

# SN 2011hw: Helium-Rich Circumstellar Gas and the Luminous Blue Variable to Wolf-Rayet Transition in Supernova Progenitors

Nathan Smith<sup>1\*</sup>, Jon C. Mauerhan<sup>1</sup>, Jeffrey M. Silverman<sup>2</sup>, Mohan Ganeshalingam<sup>2</sup>, Alexei V. Filippenko<sup>2</sup>, S. Bradley Cenko<sup>2</sup>, Kelsey I. Clubb<sup>2</sup>, and Michael Kandrashoff<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Astronomy, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3411, USA*

Accepted 0000, Received 0000, in original form 0000

## ABSTRACT

We present optical photometry and spectroscopy of the peculiar Type II<sub>n</sub>/Ib<sub>n</sub> supernova (SN) 2011hw. Its light curve exhibits a slower decline rate than normal SNe Ibc, with a peak absolute magnitude of  $-19.5$  (unfiltered) and a secondary peak 20–30 days later of  $-18.3$  mag ( $R$ ). Spectra of SN 2011hw are highly unusual compared to normal SN types, most closely resembling the spectra of SNe Ib<sub>n</sub>. We center our analysis on comparing SN 2011hw to the well-studied Type Ib<sub>n</sub> SN 2006jc. While the two SNe have many important similarities, the differences are quite telling: compared to SN 2006jc, SN 2011hw has weaker He I and Ca II lines and relatively stronger H lines, its light curve exhibits a higher visual-wavelength luminosity and slower decline rate, and emission lines associated with the progenitor’s circumstellar material (CSM) are narrower. One can reproduce the unusual continuum shape of SN 2011hw with roughly equal contributions of a 6000 K blackbody and a spectrum of SN 2006jc. We attribute this blackbody-like emission component and many other differences between the two SNe to extra opacity from a small amount of additional H in SN 2011hw, analogous to the small H mass that makes SNe II<sub>b</sub> differ from SNe Ib. Slower speeds in the CSM and somewhat elevated H content suggest a connection between the progenitor of SN 2011hw and the class of Ofpe/WN9 stars, which have been associated with luminous blue variables (LBVs) in their hot quiescent phases between outbursts, and are H-poor — but not H-free like classical Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars. Thus, we conclude that the similarities and differences between SN 2011hw and SN 2006jc can be largely understood if their progenitors happened to explode at different points in the transitional evolution from an LBV to a WR star.

**Key words:** ISM: jets and outflows — supernovae: general — supernovae: individual (SN 2011hw)

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The diverse class of Type II<sub>n</sub> supernovae (SNe) provides some of our most puzzling clues about the immediate pre-SN evolution of massive stars. SNe II<sub>n</sub> are known for their namesake narrow and intermediate-width hydrogen emission lines that usually dominate the spectrum (see Filippenko 1997 for a review of SN spectral types), and which arise from the forward shock of the SN interacting with dense circumstellar

material (CSM). The very dense and massive CSM around some of the most luminous SNe requires massive episodic shell ejections shortly before core collapse (see, e.g., Chugai et al. 2004; Woosley et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2008a, 2010; van Marle et al. 2010), the physical parameters of which most closely resemble the giant eruptions of luminous blue variables (LBVs) like  $\eta$  Carinae (Smith & Owocki 2006; Smith et al. 2003).


Although the idea that stars in the LBV phase could undergo core collapse was not expected from stellar evolution models, the LBV/SN II<sub>n</sub> connection inferred from proper-

\* Email: nathans@as.arizona.edu

ties of the CSM is reinforced by the detection of luminous LBV-like progenitors of three SNe IIn (Gal-Yam & Leonard 2009; Smith et al. 2011a,b; Kochanek et al. 2011).<sup>1</sup> The core-collapse explosion of LBVs violates expectations of standard stellar evolution models, where massive stars are supposed to undergo only a very brief ( $10^4$ – $10^5$  yr) transitional LBV phase, and then spend 0.5–1 Myr in the core-He burning Wolf-Rayet (WR) phase before finally exploding as normal SNe Ibc (Meynet et al. 1994; Heger et al. 2003). In this paper we discuss a SN whose progenitor star suffered core collapse while apparently still in the transition from an LBV to a WR star. Combined with the LBV-like progenitors of SNe IIn, this underscores the notion that stellar evolution models are missing essential aspects of the end stages of massive stars.

An interesting extension of the Type IIn phenomenon was most dramatically illustrated by SN 2006jc (Foley et al. 2007; Pastorello et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2008b), which exhibited spectral signatures of strong CSM interaction. Spectra of SN 2006jc had relatively narrow lines similar to those of SNe IIn, but seen mainly in He I emission lines — there was only a trace amount of H in the spectrum. It is therefore referred to as a “Type Ibn” event or a peculiar Type Ib, instead of a Type IIn. SN 2006jc has been studied in detail over a wide range of wavelengths (Foley et al. 2007; Pastorello et al. 2007, 2008a; Smith et al. 2008b; Immler et al. 2008; Tominaga et al. 2008; Nozawa et al. 2008; Di Carlo et al. 2008; Matilla et al. 2008; Anupama et al. 2009; Sakon et al. 2009; Chugai 2009). It has important implications for understanding the broader class of SNe IIn and Ibn with CSM interaction, because so far it is the only object that was actually observed to have a non-terminal LBV-like outburst just 2 yr prior to explosion (Itagaki et al. 2006; Pastorello et al. 2007). Prior to the example of SN 2006jc, LBV-like eruptions were not known to occur in H-poor/He-rich stars. In particular, the very short timescale of only 2 yr confirms conjectures from studies of SNe IIn that episodic bursts of mass loss (rather than steady winds) can occur immediately before core collapse. If the eruptive events that cause SNe IIn and Ibn are indeed synchronised with core collapse, this may provide clues to the nature of the underlying mechanism (see, e.g., Woosley et al. 2007; Quataert & Shiode 2012).

