# An improved bound on acyclic chromatic index of planar graphs \*

Yue Guan, Jianfeng Hou<sup>†</sup>, Yingyuan Yang Center for Discrete Mathematics, Fuzhou University, Fujian, P. R. China, 350002

Abstract: A proper edge coloring of a graph G is called acyclic if there is no bichromatic cycle in G. The acyclic chromatic index of G, denoted by  $\chi'_a(G)$ , is the least number of colors k such that G has an acyclic edge k-coloring. Basavaraju et al. [Acyclic edgecoloring of planar graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 25 (2) (2011), 463–478] showed that  $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 12$  for planar graphs G with maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$ . In this paper, the bound is improved to  $\Delta(G) + 10$ .

Keywords: acyclic edge coloring, planar graph, critical graph

### 1 Introduction

In this paper, all considered graphs are finite, simple and undirected. We use V(G), E(G),  $\delta(G)$  and  $\Delta(G)$  (or  $V, E, \delta$  and  $\Delta$  for simple) to denote the vertex set, the edge set, the minimum degree and the maximum degree of a graph G, respectively. For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , let N(v) denote the set of vertices adjacent to v and d(v) = |N(v)| denote the degree of v. Let  $N_k(v) = \{x \in N(v) | d(x) = k\}$  and  $n_k(v) = |N_k(v)|$ . A vertex of degree k is called a k-vertex. We write a  $k^+$ -vertex for a vertex of degree at least k, and a  $k^-$ -vertex for that of degree at most k. The girth of a graph G, denoted by g(G), is the length of its shortest cycle.

As usual [k] stands for the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ .

A proper edge k-coloring of a graph G is a mapping  $\phi$  from E(G) to the color set [k] such that no pair of adjacent edges are colored with the same color. A proper edge coloring of a graph G is called *acyclic* if there is no bichromatic cycle in G. In other words, if the union of any two color classes induces a subgraph of G which is a forest. The *acyclic chromatic index* of G, denoted by  $\chi'_a(G)$ , is the least number of colors k such that G has an acyclic edge k-coloring.

Acyclic edge coloring has been widely studied over the past twenty years. The first general linear upper bound on  $\chi'_a(G)$  was found by Alon et al. [1] who proved that  $\chi'_a(G) \leq 64\Delta(G)$ . This bound was improved to  $16\Delta(G)$  by Molloy and Reed [13].

In 2001, Alon, Sudakov and Zaks [2] stated the Acyclic Edge Coloring Conjecture, which says that  $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$  for every graph G. Though the best known upper

<sup>\*</sup>This work is supported by research grants NSFC (11001055) and NSFFP (2010J05004, 2011J06001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>The corresponding author: jfhou@fzu.edu.cn

bound for general case is far from the conjecture  $\Delta(G) + 2$ , the conjecture has been shown to be true for some special classes of graphs, including graphs with maximum degree at most three [4], non regular graphs with maximum degree at most four [6], outerplanar graphs [11], graphs with large girth [2], and so on.

Fiedorowicz et al. [9] gave an upper bound of  $2\Delta(G) + 29$  for planar graphs and of  $\Delta(G) + 6$  for triangle-free planar graphs. Independently, Hou et al. [12] proved that  $\chi'_a(G) \leq \max\{2\Delta(G) - 2, \Delta(G) + 22\}$  for planar graphs and  $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$  for planar graphs with girth at least 5. Borowiecki and Fiedorowicz [8] showed that  $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 15$  for planar graphs without cycles of length 4. Hou et al. [10] improved the bound to  $\Delta(G) + 4$ . Recently, Borowiecki et al. [5] showed that  $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 12$  for planar graphs. In this paper, the bound is improved to  $\Delta(G) + 10$  as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** Let G be a planar graph with maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$ . Then  $\chi'_a(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 10$ .

In Section 2, we give a structural lemma of planar graphs using discharging method. In Section 3, we consider the properties of so-called acyclically edge critical graphs. In Section 4, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

### 2 A structural lemma

In this section, we give a structural lemma of planar graphs using the well-know discharging method. This lemma plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 2.1** Let G be a connected planar graph. Then there exists a vertex v with k neighbors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  with  $d(v_1) \leq \dots \leq d(v_k)$  such that at least one of the following is true:

 $(\mathcal{A}_{1}) \ k \leq 2;$   $(\mathcal{A}_{2}) \ k = 3 \ with \ d(v_{1}) \leq 11;$   $(\mathcal{A}_{3}) \ k = 4 \ with \ d(v_{1}) \leq 7 \ and \ d(v_{2}) \leq 9;$   $(\mathcal{A}_{4}) \ k = 5 \ with \ d(v_{1}) < 6, \ d(v_{2}) < 7 \ and \ d(v_{3}) < 8.$ 

**Proof.** By contradiction, let G be a planar graph with a fixed embedding in the plane and suppose that G is a counterexample to the lemma. Let F(G) denote its face set. We may assume that G is a 2-connected triangulation, for otherwise, we could add edges to G obtaining a triangulation G'. If none of  $(\mathcal{A}_1)$ - $(\mathcal{A}_4)$  holds for G, then clearly none of  $(\mathcal{A}_1)$ - $(\mathcal{A}_4)$  holds for G'.

