## ON THE SECOND POWERS OF STANLEY-REISNER IDEALS

GIANCARLO RINALDO, NAOKI TERAI, AND KEN-ICHI YOSHIDA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study several properties of the second power  $I_{\Lambda}^2$  of a Stanley-Reisner ideal  $I_{\Delta}$  of any dimension. As the main result, we prove that  $S/I_{\Delta}$  is Gorenstein whenever  $S/I_{\Delta}^{2}$ is Cohen-Macaulay over any field K. Moreover, we give a criterion for the second symbolic power of  $I_{\Delta}$  to satisfy  $(S_2)$  and to coincide with the ordinary power, respectively. Finally, we provide new examples of Stanley-Reisner ideals whose second powers are Cohen-Macaulay.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

It is proved in [24] that a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is a complete intersection if the third power  $I_{\Delta}^3$  of its Stanley-Reisner ideal is Cohen-Macaulay, using a result in [16, 27]. On the other hand, there is a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  which is not a complete intersection such that  $I_{\Delta}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay. The simplicial complex associated with a pentagon is such an example. Among onedimensional simplicial complexes, the above example is a unique one, as shown in [15]. As for the two-dimensional case, such simplicial complexes are classified in [26]. In [16] a characterization of Cohen-Macaulayness of the second symbolic power  $I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$  is given. A main motivation of this paper is to study the Cohen-Macaulayness of the second ordinary

powers of Stanley-Reisner ideals of any dimension. We consider the following two questions:

- (1) What constraints does Cohen-Macaulayness of  $I^2_{\Delta}$  impose upon a simplicial complex  $\Delta$ ? (2) Do there exist *many* simplicial complexes  $\Delta$  such that  $I^2_{\Delta}$  are Cohen-Macaulay?

As for the second question we give two families of examples. One is a simplicial join of pentagons; the other is a stellar subdivision of a complete intersection complex.

For the first question we treat more general properties and give necessary conditions for Cohen-Macaulayness of the square, as a result. In each section we pick up a different condition; In Sections 2, 3, and 4 we consider quasi-Buchsbaum property, Serre's condition  $(S_2)$ , and unmixedness of a (symbolic) square, respectively. Summarizing results in these sections, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 0.1.** Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex on  $[n] = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . Let  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring. Suppose that  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay over any field K. Then the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\Delta$  is Gorenstein.
- (2) diam $((\operatorname{link}_{\Delta} F)^{(1)}) \leq 2$  for any face  $F \in \Delta$  with dim  $\operatorname{link}_{\Delta} F \geq 1$ . (3) For  $F_1, F_2, F_3 \in 2^{[n]} \setminus \Delta$  there exist  $G_1, G_2 \in 2^{[n]} \setminus \Delta$  such that  $G_1 \cup G_2 \subset F_1 \cup F_2 \cup F_3$ and  $G_1 \cap G_2 \subset F_1 \cap F_2 \cap F_3$ .

As shown in Corollary 3.3 the condition (2) is equivalent to Serre's condition (S<sub>2</sub>) of  $S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$ . And as shown in Theorem 4.3 the condition (3) is equivalent to the condition  $I^2_{\Lambda} = I^{(2)}_{\Lambda}$ .

We may ask the converse:

**Question 0.2.** Do the conditions (1), (2) and (3) imply that  $S/I_{\Lambda}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay?

Date: November 10, 2018.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13F55, Secondary 13H10.

Key words and phrases. Stanley-Reisner ideal, Gorenstein ring, Cohen-Macaulay ring, Buchsbaum ring, complete intersection ring, symbolic power, cross polytope, linkage.

It is known that Cohen-Macaulayness of  $I_{\Delta}^2$  is equivalent to Cohen-Macaulayness of  $I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$  and  $I_{\Delta}^2 = I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$ . Hence the above question will be affirmative if so is the following one, which is interesting in its own right:

**Question 0.3.** Do the conditions (1) and (2) imply that  $S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay?

Stronger versions of the first question are as follows:

**Question 0.4.** Do the conditions (1) and (3) imply that  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay?

**Question 0.5.** Do the conditions (2) and (3) imply that  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay?

By [15], the above questions are true if simplicial complexes are one-dimensional.

For the case that edge ideals I(G) of graphs G without isolated vertices are unmixed with the condition 2 height I(G) = n, the above questions are also true. If I(G) is Gorenstein, then it is a complete intersection by [6]. Hence  $I(G)^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay and Questions 0.3 and 0.4 are affirmative. On the other hand, it is proved in [7] that there is some face F in the simplicial complex  $\Delta_2$  corresponding to the polarization of the second symbolic power  $I(G)^{(2)}$  such that link $\Delta_2 F$  is not strongly connected, if I(G) is not a complete intersection. This implies that the polarization of  $I(G)^{(2)}$  does not satisfy Serre's condition  $(S_2)$ . By [17],  $I(G)^{(2)}$  does not satisfy Serre's condition  $(S_2)$ , either. It means that I(G) is a complete intersection if  $I(G)^{(2)}$  satisfies Serre's condition  $(S_2)$ . Hence Question 0.5 is also affirmative.

Now let us summarize the organization of the paper. In Section 1, we fix the terminology which we need later.

In Section 2 we consider quasi-Buchsbaum property, which is weaker than Cohen-Macaulay property. And we prove the following theorem as a main result in this section:

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex on [n] of dimension  $d-1 \geq 2$ . Let  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring. Suppose that  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is quasi-Buchsbaum over any field K. Then  $S/I_{\Delta}$  is Gorenstein.

Since Cohen-Macaulay property implies Serre's condition  $(S_2)$ , in Section 3 we give a criterion for  $I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$  to satisfy  $(S_2)$ , which is a generalization of [16, Theorem 2.3]; see Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3. As an application, we show that for Reisner's complex (a triangulation of the real projective plane)  $\Delta$ ,  $S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$  satisfies  $(S_2)$  but is *not* Cohen-Macaulay.

In Section 4 we consider the problem when  $I^{(2)} = I^2$  holds for a Stanley-Reisner ideal I, which is also a necessary condition for Cohen-Macaulayness of  $I^2$ . It is also discussed in [26]. We give a criterion for the second symbolic power to be equal to the ordinary power for Stanley-Reisner ideals in terms of the hypergraph of the generators; see Theorem 4.3. This generalizes a similar criterion for edge ideals. As an application, we show that the second powers of the edge ideals of finitely many disjoint union of pentagons are Cohen-Macaulay as in the second symbolic power case in [16].

In Section 5, we give examples of the complexes whose second powers of the Stanley-Reisner ideals are Cohen-Macaulay. More precisely, we prove the following theorem, which is a generalization of a two-dimensional complex in [26, Theorem 3.7 (iii)].

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $\Delta$  be a stellar subdivision of a non-acyclic complete intersection complex  $\Gamma$ . Then  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is Cohen–Macaulay.

#### 1. Preliminaries

In this section we recall several definitions and properties that we will use later. See also [3, 18, 20, 21].

1.1. **Stanley–Reisner ideals.** Let V = [n]. A nonempty subset  $\Delta$  of the power set  $2^V$  is called a *simplicial complex* on V if (i)  $F \in \Delta$ ,  $F' \subseteq F \Longrightarrow F' \in \Delta$  and (ii)  $\{v\} \in \Delta$  for all  $v \in V$ . An element  $F \in \Delta$  is called a *face* of  $\Delta$ . The dimension of F is defined by dim  $F = \sharp(F) - 1$ , where  $\sharp(F)$  denotes the cardinality of a set F. The dimension of  $\Delta$ , denoted by dim  $\Delta$ , is the maximum of the dimensions of all faces. A maximal face of  $\Delta$  is called a *facet* of  $\Delta$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  denote the set of all facets of  $\Delta$ .

