

POLYNOMIAL SKEW PRODUCTS WHOSE JULIA SETS HAVE INFINITELY MANY SYMMETRIES

KOHEI UENO

ABSTRACT. We consider the symmetries of Julia sets of polynomial skew products on \mathbb{C}^2 , which are birationally conjugate to rotational products. Our main results give the classification of the polynomial skew products whose Julia sets have infinitely many symmetries.

1. INTRODUCTION

Any kind of Julia sets of a polynomial map can have symmetries. We say that a Julia set has symmetries if some nonelementary transformations preserve it. Beardon [1] investigated the symmetries of the Julia sets of polynomials on \mathbb{C} . He considered conformal functions as symmetries, and proved that the group of symmetries is infinite if and only if the polynomial is conjugate to $z \rightarrow z^\delta$. To generalize the results in one-dimension to those in higher dimensions, we [3] previously investigated the symmetries of Julia sets of nondegenerate polynomial skew products on \mathbb{C}^2 . We defined the Julia sets as the supports of the Green measures, which are compact, and considered suitable polynomial automorphisms as symmetries. In this paper, we investigate the symmetries of Julia sets of polynomial skew products on \mathbb{C}^2 . We define the Julia sets by fiberwise Green functions, which are close to the supports of the Green measures. However, the Julia sets may no longer be compact, and the study of the dynamics becomes more difficult. We obtain similar results to the nondegenerate case, with some assumptions in several statements, and succeed in classifying the polynomial skew products whose Julia sets have infinitely many symmetries.

A polynomial skew product on \mathbb{C}^2 is a polynomial map of the form $f(z, w) = (p(z), q(z, w))$. More precisely, let $p(z) = a_\delta z^\delta + O(z^{\delta-1})$ and $q(z, w) = b_d(z)w^d + O_z(w^{d-1})$, where $\delta \geq 2$ and $d \geq 2$.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly recall the dynamics of polynomials and the relevant results on the symmetries of Julia sets. In Section 3, we recall the dynamics of polynomial skew products. In particular, we review the existence of the fiberwise Green and Böttcher functions, and give the definition of Julia sets. The study of the symmetries of Julia sets begins in Section 4. First, we define the centroids of f as defined in [1] and [3], and show that the symmetries

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32H50; Secondary 37C80.

of the Julia set of f are birationally conjugate to rotational products. The tools for the proof are the fiberwise Green and Böttcher functions of f , which also relate to the centroids of f . Next, under some assumptions, we characterize the group of symmetries by functional equations including the iterates of f . The assumptions are, for example, the normality of f and the special form of the polynomial b_d . The normality of f means that the centroids are at the origin. Finally, we classify the polynomial skew products whose Julia sets have infinitely many symmetries in Section 5. We have two main theorems based on two cases: that when the map is in normal form and the general case. We find that these maps can be classified into four types.

2. SYMMETRIES OF JULIA SETS OF POLYNOMIALS

In this section, we recall the dynamics of polynomials on \mathbb{C} and the relevant results on the symmetries of the Julia sets of polynomials.

Let $p(z) = a_\delta z^\delta + a_{\delta-1} z^{\delta-1} + \cdots + a_0$ be a polynomial of degree $\delta \geq 2$. We denote by $p_2 p_1$ the composition of polynomials p_1 and p_2 : $p_2 p_1(z) = p_2(p_1(z))$. Let p^n be the n -th iterate of p . A useful tool for the study of the dynamics of p is the Green function G_p of p ,

$$G_p(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta^{-n} \log^+ |p^n(z)|.$$

It is well known that G_p is a nonnegative, continuous and subharmonic function on \mathbb{C} . By definition, $G_p(p(z)) = \delta G_p(z)$. Moreover, G_p is harmonic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus K_p$ and zero on K_p , where $K_p = \{z : \{p^n(z)\}_{n \geq 1} \text{ bounded}\}$, and $G_p(z) = \log |z| + (\delta-1)^{-1} \log |a_\delta| + o(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. This is the Green function for K_p with a pole at infinity, determined only by the compact set K_p . This function induces the Böttcher function φ_p defined near infinity such that $\varphi_p(z) = z + O(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, $\log |c \varphi_p(z)| = G_p(z)$, where $c = \delta^{-1} \sqrt[\delta]{a_\delta}$, and $\varphi_p(p(z)) = a_\delta (\varphi_p(z))^\delta$.

Let us recall some objects and results of the symmetries of the Julia sets of polynomials. For further details, see [1]. We define the Julia set J_p of p as the boundary ∂K_p , and consider conformal functions as the symmetries of J_p . Since J_p is compact, such functions are Euclidean isometries. Thus the group of the symmetries of J_p is defined by

$$\Sigma_p = \{\sigma \in E : \sigma(J_p) = J_p\},$$

where $E = \{\sigma(z) = c_1 z + c_2 : |c_1| = 1, c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}\}$. The centroid of p is defined by

$$\zeta = \frac{-a_{\delta-1}}{\delta a_\delta}.$$

Each symmetry σ is a rotation about the centroid:

Proposition 2.1 ([1, Theorem 5]). *For any symmetry σ in Σ_p , there exists μ in the unit circle S^1 such that $\sigma(z) = \mu(z - \zeta) + \zeta$.*

We can characterize Σ_p by the unique equation.

