

# PHASES OF LAGRANGIAN-INVARIANT OBJECTS IN THE DERIVED CATEGORY OF AN ABELIAN VARIETY

ALEXANDER POLISHCHUK

ABSTRACT. We continue the study of Lagrangian-invariant objects (LI-objects for short) in the derived category  $D^b(A)$  of coherent sheaves on an abelian variety, initiated in [31]. For every element of the complexified ample cone  $D_A$  we construct a natural phase function on the set of LI-objects, which in the case  $\dim A = 2$  gives the phases with respect to the corresponding Bridgeland stability (see [9]). The construction is based on the relation between endofunctors of  $D^b(A)$  and a certain natural central extension of groups, associated with  $D_A$  viewed as a hermitian symmetric space. In the case when  $A$  is a power of an elliptic curve, we show that our phase function has a natural interpretation in terms of the Fukaya category of the mirror dual abelian variety. As a byproduct of our study of LI-objects we show that the Bridgeland's component of the stability space of an abelian surface contains all full stabilities.

## INTRODUCTION

The notion of stability condition on triangulated categories, introduced by Bridgeland in [8], axiomatizes the notion of stability of branes coming from the study of deformations of superconformal field theories (see [10]). The hope is that the space of stability conditions on a Calabi-Yau threefold is related to the moduli spaces of complex structures on a mirror dual manifold. At present we have examples of Bridgeland stabilities on  $D^b(X)$  for any surface  $X$ , however, the problem of constructing such examples for a Calabi-Yau threefold is still open (see [2] for a proposal of such a construction).

The goal of this paper is to test the existence of a stability condition on  $D^b(A)$  for any abelian variety  $A$  by looking at certain special objects in  $D^b(A)$ . More precisely, for an element  $\omega = i\alpha + \beta \in D_A \subset \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  in the complexified ample cone (defined by the condition that  $\alpha$  is ample) one expects to have a stability condition on  $D^b(A)$  with the central charge

$$Z(F) = \int_A \exp(-\omega) \cdot \text{ch}(F),$$

where  $F \in D^b(A)$ . The starting point of this work is the observation that there are certain objects in  $D^b(A)$  that are automatically semistable with respect to any nice stability condition (see Prop. 3.1.4). Namely, these are *Lagrangian-invariant objects* (LI-objects for short) defined in [31] (see also Def. 2.1.1). The simplest examples are the structure sheaves of points  $\mathcal{O}_x$ . To get other examples one can consider images of  $\mathcal{O}_x$  under autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$  but in general these do not exhaust all LI-objects (see Remark 4.2.2 and Prop. 4.2.3). Thus, a stability condition should give a *phase* for any LI-object  $F$ , i.e., a lifting of  $\text{Arg } Z(F) \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, a nonzero morphism  $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$  can exist only

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F05; Secondary 14K05, 53D37.  
Supported in part by NSF grant.

if the phase of  $F_1$  does not exceed the phase of  $F_2$ . The main result of this paper is the construction of such a phase function associated with each  $\omega \in D_A$ . We also verify some properties of this function that one expects from the theory of stability conditions (see Thm. 3.3.2).

The major role in our construction is played by the action of a certain group on the set  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}/\mathbb{N}^*$  of classes of LI-objects modulo certain simple equivalence relation (we allow to apply translations and tensoring with a line bundle in  $\text{Pic}^0(A)$  and with a vector space). This group, which we denote  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  is a central extension by  $\mathbb{Z}$  of the group of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points of an algebraic group  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_{X_A}$  defined as automorphisms of the abelian variety  $X_A = A \times \hat{A}$ , compatible with the skew-symmetric autoduality of  $X_A$ . The preimage of the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -points in  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  is closely related to the group of autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$  (see [21, 25, 23]). The main idea that brings the Siegel domain  $D_A$  into picture is that the above central extension has a natural interpretation in terms of the action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $D_A$  (see Theorem 2.3.2). This allows us to parametrize the set  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}/\mathbb{N}^*$  of classes of LI-objects by points of a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering of the set of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points of a certain homogeneous algebraic variety  $\mathbf{LG} = \mathbf{LG}_A$  for the group  $\mathbf{U}$  (the points  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  are in bijection with *Lagrangian* abelian subvarieties in  $A \times \hat{A}$ ), and the phase function appears naturally in this context.

If  $\dim A = 2$  then the stability condition corresponding to  $\omega$  was constructed by Bridgeland in [9], and we check that our phases for LI-objects match the ones coming from this stability condition (see Section 3.4).

In the case when  $A = E^n$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication, we give a mirror-symmetric interpretation of our picture in terms of Fukaya category of the mirror dual abelian variety (following the recipe of [13]). We show that the central charge on LI-objects in  $D^b(A)$  defined using  $\omega \in D_A$  matches with the integral of the holomorphic volume form over the corresponding Lagrangian tori, and hence, that LI-objects in  $D^b(A)$  give rise to graded Lagrangians on the mirror dual side (see Section 3.5).

We also observe that the set  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  parametrizing classes of LI-objects also parametrizes certain natural collection of  $t$ -structures on  $D^b(A)$ , generalizing the ones obtained from the standard  $t$ -structure by applying autoequivalences (we call them *quasi-standard*). We conjecture that there is also a natural  $t$ -structure associated with every point of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R})$  whose heart is equivalent to the category of holomorphic bundles on the corresponding noncommutative torus (see [32, 28, 4]).

Another by-product of our study is a refinement of the results of [21, 13] on the action of autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$  on numerical classes of objects. Namely, we construct a natural double covering  $\text{Spin} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$  of algebraic groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and an algebraic representation of  $\text{Spin}$  on the vector space associated with the numerical Grothendieck group of  $A$ , such that the action of elements projecting to  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  is induced by endofunctors of  $D^b(A)$  (see Thm. 2.5.3).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 contains some auxiliary results not involving derived categories. In particular, we give an interpretation of the index of a nondegenerate line bundle on an abelian variety in terms of the function  $\text{Arg } \chi$  on the

complexified ample cone (see Theorem 1.2.1). We also prove some useful results about the group  $\mathbf{U}$  and the variety of Lagrangian subvarieties  $\mathbf{LG}$  in  $A \times \hat{A}$ . In Section 2 we study the central extension  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  coming from a natural 1-cocycle with values in  $\mathcal{O}^*(D_A)$  and its action on LI-objects in  $D^b(A)$  and their numerical classes. In Section 3 we parametrize LI-objects (up to certain equivalence) by points of a natural  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  equipped with an action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ , and construct a family of phase functions on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  parametrized by  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ , equivariantly with respect to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ . We also study the connection with Bridgeland stability conditions on abelian surfaces (see Thm. 3.4.3) and with mirror symmetry (see Sec. 3.5). Also, as a byproduct of our study of LI-objects we show that any full stability on an abelian surface  $A$  belongs to the Bridgeland's component of the stability space  $\text{Stab}(A)$  (see Prop. 3.4.4). In Section 4 we construct a family of  $t$ -structures on  $D^b(A)$  parametrized by  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  and study a relation between  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})/\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  and the Fourier-Mukai partners of  $A$  (see Sec. 4.2).

*Notations and conventions.* We work over a fixed algebraically closed field  $k$ . We say that an object  $F$  of a  $k$ -linear category  $\mathcal{C}$  is *endosimple* if  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(F, F) = k$ . For a scheme  $X$  we denote by  $D^b(X)$  the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on  $X$ . We say that an object  $F \in D^b(X)$  is *cohomologically pure* if there exists a coherent sheaf  $H$  such that  $F \simeq H[n]$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{Ab}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  the category of abelian varieties up to an isogeny (i.e., the localization of the category of abelian varieties over  $k$  with respect to the class of isogenies). When we want to consider the  $F$ -vector space associated with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattice  $M$ , where  $F = \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ , or  $\mathbb{C}$ , as an algebraic variety over  $F$ , we denote it by  $M_F$ .

*Acknowledgment.* I am grateful to Tom Bridgeland for helpful discussions and to Maxim Kontsevich for a discussion of the picture in Section 3.5 involving mirror symmetry.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper  $A$  denotes an abelian variety over  $k$ .

**1.1. Degree, trace and Euler bilinear form.** Recall that for  $f \in \text{End}(A)$  one has

$$\deg(f) = \det T_l(f)$$

where  $T_l(f)$  is the representation of  $f$  on the Tate module  $T_l(A)$  for  $l \neq \text{char}(k)$  (see [22, Ch. 19, Thm. 4]). Thus, extending  $\deg$  to a polynomial function

$$\deg : \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q},$$

homogeneous of degree  $2g$ , we have

$$\deg(1 + tf) = 1 + t \cdot \text{Tr}(f) + O(t^2),$$

where  $\text{Tr}(f)$  is given by the trace of the action of  $f$  on  $T_l(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_l} \mathbb{Q}_l$ . Furthermore,  $f \mapsto \text{Tr}(f \cdot f')$  is a positive definite quadratic form on  $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $f \mapsto f'$  is the Rosati involution associated with a polarization of  $A$  (see [22, Ch. 21, Thm. 1]).

Let us fix a polarization on  $A$  and denote by  $\text{End}(A)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q} \subset \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  the subspace of elements invariant with respect to the corresponding Rosati involution. Note that the quadratic form  $\text{Tr}(f^2)$  on  $\text{End}(A)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is positive-definite.

**Proposition 1.1.1.** *An element  $f \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  is determined by the polynomial function*

$$\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : x \mapsto \deg(f - x).$$

*Furthermore, if  $f$  is invariant with respect to the Rosati involution then it is determined by the restriction of the above function to  $\text{End}(A)^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* We have to check that if  $\deg(f_1 - x) = \deg(f_2 - x)$  for all  $x \in \text{End}(A)$  then  $f_1 = f_2$ . Adding to  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  the same element of  $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  we can assume that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are invertible in  $\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Observe also that  $\deg(f_1) = \deg(f_2)$  (this follows by substituting  $x = 0$ ). Thus, we obtain

$$\deg(1 - xf_1^{-1}) = \deg(f_1 - x) \deg(f_1)^{-1} = \deg(f_2 - x) \deg(f_2)^{-1} = \deg(1 - xf_2^{-1}).$$

Considering the linear terms in  $x$  we derive

$$\text{Tr}(xf_1^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(xf_2^{-1}).$$

The nondegeneracy of the form  $\text{Tr}(fg)$  implies  $f_1^{-1} = f_2^{-1}$ .

To prove the second statement, we repeat the above argument letting  $x$  vary only in  $\text{End}(A)^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .  $\square$

We always use the standard identification

$$\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q} : L \mapsto \phi_L,$$

where  $\text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q} \subset \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  consists of self-dual homomorphisms. The Euler characteristic defines a polynomial function  $\chi : \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of degree  $g = \dim A$ , which we also view as a function on  $\text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . One has  $\chi^2 = \deg$  (see [22, ch. 16]).

Recall that the Grothendieck group  $K_0(A)$  carries the Euler bilinear form

$$\chi([E], [F]) := \sum_i (-1)^i \dim \text{Hom}^i(E, F),$$

where  $E, F \in D^b(A)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  the numerical Grothendieck group, i.e., the quotient of  $K_0(A)$  by the kernel of this form.  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  is a free abelian group of finite rank (see [14, Ex. 19.1.4]). Associating with a line bundle  $L$  its class  $[L]$  in  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  defines a polynomial map between free abelian groups of finite rank

$$\ell : \text{NS}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A).$$

Therefore, we have the induced polynomial morphism between the corresponding  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces

$$\ell : \text{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}. \tag{1.1.1}$$

**Corollary 1.1.2.** *An element  $\phi \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  is determined by the corresponding polynomial function*

$$\text{NS}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : x \mapsto \chi(\ell(\phi), \ell(x)).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathrm{NS}(A)$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathrm{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{C}}$ , it is enough to prove the similar statement with the polynomial function  $\chi(\ell(\phi), \ell(\cdot))$  on  $\mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Note that

$$\chi(\ell(\phi), \ell(x))^2 = \chi(\ell(x - \phi))^2 = \deg(x - \phi)$$

where we view  $x$  and  $\phi$  as elements of  $\mathrm{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\phi_0 : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  be a polarization. Then the map  $x \mapsto \phi_0^{-1} \circ x$  gives an isomorphism  $\mathrm{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathrm{End}(A)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (and the corresponding isomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces). Furthermore, this isomorphism rescales  $\deg$  by the constant  $\deg(\phi_0)$ . It remains to apply Proposition 1.1.1.  $\square$

**Remark 1.1.3.** When the ground field is  $\mathbb{C}$  we can identify  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  with the subspace of algebraic cycles in  $H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$  via the Chern character and  $\mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  with algebraic cycles in  $H^2(A, \mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $\ell$  is induced by the exponential map  $H^2(A, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**1.2. Characterization of the index of a line bundle.** Recall that if  $L$  is a nondegenerate line bundle on  $A$  (i.e., the corresponding map  $\phi_L : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is an isogeny) then its *index*  $i(L)$  is defined by the condition  $H^i(A, L) = 0$  for  $i \neq i(L)$ . We will use the following recipe for computing  $i(L)$ : it is the number of positive roots of the polynomial  $P(n) = \chi(L \otimes L_0^n)$ , where  $L_0$  is an ample line bundle on  $A$  (see [22, ch. 16]). The index function  $i(\cdot)$  extends uniquely to a  $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ -invariant function on  $\mathrm{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Let  $D_A \subset \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  be the complexified ample cone. Note that the function  $\deg$  and hence  $\chi$  does not vanish on  $D_A$  (see [13, Lem. A.3]). Since  $D_A$  is simply connected, there is a unique continuous branch of the argument  $\mathrm{Arg}(\chi(x))$  on  $D_A$ , such that for  $x = iH$ , where  $H$  is an ample class (an element of the ample cone) we have  $\mathrm{Arg}(\chi(iH)) = g\pi/2$ , where  $g = \dim A$ . It is easy to see that this branch does not depend on a choice of  $H$ . Then for class  $x \in \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  with  $\chi(x) \neq 0$  we can define by continuity the argument  $\mathrm{Arg}(\chi(x))$ , i.e., we set

$$\mathrm{Arg}(\chi(x)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \mathrm{Arg}(\chi(x + itH)),$$

where  $H$  is an ample class. Note that since  $\chi(x)$  is real, the number  $\mathrm{Arg}(\chi(x))/\pi$  is an integer.

**Theorem 1.2.1.** *For the continuous branch of  $\mathrm{Arg}(\chi(\cdot))$  on  $D_A$ , satisfying  $\mathrm{Arg}(\chi(iH)) = g\pi/2$  (where  $H$  is ample), one has*

$$\mathrm{Arg}(\chi(x)) = i(x)\pi$$

for every  $x \in \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  with  $\chi(x) \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* First, let us consider the case when  $x$  is in the ample cone. For  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  we have  $\chi(zx) = z^g \cdot \chi(x)$ . Thus, varying  $z$  on a unit circle from 1 to  $i$  we obtain

$$\mathrm{Arg}(\chi(ix)) = \mathrm{Arg}(\chi(x)) + \frac{g\pi}{2}.$$

Since  $\mathrm{Arg}(\chi(ix)) = g\pi/2$ , we obtain that  $\mathrm{Arg}(\chi(x)) = 0$ . Next, assume  $x \in \mathrm{NS}(A) \subset \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . Then for any ample class  $H$  the polynomial

$$P(t) = \chi(x + tH)$$

has  $i(x)$  positive roots, counted with multiplicity (see [22, ch. 16]). Let  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_r$  be all the positive roots of  $P(t)$ . For  $t \gg 0$  the class  $x + tH$  is ample and so  $\mathrm{Arg} \chi(x + tH) = 0$ .

Now we are going to decrease  $t$  until it reaches zero and observe the change of  $\text{Arg}(P(t)) = \text{Arg}(\chi(x + tH))$ . Note that it can only change when  $t$  passes one of the roots  $t_j$ . If  $t_j$  is a root of  $P(t)$  of multiplicity  $m_j$ , then for sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$  one has

$$\text{Arg}(P(t_j - \epsilon)) = \text{Arg}(P(t_j + \epsilon)) + m_j\pi.$$

Adding up the changes we get

$$\text{Arg}(\chi(x)) = \text{Arg}(P(0)) = i(x)\pi.$$

Since  $i(x)$  does not change upon rescaling by a positive rational number, the assertion for any  $x \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.2.2.** *For the branch of  $\text{Arg}(\text{deg}(\cdot))$  on  $D_A$  normalized by  $\text{Arg}(\text{deg}(iH)) = g\pi$  one has*

$$\text{Arg}(\text{deg}(x)) = i(x) \cdot 2\pi$$

for any  $x \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\text{deg}(x) \neq 0$ .

We will also need some information on the restriction of  $\text{Arg}(\chi(\cdot))$  to lines of the form  $iH + \mathbb{R}x \subset D_A$ .

**Lemma 1.2.3.** (i) *For any ample class  $H \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and any  $x \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$  let us choose any continuous branch of  $t \mapsto \text{Arg}(\chi(iH + tx))$ , where  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$  one has*

$$\text{Arg}(\chi(iH + t_1x)) - (g - i(x))\frac{\pi}{2} < \text{Arg}(\chi(iH + t_2x)) < \text{Arg}(\chi(iH + t_1x)) + i(x)\frac{\pi}{2}. \quad (\text{1.2.1})$$

(ii) *For any continuous branch of  $\text{Arg}(\text{deg}(\cdot))$  on  $D_A$  one has*

$$\text{Arg}(\text{deg}(\omega)) \leq \text{Arg}(\text{deg}(iH)) + g\pi$$

for any  $\omega \in D_A$ , where  $H$  is an ample class.

*Proof.* (i) Indeed, the polynomial

$$P(t) = \chi(iH + tx) = i^g \chi\left(H + \frac{t}{i}x\right)$$

has all roots purely imaginary, and exactly  $i(x)$  of them in the upper half-plane, counted with multiplicity (see [22, ch. 16]). Let us write  $P(t) = c \cdot (t - z_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (t - z_g)$ . Since  $P(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we can choose for every  $j = 1 \dots, g$  a continuous branch of  $t \mapsto \text{Arg}(t - z_j)$  along the real line and use the branch

$$\text{Arg} P(t) = \text{Arg}(c) + \text{Arg}(t - z_1) + \dots + \text{Arg}(t - z_g).$$

Suppose the roots  $z_1, \dots, z_{i(x)}$  are in the upper half-plane while  $z_j$  for  $j > i(x)$  are in the lower half-plane. Then for each  $j > i(x)$  the function  $t \mapsto \text{Arg}(t - z_j)$  is strictly decreasing and we have

$$\text{Arg}(t_1 - z_j) - \frac{\pi}{2} < \text{Arg}(t_2 - z_j) < \text{Arg}(t_1 - z_j).$$

On the other hand, for  $j \leq i(x)$  we have

$$\text{Arg}(t_1 - z_j) < \text{Arg}(t_2 - z_j) < \text{Arg}(t_1 - z_j) + \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Summing up over all the roots gives (1.2.1).

(ii) Applying (1.2.1) to  $t_1 = 0$  and  $t_2 = 1$  we get

$$\operatorname{Arg}(\chi(iH + x)) \leq \operatorname{Arg}(\chi(iH)) + i(x) \frac{\pi}{2} \leq \operatorname{Arg}(\chi(iH)) + g \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Since  $\deg = \chi^2$  on NS, we get the required inequality for points in  $D_A$  with rational real and imaginary part. The general case follows by continuity.  $\square$

**1.3. The group  $\mathbf{U}_{A \times \hat{A}}$ .** Recall (see [21], [24], [23], [13]) that with every abelian variety  $A$  one can associate an algebraic group  $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_{X_A}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $X_A := A \times \hat{A}$ , as follows. For every  $F \subset \mathbb{Q}$  we define the group of  $F$ -points  $\mathbf{U}(F)$  as a subgroup of invertible elements of the algebra  $\operatorname{End}(X_A) \otimes F$  consisting of

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{End}(X_A) \otimes F \quad \text{with } a \in \operatorname{Hom}(A, A) \otimes F, b \in \operatorname{Hom}(\hat{A}, A) \otimes F, \text{ etc.,}$$

such that

$$g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{d} & -\hat{b} \\ -\hat{c} & \hat{a} \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{End}(A \times \hat{A}) \otimes F.$$

The arithmetic subgroup

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) := \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \operatorname{End}(A \times \hat{A})$$

is closely related to the group of autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$  (see [23]). When we view the matrix element  $b$  above as a function on  $\mathbf{U}(F)$  we denote it by  $b(g)$ .