We associate a charge  $\varphi(x)$  to each element  $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$  by  $\varphi(x) = 2d(x) - 6$  if  $x \in V(G)$ , and  $\varphi(x) = d(x) - 6$  if  $x \in F(G)$ . We shall transfer the charge of the vertices to the faces of G, in such a way that the total charge of vertices and faces remains constant. The discharging rules are defined as follows.

 $(R_1)$  Every 6<sup>+</sup>-vertex v of G gives  $\frac{\varphi(v)}{d(v)}$  to each incident face.

 $(R_2)$  Let v be a 4-vertex of G. If its neighbors are 8<sup>+</sup>-vertices, then v gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  to each incident face. Otherwise, v is adjacent to at most one vertex whose degree is at most

seven, say  $v_1$ . Then v gives  $\frac{4}{5}$  to each each incident face containing  $vv_1$  and  $\frac{1}{5}$  to each the other incident face.

 $(R_3)$  Let v be a 5-vertex with neighbors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_5$  such that  $d(v_1) \leq \dots \leq d(v_5)$ .  $(R_{3.1})$  If  $d(v_1) \geq 7$ , then v gives  $\frac{4}{5}$  to each incident face.

 $(R_{3,2})$  If  $d(v_1) \leq 6$  and  $d(v_2) \geq 8$ , then v gives  $\frac{5}{4}$  to each incident face containing  $vv_1$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  to each the other incident face.

 $(R_{3.3})$  If  $d(v_1) \leq 6$  and  $d(v_2) \leq 7$ , then  $d(v_3) \geq 9$ . When the edge  $vv_1$  and  $vv_2$  belonging to a same face f, then v gives 1 to face f,  $\frac{5}{6}$  to the face only containing  $vv_1$  or  $vv_2$ ,  $\frac{2}{3}$  to each remaining incident face. Otherwise, v gives  $\frac{13}{15}$  to each face containing  $vv_1$  or  $vv_2$ ,  $\frac{8}{15}$  to each the other incident face.

By Euler's formula, we have

x

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \varphi(v) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} \varphi(f) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} (2d(v) - 6) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} (d(f) - 6) = -12.$$
(1)

After doing all possible charge transfers once, let  $\varphi'(x)$  be the resulting charge on each element  $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ . Then

$$\sum_{e \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \varphi'(x) = \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \varphi(x) = -12.$$
(2)

Now we shall show  $\varphi'(x) \ge 0$  for any  $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ , a contradiction to (2).

Let v be any vertex of G. Clearly,  $\varphi'(v) = \varphi(v) = 0$ , if d(v) = 3, and  $\varphi'(v) = \varphi(v) - d(v) \times \frac{\varphi(v)}{d(v)} = 0$ , if  $d(v) \ge 6$  by  $(R_1)$ .

Suppose that v is a 4-vertex. Then  $\varphi(v) = 2$ . If the neighbors of v are 8<sup>+</sup>-vertices, then  $\varphi'(v) = \varphi(v) - 4 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$ ; otherwise,  $\varphi'(v) = \varphi(v) - 2 \times \frac{4}{5} - 2 \times \frac{1}{5} = 0$  by  $(R_2)$ .

Suppose that v is a 5-vertex. Then  $\varphi(v) = 4$ . If  $d(v_1) \ge 7$ , then  $\varphi'(v) \ge \varphi(v) - 5 \times \frac{4}{5} = 0$ by  $(R_{3.1})$ . If  $d(v_1) \le 6$  and  $d(v_2) \ge 8$ , then  $\varphi'(v) \ge \varphi(v) - 2 \times \frac{5}{4} - 3 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$  by  $(R_{3.2})$ . Otherwise,  $d(v_1) \le 6$ ,  $d(v_2) \le 7$  and  $d(v_3) \ge 9$ , since G does not contain the configuration  $(\mathcal{A}_4)$ . In this case, if  $vv_1$  and  $vv_2$  are incident with a same face, then  $\varphi'(v) \ge \varphi(v) - 1 - 2 \times \frac{5}{6} - 2 \times \frac{2}{3} = 0$ . Otherwise,  $\varphi'(v) \ge \varphi(v) - 4 \times \frac{13}{15} - \frac{8}{15} = 0$ .