Proposition 2.2 ([1, Lemma 7]). *It follows that $\Sigma_p = \{\sigma \in E : p\sigma = \sigma^\delta p\}$.*

By Proposition 2.1, the group Σ_p is identified with a subgroup of the unit circle S^1 . This group is trivial, finite cyclic or infinite. We have a sufficient and necessary condition for Σ_p to be infinite.

Proposition 2.3 ([1, Lemma 4]). *The group Σ_p is infinite if and only if p is affinely conjugate to z^δ , or equivalently, if J_p is a circle. In this case, Σ_p consists of all rotations about ζ .*

We say that p is in normal form if $a_\delta = 1$ and $a_{\delta-1} = 0$, so that the centroid is at the origin. We may assume that p is in normal form without loss of generality because p is conjugate to a polynomial in normal form. With this terminology, we can restate Proposition 2.3 as follows.

Proposition 2.4. *Let p be in normal form. Then, Σ_p is infinite if and only if $p(z) = z^\delta$, or equivalently, if $J_p = S^1$. In this case, $\Sigma_p \simeq S^1$.*

We can completely determine the group Σ_p even if it is finite.

Proposition 2.5 ([1, Theorem 5]). *Let p be in normal form. If Σ_p is finite, then the order of Σ_p is equal to the largest integer m such that p can be written in the form $p(z) = z^r Q(z^m)$ for some polynomial Q .*

The tools for the proofs of these facts are the Green and Böttcher functions of p . We generalize Propositions 2.1 and 2.2 in Section 4, and Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 in Section 5. We use Proposition 2.5 to prove the main theorems in Section 5.

3. DYNAMICS OF POLYNOMIAL SKEW PRODUCTS

In this section, we recall the dynamics of polynomial skew products on \mathbb{C}^2 and give the definition of Julia sets.

3.1. Polynomial skew products. A polynomial skew product on \mathbb{C}^2 is a polynomial map of the form $f(z, w) = (p(z), q(z, w))$. Let

$$\begin{cases} p(z) = a_\delta z^\delta + a_{\delta-1} z^{\delta-1} + \cdots + a_0, \\ q(z, w) = b_d(z) w^d + b_{d-1}(z) w^{d-1} + \cdots + b_0(z), \end{cases}$$

and let b_d be a polynomial in z of degree $l \geq 0$. We assume that $\delta \geq 2$ and $d \geq 2$. As in [3], we say that f is nondegenerate if b_d is a nonzero constant.

Let us briefly recall the dynamics of polynomial skew products. Roughly speaking, the dynamics of f consists of the dynamics on the base space and on the fibers. The first component p defines the dynamics on the base space \mathbb{C} . Note that f preserves the set of vertical lines in \mathbb{C}^2 . In this sense, we often use the notation $q_z(w)$ instead of $q(z, w)$. The restriction of f^n to the vertical line $\{z\} \times \mathbb{C}$ is viewed as

the composition of n polynomials on \mathbb{C} , $q_{p^{n-1}(z)} \cdots q_{p(z)} q_z$. Therefore, the n -th iterate of f is written as follows:

$$f^n(z, w) = (p^n(z), Q_z^n(w)),$$

where $Q_z^n(w) = q_{p^{n-1}(z)} \cdots q_{p(z)} q_z(w)$.

3.2. Fiberwise Green and Böttcher functions. We saw that the Green function of polynomial p is well defined. In a similar fashion, we define the fiberwise Green function G_z of f as follows:

$$G_z(w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^{-n} \log^+ |Q_z^n(w)|.$$

From the result of Favre and Guedj in [2, Theorem 6.1], it follows that G_z defines a local bounded function on $K_p \times \mathbb{C}$ such that $G_{p(z)}(q_z(w)) = dG_z(w)$. However, it is not continuous in general; if $b_d^{-1}(0) \cap K_p = \emptyset$, then it is continuous on $K_p \times \mathbb{C}$. We define K_z as $\{w : G_z(w) = 0\}$, which is nonempty for any z in K_p . To describe G_z more precisely, we define

$$\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{d^{n+1}} \log |b_d(p^n(z))|.$$

It belongs to $L^1(\mu_p)$, where μ_p is the Green measure of p . For fixed z in $K_p \setminus \{\Phi = -\infty\}$, the function G_z is nonnegative, continuous and subharmonic on \mathbb{C} . More precisely, it is harmonic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus K_z$ and $G_z(w) = \log |w| + \Phi(z) + o_z(1)$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$. This is the Green function for the compact set K_z with a pole at infinity. Note that $K_p \setminus \{\Phi = -\infty\}$ is forward invariant under p .

The fiberwise Green function G_z induces the fiberwise Böttcher function φ_z , which is useful to investigate the symmetries of Julia sets.