Our point of view is to consider  $X_A$  as a ‘‘symplectic object’’ in the category of abelian varieties using the skew-symmetric self-duality  $\eta_A : X_A \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}_A$  associated with the biextension  $p_{14}^* \mathcal{P} \otimes p_{23}^* \mathcal{P}^{-1}$  of  $X_A \times X_A$  (see [25], [31]). Then elements of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  are precisely *symplectic automorphisms* of  $X_A$ , i.e., automorphisms compatible with  $\eta_A$ . The development of this point of view in [31] was to view elements of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  as *Lagrangian correspondences* from  $X_A$  to itself, which allowed us to define endofunctors of  $D^b(A)$  associated with elements of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  (see [31, Sec. 3] and Sec. 2.1 below).

Note that we have the algebraic subgroup  $\mathbf{T} \simeq (\operatorname{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}})^* \subset \mathbf{U}$  consisting of diagonal matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{a} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following facts about the group  $\mathbf{U}$  follow easily from Albert’s classification of the endomorphism algebras of simple abelian varieties (see [24], [13]).

**Lemma 1.3.1.** (i) *Let us fix a polarization  $\phi : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  and let  $\mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{T}$  be the algebraic subgroup corresponding to  $a \in (\operatorname{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}})^*$  such that  $a$  lies in the center of  $\operatorname{End}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $a^{-1} = \phi^{-1} \hat{a} \phi$ . Then the group  $\mathbf{U}$  is an almost direct product of the semisimple commutant subgroup  $S\mathbf{U}$  and of  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

(ii) *The algebraic group  $\mathbf{U}$  is connected, and the Lie group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  is connected (with respect to the classical topology).*

We denote by  $\mathbf{U}^0 \subset \mathbf{U}$  the Zariski open subset given by the inequality  $\deg(b(g)) \neq 0$ . Note that for any  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{R})$  we have  $\deg(b(g)) > 0$  (since the function  $\deg$  is nonnegative on  $\operatorname{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ ).

The following condition on a subset of a group was introduced in [34, IV.42] (the term is due to D. Kazhdan).

**Definition 1.3.2.** Let  $G$  be a group. A subset  $B \subset G$  is called *big* if for any  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$  one has

$$B^{-1} \cap Bg_1 \cap Bg_2 \cap Bg_3 \neq \emptyset.$$

This notion is useful because of the following result (part (i) is due to Weil and part (ii) is a more precise version of [26, Lem. 4.2]).

**Lemma 1.3.3.** (i) Let  $B \subset G$  be a big subset. Then  $G$  is isomorphic to the abstract group generated by elements  $[b]$  for  $b \in B$  subject to the relations  $[b_1][b_2] = [b_1b_2]$  whenever  $b_1b_2 \in B$ .

(ii) Let  $Z$  be an abelian group (with the trivial  $G$ -action). Let  $c, c' : G \times G \rightarrow Z$  be a pair of 2-cocycles such that

$$c(b_1, b_2) = c'(b_1, b_2)$$

for any  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  with  $b_1b_2 \in B$ . Let  $p : G_c \rightarrow G$  (resp.  $p' : G_{c'} \rightarrow G$ ) be the extension of  $G$  by  $Z$  associated with  $c$  (resp.,  $c'$ ), and let  $\sigma : G \rightarrow G_c$  (resp.,  $\sigma' : G \rightarrow G_{c'}$ ) be the natural set-theoretic sections. Then there is a unique isomorphism of extensions  $i : G_c \rightarrow G_{c'}$  such that  $i(\sigma(b)) = \sigma'(b)$  (and identical on  $Z$ ).

*Proof.* (i) This is [34, IV.42, Lem. 6].

(ii) Note that the subset  $p^{-1}(B) \subset G_c$  (resp.,  $(p')^{-1}(B) \subset G_{c'}$ ) is big. Thus, we can define a homomorphism  $G_c \rightarrow G_{c'}$  by requiring that it sends  $z\sigma(b)$  to  $z\sigma'(b)$  for  $b \in B$ , provided we check the compatibility with the relations

$$\sigma(b_1)\sigma(b_2) = c(b_1, b_2)\sigma(b_1b_2),$$

$$\sigma'(b_1)\sigma'(b_2) = c'(b_1, b_2)\sigma'(b_1b_2),$$

whenever  $b_1, b_2, b_1b_2 \in B$ . But this boils down to the equality  $c(b_1, b_2) = c'(b_1, b_2)$ .  $\square$

Next, we will show that the subset  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  (resp.,  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ ) is big. Note that the subset  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  in the arithmetic group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  is also big (see Remark 1.4.2).

**Lemma 1.3.4.** For any field extension  $\mathbb{Q} \subset F$  the set  $\mathbf{U}(F)$  is Zariski-dense in  $\mathbf{U}$ . Hence, the subset  $\mathbf{U}^0(F) \subset \mathbf{U}(F)$  is big in  $\mathbf{U}(F)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{U}$  is connected, density of  $\mathbf{U}(F)$  follows from [6, Cor. 18.3]. Thus, for any  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \mathbf{U}(F)$  the intersection  $\mathbf{U}^0 \cap \mathbf{U}^0g_1 \cap \mathbf{U}^0g_2 \cap \mathbf{U}^0g_3$  contains a point of  $\mathbf{U}(F)$ .  $\square$

The group  $\mathbf{U}$  has two natural parabolic subgroups:  $\mathbf{P}^+$  is the intersection of  $\mathbf{U}$  with the subgroup of upper-triangular  $2 \times 2$ -matrices in  $\text{End}(A \times \hat{A})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , and  $\mathbf{P}^-$  is the intersection with the subgroup of lower-triangular matrices. We also denote by  $\mathbf{N}^+ \subset \mathbf{P}^+$  (resp.  $\mathbf{N}^- \subset \mathbf{P}^-$ ) the subgroup of strictly upper-triangular (resp. strictly lower-triangular) matrices. Note that both  $\mathbf{N}^+$  and  $\mathbf{N}^-$  are isomorphic to  $\text{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

**Lemma 1.3.5.** Any normal subgroup of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  containing  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  is the entire  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ .



*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{P}^+(\mathbb{Q})$  is conjugate to  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  by an element

$$w_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \phi^{-1} \\ -\phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.3.1)$$

where  $\phi : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is a polarization, it is enough to check that  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  is generated by the subgroups  $\mathbf{P}^+(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$ . We can write any  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  with invertible  $a$  as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ca^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{a}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, any element of  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  has form  $gw_\phi$  with  $g$  as above. Thus, the statement follows from Lemma 1.3.4.  $\square$

**1.4. Action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  on Lagrangian subvarieties.** Recall that an abelian subvariety  $L \subset X_A = A \times \hat{A}$  is *isotropic* if the composition

$$L \rightarrow X_A \xrightarrow{\eta_A} \hat{X}_A \rightarrow \hat{L}$$

is zero, where  $\eta_A$  is the standard skew-symmetric self-duality. If in addition  $\dim L = \dim A$  then  $L$  is called *Lagrangian* (for other equivalent definitions see [31, Sec. 2.2]). In this case  $\eta_A$  induces an isomorphism  $X_A/L \simeq \hat{L}$ .

To enumerate all Lagrangian abelian subvarieties in  $X_A$  it is convenient to work in the semisimple category  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$  of abelian varieties up to isogeny. Note that abelian subvarieties of  $X_A$  are in natural bijection with subobjects of  $X_A$  in the category  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Thus, we can use a similar notion of a Lagrangian subvariety in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Now if  $L \subset X_A$  is Lagrangian then we have an isomorphism  $X_A \simeq L \oplus \hat{L}$  in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ , which implies that  $L$  is isomorphic to  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Thus, we can describe a Lagrangian subvariety (in the category  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) as an image of a morphism  $A \rightarrow X_A$ , i.e., by a pair  $(x, y)$ , where  $x \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $y \in \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . The isotropy condition is the equation

$$\hat{y}x = \hat{x}y.$$

The existence of a splitting  $X_A \rightarrow A$  in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is equivalent to the condition

$$(\star) \quad (\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})x + (\text{Hom}(\hat{A}, A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})y = \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

The pairs  $(x_1, y_1)$  and  $(x_2, y_2)$  define the same subvariety if and only if there exists an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $A$  in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that  $x_2 = x_1\alpha$ ,  $y_2 = y_1\alpha$ . Thus, we obtain an identification of the set of Lagrangian subvarieties in  $X_A$  with the set

$$\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}) := \{(x, y) \mid \hat{y}x = \hat{x}y, (\star)\} / (x, y) \sim (x\alpha, y\alpha), \quad (1.4.1)$$

where  $x \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $y \in \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\alpha \in (\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^*$ . We denote by  $(x : y) \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  the equivalence class of  $(x, y) \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \oplus \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .

Fixing a polarization on  $A$  we can identify  $A$  with  $\hat{A}$ , so that the dualization gets replaced by the Rosati involution  $x \mapsto x'$  on  $\mathcal{A} := \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . We claim that the set  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  can be identified with the set of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points of a certain homogeneous projective variety  $\mathbf{LG}$  for the group  $\mathbf{U}$  (a subvariety in the Grassmannian of right rank-1  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodules in  $\mathcal{A}^2$ ). Here the action of  $\mathbf{U}$  on  $\mathbf{LG}$  is induced by the natural action of  $\text{End}(X_A)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  on pairs  $(x, y)$

(viewed as column vectors). Consider the point  $(0 : \phi_0) \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$ , where  $\phi_0 : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is a polarization, (the corresponding Lagrangian is  $0 \times \hat{A} \subset X_A$ ). Note that the stabilizer subgroup of is the subgroup  $\mathbf{P}^- \subset \mathbf{U}$  of lower triangular matrices. Thus, we define

$$\mathbf{LG} = \mathbf{LG}_A = \mathbf{U}/\mathbf{P}^-.$$

The fact that the set (1.4.1) is indeed the set of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points of  $\mathbf{LG}$  follows from the transitivity of the action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  on the set of Lagrangian subvarieties that we will prove below (see Prop. 1.4.3).

We start with the following useful result.

**Proposition 1.4.1.** *For any collection of Lagrangian subvarieties  $L_1, \dots, L_r \subset X_A$  there exists an element  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  such that all the Lagrangians  $gL_1, \dots, gL_r$  are transversal to  $\{0\} \times \hat{A}$ .*

*Proof.* We use an argument similar to the first part of the proof of [31, Thm. 3.2.11]. Consider elements in  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  of the form  $g_{nb}^+$  for some polarization  $b : \hat{A} \rightarrow A$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the condition that  $g_{nb}^+ L_i$  is transversal to  $\{0\} \times \hat{A}$  is equivalent to  $L_i$  being transversal to  $g_{-nb}^+(\{0\} \times \hat{A}) = \Gamma(-nb)$ . By [31, Lem. 2.2.7(ii)], the latter transversality holds for all  $n$  except for a finite number.  $\square$

**Remark 1.4.2.** The above Proposition immediately implies that subset  $\mathbf{U}^0 \cap \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  of the group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  is big (see Sec. 1.3). Indeed, for any given  $g_1, \dots, g_r \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  consider the Lagrangian subvarieties  $L_i = g_i(\{0\} \times \hat{A}) \subset X_A$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Then we can find  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $gL_i = gg_i(\{0\} \times \hat{A})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  are transversal to  $\{0\} \times \hat{A}$ . Thus, we get  $gg_i \in \mathbf{U}^0$  as required. The same proof works for any finite index subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$ . The fact that  $\mathbf{U}^0 \cap \Gamma$  is a big subset of  $\Gamma$  was stated in [26, Lem. 4.3]. However, the proof in *loc. cit.* was not correct: it relied on the absence of compact factors in  $S\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ , which is not always the case (see [13, Cor. 5.3.3]).

Lagrangian subvarieties in  $X_A$ , transversal to  $0 \times \hat{A}$ , are all graphs  $\Gamma(f)$  of symmetric homomorphisms  $f \in \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (see [31, Ex. 2.2.4]). This corresponds to points of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  of the form  $(1 : f)$ , which are precisely  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points of a Zariski open subset

$$\text{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbf{N}^- w_{\phi} \mathbf{P}^- / \mathbf{P}^- \subset \mathbf{LG}, \quad (1.4.2)$$

where  $w_{\phi}$  is given by (1.3.1), and  $\mathbf{N}^- \subset \mathbf{U}$  is the subgroup of strictly lower triangular matrices. In other words, the subset (1.4.2) is just the  $\mathbf{N}^-$ -orbit of the point  $(1 : 0) \in \mathbf{LG}$ .

**Proposition 1.4.3.** *(i) The action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  on the set of Lagrangian subvarieties in  $X_A$  is transitive.*

*(ii) The action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  is transitive.*

*Proof.* (i) The subgroup  $\mathbf{N}^-(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  acts on the subset  $\text{NS}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbf{LG}$  by translations, so the corresponding action on the set of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points is transitive. By Proposition 1.4.1, any point of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  is obtained from a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -point of this subset by an action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$ , so the required transitivity follows.

(ii) As is well known, it suffices to check triviality of the kernel of the map of Galois cohomology  $H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{P}^-) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{U})$ . Since  $\mathbf{P}^-$  is a semi-direct product of  $\prod_i \mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(D_i)$  (where  $D_i$  are skew-fields) and of  $\mathbb{G}_a^n$ , in fact, the set  $H^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{P}^-)$  is trivial.  $\square$

The description (1.4.1) of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points of  $\mathbf{LG}$  can be extended to a similar description of  $\mathbf{LG}(F)$ , where  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , so we can still use homogeneous coordinates  $(x : y)$ , where  $x \in \mathrm{End}(A) \otimes F$ ,  $y \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \otimes F$  to describe points of  $\mathbf{LG}(F)$ .

The complexified ample cone  $D_A \subset \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  is a hermitian symmetric space (a tube domain) with the group of isometries  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  (see [21, Sec. 5],[13, Sec. 8]). Namely, the group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $D_A$  by

$$g(\omega) = (c + d\omega)(a + b\omega)^{-1}. \quad (1.4.3)$$

This action is well defined since  $\deg(a + b\omega) \neq 0$  for  $\omega \in D_A$  (see [13, Lem. A3]). Furthermore, it is transitive and the stabilizer of a point  $\omega \in D_A$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  (see [13, Thm. A1]). Also, the natural embedding

$$D_A \hookrightarrow \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{C}) : \omega \mapsto (1 : \omega)$$

is  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant.

## 2. LI-FUNCTORS AND CENTRAL EXTENSIONS

**2.1. LI-objects and functors.** Recall that every object  $K \subset D^b(A \times A)$  gives rise to a functor of Fourier-Mukai type

$$\Phi_K : D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(A) : F \mapsto R p_{2*}(p_1^* F \otimes^{\mathbb{L}} K),$$

where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are projections of  $A \times A$  to its factors (we refer to  $K$  as the *kernel* of the functor  $\Phi_K$ ). The composition  $\Phi_{K_1} \circ \Phi_{K_2}$  corresponds to the convolution of kernels  $K_2 \circ_A K_1$  (see [19], our notation is as in [30]).

Recall that in [31] we have extended the relation between autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$  and the group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  (see [25], [23]) to a construction of endofunctors of  $D^b(A)$  (given by kernels on  $A \times A$ ) associated with elements of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ , suitably enhanced. Namely, with every element  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  we associate its graph  $L(g) \subset X_A \times X_A$ , which we view as a Lagrangian subvariety in  $X_A \times X_A$  with respect to the symplectic self-duality  $(-\eta_A) \times \eta_A$  (see [31, Sec. 3.1]). The corresponding kernel on  $A \times A$  is constructed as a generator of the subcategory of  $L(g)$ -invariants with respect to the action of  $X_A \times X_A$  on  $D^b(A \times A)$ .

More precisely, every Lagrangian subvariety  $L \subset X_A$  can be equipped with a line bundle  $\alpha$  such that we have an isomorphism of line bundles on  $L \times L$

$$\alpha_{l_1+l_2} \otimes \alpha_{l_1}^{-1} \otimes \alpha_{l_2}^{-1} \simeq \mathcal{P}_{p_A(l_1), p_{\hat{A}}(l_2)}, \quad (2.1.1)$$

where  $p_A : L \rightarrow A$  and  $p_{\hat{A}} : L \rightarrow \hat{A}$  are the projections, and  $\mathcal{P}$  is the Poincaré bundle on  $A \times \hat{A}$ . We refer to  $(L, \alpha)$  as *Lagrangian pair*. For every such pair  $(L, \alpha)$  there exists a unique up to an isomorphism endosimple coherent sheaf  $S_{L, \alpha}$  on  $A$  together with an isomorphism

$$(S_{L, \alpha})_{x+p_A(l)} \otimes \mathcal{P}_{x, p_{\hat{A}}(l)} \otimes \alpha_l \simeq (S_{L, \alpha})_x \quad (2.1.2)$$

on  $L \times A$  (where  $l \in L$ ,  $x \in A$ ), satisfying certain natural compatibility condition. We view this condition as invariance with respect to the lifting of  $L$  to the *Heisenberg groupoid*

$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_A$ , acting on  $D^b(A)$  (and on  $D^b(A \times S)$  for any scheme  $S$ ). By definition,  $\mathbf{H}$  is a Picard groupoid extension of  $X_A$  by the stack of line bundles, so its objects over a scheme  $S$  are pairs: a point  $(x, \xi) \in X_A(S)$  and a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $S$ . The group operation is determined by

$$(x_1, \xi_1) \cdot (x_2, \xi_2) = \mathcal{P}_{x_1, \xi_2} \cdot (x_1 + x_2, \xi_1 + \xi_2).$$

The action of  $(x, \xi) \in X_A(S) \subset \mathbf{H}(S)$  on  $D^b(A \times S)$  is given by the functors

$$F \mapsto T_{(x, \xi)}(F) = \mathcal{P}_\xi \otimes t_x^* F, \quad (2.1.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_\xi$  is the line bundle on  $A \times S$  corresponding to  $\xi \in \hat{A}(S)$ . A choice of a line bundle  $\alpha$  satisfying (2.1.1) gives a lifting of  $L$  to a subgroup of  $\mathbf{H}$ , and the left-hand side of (2.1.2) is the result of the action of  $l \in L$  on  $S_{L, \alpha}$ .

**Definition 2.1.1.** *LI-objects* are cohomologically pure nonzero objects in  $D^b(A)$  that can be equipped with  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariance isomorphism (2.1.2) for some  $(L, \alpha)$  as above. In fact, they are all of the form  $S_{L, \alpha}^{\oplus n}[m]$  for some  $(L, \alpha)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  (see [31, Thm. 2.4.5]). Let  $\text{SH}^{LI}(A)$  denote the set of isomorphism classes of LI-objects on  $A$ . In this work we work mostly with the set  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)$  of LI-objects viewed up to the action of  $\mathbf{H}(k)$ , i.e., up to translations and tensoring with line bundles in  $\text{Pic}^0(A)$ . We will refer to this equivalence relation as  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence.

We will use the notation  $N \cdot F := F^{\oplus N}$  for an LI-object  $F$ . This defines an action of the multiplicative monoid  $\mathbb{N}^*$  on  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.2.** *There is a well-defined map*

$$\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A) : L \mapsto S(L)$$

*sending a Lagrangian subvariety  $L \subset X_A$  to the class of the LI-sheaf  $S_{L, \alpha}$ , where  $(L, \alpha)$  is a Lagrangian pair extending  $L$ . The map*

$$\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A) : (L, N, n) \mapsto N \cdot S(L)[n]$$

*is a bijection of  $\mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{Z}$ -sets.*

*Proof.* The fact that  $S(L)$  depends only on  $L$  follows from [31, Lem. 2.4.2]. The second statement follows from [31, Thm. 2.4.5] about the structure of the category of  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariants in  $D^b(A)$  and [31, Cor. 2.4.11] stating that  $L$  can be recovered from  $S_{L, \alpha}$ .  $\square$

Recall that for an element  $\phi \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  the graph  $\Gamma(\phi)$  is a Lagrangian subvariety of  $X_A$ . Furthermore, these graphs are precisely all Lagrangians  $L \subset X_A$  such that the projection  $L \rightarrow A$  is an isogeny. The sheaf  $S_{\Gamma(\phi), \alpha}$  associated with a Lagrangian pair  $(\Gamma(\phi), \alpha)$ , is a simple semihomogeneous vector bundle with  $c_1/\text{rk} = \phi$  (see [20]). For  $\phi \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  we denote the  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence class of this bundle by

$$V_\phi = S(\Gamma(\phi)). \quad (2.1.4)$$

The above construction of LI-sheaves can be applied to Lagrangian subvarieties  $L \subset X_A \times X_B$  for a pair of abelian varieties  $A$  and  $B$ , where we use the symplectic self-duality  $(-\eta_A) \times \eta_B$  of  $X_A \times X_B$ . We refer to the corresponding Lagrangian pairs  $(L, \alpha)$

as *Lagrangian correspondences from  $X_A$  to  $X_B$* . The obtained LI-sheaves  $S_{L,\alpha}$  on  $A \times B$  can be used as kernels of *LI-functors*

$$\Phi_{L,\alpha} := \Phi_{S_{L,\alpha}} : D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(B).$$

The key property of these functors is that we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\Phi_{L,\alpha} \circ T_{p_1(l)} \simeq \alpha_l \otimes T_{p_2(l)} \circ \Phi_{L,\alpha} \quad (2.1.5)$$

for  $l \in L$ , where  $p_1, p_2 : L \rightarrow X_A$  are two projections. Note that every exact equivalence  $D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(B)$  is given by such an LI-functor with  $L$  being the graph of a symplectic isomorphism  $X_A \simeq X_B$  (see [23]).

