Sitter background which metric we take here in a form [\(13\)](#page-4-0), where  $x^a = t, r$ :

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
ds^{2} = -(1 - c r^{2})dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{1 - c r^{2}} + r^{2} d\Omega_{n}^{2},
$$
\n(26)

 $(d\Omega_n^2)$  is metric of round sphere  $S^n$ ).

We consider tensor modes on a sphere:

$$
u_a^j = u_a^b = 0, \ u_i^j = \varphi(r) e^{iEt} v_i^j, \ u_i^i = 0, \ \nabla_j u_i^j = 0,
$$
 (27)

for definition of  $v_i^j$  and its spectrum - see [\(16\)](#page-5-2) and comments there; and we again omit everywhere the momentum index l.

By changing variable  $r$  to Regge-Wheeler type dimensionless coordinate y and rescaling field  $\varphi$ :

$$
dy = \frac{\sqrt{c}dr}{1 - cr^2}, \quad r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \tanh y, \quad \varphi = r^{-n/2} \Phi \tag{28}
$$

 $(0 \lt y \lt \infty)$  [\(24\)](#page-7-0) comes to a Schrodinger-type equation

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
-\frac{d^2\Phi}{dy^2} + \left[\frac{4l(l+n-1) + n^2 - 2n}{4\sinh^2 y} - \frac{\beta(n)}{4\cosh^2 y}\right]\Phi = -\frac{1}{c}E^2\Phi.
$$
 (29)

Coefficient  $\beta(n)$  in potential in square brackets is function of dimensionality n and Eq.  $(29)$  actually embraces four different cases of interest with different dependences  $\beta(n)$  - two for tensor modes and two for vector modes (definition of vector modes see in [\[13\]](#page-14-1)-[\[15\]](#page-14-3)):

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\beta_T = 5n^2 + 6n; \ \beta_T^{(e)} = n^2 + 2n; \n\beta_V = 5n^2 + 2n; \ \beta_V^{(e)} = n^2 - 2n.
$$
\n(30)

Here  $\beta_T(n)$  is received from Mach operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0) and  $\beta_T^{(e)}$  $T^{(e)}(n)$  - from variation [\(10\)](#page-3-2) of Einstein equations; both [\(5\)](#page-2-0) and [\(10\)](#page-3-2) being written on the background [\(26\)](#page-7-1) (for  $\beta_T^{(e)}$  - cf. Formulae (26), (27) of [\[13\]](#page-14-1)). And similar expressions are T put down in [\(30\)](#page-8-1) for gauge-invariant vector modes  $\beta_V$  (for Mach operator) and  $\beta_V^{(e)}$  $V_V^{(e)}$  (for variation of Einstein equations - cf. Formula (5.15) in [\[14\]](#page-14-2)).

Potential  $V(y) = [\cdots]$  in square brackets in [\(29\)](#page-8-0) is, as expected, nonnegative for  $\beta = \beta_{T,V}^{(e)}$ , i.e. for tensor and vector variations of de-Sitter background. But it is not the case for corresponding eigenmodes of Mach operator.

Undesirable ghost exists if for  $E^2 < 0$  in [\(29\)](#page-8-0) the normalization condition is valid:

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\int \varphi^2 \frac{r^n}{1 - cr^2} dr = \int_0^\infty \Phi^2 dy < \infty. \tag{31}
$$

Ghost appears if negative potential well of  $V(y) = [\cdot]$  in [\(29\)](#page-8-0) is sufficiently deep. Fortunately there is exact solution of [\(29\)](#page-8-0) which clarifies the word "sufficiently":

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\Phi = (\tanh y)^{l+n/2} (\cosh y)^{-\gamma}, \ \ \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1+\beta} - \left(l + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right), \tag{32}
$$

with the ghost-like negative energy squared  $E^2 = -c\gamma^2 < 0$ .

This solution meets normalization condition [\(31\)](#page-8-2) if  $\gamma > 0$  in [\(32\)](#page-9-0). This is evidently not the case for "Einstein" values of  $\beta = \beta_{T,V}^{(e)}$  in [\(30\)](#page-8-1), hence there are no normalized ghost modes among Einstein variations of de Sitter metric. For tensor modes of Mach operator  $\beta = \beta_T$  in [\(30\)](#page-8-1) normalization condition  $\gamma > 0$  looks as:

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\sqrt{5n^2 + 6n + 1} > 2l + n + 1 \ (l \ge 1, \ n \ge 3). \tag{33}
$$

In particular for  $n = 3$  (i.e. for the 5 dimensional space-time [\(26\)](#page-7-1)) [\(33\)](#page-9-1) comes to  $2 - l > 0$ , hence normalization condition [\(31\)](#page-8-2) is fulfilled for the ghost-like tensor mode with  $l = 1$ .

