

WEIERSTRASS FILTRATION ON TEICHMÜLLER CURVES AND LYAPUNOV EXPONENTS

FEI YU AND KANG ZUO

ABSTRACT. We define the Weierstrass filtration for Teichmüller curves and construct the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of the Hodge bundle of a Teichmüller curve in hyperelliptic loci and low-genus nonvarying strata. As a result we obtain the sum of Lyapunov exponents of Teichmüller curves in these strata.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Background	4
2.1. Moduli spaces	4
2.2. Teichmüller curves	4
2.3. Lyapunov exponents	5
2.4. Vector bundles on curves	6
3. Weierstrass filtrations for one section	8
3.1. Nonvarying Weierstrass semigroups in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g(2g-2)$	8
3.2. Weierstrass filtrations in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g(2g-2)$	9
3.3. $f_*\mathcal{O}_{aD}(dD)$ and Splitting Lemma I	11
4. Weierstrass filtrations for several sections	13
4.1. Weierstrass filtrations	13
4.2. Splitting Lemma II and Upper Bound Lemma	15
4.3. Application to the sum of Lyapunov exponents	17
5. Weierstrass exponents	19
5.1. Weierstrass exponents	19
5.2. Hyperelliptic loci	21
5.3. The genus 3 case	23
5.4. The genus 4 case	24
5.5. The genus 5 case	25
Acknowledgement	26
References	27

¹2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 32G15; Secondary: 14H10.

Key words and phrases: Teichmüller curves, Lyapunov exponents, Harder-Narasimhan filtration

This work is supported by the SFB/TR 45 Periods, Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic of Algebraic Varieties of the DFG.

1. INTRODUCTION

The computation of Lyapunov exponents is an important subject in the theory of Teichmüller curves. The sum of Lyapunov exponents for hyperelliptic connected components is known by [EKZ2]. In general it is determined by the Siegel-Veech constants which measure the boundary behavior of the underlying $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit closure, cf. [EMZ] [CM1] [KZ2]. In low-genus cases [Ba] [LM] [Mo2], the Lyapunov spectrum has been worked out in some special cases, based on a series of work by McMullen [Mc1] [Mc2] [Mc3]. Some concrete examples like square-tiled surfaces and triangle groups were computed in [BM] [EKZ1] [FMZ].

The sums of nonnegative Lyapunov exponents of Teichmüller curves in a number of strata components of low-genus flat surfaces are constants. The same result holds for the hyperelliptic loci of any stratum. The proofs of these non-varying results are completely different [Mo3]. For the first, one uses algebraic geometry, in particular slope calculations [CM1] [Ch], and the other relies on the correspondence to Siegel-Veech constants [EKZ2].

In this paper, we will construct Weierstrass filtrations of the Hodge bundle based on the dimension of sublinear systems of zeros of holomorphic differentials, define Weierstrass exponents according to the Harder-Narasimhan filtration, and compute them in the hyperelliptic loci and low-genus nonvarying strata. This will allow us to give a unified method to compute the sum of Lyapunov exponents.

Let $g \geq 1$ be an integer, and let (m_1, \dots, m_k) be a partition of $2g - 2$. Denote by $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ the stratum parameterizing genus g Riemann surfaces with Abelian differentials that have k distinct zeros of order m_1, \dots, m_k , respectively.

Let C be a Teichmüller curve that lies in $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(m_1, \dots, m_k)$. Denote by $f : S \rightarrow C$ the universal family over a Teichmüller curve with distinct sections D_1, \dots, D_k . The relative canonical bundle can be computed through the formula (1):

$$\omega_{S/C} \simeq f^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\Sigma m_i D_i).$$

In hyperelliptic loci and low-genus nonvarying strata, we will construct the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $f_*(\omega_{S/C})$ and show that the factors of the Jordan-Hölder filtration of each semistable graded quotient are line bundles (see the filtration (11) below). Write

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_g = f_*(\omega_{S/C})$$

for the filtration, then the i -th Weierstrass exponent w_i is defined as

$$\frac{\deg(V_i/V_{i-1})}{\deg(\mathcal{L})}$$

($i = 1, \dots, g$).

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.6). *Let C be a Teichmüller curve in the hyperelliptic locus of some stratum $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(m_1, \dots, m_k)$, and denote by (d_1, \dots, d_n) the orders of singularities of underlying quadratic differentials. Then the Weierstrass exponent w_i for C is the i -th largest number in the following set*

$$\{1\} \cup \left\{ 1 - \frac{2k}{d_j + 2} \right\}_{\forall d_j, 0 < 2k \leq d_j + 1}.$$

The first author is also supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2013121001).

This result can be used to recover the sum of Lyapunov exponents in hyperelliptic loci originally found in [EKZ2]:

$$\sum \lambda_i = \sum w_i = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{d_j \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{d_j + 2}.$$

It was conjectured by Kontsevich and Zorich in [KZ1] (for Teichmüller geodesic flows), and was shown by M.Bainbridge in the case $g = 2$ [Ba].

Zorich communicated to D.Chen and M.Möller that, based on a limited number of computer experiments about a decade ago, Kontsevich and Zorich observed that the sum of Lyapunov exponents is nonvarying among all the Teichmüller curves in a stratum roughly if the genus plus the number of zeros is less than seven, while the sum varies if this sum is greater than seven. The following two results are entirely based on the paper [CM1]. They have verified those nonvarying strata observed by Kontsevich and Zorich for $g \leq 5$, except for the strata $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{\text{even}}(4, 2)$, $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{\text{odd}}(4, 2)$ and $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_5^{\text{odd}}(6, 2)$. The nonvarying result is obtained by showing that Teichmüller curves in a stratum are disjoint with a geometrically defined divisor on the moduli spaces of curves. Our main result provides another proof of the nonvarying property which also solves the remaining case with the help of D.Chen.

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 3.9). *Let k_i be the i -th largest number in the Weierstrass gap G_p . For a Teichmüller curve in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{hyp}}(2g - 2)$, the Weierstrass exponent w_i is $\frac{k_i}{2g-1}$ and the Weierstrass gap G_p equals $\{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2g - 5, 2g - 3, 2g - 1\}$. If moreover $g \leq 5$, then*

1. *For a Teichmüller curve in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{odd}}(2g - 2)$, the Weierstrass exponent w_i is $\frac{k_i}{2g-1}$ and the Weierstrass gap G_p equals $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, g - 2, g - 1, 2g - 1\}$, and $f_*\omega_{S/C}$ splits into direct sum of line bundles.*
2. *For a Teichmüller curve in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{even}}(2g - 2)$, the Weierstrass exponent w_i is $\frac{k_i}{2g-1}$ and the Weierstrass gap G_p equals $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, g - 2, g, 2g - 1\}$.*

Theorem 1.3 (Theorem 5.10). *For a Teichmüller curve in the strata*

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_3(3, 1), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_3^{\text{odd}}(2, 2), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_3(2, 1, 1) \\ & \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4(5, 1), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{\text{odd}}(4, 2), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{\text{non-hyp}}(3, 3), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{\text{odd}}(2, 2, 2), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4(3, 2, 1) \\ & \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_5(5, 3), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_5^{\text{odd}}(6, 2), \end{aligned}$$

the Weierstrass exponents can explicitly be calculated as in Tables 1, 2 and 3. Moreover $f_\omega_{S/C}$ splits into a direct sum of line bundles.*

For a Teichmüller curve in the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{\text{even}}(4, 2)$, the Weierstrass exponents can explicitly be calculated as in Table 2.

Related work about quadratic differentials was done in [CM2].

Our basic idea is to construct filtrations of $f_*\mathcal{O}(\omega_{S/C})$

$$0 \subset \mathcal{L} \subset \dots \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(\omega_{S/C} - \sum d_i D_i) \subset \dots \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(\omega_{S/C})$$

and then compute each graded quotient. But generally, it is difficult to compute the quotient. The quotient is a locally free subsheaf of the direct image of a bundle $\mathcal{O}_{aD_i}(dD_i)$, hence we use the Harder-Narasimhan filtration to study the bundle.

The difficulty will disappear if we assume that the Weierstrass semigroup of fibers is non-varying.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce some background material that has appeared in [CM1]. In section 3, we give a basic example to construct the Weierstrass filtration and the Weierstrass semigroup filtration. In some special cases, we also show that it is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration, and compute Weierstrass exponents of such Teichmüller curves. In section 4 we define Weierstrass filtrations and apply it to compute the sum of Lyapunov exponents. In section 5, we define Weierstrass exponents and compute them for the non-varying strata.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Moduli spaces. Denote by $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$ the moduli space of pairs (X, ω) where X is a curve of genus g and ω is a holomorphic one-form on X . It is fibred over the moduli space \mathcal{M}_g of curves. Let (m_1, \dots, m_k) be a partition of $2g - 2$, and let $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ denote the stratum parameterizing one-forms that have k distinct zeros of order m_1, \dots, m_k , respectively. Denote by $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g^{hyp}(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ (resp. odd, resp. even) the hyperelliptic (resp. odd theta characteristic, resp. even theta characteristic) connected component ([KZ2]).

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ denote the Deligne-Mumford compactification of \mathcal{M}_g . Then $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$ extends as a vector bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, parameterizing sections of the dualizing sheaf or equivalently stable one-forms. We denote by $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g$ the total space of this extension. Points in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g$, called flat surfaces, are also written as (X, ω) with ω a stable one-form on X .

Let C be a genus- g curve and \mathcal{L} a line bundle of degree d on C . Denote by $|\mathcal{L}|$ the projective space of one-dimensional subspaces of $H^0(C, \mathcal{L})$. For a (projective) r -dimension linear subspace V of $|\mathcal{L}|$, we call (\mathcal{L}, V) a linear series of type g_d^r .

Let $\underline{w} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ be a tuple of integers. The generalized Brill-Noether locus $BN_{d, \underline{w}}^r$ is the locus in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$ of pointed curves (C, p_1, \dots, p_n) with a line bundle \mathcal{L} of degree d such that \mathcal{L} admits a linear system g_d^r and $h^0(\mathcal{L}(-\sum w_i p_i)) \geq 1$.

We need the following generalization of Clifford's Theorem for stable curves:

Theorem 2.1 ([CM1, p. 8, Theorem 2.5]). *Let C be a stable curve and D an effective divisor with $\deg(D) \leq 2g - 1$. Then*

$$h^0(\mathcal{O}_C(D)) - 1 \leq \deg(D)/2,$$

if one of the following conditions holds:

1. C is smooth;
2. C has at most two components;
3. C does not have separating nodes and $\deg(D) \leq 4$.

2.2. Teichmüller curves. A Teichmüller curve C is an algebraic curve in \mathcal{M}_g that is totally geodesic with respect to the Teichmüller metric. After suitable base change, we can get a universal family $f : S \rightarrow C$, which is a relatively minimal semistable model with disjoint sections D_1, \dots, D_k ; here $D_i|_X$ is a zero of ω when restricting to each fiber X ([CM1, p. 11]).

