

Entropy, Lyapunov exponents and the volume growth of boundary distortion under the action of dynamical systems

B.M. Gurevich ^{*} and S.A. Komech[‡]

1 Introduction.

Apart from the well-known studies linking the entropy of a measure preserving smooth dynamical system with Lyapunov exponents (see [10], [4], [5], [8], [9]), there is a few works dealing with geometric meaning of the measure-theoretic entropy. One of them is [1], where the so-called local entropy was introduced, which turned out to coincide with the entropy.

Another approach was used in [2] for a class of symbolic dynamical systems, but it is applicable to a much more general situation and is as follows.

Let f be a homeomorphism of a metric space (X, ρ) and μ an f -invariant Borel probability measure on X . For a point $x \in X$ we consider the ε -ball $B(x, \varepsilon)$ around x and treat the quantity

$$\frac{1}{k} \ln \frac{\mu(O_\varepsilon(f^k B(x, \varepsilon)))}{\mu(B(x, \varepsilon))}, \quad (1)$$

where $O_\varepsilon(A)$ is the ε -neighborhood of a set $A \subset X$, as a logarithmic deformation degree of the boundary of $B(x, \varepsilon)$ under the action of f^k .

It is natural to pass to the limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $k \rightarrow \infty$, but one easily sees that a nontrivial asymptotics is possible only if there is a relation between k and ε . All the results were obtained when $k = k(\varepsilon)$ and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} k(\varepsilon) / \ln \varepsilon = 0, \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} k(\varepsilon) = \infty. \quad (2)$$

^{*}Department of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University, and the Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Russian Academy of Sciences.

[†]Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Russian Academy of Sciences.

[‡]The work of both authors is partially supported by RFBR grant 11-01-00485

For a subshift of finite type (X, f) and for an arbitrary invariant ergodic probability measure μ it was established in [2] that the expression (1) converges in L^1_μ to $h_\mu(f)$, the measure theoretic entropy of the shift transformation f with respect to μ . This was generalized to synchronized systems and hence to all sofic systems in [7].

For the smooth dynamical systems, precisely n -dimensional torus automorphism, preserving the Lebesgue measure, the convergence of (1) to $h_\mu(f)$ at each point of the torus was proved in [3].

Note that there is a special feature in the smooth case: the existence of a natural measure on X , namely, the Lebesgue measure, and it is reasonable to study the asymptotic behavior of (1) for this measure albeit it could be not invariant. We do this for Anosov diffeomorphisms, but the result can be extended to a wider class of smooth dynamical systems. Our main goal here is to prove the following

Theorem. *Let f be a $C^{1+\alpha}(M)$ Anosov diffeomorphism of a compact Riemannian manifold M without a boundary and ν be an f -invariant ergodic Borel probability measure on M . Then for any function $k : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ satisfying (2) and for ν -a.e. x ,*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{k(\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{\mu(O^\varepsilon(f^{k(\varepsilon)}B(x, \varepsilon)))}{\mu(B(x, \varepsilon))} = \sum_{i: \lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i d_i =: \lambda_\nu^+, \quad (3)$$

where μ is the Riemannian volume, λ_i are the Lyapunov exponents of ν and d_i are their multiplicities.

2 Proof of the Theorem.

For some $\delta > 0$ introduce local stable and unstable manifolds $W_\delta^s(x)$ and $W_\delta^u(x)$, respectively, and assume that δ and ε are so small that for all $x \in M$ the intersection $W_\delta^s(y) \cap W_\delta^u(x)$ is exactly one point when $\rho(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$ (here ρ is the Riemannian metric). Consider the set

$$P_u(x, \varepsilon) := \bigcup_{y \in B(x, \varepsilon)} (W_\delta^s(y) \cap W_\delta^u(x)).$$

We see that $P_u(x, \varepsilon)$ is the "projection" of $B(x, \varepsilon)$ on $W_\delta^u(x)$ along the stable leaves W_δ^s .

For every $x \in M$ and $r \geq 0$ denote the ball of radius r on $W_\delta^u(x)$ around x (in the induced metric ρ^u) by $B^u(x, r)$.

Lemma 1. *There exist $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$,*

$$B^u(x, C_1\varepsilon) \subset P_u(x, \varepsilon) \subset B^u(x, C_2\varepsilon).$$

The proof relies on the following two facts: 1) the angle between $W_\delta^s(x)$ and $W_\delta^u(x)$ as a function of x is bounded away from zero; 2) there are $c > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $y \in W_\delta^u(x)$ and $\rho(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$, then $\rho^u(x, y) \leq c\varepsilon$.

The following lemma will allow us to obtain upper and lower estimates for the volume growth in an unstable manifold.