Let f = [xyz] be any 3-face of G with  $d(x) \leq d(y) \leq d(z)$ . Then  $\varphi(f) = -3$ . If  $d(x) \geq 6$ , then f gets at least 1 from each incident vertex,  $\varphi'(f) \geq \varphi(f) + 3 \times 1 = 0$ . If d(x) = 6, then  $d(y) \geq 12$ . This implies that y (or z) gives at least  $\frac{3}{2}$  to f by  $(R_1)$ , so  $\varphi'(f) \geq \varphi(f) + 2 \times \frac{3}{2} = 0$ .

Suppose that  $d(\tilde{x}) = 4$ . Then x gives at least  $\frac{1}{5}$  to f. We consider the degree of y. If  $d(y) \ge 10$ , then  $\varphi'(f) \ge \varphi(f) + \frac{1}{5} + 2 \times \frac{7}{5} = 0$ . If  $8 \le d(y) \le 9$ , since G does not contain the configuration  $(\mathcal{A}_3)$ , v is not adjacent to a 7<sup>-</sup>-vertex. This implies x gives  $\frac{1}{2}$  to f by  $(R_2)$ , so  $\varphi'(f) \ge \varphi(f) + \frac{1}{2} + 2 \times \frac{5}{4} = 0$ . Otherwise,  $d(y) \le 7$  and  $d(z) \ge 10$ . The vertex x gives  $\frac{4}{5}$  to f by  $(R_2)$  and z gives at least  $\frac{7}{5}$  by  $(R_1)$ . Moreover, y gives at least  $\frac{5}{4}$  to f by  $(R_1)$ ,  $(R_2)$  and  $(R_3)$ . Thus  $\varphi'(f) \ge \varphi(f) + 2 \times \frac{4}{5} + \frac{7}{5} = 0$ .

Suppose that d(x) = 5. Then x gives at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  to f. If  $d(y) \ge 8$ , then  $\varphi'(f) \ge \varphi(f) + \frac{1}{2} + 2 \times \frac{5}{4} = 0$  by  $(R_1)$ . If d(y) = 7, then x gives  $\frac{4}{5}$  to f by  $(R_3)$ , so  $\varphi'(f) \ge \varphi(f) + \frac{4}{5} + 2 \times \frac{8}{7} > 0$ . Otherwise, d(y) = 5 or 6. Note that x (or y) gives at least  $\frac{5}{6}$  to f by  $(R_1)$  and  $(R_3)$ . We consider the degree of z.

Case 1.  $d(y) \ge 9$ Then  $\varphi'(f) \ge \varphi(f) + \frac{4}{3} + 2 \times \frac{5}{6} = 0$ . Case 2. d(y) = 8. In this case, x gives  $\frac{5}{4}$  to f. Thus  $\varphi'(f) \ge \varphi(f) + \frac{5}{6} + 2 \times \frac{5}{4} > 0$ . Case 3.  $d(y) \le 7$ .

In this case, x sends 1 to f by  $(R_{3,3})$ , x (or y) sends at least 1 to f by  $(R_1)$  and  $(R_{3,3})$ . Thus  $\varphi'(f) \ge \varphi(f) + 3 \times 1 = 0$ .

In any case, we have  $\varphi'(x) \ge 0$  for any  $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ , a contradiction to (2). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

## **3** Properties of acyclically edge k-critical graphs

Let  $\phi : E(G) \longrightarrow [k]$  be an edge k-coloring of G. For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  and an edge e = uv, we say that the color  $\phi(e)$  appears on v. Let  $C_{\phi}(v) = \{\phi(uv) | u \in N(v)\}$  and  $F_{\phi}(uv) = \{\phi(vv') | v' \in N(v), v' \neq v\}$ . Note that  $F_{\phi}(uv)$  need not be the same as  $F_{\phi}(vu)$ .

Recall that a *multiset* is a generalized set where a member can appear multiple times. If an element x appears t times in a multiset S, then we say that the *multiplicity* of x in S is t. In notation  $mult_S(x) = t$ . The cardinality of a finite multiset S, denoted by ||S||, is defined as  $\sum_{x \in S} mult_S(x)$ . Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two multisets. The *join* of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , denoted as  $S_1 \uplus S_2$ , is the multiset that have all the members of  $S_1$  as well as  $S_2$  such that for any  $x \in S_1 \uplus S_2$ ,  $mult_{S_1 \uplus S_2}(x) = mult_{S_1}(x) + mult_{S_2}(x)$ .

Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be two colors. An  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -maximal bichromatic path with respect to  $\phi$  is maximal path consisting of edges that are colored  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  alternatingly. An  $(\alpha, \beta, u, v)$ critical path is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -maximal bichromatic path which starts at the vertex u with an edge colored  $\alpha$  and ends at v with an edge colored  $\alpha$ .