Let  $p_{AB} : L \rightarrow A \times B$ ,  $p_{A\hat{A}} : L \rightarrow A \times \hat{A}$  and  $p_{B\hat{B}} : L \rightarrow B \times \hat{B}$  be the projections. The line bundle  $\alpha$  can always be chosen in such a way that its restriction to the connected component of zero in  $\ker(p_{AB})$  is trivial. In this case  $S_{L,\alpha}$  is a direct summand in

$$p_{AB*} (\alpha^{-1} \otimes p_{A\hat{A}}^* \mathcal{P}^{-1} \otimes p_{B\hat{B}}^* \mathcal{P}) \quad (2.1.6)$$

(see [31, Lem. 3.2.5]). In the case when  $p_{AB}$  is an isogeny the finite group scheme  $\ker(p_{AB})$  has a canonical central extension  $H_L$  by  $\mathbb{G}_m$  with the underlying line bundle  $\alpha|_{\ker(p_{AB})}$ . Furthermore,  $H_L$  is a Heisenberg group scheme and (2.1.6) has a natural  $H_L$ -action, so that

$$S_{L,\alpha} = p_{AB*} (\alpha^{-1} \otimes p_{A\hat{A}}^* \mathcal{P}^{-1} \otimes p_{B\hat{B}}^* \mathcal{P})^I, \quad (2.1.7)$$

for a maximal isotropic subgroup  $I \subset \ker(p_{AB})$  lifted to  $H_L$ . It follows from the theory of weight one representations of Heisenberg groups that taking  $I$ -invariants reduces rank by the factor of  $|\ker(p_{AB})|^{1/2}$ , so we get

$$\mathrm{rk} S_{L,\alpha} = \deg(p_{AB} : L \rightarrow A \times B)^{1/2}. \quad (2.1.8)$$

In particular, for  $B = 0$  we get

$$\mathrm{rk} V_\phi = \det(p_A : \Gamma(\phi) \rightarrow A)^{1/2}. \quad (2.1.9)$$

**Example 2.1.3.** The functor of tensoring with a line bundle  $L$  on  $D^b(A)$  commutes with the action of  $\hat{A}$  and satisfies

$$L \otimes (t_x^* F) \simeq \mathcal{P}_{-\phi_L(x)} \otimes t_x^*(L \otimes F).$$

In fact, it is the LI-functor corresponding to  $g_{-\phi_L} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{id} & 0 \\ -\phi_L & \mathrm{id} \end{pmatrix}$ . More generally, for  $\phi \in \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  the LI-functor corresponding to the element  $g_{-\phi} \in \mathbf{N}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  is the functor of tensoring with the semihomogeneous vector bundle  $V_\phi$  (up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence).

The above construction gives a map

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A \times A) : g \rightarrow S(g) = S(L(g)). \quad (2.1.10)$$

We denote by  $\Phi_g \in \mathrm{Fun}(D^b(A), D^b(A))/\mathbf{H}$  the functor associated with the kernel  $S(g)$ , defined up to composing with a functor of the form  $T_{(x,\xi)}$ ,  $(x,\xi) \in X_A$  (on either side). For each  $(x,\xi) \in X_A$  we have (noncanonical) isomorphisms

$$\Phi_g \circ T_{N(x,\xi)} \simeq T_{Ng(x,\xi)} \circ \Phi_g,$$

where  $N$  is such that  $Ng \in \mathrm{End}(X_A)$ .

Note that we have a well defined homomorphism induced by  $\Phi_g$

$$\rho(g) : \mathcal{N}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A).$$

**Definition 2.1.4.** Let  $F$  be a cohomologically pure object of  $D^b(A)$  and let  $G$  be an endosimple LI-object. We write

$$F \equiv N \cdot G$$

if there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $F[n]$  and  $G[n]$  are sheaves and  $F[n]$  has a filtration of length  $N$  such that each consecutive quotient is  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalent to  $S(g_1 g_2)$ . In the case of sheaves on  $A \times A$  we will use the same notation for the relation between the corresponding endofunctors of  $D^b(A)$ .

One of the main results of [31] is the following calculation of the convolution of kernels (see [31, Thm. 3.3.4]):

$$S(g_2) \circ_A S(g_1) \equiv N(g_1, g_2) \cdot S(g_1 g_2)[\lambda(g_1, g_2)], \quad (2.1.11)$$

for some 2-cocycles  $N(g_1, g_2)$  and  $\lambda(g_1, g_2)$  of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  with values in  $\mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ , respectively.

<sup>1</sup> Furthermore, we have

$$N(g_1, g_2) = \frac{q(L(g_1))^{1/2} q(L(g_2))^{1/2}}{q(L(g_1 g_2))^{1/2}}, \quad (2.1.12)$$

where

$$q(g) = q(L(g)) = \deg(p_1 : L(g) \rightarrow X_A). \quad (2.1.13)$$

Also, for  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $g_1 g_2 \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  one has

$$\lambda(g_1, g_2) = -i(b(g_1)^{-1} b(g_1 g_2) b(g_2)^{-1}). \quad (2.1.14)$$

Note that in order for the right-hand side to be well-defined the argument of  $i(\cdot)$  should be symmetric. This indeed follows from the equality

$$b_1^{-1}(a_1 b_2 + b_1 d_2) b_2^{-1} = b_1^{-1} a_1 + d_2 b_2^{-1},$$

where we use the usual notation for the entries of  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ .

**Definition 2.1.5.** We denote by  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  the central extension of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$  associated with the 2-cocycle  $\lambda(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Explicitly  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{Z}$  with the product

$$(g_1, n_1) \cdot (g_2, n_2) = (g_1 g_2, n_1 + n_2 + \lambda(g_1, g_2)).$$

Note that since the subset  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  is big (see Lemma 1.3.4), by Lemma 1.3.3(ii), the formula (2.1.14) determines the extension  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  uniquely up to a unique isomorphism.

Let us denote by  $\overline{\mathbf{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^*$  the set of equivalence classes with respect to the equivalence relation generated by  $F \sim N \cdot F$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . By (2.1.11), the map  $g \mapsto S(g) \bmod \mathbb{N}^*$  defines a homomorphism of monoids

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{SH}}^{LI}(A \times A)^{op}/\mathbb{N}^*, \quad (2.1.15)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>In [31, Thm. 3.3.4] we made the assumption  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  which implies a stronger statement: the left-hand side of (2.1.11) is a direct sum of objects  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalent to the right-hand side. It is easy to see that the same argument in the positive characteristic case gives a filtration instead of a direct sum.

and hence a homomorphism of monoids

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(D^b(A), D^b(A))/(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{N}^*) : g \mapsto \Phi_g, \quad (2.1.16)$$

where on the right we consider functors up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence and up to replacing  $\Phi$  with  $N \cdot \Phi = \Phi^{\oplus N}$ .

On the level of numerical Grothendieck groups we can eliminate taking quotient by  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . Namely, let us set for  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$

$$\hat{\rho}(g) = \frac{\rho(g)}{q(g)^{1/2}} : \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.1.17)$$

Then from (2.1.11) and (2.1.12) we derive that

$$\hat{\rho}(g_1)\hat{\rho}(g_2) = (-1)^{\lambda(g_1, g_2)}\hat{\rho}(g_1g_2),$$

where  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Thus,  $\hat{\rho}$  defines a homomorphism from  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  to  $\text{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R})$ , trivial on the central subgroup  $2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ . Note that the quotient  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is a double cover of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Below we will introduce an algebraic structure on this double cover and will show that  $\hat{\rho}$  is induced by an algebraic homomorphism defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  (see Sections 2.3 and 2.5).

**2.2. Splittings over subgroups.** We are going to define a splitting of the central extension  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  over the parabolic subgroup  $\mathbf{P}^+(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  of lower-triangular matrices (resp., over the subgroup  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  of upper-triangular matrices). Note that  $\mathbf{P}^+(\mathbb{Q})$  is a semidirect product of the subgroups of strictly upper triangular matrices  $\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and of diagonal matrices  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq (\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^*$ .

**Proposition 2.2.1.** (i) *There exist unique liftings of the subgroups  $\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\mathbf{N}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ . The lifting of the element  $g_\phi^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\phi \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$  is given by  $(g_\phi^+, i(\phi)) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ . The lifting of the element  $g_\phi^- = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \phi & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\phi \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$  is given by  $(g_\phi^-, 0) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ . The corresponding functor  $\Phi_{g_\phi^-}$  (defined up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence) is given by tensoring with the semihomogeneous bundle  $V_{-\phi}$  (see (2.1.4)).*

(ii) *For  $t = t_a = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{a} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  we have (up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence)*

$$S(t) = \mathcal{O}_B$$

*for some abelian subvariety  $B \subset A \times A$  such that the two projections  $p, q : B \rightarrow A$  are isogenies. Hence, the functor  $\Phi_t$  is of the form  $q_*p^*$  (up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence).*

(iii) *For any  $t \in \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  one has  $\lambda(t, g) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Uniqueness of liftings follows from the fact that there are no non-trivial homomorphisms from a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, to check the formula for the lifting of  $g_\phi^+$  we have to check that

$$S(g_{\phi_2}^+) \circ S(g_{\phi_1}^+) = S(g_{\phi_1 + \phi_2}^+)[i(\phi_1 + \phi_2) - i(\phi_1) - i(\phi_2)],$$

for  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\phi_1 + \phi_2 \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$ . But

$$\lambda(g_{\phi_1}^+, g_{\phi_2}^+) = -i(\phi_1^{-1}(\phi_1 + \phi_2)\phi_2^{-1}) = -i(\phi_1^{-1} + \phi_2^{-1}),$$

so we are reduced to showing that

$$i(\phi_1^{-1} + \phi_2^{-1}) = i(\phi_1) + i(\phi_2) - i(\phi_1 + \phi_2).$$

Since

$$i(\phi_1^{-1} + \phi_2^{-1}) = i(\phi_1(\phi_1^{-1} + \phi_2^{-1})\phi_1) = i(\phi_1 + \phi_1\phi_2^{-1}\phi_1),$$

this follows from [27, Prop. 15.8] (taking into account that  $i(-x) = g - i(x)$ ).

Since the composition of functors  $\otimes V_{\phi_1}$  and  $\otimes V_{\phi_2}$  is again tensoring with a bundle that has a filtration with consecutive quotients  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalent to  $V_{\phi_1 + \phi_2}$ , the assertion about the lifting of  $g_{\phi}^-$  follows (cf. Ex. 2.1.3).

(ii) Assume first that  $a \in \text{End}(A)$ . Then  $L(t_a) \simeq A \times \hat{A}$  and its embedding into  $X_A \times X_A$  is given by

$$(x, \xi) \mapsto (ax, \xi, x, \hat{a}\xi).$$

This implies that  $(L(t_a), \mathcal{O})$  is a Lagrangian correspondence from  $X_A$  to itself, so (2.1.6) in this case gives that

$$S_{L(t_a), \mathcal{O}} \simeq (a, \text{id}_A)_* \mathcal{O}_A$$

and the corresponding functor  $\Phi_{t_a}$  is the pull-back functor  $a^*$ . Similarly, if  $a^{-1} \in \text{End}(A)$  then

$$S_{L(t_a), \mathcal{O}} \simeq (\text{id}_A, a^{-1})_* \mathcal{O}_A$$

and the corresponding functor  $\Phi_{t_a}$  is the push-forward functor  $(a^{-1})_*$ . The general case is obtained by combining these two.

(iii) We have to check that the convolution  $S(g) \circ_A S(t)$  is a sheaf. Indeed, using the form of  $S(t)$  from (ii) we obtain

$$S(g) \circ_A S(t) \simeq (\text{id}_A \times q)_* (\text{id}_A \times p)^* S(g),$$

where  $p, q : B \rightarrow A$  are isogenies. □

**Corollary 2.2.2.** *There is a unique splitting of the central extension  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  over  $\mathbf{P}^+(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  (resp., over  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$ ), which maps  $t \in \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  to  $(t, 0) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ .*

**2.3. Identifying central extensions.** Recall that  $D_A \subset \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$  denotes the complexified ample cone of  $A$ .

Consider the function  $\Delta : \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*(D_A)$  given by

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \Delta(g)(\omega) = \deg(a + b\omega),$$

where  $\omega \in D_A$ .

**Lemma 2.3.1.** *For  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  one has*

$$\Delta(g_1 g_2)(\omega) = \Delta(g_1)(g_2(\omega)) \cdot \Delta(g_2)(\omega), \tag{2.3.1}$$

*i.e.,  $\Delta$  is a 1-cocycle.*



*Proof.* This follows from the identity

$$a + b\omega = (a_1 + b_1g_2(\omega))(a_2 + b_2\omega),$$

where  $g_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $g_1g_2 = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . □

Since  $D_A$  is contractible, we have an exact sequence of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D_A) \xrightarrow{\exp(2\pi i \cdot ?)} \mathcal{O}^*(D_A) \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying the boundary homomorphism  $H^1(\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}))$  to the 1-cocycle  $\Delta(g)^{-1}$  we obtain a central extension  $U^\Delta$  of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Explicitly,

$$U^\Delta = \{(g, f) \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{O}(D_A) \mid \Delta(g) = \exp(-2\pi i f)\}.$$

The multiplication rule on  $U^\Delta$  uses the cocycle condition on  $\Delta$ : we set

$$(g_1, f_1) \cdot (g_2, f_2) = (g_1g_2, f_1(g_2(\cdot)) + f_2).$$

**Theorem 2.3.2.** *There is a homomorphism  $\iota : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow U^\Delta$ , lifting the natural embedding  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  and sending  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  to  $(1, n) \in U^\Delta$ . This homomorphism is uniquely characterized by the condition that for  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  one has*

$$\iota(g, 0) = (g, f),$$

where

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re} f(inH) = -\frac{g}{2}$$

for any ample class  $H$ .

*Proof.* First, we are going to define a section  $\sigma : \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U^\Delta$  of the projection  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  over the open subset  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of  $g$  with  $\deg(b(g)) \neq 0$ . Note that for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{R})$  one has

$$\Delta(g)(\omega) = \deg(a + b\omega) = \deg(b) \cdot \deg(b^{-1}a + \omega).$$

Since  $\deg(b) > 0$ , to define  $\sigma(g) = (g, f_g^\sigma)$  amounts to choosing a branch of the argument for  $\deg(b^{-1}a + \omega)^{-1}$ . Let us choose the branch of the argument of  $\deg(b^{-1}a + \omega)$  in such a way that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \operatorname{Arg}(\deg(b^{-1}a + inH)) = \pi \cdot g,$$

where  $H$  is an ample class and set  $\operatorname{Arg}(\Delta(g)(\omega)^{-1}) = -\operatorname{Arg}(\deg(b^{-1}a + \omega))$ . Then we set  $\iota(g, 0) = \sigma(g)$  for  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$ . Since  $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  is big in  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ , by Lemma 1.3.3, it remains to show that for  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $g_1g_2 \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  one has

$$\sigma(g_1)\sigma(g_2) = \sigma(g_1g_2) \cdot (1, \lambda(g_1, g_2)).$$

In other words, we have to check that

$$f_{g_1}^\sigma(g_2(\omega)) + f_{g_2}^\sigma(\omega) = f_{g_1g_2}^\sigma(\omega) + \lambda(g_1, g_2),$$

or equivalently, that with the above choice of  $\text{Arg}(\Delta(g))$  one has

$$\text{Arg}(\Delta(g_1)(g_2(\omega))) + \text{Arg}(\Delta(g_2)(\omega)) = \text{Arg}(\Delta(g_1g_2)(\omega)) - 2\pi \cdot \lambda(g_1, g_2). \quad (2.3.2)$$

It is enough to check the equality of the limits of both sides for  $\omega = inH$  as  $n$  goes to infinity (where  $H$  is an ample class). Let  $g_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_2(inH) = d_2b_2^{-1}.$$

Thus, (2.3.2) reduces to the equality

$$\text{Arg}(\Delta(g_1)(d_2b_2^{-1})) = -2\pi\lambda(g_1, g_2) = i(b_1^{-1}b(g_1g_2)b_2^{-1}).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Arg}(\Delta(g_1)(d_2b_2^{-1})) &= \text{Arg}(\deg(b_1^{-1}a_1 + d_2b_2^{-1})) = \text{Arg}(\deg(b_1^{-1}b(g_1g_2)b_2^{-1})) = \\ &= 2\pi \cdot i(b_1^{-1}b(g_1g_2)b_2^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

by Corollary 1.2.2. □

The central extension  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  has a natural continuous splitting over the subgroup  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ . Indeed, for  $g \in \mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$  we have  $\Delta(g) = \deg(a) > 0$ , so we can lift  $g$  to

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{P}^-}(g) = \left(g, -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \log(\deg(a))\right),$$

where we choose  $\log(\deg(a))$  to be in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The following result will be useful for us later.

**Lemma 2.3.3.** *The restriction of the above lifting homomorphism  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U^\Delta$  to  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  corresponds via  $\iota$  to the lifting homomorphism  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  considered in Corollary 2.2.2.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.2.1(i), it is enough to check the compatibility of liftings on  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$ . In view of Proposition 2.2.1(iii) this follows from the equality

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{P}^-}(t)\sigma(g) = \sigma(tg)$$

for any  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$ , where  $\sigma : \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow U^\Delta$  is the section used in the proof of Theorem 2.3.2. □

Similarly, the extension  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  has a natural continuous splitting over  $\mathbf{P}^+(\mathbb{R})$ , which is the same as before over  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{R})$ , and over  $\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{R})$  is described as follows.

**Lemma 2.3.4.** *There is a unique splitting of  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  over  $\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \text{NS}(\hat{A}, \mathbb{R})$  which is given by the branch of*

$$\text{Arg} \Delta^{-1}|_{\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{R})} = \text{Arg} \deg(1 + \psi\omega)$$

that tends to 0 as  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\psi \in \text{NS}(\hat{A}, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \text{Hom}(\hat{A}, A)_{\mathbb{R}}^+$ .

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check that this choice of argument gives a lifting. The uniqueness follows from the fact that there are no nontrivial homomorphisms from a real vector space to  $\mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

Let us consider the induced double cover  $U^\Delta/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$ . We are going to introduce an algebraic structure on this group.

**Lemma 2.3.5.** *Consider a field extension  $\mathbb{Q} \subset F$ , where either  $F = \mathbb{R}$  or  $F$  is algebraically closed. Then for every  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}(F)$ , the polynomial  $\Delta(g)(\phi) = \deg(a + b\phi)$  on  $\text{NS}(A)(F)$  is a complete square (and is nonzero).*

*Proof.* For  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0$  this follows from the equality

$$\deg(a + b\phi) = \deg(b) \deg(b^{-1}a + \phi) = \deg(b) \chi(b^{-1}a + \phi)^2$$

and the fact that  $\deg(b) \geq 0$  in the case  $F = \mathbb{R}$ . Viewing the equation (2.3.1) as an identity of rational functions on  $\text{NS}(A)$ , we see that if  $\Delta(g_1)$  and  $\Delta(g_2)$  are complete squares then  $\Delta(g_1 g_2)$  is a complete square as a rational function on  $\text{NS}(A)$ , and hence, as a polynomial.  $\square$

**Definition 2.3.6.** Let  $\text{Pol}_{\leq g}(\text{NS}(A))$  denote the space of polynomials of degree  $\leq g$  on  $\text{NS}(A)$ . We define a double covering  $\text{Spin} = \text{Spin}_{X_A} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$  of algebraic groups over  $\mathbb{Q}$  by setting

$$\text{Spin} = \{(g, f) \in \mathbf{U} \times \text{Pol}_{\leq g}(\text{NS}(A)) \mid \Delta(g) = f^2\}$$

with the group law

$$(g_1, f_1) \cdot (g_2, f_2) = (g_1 g_2, f_1(g_2(\cdot)) \cdot f_2).$$

Here the rational function  $f_1(g_2(\cdot)) \cdot f_2$  is actually a polynomial since its square is  $\Delta(g_1 g_2)$ .