In the following, let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex with dim  $\Delta = d-1$ , and let K be a field. Then  $\Delta$  is called *pure* if all the facets of  $\Delta$  have the same cardinality d. Put  $f_i(\Delta) = \sharp \{F \in \Delta : \dim F = i\}$  for each  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d-1$ . For each i,  $\tilde{H}_i(\Delta; K)$  (resp.  $\tilde{H}^i(\Delta; K)$ ) denotes the *i*th reduced simplicial homology (resp. cohomology) of  $\Delta$  with values in K. We omit the symbol K unless otherwise specified. The *reduced Euler characteristic* of  $\Delta$  is defined by

$$\widetilde{\chi}(\Delta) = -1 + \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} f_i(\Delta) = \sum_{i=-1}^{d-1} (-1)^i \dim_K \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta).$$

For each face  $F \in \Delta$ , the *star* and the *link* of F are defined by

$$\operatorname{star}_{\Delta} F = \{ H \in \Delta \ : \ H \cup F \in \Delta \}, \quad \operatorname{link}_{\Delta} F = \{ H \in \operatorname{star}_{\Delta} F \ : \ H \cap F = \emptyset \}.$$

Note that these are also simplicial complexes. Moreover, we note that for any subset  $W \subseteq V$ ,  $\Delta_W = \{F \in \Delta : F \subseteq W\}$  is also a subcomplex of  $\Delta$ . For any integer k with  $0 \le k \le d-1$ , the k-th skeleton of  $\Delta$  is defined by  $\Delta^{(k)} = \{F \in \Delta; \dim F \le k\}$ . Then  $\Delta^{(k)}$  is a subcomplex of  $\Delta$  with  $\dim \Delta^{(k)} = k$ .

The Stanley-Reisner ideal of  $\Delta$ , denoted by  $I_{\Delta}$ , is the squarefree monomial ideal of  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  generated by

$$\{x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_p} : 1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_p \le n, \ \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_p}\} \notin \Delta\},\$$

and  $K[\Delta] = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I_{\Delta}$  is called the *Stanley-Reisner ring* of  $\Delta$ . Note that the Krull dimension of  $K[\Delta]$  is equal to d. For any subset  $\sigma$  of V,  $x_{\sigma}$  denotes the squarefree monomial in  $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  with support  $\sigma$ .

For a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  on V, we put core  $V = \{x \in V : \operatorname{star}\{x\} \neq V\}$ . Moreover, we define the *core* of  $\Delta$  by core  $\Delta = \Delta_{\operatorname{core} V}$ .

For a given face F of  $\Delta$  with dim  $F \ge 1$  and a new vertex v, the stellar subdivision of  $\Delta$  on F is the simplicial complex  $\Delta_F$  on the vertex set  $V \cup \{v\}$  defined by

$$\Delta_F = (\Delta \setminus \{H \mid F \subseteq H \in \Delta\}) \cup \{H \cup \{v\} \mid H \in \Delta, F \not\subseteq H, F \cup H \in \Delta\}.$$

Notice that  $\Delta_F$  is homeomorphic to  $\Delta$ .



Let G be a graph, which means a finite graph without loops and multiple edges. Let V(G)(resp. E(G)) denote the set of vertices (resp. edges) of G. Put V(G) = [n]. Then the *edge ideal* of G, denoted by I(G), is a squarefree monomial ideal of  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  defined by

$$I(G) = (x_i x_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)).$$

For an arbitrary graph G, the simplicial complex  $\Delta(G)$  with  $I(G) = I_{\Delta(G)}$  is called the *complementary simplicial complex* of G.

Let G be a connected graph, and let p,q be two vertices of G. The *distance* between p and q, denoted by dist(p,q), is the minimal length of paths from p to q. The *diameter*, denoted by diam G,

is the maximal distance between two vertices of G. We set diam  $G = \infty$  if G is a disconnected graph.

Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex on V of dimension 1. Then  $\Delta$  can be regarded as a graph on V whose edge set is defined by  $E(\Delta) = \{F \in \Delta : \dim F = 1\}.$ 

1.2. Symbolic powers. Let I be a radical ideal of S. Let  $\operatorname{Min}_S(S/I) = \{P_1, \ldots, P_r\}$  be the set of the minimal prime ideals of I, and put  $W = S \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r P_i$ . Given an integer  $\ell \ge 1$ , the  $\ell$ th symbolic power of I is defined to be the ideal

$$I^{(\ell)} = I^{\ell} S_W \cap S = \bigcap_{i=1}^r P_i^{\ell} S_{P_i} \cap S.$$

In particular, if  $I = I_{\Delta}$  is the Stanley-Reisner ideal of  $\Delta$ , putting  $P_F = (x \in [n] \setminus F)$  for each facet F, then we have

$$I_{\Delta} = \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)} P_F$$

and hence

$$I_{\Delta}^{(\ell)} = \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)} P_F^{\ell}.$$

In general,  $I^{\ell} \subseteq I^{(\ell)}$  holds, but the other inclusion does not necessarily hold. For instance, if  $I = (x_1 x_2, x_2 x_3, x_3 x_1)$ , then

$$I^{(2)} = (x_1, x_2)^2 \cap (x_2, x_3)^2 \cap (x_1, x_3)^2 = I^2 + (x_1 x_2 x_3) \neq I^2.$$

Moreover, if I is a unmixed squarefree monomial ideal, then  $I^{(\ell)}$  is unmixed. Thus if  $S/I^{\ell}$  is Cohen-Macaulay (or Buchsbaum), then so is  $S/I^{(\ell)}$ .

1.3. Serre's condition. Let  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)S$ . Let I be a homogeneous ideal of S. For a positive integer k, S/I satisfies Serre's condition  $(S_k)$  if depth $(S/I)_P \ge \min\{\dim(S/I)_P, k\}$  for every  $P \in \operatorname{Spec} S/I$ .

A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is called *Cohen–Macaulay* (resp. Gorenstein, (FLC) etc.) if so is  $K[\Delta]$  over any field K. Moreover, if  $\Delta$  is (FLC), then  $\Delta$  is pure and  $link_{\Delta}(F)$  is Cohen-Macaulay for every nonempty face  $F \in \Delta$ .

A homogeneous K-algebra S/I is called *quasi-Buchsbaum* if  $\mathfrak{m}H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I) = 0$  for each  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, \dim S/I - 1$ . It is known that any quasi-Buchsbaum ring has (FLC) and the converse is also true for Stanley-Reisner rings.

1.4. Associated simplicial complex of monomial ideals. Let  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring with natural  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded structure. Let  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)S$  be the unique homogeneous maximal ideal of S. Let I be a monomial ideal of S, and let G(I) denote the minimal monomial generators of I. For each i, we put  $\rho_i = \max\{b_i : x^{\mathbf{b}} \in G(I)\}$ , where  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \ldots, b_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  and  $x^{\mathbf{b}} = x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n}$ . Then S/I can be considered as a  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded ring.

Let  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  be a vector. For any  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded S-module M,  $M_{\mathbf{a}}$  denotes the graded  $\mathbf{a}$ -component of M. We put  $G_{\mathbf{a}} = \{i \in [n] : a_i < 0\}$ . As  $\sqrt{I}$  is a squarefree monomial ideal, there exists a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  such that  $I_{\Delta} = \sqrt{I}$ . Then we define  $\Delta(I) = \Delta$ . Under this notation, a subcomplex  $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}(I)$  is defined by

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}(I) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \bullet \ F \cap G_{\mathbf{a}} = \emptyset. \\ F \in \Delta(I) \ : \ \bullet \ \text{For every} \ x^{\mathbf{b}} \in G(I), \ \text{there exists an} \ i \in [n] \setminus (F \cup G_{\mathbf{a}}) \\ \text{ such that} \ b_i > a_i. \end{array} \right\}.$$

This complex plays a key role in Takayama's formula for local cohomology modules of monomial ideals, which is known as Hochster's formula in the case of squarefree monomial ideals.