Lemma 3.1. *For every z in $K_p \setminus \{\Phi = -\infty\}$, there exists a unique conformal function φ_z defined near infinity such that*

- (i) $\varphi_z(w) = w + O_z(1)$ as $w \rightarrow \infty$,
- (ii) $\log |c_z \varphi_z(w)| = G_z(w)$, where $c_z = \exp(\Phi(z))$,
- (iii) $\varphi_{p(z)}(q_z(w)) = b_d(z)(\varphi_z(w))^d$.

3.3. Julia sets. In this paper, we consider the following Julia set:

$$J_f = \bigcup_{z \in J_p} \{z\} \times \partial K_z.$$

Here we define ∂K_z as \emptyset if $K_z = \mathbb{C}$. It follows that J_f is forward invariant under f ; that is, $f(J_f) \subset J_f$. If $b_d^{-1}(0) \cap J_p = \emptyset$, then J_f is completely invariant under f . Moreover, J_f is compact if and only if $b_d^{-1}(0) \cap J_p = \emptyset$.

The following subset of J_p plays an important role in the proofs:

$$J_p^* = J_p \setminus \{\Phi = -\infty\}.$$

Note that J_p^* is dense in J_p because it contains most periodic points. Moreover, $\mu_p(J_p^*) = 1$. For any z in J_p^* , the limits G_z and φ_z are well

defined. In addition, J_p^* is forward invariant under p , and $J_p^* \setminus p(J_p^*) \subset p(b_d^{-1}(0))$.

There is another Julia set of f that might be appropriately called the Julia set of f . Favre and Guedj proved in [2, Theorem 6.3] that the closure

$$\overline{\bigcup_{z \in J_p^*} \{z\} \times \partial K_z}$$

coincides with the support of the Green measure of f . Similar to J_f , this Julia set is compact if and only if $b_d^{-1}(0) \cap J_p = \emptyset$.

Remark 3.2. *Almost the same claims as in the next two sections hold for the symmetries of the last Julia set under the assumption $b_d^{-1}(0) \cap J_p = \emptyset$.*

4. SYMMETRIES OF JULIA SETS

As a symmetry, we consider a polynomial automorphism of the form $\gamma(z, w) = (\gamma_1(z), \gamma_2(z, w))$ that preserves J_f . Since γ_1 is conformal, $\gamma_1(z) = c_1z + c_2$, where c_1 and c_2 are complex numbers. Since J_p is compact, $|c_1| = 1$. Since γ_2 is conformal on each fiber, $\gamma_2(z, w) = c_3w + c_4(z)$, where c_3 is a complex number and c_4 is a polynomial in z . Since K_z is compact for some z in J_p , it follows that $|c_3| = 1$. Therefore, we define the group of the symmetries of J_f as

$$\Gamma_f = \{\gamma \in S : \gamma(J_f) = J_f\},$$

where

$$S = \left\{ \gamma \left(\begin{array}{c} z \\ w \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} c_1z + c_2 \\ c_3w + c_4(z) \end{array} \right) : |c_1| = |c_3| = 1 \right\}.$$

Let us denote γ in Γ_f by $(\sigma(z), \gamma_z(w))$. Since σ preserves J_p , it follows that σ belongs to Σ_p . By definition, $\gamma_z(\partial K_z) = \partial K_{\sigma(z)}$ and so $\gamma_z(K_z) = K_{\sigma(z)}$ for any z in J_p .

4.1. Centroids. As defined in Section 2, we define the centroids of f as

$$\zeta = \frac{-a_{\delta-1}}{\delta a_{\delta}} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_z = \frac{-b_{d-1}(z)}{db_d(z)}.$$

Although ζ is a constant, ζ_z is a rational function in z .

The fiberwise Böttcher function φ_z relates to the centroid ζ_z . The following lemma follows from (i) and (iii) in Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 4.1. *It follows that $\varphi_z(w) = w - \zeta_z + o_z(1)$ for any z in J_p^* .*

We first show that a symmetry γ is birationally conjugate to a rotational product.

Proposition 4.2. *For any γ in Γ_f , there exist μ and ν in S^1 such that*

$$\gamma \begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(z - \zeta) + \zeta \\ \nu(w - \zeta_z) + \zeta_{\sigma(z)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\sigma(z) = \mu(z - \zeta) + \zeta$ belongs to Σ_p .

Proof. Because of Lemma 3.1 and the definition of the symmetry, the equation $G_{\sigma(z)}\gamma_z = G_z$ implies the equation $\varphi_{\sigma(z)}\gamma_z = \nu\varphi_z$ for some ν in S^1 over $J_p^* \cap \sigma^{-1}J_p^*$. Hence, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that $\gamma_z(w) = \nu(w - \zeta_z) + \zeta_{\sigma(z)}$ over $J_p^* \cap \sigma^{-1}J_p^*$, which is dense in J_p . By the identity theorem of holomorphic functions on horizontal lines, the equation extends to $\{b_d(z)b_d(\sigma(z)) \neq 0\} \times \mathbb{C}$. By Riemann's removable singularity theorem, $\nu(w - \zeta_z) + \zeta_{\sigma(z)}$ is also polynomial on \mathbb{C}^2 . Therefore, the equation holds on \mathbb{C}^2 . \square

Corollary 4.3. *It follows that σ completely preserves the set $\{z \in J_p : \zeta_z = \infty\}$, where σ is the first component of γ in Γ_f .*

By Proposition 4.2, we can identify Γ_f with a subgroup of the torus:

$$\Gamma_f \simeq \{(\mu, \nu) \in S^1 \times S^1 : \gamma_{\mu, \nu} \in \Gamma_f\} \subset S^1 \times S^1,$$

where

$$\gamma_{\mu, \nu} \begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu(z - \zeta) + \zeta \\ \nu(w - \zeta_z) + \zeta_{\sigma(z)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We use the notation $=$ instead of \simeq hereafter. By definition, $\Gamma_f \subset \Sigma_p \times S^1$.