Note that by Lemma 2.3.5, the map  $\pi : \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  is a double covering. We have a natural isomorphism of groups

$$U^\Delta/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R}) : (g, f) \mapsto (g, \exp(-\pi i f)). \quad (2.3.3)$$

We have two natural subgroups in  $\text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$ :

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} = \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})), \quad \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{spin}} = \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})). \quad (2.3.4)$$

**Lemma 2.3.7.** *Consider the homomorphism*

$$\bar{\tau} : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}/2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} \subset \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$$

induced by  $\iota : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})} \rightarrow U^\Delta$  (see Theorem 2.3.2) and the isomorphism (2.3.3). Then for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  we have

$$\bar{\tau}(g, 0) = (g, \sqrt{\deg(b)} \cdot \chi(b^{-1}a + \phi)),$$

with  $\sqrt{\deg(b)} > 0$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 2.3.2,  $\bar{\iota}(g, 0) = (g, f)$ , where  $f(\phi)$  is the square root of  $\Delta(g)(\phi) = \deg(b) \cdot \deg(b^{-1}a + \phi)$  with the property

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{Arg } f(inH) = \frac{\pi \cdot g}{2} \bmod 2\pi\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since  $\text{Arg } \chi(b^{-1}a + inH)$  has the same limit as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , the assertion follows.  $\square$

**Remarks 2.3.8.** 1. If for a field extension  $\mathbb{Q} \subset F$  there is a multiplicative norm  $\text{Nm}$  on  $\text{End}(A) \otimes F$  such that  $\text{Nm}^2 = \deg$  then the map  $g \mapsto (g, \text{Nm}(a + b\omega))$  defines a splitting of the extension  $\text{Spin} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$  over  $F$ . For example, if  $A = E^n$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication, then  $\text{End}(A) = \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\deg([M]_A) = \det(M)^2$  for a matrix  $M \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ . Hence, in this case the norm  $\det(\cdot)$  gives a splitting of the spin-covering.

2. The group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{spin}}$  is exactly the group  $USpin(A \times \hat{A})$  defined by Mukai in [21] (the same group is denoted by  $\text{Spin}(A)$  in [13]).

Using the isomorphism  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}/2\mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}}$  we can define a homomorphism

$$\hat{\rho} : \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}) \quad (2.3.5)$$

such that  $\hat{\rho}(\bar{\iota}(g, 0))$  is the operator  $\hat{\rho}(g)$  (see (2.1.17)).

**2.4. The action on LI-objects.** Recall that with a Lagrangian correspondence from  $X_A$  to itself extending a symplectic isomorphism  $g : X_A \rightarrow X_A$  in  $\mathcal{Ab}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  we associate an endofunctor  $\Phi_g$  of  $D^b(A)$ , defined up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence (see Sec. 2.1). We are going to use these endofunctors to define an action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on some extension of  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)$  (see Corollary 2.4.2).

**Theorem 2.4.1.** (i) For an element  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  and a Lagrangian subvariety  $L \subset X_A$  we have

$$\Phi_g(S(L)) \equiv N(g, L) \cdot S(gL)[\lambda(g, L)] \quad (2.4.1)$$

for some  $\lambda(g, L) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $N(g, L) \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , where we use Def. 2.1.4.

(ii) If  $L = \Gamma(\phi)$  for an isogeny  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  and if  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  satisfies  $\deg(b) \neq 0$ ,  $\deg(a + b\phi) \neq 0$  and  $\deg(c + d\phi) \neq 0$ , then we have

$$N(g, L) = \deg(a + b\phi)^{1/2} \cdot q(g)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{\text{rk } V_{g(\phi)}}{\text{rk } V_{\phi}}, \quad (2.4.2)$$

where  $q(g)$  is given by (2.1.13),  $\text{rk } V_{\phi}$  is given by (2.1.9), and

$$\lambda(g, \Gamma(\phi)) = -i(b^{-1}a + \phi). \quad (2.4.3)$$

*Proof.* (i) Let us extend  $L$  and  $L(g)$  to Lagrangian pairs  $(L, \alpha)$  and  $(L(g), \beta)$ . By [31, Thm. 3.2.11], applied to the Lagrangian correspondence  $(L(g), \beta)$  and to  $(L, \alpha)$  viewed as a Lagrangian correspondence from 0 to  $X_A$ , we obtain

$$\Phi_{L(g), \beta}(S_{L, \alpha}) = S_{L(g) \circ L, \beta \circ \alpha}[i]$$

for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As in [31, Thm. 3.2.14] one can check that  $i$  does not depend on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Next, we have to relate the composed Lagrangian correspondence  $S_{L(g) \circ L, \beta \circ \alpha}$  with  $S(gL)$ . Here we use the definition of the composition of Lagrangian correspondences from [31, Sec. 3]. Note that the result is a *generalized Lagrangian correspondence* in the sense of [31, Def. 3.1.1] We are going to apply [31, Prop. 2.4.7(ii)] to the generalized Lagrangian  $Z := L(g) \circ L \xrightarrow{j} X_A$ . Note that  $Z \subset L(g) \subset X_A \times X_A$  is the preimage of  $L$  under the first projection  $p_1 : L(g) \rightarrow X_A$ , and the homomorphism  $j : Z \rightarrow X_A$  is induced by the second projection  $p_2 : L(g) \rightarrow X_A$ . By [31, Prop. 2.4.7(ii)], we have

$$S_{Z, \beta \circ \alpha} \equiv n^{1/2} \cdot |\pi_0(Z)|^{1/2} \cdot S(j(Z_0))$$

in  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A \times A)$ , where  $n = |\pi_0(j(Z))|$  (here  $Z_0$  is the connected component of 0 in  $Z$ ). By definition, we have  $j(Z_0) = gL$ . Thus, we deduce (2.4.1) with

$$N(g, L) = |\pi_0(Z)|^{1/2} \cdot n^{1/2}.$$

Also, by [31, (2.4.12)], we have  $n = \deg(Z_0 \rightarrow j(Z_0))$ .

(ii) Now assume that  $L = \Gamma(\phi)$  and that  $g(\phi)$  is defined and is an isogeny. Note that for sufficiently divisible  $N$  we have an isogeny

$$A \rightarrow Z_0 : x \mapsto (Nx, N\phi x, N(a + b\phi)x, N(c + d\phi)x) \in L(g) \subset X_A \times X_A. \quad (2.4.4)$$

In particular, both projections from  $Z_0$  to  $A$  are isogenies. Let us consider the commutative diagram of isogenies

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_0 & \longrightarrow & Z \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_{A,2} \\ j(Z_0) & \xrightarrow{p_A} & A \end{array}$$

where  $p_{A,2}$  is the composition  $Z \rightarrow L(g) \xrightarrow{p_2} X_A \xrightarrow{p_A} A$ . Considering the degrees we obtain

$$\deg(p_{A,2} : Z \rightarrow A) = |\pi_0(Z)| \cdot \deg(p_{A,2}|_{Z_0}) = |\pi_0(Z)| \cdot \deg(j(Z_0) \rightarrow A) \cdot n.$$

Recall that  $j(Z_0) = gL$ , so we get

$$N(g, L) = \frac{\deg(p_{A,2} : Z \rightarrow A)^{1/2}}{\deg(gL \rightarrow A)^{1/2}}.$$

Now let us consider the projection  $p_{A,1} : Z \rightarrow L(g) \xrightarrow{p_1} X_A \xrightarrow{p_A} A$ . Using the isogeny (2.4.4) we see that

$$Np_{A,2}|_{Z_0} = N(a + b\phi)p_{A,1}|_{Z_0}.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\deg(p_{A,2} : Z \rightarrow A)}{\deg(p_{A,1} : Z \rightarrow A)} = \frac{\deg(p_{A,2}|_{Z_0})}{\deg(p_{A,1}|_{Z_0})} = \deg(a + b\phi). \quad (2.4.5)$$

Note that  $p_{A,1}$  factors through the projection  $Z \rightarrow L$  and we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \longrightarrow & L(g) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p_1 \\ L & \longrightarrow & X_A \end{array}$$

which shows that  $\deg(Z \rightarrow L) = \deg(p_1 : L(g) \rightarrow X_A) = q(L(g))$ . Thus,

$$\deg(p_{A,1} : Z \rightarrow A) = q(L(g)) \cdot \deg(L \rightarrow A)$$

and (2.4.5) can be rewritten as

$$\deg(p_{A,2} : Z \rightarrow A) = \deg(a + b\phi) \cdot q(L(g)) \cdot \deg(L \rightarrow A).$$

Therefore,

$$N(g, L) = \deg(a + b\phi)^{1/2} \cdot q(L(g))^{1/2} \frac{\deg(L \rightarrow A)^{1/2}}{\deg(gL \rightarrow A)^{1/2}}.$$

Recalling that  $\text{rk } S(L) = \deg(L \rightarrow A)^{1/2}$  we obtain (2.4.2).

Finally, to compute  $\lambda(g, \Gamma(\phi))$  we apply [31, Prop. 3.2.9]. Namely, we have to consider the fibered product  $\Gamma(\phi) \times_A L(g)$  where we use the first projection  $L(g) \rightarrow A$ . Note that we have an isogeny

$$A \times \hat{A} \rightarrow (\Gamma(\phi) \times_A L(g))_0 : (x, \xi) \mapsto ((Nx, N\phi x), (Nx, N\xi, N(ax + b\xi), N(cx + d\xi))), \quad (2.4.6)$$

where  $N$  is sufficiently divisible. Next, we set

$$F = \ker(\Gamma(\phi) \times_A L(g) \xrightarrow{\gamma} A),$$

where  $\gamma$  is induced by the projection to  $L(g)$  followed by  $L(g) \xrightarrow{p_2} X_A \rightarrow A$ . Note that the composition of  $\gamma$  with the isogeny (2.4.6) is given by  $(x, \xi) \mapsto N(ax + b\xi)$ . Hence, we have an isogeny

$$A \rightarrow F_0 : x \mapsto ((Nx, N\phi x), (Nx, -Nb^{-1}ax, 0, N(cx - db^{-1}ax))). \quad (2.4.7)$$

By [31, Prop. 3.2.9], we have

$$\lambda(g, \Gamma(\phi)) = -i(g_0 \circ f_0^{-1}),$$

where  $f_0 : F_0 \rightarrow A$  is the natural projection and for  $(l, m) \in F_0 \subset \Gamma(\phi) \times L(g)$ ,

$$g_0(l, m) = p_{\hat{A}}(l) - p_{\hat{A},1}(m),$$

where  $p_{\hat{A}} : \Gamma(\phi) \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is the natural projection and  $p_{\hat{A},1}$  is the composition  $L(g) \xrightarrow{p_1} X_A \rightarrow \hat{A}$ . Thus, the compositions of  $f_0$  and  $g_0$  with the isogeny (2.4.7) are  $x \mapsto Nx$  and  $x \mapsto N(\phi + b^{-1}ax)$ , respectively. Hence,

$$g_0 \circ f_0^{-1} = \phi + b^{-1}a$$

as required.  $\square$

Let us set

$$\overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A)_{\mathbb{R}} = \overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}/\mathbb{N}^*,$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  acts by  $(F, r) \mapsto (nF, n^{-1}r)$ . Then the bijection of Proposition 2.1.2 extends to a bijection of  $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -sets

$$\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\sim} \overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

**Corollary 2.4.2.** *There is an action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ , commuting with  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ -action, such that  $(g, n)$  acts by*

$$F \mapsto q(L(g))^{-1/2} \cdot \Phi_g(F)[n].$$

For  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $L \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  we have

$$\lambda(g_1, g_2(L)) + \lambda(g_2, L) = \lambda(g_1, g_2) + \lambda(g_1 g_2, L).$$

Also, the maps  $\Phi_g$  induce an action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^* \simeq \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}) \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

Note that the natural maps

$$\overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}_{>0} \tag{2.4.8}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} \tag{2.4.9}$$

associating with an LI-sheaf  $F$  its class  $[F]$  in  $\mathcal{N}(A)$  are  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant, where the action on  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  is given by  $\hat{\rho}$  (see (2.1.17)).

**2.5. Action of Spin on  $\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}$ .** We are going to define an algebraic action of Spin on  $\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}$  inducing the homomorphism  $\hat{\rho}$  on  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{Spin}} \subset \mathrm{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$ . The idea is to use the algebraicity of the corresponding projective representation and of the action of an open subset on a fixed nonzero vector. We will need the following simple result.

**Lemma 2.5.1.** *Let  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$ ,  $X$  a scheme (resp., a set),  $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ ,  $\bar{g} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  and  $\bar{h} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$  be regular morphisms (resp., maps to the set of  $F$ -points) such that the lines  $\bar{f}(x), \bar{g}(x)$  and  $\bar{h}(x)$  are all distinct and  $\bar{h}(x) \subset \mathrm{span}(\bar{f}(x), \bar{g}(x))$  for each  $x \in X$ . Suppose we have a lifting of  $\bar{f}$  to a regular morphism (resp., map to the set of  $F$ -points)  $f : X \rightarrow V - \{0\}$ . Then there exist unique liftings of  $\bar{g}$  and  $\bar{h}$  to regular morphisms (resp., maps to the set of  $F$ -points)  $g, h : X \rightarrow V - \{0\}$  such that  $h = f + g$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a subvariety

$$Y \subset (V - \{0\}) \times (V - \{0\}) \times (V - \{0\})$$

consisting of  $(v_1, v_2, v_1 + v_2)$  such that  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are linearly independent, and a subvariety

$$\bar{Y} \subset (V - \{0\}) \times \mathbb{P}(V) \times \mathbb{P}(V)$$

consisting of  $(v, L, L')$  such that  $v \notin L$ ,  $v \notin L'$ ,  $L \neq L'$  and  $v \in L + L'$ . Then the natural projection  $p : Y \rightarrow \bar{Y}$  is an isomorphism. We have a regular morphism (resp., map to the set of  $F$ -points)  $(f, \bar{g}, \bar{h}) : X \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ . Now the components of the corresponding map  $X \rightarrow Y$  give the required liftings.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.2.** *For a symmetric isogeny  $\phi \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$  we have*

$$\frac{[V_\phi]}{\text{rk } V_\phi} = \ell(\phi) \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q},$$

where  $V_\phi$  is the semihomogeneous vector bundle (2.1.4) and  $\ell : \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is the polynomial map (1.1.1).

*Proof.* Since  $\text{rk } \ell(\phi) = 1$ , it suffices to check that the required identity up to proportionality. Recall that if  $(L = \Gamma(\phi), \alpha)$  is a Lagrangian pair then the line in  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  corresponding to  $V_\phi$  is spanned by the class of  $p_{A*}(\mathcal{L})$ , where  $p_A : L \rightarrow A$  is the projection and  $\mathcal{L} = \alpha^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{P}|_L$  (see (2.1.7)). Also, by the definition of a Lagrangian pair,

$$\Lambda(\alpha)_{l_1, l_2} \simeq \mathcal{P}_{p_A(l_1), p_{\hat{A}}(l_2)},$$

so  $\phi_{\mathcal{L}} : L \rightarrow \hat{L}$  is given

$$\phi_{\mathcal{L}} = \widehat{p}_A \circ p_A = \widehat{p}_{\hat{A}} \circ p_A,$$

where  $p_{\hat{A}} : L \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is the projection. Note that for sufficiently divisible  $N$  we have an isogeny

$$i : A \rightarrow L : x \mapsto (Nx, N\phi x)$$

and the classes of  $p_{A*}(\mathcal{L})$  and  $[N]_*(i^*\mathcal{L})$  in  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  are proportional. We have

$$\phi_{i^*(\mathcal{L})} = \hat{i} \circ \phi_{\alpha^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{P}|_L} \circ i = \widehat{p_A \circ i} \circ p_{\hat{A} \circ i} = N^2 \phi.$$

Thus, the class  $[i^*(\mathcal{L})] \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is proportional to  $\ell(N^2\phi) = [N]^*\ell(\phi)$ . Hence, the class of  $[N]_*(i^*\mathcal{L})$  is proportional to

$$[N]_*[N]^*\ell(\phi) = N^{2g}\ell(\phi)$$

as required. □

**Theorem 2.5.3.** *The homomorphism  $\hat{\rho} : \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R})$  (see (2.1.17)) extends to an algebraic homomorphism*

$$\hat{\rho} : \text{Spin} \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}})$$

defined over  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $(g, f) \in \text{Spin}(\mathbb{C})$  and  $\phi \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{C})$ , such that  $g(\phi)$  is defined and belongs to  $\text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{C})$ , we have

$$\hat{\rho}(g, f)(\ell(\phi)) = f(\phi) \cdot \ell(g(\phi)). \tag{2.5.1}$$

*Proof.* First, we observe that Theorem 2.4.1 implies (2.5.1) in the case when  $(g, f) \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}} \subset \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0(\mathbb{Q})$  and  $\phi \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$  is such that  $g(\phi)$  is defined and belongs to  $\text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$ . Indeed, from (2.4.1), (2.4.3), (2.4.2) and Lemma 2.5.2 we obtain in this case

$$\hat{\rho}(g)(\ell(\phi)) = (-1)^{i(b^{-1}a + \phi)} |\deg(a + b\phi)|^{1/2} \cdot \ell(g(\phi)) = \deg(b)^{1/2} \cdot \chi(b^{-1}a + \phi) \cdot \ell(g(\phi)).$$

Thus, our claim holds for

$$\bar{i}(g, 0) = (g, \deg(b)^{1/2} \cdot \chi(b^{-1}a + \phi)).$$

It remains to note that both sides of (2.5.1) change sign when  $(g, f)$  gets multiplied by  $-1 \in \{\pm 1\} \subset \text{Spin}$ .



By [26, Thm. 5.1], there is an algebraic homomorphism  $\mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{Q}})$  sending  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  to  $\rho(g) \bmod \mathbb{Q}^*$ . Let us denote the corresponding action of  $\mathbf{U}$  on  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}})$  by

$$\bar{\kappa} : \mathbf{U} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}}).$$

We also have a map

$$\kappa^{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{spin}} \times \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} : (\tilde{g}, \mathbf{v}) \mapsto \hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})(\mathbf{v})$$

inducing the restriction of  $\bar{\kappa}(\mathbb{R})$  to  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{spin}} \times \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R})$ . We are going to extend  $\kappa^{\mathbb{Q}}$  to an algebraic morphism using the density of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  in  $\mathbf{U}$  (see Lemma 1.3.4).

Note that for a fixed isogeny  $\phi$  the right-hand side of (2.5.1) extends to a regular morphism (defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$ )

$$\kappa_{\ell(\phi)} : \pi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where  $V \subset \mathbf{U}^0 \subset \mathbf{U}$  is an open subset of  $g \in \mathbf{U}^0$  such that  $g(\phi)$  is defined and is an isogeny. Furthermore, as we have seen in the beginning of the proof, the corresponding map on  $\pi^{-1}(V(\mathbb{Q}))$  coincides with the restriction of  $\kappa^{\mathbb{Q}}$  to  $\pi^{-1}(V(\mathbb{Q})) \times \{\ell(\phi)\}$ . In particular, the map

$$\bar{\kappa}_{\ell(\phi)} : \pi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}})$$

obtained from  $\kappa_{\ell(\phi)}$  is the composition of the projection to  $V$  with the restriction of  $\bar{\kappa}$  to  $\mathbf{U} \times \{\langle \ell(\phi) \rangle\}$  (since we know this on the dense subset  $V(\mathbb{Q})$ ).

Now if  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  is any vector, linearly independent with  $\ell(\phi)$ , then by Lemma 2.5.1, we obtain unique liftings

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{v}}, \kappa_{\ell(\phi)+\mathbf{v}} : \pi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}} \tag{2.5.2}$$

of the restrictions of  $\bar{\kappa}$  to  $\pi^{-1}(V) \times \{\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle\}$  and  $\pi^{-1}(V) \times \{\langle \ell(\phi) + \mathbf{v} \rangle\}$ , such that

$$\kappa_{\ell(\phi)+\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{g}) = \kappa_{\ell(\phi)}(\tilde{g}) + \kappa_{\mathbf{v}}(\tilde{g}).$$

Furthermore, the set-theoretic part of Lemma 2.5.1 implies that the maps (2.5.2) induce the corresponding restrictions of  $\kappa^{\mathbb{Q}}$  on  $\pi^{-1}(V(\mathbb{Q}))$ .

Thus, if we consider a basis of  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  of the form  $(\ell(\phi), \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$  then combining the maps  $\kappa_{\mathbf{v}_i}$  constructed above we get a regular morphism

$$\hat{\rho}_V : \pi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}})$$

inducing  $\hat{\rho}$  on  $\pi^{-1}(V(\mathbb{Q}))$ . We can cover  $\mathrm{Spin}$  with open subsets of the form  $\pi^{-1}(V)\tilde{g}$  with  $\tilde{g} \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{spin}}$  and define a regular morphism  $\pi^{-1}(V)\tilde{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}})$  by sending  $\tilde{h}\tilde{g}$  to  $\hat{\rho}_V(\tilde{h})\hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})$ . Using the density of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{spin}}$  in  $\mathrm{Spin}$ , one easily checks that these maps glue into the required algebraic homomorphism  $\pi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{N}(A)_{\mathbb{R}})$ .  $\square$

Consider the action of  $\mathrm{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  on the trivial  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}^*$  over the domain  $D_A$  given by

$$(g, f) \cdot (\omega, z) = (g(\omega), f(\omega) \cdot z),$$

where  $(g, f) \in \mathrm{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\omega \in D_A$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . The map  $\ell : D \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  (see (1.1.1)) extends to a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant map

$$\ell : D_A \times \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C} : (\omega, z) \mapsto z \cdot \ell(\omega). \tag{2.5.3}$$

From the identity (2.5.1) we immediately get the following result.