While Type IIn explosions make up 8–9% of all core-collapse SNe in the Lick Observatory Supernova Search sample (Smith et al. 2011c; Li et al. 2011), the Type Ibn events like SN 2006jc represent a substantially smaller fraction. The “peculiar” Type Ibc supernovae, which constitute 4% of the same sample, included some examples of SN 2006jc-like objects but also had other unusual objects, so the fraction of SN 2006jc-like events is likely to be around 1% or less of all core-collapse SNe. This agrees with an independent estimate of the fraction of SN Ibn events by Pastorello et al. (2008a). Given their rare occurrence, additional examples are valuable to demonstrate the diversity of the subclass. Other suggested members of the Type Ibn subclass are SN 2002ao, SN 1999cq, and SN 2000er (Matheson et al. 2000; Foley et al. 2007; Pastorello et al. 2008a). SN 2005la had prominent



**Figure 1.** (Top:) Optical  $B$ ,  $R$ , and  $I$  photometry of SN 2011hw obtained with the 1 m Nickel telescope at Lick Observatory (filled dots; see Table 1). Unfiltered photometry (marked “u.f.”) from early reports (Dintinjana & Mikuz 2011) is included for comparison; these are not the result of our observations. The thin solid lines show the  $B$ ,  $R$ , and  $I$  light curves of SN 2006jc (from Foley et al. 2007), and the grey dot-dashed line shows the  $R$  light curve of SN 1998S (Fassia et al. 2000), both as they would appear at the same distance and with the same extinction as SN 2011hw. Triangles show the dates on which we obtained spectra of SN 2011hw. (Bottom:) The observed  $B - R$  colour curve of SN 2011hw (solid black dots) compared to the same for the SN Ibn 2006jc (blue dashed line), the SN II-P 1999em (red dotted line; Leonard et al. 2002), and the SN IIn 1998S (grey dot-dashed).

narrow H and He lines, but may be a transitional case between SNe IIn and Ibn (Pastorello et al. 2008b).<sup>2</sup>

SN 2011hw provides another case of the Type Ibn phenomenon. It was discovered on 2011 Nov. 18.7 (UT dates are used throughout this paper) by Dintinjana & Mikuz (2011), occurring in an anonymous host galaxy whose nucleus was about  $8''$  (3.5–4 kpc) away from the SN position. The explosion date is not well constrained by pre-discovery upper limits, with the most recent pre-discovery upper limit in December 2010 (Dintinjana & Mikuz 2011). Valenti et al. (2011) obtained the first spectrum and noted the narrow He I emission lines. They suggested a similarity to the transitional SN IIn/Ibn 2005la (Pastorello et al. 2008b).

<sup>2</sup> Of these suggested members of the Type Ibn class, only SN 1999cq and SN 2002ao showed an unusual continuum shape and line ratios similar to those of SN 2006jc. SN 2000er and SN 2005la have prominent He I lines, but these are seen in some SNe IIn like SN 1988Z and SN 2005ip, so it remains unclear if SN 2000er and SN 2005la should be considered as part of the same class as SN 2006jc.

<sup>1</sup> Note, however, that the candidate progenitor of SN 2010jl could also be a young star cluster because this SN has not yet faded.

**Table 1.** Lick Photometry of SN 2011hw

JD	B	err	R	err	I	err
	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)	(mag)
2455898.5	17.07	0.11	16.97	0.12	16.55	0.11
2455901.5	17.00	0.11	16.91	0.12	16.48	0.11
2455905.5	16.98	0.12	16.89	0.12	...	...
2455912.5	17.11	0.12	17.01	0.12	16.56	0.11
2455924.5	...	...	17.65	0.12	...	...
2455928.5	18.06	0.12	17.85	0.12	17.35	0.11
2455933.5	18.31	0.12	18.10	0.12	17.54	0.12
2455936.5	18.39	0.15	18.13	0.28	17.58	0.35
2455943.5	18.85	0.12	18.64	0.12	...	0.12

**Table 2.** Spectroscopic observations of SN 2011hw

Date	Tel./Inst.	Day	Range (Å)	$\lambda/\Delta\lambda$
2011 Nov. 26	Lick/Kast	7	3436–9920	1400, 900
2011 Dec. 02	Keck/LRIS	13	3362–5630	1400
2011 Dec. 02	Keck/LRIS	13	5740–7390	2200
2011 Dec. 18	Lick/Kast	29	3436–9920	1400, 900
2011 Dec. 19	Lick/Kast	30	3436–9920	1400, 900
2011 Dec. 26	Keck/LRIS	37	3362–5630	1400
2011 Dec. 26	Keck/LRIS	37	5740–7390	2200
2012 Jan. 03	Lick/Kast	47	3436–9920	1400, 900
2012 Jan. 03	MMT/B.C.	47	5550–7500	4500
2012 Jan. 19	MMT/B.C.	63	3820–8998	500

While both SN 2011hw and SN 2005la do exhibit strong He I emission lines, our spectral comparison below suggests that SN 2011hw is much more closely related to SN 2006jc, with only a small increase in the amount of H present in the CSM. We suggest that the similarities and differences between SN 2011hw and SN 2006jc can be understood if their progenitors were at different points in the transitional evolution from an LBV to a WR star when they exploded.

## 2 OBSERVATIONS

Following the discovery of SN 2011hw, we obtained *B*, *R*, and *I*-band photometry using the 1 m Nickel telescope at Lick Observatory. The background emission of the rather compact host galaxy was problematic for simple aperture photometry. This was particularly true for images obtained under relatively poor seeing conditions, when the galaxy nucleus and SN image began to partially overlap, and for the late-time epochs when the SN became faint. Thus, we employed the IDL Starfinder code to perform point-spread function (PSF) fitting photometry to extract the SN flux. For each epoch, a PSF model was constructed using 5 suitably bright, isolated field stars. The SN was automatically recovered by the Starfinder code in all epochs and the galaxy was modeled as local background. The photometric zero point was derived from the USNO-*B* photometry of the PSF stars and two fainter field stars (after converting the magnitude values to the Johnson system). The photometric uncertainties were taken as the standard deviation of the SN magnitude values derived by comparison with each of the individual calibration stars. Our resulting *BRI* magnitudes are listed in Table 1 and plotted in Figure 1.