Let  $I = I_{\Delta}$  be a squarefree monomial ideal of S. Then  $I^{(\ell)}$  is a monomial ideal whose radical is equal to I. The following lemma enables us to compute  $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}(I^{(\ell)})$  easily.

**Lemma 1.1** (Minh and Trung [15]). Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal in S. Let  $\ell \geq 1$  be an integer and  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . Then we have

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}(I^{(\ell)}) = \langle F \in \mathcal{F}(I) : \sum_{i \notin F} a_i \le \ell - 1 \rangle.$$

1.5. Linkage. Let R be a Gorenstein ring, and I, J ideals of R. I and J said to be directly linked, denoted by  $I \sim J$ , if there exists a regular sequence  $\underline{z} = z_1, \ldots, z_h$  in  $I \cap J$  such that  $J = (\underline{z}) : I$  and  $I = (\underline{z}) : J$ .

Assume that I is Cohen-Macaulay ideal of height h and  $\underline{z} = z_1, \ldots, z_h$  is a regular sequence contained in I. If we set  $J = (\underline{z})$ : I, then  $I = (\underline{z})$ : J and thus  $I \sim J$ .

Moreover, I is said to be *linked* to J (or I lies in the linkage class of J) if there exists a sequence of ideals of direct links

$$I = I_0 \sim I_1 \sim \cdots \sim I_r = J.$$

One can easily see that  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation of ideals and any two complete intersection with the same height belongs to the same class. In particular, *I* is called *licci* if *I* lies in the linkage class of a complete intersection ideal. See e.g. [28] for more details.

## 2. QUASI-BUCHSBAUMNESS OF THE SECOND POWERS AND GORENSTEINNESS

In this section we consider quasi-Buchsbaum property of the second power of the Stanley-Reisner ideal  $I_{\Delta}$ . The main purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field K, and let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex on V = [n]. Suppose that  $d = \dim S/I_{\Delta} \ge 3$ . If  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is quasi-Buchsbaum for any field K then  $\Delta$  is Gorenstein.

We first prove the following lemma, which is closely related to the conjecture by Vasconcelos (see also [22, Conjecture 3.12]): Let R be a regular local ring and I a Cohen-Macaulay ideal of R. If I is syzygetic and  $I/I^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay, then I is a Gorenstein ideal. The following lemma easily follows from the classification theorems for simplicial complexes  $\Delta$  such that  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  are Cohen-Macaulay in one and two-dimensional cases. See [15, 26].

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex on V = [n], and let  $I_{\Delta} \subseteq S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  denote the Stanley-Reisner ideal of  $\Delta$ . If  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay for any field K, then  $\Delta$  is Gorenstein.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\Delta = \operatorname{core} \Delta$ . Let K be a field and fix it. Let F be a face of  $\Delta$  and put  $\Gamma = \operatorname{link}_{\Delta} F$ .

First note that  $S/I_{\Gamma}^2$  and  $S/I_{\Delta}$  are Cohen-Macaulay if so is  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$ . Indeed, since  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay and  $I_{\Delta} = \sqrt{I_{\Delta}^2}$ , we have that  $S/I_{\Delta}$  is Cohen-Macaulay; see e.g. [10]. On the other hand, by localizing at  $x_F = \prod_{i \in F} x_i$ , we get

$$I_{\Delta}S[x_F^{-1}] = (I_{\Gamma}, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})S[x_F^{-1}]$$

for some variables  $x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k}$ . Hence the assumption implies that  $(I_{\Gamma}, x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_k})^2$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal. This yields that  $I_{\Gamma}^2$  is also Cohen-Macaulay.

Suppose that dim  $\Gamma = 0$ . Then one can take a complete graph G such that  $I(G) = I_{\Gamma}$ . Since  $S/I(G)^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay, we have  $I(G)^{(2)} = I(G)^2$ . Hence G does not contain any triangle (e.g. see Corollary 4.5). Thus  $\sharp(V(\Gamma)) = \sharp(V(G)) \leq 2$ .

By the above argument,  $\Lambda = \lim_{\Lambda \to F} F$  is a locally complete intersection complex whenever  $\dim \Lambda = 1$ . Moreover, since  $S/I_{\Lambda}$  is Cohen-Macaulay and thus  $\Lambda$  is connected,  $\Lambda$  is an *n*-cycle

or an *n*-pointed path; see [25, Proposition 1.11]. On the other hand, since diam  $\Lambda \leq 2$  by [15, Theorem 2.3], we get  $n \leq 3$  if  $\Lambda$  is an *n*-pointed path. Hence  $\Lambda = \lim_{\Delta} F$  is Gorenstein.

Now suppose that  $K = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . By [20, Chapter II, Theorem 5.1],  $K[\Delta]$  is Gorenstein. Then we get  $\widetilde{\chi}(\Delta) = (-1)^{d-1}$ .

Let K be any field. Then  $\tilde{\chi}(\Delta) = (-1)^{d-1}$  because  $\tilde{\chi}(\Delta)$  does not depend on K. Therefore we conclude that  $\Delta$  is Gorenstein over K by [20, Chapter II, Theorem 5.1] again.  $\Box$ 

A complex  $\Delta$  is called a *locally Gorenstein* complex if  $link_{\Delta}\{x\}$  is Gorenstein for every vertex  $x \in V$ . Then the following corollary immediately follows from Lemma 2.2.

**Corollary 2.3.** If  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  has (FLC) for any field K, then  $\Delta$  is a locally Gorenstein complex.

*Proof.* The assumption implies that  $S/I_{\text{link}_{\Delta}\{x\}}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay for every vertex  $x \in V$ . Then  $\text{link}_{\Delta}\{x\}$  is Gorenstein by Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 2.4.** Suppose  $d \ge 2$ . If  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is quasi-Buchsbaum, then  $S/I_{\Delta}$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

*Proof.* By assumption that  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  has (FLC). Then  $S/I_{\Delta}$  has (FLC) by [10, Theorem 2.6] and thus it is Buchsbaum.

Now suppose that  $S/I_{\Delta}$  is not Cohen-Macaulay. Then there exists an *i* with  $0 \le i \le d-2$  such that  $H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I_{\Delta})_0 \cong \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta; K) \ne 0$ . Then we get the following commutative diagram (see [14])

$$\begin{array}{cccc} H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I^{2}_{\Delta})_{\mathbf{0}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{X}_{\mathbf{1}}} & H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I^{2}_{\Delta})_{\mathbf{e}_{1}} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ \widetilde{H}^{i}(\Delta_{\mathbf{0}}(I^{2}_{\Delta})) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H}^{i}(\Delta_{\mathbf{e}_{1}}(I^{2}_{\Delta})), \end{array}$$

where the bottom map is identity because  $\Delta_0(I^2) = \Delta_{e_1}(I^2) = \Delta$  by [24] and the vertical maps are isomorphism. This yields  $x_1 H^{i+1}_{\mathfrak{m}}(S/I^2_{\Delta}) \neq 0$ . But this contradicts the assumption.  $\Box$ 

Remark 2.5. We have an analogous result in the symbolic power case. Namely, if  $S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$  is quasi-Buchsbaum, then  $S/I_{\Delta}$  is Cohen-Macaulay. The proof is almost the same since we have  $\Delta_{\mathbf{0}}(I^{(2)}) = \Delta_{\mathbf{e}_1}(I^{(2)}) = \Delta$ .