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset f_*\omega_{S/C}$ be the line bundle whose fiber over the point in C corresponding to X is $\mathbb{C}\omega$, the generating differential of C ; it is also known as the "maximal Higgs" line bundle, in the sense of [VZ] and [Mo1]. Let $\Delta \subset \overline{B}$ be the set of points with

singular fibers. Then the property of being "maximal Higgs" says by definition that $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \omega_C(\log \Delta)$ and

$$\deg(\mathcal{L}) = (2g(C) - 2 + |\Delta|)/2,$$

together with an identification (relative canonical bundle formula [CM1, p. 19]):

$$(1) \quad \omega_{S/C} \simeq f^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum m_i D_i).$$

By the adjunction formula we get

$$D_i^2 = -\omega_{S/C} D_i = -m_i D_i^2 - \deg \mathcal{L}$$

and thus

$$(2) \quad D_i^2 = -\frac{1}{m_i + 1} \deg \mathcal{L}.$$

The variation of Hodge structures (VHS for short) over a Teichmüller curve decomposes into sub-VHS

$$(3) \quad R^1 f_* \mathbb{C} = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{L}_i \right) \oplus \mathbb{M}.$$

Here \mathbb{L}_i are rank-2 subsystems, maximal Higgs $\mathbb{L}_1^{1,0} \simeq \mathcal{L}$ for $i = 1$, nonunitary but not maximal Higgs for $i \neq 1$ [Mo1, Theorem 2.2].

Here we collect some properties of Teichmüller curves along the boundary of the moduli space which will be needed in the subsequent sections.

Theorem 2.2 ([CM1, section 3.3]). *1. The section ω of the canonical bundle of each smooth fiber over a Teichmüller curve extends to a section ω_∞ for each singular fiber X_∞ over the closure of a Teichmüller curve. The signature of zeros of ω_∞ is the same as that of ω . Moreover, X_∞ does not have separating nodes.*

2. For Teichmüller curves generated by a flat surface in $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(2g-2)$ the degenerating fibers are irreducible.

3. Let C be a Teichmüller curve generated by an Abelian differential (X, ω) in $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g(\mu)$. Suppose that an irreducible degenerating fiber X_∞ over a cusp of C is hyperelliptic. Then X is hyperelliptic, hence the whole Teichmüller curve lies in the locus of hyperelliptic flat surfaces.

4. Let C be a Teichmüller curve generated by a flat surface in $\Omega\mathcal{M}_5(8)$ even. Then C does not intersect the Brill-Noether divisor BN_3^1 on \mathcal{M}_5 .

5. Moreover, if μ lies in $\{(4), (3, 1), (6), (5, 1), (3, 3), (3, 2, 1), (8), (5, 3)\}$ and (X, ω) is not hyperelliptic, then the nondegenerating fibers of the Teichmüller curve are hyperelliptic.

2.3. Lyapunov exponents. Fix an $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant, ergodic measure μ on $\Omega\mathcal{M}_g$. Let V be the restriction of the real Hodge bundle (i.e. the bundle with fibers $H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$) to the support M of μ . Let S_t be the lift of the geodesic flow to V via the Gauss-Manin connection. Then Oseledec's multiplicative ergodic Theorem guarantees the existence of a filtration

$$0 \subset V_{\lambda_g} \subset \dots \subset V_{\lambda_1} = V$$

by measurable vector subbundles with the property that, for almost all $m \in M$ and all $v \in V_m \setminus \{0\}$ one has

$$\|S_t(v)\| = \exp(\lambda_i t + o(t)),$$

where i is the maximal index such that v is in the fiber of V_i over m i.e. $v \in (V_i)_m$. The numbers λ_i for $i = 1, \dots, k \leq \text{rank}(V)$ are called the *Lyapunov exponents* of S_t . Since V is symplectic, the spectrum is symmetric in the sense that $\lambda_{g+k} = -\lambda_{g-k+1}$. Moreover, from elementary geometric arguments it follows that one always has $\lambda_1 = 1$. Thus, the Lyapunov spectrum is defined by the remaining non-negative Lyapunov exponents

$$\lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_g.$$

The bridge between the 'dynamical' definition of Lyapunov exponents and the 'algebraic' method applied in the sequel is given by the following result.

Theorem 2.3 ([KZ1] [BM]). *If the VHS over the Teichmüller curve C contains a sub-VHS \mathbb{W} of rank $2k$, then the sum of the k corresponding non-negative Lyapunov exponents equals*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i^{\mathbb{W}} = \frac{2 \deg \mathbb{W}^{(1,0)}}{2g(C) - 2 + |\Delta|},$$

where $\mathbb{W}^{(1,0)}$ is the $(1,0)$ -part of the Hodge filtration of the vector bundle associated with \mathbb{W} . In particular, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^g \lambda_i = \frac{2 \deg f_* \omega_{S/C}}{2g(C) - 2 + |\Delta|}.$$

Let $L(C) = \sum_{i=1}^g \lambda_i$ be the sum of Lyapunov exponents, and define

$$\kappa_\mu = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{m_i(m_i + 2)}{m_i + 1}.$$

Eskin, Kontsevich and Zorich have a formula to compute $L(C)$ (for the Teichmüller geodesic flow):

Theorem 2.4 ([EKZ2, Theorem 1]). *For the VHS over the Teichmüller curve C , we have*

$$L(C) = \kappa_\mu + \frac{\pi^2}{3} c_{\text{area}}(C),$$

where $c_{\text{area}}(C)$ is the area Siegel-Veech constant of C .

2.4. Vector bundles on curves. The readers can refer to [HL, section 1.3, section 1.5] for details about the Harder-Narasimhan filtration and the Jordan-Hölder filtration of sheaves on a variety. Let C be a smooth curve and V a vector bundle over C of slope $\mu(V) := \frac{\deg(V)}{\text{rk}(V)}$. We call V semistable (resp. stable) if $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$ (resp. $\mu(W) < \mu(V)$) for any subbundle $W \subset V$.

A Harder-Narasimhan filtration for V is an increasing filtration:

$$0 = HN_0(V) \subset HN_1(V) \subset \dots \subset HN_k(V),$$

such that the graded quotients $gr_i^{HN} = HN_i(V)/HN_{i-1}(V)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ are semistable vector bundles and

$$\mu(gr_1^{HN}) > \mu(gr_2^{HN}) > \dots > \mu(gr_k^{HN}).$$

The Harder-Narasimhan filtration is unique.

A Jordan-Hölder filtration for a semistable vector bundle V is a filtration:

$$0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_k = V$$

such that the graded quotients $\text{gr}_i^V = V_i/V_{i-1}$ are stable of the same slope.

A Jordan-Hölder filtration always exists, but it is not unique in general. The graded object $\text{gr}_i^V = \bigoplus \text{gr}_i^V$ does not depend on the choice of the Jordan-Hölder filtration.

For a vector bundle V , define $\mu_i(V) = \mu(\text{gr}_j^{HN})$ if

$$\text{rk}(HN_{j-1}(V)) < i \leq \text{rk}(HN_j(V)).$$

Obviously $\mu_1(V) \geq \dots \geq \mu_k(V)$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let W be a locally free subsheaf of vector bundle V and $1 \leq i \leq \text{rk}(W)$. Then $\mu_i(W) \leq \mu_i(V)$.*

Proof. If $\mu_i(W) > \mu_i(V)$, then let $\mu_i(W) = \mu(\text{gr}_j^{HN(W)})$ and $\mu_i(V) = \mu(\text{gr}_k^{HN(V)})$. By [HL, Lemma 1.3.3], the canonical morphism $HN_j(W) \hookrightarrow V \rightarrow V/HN_{k-1}(V)$ is zero, namely $HN_j(W) \hookrightarrow HN_{k-1}(V)$, which contradicts $\text{rk}(HN_j(W)) \geq i > \text{rk}(HN_{k-1}(V))$. \square

Let $\text{grad}(HN(V))$ denote the direct sum of the graded quotients of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration: $\text{grad}(HN(V)) = \bigoplus \text{gr}_i^{HN(V)}$.

Lemma 2.6. *Given vector bundles V_1, \dots, V_n , we have*

$$\text{grad}(HN(V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n)) = \text{grad}(HN(V_1)) \oplus \dots \oplus \text{grad}(HN(V_n))$$

and $\mu_i(V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_n) = \mu_i(\text{grad}(HN(V_1)) \oplus \dots \oplus \text{grad}(HN(V_n)))$ for any i .

Proof. By induction, we only need to show the case $n = 2$. Let

$$0 = HN_0(V_1) \subset HN_1(V_1) \subset \dots \subset HN_{k_1}(V_1)$$

$$0 = HN_0(V_2) \subset HN_1(V_2) \subset \dots \subset HN_{k_2}(V_2)$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtrations of V_1, V_2 , respectively.

Set $0 = HN_0(V_1 \oplus V_2) = HN_0(V_1) \oplus HN_0(V_2)$. Assume we have set $HN_i(V_1 \oplus V_2) = HN_{i_1}(V_1) \oplus HN_{i_2}(V_2)$. We will get $HN_{i+1}(V_1 \oplus V_2)$ by the following rule:

- If

$$\mu(HN_{i+1}(V_1)/HN_{i_1}(V_1)) > \mu(HN_{i_2+1}(V_2)/HN_{i_2}(V_2)),$$

then let

$$HN_{i+1}(V_1 \oplus V_2) = HN_{i+1}(V_1) \oplus HN_{i_2}(V_2).$$

- If

$$\mu(HN_{i+1}(V_1)/HN_{i_1}(V_1)) = \mu(HN_{i_2+1}(V_2)/HN_{i_2}(V_2)),$$

then let

$$HN_{i+1}(V_1 \oplus V_2) = HN_{i+1}(V_1) \oplus HN_{i_2+1}(V_2).$$

- If

$$\mu(HN_{i+1}(V_1)/HN_{i_1}(V_1)) < \mu(HN_{i_2+1}(V_2)/HN_{i_2}(V_2)),$$

then let

$$HN_{i+1}(V_1 \oplus V_2) = HN_{i_1}(V_1) \oplus HN_{i_2+1}(V_2).$$

It is easy to check that the vector bundle $\text{gr}_i^{HN(V_1 \oplus V_2)} = HN_{i+1}(V_1 \oplus V_2)/HN_i(V_1 \oplus V_2)$ is semistable of slope

$$\max\{\mu(\text{gr}_{i_1+1}^{HN(V_1)}), \mu(\text{gr}_{i_2+1}^{HN(V_2)})\},$$

and the slope is strictly decreasing in i . We have thus constructed the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $V_1 \oplus V_2$. From the construction, we also have

$$\text{grad}(HN(V_1 \oplus V_2)) = \text{grad}(HN(V_1)) \oplus \text{grad}(HN(V_2))$$

and $\mu_i(V_1 \oplus V_2) = \mu_i(\text{grad}(HN(V_1)) \oplus \text{grad}(HN(V_2)))$ for any i . \square

3. WEIERSTRASS FILTRATIONS FOR ONE SECTION

In this section, we will consider a basic example of Weierstrass semigroup filtrations and Weierstrass exponents.

For a Teichmüller curve in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g(2g-2)$, the universal family $f : S \rightarrow C$ has a relative canonical bundle formula (formula (1)):

$$\omega_{S/C} = f^*\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}((2g-2)D),$$

where D is the section of the unique zero. By the projection formula ([Ha, p. 124]),

$$f_*\omega_{S/C} = \mathcal{L} \otimes f_*\mathcal{O}((2g-2)D).$$

3.1. Nonvarying Weierstrass semigroups in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g(2g-2)$. We will consider the *variation* of the Weierstrass semigroup of

$$p = D|_F$$

along the varying fiber F of f .