We also began a spectroscopic monitoring campaign using the Kast spectrograph (Miller & Stone 1993) mounted

on the 3 m Shane reflector at Lick Observatory, the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS; Oke et al. 1995) mounted on the Keck I 10 m telescope, and the Bluechannel spectrograph on the Multiple Mirror Telescope (MMT). The spectra were generally obtained at low airmass or with an atmospheric dispersion corrector; otherwise, the parallactic angle (Filippenko 1982) was used to minimise chromatic differential slit losses. Our data reduction followed standard techniques as described by Silverman et al. (2012). Our spectroscopic observations are summarised in Table 2, and an overview of the spectra is shown in Figure 2.

Most of the data had moderate resolution ( $\lambda/\Delta\lambda \approx 900$  and 1400 for red and blue sides of the Kast spectra, respectively) and covered a large wavelength range, but we also obtained a few epochs with higher resolution ( $R \approx 2200$  and 4500 with Keck/LRIS and MMT/Bluechannel, respectively). These higher resolution spectra are particularly interesting with regard to the narrow lines from slow circumstellar gas, as discussed below.


We display the high-resolution day 47 MMT spectrum in Figure 3. One can detect absorption from the Na I D doublet at the velocity of SN 2011hw, seen as an absorption notch on the red wing of the He I  $\lambda 5876$  profile. This is not necessarily interstellar-medium absorption that can be associated with dust, however, since these absorption lines are weaker or absent in some of our earlier spectra, and there appear to be Na I D emission components as well (Figures 2 and 3). The resulting P Cygni profile suggests that the Na I D is circumstellar, rather than interstellar. This and the very blue colour of SN 2011hw are why we do not assume any additional local reddening and extinction in our analysis below, although it is difficult to rule out the presence of some additional local dust that may not be traced by Na I D absorption.

## 3 DISCUSSION


### 3.1 Light-Curve Evolution

As noted in the Introduction, the host of SN 2011hw is an anonymous galaxy with an unknown distance. In our spectra, we measure a redshift of  $z = 0.023$  from the narrow-line components in SN 2011hw, which implies a distance  $D = 96.2$  Mpc (assuming  $H_0 = 73$  km s $^{-1}$  Mpc $^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega_m = 0.27$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$ ). Throughout this paper, we adopt  $E(B - V) = 0.115$  mag (Schlegel et al. 1998) for the value of the line-of-sight Galactic reddening (all spectra are corrected for this value). We do not adopt any additional local extinction, although we cannot rule out the presence of dust that may be local to SN 2011hw. With these parameters, the peak apparent unfiltered magnitude of  $\sim 15.7$  at the time of discovery corresponds to a peak absolute unfiltered (approximately *R*-band) magnitude of  $-19.5$ . This is about 1.5 mag more luminous than SN 2006jc (Fig. 1; see Foley et al. 2007).

The luminosity evolution between discovery and the start of our photometric monitoring is unclear. SN 2011hw appears to have declined quickly from its initial peak, but then leveled off with a plateau or secondary peak at 20–30 days in our photometry, with an absolute *R* magnitude of about  $-18.3$ . Thereafter, SN 2011hw faded at a relatively



**Figure 2.** Optical spectra of SN 2011hw, with the days after discovery indicated (see Table 2). The vertical dashed line marks the wavelength of H $\alpha$ . Note that all spectra have been dereddened by the presumed Galactic value of  $E(B - V) = 0.115$  mag.



**Figure 3.** High-resolution day 47 MMT spectrum of SN 2011hw, compared to a high-resolution spectrum of SN 2005ip from Smith et al. (2009).

constant rate of  $0.050 \pm 0.002$  mag  $d^{-1}$  in the  $R$  band (Fig. 1), slower than the  $0.086 \pm 0.003$  mag  $d^{-1}$   $R$ -band decline rate of SN 2006jc before it reached its radioactive decay tail. Our photometry ends at about day 60, so we do not have a reliable estimate of the  $^{56}\text{Co}$  radioactive decay-tail luminosity. This does not provide a useful upper limit to the mass of  $^{56}\text{Ni}$ , since much of the luminosity even at this late phase might be dominated by CSM interaction.

A possible explanation of the early light curve is that the secondary peak might be additional luminosity from CSM interaction if the shock overran a denser portion of its CSM shell, as seen in the late turn-on of SN 2008iy (Miller et al. 2010), although SN 2011hw would be a far less extreme case of this. In Figure 1 we show that the light curve of SN 2011hw has a luminosity and decline rate similar to those of the well-studied SN IIn 1998S (Fassia et al. 2000), in which CSM interaction with a dense shell was prominent.


Pastorello et al. (2008a) infer that SNe IIn fade quickly because they do not have substantial luminosity from CSM interaction. From SN 2011hw, we conjecture that this fast fading of other SNe IIn may be the result of very low H abundance, with the lower opacity allowing more of their CSM interaction luminosity to escape at shorter wavelengths. On the other hand, with substantial H opacity, SNe IIn have characteristic emitting temperatures around 6000 K and emit much of their CSM-interaction luminosity at visual wavelengths. UV spectroscopy of future examples of SNe IIn could therefore be quite interesting, to test if their lower H abundance allows much of the CSM interaction luminosity to escape in the UV.