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By assumption and Corollary 2.3, we have that  $\Delta$  is locally Gorenstein. Moreover,  $\Delta$  is Cohen-Macaulay by Lemma 2.4. Take any face F of  $\Delta$  with dim link<sub> $\Delta$ </sub> F = 1. As  $d \geq 3$ , link<sub> $\Delta$ </sub> F is given by some link of link<sub> $\Delta$ </sub> {x} for  $x \in F$ . Hence such a link<sub> $\Delta$ </sub> F is also Gorenstein. By a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we get the required assertion.

The Gorensteinness of  $S/I_{\Delta}$  does not necessarily imply the quasi-Buchsbaumness of  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$ .

We cannot replace the Cohen-Macaulayness of  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  with that of  $S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$  in Lemma 2.2 as the next example shows.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $k \ge 2$  be a given integer. Let I be the Stanley-Reisner ideal of the following simplicial complex  $\Delta$ , Then since diam  $\Delta \le 2$ ,  $S/I^{(2)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay by [15], but  $S/I^2$  is not. Moreover, S/I is not Gorenstein.



In Theorem 2.1, we cannot remove the assumption that  $\dim S/I_{\Delta} \geq 3$  as the next example shows.

**Example 2.7.** Put  $I_{\Delta} = (x_1 x_3, x_1 x_4, x_2 x_4)$ , the Stanley-Reisner ideal of the 4-pointed path  $\Delta$ . Then  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is Buchsbaum by [25, Example 2.9] and  $S/I_{\Delta}$  is Cohen-Macaulay but not Gorenstein of dimension 2.



The following question is valid in the case that char K = 2, but the other cases remain open.

**Question 2.8.** If  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay over a fixed field K, then is  $\Delta$  Gorenstein over K?

# 3. Cohen-Macaulayness versus $(S_2)$ for second symbolic powers

Throughout this section, let  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field K. Let  $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)S$  be the unique graded maximal ideal of S with natural graded structure.

In [24] it is proved that for any integer  $\ell \geq 3$  and for any simplicial complex  $\Delta$  on the vertex set  $V = [n], S/I_{\Delta}^{(\ell)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it satisfies Serre's condition (S<sub>2</sub>). So it is natural to ask the following question.

**Question 3.1.** Let I be the Stanley-Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  on V = [n]. Then  $S/I^{(2)}$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if  $S/I^{(2)}$  satisfies  $(S_2)$ ?

So the aim of this section is to give a criterion for  $S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$  to satisfy  $(S_2)$ . In order to do that, we prove the following theorem, which is a generalization of [15, Theorem 2.3]. Using this, we give a negative answer to the above question; see Example 3.4. Note that in the following Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 if we replace the condition that the diameter is less than or equal to 2 by the connectedness condition then we have the corresponding condition for the original Stanley-Reisner ring instead of the second symbolic power, e.g., depth  $S/I_{\Delta} \geq 2$  is equivalent to the connectedness of  $\Delta$  if dim  $\Delta \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\Delta$  be a simplicial complex with dim  $\Delta \geq 1$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) depth  $S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)} \geq 2$  (equivalently, depth $(S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)})_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 2$ ). (2) diam  $\Delta^{(1)} \leq 2$ , where  $\Delta^{(1)}$  denotes the 1-skeleton of  $\Delta$ .

*Proof.* Put  $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}} := \langle F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta) : \sum_{i \notin F} a_i \leq 1 \rangle$ .

(1)  $\implies$  (2) : For given  $r, s \in V = [n]$  (r < s), we show that  $\operatorname{dist}(r, s) \leq 2$  in  $\Delta^{(1)}$ . Put  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{e}_r + \mathbf{e}_s \in \mathbb{N}^n$ . Then  $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}} = \langle F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta) : r \in F \text{ or } s \in F \rangle$ . Since depth  $S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)} \geq 2$ , we have that  $\widetilde{H}_0(\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}) = 0$  and thus  $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$  is connected by Takayama's formula and Lemma 1.1. Hence there exists an  $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  such that  $r, s \in F$  or there exist  $F_r \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  and  $F_s \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  such that  $r \in F_r$ ,  $s \in F_s$  and  $F_r \cap F_s \neq \emptyset$ . In any case, we get dist $(r, s) \leq 2$ , as required.

 $(2) \implies (1)$ : Assume diam $\Delta^{(1)} \le 2$ . By Takayama's formula, it suffices to show that  $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$  is connected for any  $\mathbf{a} \in \{0, 1\}^n$  with  $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}} \neq \emptyset$ ; see also [16].

Case 1:  $\sharp(\operatorname{supp} \mathbf{a}) \leq 1$ .

Then  $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}} = \Delta$  is connected by assumption.

Case 2:  $\sharp(\operatorname{supp} \mathbf{a}) = 2$ .

We may assume that  $a_r = a_s = 1$  for some r < s. Then

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{a}} = \langle F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta) : r \in F \text{ or } s \in F \rangle.$$

Since diam  $\Delta^{(1)} \leq 2$ , we have that  $\{r, s\} \in \Delta$  or there exists a  $t \in V$  such that  $\{r, t\}, \{t, s\} \in \Delta$ . In the first case, if we choose a facet  $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  which contains  $\{r, s\}$ , then  $F \in \Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$  and  $r, s \in F$ . In the second case, if we choose facets  $F_1$ ,  $F_2$  such that  $\{r,t\} \in F_1$  and  $\{s,t\} \in F_2$ . Then  $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$  is connected because  $F_1, F_2 \in \Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$ .

Case 3:  $\sharp(\operatorname{supp} \mathbf{a}) > 3$ .

We may assume that  $\sharp(\mathcal{F}(\Delta_{\mathbf{a}})) \geq 2$ . Let  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_{\mathbf{a}})$ . By assumption,  $\sharp(F_i \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a})) \geq 2$  $\sharp(\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a})) - 1$  for each i = 1, 2. Then we get

$$\sharp(F_1 \cap F_2) \ge \sharp(F_1 \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a})) \cap (F_2 \cap \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a}))) \ge \sharp(\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{a})) - 2 \ge 1.$$

Hence  $\Delta_{\mathbf{a}}$  is connected.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $\Delta$  be a pure simplicial complex. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$  satisfies  $(S_2)$ . (2) diam $((\operatorname{link}_{\Delta} F)^{(1)}) \leq 2$  for any face  $F \in \Delta$  with dim  $\operatorname{link}_{\Delta} F \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2): Let F be a face of  $\Delta$  with dim link $\Delta F \ge 1$ . By assumption and localization, we obtain that  $S'/I_{link_{\Delta}(F)}^{(2)}$  satisfies  $(S_2)$ , where S' is a polynomial ring which corresponds to  $\Gamma = \text{link}_{\Delta}(F)$ . Then depth  $S'/I_{\Gamma}^{(2)} \geq 2$ . It follows from Theorem 3.2 that diam  $\Gamma^{(1)} \leq 2$ , as required.

 $(2) \implies (1)$ : The assumption (2) preserves under localization. Hence we may assume that  $S/I_{\text{link}_{\Delta}\{x\}}^{(2)}$  satisfies  $(S_2)$ . This implies that  $S/I_{\text{link}_{\Delta}\{x\}}$  also satisfies  $(S_2)$  by [10]. Hence  $(S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)})_x$ satisfies  $(S_2)$  for every variable x.

Let  $P \in \operatorname{Spec}(S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)})$  with  $\dim(S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)})_P \geq 2$ . If  $P \neq \mathfrak{m}$ , then there exists a variable x such that  $x \notin P$ . Then depth $(S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)})_P \ge 2$  by the above argument. Otherwise,  $P = \mathfrak{m}$ . Since diam  $\Delta^{(1)} \le 2$  by assumption, we have that depth $(S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)})_{\mathfrak{m}} \ge 2$  by Theorem 3.2. Therefore  $S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$  satisfies  $(S_2)$ .

The next example shows that the  $(S_2)$ -ness of  $I^{(2)}_{\Delta}$  does not necessarily imply its Cohen-Macaulayness.