Definition 3.1. For distinct points p_1, \dots, p_k in a Riemann surface, we define the Weierstrass semigroup H_{p_1, \dots, p_k} as follows

$$(4) \quad H_{p_1, \dots, p_k} := \{(n_1, \dots, n_k) \mid h^0(n_1 p_1 + \dots + n_k p_k) = h^0(n_1 p_1 + \dots + n_k p_k - p_j) + 1, \forall j\},$$

and we define the Weierstrass gap sequence by the formula

$$G_{p_1, \dots, p_k} := \mathbb{N}^k - H_{p_1, \dots, p_k}.$$

More information about the Weierstrass semigroup of one point p can be found in [ACGH, p. 41]. Using the Riemann-Roch Theorem, we can compute the cardinality of G_p , which is equal to g . In fact,

$$G_p = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \text{there exists } \omega \in H^0(C, K) \text{ with } \mu_p(\omega) = n - 1\}.$$

This expression is closely related to the filtration we will construct.

We define the weight $w(H_p)$ of the Weierstrass semigroup H_p to be:

$$(5) \quad w(H_p) = \sum_{n \in G_p} n - g(g+1)/2,$$

which satisfies the inequality

$$(6) \quad w(H_p) \leq g(g-1)/2.$$

Moreover the equality holds if and only if $2 \in H_p$.

In fact by [Bu], generic points in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{odd}(2g-2)$ have Weierstrass gaps $G_p = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, g-2, g-1, 2g-1\}$, and generic points in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{even}(2g-2)$ have Weierstrass gaps $G_p = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, g-2, g, 2g-1\}$.

Definition 3.2. For a Teichmüller curve C in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g(2g-2)$, denote by $f : S \rightarrow C$ the universal family on C and D the section of the unique zero. We say that the Weierstrass semigroup H_p (or the Weierstrass gap G_p) is nonvarying if $H_{D|_{F_2}}$ equals $H_{D|_{F_1}}$ (or $G_{D|_{F_2}}$ equals $G_{D|_{F_1}}$) for any two fibers F_1 and F_2 of f (including degenerate fibers in the boundary of the moduli space).

Proposition 3.3. For a Teichmüller curve in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{hyp}(2g-2)$, the Weierstrass gap G_p is nonvarying and equals $\{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2g-5, 2g-3, 2g-1\}$.

If, moreover, $g \leq 5$, then

1. For a Teichmüller curve in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{odd}(2g-2)$, the Weierstrass gap G_p is nonvarying and equals $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, g-2, g-1, 2g-1\}$.
2. For a Teichmüller curve in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{even}(2g-2)$, the Weierstrass gap G_p is nonvarying and equals $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, g-2, g, 2g-1\}$.

Proof. The dimension $h^0(2p)$ of the fibres of $f_*\mathcal{O}(2D)$ is an upper semicontinuous function, and $h^0(2D|_F) = 2$ at smooth fibres. It is also known that

$$2 = \deg(2p) \geq h^0(2p) \geq 2$$

for singular fibres and H_p is a semigroup, so

$$\{2, 4, \dots, 2g-4, 2g-2, 2g-1, \dots\} \in H_p$$

. Because $|G_p| = g$, and hence we get

$$G_p = \mathbb{N} - H_p = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, g-2, g, 2g-1\}.$$

For (1) and (2), by Theorem 2.2, the Teichmüller curve is irreducible and non-hyperelliptic. It does not have separating nodes and $(g-1) \leq 4$, so we can use Clifford Theorem 2.1 in each of the two cases.

- (1) In $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{odd}(2g-2)$ we have $h^0((g-1)p) \leq 1 + (g-1)/2$. If $h^0((g-1)p) = 3$, the equality implies that it is a hyperelliptic curve, hence leading to a contradiction. So we obtain that $h^0((g-1)p) = 1$.
- (2) In $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{even}(2g-2)$, the theta characteristic is even, hence we have $h^0((g-1)p) = 2$. Non-hyperellipticity means that $h^0((g-2)p) = 1$ for $g \leq 4$. It is also true that $h^0(3p) = 1$ for $g = 5$ by Theorem 2.2. Using the Riemann-Roch Theorem we get $h^0((g+2)p) = h^0((g-2)p) + 1 = 2$.

□

3.2. Weierstrass filtrations in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g(2g-2)$. On the surface S we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}((d-1)D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(dD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(dD) \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying f_* , and using the fact that f induces an isomorphism between D and C (D is a section), we have

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_D(dD) = \mathcal{O}_D(dD),$$

and a long exact sequence

(7)

$$0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}((d-1)D) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}(dD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(dD) \xrightarrow{\delta} R^1f_*\mathcal{O}((d-1)D) \rightarrow R^1f_*\mathcal{O}(dD).$$

Lemma 3.4. $f_*\mathcal{O}(dD)$ is a vector bundle of rank $(h^0(dp))$, where p is $D|_F$ for a general fiber F . If the Weierstrass semigroup H_p is nonvarying, then $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}(dD)$ is also a vector bundle.

Proof. By the Riemann-Roch Theorem, if $d \geq 2g$ then $h(d) := h^0(dp) = h^0(dD|_F)$ is constant for any fiber F . So we get a vector bundle $f_*\mathcal{O}(dD)$ by Grauert Semicontinuity Theorem [Ha, p. 288, Corollary 12.9]. For $0 \leq d < 2g$, $f_*\mathcal{O}(dD)$ is a subsheaf of the locally free sheaf (i.e. vector bundle) $f_*\mathcal{O}(2gD)$ by exact sequence (7). Because any subsheaf of a locally free sheaf is locally free, $f_*\mathcal{O}(dD)$ is always a vector bundle for $d \geq 0$. By Semicontinuity Theorem [Ha, p. 288, Theorem 12.7], the rank of $f_*\mathcal{O}(dD)$ is $h^0(dp)$.

If the Weierstrass semigroup H_p is nonvarying, then the following dimension $h^1(dp) = h^1(dD|_F) = h^0(dD|_F) - d - \chi(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is constant for any fiber F , so we get vector bundles $f_*\mathcal{O}(dD), R^1f_*\mathcal{O}(dD)$ by Grauert semicontinuity Theorem. \square

If the Weierstrass semigroup is varying, in general $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}(dD)$ is not a vector bundle².

Let $h(d) := h^0(dp)$, for $p = D|_F$ for a general fiber F . Define

$$V_{h(d)} := f_*\mathcal{O}(dD) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}((2g-2)D).$$

Remark 3.5. *The definition is reasonable: if $h^0(dD|_F) = h^0((d+1)D|_F)$, then $f_*\mathcal{O}(dD) = f_*\mathcal{O}((d+1)D)$.*

Thus we get a filtration of the vector bundle $f_*\mathcal{O}((2g-2)D)$:

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_g = f_*\mathcal{O}((2g-2)D).$$

It is an example of *Weierstrass filtrations*. If the Weierstrass semigroup H_p is nonvarying, It is also an example of *Weierstrass semigroup filtrations*.

Denote by d_i the i -th element in H_p , for $p = D|_F$ for a general fiber F .

Lemma 3.6. *The graded quotient V_i/V_{i-1} is a line bundle of degree at most $\frac{-d_i}{2g-1}\deg\mathcal{L}$. If the Weierstrass semigroup H_p is nonvarying, then the degree of V_i/V_{i-1} equals $\frac{-d_i}{2g-1}\deg\mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. For $d_i \in H_p$ the i -th element in H_p , $\text{rk}f_*\mathcal{O}((d_i-1)D) = \text{rk}f_*\mathcal{O}(d_iD) - 1$. Therefore

$$V_i = f_*\mathcal{O}(d_iD), \quad V_{i-1} = f_*\mathcal{O}((d_i-1)D).$$

We have a long exact sequence by (7):

$$0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}((d_i-1)D) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}(d_iD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(d_iD) \xrightarrow{\delta} R^1f_*\mathcal{O}((d_i-1)D).$$

$\ker(\delta)$ is a subsheaf of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_D(d_iD)$, so it is also a line bundle whose degree at most $\deg(\mathcal{O}_D(d_iD))$. Then by the formula (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(V_i/V_{i-1}) &= \deg(f_*\mathcal{O}(d_iD)) - \deg(f_*\mathcal{O}((d_i-1)D)) \\ &\leq \deg(\mathcal{O}_D(d_iD)) = d_iD^2 = \frac{-d_i}{2g-1}\deg\mathcal{L}, \end{aligned}$$

Since subsheaves of a locally free sheaf are locally free, we deduce that $\ker(\delta)$ and $\text{im}(\delta)$ are both locally free.

If, moreover, the Weierstrass semigroup H_p is nonvarying, then $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}((d_i-1)D)$ is locally free by Lemma 3.4. $\ker(\delta)$ and $\text{im}(\delta)$ are both locally free. $\mathcal{O}_D(d_iD)$ is a line bundle, so $\text{im}(\delta) = \mathcal{O}_D(d_iD)/\ker(\delta)$ is zero. We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}((d_i-1)D) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}(d_iD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(d_iD) \xrightarrow{\delta} 0,$$

²D. Chen clarified this confusion in our first draft.

and the degree of V_i/V_{i-1} equals $\frac{-d_i}{2g-1} \deg \mathcal{L}$. \square

We get a filtration of $f_*\omega_{S/C} = \mathcal{L} \otimes f_*\mathcal{O}((2g-2)D)$:

$$0 \subset \mathcal{L} \otimes V_1 \subset \mathcal{L} \otimes V_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{L} \otimes V_g = \mathcal{L} \otimes f_*\mathcal{O}((2g-2)D) = f_*\omega_{S/C}.$$

Definition 3.7. *If the Weierstrass semigroup H_p is nonvarying, we define the i -th Weierstrass exponent w_i as follow:*

$$w_i = \deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes V_i / \mathcal{L} \otimes V_{i-1}) / \deg(\mathcal{L}) = 1 - \frac{d_i}{2g-1} = \frac{2g-1-d_i}{2g-1}.$$

Remark 3.8. *The sum of Weierstrass exponents is $\deg(f_*\omega_{S/C}) / \deg(\mathcal{L})$, which equals the sum of Lyapunov exponents by Theorem 2.3.*

Theorem 3.9. *Let k_i be the i -th largest number in the Weierstrass gap G_p . For a Teichmüller curve in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{hyp}}(2g-2)$, the Weierstrass exponent w_i is $\frac{k_i}{2g-1}$ and the Weierstrass gap G_p equals $\{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2g-5, 2g-3, 2g-1\}$.*

If, moreover, $g \leq 5$, then:

1. *For a Teichmüller curve in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{odd}}(2g-2)$, the Weierstrass exponent w_i is $\frac{k_i}{2g-1}$ and the Weierstrass gap G_p equals $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, g-2, g-1, 2g-1\}$, and $f_*\omega_{S/C}$ splits into direct sum of line bundles.*
2. *For a Teichmüller curve in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{even}}(2g-2)$, the Weierstrass exponent w_i is $\frac{k_i}{2g-1}$ and the Weierstrass gap G_p equals $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, g-2, g, 2g-1\}$.*

Proof. Proposition 3.3 tells us that these Teichmüller curves have nonvarying Weierstrass semigroups, and for $d_i \in H_p$, $2g-1-d_i \in G_p$, hence we obtain the result by applying Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 3.14. \square

The weight formula (5) gives the sum formula

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^g w_i = \frac{1}{2g-1} \left(\sum_{n \in G_p} n \right) = \frac{1}{2g-1} w(H_p) + \frac{g(g+1)}{2(2g-1)}.$$

It has maximal value $\frac{g^2}{(2g-1)}$ by the inequality (6), where the equality holds if and only if $2 \in H_p$.