The  $B - R$  colour curve of SN 2011hw is similar to that of SN 2006jc, but is more constant with time, and both are significantly bluer than the colour curve of a normal SN II-P represented by SN 1999em or of a SN IIn like SN 1998S (Fig. 1). The colour of SN 2011hw is in fact remarkably constant during the time period over which we observed it, rising slowly by only  $\lesssim 0.1$  mag, from roughly 0.1 to 0.2 mag in  $B - R$  over  $\sim 50$  days. The blue colour is dominated by the blue/near-UV excess that closely resembles the shape of the spectrum in SN 2006jc (see §3.4). A similar excess was seen in the SN IIn 2005ip, where the narrower lines showed that the blue/near-UV “continuum” bump may be due to a blend of many emission lines formed in the CSM or in the post-shock gas (Smith et al. 2009).

In a normal SN II-P or SN IIn, the redder colour is determined by the  $\sim 6500$  K continuum that arises from the H-recombination photosphere. In SN 2011hw and SN 2006jc, we suspect that the prominence of the blue bump is due to the lower continuum opacity that follows from the lower H abundance. In cases like SN 2005ip where  $\text{H}\alpha$  is extremely strong, a lower effective opacity may arise instead from a highly clumped CSM (see Smith et al. 2009; Chugai & Danziger 1994).

### 3.2 Spectral Evolution

Overall, one can see from Figure 2 that the character of the spectrum changed very little as SN 2011hw faded by almost 2 mag. Notable features of the spectrum are the broad blue/near-UV bump in the continuum (or pseudo continuum), the moderately strong narrow emission lines of He I and  $\text{H}\alpha$ , and a large number of weaker narrow (few  $10^2$  km




**Figure 4.** (a) Measured line fluxes of He I  $\lambda 5876$ ,  $\lambda 6678$ ,  $\lambda 7065$ , and  $\text{H}\alpha$  ( $\text{H}\alpha$  is divided by 100 for display) from our spectra of SN 2011hw (black dots and solid lines) and SN 2006jc (red squares and dashed lines;  $\text{H}\alpha$  in SN 2006jc is also divided by 100). (b) Flux ratios of He I lines for the same two SNe. Data for SN 2006jc are from Smith et al. (2008b).

$s^{-1}$ ) and intermediate-width (few  $10^3$  km  $s^{-1}$ ) emission lines throughout the spectrum. The continuum shape and relative strength of He I and H lines remained largely constant.

One systematic change in our spectra is that as SN 2011hw fades during the first 60 days, we see a gradual increase in the relative strength of several narrow and intermediate-width lines (Figure 2). A number of narrow lines that are not present in our first spectrum on day 7 gradually gain in strength at later times. Figure 3 displays a comparison of our high-resolution day 47 spectrum of SN 2011hw and a similar spectrum of SN 2005ip, which reveals that a number of these same narrow lines are high-ionization coronal lines. While the two spectra have important differences (especially in  $\text{H}\alpha$ ), one can see that several of the same lines are present in both objects, including lines of [Fe IV], [Fe V], [Fe VI], [Fe VII], [Fe X], [Ar X], etc. As noted by Smith et al. (2009), many of these lines are commonly seen in active galactic nuclei as well. This indicates that as in SN 2005ip, a substantial fraction of X-rays from the post-shock region are able to penetrate well ahead of the shock into the CSM. This may be due either to the lower H opacity, clumping, or both (see Smith et al. 2009).

Figure 4 displays the evolution with time of the mea-



**Figure 5.** The left, middle, and right panels show line profiles of He I  $\lambda 5876$ , H $\alpha$ , and He I  $\lambda 7065$ , respectively, as observed in our higher resolution Keck/LRIS and MMT/Bluechannel spectra of SN 2011hw (see Table 2). Spectra of SN 2011hw at days 13, 37, and 47 are in black, while the line profiles in a spectrum of SN 2006jc (day 44) are shown in orange for comparison.

sured He I and H $\alpha$  emission-line strengths in SN 2011hw, as compared to the same lines in SN 2006jc (Smith et al. 2008b). In general, the observed behaviour is remarkably similar in the two SNe. The line flux of H $\alpha$  in the two SNe is nearly identical, whereas the He I lines are a factor of 5–10 weaker in SN 2011hw. This is probably due to a combination of a lower He/H abundance ratio in SN 2011hw, as well as a radiative transfer effect wherein a corresponding increase in the H opacity may absorb much of the harder radiation needed to excite the He I lines.

Aside from this relatively weaker He I emission in SN 2011hw, the evolution in time of the strength of He I emission lines (Figure 4a) is very similar in SN 2011hw and SN 2006jc. Moreover, the evolution in time of the line ratios of He I  $\lambda 7065/\lambda 5876$  and  $\lambda 6678/\lambda 5876$  (Figure 4b) is nearly identical for both SNe. The He I  $\lambda 7065/\lambda 5876$  ratio is particularly interesting, as ratios rising from  $\sim 0.5$  at early times to  $\sim 1$  at later times indicate very high electron densities approaching  $10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  in the post-shock shell (Almog & Netzer 1989). Densities near  $10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  represent a critical value for the nucleation of dust (e.g., Clayton 1979), providing that the gas can cool sufficiently. In the case of SN 2006jc, these very high densities were accompanied by the copious formation of dust grains in the post-shock shell, indicated by a simultaneous rising near-IR excess from hot dust, increased optical extinction, and a systematic blueshift of emission-line profiles (Smith et al. 2008b). Although we lack IR photometry to check for this effect in SN 2011hw,

we do not yet see the systematic blueshift of line profiles in SN 2011hw (see Figure 5) that would be considered evidence of new dust forming in the post-shock region.

