## THE AMEMIYA-ANDO CONJECTURE FALLS

## Adam Paszkiewicz

ABSTRACT. In any infinite dimensional Hilbert space H, a sequence  $P_n \dots P_1 x$  diverges in norm for some  $x \in H$  and orthogonal projections  $P_n \in \{Q_1, \dots, Q_5\}$ .

We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 0.** In any infinite dimensional Hilbert space H, a sequence  $P_n \dots P_1 x$  diverges in norm for some  $x \in H$  and orthogonal projections  $P_n \in \{Q_1, \dots, Q_5\}$ .

In [1], a weak convergence of  $P_n ext{...} P_1 x$  is proved for  $P_n \in \{Q_1, \dots, Q_r\}$  with any r "while strong convergence is still unsettled". The norm convergence is known for r = 2, for r = 3 or 4 the problem seems to be open. It is also known that for some projections  $Q_1, Q_2$  on closed convex cones the divergence in norm of  $(Q_1Q_2)^n x$  can be obtained for any infinite dimensional H, and some  $x \in H$  (see [2], [3], [4], [5]).

By capital letters  $E, F, P, Q, \ldots$  we shall always denote orthogonal projections in H. By small letters e, f, p, q we shall always denote some vectors in H, of norm 1; e' is always orthogonal to e ( $f' \perp f$  and so on). Then  $\hat{e} \cdot = \langle \cdot, e \rangle e$  is a onedimensional projection and always  $\hat{e}' \perp \hat{e}$ , then  $\hat{f} \leq \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$  means that  $f \in \text{lin}(e, e')$ .

The proof of Theorem 0 is unexpectedly simple. The proof of the following lemma is the most complicated part of the construction.

**Lemma 1.** For any one-dimensional projections  $\hat{f}_0, \ldots, \hat{f}_r \leq \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$ , and for  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist projections  $P_0 \leq \cdots \leq P_r$  and numbers  $n(0) \leq \cdots \leq n(s)$  such that for  $E = \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$ 

(1) 
$$||(EP_sE)^{n(s)} - \hat{f}_s|| < \epsilon, \quad 0 \le s \le r,$$

Key words and phrases. Hilbert space, products of projections.. 2010 AMS subject classification: 47H09.

and one can require that  $\dim(P_s - P_{s-1}) = 1$  for  $1 \le s \le r$ .

*Proof.* Let us denote by  $f'_s$  a vector (of norm 1) satisfying  $\hat{f}_s + \hat{f}'_s = E$ . For fixed mutually orthogonal vectors  $p_0, \ldots, p_r$  orthogonal to E, and for  $\delta_0, \ldots, \delta_r > 0$  (defined later), we denote by  $U_s$  a unitary operator

$$U_s f'_s = f'_s \cos \delta_s + p_s \sin \delta_s,$$
  
$$U_s p_s = -f'_s \sin \delta_s + p_s \cos \delta_s,$$

$$U_s x = x$$
 for  $x \perp f_s', p_s$ .

Then for any projection  $P \geq E$ , orthogonal to  $p_s$  for some  $0 \leq s \leq r$ , and for  $P_s = P - \hat{f}'_s$  we have  $P_s E = \hat{f}_s$  and  $U_s P U_s^* = \widehat{U_s f'_s} + P_s$  and thus

$$(EU_s PU_s^* E)^n = \hat{f}_s + (\hat{f}_s' \widehat{Uf_s'} \hat{f}_s')^n = \hat{s}_s + \hat{f}_s' \cos^{2n} \delta_s \text{ for } n \ge 1.$$

In particular for any  $0 < \eta < \frac{\pi}{2}$  there exists  $n \ge 1$  satisfying

(2) 
$$||(EU_sPU_s^*E)^n - \hat{f}_s|| < \eta$$

and  $U_sPU_s^* \leq P + \hat{p}_s$  if only  $P \geq E$ ,  $P \perp \hat{p}_s$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq r$ . The required projections are given by formula

$$P_s = U_r \dots U_s (E + \hat{p}_0 + \dots + \hat{p}_{s-1}) U_s^* \dots U_r^*, \qquad 0 \le s \le r.$$