**Example 3.4** (The triangulation of the real projective plane). Let  $I = I_{\Delta}$  be the Stanley-Reisner ideal of the triangulation of the real projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . Then  $I_{\Delta}$  is generated by the following monomials of degree 3:

 $x_1x_2x_3, x_1x_2x_5, x_1x_3x_6, x_1x_4x_5, x_1x_4x_6, x_2x_3x_4, x_2x_4x_6, x_2x_5x_6, x_3x_4x_5, x_3x_5x_6.$ 



Since  $\tilde{\chi}(\Delta) = -1 + f_0 - f_1 + f_2 = -1 + 6 - 15 + 10 = 0 \neq (-1)^2$ ,  $K[\Delta]$  is not Gorenstein for any field K. Moreover, Reisner proved that  $K[\Delta]$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if char  $K \neq 2$ .

The link of every vertex is a pentagon, and  $\Delta^{(1)}$  is the complete 6-graph. Hence it follows from Corollary 3.3 that  $S/I_{\Delta}^{(2)}$  has  $(S_2)$ . But it is not Cohen-Macaulay; see [16, Example 2.8].

One can easily see that  $x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5x_6 \in I_{\Delta}^{(2)} \setminus I_{\Delta}^2$ . Hence  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  does not satisfy  $(S_2)$ .

**Question 3.5.** Let I(G) be the edge ideal of a graph G. If  $S/I(G)^{(2)}$  satisfies  $(S_2)$ , then is it Cohen-Macaulay?

# 4. When does $I^{(2)} = I^2$ hold

In this section, we discuss when  $I^{(2)} = I^2$  holds for any squarefree monomial ideal I. First we introduce the notion of special triangles.

**Definition 4.1.** Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal of  $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Let  $G(I) = \{x^{H_1}, \ldots, x^{H_\mu}\}$  be the minimal set of monomial generators, where  $x^H = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r}$  for  $H = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}(I)$  is called the *associated hypergraph* of I if the vertex set of  $\mathcal{H}(I)$  is V and the edge set is  $\{H_1, \ldots, H_\mu\}$ .

Then  $\{i, j, k\}$  is called a *special triangle* of  $\mathcal{H}(I)$  if there exist  $H_i, H_j, H_k \in \mathcal{H}(I)$  such that

$$H_i \cap \{i, j, k\} = \{j, k\}, \qquad H_j \cap \{i, j, k\} = \{i, k\}, \qquad H_k \cap \{i, j, k\} = \{i, j\}$$

Then we say that " $H_i, H_j, H_k$  make a special triangle  $\{i, j, k\}$ ".

For instance, if G(I) contains  $x_1x_2L_1$ ,  $x_2x_3L_2$ ,  $x_3x_1L_3$   $(L_1, L_2, L_3)$  are monomials any of which is not divided by  $x_1, x_2$  nor  $x_3$ , then  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  is a special triangle.

Remark 4.2. A special cycle is considered in [9], and they prove that  $I^{(\ell)} = I^{\ell}$  hold for any  $\ell \geq 1$  if there exists no special odd cycle in  $\mathcal{H}(I)$ .

The following is the main theorem in this section.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal. Then the following conditions are equivalent: (1)  $I^{(2)} = I^2$  holds.

(2) If there exist  $\{H_1, H_2, H_3\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(I)$  such that  $H_1, H_2, H_3$  make a special triangle, then  $x^{H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3} x^{H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3} \in I^2$ .

Remark 4.4. If there exist no special triangles, then we have  $I^{(2)} = I^2$ . The converse is not true.

The following criterion is well known; see [19].

**Corollary 4.5.** Let I(G) denote the edge ideal of a graph G. Then  $I(G)^{(2)} = I(G)^2$  holds if and only if G has no triangles (the cycles of length 3).

In what follows, we prove the above theorem. First we prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.6.** Suppose that the condition (2) in Theorem 4.3 holds. Then  $xI \cap (I^2 : x) \subseteq I^2$  holds for every  $x \in V$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that there exist a variable  $x_1$  and a monomial M such that  $M \in x_1 I \cap (I^2 \colon x) \setminus I^2$ . As  $x_1 M \in I^2$ , we can take  $N_2$ ,  $N_3 \in G(I)$  and a monomial L such that

(4.1) 
$$x_1 M = N_2 N_3 L$$

On the other hand, as  $M \in x_1 I$ , we can choose  $N_1 \in G(I)$  and a monomial L' such that

$$(4.2) M = N_1 L' ext{ and } x_1 | L'.$$

Claim 1:  $x_1 | N_2, x_1 | N_3$  but  $x_1 \not| N_1$ .

As  $M \notin I^2$ ,  $x_1$  does not divide L. By Eqs.(4.1),(4.2),  $N_2N_3L$  is divided by  $x_1^2$ . Hence  $x_1$  divides both  $N_2$  and  $N_3$  because  $N_i$  is a squarefree monomial for i = 2, 3. By a similar reason, we have that  $N_1$  is not divided by  $x_1$ .

**Claim 2:**  $N_2 \neq N_3$  and  $gcd(N_2, N_3) | L'$ .

If  $N_2 = N_3$ , then  $x_1N_1L' = N_3^2L$  is divided by  $x_1N_1$  and thus  $N_3L$  is divided by  $x_1N_1$ . Then  $M = N_1N_2(N_3L/x_1N_1) \in I^2$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $N_2 \neq N_3$ .

Since  $x_1N_1L' = N_2N_3L$  is divided by  $gcd(N_2, N_3)^2$ , L' is divided by  $gcd(N_2, N_3)$  because  $x_1N_1$  is squarefree.

**Claim 3:** There exist variables  $x_2$ ,  $x_3$  such that

$$x_2 \mid \frac{N_3}{\gcd(N_2, N_3)}, \quad x_3 \mid \frac{N_2}{\gcd(N_2, N_3)}, \quad x_2, x_3 \mid N_1$$

Note that any variable which divides  $N_i$  for i = 2, 3 is a factor of  $N_1$  or L'. Since  $L' \notin I$ ,  $L'/\operatorname{gcd}(N_2, N_3)$  is not divided by  $N_3/\operatorname{gcd}(N_2, N_3)$ . Thus there exists a variable  $x_2$  such that  $x_2 \mid N_3/\operatorname{gcd}(N_2, N_3)$  and  $x_2 \mid N_1$ . The other statement follows from a similar argument.

Take  $H_i \in \mathcal{H}(I)$  such that  $x^{H_i} = N_i$  for each i = 1, 2, 3.

Claim 4:  $H_1, H_2, H_3$  make a special triangle  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ .

The assertion immediately follows from Claim 1 and Claim 3. By the Claim 4, we get a contradiction.

By assumption, we get

$$gcd(N_1, N_2, N_3)\sqrt{N_1N_2N_3} = x^{H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3} \cdot x^{H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3} \in I^2,$$

where  $\sqrt{N} = x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r}$  for a monomial  $N = x_{i_1}^{a_{i_1}} \cdots x_{i_r}^{a_{i_r}}$   $(a_{i_j} > 0)$ . Since  $N_1$  divides  $N_2 N_3 L$  and  $x_1 \mid N_2, N_3$ , we have

(4.3) 
$$\sqrt{N_1 N_2 N_3} \mid \frac{N_2 N_3 L}{x_1} = M.$$

On the other hand, since  $x_1 \not| \gcd(N_1, N_2, N_3)$ , we have

(4.4) 
$$\gcd(N_1, N_2, N_3)^2 \mid \frac{N_2 N_3}{x_1} \mid M.$$

Hence Eqs. (4.3), (4.4) imply

$$gcd(N_1, N_2, N_3)\sqrt{N_1N_2N_3} \mid M.$$

Therefore  $M \in I^2$ , which contradicts the choice of M.