Lemma 2. *Let $\{B_\varepsilon, \varepsilon > 0\}$ be a family of subsets of $W_\delta^u(x)$ such that $\text{diam}(B_\varepsilon) \leq \gamma\varepsilon$, $\gamma > 0$ and $x \in B_\varepsilon$ for each ε . If f , $k(\varepsilon)$ and ν are as in the above Theorem, then for ν -a.e. $x \in M$*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{k(\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{\mu^u(f^{k(\varepsilon)} B_\varepsilon)}{\mu^u(B_\varepsilon)} = \lambda_\nu^+, \quad (4)$$

where μ^u is the Riemannian volume in the corresponding unstable manifold.

Proof. For brevity we will write k instead of $k(\varepsilon)$. Since the unstable Jacobian J^u is a continuous function on M (see [6], Section 19.1), we can apply the Mean Value Theorem to obtain a sequence of points $x_i \in f^i B_\varepsilon$, $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^u(f^k B_\varepsilon) &= \int_{f^{k-1} B_\varepsilon} J^u(y) \mu^u(dy) \\ &= J^u(x_{k-1}) \mu^u(f^{k-1} B_\varepsilon) = \cdots = \mu^u(B_\varepsilon) \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} J^u(x_i). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By the compactness of M there exists a $\beta > 0$ such that $\rho(f(y), f(z)) \leq \beta\rho(y, z)$. Therefore $\rho(x_i, f^i x) \leq \gamma\varepsilon\beta^i$. Using the fact that J^u is Hölder continuous with some exponent $\alpha > 0$ and a factor $C > 0$ (see [6], Section 19.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k} \ln \frac{\mu^u(f^k B_\varepsilon)}{\mu^u(B_\varepsilon)} &= \frac{1}{k} \ln \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} J^u(x_i) \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ln(J^u(f^i x) + C(\varepsilon\gamma\beta^i)^\alpha) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ln J^u(f^i x) + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ln \left(1 + \frac{C(\varepsilon\gamma\beta^i)^\alpha}{J^u(f^i x)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ln J^u(f^i x) \\ &+ \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{C(\varepsilon\gamma\beta^i)^\alpha}{J^u(f^i x)} \leq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \ln J^u(f^i x) + \frac{C(\varepsilon\gamma)^\alpha (\beta^{k\alpha} - 1)}{k \min_{y \in M} J^u(y) (\beta - 1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Conditions (2) imply that the second term in (6) tends to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. But the first term tends to $\lambda_\nu^+(x)$ (for more details on the unstable Jacobian see [4], [6]).

Thus we have come to an upper estimates. A lower one can be obtained similarly. \square

The next lemma reflects a uniformity of the Anosov systems.

Lemma 3. *For every $a > 0$ there exist $b(a) > 0$ and $\varepsilon_a > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in M$ and $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_a$,*

$$\frac{1}{b(a)} \leq \frac{\mu(B(x, a\varepsilon))}{\mu(B(y, \varepsilon))} \leq b(a), \quad \frac{1}{b(a)} \leq \frac{\mu^u(B^u(x, a\varepsilon))}{\mu^u(B^u(y, \varepsilon))} \leq b(a).$$

We now turn immediately to the proof of the Theorem. First we construct by induction a finite sequence of points $y_i \in f^{k(\varepsilon)}P_u(x, \varepsilon)$, $1 \leq i \leq N(\varepsilon)$, such that the balls $B(y_i, \varepsilon)$ cover the set $f^{k(\varepsilon)}P_u(x, \varepsilon)$ and

$$B(y_i, \varepsilon/3) \cap B(y_j, \varepsilon/3) = \emptyset, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq N(\varepsilon). \quad (7)$$

We start with an arbitrary y_1 . If y_1, \dots, y_m are already chosen and the balls $B(y_i, \varepsilon)$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, do not cover $f^{k(\varepsilon)}P_u(x, \varepsilon)$, take an arbitrary non-covered point as y_{m+1} . Clearly,

$$\rho(y_i, y_j) \geq \varepsilon \text{ when } 1 \leq i, j \leq m+1, \quad i \neq j. \quad (8)$$

Hence this process will stop after a finite number of steps since M is compact. Using (8), we also obtain (7).

An upper bound estimate. Lemma 1 and the fact that f is expanding along unstable manifolds imply that

$$O_\varepsilon^u(f^{k(\varepsilon)}P_u(x, \varepsilon)) \subset O_\varepsilon^u(f^{k(\varepsilon)}B^u(x, C_2\varepsilon)) \subset f^{k(\varepsilon)}B^u(x, (C_2 + 1)\varepsilon). \quad (9)$$

From (7), (9) we obtain

$$N(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{\mu^u(f^{k(\varepsilon)}B^u(x, (C_2 + 1)\varepsilon))}{\min_{1 \leq j \leq N(\varepsilon)} \mu^u(B^u(y_j, \varepsilon/3))}. \quad (10)$$