In fact, we have  $P_{s+1} \geq P_s$ ,  $\dim(P_{s+1} - P_s) = 1$ , which follows from relations  $E + \hat{p}_0 + \cdots + \hat{p}_s \geq U_s(E + \hat{p}_0 + \cdots + \hat{p}_{s-1})U_s^*$ ,  $\dim(E + \hat{p}_0 + \cdots + \hat{p}_s - U_s(E + \hat{p}_0 + \cdots + p_{s-1})U_s^*) = 1$ , for any  $0 \leq \delta_s < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq r$ .

The estimate (1) can be obtained for  $\delta_s$ , n(s) chosen as follows. The number  $0 < \delta_0 < \frac{\pi}{2}$  is taken arbitrarily and n(0) is so large that, by (2),

$$||(EU_0EU_0^*E)^{n(0)} - \hat{f}_0|| < (1 - \frac{1}{2^1})\epsilon.$$

Let  $\delta_t > 0$ ,  $n(t) \ge 1$  be chosen for  $0 \le t \le s - 1$ , and let

$$||(EU_{s-1}\dots U_t(E+\hat{p}_0+\dots+\hat{p}_{t-1})U_t^*\dots U_{s-1}E)^{n(t)}-\hat{f}_t||<(1-\frac{1}{2^s})\epsilon,\quad 0\leq t\leq s-1,$$

for a fixed  $1 \le s \le r$ . Then for sufficiently small  $\delta_s > 0$  we have

(3) 
$$||(EU_s \dots U_t (E + \hat{p}_0 + \dots + \hat{p}_{t-1}) U_t^* \dots U_s^* E)^{n(t)} - \hat{f}_t||$$
  
 $f < (1 - \frac{1}{2^{s+1}})\epsilon, \quad 0 \le t \le s - 1,$ 

but for such  $\delta_s$ , there exists so large  $n(s) \geq 1$  that, by (2),

$$||(EU_s(E+\hat{p}_0+\cdots+\hat{p}_{s-1})U_s^*E)^{n(s)}-\hat{f}_s||<(1-\frac{1}{2^{s+1}})\epsilon.$$

We have proved (3) for any  $0 \le t \le s$  and for  $1 \le s \le r$ .

In particular, putting s = r we have

$$||(EP_tE)^{n(t)} - \hat{f}_t|| < (1 - \frac{1}{2^{r+1}})\epsilon, \qquad 0 \le t \le r.$$

**Lemma 2.** For any projections  $P_0 \leq \cdots \leq P_r \dim(P_s - P_{s-1}) = 1$ ,  $1 \leq s \leq r$ , and for  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $Q \in \operatorname{Proj} H$  and numbers  $m(0), \ldots, m(r) \geq 1$  satisfying

$$(4) \qquad ||(P_rQP_r)^{m(s)} - P_s|| < \epsilon, \qquad 1 \le s \le r.$$

Proof. Let  $P_s = P_0 + \hat{p}_1 + \cdots + \hat{p}_s$ , and  $P_0, \hat{p}_1, \dots, \hat{p}_r, \hat{p}'_1, \dots, \hat{p}'_r$  be mutually orthogonal. For some  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r > 0$ , let  $q_s = p_s \cos \delta_s + p'_s \sin \delta_s$  and let  $Q = P_0 + \hat{q}_1 + \dots + \hat{q}_r$ . Now, to obtain (4), we can proceed as follows. We take m(r) = 1 and so small  $\delta_r > 0$ , that  $||(\hat{p}_r \hat{q}_r \hat{p}_r)^{m(r)} - \hat{p}_r|| < \epsilon$ . Then we proceed downward. Assuming that for some  $1 < s \le r$  and  $m(s) > \dots > m(r)$ ,  $0 < \delta_s, \dots, < \delta_r$  we have