Now suppose that  $I_x^{(2)} = I_x^2$  holds for every vertex  $x \in V$ . Then  $I^{(2)} = I^2$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{m} \notin \operatorname{Ass}(S/I^2)$ . Hence the following lemma is useful when we use an induction.

**Lemma 4.7** (See the proof of [22, Theorem 5.9]). Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal of S with  $\dim S/I \ge 1$ . Now suppose that  $xI \cap (I^2: x) \subseteq I^2$  for every variable x. Then  $\mathfrak{m} \notin \operatorname{Ass}_S(S/I^2)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $I^2$  and  $\mathfrak{m}$  are monomial ideals, it suffices to show  $I^2: M \neq \mathfrak{m}$  for every variable x and any monomial M.

Now suppose that  $I^2: M = \mathfrak{m}$  for some monomial  $M \notin I^2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{m}M \subseteq I^2 \subseteq I$  and depth S/I > 0, we have  $M \in I$ . So we may assume that  $M = x_1 \cdots x_k L$ , where  $N = x_1 \cdots x_k \in G(I)$  and L is a monomial. By assumption,  $x_k M = x_1(x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}x_k^2 L) \in I^2$ . Since I is generated by squarefree monomials, we then have  $x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}x_k^2 L \in I$  and hence  $x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}x_k L \in I$ . Hence  $M \in x_1 I \cap (I^2: x_1) \subseteq I^2$ . This is a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 4.3. First we show  $(2) \implies (1)$ . Suppose (2). Since this condition preserves under localization, we may assume that  $(I^{(2)})_x = (I^2)_x$  for any variable x by an induction on dim S/I. By the above two lemmata, we have  $\mathfrak{m} \notin \operatorname{Ass}_S(S/I^2)$ . Hence  $I^{(2)} = I^2$ , as required.

Next we show (1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2). Suppose that there exists a subset  $\{H_1, H_2, H_3\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(I)$  such that  $H_1, H_2, H_3$  make a special triangle and  $x^{H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3} x^{H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3} \notin I^2$ . Then it suffices to show  $I^2 \subseteq I^{(2)}$ .

Put  $H = H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3$ . Let  $I_H$  be the squarefree monomial ideal of  $K[x : x \in V \setminus H]$  such that  $I_HS + (x \in V \setminus H) = I + (x \in V \setminus H)$ . Let P be any minimal prime ideal of  $I_H$ . If height P = 1, then there exists a vertex  $j \in H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3$  such that  $P = (x_j)$ . Then  $M := x^{H_1 \cap H_2 \cap H_3} x^H \in (x_j^2) = P^2$ . If height  $P \geq 2$ , then P contains two variables  $x_i, x_j$  with  $i, j \in H$ . Then  $x^H \in P^2$  and hence  $M \in P^2$ . Therefore  $M \in I_H^{(2)}$  but  $M \notin I_H^2$  by the assumption that  $M \notin I^2$ .

Suppose  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Let  $\Gamma$  (resp.  $\Lambda$ ) be a simplicial complex on U (resp. V). Then the simplicial *join* of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$ , denoted by  $\Gamma * \Lambda$ , is defined by  $\Gamma * \Lambda = \{F \cup G : F \in \Lambda, G \in \Lambda\}$ . It is a simplicial complex on  $U \cup V$ .

The following corollary is probably well-known (and hence so is Corollary 4.9), but we give a proof as an application of Theorem 4.3.

**Corollary 4.8.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a simplicial complex on U and  $\Lambda$  a simplicial complex on V. Let  $\Delta = \Gamma * \Lambda$ denote the simplicial join of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\Delta$  is a simplicial complex on W = U [V]. Put R = K[U], S = K[V] and  $T = R \otimes_K S \cong K[W]$ . Then:

(1)  $I_{\Delta}^{(2)} = I_{\Delta}^2$  if and only if  $I_{\Gamma}^{(2)} = I_{\Gamma}^2$  and  $I_{\Lambda}^{(2)} = I_{\Lambda}^2$ . (2)  $T/I_{\Delta}^2$  is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if so do  $R/I_{\Gamma}^2$  and  $S/I_{\Lambda}^2$ .

*Proof.* (1) Note that  $I_{\Delta} = I_{\Gamma}T + I_{\Lambda}T$  and  $G(I_{\Delta})$  is a disjoint union of  $G(I_{\Gamma})$  and  $G(I_{\Lambda})$ . Thus it immediately follows from Theorem 4.3.

 $\square$ 

(2) It immediately follows from (1) and [16, Theorem 2.7].

A disjoint union of two graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , denoted by  $G_1 \coprod G_2$ , is the graph G which satisfies  $V(G) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  and  $E(G) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$ . Let  $G = G_1 \coprod G_r$  be a disjoint union of graphs  $G_1, \ldots, G_r$ , and let  $\Delta_i$  (resp.  $\Delta$ ) be the complementary simplicial complex of  $G_i$  for each  $i = 1, \ldots, r$  (resp. G). Then  $\Delta$  is equal to the simplicial join  $\Delta_1 * \cdots * \Delta_r$ .

**Corollary 4.9.** Let  $G = G_1 \coprod \ldots \coprod G_r$  be a disjoint union of graphs  $G_i$  for which  $I(G_i)^2$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal. Then  $I(G)^2$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal.

**Example 4.10.** Let  $G = G_1 [ ] \dots [ ] G_r$  be a disjoint union of the pentagons  $G_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Then  $I(G)^2$  is a Cohen–Macaulay ideal.

Proof. It follows that the second symbolic power of the edge ideal of the pentagon is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal.  $\square$ 

# 5. EXAMPLES OF STANLEY-REISNER IDEALS WHOSE SQUARE IS COHEN-MACAULAY

By Corollary 4.8 we know that there exists a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  with arbitrary high dimension such that  $I^2_{\Delta}$  is non-trivially Cohen-Macaulay. We now consider the following question.

**Question 5.1.** For a given integer  $d \ge 2$ , is there a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  with dim  $\Delta = d - 1$  such that  $S/I^2_{\Delta}$  is Cohen-Macaulay and such that  $\Delta$  cannot be expressed as the simplicial join of two non-empty complexes?

We give two families of examples as affirmative answers, using liaison theory. The following key proposition is due to Buchweitz [5]; see also Kustin and Miller [13]. Note that it gives a partial converse of Theorem 2.1.

**Proposition 5.2** (cf. [5, 6.2.11], [13, Proposition 7.1]). Let I be a Gorenstein homogeneous ideal in a polynomial ring S. Assume that there exist a homogeneous polynomial ring  $T = S[z_1, \ldots, z_r]$  $(\deg z_i = 1)$  and a homogeneous radical ideal L such that

- (a)  $S/I \cong T/(z_1, ..., z_r, L).$
- (b)  $z_1, \ldots, z_r$  is a regular sequence on T/L.
- (c) L is in the linkage class of a complete intersection in T.

Then  $S/I^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

*Proof.* Since  $S/I^2$  is isomorphic to the ring  $T/(z_1, \ldots, z_r, L^2)$ , it is enough to show that  $T/L^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be the unique homogeneous maximal ideal of T, and set  $R = \widehat{T_{\mathfrak{M}}}$ , the  $\mathfrak{M}$ -adic completion of  $T_{\mathfrak{M}}$ . As R/LR is a radical Gorenstein ideal, we can conclude that  $LR/(LR)^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay, and thus  $R/(LR)^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay by [13, Proposition 7.1]. It follows from Matijevic-Roberts theorem that  $T/L^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay, as required.