**Corollary 2.5.4.** *The map (2.5.3) is  $\text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant.*

**Proposition 2.5.5.** *For any  $x, y \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and any  $\tilde{g} \in \text{Spin}(\mathbb{C})$  one has*

$$\chi(\hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})(x), \hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})(y)) = \chi(x, y). \quad (2.5.4)$$

*Proof.* Note that the left-hand side of (2.5.4) depends only on the image of  $\tilde{g}$  in  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{C})$ . Let us first consider the case when this image is an element  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Consider the functor  $\Phi = \Phi_{L(g), \alpha} : D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(A)$  associated with some Lagrangian correspondence  $(L(g), \alpha)$  extending  $g$ , so that  $\Phi$  represents the  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence class of  $\Phi_g$ . Let  $\Psi$  be the right adjoint functor to  $\Phi$ . By [31, Prop. 3.2.7],  $\Psi$  differs by a shift from the LI-functor associated with some Lagrangian correspondence extending  $L(g^{-1})$ . Applying (2.1.11) and (2.1.12) for  $g_1 = g$  and  $g_2 = g^{-1}$  we obtain

$$\Psi \circ \Phi \equiv N \cdot \text{Id},$$

where  $N = q(g)^{1/2}q(g^{-1})^{1/2}$ . Since for  $F, G \in D^b(A)$  we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}^*(\Phi(F), \Phi(G)) = \text{Hom}^*(F, \Psi\Phi(G)),$$

we deduce the equality

$$\chi(\hat{\rho}(g)([F]), \hat{\rho}(g)([G])) = \frac{q(g^{-1})^{1/2}}{q(g)^{1/2}} \cdot \chi([F], [G]). \quad (2.5.5)$$

Since  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  is dense in  $\mathbf{U}$  (see Lemma 1.3.4), there exists an algebraic character  $\varpi : \mathbf{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  such that

$$\chi(\hat{\rho}(g)(x), \hat{\rho}(g)(y)) = \varpi(g) \cdot \chi(x, y)$$

for any  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{C})$ . The character  $\varpi$  restricts trivially to the semisimple subgroup  $S\mathbf{U} \subset \mathbf{U}$ . Thus, by Lemma 1.3.1(i), it remains to show the triviality of its restriction to  $\mathbf{Z}$ . In fact, we

will show directly that  $\varpi(t) = 1$  for any  $t = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{a} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$ , where  $a \in (\text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q})^*$ .

Note that this implies that  $\varpi|_{\mathbf{T}} = 1$  since  $\mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  is dense in  $\mathbf{T}$ . It suffices to consider the case when  $a \in \text{End}(A)$ . Then the correspondence  $L(t) \subset X_A \times X_A$  is the image of the embedding

$$A \times \hat{A} \rightarrow X_A \times X_A : (x, \xi) \mapsto (ax, \xi, x, \hat{a}(\xi)).$$

Hence, in this case  $q(t) = \deg(a)$  and  $q(t^{-1}) = \deg(\hat{a}) = \deg(a)$ , and our assertion follows from (2.5.5).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.6.** *For  $\tilde{g} = (g, f_g) \in \text{Spin}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\omega \in D_A$  and  $x \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  one has*

$$\chi(\ell(\omega), \hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})^{-1}(x)) = f_g(\omega) \cdot \chi(\ell(g(\omega)), x).$$

*Proof.* Indeed, we have

$$\chi(\ell(\omega), \hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})^{-1}(x)) = \chi(\hat{\rho}(\tilde{g})(\ell(\omega)), x) = f_g(\omega) \cdot \chi(\ell(g(\omega)), x).$$

$\square$

**Corollary 2.5.7.** *For any  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  one has  $q(g) = q(g^{-1})$ .*

**Examples 2.5.8.** 1. If  $A$  is an abelian variety of dimension  $n$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  without complex multiplication then we have  $\text{NS}(A) = \mathbb{Z} \cdot H$ , where  $H$  is an ample generator, and so  $\tau \mapsto \tau\phi_H$  gives an identification  $\mathfrak{H} \rightarrow D_A$ , where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the upper half-plane. The group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  can be identified with  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  with the action on  $\mathfrak{H} \simeq D_A$  given by fractional-linear transformations (1.4.3). Since  $\Delta(g)(\tau \cdot \phi_H) = (a + b\tau)^{2n}$ , we have a natural splitting

$$\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Spin}_{X_A}(\mathbb{R}) : g \mapsto (g, (a + b\tau)^n).$$

Furthermore, if  $A$  is generic then  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  can be identified with the  $g + 1$ -dimensional subspace in  $H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$  spanned by the classes  $H^i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, g$ , and formula (2.5.1) shows that  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  as on the standard  $(g + 1)$ -dimensional irreducible representation. Assume in addition that  $\phi_H$  is a principal polarization of  $A$ . Then we can index simple semihomogeneous vector bundles by rational numbers. Namely, for coprime integers  $(r, d)$  with  $r > 0$  we set

$$V_{r,d} = V_{\frac{d}{r}\phi_H}.$$

From formula (2.1.9) we get in this case  $\text{rk } V_{r,d} = r^n$  (see also [20, Rem. 7.13], [27, ch. 12, exer. 2]). Hence, by Lemma 2.5.2,

$$\text{ch}(V_{r,d}) = \sum_{i=0}^n r^{n-i} d^i \cdot \frac{H^i}{i!} \in H^*(A, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Note that for  $r = 0$ ,  $d = 1$  this formula gives  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_x)$ . Using Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch formula we get the following relations for the form  $\chi$  on  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ :

$$\chi(H^i, H^{n-i}) = (-1)^i n!,$$

$$\chi(\ell(\tau\phi_H), [V_{r,d}]) = (d - r\tau)^n.$$

2. Continuing the previous example assume in addition that  $n = \dim A = 3$  (keeping the assumptions that  $A$  is principally polarized and generic). Then  $A$  is the Jacobian of a curve, so  $H^2/2$  is an algebraic class. We claim that the image of the Chern character  $\text{ch} : K_0(A) \rightarrow H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$  contains the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule  $K \subset H^*(A, \mathbb{Q})$  spanned by  $(H^i/i!)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ . Indeed, the Chern characters of the structure sheaves of a point and of the curve span the submodule  $\mathbb{Z}H^2/2 + \mathbb{Z}H^3/6$ . Together with  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}_A) = 1$  and  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{O}(H)) = \exp(H)$  these classes span the whole  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule  $K$ . On the other hand, using the above formula we see that for  $n \geq 3$  the images of the Chern characters of LI-sheaves (which are all  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalent to either  $V_{d,r}$  or to  $\mathcal{O}_x$ ) span a proper  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule in  $K$ . In particular, the LI-objects do not generate  $D^b(A)$  in this case.

3. If  $A$  is an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  with complex multiplication then we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbf{U}(1)/\{\pm 1\},$$

where  $\{\pm 1\}$  is embedded into the product diagonally. Also,  $D_A = \mathfrak{H}$ , the upper half-plane, and  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $D_A$  through the projection to  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\{\pm 1\}$ . The spin-covering  $\text{Spin}_{X_A}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  in this case can be identified with the natural covering

$$\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbf{U}(1) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}).$$

## 3. ACTION ON STABILITY SPACES

**3.1. Induced  $t$ -structures and stabilities.** We refer to [8] for notions related to stability conditions on triangulated categories. All  $t$ -structures considered below are assumed to be bounded and nondegenerate (see [3]). All stabilities are assumed to be locally finite and numerical.

We say that a  $t$ -structure (resp., a slicing or a stability condition) on  $D^b(A)$  is  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant, if it is invariant under any functor  $T_{(x,\xi)}$  with  $(x,\xi) \in A \times \hat{A}$  (see (2.1.3)), i.e., under translations and tensoring by  $\text{Pic}^0(A)$ . Note that by [29, Cor. 3.5.2], every *full* stability condition is  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant.

The general construction of the induced  $t$ -structures (resp., stability conditions) from [29] and [18] specializes to the following result on inducing  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant  $t$ -structures.

**Proposition 3.1.1.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be abelian varieties of the same dimension, and let  $\Phi : D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(B)$  be the LI-functor associated with a Lagrangian correspondence  $(L, \alpha)$  from  $X_A$  to  $X_B$  such that the projections  $L \rightarrow X_A$  and  $L \rightarrow X_B$  are surjective, with the right adjoint functor  $\Phi' : D^b(B) \rightarrow D^b(A)$ . Also, let  $(D^{\leq 0}, D^{\geq 0})$  be an  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant  $t$ -structure on  $D^b(A)$ . Then there is a unique  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant  $t$ -structure  $({}^\Phi D^{\leq 0}, {}^\Phi D^{\geq 0})$  on  $D^b(B)$ , such that*

$$\Phi(D^{[a,b]}) \subset {}^\Phi D^{[a,b]} \quad (3.1.1)$$

It is given by

$${}^\Phi D^{[a,b]} = \{F \in D^b(B) \mid \Phi'(F) \in D^{[a,b]}\}. \quad (3.1.2)$$

Similarly, if  $(P(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant slicing on  $D^b(A)$  then there is a unique  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant slicing  $({}^\Phi P(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  on  $D^b(B)$  such that  $\Phi(P(t)) \subset {}^\Phi P(t)$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We have  ${}^\Phi P(t) = (\Phi')^{-1}(P(t))$ .

*Proof.* First, we observe that by Proposition [31, Prop. 3.2.7],  $\Phi'$  differs by a shift from the LI-functor associated with the transposed correspondence  $(\sigma(L), \alpha^{-1})$ , where  $\sigma : X_A \times X_B \rightarrow X_B \times X_A$  is the permutation of factors. Hence, the same argument as in [31, Lem. 3.3.3] shows that both compositions  $\Phi' \circ \Phi$  and  $\Phi \circ \Phi'$  are obtained by consecutive extensions from functors  $T_{(x,\xi)}$ , one of which is the identity functor.

The fact that (3.1.2) defines a  $t$ -structure follows from [29, Thm. 2.1.2] once we check that in our situation  $\Phi' \circ \Phi$  is  $t$ -exact with respect to the original  $t$ -structure and  $(\Phi \circ \Phi')(F) = 0$  implies  $F = 0$ . Indeed, the former follows from  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariance of our  $t$ -structure. To check the latter property it suffices to consider the case when  $F$  is a coherent sheaf. We observe that the right adjoint functor to  $\Phi \circ \Phi'$  sends a structure sheaf of a point  $\mathcal{O}_x$  to a sheaf  $K_x$  supported on a finite number of points including  $x$ . Hence, if  $(\Phi \circ \Phi')(F) = 0$  then  $\text{Hom}(F, K_x) = 0$  for all  $x \in B$ , which implies that  $F = 0$ .

The inclusion (3.1.1) follows from the  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariance of the original  $t$ -structure and from the form of  $\Phi' \circ \Phi$ . The fact that the new  $t$ -structure is  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant follows from the  $\mathbf{H}$ -intertwining property of LI-functors (see (2.1.5) and [31, Lem. 3.2.4]). Now suppose  $({}^\Phi D_1^{\leq 0}, {}^\Phi D_1^{\geq 0})$  is another  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant  $t$ -structure on  $D^b(B)$  such that  $\Phi(D^{[a,b]}) \subset {}^\Phi D_1^{[a,b]}$ . Then applying [29, Thm. 2.1.2] again we deduce that

$$D_1^{[a,b]} = \{F \in D^b(A) \mid \Phi(F) \in {}^\Phi D_1^{[a,b]}\}$$

is a  $t$ -structure on  $D^b(A)$  such that  $D^{[a,b]} \subset D_1^{[a,b]}$ . Hence,  $D_1^{[a,b]} = D^{[a,b]}$  and we can rewrite (3.1.2) as

$$\Phi D^{[a,b]} = \{F \in D^b(B) \mid \Phi\Phi'(F) \in \Phi D_1^{[a,b]}\},$$

which implies that  $\Phi D_1^{[a,b]} \subset \Phi D^{[a,b]}$ , so these  $t$ -structures are the same.

The result about slicings is proved analogously.  $\square$

Let  $\text{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$  denote the space of  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant stability conditions on  $A$  (it is known to be nonempty for  $\dim A \leq 2$ ).

**Definition 3.1.2.** For  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  and a stability  $\sigma = (P(\cdot), Z) \in \text{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$  we set

$$g(\sigma) = (\Phi_g P(\cdot), Z \circ \hat{\rho}(g)^{-1}),$$

where  $\hat{\rho}(g)$  is given by (2.1.17). By Proposition 3.1.1, this defines an action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  on  $\text{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$ , such that the central element  $1 \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  sends  $(P(\cdot), Z)$  to  $(P(\cdot)[1], -Z)$ .

The restriction of the above action to the preimage of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  is given by the standard action of the autoequivalence group of  $D^b(A)$  on  $\text{Stab}(A)$  (see [8]).

**Proposition 3.1.3.** *For every  $\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  the corresponding transformation of  $\text{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$  is an isometry with respect to the generalized metric  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  introduced in [8, Prop. 8.1].*

*Proof.* Note that the functor  $\Phi_g$  sends Harder-Narasimhan constituents of  $E$  with respect to  $\sigma$  to those of  $\Phi_g(E)$  with respect to  $g(\sigma)$ , and  $Z(\hat{\rho}(g)^{-1}(\Phi_g(E)))$  is a constant multiple (depending only on  $g$ ) of  $Z(E)$ . Hence,  $d(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \leq d(g(\sigma_1), g(\sigma_2))$ . Applying the same inequality to  $g^{-1}$  and the pair  $(g(\sigma_1), g(\sigma_2))$  we deduce that it is in fact an equality.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.1.4.** *Any LI-object in  $D^b(A)$  is semistable with respect to any full stability.*

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be an  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariant object in  $D^b(A)$ , where  $(L, \alpha)$  is a Lagrangian pair (with  $L \subset X_A$ ), and let  $\sigma = (P(\cdot), Z)$  be a full stability. We can assume that  $Z$  takes values in  $\mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Indeed, the set of such stabilities is dense in the connected component containing  $\sigma$ , and the semistability of  $E$  is a closed condition on  $\sigma$ . Then for a dense set of real numbers  $t$  (namely, those with  $\tan(\pi t) \in \mathbb{Q}$ ) the abelian category  $P((t, t+1])$  is Noetherian (see [1, Prop. 5.0.1]). Applying the construction of [29] we obtain for each such  $t$  the associated *constant family* of  $t$ -structures over any base  $S$ , which is a certain  $t$ -structure on  $D^b(A \times S)$ , local over  $S$  and such that its heart contains the pull-back of  $P((t, t+1])$  with respect to the projection  $p_1 : A \times S \rightarrow A$ . Let us take as a base  $S = L$  and consider the functor

$$T_{(L, \alpha)} : D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(A \times L)$$

that associates with  $F \in D^b(A)$  the natural family of objects  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $L \times A$  such that the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\{l\} \times A$  is  $\alpha_l \otimes T_l(F)$  for  $l \in L$  ( $\mathcal{F}$  is obtained from  $F$  by taking the pull-back with respect to the map  $L \times A \rightarrow A : (l, x) \mapsto p_A(l) + x$  and then tensoring the result with a certain line bundle). Since our stability is  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant (by [29, Cor. 3.5.2]), this functor is easily seen to be  $t$ -exact, i.e., it sends  $P((t, t+1])$  to the heart

of the corresponding constant  $t$ -structure on  $D^b(A \times L)$ . By definition,  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariance structure on  $E$  is an isomorphism

$$T_{(L, \alpha)}(E) \simeq p_1^* E.$$

Since both sides are  $t$ -exact functors of  $E$ , we deduce that the truncations of  $E$  with respect to our  $t$ -structure are still  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariant. Applying this for an appropriate set of phases  $t$  we derive that all Harder-Narasimhan constituents of  $E$  are  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariant. Let  $E_0$  be one of them. Suppose  $E_0$  has cohomological range  $[a, b]$  with respect to the standard  $t$ -structure. Then  $H^b E_0$  and  $H^a E_0$  are still  $(L, \alpha)$ -invariant, so we have a nonzero morphism  $H^b E_0 \rightarrow H^a E_0$  (see [31, Thm. 2.4.5]), which gives rise to a nonzero morphism

$$E_0[b] \rightarrow H^b E_0 \rightarrow H^a E_0 \rightarrow E_0[a].$$

By semistability of  $E_0$  we should have  $b \leq a$ , i.e.,  $E_0$  is cohomologically pure. Since  $E_0$  is a direct sum of several copies of the generator  $S_{L, \alpha}$ , it follows that  $S_{L, \alpha}$  is also semistable.  $\square$

**3.2.  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$ .** Recall that the action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  is transitive (see Prop. 1.4.3), so we have an identification

$$\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})/\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R}). \quad (3.2.1)$$

We have a natural lifting of  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$  to a closed subgroup of  $U^\Delta$  (see Lemma 2.3.3). Therefore, the homogeneous space  $U^\Delta/\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$ . Below we will describe this  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering explicitly using the homogeneous coordinates  $(x : y)$  on  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  (see Sec. 1.4).

Namely, with every  $L = (x : y) \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  we associate a holomorphic function on  $D_A$ , defined up to rescaling by a positive constant,

$$\delta(L)(\omega) = \deg(\hat{y} - \hat{x}\omega) = \deg(\omega x - y) \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0},$$

where  $\omega \in D_A$ . Note that if we change  $(x : y)$  to  $(x\alpha : y\alpha)$  then this function gets multiplied by  $\deg(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . It is easy to see that for  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  one has

$$\delta(g(0 : \phi_0)) = \Delta(g^{-1}) \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad (3.2.2)$$

where  $\phi_0 : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is a polarization and  $\Delta$  is the 1-cocycle of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  with values in  $\mathcal{O}^*(D_A)$  defined in Section 2.3. In particular,  $\delta(L)(\omega) \neq 0$  for all  $\omega \in D_A$ .

**Lemma 3.2.1.** *For  $L \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  one has*

$$\delta(gL)(g(\omega)) = \delta(L)(\omega) \cdot \Delta(g^{-1})(g(\omega)) = \delta(L)(\omega) \cdot \Delta(g)(\omega)^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* Pick  $g' \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $L = g'(0 : \phi_0)$ . Then use (3.2.2) and the cocycle condition for  $\Delta$ .  $\square$

Note also that if we have a Lagrangian subvariety  $L \subset A \times \hat{A}$  then viewing  $L$  as a point in  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  we have

$$\delta(L)(\omega) = \deg(\omega p_1 - p_2) \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad (3.2.3)$$

where  $p_1 : L \rightarrow A$  and  $p_2 : L \rightarrow \hat{A}$  are the projections, and we use the polynomial function  $\deg : \text{Hom}(L, \hat{A}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 3.2.2.** We define the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering  $p : \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  by setting

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R}) = \{(L, f) \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R}) \times (\mathcal{O}(D_A)/i\mathbb{R}) \mid \delta(L) = \exp(2\pi i f) \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}.$$

We also set

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q}) := p^{-1}(\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})) \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R}).$$

When we need to stress the dependence on  $A$  we write  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{R})$  (resp.,  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{Q})$ ). We have an action of  $U^\Delta$  on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R})$  given by

$$(g, f_g) \cdot (L, f_L) = (gL, f_L(g^{-1}(\omega)) + f_g(g^{-1}(\omega))).$$

The fact that this action is well defined follows from Lemma 3.2.1.

**Proposition 3.2.3.** (i) *There exists a unique bijection*

$$\overline{\mathbf{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q}) : F \mapsto \tilde{L}_F, \quad (3.2.4)$$

lifting the natural projection  $F \mapsto L_F$  to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$ , sending  $\mathcal{O}_x$  to  $((0 : \phi_0), 0) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  (where  $\phi_0 : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is a polarization), and  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant, where the action on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  is induced by the embedding  $\iota : \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow U^\Delta$ .

(ii) Let  $V_\phi$  be the semihomogeneous vector bundle associated with  $\phi \in \mathbf{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ , so that  $L_{V_\phi} = \Gamma(\phi)$  (see (2.1.4)). Then

$$\tilde{L}_{V_\phi} = (\Gamma(\phi), (2\pi i)^{-1} \cdot \log(\deg(\omega - \phi)) \bmod i\mathbb{R}), \quad (3.2.5)$$

where the branch of  $\log(\deg(\cdot))$  is normalized by  $\text{Im} \log(\deg(iH)) = \text{Arg}(\deg(iH)) = -g\pi$  for ample  $H$ .