The reason for the difference may be that at times around day 50 after explosion (when the density apparently climbs to the critical value for dust formation in both SNe), SN 2011hw was about 10 times more luminous than SN 2006jc at the same epoch. Therefore, while the carbon-rich gas was able to condense to grains with a temperature of 1700 K in SN 2006jc (Smith et al. 2008b), the equilibrium temperature was probably still too high in the case of SN 2011hw due to its higher luminosity. If the density remains high enough for grains to form, the temperature should become low enough for this to occur at around day 90–100, assuming that SN 2011hw continues to fade at the same rate. Because the position of SN 2011hw became too close to the Sun, we could not continue obtaining spectra after day 63; it will be interesting to see if the emission-line profiles become asymmetric when SN 2011hw is observable again. We note that in the case of SN 2005ip, which also showed evidence for dust formation despite its high-excitation spectrum, the IR excess and line-profile evidence of dust appeared when the SN faded from its main luminosity peak (see Smith et al. 2009; Fox et al. 2010).

### 3.3 Speeds of the Shocked and Unshocked CSM

Figure 5 shows moderately high-resolution line profiles of He I  $\lambda$ 5876, H $\alpha$ , and He I  $\lambda$ 7065 plotted as a function of velocity at three epochs for SN 2011hw (black) and SN 2006jc (orange). The full width at half-maximum intensity (FWHM) values of the intermediate-width component of these lines are about  $1900 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  in SN 2011hw, compared to about  $3000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  in SN 2006jc. This component likely represents a slower speed for the post-shock gas in SN 2011hw, perhaps resulting from a lower energy explosion or more massive CSM (the hypothesis of more massive CSM would be more consistent with the higher luminosity of SN 2011hw). The slower shock speed may be partly responsible for the weaker He I lines in SN 2011hw as compared to SN 2006jc, since these lines require high excitation.

In addition to the slightly narrower width of the intermediate-width component, SN 2011hw also shows a much narrower emission-line component that is absent in spectra of SN 2006jc. Several of these narrow emission lines exhibit narrow P-Cygni absorption features. Measured in both He I  $\lambda$ 5876 and H $\alpha$ , this blueshifted P Cyg absorption trough runs from roughly  $-80$  to  $-250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  in the day 47 MMT spectrum (the P-Cygni absorption is not as prominent in He I  $\lambda$ 7065). The narrow absorption velocities appear marginally slower in the day 37 spectrum, although this spectrum has lower signal to noise ratio. The blue edge of the P-Cygni absorption therefore indicates a likely maximum speed in the pre-shock stellar wind of the SN 2011hw progenitor of around  $250 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . In SN 2006jc, the speed of the progenitor’s wind was thought to be a few thousand  $\text{km s}^{-1}$  (Pastorello et al. 2007; Foley et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2008a), appropriate for the wind speed of a compact WR star. By comparison, the ten-times-slower CSM speed in SN 2011hw is much slower than expected for a compact massive star progenitor with a H-depleted, He-rich wind. In other words, the progenitor of SN 2011hw was probably not a classical WR star. We return to this topic in §3.6 below.

### 3.4 Origin of the Blue Continuum

The overall appearance of the optical spectrum of SN 2011hw is dominated by a large number of weak intermediate-width and narrow emission lines (discussed above), superposed on a very irregularly shaped continuum that cannot be reproduced by a combination of blackbody components. Qualitatively, the shape of the underlying continuum of SN 2011hw resembles that of SN 2006jc, except that the corrugations in the spectrum and the blue excess emission seem more muted in SN 2011hw. We therefore experimented with diluting the spectrum of SN 2006jc using a smooth continuum component to match the lower contrast of the features in SN 2011hw. We found that by diluting the strong features in the spectrum of SN 2006jc with a 6000 K blackbody (equal contributions of each in the red continuum), we can attain a close match to the shape and strength of the blue continuum seen in SN 2011hw (Figure 6). Some emission features in the SN 2006jc spectrum are still stronger in this comparison, most notably the narrow He I emission lines and the Ca II near-infrared triplet. The Ca II triplet strengthens at later times in SN 2011hw (Figure 2), so this difference is likely due to different phases


in the spectral evolution. Ignoring these, the spectra match surprisingly well.

Thus, whatever the origin of the blue/near-UV continuum bump may be in SN 2011hw, it is likely to have the same origin as in SN 2006jc. Previously, we speculated that the blue continuum in SN 2006jc was actually a pseudo-continuum caused by the blending of a large number of broad and intermediate-width fluorescent emission lines that are mostly from Fe (Foley et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2008b). These lines produced enhanced blue flux shortward of  $4700 \text{ \AA}$ , as well as broad bumps at  $4900\text{--}5400 \text{ \AA}$  and at  $6100\text{--}6600 \text{ \AA}$ . Chugai (2009) showed that the “continuum” of SN 2006jc could indeed be produced by a large number of blended CSM lines, as postulated earlier. This idea received additional support in the case of SN 2005ip (Smith et al. 2009), which had a similar overall shape for its blue excess emission — but in the case of SN 2005ip, the lines were narrower and it was easier to see that the pseudo-continuum actually broke up into a large number of emission lines. As with the presence of narrow high-excitation coronal lines (discussed above), the blue/near-UV excess might be a consequence of a lower effective optical depth in the CSM, allowing more of the hard photons from the post-shock region to penetrate farther into the CSM. The lower effective optical depth, in turn, may be due either to a lower H abundance (compared to normal SNe IIn) or to clumping in the CSM, or both.

The continuum component that provides the best match when we dilute the SN 2006jc spectrum is a 6000 K blackbody, as noted above. Temperatures lower than 5300 K and above 6800 K yielded significant discrepancies in the overall continuum shape when compared to SN 2011hw. The fact that the best-matching temperature is around 6000 K is significant. Apparent continuum shapes that match temperatures of 6000–7000 K are typical in the spectra of SNe IIn that are fading after their main luminosity peak (see Smith et al. 2010). This is probably due to the temperature of the recombination photosphere within the cold dense shell of a SN IIn (Smith et al. 2008a; Dessart et al. 2009), similar to the apparent temperatures in normal SNe II-P (e.g., Dessart & Hillier 2011). The temperature of  $\sim 6000 \text{ K}$  therefore suggests that the difference in contrast of broad continuum features between SN 2011hw and SN 2006jc is the result of an increase in opacity from a small additional amount of H in the CSM of SN 2011hw. The elevated H opacity may also explain why the Ca II IR triplet strengthened later (days 47–63) than in the case of SN 2006jc (already present on day 13), if the Ca II emitting region was behind the photosphere until later times. The role of H abundance is discussed further below.