Remark 3.10. *If the Weierstrass semigroup H_p is varying, the sum formula (8) also gives a upper bound of $\deg f_*\omega_{S/C} / \deg(\mathcal{L})$ by using Lemma 3.6.*

Corollary 3.11. *If the Weierstrass semigroup H_p is nonvarying, then*

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_g = f_*\mathcal{O}((2g-2)D)$$

is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration.

Proof. Lemma 3.6 tells us that $\deg(V_i/V_{i-1}) > \deg(V_{i+1}/V_i)$, hence we conclude the result by the uniqueness of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration. \square

3.3. $f_*\mathcal{O}_{aD}(dD)$ and Splitting Lemma I. We can get more information by analyzing the exact sequence

$$(9) \quad 0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}((d-a)D) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}(dD) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{aD}(dD) \xrightarrow{\delta} R^1 f_*\mathcal{O}((d-a)D) \rightarrow .$$

Here $\ker(\delta)$ is controlled by $f_*\mathcal{O}_{aD}(dD)$ ($= \mathcal{O}_{aD}(dD)$ via the equation (10)), which has a good filtration.

Lemma 3.12. *The Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $f_*\mathcal{O}_{aD}(dD)$ is*

$$0 \subset f_*\mathcal{O}_D((d-a+1)D) \subset \dots \subset f_*\mathcal{O}_{(a-1)D}((d-1)D) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}_{aD}(dD).$$

p and the direct sum of the graded quotients of this filtration is

$$\text{grad}(HN(f_*\mathcal{O}_{aD}(dD))) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{a-1} \mathcal{O}_D((d-i)D).$$

Proof. Because f is an isomorphism between D and C (D is a section),

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_D(jD) = \mathcal{O}_D(jD), \quad R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_D(jD) = 0.$$

From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{(i-1)D}((j-1)D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{iD}(jD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(jD) \rightarrow 0$$

with $1 \leq i \leq a$, $d-a+1 \leq i \leq d$, we get the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{(i-1)D}((j-1)D) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{iD}(jD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(jD) \\ \rightarrow R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_{(i-1)D}((j-1)D) \rightarrow R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_{iD}(jD) \rightarrow R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_D(jD). \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have

$$(10) \quad f_*\mathcal{O}_{iD}(jD) = \mathcal{O}_{iD}(jD), \quad R^1f_*\mathcal{O}_{iD}(jD) = 0,$$

and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{(i-1)D}((j-1)D) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{iD}(jD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(jD) \rightarrow 0.$$

Because $D^2 < 0$, we obtain a filtration with a property that the degree of graded quotient line bundles are strictly decreasing. By the uniqueness of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration, we conclude the desired result. \square

The filtration can be used to describe the structure of special quotients.

Lemma 3.13 (Splitting Lemma I). *If the dimensions*

$$h^0(dp), h^0((d-m)p), h^0((d-m-n+1)p)$$

are constant for any fiber, and satisfy

$$h^0(dp) = h^0((d-m)p) + m = h^0((d-m-n+1)p) + m,$$

then $f_\mathcal{O}_{aD}$ splits in $f_*\mathcal{O}_{(a+b)D}$, $a \leq m$, $b < n$. In particular, for $n \geq 2$,*

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(dD)/f_*\mathcal{O}((d-m)D) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{O}_D((d-i)D).$$

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} f_*\mathcal{O}((d-m)D) \hookrightarrow & f_*\mathcal{O}((d-m+a)D) & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & f_*\mathcal{O}_{aD}((d-m+a)D) & \\ \theta \parallel \uparrow & \parallel \uparrow & & \begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \uparrow \\ \varphi \end{array} & \\ f_*\mathcal{O}((d-m-b)D) \hookrightarrow & f_*\mathcal{O}((d-m+a)D) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & f_*\mathcal{O}_{(a+b)D}((d-m+a)D) & \\ & & & \uparrow & \\ & & & f_*\mathcal{O}_{bD}((d-m)D) & \end{array}$$

Because $h^0(dp) = h^0(d-m)p + m$, a similar argument as in Lemma 3.6 implies that ϑ is surjective. Moreover $h^0((d-m)p) = h^0((d-m-n+1)p)$ implies that θ is an isomorphism by Corollary 4.12.

Thus the image of ψ is the same as the image of ϑ , that is $f_*\mathcal{O}_{aD}((d-m+a)D)$. So there is a ϕ with $\varphi\phi = id$, hence $f_*\mathcal{O}_{aD}((d-m+a)D)$ splits in $f_*\mathcal{O}_{(a+b)D}((d-m+a)D)$, $a \leq m$, $b < n$.

If $n \geq 2$, $f_*\mathcal{O}_{aD}$ splits in $f_*\mathcal{O}_{(a+1)D}$ for $a \leq m$. By induction, $f_*\mathcal{O}_{mD}$ splits into a direct sum of line bundles.

Thus by the uniqueness of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration and Lemma 3.12, we have

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(dD)/f_*\mathcal{O}((d-m)D) = f_*\mathcal{O}_{mD}(dD) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \mathcal{O}_D((d-i)D).$$

□

Theorem 3.14. *If $g \leq 5$, the sheaf $f_*\omega_{S/C}$ of a Teichmüller curve C in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g^{\text{odd}}$ ($2g-2$) splits into a direct sum of line bundles.*

Proof. Theorem 3.3 gives $h^0(2(g-1)p) = h^0((g-1)p) + g - 1 = h^0((g-2)p) + g - 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\omega_{S/C} &= \mathcal{L} \oplus (\mathcal{L} \otimes (f_*\mathcal{O}((2g-2)D)/f_*\mathcal{O})) \\ &= \mathcal{L} \oplus (\mathcal{L} \otimes (f_*\mathcal{O}((2g-2)D)/f_*\mathcal{O}((g-1)D))) \\ &= \mathcal{L} \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{g-1} \mathcal{O}_D((2g-2-i)D) \otimes \mathcal{L} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the first equality is by Equation (3) and the last equality follows from Lemma 3.13. □

4. WEIERSTRASS FILTRATIONS FOR SEVERAL SECTIONS

In this section we will define three kinds of filtrations: Weierstrass filtrations, Weierstrass semigroup filtrations and Weierstrass pair filtrations. The first one together with the upper bound Lemma 4.11 is used to get coarse information about the upper bound of the sum. The second and the third can be used to get more precise information about each quotient.

4.1. Weierstrass filtrations. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}(d_1D_1 + \dots + d_kD_k) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k) = f_*(\omega_{S/C}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$$

and the fact that all subsheaves of a locally free sheaf on a curve are locally free, we deduce that $f_*\mathcal{O}(d_1D_1 + \dots + d_kD_k)$ is a vector bundle. By Semicontinuity Theorem [Ha, p. 288, Theorem 12.7], its rank is $h^0(d_1p_1 + \dots + d_kp_k)$, where $p_i = D_i|_F$ and F is a generic fiber.

For $1 \leq i \leq g$, we define

$$W_i = \{(d_1, \dots, d_k) | h^0(d_1p_1 + \dots + d_kp_k) = i \text{ for a general fiber}\}$$

and nonvarying sets

$$WS_i = \{(d_1, \dots, d_k) | h^0(d_1p_1 + \dots + d_kp_k) = i \text{ for all fibers (incl. boundary points)}\}.$$

For each element (d_1, \dots, d_k) in W_i or WS_i , $f_*\mathcal{O}(d_1D_i + \dots + d_kD_k)$ is a rank i vector bundle. If $(d_1, \dots, d_k) \in WS_i$ then $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}(d_1D_i + \dots + d_kD_k)$ is also a vector bundle by Grauert Semicontinuity Theorem [Ha, p. 288, Corollary 12.9].

We also define $(d_1, \dots, d_k) \leq (d'_1, \dots, d'_k)$ if $d_i \leq d'_i$ for all i and define $(d_1, \dots, d_k) < (d'_1, \dots, d'_k)$ if $d_i \leq d'_i$ but not all $d_i = d'_i$.

Denote by \underline{d}_i the tuple (d_{i1}, \dots, d_{ik}) .

Definition 4.1. *We define the set of Weierstrass filtrations as follows:*

$$WF = \{\{\underline{d}_i\} \mid \text{at most one } \underline{d}_i \in W_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq g. \text{ If } i < j, \text{ then } \underline{d}_i < \underline{d}_j\}.$$

An element $\{\underline{d}_i\} \in WF$ is a filtration of vector bundles of $f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k)$.

$$0 \subset \dots \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(d_{i1}D_1 + \dots + d_{ik}D_k) \subset \dots \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k).$$

As what we have shown in Lemma 3.6, the filtration dose not give us the desired properties to compute the degree of graded quotient vector bundles because in general $R^1f_*\mathcal{O}(d_1D_i + \dots + d_kD_k)$ is not locally free. So in many cases, we need the nonvarying assumption to get more information.

Definition 4.2. *We define the set of Weierstrass semigroup filtrations as follows:*

$$WSF = \{\{\underline{d}_i\} \mid \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq g, \text{ there is only one } \underline{d}_i \in WS_i \cap H_{p_1, \dots, p_k}, \text{ and } \underline{d}_i < \underline{d}_{i+1}\},$$

where H_{p_1, \dots, p_k} is the Weierstrass semigroup for a general fiber.

Assuming that the Weierstrass semigroup is nonvarying, we will use the Weierstrass semigroup filtration to define Weierstrass exponents in the next section.

Example 4.3. *For a Teichmüller curve in the hyperelliptic locus of the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_5(2, 2, 4)$, and denote by $(4, 3)$ the orders of singularities of the corresponding quadratic differentials. The Weierstrass semigroup filtration we will construct in Proposition 5.5 for defining Weierstrass exponents is*

$$\{(0, 0, 0), (0, 0, 2), (0, 0, 4), (1, 1, 4), (2, 2, 4)\},$$

that is

$$0 \subset f_*\mathcal{O} \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(2D_3) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(4D_3) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(D_1 + D_2 + 4D_3) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(2D_1 + 2D_2 + 4D_3).$$

Moreover, there is another Weierstrass semigroup filtration

$$\{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (2, 2, 0), (2, 2, 2), (2, 2, 4)\},$$

that is

$$0 \subset f_*\mathcal{O} \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(D_1 + D_2) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(2D_1 + 2D_2) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 2D_i\right) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(2D_1 + 2D_2 + 4D_3).$$

Under some weak assumptions, the following filtration is also useful for computational and theoretical reasons.

Definition 4.4. *We define the set of Weierstrass pair filtrations as follows:*

$$WPF = \{\{\{\underline{d}_i, \underline{d}'_{i+1}\}\} \mid \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq g-1, \underline{d}_i \in WS_i, \underline{d}'_i \in W_i, \\ \underline{d}'_i < \underline{d}_i, \underline{d}'_{i+1} = \underline{d}_i + (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)\}.$$

To define these we need to verify that the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}_i) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}'_{i+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}'_{i+1}-\underline{d}_i}(\underline{d}'_{i+1}) \xrightarrow{\delta} R^1 f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}_i) \rightarrow R^1 f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}'_{i+1})$$

satisfies $\text{rk} f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}_i) + 1 = \text{rk} f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}'_{i+1})$, $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}'_{i+1}-\underline{d}_i}(\underline{d}'_{i+1})$ is a line bundle and that $R^1 f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}_i)$ is locally free. Note also that $\underline{d}'_i < \underline{d}_i$ implies $f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}'_i) = f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}_i)$. Thus an element $\{\{\underline{d}_i, \underline{d}'_{i+1}\}\} \in WPF$ is a filtration of vector bundles:

$$0 \subset \dots \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}_i) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}'_{i+1}) = f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}_{i+1}) \subset \dots \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1 D_1 + \dots + m_k D_k).$$

Example 4.5. *There is a Weierstrass pair filtration in the proof of the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{\text{even}}(4, 2)$:*

$$\{(1, 1), (2, 1)\}, \{(3, 1), (3, 2)\}, \{(3, 2), (4, 2)\},$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\subset f_*\mathcal{O}(D_1 + D_2) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(2D_1 + D_2) = f_*\mathcal{O}(3D_1 + D_2) \\ &\subset f_*\mathcal{O}(3D_1 + 2D_2) = f_*\mathcal{O}(3D_1 + 2D_2) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(4D_1 + 2D_2). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.6. *The relationship among those sets of filtrations is*

$$WSF \subset WPF \subset WF.$$

4.2. Splitting Lemma II and Upper Bound Lemma. The next Lemma describes a splitting structure of the quotient:

Lemma 4.7 (Splitting Lemma II). *If $h^0(\sum d_i p_i)$ and $h^0(\sum (d_i - a_i) p_i)$ are constant for any fiber, and satisfy $h^0(\sum d_i p_i) = h^0(\sum (d_i - a_i) p_i) + \sum a_i$, then*

$$f_*\mathcal{O}\left(\sum d_i D_i\right) / f_*\mathcal{O}\left(\sum (d_i - a_i) D_i\right) = \bigoplus f_*\mathcal{O}_{a_i D_i}(d_i D_i).$$

Proof. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(\sum (d_i - a_i) D_i\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}\left(\sum d_i D_i\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\sum a_i D_i}\left(\sum d_i D_i\right) \rightarrow 0,$$

we get the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}\left(\sum (d_i - a_i) D_i\right) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}\left(\sum d_i D_i\right) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{\sum a_i D_i}\left(\sum d_i D_i\right) \\ \xrightarrow{\delta} R^1 f_*\mathcal{O}\left(\sum (d_i - a_i) D_i\right) \rightarrow R^1 f_*\mathcal{O}\left(\sum d_i D_i\right) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\ker(\delta)$ and $\text{im}(\delta)$ are both locally free and because

$$\text{rk}\left(R^1 f_*\mathcal{O}\left(\sum (d_i - a_i) D_i\right)\right) = \text{rk}\left(R^1 f_*\mathcal{O}\left(\sum d_i D_i\right)\right),$$

we get

$$0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}\left(\sum (d_i - a_i) D_i\right) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}\left(\sum d_i D_i\right) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{\sum a_i D_i}\left(\sum d_i D_i\right) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $D_i \cdot D_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\mathcal{O}_{\sum a_i D_i}\left(\sum d_i D_i\right) &= f_*\left(\bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{a_i D_i}\left(\sum d_i D_i\right)\right) \\ &= f_*\left(\bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{a_i D_i}(d_i D_i)\right) = \bigoplus f_*\mathcal{O}_{a_i D_i}(d_i D_i). \end{aligned}$$

□

We often use the above Lemma with Lemma 3.13.

Corollary 4.8. *For a Teichmüller curve in $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g(2k_1, \dots, 2k_m)$ with theta characteristic $h^0(\sum k_i p_i) = 1$ for every fiber, the sheaf $f_*\omega_{S/C}$ splits into a direct sum of line bundles.*

Proof. Because

$$h^0\left(\sum 2k_i p_i\right) = h^0\left(\sum k_i p_i\right) + g - 1 = h^0\left(\sum k_i p_i\right) + \sum k_i,$$

we can apply Lemma 4.7. Because

$$h^0\left(\left(\sum k_i p_i\right) + p_j\right) - 1 = h^0\left(\sum k_i p_i\right) = 1 = h^0\left(\left(\sum k_i p_i\right) - p_j\right).$$

it suffices to apply Lemma 3.13 to each D_j . \square

Corollary 4.9. *Each graded quotient of a filtration in WSF (resp. in WPF) is a line bundle whose degree is $-\frac{d_j}{m_j+1}\deg(\mathcal{L})$ of for some d_j, m_j . So the degree of $f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1 D_1 + \dots + m_k D_k)$ (i.e. the sum of the degree of those line bundles) can be computed. All filtrations in WSF have the same sum $\deg f_*\omega_{S/C} - g\deg(\mathcal{L})$.*

Proof. For any filtration in WSF , we have the exact sequence

$$WSF : 0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}_i - D_j) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_j}(\underline{d}_i) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\underline{d}_{i-1} \leq \underline{d}_i - D_j$. Similarly for WPF we have the exact sequence

$$WPF : 0 \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}_i) \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}(\underline{d}'_{i+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}'_{i+1}-\underline{d}_i}(\underline{d}'_{i+1}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore each graded quotient of the filtration is a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{D_j}(\underline{d}_i)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{d}'_{i+1}-\underline{d}_i}(\underline{d}'_{i+1})$), whose degree is $d_j D_j^2 = -\frac{d_j}{m_j+1}\deg(\mathcal{L})$ for some d_j by formula (2).

The degree of $f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1 D_1 + \dots + m_k D_k)$ is the sum of the degree of those line bundles.

For the last part of the claim, the sum is

$$\deg f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1 D_1 + \dots + m_k D_k) = \deg f_*\omega_{S/C} - g\deg(\mathcal{L}).$$

\square

Example 4.10. *An explicit formula about the sum has been established in Corollary 5.7 for a Teichmüller curve in the hyperelliptic locus.*

When $h^0(\sum d_i p_i) \leq h^0(\sum (d_i - a_i) p_i) + \sum a_i$, we can get an upper bound for the quotient by the properties of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration, even if $h^0(\sum d_i p_i)$ and $h^0(\sum (d_i - a_i) p_i)$ are varying.

Lemma 4.11 (Upper Bound Lemma). *Let $V = f_*\mathcal{O}(\sum d_i D_i)/f_*\mathcal{O}(\sum (d_i - a_i) D_i)$ and $r = h^0(\sum d_i p_i) - h^0(\sum (d_i - a_i) p_i)$, where p_i is $D_i|_F$ for a general fiber F . We order degrees of line bundles in the set*

$$\{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}((d_i - j)D_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq a_i - 1\}$$

decreasing (counted with multiplicity) as $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_{a_1+\dots+a_k}$. Then

$$\deg(V) \leq b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_r.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.12 and Lemma 2.6, the graded sum of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $\oplus_i f_*\mathcal{O}_{a_i D_i}(d_i D_i)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{grad}(HN(\bigoplus_i f_* \mathcal{O}_{a_i D_i}(d_i D_i))) &= \bigoplus_i \text{grad}(HN(f_* \mathcal{O}_{a_i D_i}(d_i D_i))) \\ &= \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a_i-1} \mathcal{O}_{D_i}((d_i - j)D_i). \end{aligned}$$

p So $\mu_l(\bigoplus_i f_* \mathcal{O}_{a_i D_i}(d_i D_i)) = \mu_l(\bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a_i-1} \mathcal{O}_{D_i}((d_i - j)D_i))$ for all l . From the proof of Splitting Lemma 4.7, the kernel $\ker(\delta)$ is a locally free subsheaf of rank $r = h^0(\sum d_i p_i) - h^0(\sum (d_i - a_i) p_i)$:

$$V = f_* \mathcal{O}(\sum d_i D_i) / f_* \mathcal{O}(\sum (d_i - a_i) D_i) \subset \bigoplus_i f_* \mathcal{O}_{a_i D_i}(d_i D_i).$$

So by Lemma 2.5,

$$\deg(V) \leq \sum_{l=1}^r \mu_l(\bigoplus_i f_* \mathcal{O}_{a_i D_i}(d_i D_i)) = \sum_{l=1}^r \mu_l(\bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a_i-1} \mathcal{O}_{D_i}((d_i - j)D_i)).$$

It is obvious that $\mu_l(\bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a_i-1} \mathcal{O}_{D_i}((d_i - j)D_i))$ is the l -th largest degree in the set of line bundles

$$\{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}((d_i - j)D_i) | 1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq a_i - 1\}.$$

□

Corollary 4.12. *If $h^0(\sum d_i p_i) = h^0(\sum (d_i - a_i) p_i)$ holds in a general fiber, then $f_* \mathcal{O}(\sum d_i D_i) = f_* \mathcal{O}(\sum (d_i - a_i) D_i)$.*

4.3. Application to the sum of Lyapunov exponents. The existence of Weierstrass semigroup (pair) filtrations is convenient for computation.

Corollary 4.13. *If there exists a Weierstrass semigroup (pair) filtration for a Teichmüller curve, then we can compute the sum of Lyapunov exponents. Moreover, the denominator of the sum of Lyapunov exponents divides $(m_1 + 1) \cdots (m_k + 1)$.*

Proof. The sum of Lyapunov exponents is $L(C) = \deg f_* \omega_{S/C} / \deg(\mathcal{L})$ by Theorem 2.3. The sum of the degree of each graded quotient line bundles of the Weierstrass semigroup (pair) filtration is $\deg f_* \omega_{S/C} - g \deg(\mathcal{L})$ by Corollary 4.9.

Because $D_i^2 / \deg \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{m_i+1}$, each graded quotient has denominator $(m_i + 1)$, hence we get the second claim. □

In many cases, because of the absence of Weierstrass semigroup (pair) filtrations, we can not get more precise information about $f_* \omega_{S/C}$. But the partial filtration is enough to give some upper bound for it. Using a coarse filtration, we have:

Corollary 4.14. *The sum of Lyapunov exponents for a Teichmüller curve C in the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ satisfied the inequality³*

$$L(C) \leq \frac{3g}{4} - \frac{1}{8} \left(-2 + \sum_{m_i \text{ even}} \frac{m_i}{m_i + 1} + \sum_{m_i \text{ odd}} 1 \right).$$

³We have obtained a better upper bound $L(C) \leq \frac{g+1}{2}$ in [YZ].

Proof. There is a rank $g - 1$ subbundle

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k)/\mathcal{O}_C \subset \bigoplus_i f_*\mathcal{O}_{m_iD_i}(m_iD_i).$$

It is obvious by Lemma 3.12 and Lemma 2.6 that

$$\text{grad}(HN(\bigoplus_i f_*\mathcal{O}_{m_iD_i}(m_iD_i))) = \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_i} \mathcal{O}_{D_i}(jD_i).$$

By Lemma 4.11, we want to get the sum of the largest degrees of $g - 1$ line bundles in the set

$$\{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}(jD_i) | 1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq m_i\}$$

The sum of the largest degrees of n_i line bundles in the set $\{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}(jD_i) | 0 \leq j \leq m_i\}$ is the sum of the degrees of line bundles in the set $\{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}(jD_i) | 0 \leq j \leq n_i\}$. It is $\left(-\frac{n_i(n_i+1)}{2(m_i+1)}\right)\text{deg}\mathcal{L}$. We get

$$\text{deg}(f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k)/\mathcal{O}_C) \leq \sum \max_{n_i=g-1} \left\{ -\sum \frac{n_i(n_i+1)}{2(m_i+1)} \right\} \text{deg}\mathcal{L}.$$

Because $\sum n_i = g - 1 = (\sum m_i)/2$, there must be some n_j such that $n_j \leq (m_j - 1)/2$ if there is $n_i > (m_i + 1)/2$. In this case, we have

$$\text{deg}(\mathcal{O}_{D_i}(n_iD_i)) < -\frac{1}{2}\text{deg}\mathcal{L} \leq \text{deg}(\mathcal{O}_{D_j}((n_j + 1)D_j)).$$

There must be some n_i such that $n_i \geq (m_i + 1)/2$ if there is $n_j < (m_j - 1)/2$, and in this case, we have

$$\text{deg}(\mathcal{O}_{D_i}(n_iD_i)) \leq -\frac{1}{2}\text{deg}\mathcal{L} < \text{deg}(\mathcal{O}_{D_j}((n_j + 1)D_j)).$$

We can increase the value $-\sum \frac{n_i(n_i+1)}{2(m_i+1)}$ by changing n_i to $n_i - 1$ and n_j to $n_j + 1$ in both cases.

So when $-\sum \frac{n_i(n_i+1)}{2(m_i+1)}$ reaches the maximum, we know that $n_i = m_i/2$ when m_i is even, and $n_i = (m_i - 1)/2$ or $(m_i + 1)/2$ when m_i is odd, with the property that

$$\text{Card}(\{n_i = (m_i - 1)/2\}) = k = \text{Card}(\{n_i = (m_i + 1)/2\}).$$

Thus $-\text{deg}(f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k)/\mathcal{O}_C)/\text{deg}\mathcal{L}$ is greater than or equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m_i \text{ even}} \frac{m_i(m_i+2)}{8(m_i+1)} + \sum_{n_i=(m_i-1)/2} \frac{(m_i-1)(m_i+1)}{8(m_i+1)} + \sum_{n_i=(m_i+1)/2} \frac{(m_i+1)(m_i+3)}{8(m_i+1)} \\ &= \sum_{m_i \text{ even}} \left(\frac{m_i}{8} + \frac{m_i}{8(m_i+1)} \right) + \sum_{n_i=(m_i-1)/2} \frac{m_i-1}{8} + \sum_{n_i=(m_i+1)/2} \frac{m_i+3}{8} \\ &= \sum \frac{m_i}{8} + \sum_{m_i \text{ even}} \frac{m_i}{8(m_i+1)} + \frac{(-k)}{8} + \frac{3k}{8} \\ &= \frac{2g}{8} + \frac{1}{8} \left(-2 + \sum_{m_i \text{ even}} \frac{m_i}{m_i+1} + \sum_{m_i \text{ odd}} 1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

so we get

$$L(C) = \frac{\text{deg}f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k)}{\text{deg}(\mathcal{L})} + g$$

$$\leq \frac{3g}{4} - \frac{1}{8} \left(-2 + \sum_{m_i \text{ even}} \frac{m_i}{m_i + 1} + \sum_{m_i \text{ odd}} 1 \right).$$

□

Remark 4.15. *D.Chen and M.Möller [Mo3] have obtained a bound by using Cornalba-Harris-Xiao's slope inequality (the first inequality) [Xi, Theorem 2]*

$$L(C) \leq \frac{3g}{(g-1)} \kappa_\mu = \frac{g}{4(g-1)} \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{m_i(m_i+2)}{m_i+1} \leq \frac{3g}{4}.$$

5. WEIERSTRASS EXPONENTS

This section is devoted to the construction of the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k)$ and the definition of Weierstrass exponents under some additional assumptions.

5.1. Weierstrass exponents. If there is a filtration

$$(11) \quad 0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_g = f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k)$$

satisfying: (1) V_i/V_{i-1} is a line bundle, and (2) $\deg(V_i/V_{i-1})$ is decreasing in i , then it is the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k)$, because each graded quotient V_i/V_{i-1} is already stable as it is a line bundle. The factors $\text{grad}_j^i(\text{gr}_i^{HN})$ of the Jordan-Hölder filtration of each semistable graded quotient gr_i^{HN} are line bundles.

We also get a filtration for $f_*\omega_{S/C}$:

$$0 \subset \mathcal{L} \otimes V_1 \subset \mathcal{L} \otimes V_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{L} \otimes V_g = \mathcal{L} \otimes f_*\mathcal{O}(\sum m_i D_i) = f_*\omega_{S/C}.$$

Definition 5.1. *If there exists a filtration as in (11), we define the i -th Weierstrass exponent w_i as follows:*

$$w_i = \frac{\deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes V_i / \mathcal{L} \otimes V_{i-1})}{\deg(\mathcal{L})}.$$

Remark 5.2. *It is obvious by definition that the sum of Weierstrass exponents equals the sum of Lyapunov exponents*

$$\sum_{i=1}^g \frac{\deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes V_i / \mathcal{L} \otimes V_{i-1})}{\deg(\mathcal{L})} = \sum_{i=1}^g \frac{(\deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes V_i) - \deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes V_{i-1}))}{\deg(\mathcal{L})} = \frac{\deg(f_*\omega_{S/C})}{\deg(\mathcal{L})}.$$

When H_{p_1, \dots, p_k} is nonvarying (i.e. $H_{p_1, \dots, p_k} \in \cup_i WS_i$), there are many Weierstrass semigroup filtrations, and we can construct the Harder-Narasimhan filtration recursively.

Theorem 5.3. *Assume that the Weierstrass semigroup H_{p_1, \dots, p_k} is nonvarying, then we can construct a filtration*

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_g = f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k)$$

satisfying:

1. V_i/V_{i-1} is a line bundle, and
2. $\deg(V_i/V_{i-1})$ is decreasing in i .

Proof. For every Weierstrass semigroup element (d_1, \dots, d_k) of a general fiber, we define the length of the element to be

$$l(d_1, \dots, d_k) = \min\{-d_1/(m_1 + 1), \dots, -d_k/(m_k + 1)\},$$

where the fraction $-d_j/(m_j + 1)$ is equal to $\deg \mathcal{O}_{D_j}(d_j D_j) / \deg(\mathcal{L})$.

For any vector bundle of the form $f_* \mathcal{O}(a_1 D_1 + \dots + a_k D_k)$, we define the following set

$$L(a_1, \dots, a_k) := \{\underline{d} \in H_{p_1, \dots, p_k} \mid f_* \mathcal{O}(d_1 D_1 + \dots + d_k D_k) = f_* \mathcal{O}(a_1 D_1 + \dots + a_k D_k), \underline{d} \leq \underline{a}\}.$$

It is not empty because it contains the element $(d_1, \dots, d_k) \in H_{p_1, \dots, p_k}$, for which the sum $\sum_{i=1}^k d_i$ reaches the minimum when (d_1, \dots, d_k) varies in

$$\{\underline{d} \mid f_* \mathcal{O}(d_1 D_1 + \dots + d_k D_k) = f_* \mathcal{O}(a_1 D_1 + \dots + a_k D_k), \underline{d} \leq \underline{a}\}.$$

We then construct the set L_i and define the number l_i recursively:

$$L_g = \{(m_1, \dots, m_k)\}, \quad l_g = l(m_1, \dots, m_k),$$

.....

$$L_i = \{L(d_1, \dots, d_j - 1, \dots, d_k) \mid (d_1, \dots, d_k) \in L_{i+1}, -d_j/(m_j + 1) = l_{i+1}\},$$

$$l_i = \min\{l(d_1, \dots, d_k) \mid (d_1, \dots, d_k) \in L_i\},$$

.....

If $L_i \neq \emptyset$, then l_i is defined, hence $L_{i-1} \neq \emptyset$ because $L(d_1, \dots, d_j - 1, \dots, d_k) \neq \emptyset$ ($i \geq 2$). So the definition makes sense.

It is obvious that $\text{rk}(f_* \mathcal{O}(d_1 D_1 + \dots + d_k D_k)) = i$ for any $(d_1, \dots, d_k) \in L_i$.

For any $(e_1, \dots, e_k) \in L_{i-1}$, by our construction, there is a

$$(d_1, \dots, d_k) \in L_i, \quad -d_j/(m_j + 1) = l_i$$

such that (e_1, \dots, e_k) lies in $L(d_1, \dots, d_j - 1, \dots, d_k)$. If we repeat the process from L_1 , then inductively we obtain a filtration

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_g = f_* \mathcal{O}(m_1 D_1 + \dots + m_k D_k)$$

with V_i/V_{i-1} being a line bundle. The filtration is not unique because there maybe many choices in each step.

From the equalities $V_i = f_* \mathcal{O}(d_1 D_1 + \dots + d_k D_k)$,

$$V_{i-1} = f_* \mathcal{O}\left(\sum e_i D_i\right) = f_* \mathcal{O}(d_1 D_1 + \dots + (d_j - 1) D_j + \dots + d_k D_k)$$

and the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V_{i-1} \rightarrow V_i \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_j}(d_j D_j),$$

we get

$$\deg(V_i/V_{i-1})/\deg(\mathcal{L}) \leq \deg \mathcal{O}_{D_j}(d_j D_j)/\deg(\mathcal{L}) = -d_j/(m_j + 1) = l_i,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} l_{i-1} &\geq \min\{-e_1/(m_1 + 1), \dots, -e_k/(m_k + 1)\} \\ &\geq \min\{-d_1/(m_1 + 1), \dots, -(d_j - 1)/(m_j + 1), \dots, -d_k/(m_k + 1)\} \\ &\geq \min\{-d_1/(m_1 + 1), \dots, -d_j/(m_j + 1), \dots, -d_k/(m_k + 1)\} \\ &= -d_j/(m_j + 1) = l_i. \end{aligned}$$

When we assume that the Weierstrass semigroup is nonvarying, we get

$$\deg(V_i/V_{i-1})/\deg(\mathcal{L}) = l_i$$

by Lemma 4.7. Therefore, $\deg(V_i/V_{i-1})$ is decreasing in i . \square

Remark 5.4. *From the proof, we can see that the Harder-Narasimhan filtration is constructed under the weak assumption that a subset of the Weierstrass semigroup is nonvarying. This fact will be verified in hyperelliptic loci and nonvarying strata of genus at most five.*

5.2. Hyperelliptic loci. The square of any holomorphic 1-form ω on a hyperelliptic curve fiber F is a pullback $(\omega)^2 = p^*q$ of some meromorphic quadratic differential q with simple poles on \mathbb{P}^1 where the projection $p : F \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the quotient over the hyperelliptic involution. Denote by $\mathcal{Q}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ the stratification by orders of zeros and simple poles of the corresponding quadratic differentials (see [EKZ2, section 2.2] for more details).

Let F be the covering flat surface belonging to the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g(m_1, \dots, m_k)$, then the resulting holomorphic 1-form ω on F has zeros of the following degrees:

- A zero p_j of order d , of a meromorphic quadratic differential q on \mathbb{P}^1 gives rise to zeros on F ([EKZ2, p. 12]):
- 1) Two zeros p_{1j}, p_{2j} of degree $m = d/2$, when d is even. In this case, p_{1j}, p_{2j} is a g_2^1 , i.e. $h^0(p_{1j} + p_{2j}) = 2$.
- 2) One zero q_j of degree $m = d + 1$, when $d > 0$ is odd. In this case, q_j is a Weierstrass point, i.e. $h^0(2q_j) = 2$.

Denote by Q_j resp. P_{1j} resp. P_{2j} the section containing q_j resp. p_{1j} resp. p_{2j} .

Proposition 5.5. *Let C be a Teichmüller curve in the hyperelliptic locus of some stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g(m_1, \dots, m_k)$, and denote by (d_1, \dots, d_n) the orders of singularities of the corresponding quadratic differentials. Then there exists a filtration*

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_g = f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k)$$

satisfying: (1) V_i/V_{i-1} is a line bundle for each i , (2) $\deg(V_i/V_{i-1})$ is decreasing in i .

Proof. For each fiber F , the Weierstrass semigroup has at least a nonvarying subgroup generated by the elements $\{\{2q_j\}_{d_j \text{ odd}}, \{p_{1j} + p_{2j}\}_{d_j \text{ even}}\}$, which is equal to:

$$\left\{ \sum_{d_j \text{ odd}} 2k_j q_j + \sum_{d_j \text{ even}} n_j (p_{1j} + p_{2j}) \mid 2k_j \leq d_j + 1, 2n_j \leq d_j + 1 \right\}$$

($n_j \leq d_j/2 \Leftrightarrow 2n_j \leq d_j + 1$ for d_j even).

We order the following $g - 1$ numbers $\{-\frac{2k}{d_j+2}\}_{2k \leq d_j+1}$ to $\{N_1, \dots, N_{g-1}\}$ in decreasing order.

We transform $\{N_1, \dots, N_{g-1}\}$ to a new symbol set with $g - 1$ element $\{T_1, \dots, T_{g-1}\}$ by using the following rule:

- when d_j is odd

$$-\frac{2k}{d_j+2} \rightarrow 2Q_j,$$

- when d_j is even

$$-\frac{2k}{d_j+2} \rightarrow (P_{1j} + P_{2j}).$$

Then let $V_i = f_*\mathcal{O}(T_1 + \dots + T_{i-1})$. We get a filtration

$$0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_g = f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k).$$

If $N_i = -\frac{2k}{d_j+2}$ and d_j is odd, then $\{N_1, \dots, N_i\}$ contains $-\frac{2l}{d_j+2}, l \leq k$, hence $T_1 + \dots + T_{i-1}$ contain $2kQ_j$.

Since $V_{i-1} = f_*\mathcal{O}(T_1 + \dots + T_{i-1}) = f_*\mathcal{O}(T_1 + \dots + T_{i-1} + Q_j)$, by the nonvarying property and Lemma 4.7, we get $V_i/V_{i-1} = \mathcal{O}_{Q_j}(2kQ_j)$,

$$\deg(V_i/V_{i-1}) = \deg(\mathcal{O}_{Q_j}(2kQ_j)) = -\frac{2k}{(d_j+1)+1} = N_i.$$

If $N_i = -\frac{2k}{d_j+2}$ and d_j is even, then $\{N_1, \dots, N_i\}$ just contains $-\frac{2l}{d_j+2}, l \leq k$. $T_1 + \dots + T_{i-1}$ just contains $k(P_{1j} + P_{2j})$.

Similarly, we have $V_{i-1} = f_*\mathcal{O}(T_1 + \dots + T_{i-1}) = f_*\mathcal{O}(T_1 + \dots + T_{i-1} + P_{1j})$, and by the nonvarying property and Lemma 4.7, we get $V_i/V_{i-1} = \mathcal{O}_{P_{2j}}(k(P_{1j} + P_{2j}))$, and that

$$\deg(V_i/V_{i-1}) = \deg(\mathcal{O}_{P_{2j}}(k(P_{1j} + P_{2j}))) = -\frac{k}{d_j/2+1} = N_i.$$

So $\deg(V_i/V_{i-1})$ is decreasing in i . \square

Theorem 5.6. *Let C be a Teichmüller curve in the hyperelliptic locus of some stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g(m_1, \dots, m_k)$, and denote by (d_1, \dots, d_n) the orders of singularities of the underlying quadratic differentials. Then the Weierstrass exponents w_i for C is the i -th largest number in the following set*

$$\{1\} \cup \left\{1 - \frac{2k}{d_j+2}\right\}_{\forall d_j, 0 < 2k \leq d_j+1}.$$

Proof. The Weierstrass exponents are as follows:

$$w_1 = 1, w_i = \deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes V_i / \mathcal{L} \otimes V_{i-1}) / \deg(\mathcal{L}) = 1 + N_{i-1}.$$

\square

Corollary 5.7 ([EKZ2, Corollary 1]). *Let C be a Teichmüller curve in the hyperelliptic locus of some stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_g(m_1, \dots, m_k)$, and denote by (d_1, \dots, d_n) the orders of singularities of corresponding quadratic differential. Then the sum of Lyapunov exponents of C is*

$$L(C) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{d_j \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{d_j+2}.$$

Proof. Because $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = -4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L(C) &= 1 + \sum_{d_j \text{ odd}} \sum_{0 < 2k \leq d_j+1} \left(1 - \frac{2k}{d_j+2}\right) + \sum_{d_j \text{ even}} \sum_{0 < 2k \leq d_j+1} \left(1 - \frac{2k}{d_j+2}\right) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{0 < d_j \text{ odd}} \left(\frac{d_j}{4} + \frac{1}{4(d_j+2)}\right) + \sum_{d_j \text{ even}} \frac{d_j}{4} = 1 + \sum_{0 < d_j \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{4(d_j+2)} + \sum_{0 < d_j} \frac{d_j}{4} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{d_j \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{4(d_j+2)} + \sum_{d_j} \frac{d_j}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{d_j \text{ odd}} \frac{1}{d_j+2}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

5.3. The genus 3 case. In what follows, the dimension of special linear systems have been discussed stratum by stratum in the corresponding section of [CM1, section 5, section 6, section 7]. Note that for a Teichmüller curve, by Equation (3), we have:

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k) = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus (f_*\mathcal{O}(m_1D_1 + \dots + m_kD_k)/\mathcal{O}_C).$$

In the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_3(3, 1)$, a degenerate fibre is not hyperelliptic, so $h^0(p_1 + p_2) = h^0(2p_1) = 1 = g - 2$ for any fiber. By Lemma 4.7, we have

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(3D_1 + D_2) = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(3D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(D_2).$$

Remark 5.8. *The Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $f_*\mathcal{O}(3D_1 + D_2)$ is*

$$V_0 = 0 \subset V_1 = \mathcal{O}_C \subset V_2 = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(D_2) \subset V_3 = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(D_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(3D_1).$$

The Harder-Narasimhan filtration of $f_\omega_{S/C}$ is*

$$0 \subset \mathcal{L} \otimes V_1 \subset \mathcal{L} \otimes V_2 \subset \mathcal{L} \otimes V_3 = \mathcal{L} \otimes f_*\mathcal{O}(3D_1 + D_2).$$

The i -th Weierstrass exponent w_i can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes V_1 / \mathcal{L} \otimes V_0) / \deg(\mathcal{L}) \\ &= \deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C) / \deg(\mathcal{L}) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &= \deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes V_2 / \mathcal{L} \otimes V_1) / \deg(\mathcal{L}) \\ &= \deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(D_2)) / \deg(\mathcal{L}) \\ &= 1 + D_2^2 / \deg(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_3 &= \deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes V_3 / \mathcal{L} \otimes V_2) / \deg(\mathcal{L}) \\ &= \deg(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(3D_1)) / \deg(\mathcal{L}) \\ &= 1 + 3D_1^2 / \deg(\mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

and the sum of Weierstrass exponents equals the sum of Lyapunov exponents:

$$w_1 + w_2 + w_3 = 7/4 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3.$$

In the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_3^{odd}(2, 2)$, the theta characteristic is odd, so $h^0(p_1 + p_2) = 1 = g - 2$. By Lemma 4.7, we have

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(2D_1 + 2D_2) = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(2D_2).$$

In the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_3(2, 1, 1)$, we have $h^0(p_1 + p_3) = 1 = g - 2$. By Lemma 4.7, we have

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(2D_1 + D_2 + D_3) = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(D_2).$$

TABLE 1. genus 3

zeros	component	Weierstrass exponents		
		w_2	w_3	$\sum w_i$
(4)	hyp	3/5	1/5	9/5
(4)	odd	2/5	1/5	8/5
(3,1)		2/4	1/4	7/4
(2,2)	hyp	2/3	1/3	2
(2,2)	odd	1/3	1/3	5/3
(2,1,1)		1/2	1/3	11/6
(1,1,1,1)				varying

5.4. **The genus 4 case.** In the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4(5, 1)$, the curve C does not meet the pointed Brill-Noether divisor $BN_{3,(2)}^1$, so $h^0(3p_1) = 1 = g - 3$. By Lemma 4.7,

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(5D_1 + D_2) = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus f_*\mathcal{O}_{2D_1}(5D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(D_2).$$

We also have $h^0(4p_1) - 1 = h^0(3p_1) = h^0(2p_1) = 1$, since by Lemma 3.13

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{2D_1}(5D_1) = \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(5D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(4D_1).$$

In the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{even}(4, 2)$, we have $h^0(2p_1 + p_2) = 2, h^0(3p_1 + 2p_2) = 3$. If $h^0(3p_1 + p_2) = 3$, then by Riemann-Roch $h^0(p_1 + p_2) = 2$, hence p_1 and p_2 are in the same component of the fiber F . This component admits an involution that acts on the zeros of ω . But p_1 and p_2 have different orders of zeros, so they cannot be conjugate under the involution. This contradiction implies that we have $h^0(3p_1 + p_2) = 2$ and $h^0(p_1 + p_2) = 1$.

We get a Weierstrass pair filtration

$$\{(1, 1), (2, 1)\}, \{(3, 1), (3, 2)\}, \{(3, 2), (4, 2)\},$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\subset f_*\mathcal{O}(D_1 + D_2) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(2D_1 + D_2) = f_*\mathcal{O}(3D_1 + D_2) \\ &\subset f_*\mathcal{O}(3D_1 + 2D_2) = f_*\mathcal{O}(3D_1 + 2D_2) \subset f_*\mathcal{O}(4D_1 + 2D_2). \end{aligned}$$

This is also the Harder-Narasimhan filtration, as the graded quotients are line bundles.

In the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{odd}(4, 2)$, the theta characteristic is odd, so $h^0(2p_1 + p_2) = 1 = g - 3$. By Lemma 4.7,

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(4D_1 + 2D_2) = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus f_*\mathcal{O}_{2D_1}(4D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(2D_2).$$

We also have $h^0(3p_1 + p_2) - 1 = h^0(2p_1 + p_2) = h^0(p_1 + p_2) = 1$, since by Lemma 3.13

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{2D_1}(4D_1) = \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(4D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(3D_1).$$

In the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{non-hyp}(3, 3)$, the curve C does not meet the pointed Brill-Noether divisor $BN_{3,(1,1)}^1$, so $h^0(2p_1 + p_2) = 1 = g - 3$. By Lemma 4.7,

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(3D_1 + 3D_2) = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus f_*\mathcal{O}_{D_1}(3D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{2D_2}(3D_2).$$

We have $h^0(3p_1 + p_2) - 1 = h^0(2p_1 + p_2) = h^0(p_1 + p_2) = 1$, since by Lemma 3.13

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{2D_2}(3D_2) = \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(3D_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(2D_2).$$

TABLE 2. genus 4

zeros	component	Weierstrass exponents			
		w_2	w_3	w_4	$\sum w_i$
(6)	hyp	5/7	3/7	1/7	16/7
(6)	even	4/7	2/7	1/7	14/7
(6)	odd	3/7	2/7	1/7	13/7
(5,1)		1/2	2/6	1/6	2
(3,3)	hyp	3/4	2/4	1/4	5/2
(3,3)	non-hyp	2/4	1/4	1/4	2
(4,2)	even	3/5	1/3	1/5	32/15
(4,2)	odd	2/5	1/3	1/5	29/15
(2,2,2)	odd	1/3	1/3	1/3	2
(3,2,1)		1/2	1/3	1/4	25/12

In the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{odd}(2, 2, 2)$, by Clifford's Theorem, we get $h^0(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) = 1$. By Lemma 4.7 we get

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(2D_1 + 2D_2 + 2D_3) = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(2D_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_3}(2D_3).$$

In the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4(3, 2, 1)$, the curve C does not meet the pointed Brill-Noether divisor $BN_{4,(1,1,2)}^1$, as it has been shown in [CM1, section 6.8] that $h^0(2p_1 + p_2) = h^0(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) = 1 = g - 3$. By Lemma 4.7,

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(3D_1 + 2D_2 + D_3) = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus f_*\mathcal{O}_{2D_1}(3D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(2D_2).$$

We have $h^0(3p_1 + p_2) - 1 = h^0(2p_1 + p_2) = h^0(p_1 + p_2) = 1$, since by Lemma 3.13

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{2D_1}(3D_1) = \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(3D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(2D_1).$$

5.5. The genus 5 case. In the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_5(5, 3)$, the curve C does not meet the pointed Brill-Noether divisor $BN_{4,(1,2)}^1$, therefore $h^0(2p_1 + 2p_2) = 1$, and by Riemann-Roch Theorem, we get $h^0(3p_1 + p_2) = 1 = g - 4$. By Lemma 4.7,

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(5D_1 + 3D_2) = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_{2D_1}(5D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{2D_2}(3D_2).$$

Thus $h^0(4p_1 + p_2) - 1 = h^0(3p_1 + p_2) = h^0(2p_1 + p_2) = 1$, and $h^0(p_1 + 4p_2) - 1 = h^0(p_1 + 3p_2) = h^0(p_1 + 2p_2) = 1$, so by Lemma 3.13

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{2D_1}(5D_1) = \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(5D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(4D_1),$$

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{2D_2}(3D_2) = \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(3D_2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(2D_2).$$

In the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_5^{odd}(6, 2)$, we need the following lemma, whose proof is due to D.Chen. The reader can also read [CM2, Lemma A.8] for another proof.

Lemma 5.9 (Chen). *In the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_5^{odd}(6, 2)$, we have $h^0(3p_1 + p_2) = 1$.*

Proof. If the curve X is smooth or irreducible, then $h^0(3p_1 + p_2) = 3$ would imply, by Clifford's Theorem, that X is hyperelliptic and $2p_1$ linear equivalence to $p_1 + p_2$ is impossible.

If X is reducible, it can have at most two components Z and Y meeting at n nodes ($n > 1$), such that $6 = 2g_1 - 2 + n$ and $2 = 2g_2 - 2 + n$. Therefore the only possibilities for (g_1, g_2, n) are $(3, 1, 2)$ and $(2, 0, 4)$.

TABLE 3. genus 5

zeros	component	Weierstrass exponents				
		w_2	w_3	w_4	w_5	$\sum w_i$
(8)	hyp	7/9	5/9	3/9	1/9	25/9
(8)	even	5/9	3/9	2/9	1/9	20/9
(8)	odd	4/9	3/9	2/9	1/9	19/9
(5,3)		1/2	1/3	1/4	1/6	9/4
(6,2)	odd	3/7	1/3	2/7	1/7	46/21
(4,4)	hyp	4/5	3/5	2/5	1/5	3

For the first case, the elliptic component Y contains p_2 and $h^0(p_2) = 1$, i.e. all the sections are given by constant functions. The other component Z contains p_1 with $h^0(3p_1) < 3$, and a section on Z uniquely determines the constant section on Y , by its values at the nodes (assuming the same value). Hence $h^0(3p_1 + p_2) < 3$ on X .

For the second case, $h^0(p_2) = 2$ on the rational component Y . Then $h^0(3p_1)$ has to be 2 on Z , hence p_1 is a Weierstrass point. But in order to glue two sections on Y and Z , they need to have the same value at each of the four nodes. The four nodes form two conjugate pairs on the hyperelliptic curve Z , hence gluing two sections still imposes two conditions. Therefore $h^0(3p_1 + p_2) \leq 2 + 2 - 2 < 3$ on X . \square

We get $h^0(3p_1 + p_2) = 1 = g - 4$. By Lemma 4.7,

$$f_*\mathcal{O}(6D_1 + 2D_2) = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_{3D_1}(6D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_2}(2D_2),$$

and we have

$$h^0(4p_1 + p_2) - 1 = h^0(3p_1 + p_2) = h^0(2p_1 + p_2) = 1,$$

since by Lemma 3.13

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_{3D_1}(6D_1) = \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(6D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(5D_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{D_1}(4D_1).$$

Theorem 5.10. *For a Teichmüller curve in the strata $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_3(3, 1), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_3^{odd}(2, 2), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_3(2, 1, 1)$
 $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4(5, 1), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{odd}(4, 2), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{non-hyp}(3, 3), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{odd}(2, 2, 2), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4(3, 2, 1)$
 $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_5(5, 3), \overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_5^{odd}(6, 2),$*

the Weierstrass exponents can explicitly be calculated as in Tables 1, 2 and 3. Moreover $f_\omega_{S/C}$ splits into a direct sum of line bundles.*

For a Teichmüller curve in the stratum $\overline{\Omega\mathcal{M}}_4^{even}(4, 2)$, the Weierstrass exponents can explicitly be calculated as in Table 2.

Proof. In all the cases, we have constructed a filtration of type (11). \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are very grateful to Dawei Chen for pointing out a mistake in a preliminary version of this paper and for making several remarks. We thank Martin Möller and Anton Zorich for their helpful advice. We also thank Ke Chen and Ronggang Shi for carefully reading the paper and their suggestions on the presentation of the

paper. We would like to thank anonymous referees for their careful reviews of the paper that include many important points.

REFERENCES

- [ACGH] E.Arbarello, M.Cornalba, P.A.Griffiths and J.Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves*. Vol. I, volume 267 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [Ba] M.Bainbridge, *Euler characteristics of Teichmüller curves in genus two*. *Geom. Topol.* **11**(2007), 1887-2073.
- [Bu] E.M.Bullock, *Subcanonical points on algebraic curves*. Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, 2009. arxiv.org/abs/1002.2984.
- [BM] I.Bouw and M.Möller, *Teichmüller curves, triangle groups and Lyapunov exponents*. *Ann of math.*(2) **172**(1)(2010), 139-185.
- [Ch] D.Chen, *Square-tiled surfaces and rigid curves on moduli spaces*. *Adv. Math.* **228** (2011), no. 2, 1135-1162.
- [CM1] D.Chen and M.Möller, *Nonvarying sums of Lyapunov exponents of Abelian differentials in low genus*. *Geom. Topol.* **16**(2012), no. 4, 2427-2479.
- [CM2] D.Chen and M.Möller, *Quadratic differentials in low genus: exceptional and nonvarying*. To appear in *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*
- [EKZ1] A.Eskin, M.Kontsevich and A.Zorich, *Lyapunov spectrum of square-tiled cyclic covers*. *J. Mod. Dyn.* **5**(2011), no. 2, 319-353.
- [EKZ2] A.Eskin, M.Kontsevich and A.Zorich, *Sum of Lyapunov exponents of the Hodge bundle with respect to the Teichmüller geodesic flow*. arxiv.org/abs/1112.5872.
- [EMZ] A.Eskin, H. Masur and A.Zorich, *Moduli spaces of Abelian differentials: the principal boundary, counting problems and the Siegel-Veech constats*. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes*, **97**(2003), 61-179.
- [FMZ] G.Forni, C. Matheus and A.Zorich, *Square-tiled cyclic covers*. *J. Mod. Dyn.* **5**(2011), no.2, 285-318.
- [Ha] R.Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. **52**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [HL] D.Huybrechts and M.Lehn, *The Geometry of Moduli spaces of sheaves*. *Aspects of Mathematics* **31**, Friedr. Vieweg and Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.
- [KZ1] M.Kontsevich and A.Zorich, *Lyapunov exponents and Hodge theory*. arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9701164.
- [KZ2] M.Kontsevich and A.Zorich, *Connected components of the moduli spaces of Abelian differentials with prescribed singularities*. *Invent. Math.* **153**(3)(2003), 631-678.
- [LM] E.Lanneau and D.-N.Manh, *Teichmüller curves generated by Weierstraß Prym eigenforms in genus three*. arxiv.org/abs/1111.2299.
- [Mc1] C.T.McMullen, *Billiards and Teichmüller curves on Hilbert modular surfaces*. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16**(2003), no. 4, 857-885.
- [Mc2] C.T.McMullen, *Prym varieties and Teichmüller curves*. *Duke Math.J.* **133**(2006), no. 3, 569-590.
- [Mc3] C.T.McMullen, *Foliations of Hilbert modular surfaces*. *Amer. J. Math.* **129**(2007), no. 1, 183-215.
- [Mo1] M.Möller, *Shimura and Teichmüller curves*. *J. Mod. Dyn.* **5**(2011), no. 1, 1-32.
- [Mo2] M.Möller, *Prym covers, theta functions and Kobayashi curves in Hilbert modular surfaces*. to appear in *Amer. Journal of Math.* arxiv.org/abs/1111.2624,
- [Mo3] M.Möller, *Teichmüller curves, mainly from the view point of algebraic geometry*. available on the author's webpage, to appear as PCMI lecture notes.
- [VZ] E.Viehweg and K.Zuo, *A characterization of Shimura curves in the moduli stack of abelian varieties*. *J.Diff. Geometry* **66**(2004), no.2, 233-287.
- [Xi] G.Xiao, *Fibered algebraic surfaces with low slope*. *Math. Ann.* **276** (1987), no. 3, 449-466.
- [YZ] F.Yu and K.Zuo, *Weierstrass filtration on Teichmüller curves and Lyapunov exponents: Upper bound*. arxiv.org/abs/1209.2733.

FACHBEREICH 08-PHYSIK MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ, 55099 MAINZ,
GERMANY

E-mail address: vvyuifei@gmail.com,yu@xmu.edu.cn

FACHBEREICH 08-PHYSIK MATHEMATIK UND INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ, 55099 MAINZ,
GERMANY

E-mail address: zuok@uni-mainz.de