(5) 
$$||(\hat{p}_s \hat{q}_s \hat{p}_s)^{m(t)} - \hat{p}_s|| < \epsilon, \qquad s \le t \le r,$$

$$||(\hat{p}_t \hat{q}_t \hat{p}_t)^{m(s)}|| < \epsilon, \qquad s + 1 \le t \le r,$$

it is possible to find such m(s-1) > m(s) that  $||(\hat{p}_t\hat{q}_t\hat{p}_t)^{m(s-1)}|| < \epsilon$  for t = s (and thus for any  $s \le t \le r$ ). Then there exists so small  $\delta_{s-1}$ ,  $0 \le \delta_{s-1} < \delta_s$ , that

$$||(\hat{p}_{s-1}\hat{q}_{s-1}\hat{p}_{s-1})^{m(t)} - \hat{p}_{s-1}|| < \epsilon$$
 for  $t = s - 1$ 

(and thus for any  $s-1 \le t \le r$ ).

Now (5) is proved for any  $1 \le s \le r$ , and this implies (4) if only m(0) > m(1) satisfies  $||(\hat{p}_1\hat{q}_1\hat{p}_1)^{m(0)}|| < \epsilon$ .

Remark. The assumption  $\dim(P_s - P_{s-1}) = 1$  makes the proof of Lemma 2 more elementary, but is not essential.

Corollary 3. For any one-dimensional projections  $\hat{f}_0, \dots \hat{f}_r \leq \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$  and for  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist projections P, Q, and numbers  $m(s), n(s) \geq 1, 0 \leq s \leq r$  satisfying

$$||(E(PQP)^{m(s)}E)^{n(s)} - \hat{f}_s|| < \epsilon,$$

for  $E = \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 1, the estimate (1) can be satisfied for some  $P_0 \leq \cdots \leq P_r$ ,  $\dim(P_s - P_{s-1}) = 1$ , and for  $\epsilon/2$  instead of  $\epsilon$ . Then we have

$$||(E(P_rQP_r)^{m(s)}E)^{n(s)} - \hat{f}_s|| < \epsilon, \quad 0 \le s \le r,$$

if only (4) is satisfied for sufficiently small  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  instead of  $\epsilon$ . Lemma 2 finishes the proof.

**Lemma 4.** For any vectors  $e \perp e'$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist projections P,Q and a monomial  $A(P,Q,E) = P_k \dots P_1$ , with  $P_l \in \{P,Q,E\}$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq k$ ,  $E = \hat{e} + \hat{e}'$ , such that

$$\langle A(P, Q, E)e, e' \rangle > 1 - \epsilon.$$

*Proof.* For  $f_s = e \cos \frac{\pi s}{2r} + e' \sin \frac{\pi s}{2r}$ ,  $0 \le s \le r$ , an elementary calculation gives

$$\langle \hat{f}_r \dots \hat{f}_0 e, e' \rangle = (\cos \frac{\pi}{2r})^r > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$
 for some  $r \ge 1$ .

Thus Corollary 3 (with sufficiently small  $\epsilon_1 > 0$  instead of  $\epsilon$ ) gives

$$\langle (E(PQP)^{m(r)}E)^{n(r)} \dots (E(PQP)^{m(0)}E)^{n(0)}e, e' \rangle > 1 - \epsilon.$$

**5. Proof of Theorem 0**. Let us take infinitely dimensional projections  $F_1, F_2, \ldots$  satisfying, for some orthonormal  $(e_i, i \geq 1)$ ,

$$\hat{e}_1 \le F_1, \quad F_i F_{i+1} = \hat{e}_{i+1}, \qquad i \ge 1,$$

$$F_i \perp F_j$$
 for  $|i - j| \ge 2$ 

and denote additionally  $e'_i = e_{i+1}$ ,  $E_i = \hat{e}_i + \hat{e}'_i \leq F_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ .

For fixed  $0 < \epsilon_i < 1$ ,  $\prod (1 - \epsilon_i) > 0$ , we use Lemma 4 in subspaces  $H_i = F_i(H)$  (instead of H) obtaining projections  $P_i, Q_i \leq F_i$  and monomials  $A_i(P_i, Q_i, E_i)$  satisfying

(6) 
$$\eta_i := \langle A_i(P_i, Q_i, E_i) e_i, e_i' \rangle > 1 - \epsilon_i, \quad i \ge 1.$$

Let  $P = \sum P_{2k}$ ,  $Q = \sum Q_{2k}$ ,  $E = \hat{e}_1 + \sum E_{2k}$ , for  $k \geq 1$ , then  $E = \sum \hat{e}_i$ ,  $EF_{2k} = E_{2k}$  and for any monomial A(P, Q, E) we have

$$A(P,Q,E)F_{2k}(H) \subset F_{2k}(H)$$
,

$$A(P,Q,E)F_{2k-1} = A(P,Q,E)(\hat{e}_{2k-1} + \hat{e}_{2k}) = A(P,Q,E)\hat{e}_{2k-1} + A(P_{2k},Q_{2k},E_{2k})\hat{e}_{2k}.$$

In consequence

$$x \in F_1 \vee \cdots \vee F_{2k-1}(H), \quad \langle x, e_{2k} \rangle = \eta$$
  
imply  $A(P, Q, E)x = y + \eta A(P_{2k}, Q_{2k}, E_{2k})e_{2k}$   
for some  $y \in F_1 \vee \cdots \vee F_{2k-2}(H),$ 

obviously y = 0 for k = 1.

Analogically, for  $R = \sum P_{2k-1}$ ,  $S = \sum Q_{2k-1}$ ,  $E = \sum E_{2k-1}$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , (thus as before  $E = \sum \hat{e}_i$ ) we have

$$x \in F_1 \vee \dots \vee F_{2k}(H), \quad \langle x, e_{2k+1} \rangle = \eta$$
  
imply  $A(R, S, E)x = y + \eta A(R_{2k+1}, Q_{2k+1}, E_{2k+1})e_{2k+1}$   
for some  $y \in F_1 \vee \dots \vee F_{2k-1}(H)$ .

Let us denote

$$x_0 = e_1, \quad x_1 = A_1(R, S, E)x_0$$

and, by induction for  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$x_{2k} = A_{2k}(P, Q, E)x_{2k-1}, \quad x_{2k+1} = A_{2k+1}(R, S, E)x_{2k}.$$

Then (6) implies

$$x_1 \in F_1(H), \qquad \langle x_1, e_2 \rangle = \eta_1,$$

and for all  $k \geq 1$ 

$$x_{2k-1} \in F_1 \vee \cdots \vee F_{2k-1}(H), \qquad \langle x_{2k-1}, e_{2k} \rangle = \eta_1 \dots \eta_{2k-1},$$
  
$$x_{2k} \in F_1 \vee \cdots \vee F_{2k}(H), \qquad \langle x_{2k}, e_{2k+1} \rangle = \eta_1 \dots \eta_{2k}.$$

As  $\prod \eta_n > \prod (1 - \epsilon_i) > 0$ , the sequence

$$A_{2k}(P,Q,E)A_{2k-1}(R,S,E)\dots A_2(P,Q,E)A_1(R,S,E)e_1$$

diverges in norm. Thus  $\{Q_1, \ldots, Q_5\} = \{P, Q, R, S, E\}$  can be taken.

## References

- [1] I. Amemiya, T. Ando, Convergence of random products of contractions in Hilbert space, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **26** (1965), 239-244.
- [2] H.H. Bauschke, E. Mateošková, S. Reich, Projections and proximal point methods: Convergence results and counterexamples, Nonlinear Anal. 56 (2004), 715-738.
- [3] H.S. Hundal, An alternating projection that does not converge in norm, Nonlinear Anal. **57** (2004), 35-61.
- [4] E. Kopecká, Spokes, mirrors and alternating projections, Nonlinear Anal.
   68 (2008), 1759-1764.
- [5] E. Matoušková, S. Reich, *The Hundal example revisited*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 4 (2003), 411-427.

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science Lódź University Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland

e-mail: ktpis@math.uni.lodz.pl