

SUPERCritical HOLES

NIKITA SIDOROV

ABSTRACT. For a map $S : X \rightarrow X$ and an open set $H \subset X$ we define $J_H(S)$ to be the set of points in X whose S -orbit avoids H . We say that a hole H_0 is supercritical if

- (i) for any hole H such that $\overline{H_0} \subset H$ the set $J_H(S)$ is either empty or contains only fixed points of S ;
- (ii) for any hole H such that $\overline{H} \subset H_0$ the Hausdorff dimension of $J_H(S)$ is positive.

The purpose of this note is to show that for any $\beta \in (1, 2]$ there exists a continuum of supercritical holes for the β -transformation which are naturally parametrized by Sturmian words. We also prove that any cyclic Pisot automorphism of a torus has a supercritical hole (subject to a standard number-theoretic conjecture). Furthermore, we show that a supercritical hole can be arbitrarily large. Our methods are symbolic in nature.

1. INTRODUCTION AND INITIAL RESULTS

The present paper is concerned with an area of dynamics which is usually referred to as “maps with holes” or “open maps”. Let us remind the reader the basic set-up: let X be a compact (or precompact) metric space and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be a map with positive topological entropy. Let $H \subset X$ be an open set which we treat as a *hole*.

We denote by $J_H(S)$ the set of all points in X whose S -orbit does not intersect H . In other words,

$$J_H(S) = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} S^{-n}H$$

if S is invertible or

$$J_H(S) = X \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} S^{-n}H$$

if it is not. Clearly, $J_H(S)$ is S -invariant, and in a number of recent papers certain dynamical properties of the exclusion map $S|_{J_H(S)}$ have been studied – see, e.g., [4] and the references therein.

We believe a more immediate issue here is the “size” of the set $J_H(S)$ – after all, if it is countable (or, even worse, empty), any questions regarding the dynamics of the exclusion map become vacuous.

Date: March 21, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 28D05; Secondary 37B10, 11K55, 11R06, 68R15.

Key words and phrases. Open map, doubling map, beta-transformation, toral automorphism, Sturmian system, characteristic word, supercritical hole.

It feels like a plausible speculation that if H is “large”, then $J_H(S)$ can contain only fixed points of S . On the other hand, if it is “small”, then one would expect the Hausdorff dimension of $J_H(S)$ to be positive. Our goal is to establish some quantitative bounds for such claims – and in fact disprove the former (see Corollary 3.4 below).

Our main example is $X = [0, 1)$ and $Tx = 2x \bmod 1$, the famous doubling map. Assume first that H is symmetric about $1/2$, i.e., $H = H_a := (a, 1 - a)$ for some $a \in (0, 1/2)$.

Note first that if $a < 1/3$, then $J_{H_a}(T) = \{0\}$, since for any x in $(a/2^n, a/2^{n-1})$ or in $(1 - a/2^{n-1}, 1 - a/2^n)$ with $n \geq 1$, we have $T^n(x) \in (a, 2a)$ or $(1 - 2a, 1 - a)$, both being subsets of H_a .

On the other hand, if $a \geq 1/3$, then the 2-cycle $\{1/3, 2/3\}$ does not intersect H_a . Let us give a general definition:

Definition 1.1. Let $\text{Fix}(S) = \{x \in S : Sx = x\}$. We say that a hole H_0 is *first order critical* for S if

- (i) for any hole H such that $\overline{H_0} \subset H$ we have $J_H(S) \subset \text{Fix}(S)$;
- (ii) for any hole H such that $\overline{H} \subset H_0$ we have $J_H(S) \not\subset \text{Fix}(S)$.

Thus, $H_{1/3}$ is a first order critical hole for T . If we keep increasing a towards $1/2$, we start getting more and more cycles in $J_{H_a}(T)$; for instance, if $a \geq 2/5$, then the 4-cycle 0110 (with the usual symbolic partition $[0, 1/2) \leftrightarrow 0$ and $[1/2, 1) \leftrightarrow 1$) lies outside the hole.

Once we have run through all these 2^n -cycles, we reach another critical value, $a_* \approx 0.425$ whose binary expansion is the famous Thue-Morse sequence:

$$0110\ 1001\ 1001\ 0110\ 1001\ 0110\ \dots$$

It is known that if $a < a_*$, then $J_{H_a}(T)$ is infinite countable; if $a > a_*$, then $\dim_H(J_{H_a}(T)) > 0$ – see [14, 2]¹. This calls for another definition:

Definition 1.2. We say that a hole H_0 is *second order critical* for S if

- (i) for any hole H such that $\overline{H_0} \subset H$ we have $\dim_H(J_H(S)) = 0$;
- (ii) for any hole H such that $\overline{H} \subset H_0$ we have $\dim_H(J_H(S)) > 0$.

Consequently, $(a_*, 1 - a_*)$ is a second order critical hole for T . We see that there is a substantial distance between the first order and second order symmetric critical holes for the doubling map. If we regard a as time, then one may say that it takes long for T to accommodate all these cycles, quite in line with the standard notion of “route to chaos via period doubling” common in one-dimensional dynamics.

Let us give the central definition of this note which in a way combines the previous ones:

Definition 1.3. We say that a hole H_0 is *supercritical* for S if

- (i) for any hole H such that $\overline{H_0} \subset H$ we have $J_H(S) \subset \text{Fix}(S)$;
- (ii) for any hole H such that $\overline{H} \subset H_0$ we have $\dim_H(J_H(S)) > 0$.