Since f is contracting along stable manifolds, the sets $f^{k(\varepsilon)}B(x, \varepsilon)$ and $f^{k(\varepsilon)}P_u(x, \varepsilon)$ approach each other as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore for ε small enough

$$O^\varepsilon(f^{k(\varepsilon)}B(x, \varepsilon)) \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq N(\varepsilon)} B(y_i, 2\varepsilon). \quad (11)$$

By (10) – (11)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k(\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{\mu(O^\varepsilon(f^{k(\varepsilon)}B(x, \varepsilon)))}{\mu(B(x, \varepsilon))} \leq \frac{1}{k(\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{N(\varepsilon) \max_{1 \leq i \leq N(\varepsilon)} \mu(B(y_i, 2\varepsilon))}{\mu(B(x, \varepsilon))} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k(\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{\mu^u(f^k B^u(x, (C_2 + 1)\varepsilon))}{\mu^u(B^u(x, (C_2 + 1)\varepsilon))} + \frac{1}{k(\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{\mu^u(B^u(x, (C_2 + 1)\varepsilon))}{\min_{1 \leq i \leq N(\varepsilon)} \mu^u(B^u(y_i, \varepsilon/3))} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{k(\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq N(\varepsilon)} \mu(B(y_i, 2\varepsilon))}{\mu(B(x, \varepsilon))}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 the first term gives us the sum of the positive Lyapunov exponents, while by Lemma 3 the last two terms tend to zero.

A lower bound estimate. As is easy to verify, there exists a constant \overline{C} such that for δ, ε small enough

$$B^u(y, \varepsilon) \subset B(y, \varepsilon) \cap W_\delta^u(y) \subset B^u(y, \overline{C}\varepsilon), \quad y \in M.$$

From this we obtain

$$N(\varepsilon) \geq \frac{\mu^u(f^k P_u(x, \varepsilon))}{\max_{1 \leq i \leq N(\varepsilon)} \mu^u(B^u(y_j, \overline{C}\varepsilon))}. \quad (12)$$

Now (7) and (12) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k(\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{\mu(O_\varepsilon(f^{k(\varepsilon)}B(x, \varepsilon)))}{\mu(B(x, \varepsilon))} \geq \frac{1}{k(\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{N(\varepsilon) \min_{1 \leq i \leq N(\varepsilon)} \mu(B(y, \varepsilon/3))}{\mu(B(x, \varepsilon))} \geq \\ & \frac{1}{k(\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{\mu^u(f^k B^u(x, C_1\varepsilon))}{\mu^u(B^u(x, C_1\varepsilon))} + \frac{1}{k(\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{\mu^u(B^u(x, C_1\varepsilon))}{\max_{1 \leq i \leq N(\varepsilon)} \mu^u(B^u(y_j, \overline{C}\varepsilon))} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{k(\varepsilon)} \ln \frac{\min_{1 \leq i \leq N(\varepsilon)} \mu(B(y, \varepsilon/3))}{\mu(B(x, \varepsilon))}. \end{aligned}$$

As above, the first term tends to the sum of the positive Lyapunov exponents, and the last two ones vanish as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

Corollary 1. *If the assumptions of the above theorem are satisfied and if ν is an SBR measure for f (see [8]), then the left-hand side of (3) is $h_\nu(f)$.*

The authors are deeply indebted to D. Burago for useful comments.

References

- [1] M. Brin, A. Katok. On local entropy. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1007, 30–38. Springer, Berlin, 1983.

- [2] B.M. Gurevich. Geometric interpretation of entropy for random processes, *Sinai's Moscow Seminar on Dynamical Systems*, Bunimovich, L.A., Gurevich, B.M., and Pesin, Ya.B., Eds., Providence, R.I.: Amer. Math. Soc., 1996, 81–87.
- [3] B. Gurevich, S. Komech. On evolution of small spheres in the phase space of a dynamical system ESAIM: PROC, 2011, to appear.
- [4] A. Katok. Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms. *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.*, 51 (1980), 137–173.
- [5] A. Katok, *Fifty years of entropy in dynamics: 1958–2007*. J. Mod. Dyn. 1 (2007), no. 4, 545–596.
- [6] A. Katok, B. Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.
- [7] S.A. Komech. Boundary distortion rate in synchronized systems: geometrical meaning of entropy (Russian). *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii*, 48 (2012), no. 1, 15-25.
- [8] F. Ledrappier, L.-S. Young. The metric entropy of diffeomorphisms. I. Characterization of measures satisfying Pesin's entropy formula. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 122 (1985), no. 3, 509–539.
- [9] Ledrappier, F.; Young, L.-S. The metric entropy of diffeomorphisms.II. Relations between entropy, exponents and dimension. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 122 (1985), no. 3, 540–574.
- [10] Ya. Pesin. Characteristic exponents and smooth ergodic theory. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 32 (1977), no. 4, 55–114.
- [11] F. Przytycki, M. Urban'ski, *Conformal Fractals: Ergodic Theory Methods*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, 371, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2010.