4.2. Normal form. Referring to the polynomial case, we say that f is in normal form if p and b_d are monic and $a_{\delta-1}$ and b_{d-1} are the constant 0. Roughly speaking, the normality of f is equivalent to those of p and q_z . Hence if f is in normal form, then the centroids are at the origin.

Unlike the cases of polynomials and nondegenerate polynomial skew products, we may not assume that f is in normal form without loss of generality. However, we can normalize f to a rational map as follows. Define $h(z, w) = (c_1(z - \zeta), c_2(w - \zeta_z))$, where $c_1^{\delta-1}$ is equal to a_δ , the coefficient of the leading term of p , and $c_1^l c_2^{d-1}$ is equal to the coefficient of the leading term of b_d . Then h is a birational map. Let \tilde{f} be the conjugation of f by h : $hf = \tilde{f}h$. This rational map satisfies all conditions in the definition of normality. Hence we call \tilde{f} the normalized rational skew product of f , which appears in Section 5.2.

4.3. Functional equations. Under some assumptions, we characterize Γ_f by functional equations including the iterates of f . Although the group Σ_p of a polynomial p is characterized by the unique equation $p\sigma = \sigma^\delta p$, our characterization of Γ_f needs infinitely many equations as in [3, Lemma 3.2]. Referring to Proposition 4.2, we define

$$\mathcal{E}_f = \{\gamma \in S : f^n \gamma = \gamma_n f^n \text{ for } \forall n \geq 1\},$$

where

$$\gamma_n \begin{pmatrix} z \\ w \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu^{\delta^n}(z - \zeta) + \zeta \\ \mu^{l_n} \nu^{d^n}(w - \zeta_{p^n(z)}) + \zeta_{p^n(\sigma(z))} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $l_n = l(\delta^n - d^n)/(\delta - d)$. Unlike the nondegenerate case, we need some assumptions for Γ_f to coincide with \mathcal{E}_f , which may be removable.

We first provide a lemma about certain symmetries of the polynomial b_d .

Lemma 4.4. *The identity $|b_d(\sigma(z))| = |b_d(z)|$ holds for any symmetry σ and for any z in $J_p^* \cap \sigma^{-1}J_p^*$, where σ is the first component of γ in Γ_f .*

Proof. Let $K_z^c = \mathbb{C} \setminus K_z$. For any z in $J_p^* \cap \sigma^{-1}J_p^*$, the following commutative diagram holds:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_{p(z)}^c & \xleftarrow{q_z} & K_z^c & \xrightarrow{\gamma_z} & K_{\sigma(z)}^c & \xrightarrow{q_{\sigma(z)}} & K_{p\sigma(z)}^c \\ \downarrow \varphi_{p(z)} & & \varphi_z \downarrow & & \varphi_{\sigma(z)} \downarrow & & \varphi_{p\sigma(z)} \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C} & \xleftarrow{b_d(z)w^d} & \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\nu w} & \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{b_d(\sigma(z))w^d} & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

Although φ_z may not be defined on K_z^c , it is defined near infinity. Since $p\sigma = \sigma^\delta p$, the Euclidean isometry $\gamma_{\sigma^{\delta-1}p(z)} \cdots \gamma_{\sigma p(z)} \gamma_{p(z)}$ maps $K_{p(z)}$ onto $K_{p\sigma(z)}$. Therefore, $|b_d(\sigma(z))(\nu w)^d| = |b_d(z)w^d|$. \square

We use this lemma to prove the main theorems in the next section. It is natural to ask whether or not the equation $b_d(\sigma(z)) = \mu^l b_d(z)$ holds. In the following proposition, we assume some conditions that guarantee this equation.

Proposition 4.5. *If p is in normal form and $b_d(z) = z^l$, then $\Gamma_f \subset \mathcal{E}_f$. Moreover, $\sigma(J_p^*) = J_p^*$, where σ is the first component of γ in Γ_f .*

Proof. Let γ be a symmetry in Γ_f . Proposition 2.2 implies that $p\sigma = \sigma^\delta p$ and so $p^n \sigma = \sigma^{\delta^n} p^n$; that is, $p^n(\sigma(z)) = \mu^{\delta^n}(p^n(z) - \zeta) + \zeta$.