A graph G is called an *acyclically edge k-critical graph* if  $\chi'_a(G) > k$  and any proper subgraph of G is acyclically edge k-colorable. Obviously, if G is an acyclically edge kcritical graph with  $k > \Delta(G)$ , then  $\Delta(G) \ge 3$ . The following facts are obvious.

**Fact 3.1** Given a pair of color  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of a proper edge coloring  $\phi$  of G, there is at most one  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -maximal bichromatic path containing a particular vertex v, with respect to  $\phi$ .

**Fact 3.2** Let G be an acyclically edge k-critical graph, and uv be an edge of G. Then for any acyclically edge k-coloring  $\phi$  of G-uv, if  $C_{\phi}(u) \cap C_{\phi}(v) = \emptyset$ , then  $d(u)+d(v) \ge k+2$ . If  $|C_{\phi}(u) \cap C_{\phi}(v)| = t$ , say  $\phi(uu_i) = \phi(vv_i)$  for i = 1, 2, ..., t, then  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} d(v_i) + d(u) + d(v) \ge k + t + 2$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{t} d(u_i) + d(u) + d(v) \ge k + t + 2$ .

In [10], Hou et al. considered the properties of acyclically edge k-critical graphs and got the following lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1** [10] Any acyclically edge k-critical graph is 2-connected.

**Lemma 3.2** [10] Let G be an acyclically edge k-critical graph with  $k \ge \Delta(G) + 2$  and v be a 3-vertex of G. Then the neighbors of v are  $(k - \Delta(G) + 2)^+$ -vertices.

In this section, we give some properties of acyclically edge critical graphs, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 3.3** Let v be a 2-vertex of an acyclically edge k-critical graph G with  $k > \Delta(G)$ . Then the neighbors of v are  $(k - \Delta(G) + 3)^+$ -vertices.

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that v has a neighbor u whose degree is at most  $k - \Delta(G) + 2$ . Let  $N(v) = \{u, w\}$  and  $N(u) = \{v, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_t\}$ , where  $t \leq k - \Delta(G) + 1$ . Then the graph G' = G - uv admits an acyclic edge k-coloring  $\phi$  by the choice of G with  $\phi(uu_i) = i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Since  $d(u) + d(v) \leq \Delta(G) + 2$ , we have  $\phi(wv) \in C_{\phi}(u)$  by Fact 3.2, say  $\phi(wv) = 1$ . Then for any  $t + 1 \leq i \leq k$ , there is a (1, i, u, v)-critical path through  $u_1$  and w with respect to  $\phi$ , since otherwise we can color uv with i properly with avoiding bichromatic cycle, a contradiction to the choice of G. Thus  $\Delta(G) \geq d(u_1) \geq k - t + 1$ . This implies that  $t = k - \Delta(G) + 1$  and  $C_{\phi}(u_1) = C_{\phi}(w) = \{1, k - \Delta(G) + 2, \ldots, k\}$ . Recolor wv with 2, by the same argument, there is a (2, i, u, v)-critical path through  $u_2$  and w for any  $t + 1 \leq i \leq k$ . Now exchange the colors on  $uu_1$  and  $uu_2$ , and color uv with t + 1. The resulting coloring is an acyclic edge coloring of G using k colors by Fact 3.1, a contradiction.

**Lemma 3.4** Let v be a t-vertex of an acyclically edge k-critical graph G with  $k > \Delta(G)$ and  $t \ge k - \Delta(G) + 2$ . Then  $n_2(v) \le t + \Delta(G) - k - 2$ .

**Proof.** If  $n_2(v) = 0$ , we are done. Otherwise,  $t \ge k - \Delta(G) + 3$  by Lemma 3.3. By contradiction, suppose that v has neighbors  $v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_t$  with  $d(v_i) \ge 3$  for any  $1 \le i \le m$ , where  $m \le k - \Delta(G) + 1$ , and  $N(v_i) = \{v, u_i\}$  for any  $m + 1 \le i \le t$ . The graph  $G' = G - vv_t$  admits an acyclic edge k-coloring  $\phi$  by the choice of G with  $\phi(vv_i) = i$  for  $1 \le i \le t - 1$ . Since  $d(v) + d(v_t) \le \Delta(G) + 2$ ,  $\phi(u_tv_t) \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$  by Fact 3.2, say  $\phi(u_tv_t) = 1$ . Then for any color i with  $t \le i \le k$ , there is a  $(1, i, v, v_t)$ -critical path through  $v_1$  and  $u_t$ . Note that for any i with  $m + 1 \le i \le t - 1$ , the color i should appear on  $u_t$ , since otherwise, we can recolor  $u_tv_t$  with i and color  $vv_t$  with a color appearing neither on v nor on  $v_i$ . Thus  $\{m+1, \cdots, k\} \subseteq C_{\phi}(u_t)$ . Then  $\Delta(G) \ge d(u_t) \ge k - m + 1$ . This implies that  $m = k - \Delta(G) + 1$  and  $d(u_t) = \Delta(G)$ . For any  $1 \le i \le m$ , and  $t \le j \le k$ , there is a  $(i, j, v, v_t)$ -critical path through  $v_i$  and  $u_t$  if we recolor  $v_tv_t$  with i, since otherwise, we can recolor  $vv_t$  with j.