### 3.5 Influence of the H Abundance in CSM Interaction

SN 2006jc was our first well-observed example of a core-collapse SN interacting with dense H-poor/He-rich CSM (see Foley et al. 2007; Pastorello et al. 2007, 2008a; Smith et al. 2008b; Immler et al. 2008), and it showed significant differences in many observed properties compared to more common cases of H-rich CSM interaction in SNe IIn. SN 2011hw was very similar to SN 2006jc, but appears to have an elevated H abundance. The differences between SN 2006jc and SN 2011hw therefore permit us to understand the impact



**Figure 6.** Comparison of the optical spectra of SN 2011hw on days 29 and 30 (black) to the spectrum of SN 2006jc on day 51 (dark red) from Smith et al. (2008b). The thick orange spectrum is a composite made by adding the SN 2006jc spectrum to a 6000 K blackbody with equal contributions (each contributes about half of the red continuum flux).

of adding a small amount of H to otherwise H-poor CSM being overrun by a SN shock. Although many apparent consequences of a slightly elevated H abundance have been discussed in the various sections above, we briefly list them again here. This is meant to underscore how the hypothesis of a slightly elevated H abundance can explain the observed differences between SN 2011hw and SN 2006jc in a self-consistent way. For each, we describe the way in which the H abundance may act to cause the observed change.

(1) *Relatively stronger  $H\alpha$  and weaker He I emission lines in SN 2011hw.* The stronger  $H\alpha$ /He I ratio may obviously be a direct result of an elevated H abundance, but there is likely an effect that extra opacity provided by H may provide additional cooling and may also absorb much of the Lyman continuum radiation required to excite the He I lines.

(2) *The higher luminosity in the secondary peak 20–30 days after discovery in SN 2011hw, and the subsequent slower decline rate than SN 2006jc.* In §3.1 (Figure 1), we discussed how SN 2011hw has a bump in its light curve 20–30 days after discovery, and at that time has a substantially higher luminosity than SN 2006jc. Moreover, it fades from this peak at a slower rate than SN 2006jc fades from its main peak, comparable to the fading rate of a SN II<sub>n</sub> like SN 1998s (Figure 1). The stronger continuum opacity from H may allow a larger resulting CSM-interaction luminosity from SN 2011hw at visual wavelengths, whereas the lower H abundance in SN 2006jc may have allowed it to emit a larger fraction of its CSM luminosity in the UV and X-rays (e.g., Chugai 2009; Immler et al. 2008).


(3) *The 6000 K blackbody component of the continuum in SN 2011hw.* Spectra of SN 2006jc exhibit a strong

blue/near-UV excess and undulations in the continuum throughout the visual-wavelength range. Similar features are seen in the spectrum of SN 2011hw, but their contrast is muted compared to SN 2006jc. In §3.5, we showed that the continuum of SN 2011hw could be matched by using a spectrum of SN 2006jc diluted with a  $\sim 6000$  K blackbody, with roughly equal contributions of both in the red continuum (Figure 6). This additional continuum emission component is closely related to the higher overall optical luminosity in SN 2011hw, and the 6000 K temperature is an important clue that it is extra opacity from H that causes this additional contribution.

(4) *Narrower CSM lines in SN 2011hw.* It is generally true that stars with substantial amounts of H present in their outer envelopes have much larger radii than stars of similar luminosity and mass having no H. Pertinent examples are that blue supergiants and LBVs usually have stellar radii  $\sim 10$  times larger than H-depleted WR stars of similar initial mass and luminosity. The larger radius translates to a lower escape velocity from the star’s surface, and consequently, a lower value for the observed wind speeds for stars with H envelopes. Thus, it is likely that the narrower CSM lines in SN 2011hw as compared to SN 2006jc (§3.4) may be attributable to the progenitor’s relatively higher H content. This difference is also closely related to a different stage of evolution for the two progenitors (see §3.6 below).

(5) *Later appearance of the Ca II IR triplet.* While strong and relatively broad emission from the Ca II IR triplet was seen in our first (day 13) spectrum of SN 2006jc (Foley et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2008b), these same Ca II lines did not begin to strengthen in our spectra of SN 2011hw until





**Figure 7.** Comparison of the spectra of SN 2011hw and SN 2006jc (same as in earlier figures) to two types of evolved stars. At the bottom we show the spectrum of the Ofpe/WN9 star HDE 269582 (a typical late-type WN star with H, also classified as WN10), and at the top we show a model spectrum of an early-type (H-free) WN star. This illustrates the similarity between the narrow lines in the Ofpe/WN9 star and the narrow CSM lines in SN 2011hw, and also the corresponding similarity between the broad-line profiles of SN 2006jc and a H-free WR star. The individual line ratios and continua in the spectra obviously differ between the SNe and stellar spectra. The spectrum of HDE 269582 is from the OB Zoo (Walborn & Fitzpatrick 2000; see <http://ftp.astronomy.villanova.edu/>), and the WR star is a model spectrum of a He star with  $T_{\text{eff}} = 45,000$  K from the Potsdam grid of WR models (Hamann et al. 2004).

day 47. They became the strongest lines in the day 63 spectrum. If these Ca II emission lines arise from SN ejecta crossing the reverse shock, they could have been hidden behind the SN photosphere at early times in SN 2011hw because the elevated H abundance caused higher optical depths at larger radii. In other words, the absence of the Ca II lines at early times is a consequence of the same effect that causes SN 2011hw to be more luminous and to fade more slowly than SN 2006jc, as discussed in point (2) above.