We first show that $f\gamma = \gamma_1 f$. It follows from the commutative diagram above that $\varphi_{p(z)}(q_z(w)) = b_d(z)(\varphi_z(w))^d$ and $\varphi_{p\sigma(z)}(q_{\sigma(z)}\gamma_z(w)) = b_d(\sigma(z))(\nu\varphi_z(w))^d$ over $J_p^* \cap \sigma^{-1}J_p^* \cap \sigma^{-1}p^{-1}J_p^*$. Thus $b_d(z)\varphi_{p\sigma(z)}(q_{\sigma(z)}\gamma_z(w)) = b_d(\sigma(z))\nu^d\varphi_{p(z)}(q_z(w))$, which implies that

$$b_d(z)(q_{\sigma(z)}(\gamma_z(w)) - \zeta_{p\sigma(z)}) = b_d(\sigma(z))\nu^d(q_z(w) - \zeta_{p(z)}).$$

As in the proof of Proposition 4.2, we can extend this equation to \mathbb{C}^2 . By assumption,

$$b_d(\sigma(z)) = \mu^l b_d(z).$$

Therefore, $q_{\sigma(z)}(\gamma_z(w)) = \mu^l \nu^d(q_z(w) - \zeta_{p(z)}) + \zeta_{p\sigma(z)}$.

Next, we show that $f^n \gamma = \gamma_n f^n$ for any $n \geq 2$. Although we do not know whether γ_1 belongs to Γ_f , similar arguments as above induce the equation $Q_{\sigma(z)}^2(\gamma_z(w)) = \mu^{(\delta+d)l} \nu^{d^2}(Q_z^2(w) - \zeta_{p^2(z)}) + \zeta_{p^2\sigma(z)}$ over

$J_p^* \cap (\cap_{j=0}^2 \sigma^{-1} p^{-j} J_p^*)$. Similarly, we have the equation $Q_{\sigma(z)}^n(\gamma_z(w)) = \mu^l \nu^{d^n} (Q_z^n(w) - \zeta_{p^n(z)}) + \zeta_{p^n \sigma(z)}$ over $J_p^* \cap (\cap_{j=0}^n \sigma^{-1} p^{-j} J_p^*)$, which extends to \mathbb{C}^2 .

Finally, the equation $b_d \sigma = \mu^l b_d$ implies $b_d(p^n \sigma(z)) = \mu^{l \delta^n} b_d(p^n(z))$. Thus $\Phi \sigma = \Phi$ and so $\sigma(J_p^*) = J_p^*$. \square

With a slight change in the proof, we can replace the assumption in this proposition with the assumption that q is not divisible by any nonconstant polynomial in z .

We use the following corollary of Proposition 4.5 to calculate the groups of symmetries for some examples in Section 4.4 and to prove the main theorems in Section 5.

Corollary 4.6. *If f is in normal form and $b_d(z) = z^l$, then*

$$q(\mu z, \nu w) = \mu^l \nu^d q(z, w)$$

for any $\gamma(z, w) = (\mu z, \nu w)$ in Γ_f .

For the inverse inclusion, we have the following.

Proposition 4.7. *If $b_d^{-1}(0) \cap J_p = \emptyset$ or $b_{d-1}(z) \equiv 0$, then $\Gamma_f \supset \mathcal{E}_f$.*

Proof. For both cases, the equation $f^n \gamma = \gamma_n f^n$ induces that $G_{\sigma(z)} \gamma_z = G_z$ and so $\gamma_z(K_z) = K_{\sigma(z)}$ for any z in J_p . Hence γ in \mathcal{E}_f preserves J_f . \square

Combining Propositions 4.5 and 4.7, we get sufficient conditions for Γ_f to coincide with \mathcal{E}_f .

Corollary 4.8. *Assume that f satisfies one of the following conditions: (i) f is in normal form and q is not divisible by any nonconstant polynomial in z , (ii) f is in normal form and $b_d(z) = z^l$, (iii) $p(z) = z^\delta$ and $b_d(z) = z^l$. Then $\Gamma_f = \mathcal{E}_f$. In particular, Γ_f is compact, and γ_n belongs to Γ_f for any $n \geq 1$ if γ belongs to Γ_f .*

4.4. Examples. Let us provide some examples of the groups of symmetries. For a map f of the four examples below, the symmetries have to satisfy the equation in Corollary 4.6. Moreover, by Corollary 4.8, the pair of two numbers satisfying the equation in Corollary 4.6 belongs to Γ_f if and only if it satisfies the infinitely many equations in \mathcal{E}_f .