**Claim.** For any  $m + 1 \le i \le t - 1$ , there is a  $(1, i, v, v_t)$ -critical path through  $v_1$  and  $u_t$  with respect to  $\phi$ .

**Proof.** Otherwise, suppose that there exists a color  $i_0$  with  $m + 1 \le i_0 \le t - 1$ , such that there is not a  $(1, i_0, v, v_t)$ -critical path through  $v_1$  and  $u_t$ . Color  $vv_t$  with  $i_0$ , uncolor  $vv_{i_0}$ , the colors on the other edges unchange, we get an acyclic edge coloring  $\varphi$  of  $G - vv_{i_0}$ . It follows from Fact 3.2 that  $\varphi(u_{i_0}v_{i_0}) \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$ . Coloring  $vv_{i_0}$  with t results in an acyclic edge coloring of G, a contradiction.

If we recolor  $u_t v_t$  with 2, as in the proof above, there is a  $(2, i, v, v_t)$ -critical path through  $v_2$  and  $u_t$  for any  $m + 1 \le i \le t - 1$ . Thus  $d(v_i) = \Delta(G)$  and  $F_{\phi}(vv_i) = \{m + 1, \dots, k\}$  for i = 1, 2. We exchange the colors on  $vv_1$  and  $vv_2$ , and color  $vv_t$  with t. The resulting coloring is an acyclic edge coloring of G by Fact 3.1, a contradiction. **Lemma 3.5** Let uv be an edge of an acyclically edge k-critical graph G with  $k > \Delta(G)$ . If  $d(u) + d(v) \le k - \Delta(G) + 4$ , then for any acyclic edge k-coloring  $\phi$  of G - uv,  $|C_{\phi}(v) \cap C_{\phi}(u)| \ge 2$ .

**Proof.** By contradiction, suppose that there exists an acyclic edge k-coloring  $\phi$  of G - uv such that  $|C_{\phi}(v) \cap C_{\phi}(u)| \leq 1$ . It follows from Fact 3.2 that  $|C_{\phi}(v) \cap C_{\phi}(u)| = 1$ , say  $\phi(uu_1) = \phi(vv_1) = 1$ . Let  $T = [k] \setminus C_{\phi}(v) \cup C_{\phi}(u)$ . Then  $|T| = k - |C_{\phi}(v) \cup C_{\phi}(u)| \geq k - (k - \Delta(G) + 1) = \Delta(G) - 1$ . Moreover, for any color  $i \in T$ , there is a (1, i, u, v)-critical path through  $u_1$  and  $v_1$ . This implies that  $|T| = \Delta(G) - 1$ ,  $F_{\phi}(uu_1) = F_{\phi}(vv_1) = T$ , and  $d(u) + d(v) = k - \Delta(G) + 4 \geq 5$ . We may assume that  $d(u) \geq 3$ . Let  $u_2$  be another neighbor of u different from  $u_1$  and assume that  $\phi(uu_2) = 2$ . Then  $2 \notin C_{\phi}(v)$ . Recoloring  $vv_1$  with 2 results in another acyclic edge k-coloring  $\phi'$  of G - uv. Similarly, there is a (2, i, u, v)-critical path through  $u_2$  and  $v_1$  with respect to  $\phi'$  for any  $i \in T$ . Thus  $F_{\phi}(uu_2) = T$ . Then we exchange the colors on  $uu_1$  and  $uu_2$ , and color uv with a color in T. By Fact 3.1, the resulting coloring is an acyclic edge coloring of G, a contradiction.

**Lemma 3.6** Let v be  $3^+$ -vertex of an acyclically edge k-critical graph G with neighbors  $u, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t$ , where  $k > \Delta(G)$ , and  $\phi$  be an acyclic edge k-coloring of G - uv. If  $d(u) + d(v) \leq k - \Delta(G) + 4$  and  $|C_{\phi}(v) \cap C_{\phi}(u)| = 2$ , then the multiset  $S = F_{\phi}(vv_1) \uplus F_{\phi}(vv_2) \uplus \cdots F_{\phi}(vv_t)$  contains at least d(u) colors from  $C_{\phi}(u) \cup C_{\phi}(v)$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $\phi(vv_i) = i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t$ ,  $\{1, 2\} \subseteq C_{\phi}(u)$ . Let  $T = [k] \setminus C_{\phi}(v) \cup C_{\phi}(u)$ . Then  $|T| = k - |C_{\phi}(v) \cup C_{\phi}(u)| \ge k - (k - \Delta(G)) = \Delta(G)$ , and for any color  $i \in T$ , there is either a (1, i, u, v)-critical path or a (2, i, u, v)-critical path. Note that for  $i = 1, 2, F_{\phi}(vv_i) \cap \{3, \dots, t\} \neq \emptyset$ , since otherwise, we can choose a color from  $T \setminus F_{\phi}(vv_i)$  to recolor  $vv_i$  and get a new acyclic edge coloring  $\phi'$  of G - uv with  $|C_{\phi'}(v) \cap C_{\phi'}(u)| = 1$  by Fact 3.1, a contradiction to Lemma 3.5.