It is well-known that any Gorenstein ideal of codimension 3 lies in the linkage class of a complete intersection; see [4, 31] or [28, Theorem 4.15]. Thus we can obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.3.** Let  $I_{\Delta} \subseteq S$  be a Gorenstein Stanley-Reisner ideal of codimension 3. Then  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

In the rest of this section we prove the second power of the Stanley-Reisner ideal of a stellar subdivision of any non-acyclic complete intersection complex is Cohen-Macaulay. In what follows, as vertices of simplicial complexes we use indeterminates instead of natural numbers for convenience. Let  $\Gamma$  be a non-acyclic complete intersection simplicial complex whose Stanley-Reisner ideal is

 $I_{\Gamma} = (x_{11}x_{12}\cdots x_{1i_1}, x_{21}x_{22}\cdots x_{2i_2}, \dots, x_{\mu 1}x_{\mu 2}\cdots x_{\mu i_{\mu}}).$ 

Let  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$  be the set of all facets of  $\Gamma$ . Then

$$\mathcal{F}(\Gamma) = \{ \{x_{11}, \dots, \widehat{x_{1k_1}}, \dots, x_{1i_1}, x_{21}, \dots, \widehat{x_{2k_2}}, \dots, x_{2i_2}, \dots, x_{2i_2}, \dots, x_{\mu_1, \dots, \widehat{x_{\mu k_{\mu}}}}, \dots, x_{\mu i_{\mu}} \} \\ | 1 \le k_1 \le i_1, 1 \le k_2 \le i_2, \dots, 1 \le k_{\mu} \le i_{\mu} \}.$$

Let  $\Delta$  be the *stellar subdivision* of  $\Gamma$  on

$$F = \{x_{11}, \dots, x_{1j_1}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{2j_2}, \dots, x_{p1}, \dots, x_{pj_p}\},\$$

where  $1 \le p \le \mu$  and  $1 \le j_1 < i_1, \dots, 1 \le j_p < i_p$  and  $j_1 + \dots + j_p \ge 2$ . Let v be the new added vertex. Then

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}(\Delta) &= \{ \begin{array}{cc} G \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma) \mid G \not\supseteq F \end{array} \} \cup \{\{v\} \cup G \setminus \{w\} \mid G \supset F, w \in F\} \\ &= \{ \begin{array}{cc} \{x_{11}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{1k_1}}, \ldots, x_{1i_1}, x_{21}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{2k_2}}, \ldots, x_{2i_2}, \ldots, \\ x_{\mu 1}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{\mu k_{\mu}}}, \ldots, x_{\mu i_{\mu}} \} \\ &\mid 1 \leq k_1 \leq i_1, 1 \leq k_2 \leq i_2, \ldots, 1 \leq k_{\mu} \leq i_{\mu} \\ & \text{with } 1 \leq k_1 \leq j_1 \text{ or } 1 \leq k_2 \leq j_2 \text{ or } \ldots \text{ or } 1 \leq k_p \leq j_p \} \\ \cup \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \{v, x_{11}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{1k_1}}, \ldots, x_{1i_1}, x_{21}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{2k_2}}, \ldots, x_{2i_2}, \ldots, \\ x_{\mu 1}, \ldots, \widehat{x_{\mu k_{\mu}}}, \ldots, x_{\mu i_{\mu}} \} \setminus \{w\} \\ &\mid j_1 + 1 \leq k_1 \leq i_1, j_2 + 1 \leq k_2 \leq i_2, \ldots, j_p + 1 \leq k_p \leq i_p \\ 1 \leq k_{p+1} \leq i_{p+1}, \ldots, 1 \leq k_{\mu} \leq i_{\mu}, w \in F \} \end{split} \right. \end{split}$$

and

$$I_{\Delta} = (I_{\Gamma}, x_F, vx_{1j_1+1} \cdots x_{1i_1}, vx_{2j_2+1} \cdots x_{2i_2}, \dots, vx_{pj_p+1} \cdots x_{pi_p})$$

is an ideal of a polynomial ring

$$S = k[x_{11}, \dots, x_{1i_1}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{2i_2}, \dots, x_{\mu 1}, \dots, x_{\mu i_{\mu}}, v].$$

Applying Proposition 5.2 to this ideal  $I = I_{\Delta}$ , we obtain the following theorem. It is proved the two-dimensional case in [26].

**Theorem 5.4.** Let  $\Delta = \Gamma_F$  be the stellar subdivision of the non-acyclic complete intersection complex  $\Gamma$  as above. Then  $S/I^2_{\Delta}$  is Cohen–Macaulay.

*Proof.* Consider the variables  $\underline{z} = z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_N$ , where  $N = j_1 + \cdots + j_p - 1$  and put  $Z = z_1 \cdots z_N$ . Moreover, we set

and

$$L = (I_{\Gamma}, vY_1, \dots, vY_p, vZ - x_F) \subseteq T = S[\underline{z}].$$

Then  $I_{\Gamma} = (X_1 Y_1, \ldots, X_p Y_p, Y_{p+1}, \ldots, Y_{\mu}), I_{\Delta} = (I_{\Gamma}, x_F, vY_1, \ldots, vY_p)$  and  $S/I_{\Delta}$  is isomorphic to  $T/(\underline{z}, L)$ .

In what follows, we show that L lies in the linkage class of a complete intersection (i.e., licci). Firstly, we can easily prove the following equality:

(5.1) 
$$(I_{\Gamma}, Z): (Y_1, \dots, Y_{\mu}, Z) = (I_{\Gamma}, Z, x_F).$$

Secondly we show the following equality:

$$(5.2) L = (I_{\Gamma}, vZ - x_F) \colon (I_{\Gamma}, Z, x_F).$$

To end this, it is enough to show the right-hand side is contained in L. Let  $\alpha \in (I_{\Gamma}, vZ - x_F)$ :  $(I_{\Gamma}, Z, x_F)$ . Then there exists a  $\beta \in T$  such that  $\alpha Z - \beta(vZ - x_F) \in I_{\Gamma}$ . Then  $\beta \in (I_{\Gamma}, Z)$ :  $x_F = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_{\mu}, Z)$ . In particular, we can write  $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \gamma_i Y_i + \delta Z$  for some  $\gamma_i, \delta \in T$ . It follows that

$$Z\left[\alpha - \sum_{i=1}^{p} \gamma_i(vY_i) - \delta(vZ - x_F)\right] \in I_{\Gamma}.$$

As Z is a nonzero divisor on  $T/I_{\Gamma}T$ , we conclude that  $\alpha \in L$ .

In Equations (5.1), (5.2), both  $(I_{\Gamma}, Z)$  and  $(I_{\Gamma}, vZ - x_F)$  are complete intersection ideals of the same height  $\mu + 1$  as  $(Y_1, \ldots, Y_{\mu}, Z)$  or L. Hence L is licci.

In order to prove that  $S/I_{\Delta}^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay by Proposition 5.2, it is enough to show that  $\underline{z}$  is a regular sequence on T/L and that T/L is reduced. By the above proof, we have that L is licci and dim  $T/L = \dim T/(Y_1, \ldots, Y_{\mu}, Z)$ . In particular, L is Cohen-Macaulay and dim  $T/L = i_1 + \cdots + i_{\mu} - \mu + N$ .

On the other hand,

$$\dim T/(\underline{z},L) = \dim S/I_{\Delta} = \dim S/(I_{\Gamma},v) = i_1 + \dots + i_{\mu} - \mu = \dim T/L - N.$$

This implies that  $\underline{z}$  is a regular sequence on T/L. Moreover, as  $T/(\underline{z}, L)$  is reduced, so is T/L, as required.

Remark 5.5. The above Gorenstein ideals are obtained from the so-called Herzog ideals (see [8, 11, 12, 13]) and T/L is called the *Kustin-Miller unprojection ring* ([2]). Moreover, the assertion of Theorem 5.4 says that the quotient algebras of those ideals are strongly unobstructed.