Example 4.9. *Let $f(z, w) = (z^3, zw^2 + z)$. Then $\Gamma_f = \{(\mu, \nu) : \mu^2 = \nu^2 = 1\} = \{(1, 1), (-1, -1), (1, -1), (-1, 1)\}$. Moreover, let $g(z, w) = (z^3, zw^2 + 2z^2w + z)$. Then it is conjugate to f by $h(z, w) = (z, w - z) : hf = gh$. Hence $\Gamma_g = \{(z, w), (-z, -w), (z, -w - 2z), (-z, w + 2z)\}$.*

Example 4.10. *Let $f(z, w) = (z^2 - 1, z^2w^2)$. Then $\Gamma_f = \{\pm 1\} \times S^1$.*

Example 4.11. *Let $f(z, w) = (z^3, zw^2 + z^3)$. Then $\Gamma_f = \{(\mu, \nu) : \mu^2 = \nu^2 \in S^1\}$. Moreover, f is semiconjugate to $f_0(z, w) = (z^3, w^2 + 1)$ by $\pi(z, w) = (z, zw) : \pi f_0 = f \pi$.*

Example 4.12. Let $f(z, w) = (z^2, z^3w^5 + zw^3 + w^2)$. Then $\Gamma_f = \{(\mu, \nu) : \mu = \nu^{-1} \in S^1\}$. Moreover, f is semiconjugate to $f_0(z, w) = (z^2, w^5 + w^3 + w^2)$ by $\pi(z, w) = (z, z^{-1}w) : \pi f_0 = f\pi$.

Although the map f below does not satisfy any condition in Corollary 4.8, it again follows that $\Gamma_f = \mathcal{E}_f$.

Example 4.13. Let $f(z, w) = (z^2, (z^l - 1)w^2)$, where $l \geq 1$. It follows from Proposition 4.7 that $\Gamma_f \supset \{\mu^l = 1\} \times S^1$. Let $\sigma(z) = \mu z$ be the first component of γ in Γ_f . Lemma 4.4 implies that $|\mu^l z^l - 1| = |z^l - 1|$ on a dense subset of $J_p = S^1$. Hence $\mu^l = 1$ and so $\Gamma_f = \{\mu^l = 1\} \times S^1$.

In particular, the groups of symmetries of Examples from 4.10 to 4.13 are infinite.

5. INFINITE SYMMETRIES

In this section, we classify the polynomial skew products whose Julia sets have infinitely many symmetries. We first show that these maps in normal form are classified into four types in Section 5.1. We then remove the assumption of normality and show that the normalized rational skew products of these maps are also classified into four types in Section 5.2.

Toward the classification, we prepare a lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Let $q(z, w)$ be a polynomial. If there exist nonzero integers r and s and positive integer δ such that $q(z^r, z^s w) = z^{s\delta} q(1, w)$, then $f(z, w) = (z^\delta, q(z, w))$ is semiconjugate to $f_0(z, w) = (z^\delta, q(1, w))$ by $\pi(z, w) = (z^r, z^s w) : \pi f_0 = f\pi$. Moreover, q consists of the terms of the form $c_j z^{n_j} w^j$, where c_j is a constant and $n_j = (\delta - j)s/r$ for $0 \leq j \leq \deg q$.

The former statement of this lemma holds even if q is a rational function; we apply this lemma for the normalized rational skew products in Section 5.2. The equation $n_j = (\delta - j)s/r$ follows from the identity $rn_j + sj = s\delta$.

5.1. Classification of the maps in normal form. We first assume that polynomial skew products are in normal form.

Theorem 5.2. Let f be in normal form. Then Γ_f is infinite if and only if one of the following holds:

- (i) $f(z, w) = (z^\delta, z^l w^d)$,
- (ii) $f(z, w) = (z^\delta, q(w))$,
- (iii) $f(z, w) = (p(z), b_d(z)w^d)$, or
- (iv) $f(z, w) = (z^\delta, q(z, w))$ and it is semiconjugate to $(z^\delta, q(1, w))$ by $\pi(z, w) = (z^r, z^s w)$ for some nonzero coprime integers r and s . If $l = 0$, then $\delta = d$ and $s/r > 0$. If $l \neq 0$, then $\delta \neq d$ and $s/r = l/(\delta - d)$.

To avoid overlap, we assume that $q(w) \neq w^d$ in (ii), $p(z) \neq z^\delta$ or $b_d(z) \neq z^l$ in (iii), and $q(z, w) \neq b_d(z)w^d$ in (iv). Each condition is equivalent to the following:

- (i)' $J_f = S^1 \times S^1$,
- (ii)' $J_f = S^1 \times J$,
- (iii)' $J_f = \bigcup_{z \in J_p} \{z\} \times C_z$,
- (iv)' $J_f = \bigcup_{z \in S^1} \{z\} \times z^{s/r} J$,

for a one-dimensional Julia set J which is not S^1 and for a circle C_z about the origin which can be empty. More precisely, J in (ii)' is J_q ; a circle C_z in (iii)' is $\{w : \log |w| = -\Phi(z)\}$; and J in (iv)' is $J_{q(1, w)}$. To avoid overlap, we assume in (iii)' that J_p is not S^1 or C_z is not all the same over J_p . Note that J_f in (i)', (ii)' or (iv)' is compact. Or equivalently,

- (i)'' $\Gamma_f = S^1 \times S^1$,
- (ii)'' $\Gamma_f = S^1 \times \Sigma$,
- (iii)'' $\Gamma_f = \Sigma \times S^1$,
- (iv)'' $\Gamma_f = \{(\mu, \nu) \in S^1 \times S^1 : \mu^{as} = \nu^{ar}\}$,

for a finite group Σ in S^1 and for an integer $a \neq 0$. In particular, Γ_f is compact. More precisely, Σ in (ii)'' is Σ_q , and Σ in (iii)'' is a subgroup of Σ_p which includes $\Sigma_p \cap \{\sigma(z) = \mu z : b_d(\mu z) = \mu^l b_d(z)\}$.

