

Biological Circuits: Analysis of a Biological Oscillator

Georgios I. Tsormpatzoglou
Undergraduate Student
Democritus University of Thrace
Dep. of Electrical and Computer Engineering
67100 Xanthi, Greece.
e-mail: gtsorb@gmail.com

Abstract—The simple circadian oscillator found in cyanobacteria can be reconstituted in vitro using three proteins—KaiA, KaiB and KaiC. The total phosphorylation level of KaiC oscillates with a circadian period. Recent experiments elucidated the structure and function of the cyanobacterial circadian oscillator, which is driven by sunlight intensity variation and therefore by Earth’s rotation. In this paper, we derive the oscillator’s equation of motion directly from experimental data, couple it with Earth’s rotation and compute its natural periods and resonance curve. Finally, we propose the use of a quantum circuit that is capable of describing the function of the oscillator.

Index Terms—cyanobacteria, circadian oscillator, resonance, Earth’s rotation, biological circuit, quantum circuit.

I. INTRODUCTION

Earth’s rotation generates a periodically fluctuating environment in which organisms have to survive and evolve. Several species developed molecular time-keeping mechanisms, called circadian oscillators or clocks, to anticipate this environmental fluctuation and coordinate metabolism and gene expression [1]. The metabolism of autophototrophic cyanobacteria is greatly dependent on the alteration of day and night and they are the simplest organisms that developed an internal circadian oscillator [2].

The Moon formed about 4.5 billion years ago. Since then, Earth’s rotational speed decreases with time because of tidal friction [3]. Several organisms such as corals, bivalves and cephalopods record in their skeletons the astronomical cycles of the Sun-Earth-Moon system and from these records the number of days per month and the number of months in a year can be obtained as functions of time [4]. Fossil record data and astronomic calculations show that the length of the terrestrial day increases about 20 milliseconds every one thousand years [5].

Cyanobacteria appeared about 3.5 billion years ago and their circadian oscillator has been driven by day-night alterations the period of which has varied from about 6 to 24 hours. Every oscillator whether it is mechanical, electrical, molecular or quantum, has a natural frequency, which corresponds to a natural period. If the oscillator is not simple, it may have more than one natural periods. In the experiments of Rust *et al.* [6] the structure and function of the cyanobacterial oscillator was studied in vitro with ATP (Adenosine-5’-triphosphate) in excess at all times, which corresponds to oscillations under

constant illumination conditions throughout the duration of the experiments [7]. It is therefore possible that the information about the natural period(s) of the cyanobacterial oscillator is hidden in these experimental data. Furthermore, since this oscillator is driven by a periodic cause (Earth’s rotation), the period of which varies with time, it would be interesting to compute the resonance curve of the “cyanobacterial oscillator-rotating Earth” system and associate it with geological time and events.

II. A THREE-PROTEIN CIRCADIAN OSCILLATOR

Cyanobacteria are the simplest organisms that developed an internal circadian oscillator. The cyanobacterial circadian oscillator comprises three proteins: KaiA, KaiB and KaiC [8]. When these proteins are mixed with ATP in a test tube they generate robust and sustained oscillations, which are expressed as the oscillation of the total amount of phosphorylated KaiC [9]. Oscillations of phosphorylation are similar to the organisms’ natural circadian rhythm of about 24 hours. These circadian oscillations stem from the ordered phosphorylation of KaiC at the Serine-431 and Threonine-432 sites [6]. The oscillations arise from the slow, orderly addition and then subtraction of two phosphates from the KaiC protein. The addition and removal of phosphate can alter a protein’s function, and if the protein is part of a network of interacting factors, its phosphorylation status may relay information that impinges on some cell behavior.

KaiC is phosphorylated at two sites and in a particular order: first on a threonine residue, then on a serine. Subsequently, the threonine and then serine are dephosphorylated and the KaiC returns to an unphosphorylated state. The KaiA protein regulates these transitions by promoting autophosphorylation and inhibiting autodephosphorylation by KaiC (fig. 1). From now on, we will denote Unphosphorylated-KaiC as U-KaiC, Serine-phosphorylated KaiC as S-KaiC, Threonine-phosphorylated KaiC as T-KaiC and both Serine and Threonine-phosphorylated KaiC as ST-KaiC. The total concentration of phosphorylated KaiC will be denoted as TPh-KaiC.

A cycle of phosphorylations and dephosphorylations would not necessarily be expected to generate oscillations. What keeps the cyclic phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of




Fig. 1. **The cyanobacterial circadian oscillator.** Cyclic phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of the KaiC on serine (S) and threonine (T) becomes oscillatory through a double-negative-feedback loop (red) that toggles between two states with high or low concentration of free KaiA protein. KaiB is the third protein of the oscillator. Fig. from [10].

KaiC from settling into a static steady-state and allows it to oscillate? The key insight was the discovery by Rust *et al.* that S-KaiC binds stoichiometrically to both KaiA and KaiB. The formation of the KaiA-KaiB-KaiC complex prevents KaiA from activating KaiC phosphorylation. Thus, when S-KaiC concentration is high, KaiA is sequestered by S-KaiC and KaiB, and KaiC dephosphorylation dominates; when S-KaiC concentration is low, KaiA is released and KaiC phosphorylation is activated.

The stoichiometric inhibition of KaiA by S-KaiC allows the cyclic phosphorylation-dephosphorylation system to become an oscillator. This inhibition closes a feedback loop and makes KaiA and S-KaiC mutually antagonistic. KaiA is a negative regulator of S-KaiC, because it pushes the balance between S-KaiC and ST-KaiC toward the latter. Conversely, S-KaiC is a negative regulator of KaiA, because it sequesters KaiA (with the help of KaiB). This mutual antagonism, or double-negative-feedback loop, allows S-KaiC and KaiA to function as a bistable toggle switch with two alternative steady-states; oscillations could then arise from the successive flipping of the KaiA/S-KaiC switch between these two states. Starting with unphosphorylated KaiC and the KaiA/S-KaiC in its low-S-KaiC concentration state, phosphorylation will dominate and more and more ST-KaiC will build up. As the concentration of ST-KaiC increases, S-KaiC will increase too. The increase of S-KaiC will sequester more and more KaiA, which increases the rate of formation of S-KaiC. This then causes more sequestration of KaiA, and so on. Eventually dephosphorylation dominates, and the system is driven back to unphosphorylated




Fig. 2. **Phosphorylation of KaiC is cyclically ordered.** Decomposition of TPh-KaiC into its constituent phosphoforms. The percentage of U-KaiC (not shown) is equal to 100%. Measurements published at [6].

KaiC.

What, then, flips the KaiA/S-KaiC switch back to release KaiA and favor phosphorylation? With KaiA sequestered, S-KaiC formation cannot be maintained indefinitely; the rate of S-KaiC production from its immediate precursor ST-KaiC will eventually slow down as ST-KaiC becomes depleted. This effect is the equivalent of a slow negative-feedback loop—an increase in S-KaiC concentration decreases the amount of ST-KaiC, which decreases the rate of formation of S-KaiC. Thus, the circadian oscillator system can be thought of as a bistable switch, toggled first by a slow negative-feedback loop. This type of circuit can oscillate, as Rust *et al.* demonstrate through a simple differential equation model whose parameters are constrained by their experimental observations.

In fig. 2, measurements published by Rust *et al.* are shown. In order to interpret the measurements, we divided each period of the oscillator into four timezones. During timezone-a (green), U-KaiC is being phosphorylated to T-KaiC, thus TPh-KaiC concentration increases. By the end of timezone-a, the concentration of T-KaiC has reached its maximum. During timezone-b (blue), T-KaiC is being phosphorylated to ST-KaiC, thus T-KaiC concentration decreases and ST-KaiC concentration increases. During timezone-c (red), ST-KaiC is being dephosphorylated to S-KaiC, thus ST-KaiC concentration decreases and S-KaiC concentration increases. Since S-KaiC binds stoichiometrically with KaiA and KaiB, KaiA is sequestered and dephosphorylation dominates, causing TPh-KaiC to decrease. During timezone-d (grey), dephosphorylation dominates, causing the concentration of all phosphoforms of KaiC to decrease. As S-KaiC dephosphorylates, KaiA is being released and eventually phosphorylation will dominate again, initiating a new period of the oscillator.

III. RESONANCES OF THE “CYANOBACTERIAL OSCILLATOR - ROTATING EARTH” SYSTEM

With their experiments, Rust *et al.* revealed the details of the molecular cyanobacterial oscillator. The measurements of




Fig. 3. **Time variation of TPh-KaiC.** Measurements of Rust *et al.* (\diamond symbols) and time variation given by equation (1) (solid line). Fig. from [11].

Rust *et al.* of Tph-KaiC variation with time are shown in fig. 3.

Schmidt and Lipson developed a method to obtain the natural laws that describe dynamical systems directly from experimental data and without any previous knowledge about the physics or the structure of the system [12]. Based on their method they developed a software tool and used it to find the equations of motion for simple and complex oscillating systems. We used the method of Schmidt and Lipson to obtain the equation that gives the variation of TPh-KaiC as a function of time. This equation is:

$$C(t) = 35.2 + 4.12 \sin(0.472t + 1.45) - 18.7 \cos(0.235t + 2.77) - 0.171t \quad (1)$$

where $C(t)$ represents the time variation of TPh-KaiC. Fig. 3 also shows the variation of TPh-KaiC given by this equation, which reproduces the experimental results with a mean absolute error equal to 0.12. Eq. (1) comprises three terms and a constant. These terms are shown in fig. 4. As explained later on, the term $(-0.171t)$ describes the slow decay of the oscillator proteins. The term, $4.12 \sin(0.472t + 1.45)$ describes a simple oscillation with period equal to 13.312 hours and stems probably from the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of KaiC at the T site. The term, $18.7 \cos(0.235t + 2.77)$ is also a simple oscillation with period equal to 26.737 hours and stems probably from the phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of KaiC at the S site. Since in the experiment of Rust *et al.* the amounts of T-KaiC and ST-KaiC rise and peak almost simultaneously, the phosphorylation at T and S states increases with about the same rate and the larger period of this term probably arises from the slow dephosphorylation of S-KaiC, because of the negative feedback loop formed by S-KaiC, KaiB and KaiA. The experiment [6] was performed with KaiB in excess and it is therefore unknown what effect the limited availability of KaiB would have on the period of the third term. Eq. (1) suggests that since KaiB is the key component in the feedback loop, it is not entirely improbable that cyanobacteria




Fig. 4. **Terms of equation (1).** Term $[18.7 \cos(0.235t + 2.77)]$ with blue line 1, Term $[4.12 \sin(0.472t + 1.45)]$ with red line 2 and Term $[-0.171t]$ with green line 3. Fig. from [11].

are able to adjust their circadian rhythms, to some extent, by varying the concentration of KaiB.

For oscillating systems, the equation of motion is the equation that gives the second derivative with respect to time of the oscillating variable. The oscillating variable for the cyanobacterial circadian oscillator is the total amount of TPh-KaiC. The second derivative of eq. (1) corresponds to the acceleration with which the oscillator changes state and is:

$$\frac{d^2C(t)}{dt^2} = -\alpha_1\omega_1^2 \cos(\omega_1t + \phi_1) - \alpha_2\omega_2^2 \sin(\omega_2t + \phi_2) \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha_1=18.7$, $\omega_1=0.235$, $\phi_1=5.912$, $\alpha_2=4.12$, $\omega_2=0.472$, $\phi_2=1.45$. Setting:

$$x(t) = \cos(\omega_1t + \phi_1), y(t) = \sin(\omega_2t + \phi_2) \quad (3)$$

and $C(t) = \alpha_1x(t) + \alpha_2y(t)$

eq. (2) becomes:

$$\frac{d^2C(t)}{dt^2} = -\alpha_1\omega_1^2x - \alpha_2\omega_2^2y \quad (4)$$

where for simplicity the parentheses that indicate the time dependence of x and y were omitted. Eq. (4) is the equation of motion of the cyanobacterial circadian oscillator.

The fact that a term originating from $(-0.171t)$ does not appear in the equation of motion, means that it does not describe any part of the oscillator. In other words it is not a damping factor but it rather stems from the slow decay of the oscillator proteins. This term and the constant 35.2 are the integration constants, which arise when eq. (4) is integrated twice to give the time variation of the oscillating variable.

The circadian oscillation is not damped because it is sustained by continuous consumption of ATP. The linearity of the equation of motion indicates that the cyanobacterial oscillator comprises two simple independent oscillators, one with period equal to 13.312 hours and one with period equal to 26.737 hours. It is reasonable to assume that since cyanobacteria experienced a day length variation that ranged from about

6 hours to 24 hours, they developed first an oscillator with a smaller period and then as the day length increased they evolved this oscillator to cope with longer days. The equation of motion indicates that the present molecular oscillator mechanism incorporates the older one.

In another experiment, Rust *et al.* showed that the amount of phosphorylated KaiC depends on the ADP/(ATP+ADP) ratio (from now on denoted as R) [7]. In the case of R equal to 75%, the final amount of phosphorylated KaiC saturates at about 50%, in the case of R equal to 50% it saturates at about 62% and when only ATP is present phosphorylated KaiC saturates at about 75%. This experiment showed that the amplitude of the circadian oscillation depends on the ATP concentration which cyanobacteria synthesize using solar radiation energy. Therefore the energy that drives the oscillator comes from sunlight and solar light intensity plays the same role that mechanical forces play in mechanical oscillators.

Solar energy is not available during the whole length of a terrestrial day, because of Earth's rotation. It is not available at night and its intensity is small in the morning hours, peaks at noon and becomes small again in the evening hours. Therefore the cyanobacterial circadian oscillator is driven by an energy source that is periodic but not sinusoidal. Furthermore, the period of that source is not constant but varies with geological time.

ATP provides the energy that drives the cyanobacterial circadian oscillator. Cyanobacteria synthesize ATP using solar radiation. Solar radiation intensity is not constant but varies as the Earth rotates around its axis. Therefore the period of the driving cause of the oscillator is equal to the period of Earth's rotation. Solar engineers developed very effective models to compute the daily variation of solar radiation intensity. One of the simpler and most useful model describes the variation of solar energy as a rectified sinusoidal function [13]. The Fourier series expansion of this function is well-known. The amplitude of this periodic function is larger at places near the equator and smaller at places near the poles, but the period remains constant at all places. After that, the solar light radiation intensity is given by:

$$L(t) = \Phi(0.50 \sin(\omega t) - 0.21 \cos(2\omega t) - 0.04 \cos(4\omega t)) \quad (5)$$

where Φ is power per unit area and equals to $930W/m^2$. Φ is the solar radiation intensity on Earth's surface when the Sun is found at the zenith. It is also known as air mass 1 (AM1) solar constant. Cyanobacteria use a part of this solar energy to synthesize ATP and we assume that the rate of ATP synthesis is proportional to solar radiation intensity and call s the proportionality constant. The oscillator consumes ATP and the consumption rate is proportional to the rate at which the concentration of TPh-KaiC increases [14].

The amount of ATP that contributes to R which drives the oscillation is the difference between the ATP synthesis and consumption rates [7] and is given by:

$$A(t) = sL(t) - g \frac{dC(t)}{dt} \quad (6)$$




Fig. 5. **Resonance curve of the cyanobacterial circadian oscillator.** In the x-axis, the angular frequency of Earth's rotation, ω , has been replaced with the corresponding period ($\tau = 2\pi/\omega$), which is the day length in hours. Fig. from [11].

where $A(t)$ is the time variation of the aforementioned ATP amount, $(C(t) = \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y)$ is TPh-KaiC and g is the proportionality constant that relates ATP consumption to TPh-KaiC variation and is estimated to be $0.05mMoles/24h$ [14].

After that, the equation of motion of the cyanobacterial oscillator driven by Earth's rotation is:

$$\frac{d^2 C(t)}{dt^2} + \alpha_1 \omega_1^2 x + \alpha_2 \omega_2^2 y = sL(t) - g \frac{dC(t)}{dt} \quad (7)$$

in which the left hand side describes the time motion of the oscillating variable and the right hand side the cause that drives the oscillation.

Eq. (7) has the same form with the equation that describes a forced oscillation and taking into account the linearity of the equation, its resonance curve is given by:

$$p^2 = \left[\left(\frac{1}{0.5s} \right)^2 [(\omega^2 - \alpha_1 \omega_1^2)^2 + g^2 \omega^2] \right]^{-1} + \left[\left(\frac{1}{0.5s} \right)^2 [(\omega^2 - \alpha_2 \omega_2^2)^2 + g^2 \omega^2] \right]^{-1} + \left[\left(\frac{1}{0.21s} \right)^2 [((2\omega)^2 - \alpha_1 \omega_1^2)^2 + g^2 \omega^2] \right]^{-1} + \left[\left(\frac{1}{0.21s} \right)^2 [((2\omega)^2 - \alpha_2 \omega_2^2)^2 + g^2 \omega^2] \right]^{-1} + \left[\left(\frac{1}{0.04s} \right)^2 [((4\omega)^2 - \alpha_1 \omega_1^2)^2 + g^2 \omega^2] \right]^{-1} + \left[\left(\frac{1}{0.04s} \right)^2 [((4\omega)^2 - \alpha_2 \omega_2^2)^2 + g^2 \omega^2] \right]^{-1} \quad (8)$$

After that, we computed the resonance curve of the cyanobacterial circadian oscillator, which is shown in fig. 5.

There seem to be two resonance peaks for the system of "circadian oscillator-rotating Earth". During those resonance peaks, cyanobacteria exploit solar energy more efficiently. Oxygen production is a result of cyanobacteria metabolism.

Surprisingly, both the great oxidation, happened about 2.3 to 2.4 billion years ago when the day length was about 11 hours and Cambrian explosion, happened about 530 to 550 million year ago when the day length was 21 hours, seem to have occurred on about the onset of the two resonance peaks, when cyanobacteria were making increasingly more efficient use of solar energy. It is therefore possible that the “cyanobacterial oscillator-rotating Earth” system may be related to those events [11].

IV. MODELING THE BIOLOGICAL OSCILLATOR USING A QUANTUM CIRCUIT

Quantum physics and biology have long been regarded as unrelated disciplines, describing nature at the inanimate microlevel on the one hand and living species on the other hand. Over the past decades the life sciences have succeeded in providing ever more and refined explanations of macroscopic phenomena that were based on an improved understanding of molecular structures and mechanisms. Simultaneously, quantum physics, originally rooted in a world-view of quantum coherences, entanglement, and other non-classical effects, has been heading toward systems of increasing complexity [15].

In quantum information processing, the unit of information is the quantum bit or qubit. As its classical analog, the qubit can be in two basis states which are symbolized as $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. While a classical bit can only be 0 or 1, a qubit $|q\rangle$ can be in states $|0\rangle$ or $|1\rangle$, or in any linear combination of these two states, called superposition: $|q\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$, where α and β are complex numbers and are called probability amplitudes. When measuring the state of a qubit, which is in a superposition of basis states, the measurement outcome is $|0\rangle$ with probability $|\alpha|^2$ and $|1\rangle$ with probability $|\beta|^2$. Systems that comprise more than one qubits are called quantum registers and their state is the tensor product of the states of their qubits [16].

A qubit state is represented as a vector in the Bloch sphere and is usually written as:

$$|q\rangle = \cos \frac{\psi}{2} |0\rangle + e^{j\phi} \sin \frac{\psi}{2} |1\rangle \quad (9)$$

where ψ and ϕ are angles that determine the position of the qubit vector direction in the Bloch Sphere. The phase angle ϕ is related to quantum mechanical interference. Setting $\phi = 0$ and replacing $\psi/2$ with θ , the qubit state is written as:

$$|q\rangle = \cos \theta |0\rangle + \sin \theta |1\rangle \quad (10)$$

In order to model the cyanobacterial circadian oscillator, we propose the assignment of one qubit per KaiC phosphoform. Using the form of eq. (10) we can assign the basis state $|0\rangle$ to low-concentration ($\theta = 0$) and the basis state $|1\rangle$ to high-concentration ($\theta = \pi/2$).

Quantum gates are operators that act on one or more qubits and alter their states. Here, we will use one quantum gate that acts on two qubits and is called Controlled NOT (CNOT) gate. The CNOT gate in operator form is written as:

$$CNOT = |00\rangle\langle 00| + |01\rangle\langle 01| + |10\rangle\langle 11| + |11\rangle\langle 10| \quad (11)$$




Fig. 6. The symbol of the CNOT gate and its action on two qubits. $|c_i\rangle$ and $|c_o\rangle$ is the control qubit before and after the action of the gate. $|t_i\rangle$ and $|t_o\rangle$ is the target qubit before and after the action of the gate.

The CNOT gate acts on two qubits, the control c and the target t . Its symbol is shown in fig 6. The states of these two qubits before and after the action of the gate are $|c_i t_i\rangle$ and $|c_o t_o\rangle$ respectively. In matrix form, the gate action on the two qubits is:

$$\begin{aligned} |c_o t_o\rangle &= CNOT |c_i t_i\rangle = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_c \cdot \cos \theta_t \\ \cos \theta_c \cdot \sin \theta_t \\ \sin \theta_c \cdot \cos \theta_t \\ \sin \theta_c \cdot \sin \theta_t \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta_c \cdot \cos \theta_t \\ \cos \theta_c \cdot \sin \theta_t \\ \sin \theta_c \cdot \sin \theta_t \\ \sin \theta_c \cdot \cos \theta_t \end{bmatrix} \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

From eq. (12), we can observe that an increase in the control qubit angle (θ_c) causes a decrease in the target qubit angle (θ_t) and vice versa. This is exactly the mechanism that takes place during a circadian oscillation. For instance, we can say that the concentration of T-KaiC is controlled by U-KaiC. The decrease in U-KaiC concentration is followed by an increase in T-KaiC concentration. Therefore a CNOT gate can be used to describe the interaction between two different phosphoforms of KaiC that are represented as qubits.

The main challenges in the design of the quantum circuit that describes the cyanobacterial circadian oscillator are the following:

A. How can we incorporate the variable of time in the Quantum Circuit?

A possible solution would be the manipulation of the probability amplitude angle by introducing a new angle ω or including angle ϕ , which we previously set equal to 0, to the model.

B. How can we include a feedback loop in a Quantum Circuit?

The no-cloning theorem, stated by Wootters, Zurek, and Dieks in 1982, is a result of quantum mechanics that forbids the creation of identical copies of a quantum state, thus we are unable to create feedback loops for quantum circuits, similar to those found on classical circuits. However, unlike classical circuits, we can have the state of one system entangled with the state of another system. For instance, we can use the Hadamard and CNOT gate to entangle two qubits (fig. 7).




Fig. 7. **Entanglement of two qubits using Hadamard and CNOT gates.** Both qubits are initially at basis state $|0\rangle$. After the quantum gates act on the qubits, the system can either be in state $|00\rangle$ or $|11\rangle$ with probability $1/2$.

V. CONCLUSION

We started by discussing the simple circadian oscillator found in cyanobacteria from a *Biological* point of view. Then, we proceeded to study the “circadian oscillator-rotating Earth” system. Using principles of *Classical Mechanics*, we computed the resonance curve of the system directly from experimental data. Finally, we proposed the use of *Quantum Mechanics*, which being a superset of *Classical Mechanics*, are able to model the circadian oscillator using a quantum circuit. It is expected that the quantum circuit will shed light on the mechanisms of the biological oscillator that are hidden from the classical models, such as Rust’s *et al.* differential equation model.

In the present work, a convergence of academic fields can be noted. It is our strong belief that *interdisciplinarity*, should be a key qualification for the modern 21st century Engineer.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank his Diploma Thesis Supervisor, Professor Ioannis G. Karafyllidis, for introducing him Quantum Computing and Systems Biology as well as providing him with invaluable material, without which this paper would have not been possible.

REFERENCES

- [1] Imaizumi, T., Kay, S. A., Schroeder, J. I., 2007. Daily watch on metabolism. *Science* 318, 1730-1931.
- [2] Kondo, T., Ishiura M., 1999. The circadian clocks of plants and cyanobacteria. *Trends Plant Sci.* 4, 171-176.
- [3] Canup, R. M., Asphaug, E., 2001. Origin if the Moon in a giant impact near the end of Earths formation. *Nature* 412, 708-712.
- [4] Sonett, C. P. et al., 1996. Late Proterozoic and Paleozoic Tides, Retreat of the Moon, and Rotation of the Earth. *Science* 273, 100-104.
- [5] Sisterna, P. D., Vucetich, H., 1994. Cosmology, oscillating Physics and oscillating Biology. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 72, 454-457.
- [6] Rust, M. J., Markson, J. S., Lane, W. S., Fisher, D. S., OShea, E. K., 2007. Ordered phosphorylation governs oscillation of a three-protein circadian clock. *Science* 318, 809-812.
- [7] Rust, M. J., Golden, S. S., OShea, E. K., 2011. Light-driven changes in energy metabolism directly entrain the cyanobacterial circadian oscillator. *Science* 331, 220-223.
- [8] Nakajima, M. et al., 2005. Reconstitution of Circadian Oscillation of Cyanobacterial KaiC Phosphorylation in Vitro. *Science* 308, 414-415.
- [9] Nishiwaki, T. et al., 2007. A sequential program of dual phosphorylation of KaiC as a basis for circadian rhythm in cyanobacteria. *EMBO J.* 26, 4029-4037.
- [10] Andy C. Poon, James E. Ferrell Jr., 2007. A Clock with a Flip Switch. *Science* 308, 757-758.
- [11] I.G.Karafyllidis, 2012. Mechanics and Resonance of the Cyanobacterial Circadian Oscillator. *Biosystems*, in print.

- [12] Schmidt, M., Lipson, H., 2009. Distilling free-form natural laws from experimental data. *Science* 324, 81-85.
- [13] Goswami, D. Y., Kreith, F., Kreider, J. F., 2000 *Principles of Solar Engineering*, CRC, Philadelphia.
- [14] Terauchi, K. et al., 2007. ATPase activity of KaiC determines the basic timing for circadian clock of cyanobacteria. *PNAS* 104, 16377-16381.
- [15] Markus Arndt, Thomas Juffman, Vlatko Verdal, 2009. Quantum Physics meets biology. *HFSP Journal*, 386-400.
- [16] Nielsen M.A., Chuang I.L., 2000. *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [17] Juan Nogales, Steinn Gudmundsson, Eric M. Knight, Bernhard O. Palsson, Ines Thiele, 2011. Detailing the optimality of photosynthesis in cyanobacteria through Systems Biology analysis. *PNAS*, vol. 109, no. 7, 2678-2683.
- [18] William H. Miller, 2005. Quantum dynamics of complex molecular systems. *PNAS*, vol.102, no.19, 6660-6664.