All of the observed differences between SN 2011hw and SN 2006jc described above can be plausibly attributed to a somewhat higher H abundance in the CSM and progenitor envelope of SN 2011hw. We suspect that this is indeed the case, but it would be interesting to pursue this hypothesis with radiation-hydrodynamic simulations of CSM interaction using a range of H abundances. Such numerical work is also needed to provide a quantitative constraint on the actual H/He abundance ratio. In the absence of such simulations, we draw an analogy with the differences in SNe Ib and IIb, suggesting that even a small amount of H ( $\lesssim 0.1 M_{\odot}$ ; Hachinger et al. 2012) could have a large impact on the resulting emission from H-depleted CSM interaction.

### 3.6 The LBV to WR Transition in SN Progenitors

The observational evidence discussed above establishes that in many respects SN 2011hw was very similar to SN 2006jc.

Both exhibited evidence for shock interaction with very dense He-rich CSM that requires episodic, eruptive mass loss from the progenitor shortly before core collapse. We argued that several key differences between the two can be understood as a result of SN 2011hw having somewhat more H in its CSM, while still maintaining a low H/He ratio compared to SNe IIc.

From our analysis, we find that this body of evidence suggests that the progenitor of SN 2011hw was probably a late-type WN star with H or a member of the Ofpe/WN9 class of stars (Bohannon & Walborn 1989; Crowther et al. 1995; Bianchi et al. 2004). These stars tend to have slower winds than classical early-type (H-free) WR stars — i.e., a few  $10^2$  km s $^{-1}$  instead of several  $10^3$  km s $^{-1}$  (see Fig. 7). From studying the host clusters of Ofpe/WN9 stars, St.-Louis et al. (1997) find that they reside among populations with ages of 3–10 Myr, corresponding to initial masses of 17–100  $M_{\odot}$  if the Ofpe/WN9 stars are poised to explode.

Figure 7 compares the spectra of SN 2006jc and SN 2011hw to spectra of early-type WN and Ofpe/WN9 stars, respectively. This latter class of stars<sup>3</sup> is related to the WR stars in the sense that Ofpe/WN9 and other late-type WR stars with hydrogen in their spectra are gener-

<sup>3</sup> Note that Smith et al. (1994) assigned a different spectral class of WN10 or WN11 to this same group of stars, instead of the Ofpe/WN9 designation.

ally thought to represent a transitional phase between an LBV and a classical H-free WR star; like LBVs, they are often surrounded by circumstellar shells (Walborn 1982; Stahl 1987; Smith et al. 1994; Pasquali et al. 1999). From the point of view of expectations from stellar evolution models, it is therefore quite surprising to see this type of star undergo core collapse, because the models claim that these stars should just be starting core-He burning, and should still have another 0.5–1 Myr left to live.

This contradiction of standard expectations is a close parallel to the recent recognition that stars that look and behave like LBVs appear to be exploding to make SNe IIn, despite theoretical expectations, as discussed in the introduction. In fact, these two cases are even more closely related than this similarity, because there is actually a direct connection between LBVs and the class of Ofpe/WN9 stars. Based on classical LBVs like AG Car and R 127, it has been well established that some of the more massive LBVs are actually seen to have Ofpe/WN9 spectral types in their hotter quiescent phases between outbursts (Stahl 1986; Stahl et al. 1983). It is therefore generally thought that many of the other Ofpe/WN9 stars that have not been observed in eruption may in fact be quiescent or dormant LBVs that are not recognised as true LBVs simply because we were not looking at the right time, or perhaps that they are recent graduates of the LBV phase (Crowther et al. 1995; Bianchi et al. 2004; Massey et al. 2007). Correspondingly, Ofpe/WN9 stars (also referred to as “LBV candidates”) are often surrounded by massive shell nebulae that closely resemble LBV nebulae, as noted above.

Associating the progenitor of SN 2011hw with an Ofpe/WN9-like star is consistent with the higher H content in SN 2011hw, as compared to the progenitor of SN 2006jc, which seemed to be an early-type WR star with a faster H-poor wind. SN 2011hw therefore establishes a bridge between the H-poor progenitor of SN 2006jc and more H-rich LBVs (and correspondingly, a likely continuum from SNe IIn to SNe Ibn), justifying earlier suggestions that the eruptive progenitor of SN 2006jc may have been a star that recently left the LBV phase on the way to becoming a WR star (Foley et al. 2007). SN 2011hw, by comparison, was apparently not as far along in this journey when it underwent core collapse.

There is, of course, no reason to restrict one’s consideration of possible progenitors of SN 2011hw to single stars. Mass transfer in massive interacting binaries can also drive the transition from H-rich to He-rich stellar atmospheres and CSM. RY Scuti is an example of a massive binary caught in this phase (Grundstrom et al. 2007). It is an O9/B0 supergiant eclipsing binary and is surrounded by a very dense, compact nebula that is strongly He enriched, but not completely H free (Smith et al. 2002). Smith et al. (2011d) recently showed that RY Scuti’s surrounding CSM nebula was produced by a series of episodic mass ejections, similar in principle to those required to produce the dense CSM around SNe IIn and Ibn. RY Scuti is therefore a potential example of what a progenitor system of SN 2011hw might look like.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Peter Blanchard, Joshua Bloom, B. Y. Choi, Daniel Cohen, Michelle Mason, Adam Miller, Peter Nugent, and Andrew Wilkins for assistance with the observations. Some of the

data presented herein were obtained at the W. M. Keck Observatory, which is operated as a scientific partnership among the California Institute of Technology, the University of California and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration; the Observatory was made possible by the generous financial support of the W. M. Keck Foundation. The authors wish to recognise and acknowledge the very significant cultural role and reverence that the summit of Mauna Kea has always had within the indigenous Hawaiian community; we are most fortunate to have the opportunity to conduct observations from this mountain. We thank the staffs at the Lick, Keck, and MMT Observatories for their help during the observing runs. The research of A.V.F.’s group at U.C. Berkeley is supported by National Science Foundation grant AST-0908886, NASA/*Fermi* grant NNX10A057G, the TABASGO Foundation, Gary and Cynthia Bengier, and the Richard and Rhoda Goldman Fund.

## REFERENCES

- Almog Y., Netzer H. 1989, MNRAS, 238, 57  
 Anupama G.C., et al. 2009, MNRAS, 392, 894  
 Bianchi L., Bohlin R., Massey P. 2004, ApJ, 601, 228  
 Bohannan B., Walborn N.R. 1989, PASP, 101, 520  
 Chugai N.N. 2009, MNRAS, 400, 866  
 Chugai N.N., Danziger I.J., 1994, MNRAS, 268, 173  
 Chugai N.N., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 352, 1213  
 Clayton D.D. 1979, Ap&SS, 65, 179  
 Crowther P.A., Hillier D.J., Smith L.J. 1995, A&A, 293, 172  
 Dessart L., Hillier D.J. 2011, MNRAS, 410, 1739  
 Dessart L., Hillier D.J., Gezari S., Basa S., Matheson T. 2009, MNRAS, 394, 21  
 Di Carlo E., et al. 2008, ApJ, 684, 471  
 Dintinjana B., Mikuz H. 2011, CBET, 2906, 1  
 Fassia A., et al. 2000, MNRAS, 318, 1093  
 Filippenko A.V. 1982, PASP, 94, 715  
 Filippenko A.V. 1997, ARAA, 35, 309  
 Foley R.J., Smith N., Ganeshalingam M., Li W., Chornock R., Filippenko A.V. 2007, ApJ, 657, L105  
 Fox O.D., et al. 2010, ApJ, 725, 1768  
 Gal-Yam A., Leonard D.C. 2009, Nature, 458, 865  
 Grundstrom E.D., et al. 2007, ApJ, 667, 505  
 Hachinger S., et al. 2012, submitted (arXiv:1201.1506)  
 Hamann W.-R., Gräfener G. 2004, A&A, 427, 697  
 Heger A., Fryer C.L., Woosley S.E., Langer N., Hartmann D.H., 2003, ApJ, 591, 288  
 Immler S., et al. 2008, ApJ, 674, L85  
 Itagaki K., et al. 2006, IAUC, 8762, 1  
 Kochanek C.S., Szczygiel, D.M., Stanek, K.Z. 2011, ApJ, 737, 76  
 Leonard D.C., et al. 2002, PASP, 114, 35  
 Li W., et al. 2011, MNRAS, 412, 1441  
 Massey P., et al. 2007, AJ, 134, 2474  
 Matheson T., et al. 2000, AJ, 119, 2303  
 Matilla S., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 398, 114  
 Meynet G., Maeder A., Schaller G., Shaerer D., Charbonnel C. 1994, A&AS, 103, 97  
 Miller A.A., et al. 2010, MNRAS, 404, 305  
 Miller J.S., Stone R.P.S. 1993, Lick Obs. Tech. Rep. 66 (Santa Cruz: Lick Obs.)  
 Nozawa T., et al. 2008, ApJ, 684, 1343  
 Pasquali A., et al. 1999, A&A, 343, 536  
 Pastorello A., et al. 2007, Nature, 447, 829  
 Pastorello A., et al. 2008a, MNRAS, 389, 113  
 Pastorello A., et al. 2008b, MNRAS, 389, 131  
 Quataert E., Shiode J. 2012, submitted (arXiv:1202.5036)  
 Sakon I., et al. 2009, ApJ, 692, 546  
 Schlegel D.J., Finkbeiner D.P., Davis M. 1998, ApJ, 500, 525  
 Silverman J.M., et al. 2012, submitted (arXiv:1202.2118)  
 Smith L.J., Crowther P.A., Prinja R.K. 1994, A&A, 281, 833  
 Smith N., Chornock R., Li W., Ganeshalingam M., Silverman J.S., Foley R., Filippenko A.V., Barth A.J. 2008a, ApJ, 686, 467  
 Smith N., Foley R.J., Filippenko A.V. 2008b, ApJ, 680, 568  
 Smith N., Gehrz R.D., Stahl O., Balick B., Kaufer A. 2002, ApJ, 578, 464  
 Smith N., Li W., Filippenko A.V., Chornock R. 2011c, MNRAS, 412, 1522  
 Smith N., Li W., Silverman J.M., Ganeshalingam M., Filippenko A.V. 2011b, MNRAS, 415, 773  
 Smith N., Owocki S.P. 2006, ApJ, 645, L45  
 Smith N., et al. 2003, AJ, 125, 1458  
 Smith N., et al. 2009, ApJ, 695, 1334  
 Smith N., et al. 2010, ApJ, 709, 856

- Smith N., et al. 2011a, ApJ, 732, 63  
Smith N., et al. 2011d, MNRAS, 418, 1959  
Stahl O. 1986, A&A, 164, 321  
Stahl O. 1987, A&A, 182, 229  
Stahl O., et al. 1983, A&A, 127, 49  
St.-Louis N., Turbide L., Moffat A.F.J. 1997, in Luminous Blue Variables: Massive Stars in Transition, ed. A. Nota, H. Lamers (San Francisco: ASP), 187  
Tominaga N., et al. 2008, ApJ, 687, 1208  
Valenti S., et al. 2011, CBET, 2906, 1  
van Marle A.J., Smith N., Owocki S.P., van Veelen B. 2010, MNRAS, 407, 2305  
Walborn N.R. 1982, ApJ, 286, 452  
Walborn N.R., Fitzpatrick E.L. 2000, PASP, 112, 50  
Woosley S.E., Blinnikov S., Heger A. 2007, Nature, 450, 390