Proof. The main part of the proof is to show that if Γ_f is infinite, then f is one of the forms from (i) to (iv). For the equivalence of the conditions in terms of the form of f and of the shapes of J_f and Γ_f , it is enough to show that (iv) implies (iv)'', which is mentioned at the end of this proof.

Assume that $\Gamma = \Gamma_f$ is infinite. Let π_z and π_w be the projections to the z and w coordinates, respectively. Then we have three cases: $\pi_z(\Gamma)$ is finite, $\pi_w(\Gamma)$ is finite, or $\pi_z(\Gamma)$ and $\pi_w(\Gamma)$ are both infinite.

We first show that $\pi_z(\Gamma)$ being finite induces (iii). If $\pi_z(\Gamma)$ is finite, then Γ contains $\{1\} \times S^1$ since it is an infinite subgroup of $S^1 \times S^1$ and since ∂K_z is closed for any z in J_p . Thus ∂K_z is a circle about the origin for any z in J_p^* . Because q_z maps this circle to another circle, one can show that $q_z(w) = b_d(z)w^d$ on $J_p^* \times \mathbb{C}$ by using the fiberwise Böttcher function φ_z , which implies (iii).

Assume that $\pi_z(\Gamma)$ is infinite from now on. Then $p(z) = z^\delta$ and $J_p = S^1$. Moreover, we show that $b_d(z) = z^l$. It follows from Lemma 4.4 that $|b_d(\mu z)| = |b_d(z)|$ for any z in $J_p^* \cap \sigma^{-1}J_p^*$ and for any μ in a dense subset $\pi_z(\Gamma)$ of S^1 . Thus b_d maps S^1 to a circle about the origin. Therefore, $b_d(z) = z^l$. In particular, J_f and Γ_f are compact.

We next show that $\pi_w(\Gamma)$ being finite induces (ii). If $\pi_w(\Gamma)$ is finite, then Γ contains $S^1 \times \{1\}$ since it is compact. Thus ∂K_z is independent of z in J_p ; it coincides with the Julia set J of a polynomial. Hence one can show that the polynomials q_z on fibers over J_p differ only in

terms of the symmetries of J by using φ_z . Because the number of the symmetries of J is finite and because J_p is connected, the polynomials are all the same, which implies (ii).

Now let $\pi_w(\Gamma)$ also be infinite. If $q(z, w) = z^l w^d$, then we get (i). Let $q \neq z^l w^d$ and $z^{n_j} w^{m_j}$ be a term of q with a nonzero coefficient for $m_j \leq d$. Then it follows from Corollary 4.6 that $\mu^{n_j} \nu^{m_j} = \mu^l \nu^d$ for any symmetry (μ, ν) in Γ . The equation $\mu^{n_j-l} = \nu^{d-m_j}$ implies that if $m_j = d$ then $n_j = l$ since we may assume that $\mu^n \neq 1$ for any integer $n \neq 0$. Let $m_j < d$ from now on. The equations $\mu^{n_j-l} = \nu^{d-m_j}$ and $\mu^{n_i-l} = \nu^{d-m_i}$ imply that $\mu^{(n_j-l)(d-m_i)} = \mu^{(n_i-l)(d-m_j)}$, which implies that $(n_j-l)(d-m_i) = (n_i-l)(d-m_j)$. Therefore, the ratio of n_j-l and $d-m_j$ does not depend on j . Let s and r be nonzero coprime integers whose ratio is equal to that of n_j-l and $d-m_j$;

$$\frac{n_j-l}{d-m_j} = \frac{n_i-l}{d-m_i} =: \frac{s}{r}.$$

Then $q(z^r, z^s w) = z^{rl+sd} q(1, w)$.

Moreover, we can obtain the identity $rl + sd = s\delta$ as follows. Let a_j be an integer such that $n_j - l = a_j s$ and $d - m_j = a_j r$, and let a be the greatest common divisor of a_j . By Corollary 4.8, $(1, \mu^l \nu^{d-\delta}) = (\mu^\delta, \mu^l \nu^d) - (\mu^\delta, \nu^\delta)$ belongs to Γ for any (μ, ν) in Γ . Since $\Gamma \subset \{\mu^{as} = \nu^{ar}\}$, we have $(\mu^l \nu^{d-\delta})^{ar} = 1$. Therefore, $\mu^{a\{rl+s(d-\delta)\}} = 1$ and so $rl + s(d-\delta) = 0$. Consequently, $q(z^r, z^s w) = z^{s\delta} q(1, w)$, which implies (iv) as in Lemma 5.1. More precisely, it follows from Proposition 2.5 that the order of $\Sigma_{q(1,w)}$ is equal to the absolute value of ar . Hence $\Gamma = \{\mu^{as} = \nu^{ar}\}$. \square

Favre and Guedj showed in [2, Proposition 6.5] that, for a map f of the form (iii), if $b^{-1}(0) \cap J_p \neq \emptyset$ then G_z restricted to $J_p \times \mathbb{C}$ is everywhere discontinuous, and the closure of J_f coincides with $J_p \times \mathbb{C}$. Hence the groups of the symmetries of J_f and of its closure may be different.