*Proof.* (i) First, let us compute the stabilizer subgroup  $\text{St} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  of the class of  $\mathcal{O}_x$  in  $\overline{\mathbf{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^*$ . By considering the action on the corresponding Lagrangian we see that  $\text{St}$  is a certain lifting of  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ . From the explicit form of the functors  $\Phi_t$  for  $t \in \mathbf{T}(\mathbb{Q})$  (see Prop. 2.2.1(ii)) we see that these functors preserve  $\mathcal{O}_x$  up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence and  $\mathbb{N}^*$ . Therefore,  $\text{St}$  is the lifting of  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  described in Corollary 2.2.2. By Lemma 2.3.3,  $\iota(\text{St})$  is exactly the stabilizer of the point  $((0 : \phi_0), 0) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Hence, there is a well-defined  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant map (3.2.4). Since this is a map of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -torsors over  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  (see Prop. 2.1.2), it is a bijection.

(ii) Assume first that  $\phi$  is non-degenerate, i.e.,  $\phi \in \mathbf{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$ . Consider the element  $g_{\phi^{-1}}^+ \in \mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{Q})$  as in Proposition 2.2.1(i). Then

$$g_{\phi^{-1}}^+(0 : \phi_0) = (\phi^{-1}\phi_0 : \phi_0) = (1 : \phi) = \Gamma(\phi).$$

By Proposition 2.2.1(i), under the canonical lifting of  $\mathbf{N}^+(\mathbb{Q})$  to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  the lifting of  $g_{\phi^{-1}}^+$  corresponds to the kernel  $S(g_{\phi^{-1}}^+)[i(\phi)]$  (note that  $i(\phi^{-1}) = i(\phi)$ ). On the other hand, its canonical lifting to  $U^\Delta$  is

$$\tilde{g} = (g_{\phi^{-1}}^+, -\log(\deg(1 + \phi^{-1}\omega))/2\pi i),$$

where we use the branch of  $\text{Arg deg}(1 + \phi^{-1}\omega)$  that tends to 0 as  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  (see Lemma 2.3.4). By the  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$ -equivariance of the map (3.2.4), we obtain that the object  $V_\phi[i(\phi)]$  is mapped under this map to

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g} \cdot ((0 : \phi_0), 0) &= (\Gamma(\phi), \log(\deg(1 - \phi^{-1}\omega))/2\pi i \bmod i\mathbb{R}) = \\ &= (\Gamma(\phi), (2\pi i)^{-1} \cdot \log(\deg(\omega - \phi)) \bmod i\mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

with the same choice of the argument as above. Recall that if we choose the branch of  $\text{Arg deg}(\omega - \phi)$  in such a way that  $\text{Arg deg}(inH - \phi)$  will be  $\pi g$  then we will obtain

$$\text{Arg deg}(-\phi) = 2\pi i(-\phi) = 2\pi(g - i(\phi))$$

(see Corollary 1.2.2). Subtracting  $2\pi g$  we get the branch that gives the limit  $-2\pi i(\phi)$  as  $\omega \rightarrow 0$  which is exactly what we get for the image of  $V_\phi$ . This proves the required statement in the case when  $\phi \in \text{NS}^0(A, \mathbb{Q})$ . The general case follows by using the action of the subgroup  $\mathbf{N}^-(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})}$  (see Ex. 2.1.3). Indeed, this action changes both sides (3.2.5) by adding to  $\phi$  an arbitrary element of  $\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ .  $\square$

Recall that we can view  $\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  as an open subset of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  via the map  $\phi \mapsto (1 : \phi) = \Gamma(\phi)$ . Part (ii) of the above Proposition implies that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\phi \mapsto V_\phi} & \widetilde{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbf{N}^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R}) \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is (3.2.4) and the bottom arrow is the continuous section of the projection  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  over  $\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R}) : \phi \mapsto (\Gamma(\phi), f_\phi), \quad (3.2.6)$$

where  $f_\phi \in \mathcal{O}(D_A) \bmod i\mathbb{R}$  is the branch of  $(2\pi i)^{-1} \cdot \log(\deg(\omega - \phi)) \bmod i\mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_\phi(inH) = -g/2$$

for any ample  $H$ .

**Definition 3.2.4.** We define the double covering  $p^{\text{spin}} : \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$  by setting  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) = \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R})/2\mathbb{Z}$ . Explicitly,

$$\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) = \{(L, \varphi) \in \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R}) \times (\mathcal{O}(D_A)/\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \mid \delta(L) = \varphi^2 \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}\}.$$

We also set  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q}) = (p^{\text{spin}})^{-1}(\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q}))$ .

The isomorphism  $\text{Spin}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq U^\Delta/2\mathbb{Z}$  induces a transitive action of  $\text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R})$  (and of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\text{spin}}$  on  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q})$ ).



We also have a natural  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant map

$$\overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}_{>0} : F \mapsto [F] \bmod \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$$

(see (2.4.8)), which we can view as a map from  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  using the bijection (3.2.4). The equivariance of this map with respect to the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -action implies that it factors through  $\mathbf{LG}^{\mathrm{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q})$ . Furthermore, we claim that it extends to a continuous  $\mathrm{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant map

$$\mathbf{LG}^{\mathrm{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}_{>0} \quad (3.2.7)$$

such that we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathrm{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}_{>0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{LG}^{\mathrm{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{R}_{>0} \end{array}$$

Indeed, we can define (3.2.7) by sending  $\tilde{g}((0 : \phi_0), 1)$  to  $\tilde{g}[\mathcal{O}_x] \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  for  $\tilde{g} \in \mathrm{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$ . To check that this map is well defined we observe that  $\mathbb{Q}$ -points  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  are dense (with respect to the classical topology) in the stabilizer  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$  of the point  $((0 : \phi_0), 1) \in \mathbf{LG}^{\mathrm{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R})$ . Since  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{spin}}$  leaves the class  $[\mathcal{O}_x] \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  invariant, this proves our claim.

**Lemma 3.2.5.** *The section (3.2.6) induces a section*

$$\mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}^{\mathrm{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) \quad (3.2.8)$$

which sends  $\phi \in \mathrm{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  to  $(\Gamma(\phi), \chi(\phi - \omega) \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0})$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\chi(\phi - \omega)^2 = \deg(\phi - \omega) = \deg(\omega - \phi)$ , this follows from the fact that the argument of  $\chi(\phi - inH)$  tends to  $-g\pi/2 \bmod 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.2.6.** In the case when  $A = E^n$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication we can identify  $\mathrm{End}(A)$  with the algebra of  $n \times n$ -matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\mathrm{NS}(A)$  with symmetric matrices. Note that for  $M \in \mathrm{End}(A)$  we have  $\deg(M) = \det(M)^2$  and for  $\phi \in \mathrm{NS}(A)$  we have  $\chi(\phi) = \det(\phi)$ . In a coordinate-free notation, if  $A = E \otimes \Lambda$ , where  $\Lambda$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $n$ , then elements of  $\mathrm{NS}(A)$  can be viewed as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued symmetric bilinear forms on  $\Lambda$ , and the function  $\chi$  is given by the discriminant. The group  $\mathbf{U}$  in this case is the symplectic group  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$  and the variety  $\mathbf{LG}_A$  is the Lagrangian Grassmannian associated with the  $2n$ -dimensional symplectic vector space. Also,  $D_A$  is the Siegel upper half-plane  $\mathfrak{H}_n$  and the covering  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$  corresponds to a choice of argument of  $Z \mapsto \det(A + BZ)^2$ , where  $Z \in \mathfrak{H}_n$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$ . Thus,  $U^\Delta$  contains the universal covering  $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  as a subgroup of index 2 (cf. [23, Ex. 4.15]). Now let us consider our lifting of  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$  to  $U^\Delta$ . It is easy to check that the restriction of the projection to  $U^\Delta/\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \{\pm 1\}$  to  $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$  can be

identified with the homomorphism  $A \mapsto \text{sign det}(A)$ . It follows that  $U^\Delta = \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n, \mathbb{R}) \cdot \mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{R}) \cap \widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  is the semidirect product of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{N}^-(\mathbb{R})}$  and of  $\text{GL}^+(n, \mathbb{R})$  (matrices with positive determinant). Hence, we can identify  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$  with the quotient of  $\widetilde{\text{Sp}}(2n, \mathbb{R})$  by a connected subgroup, so  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{R})$  is simply connected. It follows that in this case  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{R})$  is the universal covering of the Lagrangian Grassmannian  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$ .

**3.3. Phase function.** Since  $\Delta : \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^*(D_A)$  is a 1-cocycle (see Lemma 2.3.1), it defines a natural action of the group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  (by holomorphic automorphisms) on the trivial  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -bundle over  $D_A$ . We have constructed the central extension  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  by  $\mathbb{Z}$  in such a way that  $\Delta$  lifts to a 1-cocycle of  $U^\Delta$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}(D_A)$ . In other words, we obtain the action of  $U^\Delta$  on  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  (respecting the structure of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -space), which we view as a universal covering of  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}^*$  (in Sec. 3.4 we will relate this covering to the Bridgeland's stability space in the case  $\dim A = 2$ ). Explicitly, this action is given by

$$(g, f) \cdot (\omega, z) = (g(\omega), z - f(\omega)), \quad (3.3.1)$$

where  $(g, f) \in U^\Delta$  and  $(\omega, z) \in D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ .

On the other hand, we have a transitive action of  $U^\Delta$  on the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R})$  of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$ . By definition of this  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering, we have a continuous function

$$\mathbf{f}_0 : D_A \times \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (\omega, (L, f_L)) \mapsto \text{Re } f_L(\omega).$$

We can extend it to a continuous function on  $(D_A \times \mathbb{C}) \times \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R})$  setting

$$\mathbf{f}((\omega, z), \tilde{L}) = \text{Re}(z) + \mathbf{f}_0(\omega, \tilde{L}),$$

where  $\tilde{L} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Lemma 3.3.1.** *The function  $\mathbf{f}$  is  $U^\Delta$ -invariant, i.e., for  $\tilde{g} \in U^\Delta$  and  $(\sigma, \tilde{L}) \in (D_A \times \mathbb{C}) \times \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{R})$  one has*

$$\mathbf{f}(\tilde{g}(\sigma), \tilde{g}(\tilde{L})) = \mathbf{f}(\sigma, \tilde{L}).$$

The proof is straightforward.

Now using the map  $F \mapsto \tilde{L}_F$  of Proposition 3.2.3, we define the *phase function*

$$(D_A \times \mathbb{C}) \times \overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbf{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : (\sigma, \tilde{L}) \mapsto \phi_\sigma(F) := \mathbf{f}(\sigma, \tilde{L}_F), \quad (3.3.2)$$

where  $\sigma \in D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ . Note that we have

$$\phi_{(\omega, z)}(F) = \phi_{(\omega, 0)}(F) + \text{Re}(z). \quad (3.3.3)$$

In Sec. 3.4 we will show that in the surface case the function  $\phi_\sigma$  gives the phases of LI-objects with respect to the Bridgeland's stability condition on  $D^b(A)$  associated with  $\sigma \in D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ . In the following theorem we check some of the properties of  $\phi_\sigma$  that conform with the conjecture that the corresponding stability condition exists in the higher-dimensional case as well.

**Theorem 3.3.2.** *The phase function  $\phi_\sigma(F)$  satisfies the following properties.*

(i) *This function is  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -invariant, i.e.,*

$$\phi_{\widetilde{g}(\sigma)}(\widetilde{g}(F)) = \phi_\sigma(F),$$

where the action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  is induced by (3.3.1) via the homomorphism  $\iota : \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow U^\Delta$ . In particular, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\phi_\sigma(F[n]) = \phi_\sigma(F) + n.$$

(ii) *For  $\sigma = (\omega, z)$  and  $F \in \overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)$  one has*

$$\exp(\pi iz) \cdot \chi(\ell(\omega), [F]) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cdot \exp(\pi i \phi_\sigma(F)), \quad (3.3.4)$$

where  $[F] \in \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  is the numerical class of  $F$ .

(iii) *For a semihomogeneous vector bundle  $V_\phi$  associated with  $\phi \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  one has*

$$\phi_{(\omega, z)}(V_\phi) = \text{Re}(z) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Arg}(\deg(\omega - \phi)),$$

where the branch of  $\text{Arg}(\deg(\cdot))$  is normalized by  $\text{Arg}(\deg(iH)) = -g\pi$  for ample  $H$ .

(iv) *for a pair of LI-objects  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  such that the corresponding Lagrangians  $L_{F_1}$  and  $L_{F_2}$  in  $A \times \hat{A}$  are transversal one has*

$$\phi_\sigma(F_1) \leq \phi_\sigma(F_2) + i(F_1, F_2), \quad (3.3.5)$$

where  $i(F_1, F_2)$  is the index of the pair  $(F_1, F_2)$ , i.e., the number such that  $\text{Ext}^i(F_1, F_2) = 0$  for  $i \neq i(F_1, F_2)$  (it exists by [31, Cor. 3.2.12]).

*Proof.* (i) The invariance follows from Lemma 3.3.1. The second assertion follows from this:

$$\phi_{(\omega, z)}(F) = \phi_{(1, n) \cdot (\omega, z)}(F[n]) = \phi_{(\omega, z-n)}(F[n]) = \phi_{(\omega, z)}(F[n]) - n,$$

where in the last equality we used (3.3.3).

(ii) By part (i), the right-hand side of (3.3.4) is invariant under the diagonal action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $(\sigma, F)$ . We claim that the same is true for the left-hand side (modulo  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ). Indeed, by Corollary 2.5.6, for  $\widetilde{g} = (g, f_g) \in U^\Delta$  we have

$$\chi(\ell(\omega), [F]) = \exp(-\pi i f_g(\omega)) \cdot \chi(\ell(g(\omega)), [\widetilde{g}(F)]) \text{ mod } \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

(recall that the map  $F \mapsto [F] \text{ mod } \mathbb{N}^*$  is compatible with the projection  $U^\Delta \rightarrow \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  sending  $(g, f_g) \in U^\Delta$  to  $(g, \exp(-\pi i f_g))$ , see (2.3.3)). This immediately implies that the left-hand side of (3.3.4) is invariant modulo  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  with respect to the diagonal action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on the pair  $(\sigma, F) \in (D_A \times \mathbb{C}) \times \overline{\text{SH}}^{LI}(A)/\mathbb{N}^*$ .

Thus, it is enough to check the equality for  $F = \mathcal{O}_x$ . We have  $\chi(\ell(\omega), [\mathcal{O}_x]) = 1$  for all  $\omega$ . On the other hand, by definition of the map of Proposition 3.2.3,  $\mathbf{f}_0(\omega, \widetilde{L}_{\mathcal{O}_x}) = 0$ , so  $\phi_{(\omega, z)}(\mathcal{O}_x) = \text{Re}(z)$ .

(iii) This follows from Proposition 3.2.3(ii).

(iv) By  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -invariance of both parts with respect to the diagonal action on the pair  $(F_1, F_2)$ , it is enough to consider the case when  $F_2 = \mathcal{O}_x$ . Note that in this case the transversality assumption implies that  $L_{F_1} = \Gamma(\phi)$  for  $\phi \in \text{NS}(A)_\mathbb{Q}$ , so  $F_1 = V_\phi[n]$  for

some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $V_\phi$  is the simple semihomogeneous bundle associated with  $\phi$ . Since  $\phi_{(\omega,z)}(\mathcal{O}_x) = \text{Re}(z)$ , by part (iii), the required inequality is equivalent to

$$\text{Arg}(\deg(\omega - \phi)) \leq 0,$$

where  $\text{Arg}(\deg(\cdot))$  is normalized by  $\text{Arg}(\deg(iH)) = -g\pi$ . But this follows immediately from Lemma 1.2.3(ii).  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.3.** By Lemma 1.2.3(i), for  $F_1 = \mathcal{O}$ ,  $F_2 = V_\phi$  and  $\sigma = (iH, 0)$ , where  $H$  is an ample class, the inequality (3.3.5) can be replaced by a stronger one:

$$\phi_{iH,0}(\mathcal{O}) < \phi_{iH,0}(V_\phi) + \frac{i(\phi)}{2}.$$

However, this inequality is not invariant with respect to the group action considered above, so it cannot be extended to the case of arbitrary  $\sigma \in D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ .

The following property is also motivated by the picture with the stability conditions for  $\dim A = 2$  (see Sec. 3.4 below).

**Proposition 3.3.4.** *The fibers of the map*

$$\mathcal{Z} : D_A \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{N}(A), \mathbb{C}) : (\omega, z) \mapsto \exp(\pi iz)\chi(\ell(\omega), \cdot)$$

*are exactly the orbits of the action of  $2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}$  by translations on the second factor.*

*Proof.* Suppose

$$\exp(\pi iz)\chi(\ell(\omega), [F]) = \exp(\pi iz')\chi(\ell(\omega'), [F])$$

for all  $F$ . Since  $\chi(\ell(\cdot), [\mathcal{O}_x]) = 1$ , this implies that  $\exp(\pi iz) = \exp(\pi iz')$ . Using the action of  $2\mathbb{Z}$  we can assume that  $z = z'$ . Now the fact that  $\omega = \omega'$  follows from Corollary 1.1.2.  $\square$

**Example 3.3.5.** Recall that the standard stability condition on an elliptic curve has  $Z(F) = -\deg(F) + i \text{rk}(F)$  and semistable objects that are shifts of semistable bundles and torsion sheaves. The corresponding phase function  $\phi^{st}$  satisfies

$$\phi^{st}(F) = \phi_{(i,0)}(F) + 1$$

for any semistable  $F$ . Indeed, this follows from the formulas

$$\phi^{st}(\mathcal{O}_x) = 1, \quad \phi^{st}(V_{d/r}) = \frac{\text{Arg}(i - d/r)}{\pi},$$

where  $V_{d/r}$  is the simple bundle of degree  $d$  and rank  $r$  and we normalize the argument in the upper half-plane by  $\text{Arg}(i) = 1/2$ .

**3.4. Stability conditions on abelian surfaces.** In this section, assuming that  $\dim A = 2$  we will identify the action of  $\iota(\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})) \subset U^\Delta$  on  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  with the natural action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on the component  $\text{Stab}^\dagger$  of Bridgeland's stability space  $\text{Stab}(A)$  of  $D^b(A)$  described in [9, Sec. 15].

Recall that the stability space  $\text{Stab}(A)$  carries a natural continuous action of the group  $\widetilde{\text{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ , the universal cover of  $\text{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ , that can be described as the set of pairs  $(T, f)$ , where  $T \in \text{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is an increasing map with  $f(t+1) = f(t) + 1$

such that the map induced by  $T$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\} / \mathbb{R}_{>0} \simeq \mathbb{R} / 2\mathbb{Z}$  coincides with  $f \bmod 2\mathbb{Z}$ . We use the left action of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathrm{Stab}(A)$ : a pair  $(T, f)$  maps a stability condition  $(Z, \mathcal{P})$  to the stability  $(T \circ Z, \mathcal{P}')$ , where  $\mathcal{P}'(t) = \mathcal{P}(f^{-1}(t))$ . Note that  $n \mapsto ((-1)^n, t \mapsto t+n)$  gives an embedding  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $2\mathbb{Z}$  is the kernel of the projection to  $\mathrm{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

Recall that for each  $\omega = i\alpha + \beta \in D_A$  Bridgeland defined a stability condition on  $D^b(A)$  with the central charge

$$Z_\omega(F) = -\chi(\ell(\omega), [F])$$

and with each  $\mathcal{O}_x$  stable of phase 1. This defines a submanifold  $V(A) \subset \mathrm{Stab}(A)$ , isomorphic to  $D_A$ , which is a section of the action of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  on a connected component  $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A) \subset \mathrm{Stab}(A)$ , so that we have an isomorphism

$$V(A) \times \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A) \quad (3.4.1)$$

(see [9, Sec. 11, 15]). Conjecturally,  $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A) = \mathrm{Stab}(A)$ . Below we will show that  $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A)$  contains all full stabilities (see Proposition 3.4.4).

We have a natural embedding  $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathrm{GL}(1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  and the corresponding homomorphism of universal coverings  $\mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  (where we use the map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* : z \mapsto \exp(\pi iz)$ ). Hence, from the isomorphism (3.4.1) we obtain an embedding

$$D_A \times \mathbb{C} \simeq V(A) \times \mathbb{C} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A). \quad (3.4.2)$$

Note that the central charge corresponding to a point  $(\omega, z) \in D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  is

$$Z_{(\omega, z)}(F) = -\exp(\pi iz)\chi(\ell(\omega), [F]),$$

and the phase of  $\mathcal{O}_x$  with respect to this stability is

$$\phi_{(\omega, z)}^{Br}(\mathcal{O}_x) = 1 + \mathrm{Re}(z) \quad (3.4.3)$$

Recall that the non-empty fibers of the projection

$$\mathcal{Z} : \mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{N}(A), \mathbb{C})$$

are exactly the orbits of the action of  $2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C} \subset \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$  (see [9, Thm. 15.2]). Hence, we have

$$V(A) \times \mathbb{C} = \mathcal{Z}^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}(V(A) \times \mathbb{C})). \quad (3.4.4)$$

The image  $\mathcal{Z}(V(A) \times \mathbb{C})$  coincides with  $\mathbb{C}^* \cdot \ell(D_A) \subset \mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ , where we identify  $\mathcal{N}(A) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  with  $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{N}(A), \mathbb{C})$  using  $\chi(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

Recall that we have an action of the group  $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\mathrm{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$  defined using functors  $\Phi_g$  (see Def. 3.1.2). Also, note that by [29, Cor. 3.5.2], we have an inclusion  $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A) \subset \mathrm{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$  since all stabilities in  $\mathrm{Stab}^\dagger(A)$  are full.

**Proposition 3.4.1.** *The subset  $V(A) \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathrm{Stab}^{\mathbf{H}}(A)$  is invariant with respect to the action of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  and the induced action of  $\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $V(A) \times \mathbb{C} \simeq D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  is exactly (3.3.1).*

*Proof.* First, let us look at the action on central charges. Applying Corollary 2.5.6 to the element  $\widetilde{g} = (g, \exp(-\pi i f)) \in \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  coming from an element  $(g, f) = \iota(g') \in U^\Delta$  where  $g' \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ , we get

$$Z_{(\omega, z)}(\hat{\rho}(g')^{-1}F) = Z_{(\omega, z)}(\hat{\rho}(\widetilde{g})^{-1}[F]) = Z_{\iota(g') \cdot (\omega, z)}(F).$$

(see (3.3.1)). In particular, the transformed central charge is still in  $\mathbb{C}^* \cdot \ell(D_A)$ . Recall that the connected component  $\text{Stab}^\dagger(A)$  is characterized by the condition that the central charge is in the  $\text{GL}^+(2, \mathbb{R})$ -orbit of  $\ell(D_A)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_x$  are stable of the same phase for all  $x \in A$ . Furthermore, by [9, Lem. 12.2], it is enough to require all  $\mathcal{O}_x$  to be semistable of the same phase (due to the absence of spherical objects—see [9, Lem. 15.1]). In our case the condition on the central charge is satisfied by the above computation, and the semistability of  $\mathcal{O}_x$  follows from Proposition 3.1.4, so we get the inclusion  $g'(V(A) \times \mathbb{C}) \subset \text{Stab}^\dagger$ . Taking into account (3.4.4) we derive the required inclusion

$$g'(V(A) \times \mathbb{C}) \subset V(A) \times \mathbb{C} \subset \text{Stab}^\dagger.$$

Furthermore, we obtain that the action of  $g' \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  differs from the action (3.3.1) by the translation by an element in  $2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}$ . Thus, the difference between the two actions is given by a homomorphism  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Note that the element  $1 \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  acts on a stability in  $\text{Stab}(A)$  by changing the central charge  $Z$  to  $-Z$  and adding  $-1$  to all the phases. Since this matches with its action on  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  given by (3.3.1), the above homomorphism factors through a homomorphism  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Next, we observe that the action of  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  preserves the phase of  $\mathcal{O}_x$  (see the proof of Prop. 3.2.3(i)). On the other hand,  $\iota(\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})) \subset U^\Delta$  consists of elements  $(g, f)$  with  $\text{Re}(f) = 0$  (see Lemma 2.3.3), so taking into account the formula (3.4.3) we deduce that the homomorphism  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 2\mathbb{Z}$  is trivial on  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$ . It remains to apply Lemma 1.3.5.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.2.** *There is a transitive continuous action of  $U^\Delta \times \widetilde{\text{GL}}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\text{Stab}^\dagger(A)$ , extending the action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Z})$  (coming from autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$ ) and the standard action of  $\widetilde{\text{GL}}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the identification (3.4.1) and from the transitivity of the action of  $U^\Delta$  on  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ . Note that our action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\text{Stab}^\mathbf{H}(A)$  extends the standard action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Z})$  by autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.3.** *For any  $\sigma = (\omega, z) \in D_A \times \mathbb{C}$  and any LI-object  $F \in D^b(A)$ , let  $\phi_\sigma^{\text{Br}}(F)$  be the phase of  $F$  with respect to the corresponding Bridgeland's stability condition. Then*

$$\phi_\sigma^{\text{Br}}(F) = \phi_\sigma(F) + 1,$$

where the function  $\phi_\sigma$  is given by (3.3.2).

*Proof.* The assertion is true for  $F = \mathcal{O}_x$ . Also Theorem 3.3.2(i) together with Proposition 3.4.1 imply that both sides are invariant with respect to the action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on the pair  $(\sigma, F)$ . It remains to use transitivity of the action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\overline{\text{SH}}^{\text{LI}}(A)/\mathbf{N}^*$ .  $\square$

We finish our consideration of abelian surfaces by observing that Proposition 3.1.4 implies the following description of the component  $\text{Stab}^\dagger(A)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.4.** *For an abelian surface  $A$  the component  $\text{Stab}^\dagger(A)$  of the stability space consists of all full stabilities on  $D^b(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be a full stability on  $D^b(A)$ . By Proposition 3.1.4, any skyscraper sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_x$  is  $\sigma$ -semistable. Using Lemmas 12.2 and 15.1 of [9] we deduce that  $\mathcal{O}_x$  is in fact  $\sigma$ -stable. Now the proof of [9, Prop. 3.1.4] shows that any full stability belongs to  $\text{Stab}^\dagger(A)$  (one has to run this proof with  $U(X)$  being the set of all full stabilities, which is an open subset of  $\text{Stab}(A)$ ).  $\square$

**3.5. Mirror symmetry and phases.** In the case when  $A = E^n$ , where  $E$  is an elliptic curve without complex multiplication, we can interpret the phase function of Sec. 3.3 in terms of the Fukaya category of the mirror dual abelian variety.

Let  $E = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})$  be an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{C}$  and let  $\Lambda$  be a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $n$ . We set

$$A = \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}/(\Lambda \otimes (\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})) \simeq E^n,$$

so that we have a natural isomorphism

$$\Gamma_A := H_1(A, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \Lambda \oplus \Lambda,$$

where the second summand corresponds to  $\Lambda \otimes \tau$ . The natural polarization of  $E$  given by the hermitian form  $H_\tau(z_1, z_2) = \frac{z_1 \bar{z}_2}{\text{Im} \tau}$  induces an isomorphism

$$\hat{A} \simeq \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C}/(\Lambda^* \otimes (\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})),$$

where  $\Lambda^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Assuming that  $E$  has no complex multiplication we obtain identifications  $\text{End}(A) \simeq \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda)$ ,  $\text{Hom}(A, \hat{A}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \Lambda^*)$ , and  $\text{NS}(A) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda, \Lambda^*)^+$  (the latter group consists of symmetric homomorphisms). Thus, for a field  $F \supset \mathbb{Q}$  we can identify  $\text{NS}(A) \otimes F$  with the space of symmetric bilinear forms on  $\Lambda \otimes F$ . The ample cone in  $\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  consists of positive-definite forms. Thus,  $D_A \subset \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  is the Siegel's half-space consisting of symmetric bilinear forms on  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}$  with positive-definite imaginary part.

According to [13] (see also [27, Sec. 6.5]), one can view the abelian variety  $B$  associated with an element  $\omega = \omega_A \in D_A \simeq \mathfrak{H}_n$  as a mirror dual to  $(A, \omega_A)$ . More precisely, let us set

$$\Gamma_B = \Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda, \quad B = \Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R}/\Gamma_B,$$

and define the complex structure on  $\Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R}$  via the isomorphism

$$\kappa_\omega : \Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C} : (\lambda^*, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda^* - \omega(\lambda), \quad (3.5.1)$$

where we view  $\omega$  as an element of  $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C})^+$ . Note that there is an isomorphism  $B \simeq \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C}/(\Lambda^* + \omega\Lambda)$  (however, the corresponding identification of  $H_1(B, \mathbb{Z})$  with  $\Gamma_B$  differs from the original one by the sign on the summand  $\Lambda \subset \Gamma_B$ ). We have a natural principal polarization  $\phi_0 : B \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{B}$  given on homology lattices by

$$\Gamma_B \rightarrow \Gamma_B^* : (\lambda_0^*, \lambda_0) \mapsto ((\lambda^*, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda^*(\lambda_0) - \lambda_0^*(\lambda)). \quad (3.5.2)$$

Similarly, the natural isomorphism  $\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda^* \simeq \Gamma_{\hat{A}} \simeq \Gamma_A^*$  corresponds to the pairing

$$(\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda^*) \times \Gamma_A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} : ((\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*), (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)) \mapsto \lambda_1^*(\lambda_2) - \lambda_2^*(\lambda_1).$$

Let us define an isomorphism of orthogonal lattices

$$\gamma : \Gamma_A \oplus \Gamma_{\hat{A}} \rightarrow \Gamma_B \oplus \Gamma_B \simeq \Gamma_B \oplus \Gamma_{\hat{B}} : (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*) \mapsto (\lambda_2^*, \lambda_2, \lambda_1^*, \lambda_1).$$

**Proposition 3.5.1.** *The isomorphism  $\gamma$  induces a mirror duality in the sense of [13, Sec. 9] between the pairs  $(A, \omega_A)$  and  $(B, \omega_B)$  for  $\omega_B = \tau \cdot \phi_0$ , where  $\phi_0 \in \text{Hom}(B, \hat{B})^+$  is the principal polarisation defined above.*

*Proof.* By definition, we have to check that the operator of complex structure on  $(\Gamma_B \oplus \Gamma_B) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  corresponds under  $\gamma$  to

$$I_{\omega_A} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-1}\beta & -\alpha^{-1} \\ \alpha + \beta\alpha^{-1}\beta & -\beta\alpha^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}_A(\mathbb{R}),$$

where  $\omega_A = i\alpha + \beta$ , and we view  $\mathbf{U}_A(\mathbb{R})$  as a subgroup in automorphisms of  $(\Gamma_A \oplus \Gamma_{\hat{A}}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ , and similarly, that the complex structure on  $(\Gamma_B \oplus \Gamma_{\hat{B}}) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  corresponds to  $I_{\omega_B}$ . Both facts are checked by a straightforward computation (cf. [13, Prop. 9.6.1]).  $\square$

Recall that the variety  $\mathbf{LG}_A = \mathbf{LG}_{E^n}$  is naturally identified with the Lagrangian Grassmannian associated with the symplectic lattice  $\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda$ . Thus, a Lagrangian subvariety  $L \subset A \times \hat{A}$ , viewed as a point of  $\mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$ , corresponds to a Lagrangian  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule  $\Pi(L) \subset \Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda = \Gamma_B$ , so that  $\Gamma_L = H_1(L, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \Pi(L) \oplus \Pi(L) \subset \Gamma_A \oplus \Gamma_{\hat{A}}$ . Hence, from (3.2.3) we get

$$\delta(L)(\omega) = \det(\kappa_\omega|_{\Pi(L)})^2 \bmod \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \quad (3.5.3)$$

where we view  $\kappa_\omega|_{\Pi(L)}$  as an element in  $\text{Hom}(\Pi(L), \Lambda^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and define  $\det^2$  using some bases in  $\Pi(L)$  and  $\Lambda^*$ .

Similarly, a point  $L$  of  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$  corresponds to a real Lagrangian subspace  $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L) \subset \Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and the formula (3.5.3) still holds (with  $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L)$  instead of  $\Pi(L)$ ). Recall that we have a double covering  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{R})$  consisting of pairs  $(L, \varphi) \in \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{O}(D_A)/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that  $\varphi^2 = \delta(L)$ , so that the group  $\text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R})$  (see Def. 3.2.4). In our case there is a splitting  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Spin}(\mathbb{R})$  (see Remark 2.3.8.1), so we have an action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R})$  given by

$$g \cdot (L, \varphi) = (gL, \varphi'), \text{ where } \varphi'(g(\omega)) = \varphi(\omega) \cdot \det(a + b\omega)^{-1}.$$

We claim that  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$  is in fact the natural double covering corresponding to a choice of orientation on a Lagrangian subspace in  $\Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, let us fix an orientation  $\epsilon \in \bigwedge^n(\Lambda)$ . Then a choice of a square root  $\varphi = \sqrt{\delta(L)} \in \mathcal{O}^*(D_A)/\mathbb{R}_{>0}$  for  $L \in \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{R})$  induces an orientation on  $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L) \subset \Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R}$  as follows. By formula (3.5.3), for each  $\omega$  the non-zero element

$$\varphi(\omega)^{-1} \cdot \det(\kappa_\omega|_{\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L)}) \in \bigwedge^n(\Lambda^*) \otimes \bigwedge^n(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L))^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C},$$

depending continuously on  $\omega$ , belongs to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -subspace  $\bigwedge^n(\Lambda^*) \otimes \bigwedge^n(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L))^{-1}$ . Thus, we get an isomorphism

$$\bigwedge^n(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L)) \simeq \bigwedge^n(\Lambda)^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{R}$$



and we define the orientation  $\mu_{\varphi, \epsilon} \in \bigwedge^n(\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L))$  so that it corresponds to  $\epsilon^{-1}$  under this isomorphism, i.e.,

$$\varphi(\omega)^{-1} \cdot \det(\kappa_{\omega}|_{\Pi_{\mathbb{R}}(L)}) \cdot \mu_{\varphi, \epsilon} = \epsilon^{-1}.$$

Let us associate with  $L \in \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  the real subtorus in  $B$  by setting

$$T_L = \Pi(L) \otimes \mathbb{R} / \Pi(L) \subset \Gamma_B \otimes \mathbb{R} / \Gamma_B = B.$$

Note that  $T_L$  is Lagrangian with respect to the translation-invariant symplectic structure on  $B$  corresponding to the standard symplectic structure on  $\Gamma_B = \Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda$  (i.e., this symplectic structure on  $B$  comes from the principal polarization  $\phi_0$ ). As we have shown above, a lifting of  $L$  to a point  $(L, \varphi) \in \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q})$  gives rise to an orientation of  $T_L$ .

Since  $\mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q}) = \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q})} / 2\mathbb{Z}$ , the map (3.2.4) induces a map

$$\overline{\text{SH}}^{LI} \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q}).$$

By Lemma 3.2.5, the composition

$$\text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \overline{\text{SH}}^{LI} \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q}) : \phi \mapsto V_{\phi} \mapsto (\Gamma(\phi), \varphi)$$

corresponds to the choice of the square root  $\varphi(\omega) = \det(\phi - \omega)$ , where we use dual bases of  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda^*$  to compute the determinant (see also Ex. 3.2.6). The corresponding orientation on  $T_{\Gamma(\phi)}$  is induced by the isomorphism  $\Pi(\Gamma(\phi)) \otimes \mathbb{R} \simeq \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and the orientation  $\epsilon$  of  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\Omega_{\omega, \epsilon}$  denote the holomorphic volume form on  $B$  defined by

$$\Omega_{\omega, \epsilon} = \kappa_{\omega}^*(\epsilon),$$

where we view  $\epsilon \in \bigwedge^n(\Lambda) \subset \bigwedge^n(\Lambda) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  as an  $n$ -form on  $\Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and use an isomorphism (3.5.1).

**Theorem 3.5.2.** *For an endosimple LI-object  $F \in D^b(A)$  one has*

$$\chi(\ell(\omega), [F]) = \int_{[T_L]} \Omega_{\omega, \epsilon} \quad (3.5.4)$$

where  $L = L_F$ , and  $T_L$  is equipped with the orientation  $\mu_{\varphi_F, \epsilon}$  coming from the point  $(L_F, \varphi_F) \in \mathbf{LG}^{\text{spin}}(A, \mathbb{Q})$  associated with  $F$ .

*Proof.* Note that shifting  $F$  by  $[1]$  changes the orientation of  $T_L$ , so the assertions for  $F$  and  $F[n]$  are equivalent.

First, let us prove (3.5.4) in the case when  $L_F$  is transversal to  $\{0\} \times \hat{A}$ , i.e., when  $F = V_{\phi}$  is the semihomogeneous bundle corresponding to  $\phi \in \text{Hom}(A, \hat{A})^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \Lambda^*)^+ \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (and  $L_F = \Gamma(\phi) \subset A \times \hat{A}$ ). Recall that  $\text{rk } V_{\phi} = \text{deg}(L_F \rightarrow A)^{1/2}$  (see (2.1.9)). For  $K = \mathbb{Q}$  or  $\mathbb{R}$  let  $\Gamma_K(\phi) \subset (\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda) \otimes K$  be the graph of  $\phi$  viewed as a map of  $K$ -vector spaces (i.e.,  $\Gamma_K(\phi) = H_1(L_F, K)$ ) and set

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi) := \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}(\phi) \cap (\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda),$$

so that  $T_L = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(\phi) / \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi)$ . We also denote by  $i_{\phi} : \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi) \rightarrow \Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda$  the natural embedding. The orientation on  $T_L$  is induced by the natural isomorphism  $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(\phi) \simeq \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$  and by the

orientation of  $\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\epsilon$ . The cycle  $[T_L]$  in  $H_n(A) \simeq \Lambda^n(\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda)$  is the image of the positive generator  $\mu \in \bigwedge^n(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi))$  under the map

$$\bigwedge^n(i_\phi) : \bigwedge^n(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi)) \rightarrow \bigwedge^n(\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda).$$

Note also that the integration map

$$H_n(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \gamma \mapsto \int_\gamma \Omega_{\omega, \epsilon}$$

is identified with

$$\bigwedge^n(\kappa_\omega) : \bigwedge^n(\Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda) \rightarrow \bigwedge^n(\Lambda^*) \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C},$$

where the last isomorphism is given by  $\epsilon$ . Hence,  $\int_{[T_L]} \Omega_{\omega, \epsilon} = \delta(\mu) \cdot \epsilon$ , where  $\delta \in \bigwedge^n(\Lambda^*) \otimes \bigwedge^n(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi))^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{C}$  is the determinant of the composition

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi) \xrightarrow{i_\phi} \Lambda^* \oplus \Lambda \xrightarrow{\kappa_\omega} \Lambda^*.$$

The projection  $p_2 : \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi) \rightarrow \Lambda$  is an embedding of index  $\deg(L_F \rightarrow A)^{1/2}$ , so the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}(\phi) & \xrightarrow{\kappa_\omega \circ i_\phi} & \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \\ p_2 \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\phi - \omega} & \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

implies that

$$\delta(\mu) \cdot \epsilon = \det(\phi - \omega) \cdot \deg(L_F \rightarrow A)^{1/2} = \chi(\ell(\omega), \ell(\phi)) \cdot \text{rk}(F) = \chi(\ell(\omega), [F]),$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 2.5.2.

Next, we will check that (3.5.4) is compatible with the action of the group  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $[F]$ ,  $\omega$  and on  $B$ , where we use the natural symplectic action of  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  on  $\Gamma_B = \Lambda \oplus \Lambda^*$  and the splitting  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{spin}}$  of the spin-covering (see Remark 2.3.8.1). Namely, for  $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  the relation

$$(-\omega \quad \text{id}_{\Lambda^*}) \cdot g^{-1} = (a + b\omega)^* \cdot (-g(\omega) \quad \text{id}_{\Lambda^*})$$

leads to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_B & \xrightarrow{\kappa_\omega} & \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \\ g \downarrow & & \uparrow (a + b\omega)^* \\ \Gamma_B & \xrightarrow{\kappa_{g(\omega)}} & \Lambda^* \otimes \mathbb{C} \end{array} \tag{3.5.5}$$

Hence, we have

$$g^* \Omega_{g(\omega), \epsilon} = \det(a + b\omega)^{-1} \cdot \Omega_{\omega, \epsilon},$$

which implies that

$$\int_{g[T_L]} \Omega_{g(\omega), \epsilon} = \det(a + b\omega)^{-1} \cdot \int_{[T_L]} \Omega_{\omega, \epsilon}.$$

Also, the diagram (3.5.5) gives the equation

$$\kappa_\omega|_{\Pi(L)} = (a + b\omega)^* \circ \kappa_{g(\omega)}|_{\Pi(gL)} \circ g|_{\Pi(L)} \quad (3.5.6)$$

in  $\text{Hom}(\Pi(L), \Lambda^*) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $g \cdot (L, \varphi) = (gL, \varphi')$ , where  $\varphi'(g(\omega)) = \varphi(\omega) \det(a + b\omega)^{-1}$ , passing to determinants in (3.5.6) we obtain that the orientation  $\mu_{\varphi', \epsilon}$  of  $\Pi(gL) \otimes \mathbb{R}$  corresponds to  $\mu_{\varphi, \epsilon}$  under the isomorphism  $\Pi(L) \rightarrow \Pi(gL)$  given by  $g$ . Hence, the class  $g[T_L]$  is exactly the fundamental class of  $T_{gL}$  associated with the orientation coming from  $g[F]$ . On the other hand, by Corollary 2.5.6,

$$\chi(\ell(\omega), [F]) = \chi(\ell(g(\omega)), g[F]),$$

since for  $g \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$  the operator  $\hat{\rho}(g)$  is simply the map induced by any autoequivalence of  $D^b(A)$  compatible with the canonical lifting of  $g$  to  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})^{\text{spin}}$ .

Finally, applying Proposition 1.4.1 and using the  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$ -invariance, we see that the general case of (3.5.4) follows from the case when  $L_F$  is transversal to  $\{0\} \times \hat{A}$  considered above.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.3.** Note that since  $L_F$  is equipped with the lifting  $\tilde{L}_F$  to the universal covering of the Lagrangian Grassmannian (see Ex. 3.2.6), the Lagrangian torus  $T_L$  has a structure of a *graded Lagrangian* (see [33]). The corresponding choice of a phase of  $\int_{T_L}$  obtained from Theorems 3.3.2 and 3.5.2 comes from Kontsevich's description of a grading on a Lagrangian (see [33, Ex. 2.9]).

#### 4. QUASI-STANDARD $t$ -STRUCTURES AND FOURIER-MUKAI PARTNERS

**4.1. Quasi-standard  $t$ -structures.** The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -covering  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}(\mathbb{Q})$  appears also naturally when considering  $t$ -structures. Let  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  be the set of  $\mathbf{H}$ -invariant  $t$ -structures on  $D^b(A)$ . We identify  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  with the set of cores of such  $t$ -structures, so we view elements of  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  as abelian subcategories  $\mathcal{A} \subset D^b(A)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.1.** (i) *There is a natural  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant embedding*

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(A) : \tilde{L} \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{L}},$$

which is uniquely characterized by the condition

$$\mathcal{A}_{(0:\phi_0), 0} = \text{Coh}(A).$$

The LI-functor  $\Phi_{\tilde{g}} : D^b(A) \rightarrow D^b(A)$  corresponding to  $\tilde{g} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  (defined up to  $\mathbf{H}$ —see Sec. 2.1) satisfies

$$\Phi_{\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{L}}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{g}\tilde{L}}.$$

(ii) *For an LI-object  $F$  and  $\tilde{L} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  one has  $F[-i(\tilde{L}_F, \tilde{L})] \in \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{L}}$ , where  $i(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathbb{Z}$  is the unique  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant function on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q}) \times \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  such that for  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \text{NS}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$*

one has

$$i(\widetilde{L}_{V_{\phi_1}}, \widetilde{L}_{V_{\phi_2}}) = i(\phi_2 - \phi_1),$$

provided  $\phi_2 - \phi_1$  is nondegenerate (recall that  $\widetilde{L}_{V_\phi}$  is given by (3.2.5)).

*Proof.* (i) Recall that the action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}(\mathbb{Q})$  is transitive, and the stabilizer subgroup of the point  $((0 : \phi_0), 0)$  is  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$ , lifted to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  as described in Corollary 2.2.2. Thus, it suffices to check that  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  preserves the standard  $t$ -structure. But this immediately follows from the description of the functors corresponding to elements of  $\mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  (see Prop. 2.2.1).

(ii) The fact that every LI-sheaf is cohomologically pure with respect to each  $t$ -structure constructed in (i) follows from Theorem 2.4.1. Uniqueness of the  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -equivariant index function  $i(\cdot, \cdot)$  follows from Proposition 1.4.1. It remains to find the number  $i = i(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  such that

$$V_{\phi_1}[-i] \in \mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{L}_{V_{\phi_2}}}.$$

Let  $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi_2^{-1} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then by formula (2.4.1), we have

$$\Phi_g(\mathcal{O}_x) = V_{\phi_2} \bmod \mathbb{N}^*$$

(there is no shift in this case since the kernel  $S(g)$  is a vector bundle). It follows that

$$\Phi_g(\mathrm{Coh}(A)) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{L}_{V_{\phi_2}}}.$$

Note that  $\Gamma(\phi_1) = g(\Gamma(\phi))$ , where

$$\phi = \phi_1(1 - \phi_2^{-1}\phi_1)^{-1}.$$

Hence, using (2.4.1) and (2.4.3) we obtain

$$\Phi_g(V_\phi) = V_{\phi_1}[-i(\phi_2 + \phi)] \bmod \mathbb{N}^*,$$

so denoting  $\phi_3 = 1 - \phi_2^{-1}\phi_1$  we obtain

$$i = i(\phi_2 + \phi_1\phi_3^{-1}) = i(\phi_3(\phi_2\phi_3 + \phi_1)) = i(\phi_3\phi_2) = i(\phi_2 - \phi_1)$$

as claimed.  $\square$

**Definition 4.1.2.** We will refer to  $t$ -structures on  $D^b(A)$  constructed in the above theorem as *quasi-standard  $t$ -structures*.

**Proposition 4.1.3.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be abelian varieties, and let  $\eta : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  be a symplectic isomorphism in  $\mathcal{Ab}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  (i.e., up to isogeny). Then the map  $\eta_* : \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{LG}_B(\mathbb{Q})$  induced by  $\eta$  extends to a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant map*

$$\widetilde{\eta}_* : \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_B(\mathbb{Q})$$

which is compatible with the quasi-standard  $t$ -structures, i.e., for every  $\widetilde{L} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{LG}}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  the LI-functor  $\Phi_\eta$  associated with  $\eta$  (defined up to  $\mathbf{H}$ ) satisfies

$$\Phi_\eta(\mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{L}}) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\widetilde{\eta}_*\widetilde{L}}. \quad (4.1.1)$$

*Proof.* Note that  $B$  is isogenous to  $A$ , i.e., there exists an isomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Let  $\eta_0 : X_A \rightarrow X_B$  be the induced symplectic isomorphism in  $\mathcal{A}b_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . We also have natural compatible isomorphisms induced by  $f$ :

$$\mathbf{U}_{X_A} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}_{X_B}, \quad \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{X_A}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}_{X_B}(\mathbb{Q})$$

$$\eta_{0*} : \mathbf{L}\mathbf{G}_A(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}\mathbf{G}_B(\mathbb{Q}), \quad \widetilde{\eta}_{0*} : \widetilde{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{G}}_A(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{G}}_B(\mathbb{Q}).$$

Furthermore, it is easy to see that the  $t$ -exactness (4.1.1) holds for  $\widetilde{\eta}_{0*}$  and the functor  $\Phi_{\eta_0}$  which is the composition of the pull-back and the push-forward under isogenies (this is proved similarly to Prop. 2.2.1(ii)). Now let  $g_{\eta} \in \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q})$  be the unique element such that

$$\eta = \eta_0 \circ g_{\eta}.$$

Choose any element  $\widetilde{g}_{\eta} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  over  $g_{\eta}$  and define

$$\widetilde{\eta}_* : \widetilde{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{G}}_A(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{G}}_B(\mathbb{Q}) : \widetilde{L} \mapsto \widetilde{\eta}_{0*}(\widetilde{g}_{\eta}(\widetilde{L})).$$

By Theorem 4.1.1(i), the required assertion follows for the functor  $\Phi_{\eta_0} \circ \Phi_{\widetilde{g}_{\eta}}$ . By [31, Thm. 3.2.11], its  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence class differs from  $\Phi_{\eta}[n]$  by an action of  $\mathbb{N}^*$  (one has to use also [31, Prop. 2.4.7(ii)] as in the proof of [31, Thm. 3.3.4]). Changing  $\widetilde{\eta}_*$  using the action of  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$  on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{G}}_A(\mathbb{Q})$ , we get the required compatibility (4.1.1).  $\square$

**Remarks 4.1.4.** 1. The quasi-standard  $t$ -structure associated with  $\widetilde{L}_F \in \widetilde{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{G}}(\mathbb{Q})$  has a simple characterization in terms of the LI-object  $F$  (defined up to  $\mathbf{H}$ -equivalence). Namely, the corresponding subcategory  $D^{\leq 0} \subset D^b(A)$  consists of all  $X \in D^b(A)$  such that  $\mathrm{Hom}^i(X, T_{x,\xi}(F)) = 0$  for  $i < 0$  and all  $(x, \xi) \in A \times \hat{A}$ . Indeed, using  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ -action this reduces to the characterization of the standard subcategory  $D^{\leq 0}$  by the above condition, where  $F$  is a nonzero torsion sheaf.

2. In the case of an elliptic curve all the quasi-standard  $t$ -structures are obtained from the standard one by tilting (up to a shift). More precisely, let  $P(\cdot)$  be the slicing associated with the standard stability on  $D^b(E)$  for an elliptic curve  $E$ , so that  $P((0, 1]) = \mathrm{Coh}(E)$  (see Ex. 3.3.5). Then the quasi-standard  $t$ -structure associated with  $\phi \in \mathrm{NS}(E, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}$  (lifted to  $\widetilde{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{G}}_E(\mathbb{Q})$  by (3.2.6)) is  $P((\frac{\mathrm{Arg}(i-\phi)}{\pi} - 1, \frac{\mathrm{Arg}(i-\phi)}{\pi}])$ . Note that this construction extends to irrational numbers  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$  and for  $k = \mathbb{C}$  the corresponding hearts are equivalent to the categories of holomorphic bundles on noncommutative 2-tori (see [32], [28]). We conjecture that this connection between quasi-standard  $t$ -structures and noncommutative tori extends to the higher-dimensional case (the corresponding equivalence of derived categories is established in [4]). Namely, to every point of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{G}}_A(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \widetilde{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{G}}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  there should correspond a  $t$ -structure on  $D^b(A)$  (in a way compatible with the action of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbb{Q})$ ) whose heart is equivalent to the category of holomorphic bundles on the corresponding noncommutative torus.

**4.2. Fourier-Mukai partners.** Recall that the set of Fourier-Mukai partners (*FM-partners* for short) of a smooth projective variety  $X$  is defined as

$$\mathrm{FM}(X) = \{Y \text{ smooth projective} \mid D^b(Y) \simeq D^b(X)\} / \text{isomorphism}.$$

For an abelian variety  $A$  we can also define the subset  $\mathrm{FM}^{ab}(A) \subset \mathrm{FM}(A)$  by considering only FM-partners among abelian varieties. In characteristic zero it is known that  $\mathrm{FM}^{ab}(A) = \mathrm{FM}(A)$  (see [16]).

Recall that if  $B$  is a FM-partner of  $A$  then any equivalence  $D^b(A) \simeq D^b(B)$  is given by the LI-kernel associated with a Lagrangian correspondence  $(L(\eta), \alpha)$  extending a symplectic isomorphism  $\eta : X_A \simeq X_B$  (see 2.1). The  $\mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbit of the Lagrangian  $(\eta_*)^{-1}(0 \times \hat{B}) \in \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  does not depend on a choice of an equivalence  $D^b(A) \simeq D^b(B)$ .

**Proposition 4.2.1.** *The above construction gives an embedding*

$$\mathrm{FM}^{ab}(A) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q}) / \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}). \quad (4.2.1)$$

*The image consists of orbits of Lagrangian subvarieties  $L \subset X_A$  for which there exists a Lagrangian subvariety  $L' \subset X_A$  such that  $L \cap L' = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion is immediate since the Lagrangian subvariety  $(\eta_*)^{-1}(0 \times \hat{B}) \subset X_A$  corresponding to  $B$  is isomorphic to  $\hat{B}$ . For the second we observe that if we have a Lagrangian  $L' \subset X_A$  such that  $L \cap L' = 0$  then we get an isomorphism  $L \times L' \rightarrow X_A$  and also  $L' \simeq X_A/L \simeq \hat{L}$ , which leads to a symplectic isomorphism  $L \times \hat{L} \simeq X_A$ , so that  $B = \hat{L}$  is a FM-partner of  $A$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.2.** The set  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q}) / \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Q}) / \mathbf{P}^-(\mathbb{Q})$  is known to be finite (see [12, Thm. 6]). Note that this set is also in bijection with the set of endosimple LI-objects in  $D^b(A)$  up to the action of exact autoequivalences of  $D^b(A)$  (as follows from Prop. 2.1.2).

Here is an example of a situation when the embedding of Proposition 4.2.1 is a bijection.

**Proposition 4.2.3.** *Assume that  $A$  is principally polarized and  $\mathrm{End}(A) = R$  is the ring of integers in a totally real number field  $F$  (so the Rosati involution on  $F$  is trivial). Then the map (4.2.1) is a bijection, and*

$$|\mathrm{FM}^{ab}(A)| = |\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q}) / \mathbf{U}(\mathbb{Z})| = h_R,$$

where  $h_R$  is the class number of  $R$ .

*Proof.* First, we observe that in this case the set  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  consists of all subvarieties in  $X_A = A \times A$ , isogenous to  $A$ . We claim that all such subvarieties  $L \subset X_A$  are direct summands. Indeed,  $L$  is an image of the morphism  $A \rightarrow A^2$  associated with a pair  $(a, b) \in R^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I' \rightarrow R^2 \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $I = (a, b) \subset R$ . This sequence splits since  $I$  is a projective  $R$ -module. Hence, there is a corresponding split exact sequence of abelian varieties

$$0 \rightarrow A^I \rightarrow A^2 \rightarrow A^{I'} \rightarrow 0,$$

where we use the natural functor  $M \rightarrow A^M$  from  $R$ -modules to commutative group schemes with  $A^M(S) = \text{Hom}_R(M, A(S))$  (see [11]) Since  $A^I$  is exactly the image of the map  $(a, b) : A \rightarrow A^2$ , this proves our claim.

It remains to check that the orbits of  $\text{SL}_2(R)$  on the projective line  $\mathbb{P}^1(F)$  are in bijection with the ideal class group  $\text{Cl}(R)$ . We have a well defined map

$$\mathbb{P}^1(F)/\text{SL}_2(R) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(R)$$

sending  $(a : b)$  with  $a, b \in R$  to the class of the ideal  $(a, b)$ . This map is surjective since every nonzero ideal in  $R$  is generated by two elements. To show injectivity suppose that pairs  $(a : b)$  and  $(a' : b')$  define the same ideal class. Then upon rescaling we can assume that  $(a, b) = (a', b')$ . Now we have two surjective maps  $R^2 \rightarrow I = (a, b)$ : one given by  $(a, b)$  and another by  $(a', b')$ , and our assertion follows from Lemma 4.2.4 below.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.4.** *For every nonzero ideal  $I \subset R$  the action of  $\text{SL}_2(R)$  on surjective maps  $R^2 \rightarrow I$  is transitive.*

*Proof.* Since  $I$  is a projective  $R$ -module, for every surjective map  $f : R^2 \rightarrow I$  there exists an isomorphism

$$\alpha : R^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} I' \oplus I$$

such that  $f$  is the composition of  $\alpha$  with the projection to  $I$ . Note that  $\det(\alpha)$  induces an isomorphism of  $R$  with  $I' \otimes_R I$ , so we obtain an isomorphism  $I' \simeq I^{-1}$ . Thus, we can view  $\alpha$  as an isomorphism  $R^2 \rightarrow I^{-1} \oplus I$  such that  $\det(\alpha)$  is the canonical isomorphism  $R \rightarrow I^{-1} \otimes I$ . If  $g : R^2 \rightarrow I$  is another surjective morphism and  $\beta : R^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} I^{-1} \oplus I$  is the corresponding isomorphism then  $\gamma = \beta^{-1} \circ \alpha$  is an element of  $\text{SL}_2(R)$  such that  $g \circ \gamma = f$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.5.** In general the embedding (4.2.1) is not a bijection as one can see already in the case of a non-principally polarized abelian variety with  $\text{End}(A) = \mathbb{Z}$  (cf. [23, Ex. 4.16]). The Lagrangians not in the image of this map correspond to categories of twisted sheaves equivalent to  $D^b(A)$  (see [25]). Note that the set  $\mathbf{LG}_A(\mathbb{Q})$  is a subset of vertices of the spherical building associated with the group  $\mathbf{U}$ , which is related to the boundary of the Baily-Borel compactification of the Siegel domain  $D_A$ . It would be interesting to see whether other elements of this building have an interpretation in terms of  $D^b(A)$ . Also, one can expect some relation between the quasi-standard  $t$ -structures and the  $t$ -structures associated with stabilities coming from points of  $D_A$  or  $D_A \times \mathbb{C}$ . In the case of K3-surfaces similar questions are studied in [17] and [15].

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. Abramovich, A. Polishchuk, *Sheaves of  $t$ -structures and valuative criteria for stable complexes*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 590 (2006), 89–130.
- [2] A. Bayer, E. Macrì, Y. Toda, *Bridgeland Stability conditions on threefolds I: Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequalities*, arXiv:1103.5010.
- [3] A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [4] J. Block, *Duality and equivalence of module categories in noncommutative geometry II: Mukai duality for holomorphic noncommutative tori*, arXiv:0604296.

- [5] A., Borel, *Density properties of certain subgroups of semisimple groups without compact components*, Ann. Math. 72 (1960), 179–188.
- [6] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [7] A. Borel, Harish-Chandra, *Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups*, Ann. Math. 75 (1962), 485–535.
- [8] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on triangulated categories*, Ann. Math. 166 (2007), no. 2, 317–345.
- [9] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on K3 surfaces*, Duke Math. J. 141 (2008), no. 2, 241–291.
- [10] M. R. Douglas, *Dirichlet branes, homological mirror symmetry, and stability*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. III (Beijing, 2002), 395–408, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [11] J. Giraud, *Remarque sur une formule de Shimura-Taniyama*, Invent. Math. 5 (1968), 231–236.
- [12] R. Godement, *Domaines fondamentaux des groupes arithmétiques*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 8 (1962/63), Exp. 257, 201–225.
- [13] V. Golyshv, V. Lunts, D. Orlov, *Mirror symmetry for abelian varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. 10 (2001), 433–496.
- [14] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [15] H. Hartmann, *Cusps of the Kähler moduli space and stability conditions on K3 surfaces*, arXiv:1012.3121.
- [16] D. Huybrechts, M. Nieper-Wisskirchen, *Remarks on derived equivalences of Ricci-flat manifolds*, arXiv:0801.4747.
- [17] S. Ma, *Fourier-Mukai Partners of a K3 Surface and the Cusps of its Kahler Moduli*, Internat. J. Math., 20 (2009), 727–750.
- [18] E. Macrì, S. Meirort, P. Stellari, *Inducing stability conditions*, preprint math.AG/0705.3752.
- [19] S. Mukai, *Duality between  $D(X)$  and  $D(\hat{X})$  with its application to Picard sheaves*. Nagoya Math. J. 81 (1981), 153–175.
- [20] S. Mukai, *Semi-homogeneous vector bundles on an abelian variety*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 18 (1978), 239–272.
- [21] S. Mukai, *Abelian variety and spin representation* (in Japanese), in *Hodge theory and algebraic geometry (Sapporo, 1994)*, English translation: Univ. of Warwick preprint, 1998.
- [22] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*. Oxford Univ. Press, 1974.
- [23] D. Orlov, *Derived categories of coherent sheaves on abelian varieties and equivalences between them*, Izv. Math. 66 (2002), no. 3, 569–594.
- [24] A. Polishchuk, *Biextensions, Weil representation on derived categories, and theta-functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, 1996.
- [25] A. Polishchuk, *Symplectic biextensions and a generalization of the Fourier-Mukai transform*, Math. Research Letters 3 (1996), 813–828.
- [26] A. Polishchuk, *Analogue of Weil representation for abelian schemes*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 543 (2002), 1–37.
- [27] A. Polishchuk, *Abelian Varieties, Theta Functions and the Fourier Transform*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [28] A. Polishchuk, *Classification of holomorphic vector bundles on noncommutative two-tori*, Documenta Mathematica 9 (2004), 163–181.
- [29] A. Polishchuk, *Constant families of t-structures on derived categories of coherent sheaves*, Moscow Math. J. 7 (2007), 109–134.
- [30] A. Polishchuk, *Kernel algebras and generalized Fourier-Mukai transforms*, J. Noncomm. Geom. 5 (2011), 153–251.
- [31] A. Polishchuk, *Lagrangian-invariant sheaves and functors for abelian varieties*, arXiv:1109.0527.
- [32] A. Polishchuk, A. Schwarz, *Categories of holomorphic vector bundles on noncommutative two-tori*, Comm. Math. Phys. 236 (2003), 135–159.
- [33] P. Seidel, *Graded Lagrangian submanifolds*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 128 (2000), no. 1, 103–149.
- [34] A. Weil, *Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires*, Acta Math. 111 (1964), 143–211.



DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR 97405  
*E-mail address:* `apolish@uoregon.edu`