Thus there are ghosts among tensor modes of Mach operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0) written on the 5-dimensional (and higher than 5 dimensions) De Sitter backgrounds. That is integral form [\(9\)](#page-3-1) written for tensor modes on De-Sitter background of five and more dimensions is plagued by the ghosts of Green function G.

For vector modes,  $\beta = \beta_V$  in [\(30\)](#page-8-1), and for the minimal value of momentum number  $l = 2$  (for  $l = 1$  vector modes are Killing vectors of  $S<sup>n</sup>$ which time-dependence in two dimensions  $(r, t)$  may be gauged away [\[14\]](#page-14-2), [\[15\]](#page-14-3)) normalization condition  $\gamma > 0$  for the ghost-like solution [\(32\)](#page-9-0) looks as  $(n^2 - 2n - 6) > 0$  which is fulfilled for  $n \ge 4$ . Thus Mach operator on De Sitter background is plagued by vector ghosts in 6 and more dimensions.

At the same time integral form [\(2\)](#page-1-0) refers only to scalar part of Mach operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0). Thus Mach's boundary condition [\(8\)](#page-3-0) may be written in this case, with account of [\(25\)](#page-7-2), in a simple scalar form

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
\oint \left[ \sqrt{-g(y)} \nabla_{N_y} G(x, y) \right] dS^{N_y} = 0, \tag{34}
$$

where scalar Green function obeys  $(\nabla^2 - cN(N-1))G(x, y) = \frac{\delta^{(N)}(x-y)}{\sqrt{-g}}$  ((cf. [\(25\)](#page-7-2)). It is not difficult to show that [\(34\)](#page-9-2) is valid for de Sitter background metric  $(26)$  for any space-time dimension N.

# 4  $AdS_m \times S^n$  background

Let us look first at the pure AdS. In this case  $c < 0$  in [\(24\)](#page-7-0), [\(25\)](#page-7-2) and there are no ghost problems in [\(24\)](#page-7-0) since mass term of traceless modes is non-negative for  $N > 3$ . However scalar modes  $\bar{u}$  of Mach operator are ghosts at this background since their negative mass squared in [\(25\)](#page-7-2) is below the Breitenlohner-Freedman bound [\[16\]](#page-14-4), [\[17\]](#page-14-5):

$$
\frac{m^2}{|c|} = -N(N-1) < -\frac{(N-1)^2}{4} \tag{35}
$$

for all N.

It is possible also to show that in the Randall-Sundram model [\[18\]](#page-14-6) presence of the  $Z_2$ -symmetric co-dimension one brane results in the ghost bound state of tensor mode of Mach operator in the δ-function negative well potential of the brane.

More interesting is to consider the properties of Mach operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0) when in [\(12\)](#page-4-2) the background is the Freund-Rubin  $AdS_m \times S^n$  space-time [\[19\]](#page-14-7):

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
ds^{2} = dz^{2} + e^{-2Hz} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + r_{0}^{2} d\Omega_{n}^{2},
$$
\n(36)

which stability was investigated in  $[20]$  (where instead of  $S<sup>n</sup>$  more general compact space  $M_n$  was considered). Metric [\(36\)](#page-10-0) is a special form of metric [\(13\)](#page-4-0);  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  is Minkowski metric in  $(m-1)$  dimensions,  $d\Omega_n^2$  is metric of unit sphere. Components of Ricci tensor of space-time  $(36)$  are  $(a, b,$  enumerate coordinates z,  $x^{\mu}$  of  $AdS_m$  and i, j enumerate coordinates of  $S^n$ ):

$$
R_{ab} = -(m-1)H^2 g_{ab}, \ R_{ij} = \frac{n-1}{r_0^2} g_{ij} = \frac{(m-1)^2}{n-1} H^2 g_{ij}, \tag{37}
$$

and total scalar curvature is given by:

<span id="page-10-2"></span>
$$
R = \frac{m-1}{n-1}(m-n)H^2.
$$
 (38)

Homogeneous Eqs.  $(12)$  (with differential operator E from  $(5)$  on the background [\(36\)](#page-10-0)) written for spherical tensor modes  $u_i^j = \varphi_{(n)}(z, x^{\mu})v_i^j$  $i^j(x^k)$ on  $S<sup>n</sup>$  (cf. [\(14\)](#page-5-0), [\(16\)](#page-5-2)) and spherical scalar modes  $u^{\nu}_{\mu} = \varphi_{(m)}(z, x^{\mu})v^{\nu}_{\mu}(x^k)$  on  $AdS<sub>m</sub>$  (we again omit everywhere the spherical momentum index l) come to two equations for scalars  $\varphi_{(n)}$  and  $\varphi_{(m)}$  correspondingly:

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
(\Delta(m) - M_{(n),(m)}^2)\varphi_{(n),(m)} = 0,
$$
\n(39)

where  $\Delta(m) = g^{ab} \nabla_a \nabla_b$  is D'Alambertian on  $AdS_m$  and effective masses  $M_{(n)}$  and  $M_{(m)}$  are:

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
M_{(n)}^2 = \frac{l(l+n-1) - 2 + 2n}{r_0^2} - R =
$$
  

$$
\left[ \frac{(m-1)^2}{(n-1)^2} (l(l+n-1) - 2 + 2n) - \frac{m-1}{n-1} (m-n) \right] H^2,
$$
 (40)

here  $l = 1, 2...; \quad n \geq 3;$ 

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
M_{(m)}^2 = \frac{l(l+n-1)}{r_0^2} - 2(m-1)H^2 - R =
$$
  

$$
\left[ \frac{(m-1)^2}{(n-1)^2} l(l+n-1) - 2(m-1) - \frac{m-1}{n-1}(m-n) \right] H^2,
$$
 (41)

here  $l = 0, 1, \ldots; \quad n \geq 2$ . In [\(39\)](#page-10-1), [\(40\)](#page-11-0) scalar curvature R is taken from [\(38\)](#page-10-2).

It is easily seen that Breitenlohner-Freedman condition for  $AdS_m$  [\[16\]](#page-14-4), [\[17\]](#page-14-5)  $M^2 \geq \left[-(m-1)^2/4\right]H^2$  which guarantees absence of the ghosts-solutions of Eq. [\(39\)](#page-10-1) is always fulfilled for  $M_{(n)}^2$  [\(40\)](#page-11-0). Whereas for  $M_{(m)}^2$  [\(41\)](#page-11-1) it gives for lower spherical mode  $l = 0$ :

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
mn + 9 \ge 5(m+n). \tag{42}
$$

If this condition is violated Mach differential operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0) gives ghost solu-tions of Eq. [\(12\)](#page-4-2) written on the  $AdS_m \times S^n$  background [\(36\)](#page-10-0) for tensor modes  $u^{\nu}_{\mu}$  of the  $AdS_m$  subspace of  $AdS_m \times S^n$ .

Minimal dimension of space-time  $AdS_m$  permitted by [\(42\)](#page-11-2) is  $m = 6$ , in this case [\(42\)](#page-11-2) is fulfilled for  $n \ge 21$ , i.e. for total dimension  $N = m + n \ge 27$ .

For  $m = n$ , i.e. for the  $AdS_n \times S^n$  background, [\(42\)](#page-11-2) gives  $n \geq 9$ . In this case  $R = 0$  (see [\(38\)](#page-10-2)) and for tensor modes under consideration Mach operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0) comes to the Lichnerowicz operator  $\Delta_L$  given by the first four terms in the RHS of [\(5\)](#page-2-0). Thus  $n \geq 9$  (i.e.  $N = 2n \geq 18$ ) is a condition of absence of ghosts of tensor modes of Lichnerowicz operator written on the  $AdS_n \times S^n$  background.

#### 5 Discussion

Main results of this paper are given by Formulae [\(19\)](#page-6-5) and [\(33\)](#page-9-1) which show that  $(3+1)$ -dimensional "Einstein universe" and De Sitter space-time are singled out by the demand of absence of ghosts of Mach operator [\(5\)](#page-2-0). However this is just a mathematical observation. To connect result [\(33\)](#page-9-1) for De Sitter universe with the possible dynamical answer to the nagging question "Why only 3 space dimensions expand during inflation?" is an open problem.

Yes, results of Sec.2 demonstrate that ghosts of Mach operator invalidate integral form [\(9\)](#page-3-1) written in particular on the De Sitter background of higher than 4 dimensions. But in this paper, as well as in all preceding papers [\[5\]](#page-13-4) - [\[10\]](#page-13-5), the integral formulation of Einstein equations was written down "by hand" without dynamical grounds for it. To find these grounds is perhaps a way to find an answer to the "nagging question" above.

The ideas of "gravity without gravity" (rephrasing Weeler's favourite saying) or of "space-time totally created by matter" (which comes up to Mach's idea of relativity of accelerated movements) look quite dynamical. And hystorically Mach's ideas inspired Einstein for creation of General relativity, which however did not exclude empty ("non-machian") solutions of Einstein equations.

In string theory graviton is a dynamical excitation of more fundamental object and background space-time is Bose condensate of these excitations, and Einstein's gravity Action comes up as an effective one. However string theory suffers from plethora of admissible backgrounds which deprives it of physical predictability. String theory evidently needs additional selection rules. Can integral forms [\(2\)](#page-1-0), [\(9\)](#page-3-1) be among such rules?

Also nice condition [\(42\)](#page-11-2) of absence of ghosts of Mach operator taken on the Freund-Rubin  $AdS_m \times S^n$  background is so far just a numerology of dimensionalities which may become science in case dynamical grounds for integral formulation of Einstein equations will be found out.

#### Acknowledgements

Author is grateful for fruitful discussions to participants of the Quantum Field Theory Seminar in the Theoretical Physics Department, Lebedev Physical Institute.

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>References

- [1] Ehrenfest P., *"How do the fundamental laws of physics make manifest that Space has 3 dimensions?"*. Annalen der Physik, vol. 61 (5), p. 440 (1920).
- <span id="page-13-2"></span><span id="page-13-1"></span>[2] Brandenberger R.N. and Vafa C., , Nucl. Phys., vol. B316, p.391 (1989).
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>[3] Karch A. and Randall L., *Relaxing to Three Dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 95:161601 (2005), *Preprint* [hep-th/0506053](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0506053)
- [4] Kim S.-W, Nishimura J. and Tsuchiya A., *Expanding (3+1)-dimensional universe from a Lorentzian matrix model for superstring theory in (9+1)-dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 108:011601 (2012), *Preprint* hepth/1108.1540.
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>[5] Altshuler B.L., *"Integral form of Einstein equations and covariant formulation of Mach's principle"*, ZhETP, vol. 51, p. 1143 (1966). [Sov. Phys. JETP, vol. 24, p. 766 (1967)].
- [6] Lynden-Bell D., *"On the Origins of Space-Time and Inertia"*, Monthly Notices Roy. Astron. Soc., vol. 135, 4, p. 413-428 (1967).
- [7] Sciama D.W., Waylen P.C., Gilman R.G., *"Generally Covariant Integral Formulation of Einstein's Field Equations"*, The Physical Review, vol. 187, p. 1762-1766 (1969).
- [8] Gilman R.G., *"Machian theory of inertia and gravitation"*, The Physical Review, vol. D2, 1400-1410 (1970).
- [9] Raine D.J., *"Mach's principle and space-time structure"*, Rep. Prog. Phys., vol. 44, p. 1151 (1981).
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>[10] Altshuler, Boris L., *"Mach's Principle. Part I. Initial state of the Universe"*, International Journal of Theoretical Physics, vol. 24, 1, p. 99-107 (1985).
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>[11] Mach E., *"Die Mechanik in ihrer Entwicklung Historischkritisch dargestellt"*, Leipzig: F.A. Brockhaus, (1904).
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>[12] Einstein A., *"The Meaning of Relativity"* (1953); A. Einstein, letter to Ernst Mach, Zurich, 25 June 1923, in Misner, Charles; Thorne, Kip S.; and Wheeler, John Archibald (1973). Gravitation. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>[13] Gibbons G. and Hartnoll S.A., *"Gravitational instability in higher dimensions"*, Phys. Rev., vol. D66, (2002) 064024, *Preprint* [hep-th/0206202.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0206202)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>[14] Kodama H. and Ishibashi A., *"A master equation for gravitational perturbations of maximally symmetric black holes in higher dimensions"*, Prog. Theor. Phys., vol. 110, p. 701-722 (2003), *Preprint* [hep-th/0305147.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0305147)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>[15] Ishibashi A. and Kodama H., *"Stability of Higher-Dimensional Schwarzschild Black Holes"*, Prog. Theor. Phys., vol. 110, p. 901-919, *Preprint* [hep-th/0305185.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0305185)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>[16] Breitenlohner P. and Freedman D.Z., *"Positive Energy In Anti-de Sitter Backgrounds And Gauged Extended Supergravity"*, Phys. Lett. Vol. B 115, p. 197 (1982).
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>[17] Mezincescu L. and Townsend P.K., *"Stability At A Local Maximum In Higher Dimensional Anti-De Sitter Space And Applications To Supergravity"*, Ann. Phys. Vol. 160, p. 406 (1985).
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>[18] Randall S. and Sundrum R., *"An Alternative to Compactification"*, Phys. Rev. Lett., vol. 83, p. 4690-4693 (1999), *Preprint* [hep-th/9906064.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9906064)
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>[19] Freund P.G. and Rubin M.A., *"Dynamics Of Dimensional Reduction"*, Phys. Lett. Vol. B 97, p. 233 (1980).
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>[20] DeWolfe O., Freedman D.Z., Gubser S.S., Horowitz G.T., and Mitra I., "Stability of  $AdS_p \times M_q$  Compactifications Without Supersym*metry"*, Physical Review D, 65 (6). Art. No. 064033 (2002), *Preprint* [hep-th/0105047.](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0105047)