5.2. Classification of normalized rational skew products. We

saw in Section 4.2 that the birational map h conjugates f to the normalized rational skew product $\tilde{f}: hf = \tilde{f}h$. Note that h also conjugates a symmetry γ , which corresponds to μ and ν , to $\tilde{\gamma}(z, w) = (\mu z, \nu w)$. Let $\tilde{f}(z, w) = (\tilde{p}(z), \tilde{q}(z, w))$ and $\tilde{q}(z, w) = \tilde{b}_d(z)w^d + \tilde{b}_{d-1}(z)w^{d-1} + \cdots + \tilde{b}_0(z)$. Then \tilde{p} and \tilde{b}_d are polynomial and $\tilde{b}_{d-1} \equiv 0$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let Γ_f be infinite. Then the rational map \tilde{f} is one of the following:*

- (i) $\tilde{f}(z, w) = (z^\delta, z^l w^d)$,
- (ii) $\tilde{f}(z, w) = (z^\delta, \tilde{q}(w))$,
- (iii) $\tilde{f}(z, w) = (\tilde{p}(z), \tilde{b}_d(z)w^d)$,

(iv) $\tilde{f}(z, w) = (z^\delta, \tilde{q}(z, w))$ and it is semiconjugate to $(z^\delta, \tilde{q}(1, w))$ by $\pi(z, w) = (z^r, z^s w)$ for some nonzero coprime integers r and s .
 If $l = 0$, then $\delta = d$ and $s/r > 0$. If $l \neq 0$, then $\delta \neq d$ and $s/r = l/(\delta - d)$.

Except the case $s/r < 0$ in (iv), the map \tilde{f} is polynomial. To avoid overlap, we assume that $\tilde{q}(w) \neq w^d$ in (ii), $\tilde{p}(z) \neq z^\delta$ or $\tilde{b}_d(z) \neq z^l$ in (iii), and $\tilde{q}(z, w) \neq \tilde{b}_d(z)w^d$ in (iv).

Proof. The proof of the former statement is similar to that of Theorem 5.2; we only give the outline. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma_f$ be infinite. If $\pi_z(\Gamma)$ is finite, then $\Gamma \supset \{1\} \times S^1$ and so $\tilde{q}(z, w) = \tilde{b}_d(z)w^d$. If $\pi_z(\Gamma)$ is infinite, then $\tilde{p}(z) = z^\delta$ and $\tilde{b}_d(z) = z^l$. In particular, J_f and Γ_f are compact and h is homeomorphic on $J_p \times \mathbb{C}$ since $b_d^{-1}(0) \cap J_p = \emptyset$. If $\pi_w(\Gamma)$ is finite, then $\Gamma \supset S^1 \times \{1\}$ and so $\tilde{q}(z, w) = \tilde{q}(w)$. We now show that $\pi_z(\Gamma)$ and $\pi_w(\Gamma)$ being infinite implies (iv), assuming $\tilde{q} \neq z^l w^d$. In this case, $\tilde{q}(z, w) = z^l w^d + \sum c_j z^{n_j} w^{m_j}$, where c_j is a nonzero coefficient, n_j can be negative, and $0 \leq m_j \leq d$. Because Corollary 4.6 still holds for \tilde{f} , we find that $\tilde{q}(\mu z, \nu w) = \mu^l \nu^d \tilde{q}(z, w)$ for any (μ, ν) in Γ_f . The same argument as in the proof of Theorem 5.2 implies that $\tilde{q}(z^r, z^s w) = z^{s\delta} \tilde{q}(1, w)$, which implies (iv) as in Lemma 5.1.

Let us show the later statement. If \tilde{f} is the form of (i) or (iii), then it is clearly polynomial. In the case (ii), it follows from the commutative diagram $hf = \tilde{f}h$ that ζ_z is a polynomial. Hence h is polynomial and so is \tilde{f} . Let \tilde{f} be the form of (iv). Then the identity $rn_j + sm_j = s\delta$ implies that $n_j = (\delta - m_j)s/r$. If $s/r > 0$, then $\delta \geq d$ and so $n_j > 0$. \square

As Theorem 5.2 states, these conditions in terms of the form of \tilde{f} can be replaced with the shape of $J_{\tilde{f}}$ or Γ_f .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. F. Beardon, *Symmetries of Julia sets*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **22** (1990), 576-582.
- [2] C. Favre and V. Guedj, *Dynamique des applications rationnelles des espaces multiprojectifs*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **50** (2001), 881-934.
- [3] K. Ueno, *Symmetries of Julia sets of nondegenerate polynomial skew products on \mathbb{C}^2* , Michigan Math. J. **59** (2010), 153-168.

DAIDO UNIVERSITY, NAGOYA 457-8530, JAPAN
E-mail address: k-ueno@daido-it.ac.jp