In other words, a supercritical hole is a hole which is both of first and second order. It is not obvious *a priori* that such holes even exist.

¹Technically, [2] deals with the space of unique β -expansions but the symbolic model is the same.

Proposition 1.4. *The holes $H_0 = (1/4, 1/2)$ and $H'_0 = (1/2, 3/4)$ are supercritical for the doubling map T .*

Proof. We will prove the first claim; the second one is obtained by swapping 0 and 1. Let us check both conditions of Definition 1.3.

(i) Since $T^{-1}(H_0) = (1/8, 1/4) \cup (5/8, 3/4)$, one can easily check that

$$J_{H_0}(T) = [0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}(H_0) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}(\{0\}),$$

i.e., the set of binary rationals in $[0, 1)$. Hence if $\overline{H_0} \subset H$, then all the preimages of $1/2$ disappear from $J_H(T)$, which implies $J_H(T) = \{0\}$.

(ii) This follows from the proof of Theorem 2.4 below, however we believe it is worth giving a separate proof, especially since it is the same in spirit as the aforementioned one – but much simpler, so the reader may benefit from it.

Fix $n \geq 2$ and put $u_n = 10^n$. Now let \tilde{Y}_n be the set of 0-1 sequences each of which is constructed from the blocks u_n, u_{n+1} without any restrictions.

Put $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and let $\sigma : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ denote the shift on Σ , i.e.,

$$\sigma(w_1, w_2, w_3, \dots) = (w_2, w_3, \dots).$$

Put

$$Y_n = \overline{\{\sigma^k w \mid k \geq 0, w \in \tilde{Y}_n\}}.$$

In other words, Y_n consists of the sequences of the form $w'w$, where $w' = \sigma^k u_n$ or $\sigma^k u_{n+1}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ and $w \in \tilde{Y}_n$. It is clear that Y_n is shift-invariant and the topological entropy of $\sigma|_{Y_n}$ is positive – see, e.g., [7, Lemma 10]. Hence $Z_n := \pi(Y_n)$ is T -invariant, and $\dim_H Z_n = h(\sigma|_{Y_n})/\log 2 > 0$.

Let now $\overline{H} \subset H_0$. We claim that

$$(1.1) \quad Z_n \subset J_H(T) \quad \text{for sufficiently large } n.$$

To prove this, we will show that

$$(1.2) \quad \max Y_n = (u_n)^\infty, \quad \min Y_n = \sigma(u_{n+1})(u_{n+1})^\infty,$$

where w^∞ stands for $www\dots$. Indeed, $\max Y_n$ must begin with 1, whence it must begin with either u_n or u_{n+1} , and clearly, the former is larger if followed by 1. Hence follows the first relation in (1.2). The second relation is proved analogously.

Thus, the maximum sequence in Y_n which begins with 0 is $0(10^n)^\infty$ and minimum sequence which begins with 1 is $(10^{n+1})^\infty$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see that these two tend to 010^∞ and 10^∞ respectively, which are the binary expansions of $1/4$ and $1/2$ respectively. This yields (1.1).

Consequently, $\dim_H(J_H(T)) \geq \dim_H(Z_n) > 0$. □

Remark 1.5. Alternatively, one can consider the space

$$\Sigma_n = \{w \in \Sigma : w_k = 1 \implies w_{k+j} = 0, j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

and prove in exactly the same way as above that $\pi(\Sigma_n) \subset J_H(T)$ for all n large enough. A similar construction will be used in Section 4.

In the next section we will construct a continuum of supercritical holes for T and extend our construction to beta-transformations in Section 3.

2. STURMIAN HOLES

For our purposes we need to define Sturmian systems. We will adapt our exposition to our needs; for a detailed survey and proofs of the auxiliary results stated below see [8, Section 2].

Let $\gamma \in (0, 1/2) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ and let its continued fraction expansion be denoted by $[d_1 + 1, d_2, d_3, \dots]$ with $d_1 \geq 1$ (in view of $\gamma < 1/2$). Let p_n/q_n stand for the finite continued fraction $[d_1 + 1, \dots, d_n]$ (in least terms). We define the sequence of 0-1 words given by γ as follows: $s_{-1} = 1, s_0 = 0, s_{n+1} = s_n^{d_{n+1}} s_{n-1}, n \geq 0$.

Let $|w|$ denote the length of a word w and let $|w|_1$ stand for the number of 1's in w . It is well known that $|s_n|_1 = p_n$ and $|s_n| = q_n$ for every $n \geq 1$. Since s_{n+1} begins with s_n and $q_n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that there exists the limit $s_\infty(\gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n$. This word is called *the characteristic word given by γ* . Since $|s_n|_1/|s_n| = p_n/q_n \rightarrow \gamma$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the *1-ratio* of $s_\infty(\gamma)$ is γ .

Define the *Sturmian system given by γ* as follows:

$$X_\gamma = \overline{\{\sigma^n s_\infty(\gamma) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}}.$$

It is known that X_γ is a perfect set and $\sigma|_{X_\gamma}$ is minimal and has zero entropy. It is also known that any sequence w in X_γ which starts with 1 is a combination of blocks 10^{d_1} and 10^{d_1+1} . If w starts with 0, it actually starts with $0^{d_1}1$ or $0^{d_1+1}1$, with the above rule valid for the rest of w . In particular, the blocks of 0's are bounded for a given γ .