Now we show S contains at least d(u) - 2 colors from  $C_{\phi}(u)$ , which implies that S contains at least d(u) colors from  $C_{\phi}(u) \cup C_{\phi}(v)$ . Otherwise, let  $\alpha, \beta$  be two colors in  $C_{\phi}(u)$  but not contain in S. Then recolor  $vv_1$  with  $\alpha$  and  $vv_2$  with  $\beta$ . The resulting coloring is an acyclic edge coloring  $\phi'$  of G - uv. Then for any color  $i \in T$ , there is either an  $(\alpha, i, u, v)$ -critical path through  $v_1$ , or an  $(\beta, i, u, v)$ -critical path through  $v_2$  with respect to  $\phi'$ . Assume that  $\phi(uu') = \alpha$  and  $\phi(uu'') = \beta$ . Since  $|T| \ge \Delta(G)$ , there exists a color  $\gamma \in T \setminus F_{\phi}(uu')$ . Then  $\gamma \in F_{\phi}(uu'')$  and there is a  $(\beta, \gamma, u, v)$ -critical path through u'' and  $v_2$ . Now we give an acyclic edge k-coloring  $\varphi$  of G by  $\varphi(vv_1) = \beta$ ,  $\varphi(vv_2) = \alpha$ ,  $\varphi(uv) = \gamma$ , and  $\varphi(e) = \phi(e)$  for the other edges of G, a contradiction.

### 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By contradiction, let G be a planar graph with a fixed embedding in the plane and suppose that G is an acyclically edge  $(\Delta(G) + 10)$ -critical graph, i.e., G is the minimum counterexample to the theorem in terms of the number of edges. Then G is 2-connected by Lemma 3.1. Let v be a vertex of G. If d(v) = 2, then the neighbors of v are 13<sup>+</sup>-vertices by Lemma 3.3. If d(v) = 3, then the neighbors of v are  $12^+$ -vertices by Lemma 3.2. If  $d(v) \ge 12$ , then  $n_2(v) \le d(v) - 12$  by Lemma 3.4. Now we show that G contains the configuration  $(\mathcal{A}_3)$  or  $(\mathcal{A}_4)$ . Let H be the graph obtained by deleting all 2-vertices from G. Then for any vertex  $v \in V(H)$ , either  $d_H(v) = d_G(v) \geq 3$ , or  $d_H(v) \geq 12$  by Lemma 3.4. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exists a 5<sup>-</sup>-vertex v in H such that v belongs to one of the configurations  $(\mathcal{A}_2), (\mathcal{A}_3), (\mathcal{A}_4)$ . This implies that  $d_G(v) = d_H(v)$  and v belongs to one of the configurations  $(\mathcal{A}_2), (\mathcal{A}_3), (\mathcal{A}_4)$  in G by Lemma 3.4. However, if d(v) = 3, then the neighbors of v are 12<sup>+</sup>-vertices by Lemma 3.2. Thus G contain the configuration  $(\mathcal{A}_3)$  or  $(\mathcal{A}_4)$ .

Suppose that v has neighbors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t$ , where t = 3, 4, with  $d(v_1) \leq d(v_2) \leq \dots \leq d(v_t)$ ,  $v_1$  has neighbors  $v, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s$ , and let  $k = \Delta(G) + 10$  for simplicity. Then the graph  $G' = G - vv_1$  admits an acyclic edge k-coloring  $\phi$  by the choice of G with  $\phi(v_1w_i) = i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Since  $d(v) + d(v_1) \leq 11$ ,  $|C_{\phi}(v) \cap C_{\phi}(v_1)| \geq 2$  by Lemma 3.5. Let  $T = [k] \setminus (C_{\phi}(v) \cup C_{\phi}(v_1))$  and  $\mathcal{S} = F_{\phi}(vv_2) \uplus \dots \uplus F_{\phi}(vv_t)$ . Then Now we derive an acyclic edge k-coloring of G from  $\phi$ , a contradiction.