**Example 5.6** (Cross Polytope). Let  $\mathbf{e}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{e}_d$  be the fundamental vectors of the *d*-dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then the convex hull  $\mathcal{P} = \text{CONV}(\{\pm \mathbf{e}_1, \pm \mathbf{e}_2, \ldots, \pm \mathbf{e}_d\})$  is called the *cross d*-polytope. Let  $\Gamma$  be the boundary complex of the cross *d*-polytope  $\mathcal{P}$ . Let  $W = \{x_1, \ldots, x_d, y_1, \ldots, y_d\}$ . For a sequence  $\mathbf{i} = [i_1, \ldots, i_m]$  with  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_m \leq d$ , we assign a subset of W

$$F_{\mathbf{i}} = \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_m}\} \cup \{y_j : j \in [d] \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}\}$$

Then  $\Gamma$  can be regarded as a simplicial complex on W such that

$$\mathcal{F}(\Gamma) = \{ F_{\mathbf{i}} : m = 0, 1, \dots, d, 1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_m \le d \},\$$

and it is a (d-1)-dimensional complete intersection complex with

$$I_{\Gamma} = (x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \dots, x_dy_d).$$

Let v be a new vertex, and choose a facet  $F_{[1,2,\ldots,d]} = \{x_1,\ldots,x_d\}$  of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the stellar subdivision of  $\Gamma$  on F. Then  $\Delta$  is a (d-1)-dimensional Gorenstein complex on  $V = W \cup \{v\}$  and its geometric realization of  $\Delta$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ . The above theorem says that the second power of

 $I = (x_1y_1, x_2y_2, \dots, x_dy_d, vy_1, \dots, vy_d, x_1x_2 \cdots x_d)$ 

is Cohen-Macaulay, but the third power is not if  $d \ge 2$  because the third power of the Stanley-Reisner ideal  $(x_1y_1, x_2y_2, vy_1, vy_2, x_1x_2)$  of a pentagon is not.

In the last of the paper, we give candidates of edge ideals I(G) for which  $S/I(G)^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay (but  $S/I(G)^3$  is not by [19]). For the case that n = 2 it is mentioned in [26, Theorem 3.7 (iv)].

**Conjecture 5.7.** Let G be a graph on the vertex set  $V = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{3n+2}\}$  with

 $I(G) = (x_1x_2, \{x_{3k-1}x_{3k}, x_{3k}x_{3k+1}, x_{3k+1}x_{3k+2}, x_{3k+2}x_{3k-2}\}_{k=1,2,\dots,n}, \{x_{3\ell-3}x_{3\ell}\}_{\ell=2,3,\dots,n}).$ Then  $S/I(G)^2$  is Cohen-Macaulay but  $S/I(G)^3$  is not.

Ackowledgement. We would like to thank the referee for his/her advice. Especially, Section 5 is arranged based on the referee's advice. Moreover, the second author was supported by JSPS 20540047. The third author was supported by JSPS 19340005.

#### References

- [1] R.Achilles and W.Vogel, Über vollständige Durchschnitte in lokalen Ringen, Math. Nachr. 89 (1979), 285–298.
- J. Böem and S. A. Papadakis, Stellar Subdivisions and Stanley-Reisner rings of Gorenstein complexes, preprint available from arXiv:0912.215v1 [math.AC].
- [3] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, Cohen-Macaulay rings, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [4] D. Buchsbaum and D. Eisenbud, Algebraic structures for finite free resolutions, and some structure theorems for ideals of codimension 3, Amer. J. Math. 99 (1977), 447–485.
- [5] R. Buchweitz, Contributions á la théorie des singularités, Thesis, University of Paris, 1981.
- [6] M. Crupi, G. Rinaldo and N. Terai, Cohen-Macaulay edge ideal whose height is half of the number of vertices, preprint 2008.
- [7] M. Crupi, G. Rinaldo, N. Terai, and K. Yoshida, Effective Cowsik-Nori theorem for edge ideals, Comm. Alg. 38 (2010), 3347–3357.
- [8] J. Herzog, Certain complexes associated to a sequence and a matrix, manuscripta math. 12 (1974), 217-248.
- J. Herzog, T. Hibi, N. V. Trung, and X. Zheng, Standard graded vertex cover algebras, cycles and leaves, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 291 (2008), 6231–6249.
- [10] J. Herzog, Y. Takayama and N. Terai, On the radical of a monomial ideal, Arch. Math. 85 (2005), 397-408.
- [11] C. Huneke, Linkage and Koszul homology of ideals, Amer. J. Math. 104 (1982), 1043–1062.
- [12] A. R. Kustin and M. Miller, Multiplicative structure on resolutions of algebras defined by Herzog ideals, J. London Math. Soc. 28 (1983), 247–260.
- [13] A. R. Kustin and M. Miller, Deformation and linkage of Gorenstein algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 284 (1984), 501–534.
- [14] N. C. Minh and Y. Nakamura, Buchsbaum properties of symbolic powers of Stanley-Reisner ideals of dimension one, preprint, 2009.
- [15] N. C. Minh and N. V. Trung, Cohen-Macaulayness of powers of two-dimensional squarefree monomial ideals, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 4219–4227.
- [16] N. C. Minh and N. V. Trung, Cohen-Macaulayness of monomial ideals and symbolic powers of Stanley-Reisner ideals, preprint available from arXiv:1003.2152v1 [math.AC].
- [17] S. Murai and N. Terai, H-vectors of simplicial complexes with Serre's conditions, Math. Res. Lett. 16 (2009), 1015–1028.
- [18] H. Matsumura, Commutative ring theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1986.
- [19] G. Rinaldo, N. Terai, and K. Yoshida, Cohen–Macaulayness for symbolic power ideals of edge ideals, submitted.

- [20] R. P. Stanley, Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra, Second Edition, Birkhäuser, Boston/Basel/ Stuttgart, 1996.
- [21] J.Stückrad and W.Vogel, Buchsbaum rings and applications, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg/New York, 1986.
- [22] A. Simis, W. V. Vasconcelos and R. H. Villarreal, On the ideal theory of graphs, J. Algebra. 167 (1994), 389–416.
- [23] Y. Takayama, Combinatorial characterization of generalized Cohen-Macaulay monomial ideals, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.) 48 (2005), 327–344.
- [24] N. Terai and N. V. Trung, Cohen-Macaulayness of large powers of Stanley-Reisner ideals, preprint available from arXiv:1009.0833v1 [math.AC].
- [25] N. Terai and K. Yoshida, Locally complete intersection Stanley-Reisner ideals, Illinois J. Math. 53 (2009), 413–429.
- [26] N. V. Trung and T. M. Tuan, Equality of ordinary and symbolic powers of Stanley-Reisner ideals, preprint available from arXiv:1009.0828v1 [math.AC].
- [27] M. Varbaro, Symbolic powers and matroids, preprint, 2010.
- [28] W. V. Vasconcelos, Arithmetic of Blowup Algebras, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [29] R.H. Villarreal, Monomial Algebras, Pure and applied mathematics, Marcel Dekker, New York/Basel, 2001.
- [30] R. Waldi, Vollständige Durchschnitte in Cohen-Macaulay-Ringen, Arch. Math. (Basel) 31 (1978/1979), 439– 442.
- [31] J. Watanabe, A note on Gorenstein rings of embedding codimension three, Nagoya Math. J. 50 (1973), 227–232.

(Giancarlo Rinaldo) Dipartimento di Matematica, Universita' di Messina, Salita Sperone, 31. S. Agata, Messina 98166, Italy

*E-mail address*: rinaldo@dipmat.unime.it

(Naoki Terai) Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Culture and Education, Saga University, Saga 840–8502, Japan

E-mail address: terai@cc.saga-u.ac.jp

(Ken-ichi Yoshida) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, NAGOYA 464-8602, JAPAN *E-mail address*: yoshida@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp