

# Codes Satisfying the Chain Condition with a Poset Weights

Luciano Panek\*      Marcelo Firer†

## Abstract

In this paper we extend the concept of generalized Wei weights for poset-weight codes and show that all linear codes  $C$  satisfy the chain condition if support of  $C$  is a subposet totally ordered.

*Key words:* Poset codes, generalized Hamming weights, chain condition, total order.

## 1 Introduction

In 1987 Niederreiter ([3]) generalized the classical problem of coding theory: find a linear code over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of given length and dimension having the maximum possible minimum Hamming distance ([6]). Later, in 1995, Brualdi, Graves e Lawrence ([1]) extended the Niederreiter's generalization introducing the notion of poset-codes. They started the study of codes with a poset-weight, as we briefly introduce in the next paragraph.

Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a partially ordered finite set, abbreviated as *poset*, and assume  $P = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . An *ideal*  $I$  of  $P$  is a subset of  $P$  with the property that  $y \in I$  and  $x \leq y$ , implies that  $x \in I$ . Given  $A \subset P$ , we denote by  $\langle A \rangle$  the

---

\*Centro de Engenharias e Ciências Exatas, UNIOESTE, Av. Tarquínio Joslin do Santos, 1300, CEP 85870-650, Foz do Iguaçu, PR, Brazil. Email: lucpanek@gmail.com

†IMECC - UNICAMP, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Cx. Postal 6065, 13081-970 - Campinas - SP, Brazil. E-mail: mfirer@ime.unicamp.br

smallest ideal of  $P$  containing  $A$ . Given  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ , the *support* of  $x$  is the set

$$\text{supp}(x) = \{i \in P : x_i \neq 0\}.$$

We define the *poset-weight*  $w_P$  of  $x$  (also called *P-weight*), as the cardinality of the smallest ideal containing  $\text{supp}(x)$ , that is,

$$w_P(x) = |\langle \text{supp}(x) \rangle|.$$

The  $P$ -weight  $w_P$  induces a metric in the vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  defined by  $d_P(x, y) = w_P(x - y)$  ([1, Lemma 1.1]). If  $P$  is antichain, i.e.,  $x \leq y$  iff  $x = y$ , then the  $P$ -weight is usual Hamming weight  $w_H$ . An important family of poset weights (non-Hamming weights) which can be applied to concrete communication systems are Rosenbloom-Tsfasman weights (see [4], [5]).

Motivated by several applications in cryptography, Wei introduced in 1991 the concept of generalized Hamming weights ([7]). We extend here the concept of generalized Wei weights to poset-weights. Let  $P = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a partially ordered set. If  $D$  is a linear subspace of the linear code  $C$  we write  $D \leq C$ . When  $D$  is a proper subspace of  $C$  we write  $D < C$ . The *generalized Hamming P-weight*  $\|\cdot\|_P$  of a  $r$ -dimensional subspace  $D \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is defined as

$$\|D\|_P = \left| \bigcup_{x \in D} \langle \text{supp}(x) \rangle \right|.$$

The  $r$ -th *minimum Hamming P-weight* of a  $k$ -dimensional code  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  is

$$d_r(C) = \min \{ \|D\|_P : D \leq C, \dim(D) = r \}.$$

A  $k$ -dimensional code  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  with  $P$ -weights hierarchy  $(d_1(C), \dots, d_k(C))$  is called an  $[n; k; d_1(C), \dots, d_k(C)]_q$ -code.

Many new perfect codes have been found with such poset-metrics (see [2], for example). Motivated by this fact we investigated in this work the possibility of the existence of new codes satisfying the chain condition with the generalized  $P$ -weights. In the terminology of Wei and Yang ([8]), a  $k$ -dimensional code  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  satisfies the *chain condition* if there exists a sequence of linear subspaces (*maximal flag*)

$$D_1 < D_2 < \dots < D_{k-1} < D_k = C,$$

with  $\|D_r\|_P = d_r(C)$  and  $\dim(D_r) = r$  for all  $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . In the case that  $P$  is antichain ( $w_P = w_H$ ) the Hamming codes, dual Hamming codes, Reed-Muller codes for all orders, maximum-separable-distance codes and Golay codes satisfy the chain condition (see [8]). Moreover, every perfect code must be a code satisfying the chain condition (see [6]).

In this work we will show that any poset-code  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  with support totally ordered satisfies the chain condition. Moreover, the sequence of linear subspaces  $D_1 < D_2 < \dots < D_{k-1} < D_k = C$  that achieve the minimum Hamming  $P$ -weights is unique. It follows that if  $\|D_r\|_P = d_r(C)$  for all  $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , then  $D_1 < D_2 < \dots < D_{k-1} < D_k = C$ .

## 2 Codes Satisfying the Chain Condition

Before we show that any poset-code with support totally ordered satisfies the chain condition, we give an example in the case that  $P$  is a weak order and shows the monotonicity of the minimum poset-weights.

We denote by  $\text{span}X$  the linear subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  spanned by the set  $X \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ .

**Example 2.1** Let  $W = n_1\mathbf{1} \oplus \dots \oplus n_9\mathbf{1}$  the weak order given by the ordinal sum of the antichains  $n_1\mathbf{1}, \dots, n_9\mathbf{1}$  with 3 elements. Explicitly,  $W = n_1\mathbf{1} \oplus \dots \oplus n_9\mathbf{1}$  is the poset whose underlying set and order relation are given by

$$\{1, 2, \dots, 27\} = n_1\mathbf{1} \cup \dots \cup n_9\mathbf{1},$$

$$n_1\mathbf{1} = \{1, 2, 3\}, n_2\mathbf{1} = \{4, 5, 6\}, \dots, n_9\mathbf{1} = \{25, 26, 27\}$$

and  $x < y$  if and only if  $x \in n_i\mathbf{1}$ ,  $y \in n_j\mathbf{1}$  for some  $i, j$  with  $i < j$ .

If  $M_{9 \times 3}(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is the linear space of all  $9 \times 3$  matrices over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , we defined the poset-weight  $w_W$  of

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ a_4 & a_5 & a_6 \\ a_7 & a_8 & a_9 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{25} & a_{26} & a_{27} \end{pmatrix} \in M_{9 \times 3}(\mathbb{F}_2)$$

as  $w_W(x) = w_W(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_{25}, a_{26}, a_{27})$ .

In the Hamming space, the  $[27; 3; 3, 6, 9]_2$ -code

$$C = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

does not satisfy the chain condition. Now over the weak-metric space  $M_{9 \times 3}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ ,  $C$  is a  $[27; 3; 7, 19, 25]_2$ -code that satisfies the chain condition. We observed that

$$\text{supp}(C) = \{1, 4, 7, 11, 14, 17, 21, 24, 27\}$$

is totally ordered in weak order  $W$  (see Figure 1).




Figure 1: Weak order  $W = n_1 \mathbf{1} \oplus \dots \oplus n_9 \mathbf{1}$ .

As in [7], we have the monotonicity of the minimum poset-weights.

**Proposition 2.1** *For any  $[n; k; d_1(C), \dots, d_k(C)]_q$ -code  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  we have that*

$$1 \leq d_1(C) < d_2(C) < \dots < d_k(C) \leq n.$$

**Proof.** We observed initially that  $d_{r-1}(C) \leq d_r(C)$ . In fact, let  $D_{r-1}$  and  $D_r$  subcodes of  $C$  with dimensions  $r-1$  and  $r$  respectively such that  $\|D_{r-1}\|_P = d_{r-1}(C)$  and  $\|D_r\|_P = d_r(C)$ . If  $\|D_{r-1}\|_P > \|D_r\|_P$ , then for any subcode  $D'_{r-1} < D_r$  of dimension  $r-1$  we have that  $\|D'_{r-1}\|_P \leq \|D_r\|_P < \|D_{r-1}\|_P = d_{r-1}(C)$ . But this contradicts the minimality of  $d_{r-1}(C)$ . We claim that the inequality  $d_{r-1}(C) \leq d_r(C)$  is strict. Let  $D$  a subcode of  $C$  with dimension  $r$  such that  $\|D\|_P = d_r(C)$ . If  $i$  is a maximal element of  $\text{supp}(D)$ , then  $D_i := \{v \in D : v_i = 0\}$  is a subcode of  $C$  with dimension  $r-1$  such that

$$d_{r-1}(C) \leq \|D_i\|_P \leq \|D\|_P - 1 = d_r(C) - 1.$$

□

Since  $d_{r+1}(C) \geq d_r(C) + 1$  and  $d_k(C) \leq n$  we immediately get the generalized Singleton bound:

**Corollary 2.1** *For an  $[n; k; d_1(C), \dots, d_k(C)]_q$ -code  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ ,*

$$r \leq d_r(C) \leq n - k + r.$$

Now we will show that any poset-code  $C$  with  $\text{supp}(C)$  totally ordered satisfies the chain condition.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $C$  be a code in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , endowed with a poset-weight  $w_P$ . If  $\text{supp}(C)$  is a subset of  $P$  totally ordered then  $C$  satisfies the chain condition.*

**Proof.** Since  $\text{supp}(C)$  is totally ordered, for every  $x, y \in C$  we have that  $\langle \text{supp}(x) \rangle$  and  $\langle \text{supp}(y) \rangle$  are contained one in the other. It follows that

$$\|D\|_P = \left| \bigcup_{x \in D} \langle \text{supp}(x) \rangle \right| = \max \{ |\langle \text{supp}(x) \rangle| : x \in D \},$$

so that for every  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  there is  $v_j \in C$  such that  $w_{RT}(v_j) = d_j(C)$ . The set  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  is linearly independent, because  $w_P(v_1) < \dots < w_P(v_k)$  (see Proposition 2.1) and  $\text{supp}(C)$  is totally ordered. Consequently

$$\dim(\text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\}) = j$$

and

$$\text{span}\{v_1\} < \text{span}\{v_1, v_2\} < \dots < \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} = C.$$

Since  $\|\text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\}\|_P = d_j(C)$  for every  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , we find that  $C$  satisfies the chain condition.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2** *If  $C \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ , then there is a unique maximal flag that achieve the generalized minimum Hamming  $P$ -weights if  $\text{supp}(C)$  is a subposet of  $P$  totally ordered.*

**Proof.** Let  $k = \dim(C)$ ,  $(d_1(C), d_2(C), \dots, d_k(C))$  the weights hierarchy of  $C$  and  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  the canonical base of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . Let  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m$  be the order in the  $\text{supp}(C)$  and  $D_1 \leq C$  an 1-dimensional subcode of  $C$  such that  $\|D_1\|_P = d_1(C)$ . We will prove that  $D_1$  is unique. In fact, let  $D'_1 \leq C$  be an 1-dimensional subcode of  $C$  such that  $\|D'_1\|_P = d_1(C)$  and  $D'_1 \cap D_1 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Then there are  $u \in D_1$  and  $v \in D'_1$  such that

$$u = \alpha_1 e_{i_1} + \dots + \alpha_{r-1} e_{i_{r-1}} + e_{i_r},$$

$$v = \beta_1 e_{i_1} + \dots + \beta_{r-1} e_{i_{r-1}} + e_{i_r},$$

with  $\alpha_j \neq \beta_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r-1\}$  and  $w_P(u) = w_P(v) = w_P(e_{i_r}) = d_1(C)$ . If

$$l = \max\{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r-1\} : \alpha_j \neq \beta_j\},$$

it follow that  $u + (q-1)v$  is a non zero vector of  $C$  such that

$$w_P(u + (q-1)v) = w_P(e_{i_l}) < d_1(C).$$

But this contradicts the minimality condition of the 1-th minimum Hamming  $P$ -weight of the code  $C$ . We conclude that  $D_1$  is the unique subcode of  $C$  that achieve the 1-th minimum Hamming  $P$ -weight of  $C$ .

The result follows now by induction on  $\dim(D_r) = r$ . Let  $D_1 < D_2 < \dots < D_{t-1} < C$ , with  $t-1 < k$ , be the sequence of linear subspaces that achieve the  $r$ -th minimum Hamming  $P$ -weights of the code  $C$  with  $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, t-1\}$ , assures by Theorem 2.1. Suppose that  $D_t$  and  $D'_t$  are  $t$ -dimensional subcodes of  $C$  containing  $D_{t-1}$  such that  $D_t \neq D'_t$  and  $\|D_t\|_P = \|D'_t\|_P = d_t(C)$ . Then there exist  $w \in D_t$  and  $z \in D'_t$  such that

$$w = \gamma_1 e_{i_1} + \dots + \gamma_{s-1} e_{i_{s-1}} + e_{i_s},$$

$$z = \eta_1 e_{i_1} + \dots + \eta_s e_{i_{s-1}} + e_{i_s},$$

with  $\gamma_j \neq \eta_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s-1\}$  and  $w_P(w) = w_P(z) = w_P(e_{i_s}) = d_t(C)$ . If

$$p = \max \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, s-1\} : \gamma_j \neq \eta_j\},$$

then  $x = w + (q-1)z$  is a non zero vector of  $C$  such that  $w_P(x) = w_P(e_{i_p}) < d_t(C)$  and  $x \notin D_{t-1}$ . Then, for every linearly independent subset  $\{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}\} \subset D_{t-1}$ , we find that  $\text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}, x\}$  is a  $t$ -dimensional subspace of  $C$  such that  $\|\text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_{t-1}, x\}\|_P \leq d_t(C) - 1$ , contradicting the minimality of  $d_t(C)$ .

By induction, the sequence of linear subspaces  $D_1 < D_2 < \dots < D_{k-1} < C$  that achieve the  $r$ -th minimum Hamming  $P$ -weights of code  $C$  is unique.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2** *Let  $C$  be a linear code in  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , endowed with a poset-weight  $w_P$ , such that  $\text{supp}(C)$  is totally ordered. If  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_{k-1}, D_k = C$  is a sequence of subspaces of  $C$  such that  $\|D_r\|_P = d_r(C)$  for all  $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and  $\dim(D_j) = j$ , then  $D_1 < D_2 < \dots < D_{k-1} < C$ .*

If  $P$  consists of finitely many disjoint union of  $m$ 's chains of lengths  $n$  and  $D$  is an 1-dimensional subspace of the linear space  $M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  of all  $n \times m$  matrices over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , then  $\|D\|_P$  become the Rosenbloom-Tsfasman weight  $w_{RT}$  ([4]), defined as follows: if  $(a_{ij}) \in M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , then

$$w_{RT}((a_{ij})) = \sum_{j=1}^m |\langle \text{supp}(a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{nj}) \rangle|$$

where

$$\langle \text{supp}(a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \dots, a_{nj}) \rangle = \{1, 2, \dots, i : i = \max \{a_{ij} \neq 0\}\}.$$

In the particular case of the Rosenbloom-Tsfasman spaces  $M_{n \times 1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , we have that:

**Corollary 2.3** *Every code  $C \leq M_{n \times 1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$  satisfies the chain condition.*

To conclude we presented a lower bound for the number of codes satisfying the chain condition.

**Proposition 2.2** *Let  $P = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  be a poset and suppose that  $P = P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_r$  is a partition of  $P$  into  $r$  chains. If  $\nu_i = |P_i|$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ , then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{\nu_i} \prod_{k=1}^j \frac{(q^{\nu_i} - q^{k-1})}{(q^j - q^{k-1})}$$

*is a lower bound for the number of codes satisfying the chain condition in the space  $(\mathbb{F}_q^n, d_P)$ . The number  $r$  is larger than or equal to the size of the largest antichain in  $P$ .*

**Proof.** For each subset  $P' \subseteq P$  we denote by  $[P']$  the subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  generated by the base  $\{e_i\}_{i \in P'}$ ,  $e_i$  the canonical vector of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ . So, if  $P = \bigcup_{i=1}^r P_i$  is a partition (disjoint union of sets) we have that  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is a direct sum

$$[P_1] \oplus \dots \oplus [P_r].$$

As  $P_i$  is a chain for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ , the Theorem 2.1 assures that all code  $C \leq [P_i]$  satisfies the chain condition. Therefore the number of  $j$ -dimensional codes of  $[P_i]$  satisfying the chain condition equals

$$\prod_{k=1}^j \frac{(q^{\nu_i} - q^{k-1})}{(q^j - q^{k-1})}$$

for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . This completes the proof of the Proposition. The Dilworth's Theorem assures that  $P$  can be partitioned into  $r$  chains if the largest antichain in the poset  $P$  has size  $r$ .  $\square$



## References

- [1] R. Brualdi, J. S. Graves and M. Lawrence, *Codes with a poset metric*, Discrete Math. 147 (1995) 57-72.
- [2] J. Y. Hyun and H. K. Kim, *The poset structures admitting the extended binary Hamming code to be a perfect code*, Discrete Math. 288 (2004) 37-47.
- [3] H. Niederreiter, *A combinatorial problem for vector spaces over finite fields*, Discrete Math. 96 (1991) 221-228.
- [4] M. Yu Rosenbloom and M. A. Tsfasman, *Codes for the  $m$ -metric*, Probl. Inf. Transm. 33 (1997) 45-52.
- [5] M. M. Skriganov, *Coding theory and uniform distributions*, St. Petesburg Math. J., vol. 13, n. 2, pp. 301-337, 2002.
- [6] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1977.
- [7] V. K. Wei, *Generalized Hamming Weights for Linear Code*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. **37**, n° 5, pp. 1412-1418, September 1991.
- [8] V. K. Wei and K. Yang, *On the Generalized Hamming Weights for Product Code*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. **39**, n° 5, pp. 1709-1713, September 1993.