**Case 1.** d(v) = 4 with  $d(v_1) \le 7$  and  $d(v_2) \le 9$ .

Note that  $|T| = k - (s+1) \ge \Delta(G) + 3$  and  $||\mathcal{S}|| \le 2(\Delta(G) - 1) + 8 = 2\Delta(G) + 6$ . We consider the number of colors in  $C_{\phi}(v) \cap C_{\phi}(v_1)$ .

Subcase 1.1.  $|C_{\phi}(v) \cap C_{\phi}(v_1)| = 2.$ 

Suppose that  $\phi(vx_i) = \phi(v_1w_i) = i$  for i = 1, 2, where  $i \in \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ . Then for any color  $s + 2 \leq i \leq k$ , there is either a  $(1, i, v, v_1)$ -critical path through  $x_1$  and  $w_1$ , or a  $(2, i, v, v_1)$ -critical path through  $x_2$  and  $w_2$  with respect to  $\phi$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  contains at least  $d(v_1)$  colors from  $C_{\phi}(v) \cup C_{\phi}(v_1)$  by Lemma 3.6, there exists a color  $\alpha \in T$  such that  $mult_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) = 1$ , say  $\alpha \notin F_{\phi}(vv_2)$ . Recoloring  $vv_2$  with  $\alpha$  results in an acyclic edge coloring  $\phi'$  of  $G - vv_1$  with  $|C_{\phi'}(v) \cap C_{\phi'}(v_1)| = 1$ , a contradiction to Lemma 3.5.

Subcase 1.2  $|C_{\phi}(v) \cap C_{\phi}(v_1)| = 3.$ 

In this case,  $|T| = k - s \ge \Delta(G) + 4$ . This implies that there exists a color  $\alpha \in T$  such that  $mult_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) = 1$ , say  $\alpha \notin F_{\phi}(vv_2)$ . Recoloring  $vv_2$  with  $\alpha$  results in an acyclic edge coloring  $\phi'$  of  $G - vv_1$  with  $|C_{\phi'}(v) \cap C_{\phi'}(v_1)| = 2$ , and the argument in Subcase 1.1 works.

**Case 2** d(v) = 5, with  $d(v_1) \le 6$ ,  $d(v_2) \le 7$  and  $d(v_3) \le 8$ .

Note that  $|T| = k - (s+2) = \Delta(G) + 8 - s$  and  $||\mathcal{S}|| \le 2(\Delta(G) - 1) + 6 + 7 = 2\Delta(G) + 11$ . We consider the number of colors in  $C_{\phi}(v) \cap C_{\phi}(v_1)$ .

Subcase 2.1  $|C_{\phi}(v) \cap C_{\phi}(v_1)| = 2.$ 

Suppose that  $\phi(vx_i) = \phi(v_1w_i) = i$  for i = 1, 2, where  $i \in \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ . Then for any color  $s + 2 \leq i \leq k$ , there is either a  $(1, i, v, v_1)$ -critical path through  $x_1$  and  $w_1$ , or a  $(2, i, v, v_1)$ -critical path through  $x_2$  and  $w_2$  with respect to  $\phi$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}$  contains at least s + 1 colors from  $C_{\phi}(v) \cup C_{\phi}(v_1)$  by Lemma 3.6, there exists a color  $\alpha \in T$ , such that  $mult_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) = 1$ , say  $\alpha \notin F_{\phi}(vv')$ . Recoloring vv' with  $\alpha$  results in an acyclic edge coloring  $\phi'$  of  $G - vv_1$  with  $|C_{\phi'}(v) \cap C_{\phi'}(v_1)| = 1$ , a contradiction to Lemma 3.5.

Subcase 2.2  $|C_{\phi}(v) \cap C_{\phi}(v_1)| = 3.$ 

Suppose that  $N(v) = \{v_1, x_1, \dots, x_4\}, \phi(vx_i) = \phi(v_1w_i) = i$  for i = 1, 2, 3 and  $\phi(vx_4) = s + 1$ . Then for any color  $i \in T$ , there is either a  $(1, i, v, v_1)$ -critical path through  $x_1$ , or a  $(2, i, v, v_1)$ -critical path through  $x_2$ , or a  $(3, i, v, v_1)$ -critical path through  $x_3$ . Let