Put $\pi(w_1, w_2, \dots) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n 2^{-n}$. It is obvious that $\pi|_{X_\gamma}$ is an injection. From the above it follows that $K_\gamma := \pi(X_\gamma)$ is a T -invariant Cantor set of zero Hausdorff dimension in $(0, 1)$ which does not contain $1/2$ (since $1/2$ has the binary expansion with an unbounded number of 0's or 1's).

Remark 2.1. The set K_γ has been considered in [3] where the authors proved in particular that any ordered T -invariant subset of $[0, 1]$ must be K_γ for some γ or its finite version for $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Recall that for $w, w' \in \Sigma$ we have that w is *lexicographically less* than w' if $w_k < w'_k$ for the smallest k such that $w_k \neq w'_k$. (Notation: $w \prec w'$.) It is clear that $w \preceq w' \iff \pi(w) \leq \pi(w')$.

Let $H_0(\gamma)$ denote the hole in K_γ which contains $1/2$. More precisely, let $0\text{-max}(\gamma)$ denote the lexicographically largest sequence in X_γ which starts with 0; similarly, we define $1\text{-min}(\gamma)$. It is known that the minimum sequence in X_γ is in fact $0s_\infty(\gamma)$ and the maximum is $1s_\infty$. Consequently, $0\text{-max}(\gamma) = 01s_\infty(\gamma)$ and $1\text{-min}(\gamma) = 10s_\infty(\gamma)$, and $H_0(\gamma) = (\pi(01s_\infty(\gamma)), \pi(10s_\infty(\gamma)))$. Clearly, the length of $H_0(\gamma)$ equals $1/4$.

Definition 2.2. We call $H_0(\gamma)$ the *Sturmian hole* associated with γ .

Example 2.3. Let $\gamma = (3 - \sqrt{5})/2 = [2, 1, 1, 1, \dots]$. Here $s_{n+1} = s_n s_{n-1}$, which implies that $s_\infty(\gamma)$ is none other than the Fibonacci word

$$f = 010010100100101001010\dots$$

Hence

$$\pi^{-1}H_0((3 - \sqrt{5})/2) = (01010010100100101001010 \dots, \\ 10010010100100101001010 \dots),$$

and $H_0\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right) \approx (0.322549, 0.572549)$. We see that there is a significant bias here to the left of the midpoint. (It is even bigger if γ is close to 0, since in this case d_1 is large, and thus, $\max H_0(\gamma)$ – whose binary expansion begins with 10^{d_1} – is close to $1/2$.)

Theorem 2.4. *A Sturmian hole $H_0(\gamma)$ is supercritical for the doubling map T for any irrational $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$.*

Proof. (i) We want to show first that

$$(2.1) \quad K_\gamma = J_{H_0(\gamma)}(T) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Note that

$$J_{H_0(\gamma)}(T) \setminus \{0\} = (0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}H_0(\gamma).$$

Firstly, we show that

$$(2.2) \quad K_\gamma \cap \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}H_0(\gamma) = \emptyset.$$

It suffices to prove that $K_\gamma \cap T^{-n}H_0(\gamma) = \emptyset$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since K_γ is T -invariant, we have $T^{-n}K_\gamma \supset K_\gamma$, whence it suffices to show $T^{-n}K_\gamma \cap T^{-n}H_0(\gamma) = \emptyset$ for all $n \geq 0$. This follows from $H_0(\gamma) \cap K_\gamma = \emptyset$, which proves (2.2).

If $K_\gamma \cup \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}H_0(\gamma)$ were not all of $(0, 1)$, then this would mean that one of the holes in K_γ does not intersect this union. This is however impossible, since $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}H_0(\gamma) \supset \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{-n}(\{1/2\})$, which is the set of all binary rationals in $(0, 1)$, a dense subset of $(0, 1)$. This contradiction proves (2.1).

Now suppose $\overline{H_0(\gamma)} \subset H$; we have $J_{H_0(\gamma)}(T) \supset J_H(T)$, whence by (2.1), $J_H(T) \subset K_\gamma$. If $x \neq 0$ and $x \in J_H(T)$, then $x \in K_\gamma$, and by the minimality of $T|_{K_\gamma}$ and the fact that $\min H_0(\gamma) \in K_\gamma$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^n(x) \in (\min H, \min H_0(\gamma))$, which contradicts $x \in J_H(T)$. Hence $J_H(T) = \{0\}$.

(ii) Similarly to the proof of Proposition 1.4 above, we define $\tilde{X}_{\gamma,n}$ to be the set of all sequences constructed out of the blocks s_n and s_{n+1} and put $X_{\gamma,n} = \{\sigma^k w \mid k \geq 0, w \in \tilde{X}_{\gamma,n}\}$. Thus, each sequence in $X_{\gamma,n}$ is of the form $w'w$, where $w' = \sigma^k s_n$ or $\sigma^k s_{n+1}$ and w is a block sequence whose each block is either s_n or s_{n+1} .

We claim that

$$(2.3) \quad X_{\gamma,n} \rightarrow X_\gamma, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in the Hausdorff metric.}$$

Since $\sigma|_{X_\gamma}$ is minimal, for each sequence $u \in X_\gamma$ and each $\delta > 0$ there exists $k \geq 0$ such that $\text{dist}(u, \sigma^k s_\infty(\gamma)) < \delta$, where, as usual, $\text{dist}(x, y) = 2^{-\min\{j \geq 1 \mid x_j \neq y_j\}}$. To prove (2.3), we first

show that for each $k \geq 0$ there exists $w \in X_{\gamma,n}$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \text{dist}(w, \sigma^k s_\infty(\gamma)) < \delta.$$

Suppose $k < q_n$. Then the sequence $w = \sigma^k(s_n)(s_n)^\infty$ satisfies (2.4) with $\delta = 2^{-q_{n-1}}$. Indeed, $s_\infty(\gamma)$ always begins with $s_n s_{n-1} \dots$: if $d_{n+1} = 1$, then $s_{n+1} = s_n s_{n-1}$ and if $d_{n+1} \geq 2$, then $s_{n+1} = s_n s_n^*$, and s_n always begins with s_{n-1} . Hence w and $\sigma^k s_\infty(\gamma)$ agree at least on the first $|s_{n-1}| = q_{n-1}$ coordinates.

On the other hand, if $w \in X_{\gamma,n}$, then w begins with either $(\sigma^k s_n)_{s_{n-1}}$ with $k < q_n$ or $(\sigma^k s_{n+1})_{s_n}$ with $k < q_{n+1}$. Since both $s_n s_{n-1}$ and $s_{n+1} s_n$ are prefixes of $s_\infty(\gamma)$, we conclude that in either case $\sigma^k(s_\infty(\gamma))$ is at a distance less than or equal to $2^{-q_{n-1}}$ from w again.

Now suppose $\overline{H} \subset H_0(\gamma)$. By (2.3), there exists n such that $\pi(X_{\gamma,n}) \subset J_H(T)$. Hence $\dim_H(J_H(T)) > 0$. \square

Remark 2.5. Note that as $H_0(\gamma) \rightarrow H_0$ from Proposition 1.4 as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. This follows from the fact that $s_\infty(\gamma)$ begins with 0^{d_1} and consequently, tends to the zero sequence as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. The words u_n and u_{n+1} in the proof of Proposition 1.4 are analogues of s_n and s_{n+1} respectively.

Since the Fibonacci word is the largest among characteristic words, we have $\max_\gamma H_0(\gamma) = H_0((3-\sqrt{5})/2)$. Therefore, the set $\{\min H_0(\gamma) \mid \gamma \in (0, 1/2) \setminus \mathbb{Q}\}$ is dense in $(1/4, \min H_0((3-\sqrt{5})/2)) \approx (0.25, 0.322549)$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $h(x) = 1 - |2x - 1|$ be the tent map on $[0, 1]$. Then h has a continuum of supercritical holes as well. In particular, $(1/4, 1/2)$ and $(3/4, 1)$ are such.*

Proof. As is well known (see, e.g., [6, Section 1.8]), h is conjugate to the doubling map, with the following re-coding $w \mapsto u$ of 0-1 sequences: $u_1 = w_1$ and for $k \geq 2$,

$$u_k = \begin{cases} w_k, & \#\{m \in \{1, \dots, k-1\} : w_m = 1\} \text{ is even,} \\ 1 - w_k, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For instance, the cylinder $[w_1 = 0, w_2 = 1]$ for T is $[u_1 = 0, u_2 = 1]$ for h , whereas $[w_1 = 1, w_2 = 0]$ for T is now $[u_1 = 1, u_2 = 1]$ for h . By doing so, we can re-code any Sturmian hole for h . \square

3. BETA-TRANSFORMATIONS

3.1. Beta-transformations. Let $\beta > 1$ and $T_\beta x = \beta x \bmod 1$ be the β -transformation. As is well known, T_β has $[\beta]$ branches; if we associate the digit j with the j th branch, then the set of all β -admissible sequences is \mathfrak{X}_β which can be explicitly described as follows: $w = (w_k)_1^\infty \in \mathfrak{X}_\beta$ if and only if $\sigma^k w \prec a_1 a_2 \dots$, where $a_k = \lfloor T_\beta^k(1) \rfloor$, $k \geq 1$ (see [9]). Consequently,

$$(3.1) \quad 1 = \frac{a_1}{\beta} + \frac{a_2}{\beta^2} + \frac{a_3}{\beta^3} + \dots$$

Put $\pi_\beta(w) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty w_k \beta^{-k}$. Then $\pi_\beta : \mathfrak{X}_\beta \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is 1-to-1, except for a countable set of sequences. It is also known that

$$(3.2) \quad w \preceq w' \iff \pi_\beta(w) \leq \pi_\beta(w') \text{ if } w, w' \in \mathfrak{X}_\beta.$$

Assume now that $1 < \beta \leq 2$. By the above, $\mathfrak{X}_\beta \subset \Sigma$, and this inclusion is proper if $\beta < 2$. Let β_k denote the unique root of $x^{k+1} = x^k + 1$ which lies in $(1, 2)$. It is obvious that $\beta_k \downarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$. It follows from Parry's theorem that if $\beta > \beta_k$, then any sequence for which 1 is followed by k 0s, is β -admissible.

Put

$$H_0(\beta, \gamma) = (\pi_\beta(01s_\infty(\gamma)), \pi_\beta(10s_\infty(\gamma)))$$

(so $H_0(\gamma) = H_0(2, \gamma)$).

Theorem 3.1. *For each $\beta \in (1, 2)$ there exists $\gamma_0 = \gamma_0(\beta) \leq 1/2$ such that for each $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_0) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ the hole $H_0(\beta, \gamma)$ is supercritical for T_β .*

Proof. The proof of Theorem 2.4 is based on the analysis of symbolic sequences only, so (together with (3.2)) it holds for the case of an arbitrary β , provided any element of the corresponding Sturmian system is β -admissible, i.e., that

$$(3.3) \quad X_\gamma \subset \mathfrak{X}_\beta.$$

Since any sequence in X_γ is a concatenation of the blocks 10^{d_1} and 10^{d_1+1} , it has each 1 followed by d_1 0s.

If $\beta < \beta_k$ for some $k \geq 1$, then (3.3) holds if $d_1 > k$, i.e., for $\gamma < 1/(k+1)$, so it suffices to put $\gamma_0 = 1/(k+2)$. If $\beta_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \leq \beta < 2$, then one can put $\gamma_0 = 1/2$, i.e., any hole $H_0(\beta, \gamma)$ is supercritical for T_β . \square

Remark 3.2. The length of $H_0(\beta, \gamma)$ is $1/\beta - 1/\beta^2 \in (0, 1/4)$.

If $\beta > 2$, then it is not immediately clear how to construct Sturmian holes (see Section 5 for discussion), however one can always generalize the construction given in Proposition 1.4:

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\beta > 1$ and put $r = \lceil \beta \rceil \geq 2$; then the hole*

$$(3.4) \quad H_0(\beta) := \bigcup_{i=0}^{r-2} \left(\frac{1}{\beta^2} + \frac{i}{\beta}, \frac{i+1}{\beta} \right)$$

*is supercritical for T_β .*²

Proof. (i) For $1 < \beta \leq 2$ we have $H_0(\beta) = (1/\beta^2, 1/\beta)$, and the proof goes exactly like in Proposition 1.4, so we assume $\beta > 2$. It is clear that symbolically, $\overline{H_0(\beta)}$ consists of all cylinders $[w_1 = i, w_2 = j] \subset \mathfrak{X}_\beta$ with $0 \leq i \leq r-2$ and $1 \leq j \leq r-1$.

Assume $x \in J_{\overline{H_0(\beta)}}(T_\beta) \setminus \{0\}$ and $x = \pi_\beta(w_1, w_2, \dots)$. If we have $w_k = i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, r-2$, then $w_{k+1} = w_{k+2} = \dots = 0$. However, we have $\pi_\beta(i0^\infty) = \pi_\beta((i-1)a_1a_2\dots)$, which is impossible, since $a_1 \geq 1$, whence $i-1$ cannot be succeeded by a_1 . Hence $J_{\overline{H_0(\beta)}}(T_\beta) = \{0\}$.

(ii) The second part of the definition is checked exactly like in Proposition 1.4: (1.1) holds, since $(1/\beta^2, 1/\beta)$ is a subset of $H_0(\beta)$ and $(1/\beta, 1/\beta + \Delta) \cap H_0(\beta) = \emptyset$ for some fixed $\Delta > 0$. \square

²We hope there is no confusion between $H_0(\beta)$ and a Sturmian hole $H_0(\gamma)$, despite somewhat similar notation.

A somewhat unexpected corollary of this result is the fact that supercritical holes can be arbitrarily large:

Corollary 3.4. *For any $\delta > 0$ there exists an ergodic dynamical system (X, μ, S) and an open set H such that H is supercritical for S and $\mu H > 1 - \delta$.*

Proof. It suffices to take $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, $X = [0, 1)$, $S = T_\beta$ and μ the Lebesgue measure on the interval. Then

$$\mu H_0(\beta) = \frac{(\beta - 1)^2}{\beta^2} \rightarrow 1, \quad \beta \rightarrow +\infty.$$

□

4. PISOT TORAL AUTOMORPHISMS

Recall that an algebraic integer $\beta > 1$ is called a *Pisot number* if all its other conjugates are less than 1 in modulus. Let β be a unit of degree m and let $\tau = \tau_\beta$ be the group automorphism of the torus $\mathbb{T}^m = \mathbb{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$ given by the *companion matrix* for β , i.e.,

$$M_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} k_1 & k_2 & k_3 & \dots & k_{m-1} & k_m \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the characteristic polynomial of β is $x^m - k_1 x^{m-1} - k_2 x^{m-2} - \dots - k_m$. Since β is a unit, we have $k_m = \pm 1$.

We say that an algebraic automorphism of \mathbb{T}^m is *cyclic* if its matrix M is algebraically conjugate to M_β , i.e. there exists $B \in GL(m, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $M = B^{-1} M_\beta B$.

Recall that for a hyperbolic automorphism τ of \mathbb{T}^m a point $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{T}^m$ is called *homoclinic* (to 0) if $\tau^n \mathbf{t} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$. It is clear that the set of homoclinic points $\mathcal{H}(\tau)$ is a group under addition. If a hyperbolic automorphism is cyclic, then it has a *fundamental* homoclinic point \mathbf{t}_0 , with the property that the span of $\{\tau^n \mathbf{t}_0 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is all of $\mathcal{H}(\tau)$.

Assume from here on that β is a Pisot unit. Let $Fin(\beta)$ denote the set of points in $[0, 1)$ whose β -expansion is finite. In other words, $x \in Fin(\beta) \iff T_\beta^n x = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$. Obviously, $Fin(\beta) \subset \mathbb{Z}[\beta^{-1}] = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$; however, it is, generally speaking, not true that any $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \cap (0, 1)$ has a finite β -expansion for a given Pisot unit β – see, e.g., [10] for more details.

A Pisot unit β is called *weakly finitary* if for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta] \cap (0, 1)$ there exists $f \in Fin(\beta)$ such that $x + f \in Fin(\beta)$ as well. There is a conjecture that every Pisot unit is weakly finitary – see [1] for more details.

Put $\tilde{\Sigma}_r = \prod_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ endowed with the usual metric and let $\tilde{\sigma}$ denote the (two-sided) shift on $\tilde{\Sigma}_r$. Assume now τ to be cyclic Pisot and let \mathbf{t}_0 be its fundamental homoclinic point. Put $r = \lceil \beta \rceil$ and let $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_\beta$ denote the two-sided β -compactum:

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_\beta = \{w = (w_n)_{-\infty}^{\infty} \in \tilde{\Sigma}_r : w_k w_{k+1} \dots \prec a_1 a_2 \dots, \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

where, as in Section 3, $a_k = \lfloor T_\beta^k(1) \rfloor$ for $k \geq 1$. It is clear that $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_\beta \subset \tilde{\Sigma}_r$. Define the map $\varphi : \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^m$ as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi(w) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} w_k \tau^{-k} \mathbf{t}_0.$$

The following result was proved in [10]:

Theorem 4.1. *Assume τ is cyclic Pisot and the Pisot eigenvalue of its matrix is weakly finitary. Then the map φ given by (4.1) is a Hölder continuous surjection which semiconjugates $\tilde{\sigma}$ and τ . Furthermore, Haar-a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{T}^m$ has a unique φ -preimage.*

Note that the measure of maximal entropy of any cylinder $C = [w_k = i_k, \dots, w_m = i_m] \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_\beta$ is positive, whence the Haar measure of $\varphi(C)$ is positive as well. In fact, it is easy to see that $\varphi([w_k = i])$ has a nonempty interior for any $i \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, whence so does $\varphi(C)$.

The main result of this section is

Theorem 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1, an automorphism τ has a supercritical hole.*

Proof. Assume first that $\tau = \tau_\beta$ is given by the companion matrix M_β . In this case we have $\tau \mathbf{t}_0 = \beta \mathbf{t}_0$ (see [10, Lemmas 11, 12]), so (4.1) becomes

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi(w) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} w_k \beta^{-k} \mathbf{t}_0.$$

We will construct a hole which is effectively a natural extension of the hole $H_0(\beta)$ defined by the formula (3.4). More precisely, set $\bar{\Pi}$ to be the open set such that

$$(4.3) \quad \bar{\Pi} = \varphi \left(\bigcup_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq r-2 \\ 1 \leq j \leq r-1}} [w_0 = i, w_1 = j] \right).$$

We claim that $\bar{\Pi}$ is supercritical. Let us check both conditions of Definition 1.3.

(i) Assume that $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{x} \in J_{\bar{\Pi}}(\tau)$. Consequently, the τ -orbit of \mathbf{x} lies in $J_{\bar{\Pi}}(\tau)$. We do not know whether $\#\varphi^{-1}(\{\mathbf{x}\}) = 1$, however, the preimage is nonempty by Theorem 4.1. Let $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_\beta$ be such that $\varphi(w) = \mathbf{x}$. Clearly, the $\tilde{\sigma}$ -orbit of w is projected by φ onto the τ -orbit of \mathbf{x} , in view of $\varphi \tilde{\sigma} = \tau \varphi$.

It suffices to show that for any nonzero $w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_\beta$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $w_k = i, w_{k+1} = j$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, r-2\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, r-1\}$ for $r \geq 3$. (If $r = 2$, then the only nonzero sequences that avoid 01, are of the form “infinitely many 1s followed by infinitely many 0s” which are not in $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_\beta$, since the number of consecutive 1s is bounded, in view of $\beta < 2$.)

The proof now goes exactly as in Proposition 3.3, in view of (4.2) and (3.1), which implies $\varphi(*i0^\infty) = \varphi(*(i-1)a_1a_2\dots)$, where star stands for an arbitrary infinite prefix.

(ii) Similarly to Remark 1.5, put for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 3$,

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{n,k} = \{w \in \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_\beta : w_k = i, w_{k+1} = j \text{ for some } i \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-2\}, j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \implies \\ w_{k-1} = w_{k-2} = \dots = w_{k-n} = w_{k+2} = w_{k+3} = \dots = w_{k+n} = 0\}$$

and $\tilde{\Sigma}_n = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{\Sigma}_{n,k}$. Clearly, $\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{\Sigma}_n) = \tilde{\Sigma}_n$ for all $n \geq 3$. Suppose H is a hole in \mathbb{T}^m such that $\overline{H} \subset \Pi$. We claim that

$$(4.4) \quad \varphi(\tilde{\Sigma}_n) \subset J_H(\tau) \text{ for all } n \text{ large enough.}$$

Define $w' = w'(k, i, j)$ for i, j in the usual range and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows: $w'_{k-1} = w'_{k-2} = \dots = w'_{k+2} = w_{k+3} = \dots = 0$ and $w'_k = i, w'_{k+1} = j$. By the continuity of the map φ , to prove (4.4), it suffices to show that

$$(4.5) \quad \varphi(w') \notin \Pi$$

for all i, j, k . Assume the contrary; then $\Lambda_{n,k} := \varphi(\tilde{\Sigma}_{n,k}) \subset \Pi$ for some k and all n large enough. The Haar measure of $\Lambda_{n,k}$ is positive, whence φ^{-1} is unique on a subset of $\Lambda_{n,k}$ of positive Haar measure. In view of $j \geq 1$, we have that $\Pi \cap \varphi([w_1 = 0])$ has zero Haar measure. Therefore, k must be equal to 0.

It suffices to show that $\varphi(\tilde{\Sigma}_{n,0}) \not\subset \Pi$. In view of (3.1) and the continuity of φ , there exists $N \geq 3$ such that

$$\varphi([w_{-N} = \dots = w_{-1} = 0, w_0 = i, w_1 = j-1, w_2 = a_1, w_3 = a_2, \dots = w_N = a_{N-1}]) \subset \Pi.$$

This is however impossible, since the φ -image in question has positive Haar measure, which contradicts φ being 1-1 a.e. This contradiction proves (4.5) and therefore, (4.4).

Since φ is Hölder continuous and the entropy of $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_n}$ is positive, $\dim_H \varphi(\tilde{\Sigma}_n) > 0$, whence $\dim_H J_H(\tau) > 0$ as well.

Now let τ be cyclic; there exists an algebraic toral automorphism ψ such that $\tau\psi = \psi\tau_\beta$. If H is a hole, then we have

$$x \in J_{\psi(H)}(\tau) \iff \psi^{-1}(x) \in J_H(\tau_\beta).$$


Thus, in view of ψ being an automorphism, if Π is supercritical for τ_β , then $\psi(\Pi)$ is supercritical for τ . \square

Corollary 4.3. *Any ergodic cyclic automorphism τ of \mathbb{T}^2 or \mathbb{T}^3 has a supercritical hole.*

Proof. Since $m \in \{2, 3\}$ and τ is ergodic, τ is in fact hyperbolic. If τ is not Pisot, then one of the following automorphisms is: τ^{-1} , $-\tau$ or $-\tau^{-1}$. If it is τ^{-1} , then the map φ given by (4.1) conjugates the *right* shift on $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_\beta$ and τ . Hence once can take Π whose closure is given by (4.3) with the ranges for i and j swapped. For $-\tau$ we simply take $-\Pi$. The case of $-\tau^{-1}$ Pisot combines the first two ones.

The fact that any Pisot unit of degree 2 or 3 is weakly finitary (see [1]) concludes the proof. \square

Example 4.4. Let $\beta = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ and let the *Fibonacci automorphism* $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$, be the algebraic automorphism of the 2-torus given by the matrix $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.


 FIGURE 1. The supercritical hole Π for the Fibonacci automorphism

Denote within this example $X = \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_\beta = \{(w_n)_{-\infty}^\infty : w_n \in \{0, 1\}, w_n w_{n+1} = 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Formula (4.2) can be rewritten in the following form (see [11, 12]): $\varphi = p \circ \psi \circ F : X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$, where

$$X \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathbb{R}^2 \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{T}^2,$$

and we define the maps in question as follows:

$$F((w_n)_{-\infty}^\infty) = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \beta^{-n}, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} w_{-n} (-\beta)^{-n} \right),$$

and ψ is a linear map given by the matrix $\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \beta^{-1} & -\beta \end{pmatrix}$. Finally, p is the natural projection $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$. It follows from the general construction in the proof of Theorem 4.2 that Π defined as the interior of $\varphi([w_0 = 0, w_1 = 1]) = \varphi([w_1 = 1])$ is supercritical. Since $F(X) = ([0, 1] \times [-1, \beta]) \setminus ([\beta^{-1}, 1] \times [\beta^{-1}, \beta])$, we have $F([w_1 = 1]) = [\beta^{-1}, 1] \times [-1, \beta^{-1}]$, and the open rectangle $p \circ \psi((\beta^{-1}, 1) \times (-1, \beta^{-1}))$ is our supercritical hole.

A direct computation shows that the vertices of $\Pi := \psi((\beta^{-1}, 1) \times (-1, \beta^{-1}))$ are as follows (see Figure 1):

$$A \begin{pmatrix} (5 - 3\sqrt{5})/10 \\ 2\sqrt{5}/5 \end{pmatrix}, B \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, C \begin{pmatrix} (5 + \sqrt{5})/10 \\ (5 - 3\sqrt{5})/10 \end{pmatrix}, D \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \sqrt{5}/5 \\ (-5 + \sqrt{5})/10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that the sides of this rectangular hole are parallel to the eigenvectors of the matrix M . The area of Π is $\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{10} \approx 0.2764$, which is of course none other than the Markov measure of maximal entropy of the cylinder $[w_1 = 1] \subset X$. Note that it is slightly larger in measure than the corresponding one-dimensional hole (β^{-2}, β^{-1}) whose length is $\beta^{-3} \approx 0.2361$.

5. FINAL REMARKS AND OPEN PROBLEMS

5.1. Our Sturmian model is somewhat similar to “quasiperiodic route to chaos” which has been studied extensively for certain circle maps with irrational rotation numbers – see, e.g., [5] and references therein.

5.2. We know that a supercritical hole can have measure arbitrarily close to 1 (Corollary 3.4). Can it have measure arbitrarily close to 0?

5.3. An important question is whether *every* supercritical hole for the doubling map T is Sturmian (or $(1/4, 1/2)$ or $(1/2, 3/4)$). Note that in the proof of Theorem 2.4 (i) all we use is the fact that the Cantor set K_γ is of zero dimension and T -invariant, $H_0(\gamma)$ is its “central hole” (i.e., any other hole is in $T^{-n}H_0(\gamma)$ for some n) and finally, the fact that $T|_{K_\gamma}$ is minimal. The second part of the proof does use the Sturmian property of K_γ but it is not clear whether this property can still be slightly relaxed.

5.4. Another natural question is whether our construction can be generalized to $\beta > 2$, apart from the degenerate case given by Proposition 3.3. For this range one would probably need a version of Sturmian sequences for the alphabets with more than two letters. Such models exist but, like it is with multidimensional continued fractions algorithms, there is no canonical one – see, e.g., the survey [13].

5.5. What does a Sturmian hole for the tent map h look like? (A countable union of intervals, perhaps?)

5.6. Can one construct supercritical holes for the quadratic family $g_\lambda(x) = \lambda x(1 - x)$ with $1 < \lambda < 4$?

5.7. Is it possible to transfer our construction of Sturmian holes to any toral automorphisms for $\beta \in (1, 2)$?

5.8. Put $M = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then the corresponding automorphism τ of \mathbb{T}^2 is not cyclic, since M is not algebraically conjugate to $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ – see [12, Appendix A]. So, how does one construct a supercritical hole for τ ? The projection φ given by (4.1) is known to be K -to-1 a.e. for any homoclinic point t_0 (see [10, Proposition 27]) but the proof of Theorem 4.2 uses $K = 1$ heavily, which is known to be impossible if τ is not cyclic – see [10, Theorem 30].

5.9. The symmetric model for the doubling map described in the introduction obeys the classical Sharkovskii order – see [2]. Is there a version of the Sharkovskii order based on the Sturmian holes?

5.10. Recall that for the family of symmetric holes for T the second order critical hole has length $1 - 2a_* \approx 0.15$. Presumably, there are plenty of second order critical holes that are neither Sturmian nor symmetric.

Is $1/4$ the maximum length of a second order critical hole for the doubling map? Is $1 - 2a_*$ the minimum?

5.11. Can the condition (i) in Definition 1.2 be replaced with $J_H(S)$ being countable?

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to Henk Bruin, Paul Glendinning and Oliver Jenkinson for stimulating discussions.

REFERENCES

[1] S. Akiyama, H. Rao, and W. Steiner, *A certain finiteness property of Pisot number systems*, J. Number Th. **107** (2004), 135–160.

[2] J.-P. Allouche, M. Clarke and N. Sidorov, *Periodic unique beta-expansions: the Sharkovskii ordering*, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Systems **29** (2009), 1055–1074.

[3] S. Bullett and P. Sentenac, *Ordered orbits of the shift, square roots, and the devil’s staircase*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **115** (1994), 451–481.

[4] S. Bundfuss, T. Krueger and S. Troubetzkoy, *Topological and symbolic dynamics for hyperbolic systems with holes*, Ergod. Th. Dynam. Systems **31** (2011) 1305–1323.

[5] G. A. Christos and T. Gherghetta, *Trajectory scaling functions for the circle map and the quasiperiodic route to chaos*, Phys. Rev. A **44** (1991), 898–907.

[6] R. L. Devaney, *Introduction to Chaotic Dynamical Systems*, Addison-Wesley, 1989.

[7] P. Glendinning and N. Sidorov, *Unique representations of real numbers in non-integer bases*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), 535–543.

[8] M. Lothaire, *Algebraic combinatorics on words*, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[9] W. Parry, *On the β -expansions of real numbers*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **11** (1960), 401–416.

[10] N. Sidorov, *Bijjective and general arithmetic codings for Pisot toral automorphisms*, J. Dynam. Control Systems **7** (2001), 447–472.

[11] N. Sidorov and A. Vershik, *Ergodic properties of Erdős measure, the entropy of the goldenshift, and related problems*, Monatsh. Math. **126** (1998), 215–261.

[12] N. Sidorov and A. Vershik, *Bijjective arithmetic codings of hyperbolic automorphisms of the 2-torus, and binary quadratic forms*, J. Dynam. Control Systems **4** (1998), 365–399.

[13] L. Vuillon, *Balanced words*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **10** (2003), 787–805.

[14] K. Zyczkowski and E. Bollt, *On the entropy devil’s staircase in a family of gap-tent maps*, Physica D **132** (1999), 392–410.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER, OXFORD ROAD, MANCHESTER M13 9PL, UNITED KINGDOM. E-MAIL: SIDOROV@MANCHESTER.AC.UK