 $\mathcal{S}' = F_{\phi}(vx_1) \oplus F_{\phi}(vx_2) \oplus F_{\phi}(vx_3)$ . Note that  $|T| = k - (s+1) = \Delta(G) + 9 - s \ge \Delta(G) + 4$ ,  $||\mathcal{S}'|| \le 2(\Delta(G) - 1) + 7 = 2\Delta(G) + 5$ . There exists a color  $\alpha \in T$ , such that  $mult_{\mathcal{S}'}(\alpha) = 1$ , say  $\alpha \in F_{\phi}(vx_1)$ . Recoloring  $vx_2$  with  $\alpha$  results in a proper edge coloring  $\phi'$  of  $G - vv_1$ with  $|C_{\phi'}(v) \cap C_{\phi'}(v_1)| = 2$ . If there is no bichromatic cycle with respect to  $\phi'$ , we are done. Otherwise, there is a  $(s + 1, \alpha, v, x_2)$ -critical path through  $x_4$  with respect to  $\phi'$ . Let  $\phi''(vx_3) = \alpha$ ,  $\phi''(e) = \phi(e)$  for the other edges  $e \in G - vv_1$ . Then  $\phi''$  is an acyclic edge coloring of  $G - vv_1$  with  $|C_{\phi''}(v) \cap C_{\phi''}(v_1)| = 2$  by Fact 3.1 and the argument in Subcase 2.1 works.

**Subcase 2.3**  $|C_{\phi}(v) \cap C_{\phi}(v_1)| = 4.$ 

Suppose that  $\phi(vv_i) = i-1$  for  $2 \le i \le 5$ . Recolor  $vv_2$  with a color from  $T \setminus F_{\phi}(vv_2)$  and get an proper edge coloring  $\phi'$  of  $G - vv_1$ . If  $\phi'$  does not contain bichromatic cycle, then  $\phi'$ is acyclic with  $|C_{\phi'}(v) \cap C_{\phi'}(v_1)| = 3$ , and the argument in Subcase 2.2 works. Otherwise,  $F_{\phi}(vv_2) \cap \{2,3,4\} \ne \emptyset$ . Similarly, for  $i = 3, 4, 5, F_{\phi}(vv_i) \cap \{1,2,3,4\} \ne \emptyset$ . Thus  $\mathcal{S}$  contains at least four colors from  $\{1,2,3,4\}$ . Since  $|T| = k - s \ge \Delta(G) + 5$  and  $||\mathcal{S}|| \le 2\Delta(G) + 11$ , there exists a color  $\alpha \in T$ , such that  $mult_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) = 1$ , say  $\alpha \notin F_{\phi}(vv_1)$ . Recoloring  $vv_1$ with  $\alpha$  results in an acyclic edge coloring  $\phi''$  of  $G - vv_1$  with  $|C_{\phi''}(v) \cap C_{\phi''}(v_1)| = 3$ , the argument in Subcase 2.2 works.

In any case, we can get an acyclic edge coloring of G with  $\Delta(G) + 10$  colors, a contradiction.

Determining the acyclic chromatic index of a graph is a hard problem both from theoretical and algorithmic points of view. Even for complete graphs, the acyclic chromatic index is still not determined exactly.

**Problem 4.1** Determine the acyclic chromatic index of planar graphs.

### References

- [1] N. Alon, A parallel algorithmic version of the Local Lemma, Random Structures Algorithms 2 (1991) 367–378.
- [2] N. Alon, B. Sudakov and A. Zaks, Acyclic edge colorings of graphs, J. Graph Theory 37 (2001) 157–167.
- [3] N. Alon, A. Zaks, Algorithmic aspects of acyclic edge colorings, Algorithmica 32 (2002) 611–614.
- [4] L.D. Andersen, E. Máčajová, J. Mazák, Optimal acyclic edge-coloring of cubic graphs, J. Graph Theory DOI 10.1002/jgt.20650.
- [5] M. Basavaraju, L.S. Chandran, N. Cohen, F. Havet and T. Müller, Acyclic edgecoloring of planar graphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math 25 (2) (2011) 463–478.
- [6] M. Basavaraju, L.S. Chandran, Acyclic edge coloring of graphs with maximum degree 4, J. Graph Theory 61 (3) (2009) 192–209.

- [7] M. Basavaraju, L.S. Chandran, Acyclic edge coloring of subcubic graphs, Discrete Mathematics 308 (2008) 6650–6653.
- [8] M. Borowiecki, A. Fiedorowicz, Acyclic edge colouring of planar graphs without short cycles, Discrete Mathematics 310 (2010) 1445–1455.
- [9] A. Fiedorowicz, M. Hałuszczak, N. Narayanan, About acyclic edge colourings of planar graphs, Information Processing Letters 108 (2008) 412–417.
- [10] J. Hou, N. Roussel, J. Wu, Acyclic chromatic index of planar graphs with triangles, Information Processing Letters, 111 (2011) 836–840.
- [11] J. Hou, J. Wu, G. Liu and B. Liu, Acyclic edge chromatic number of outerplanar graphs, J. Graph Theory, 64 (2010) 22–36.
- [12] J. Hou, J. Wu, G. Liu and B. Liu, Acyclic edge colorings of planar graphs and seriell-parallel graphs, Sciences in China A 52 (2009) 605–616.
- [13] M. Molloy, B. Reed, Further Algorithmic Aspects of the Local Lemma, Proceedings of the 30th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (1998) 524–529.