

# An upper bound for the genus of a curve without points of small degree

Claudio Stirpe

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma 'Sapienza'  
Piazzale Aldo Moro 00185 Rome, Italy  
E-mail: stirpe@mat.uniroma1.it

February 2012

## Abstract

In this paper we prove that for any prime  $p$  there is a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that for any  $n > 0$  and for any  $p$ -power  $q$  there is a smooth, projective, absolutely irreducible curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of genus  $g \leq C_p q^n$  without points of degree smaller than  $n$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $X$  be a smooth, projective, absolutely irreducible curve over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and let  $K$  be the function field of  $X$ . For any integer  $n > 0$  let  $a_n$  denote the number of places of  $K$  of degree  $n$ . Then  $N_n = \sum_{d|n} da_d$  is the number of rational points over the constant field extension  $K\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ . The Weil inequality (see [12]) states that

$$|N_n - q^n - 1| \leq 2gq^{n/2},$$

where  $g$  is the genus of the curve. A search for curves with many points, motivated by applications in coding theory, showed that this bound is optimal when the genus  $g$  is small compared to  $q$  (see [3] for further details). When  $g$  is large compared to  $q$  sharper estimates hold (see for example [6] for an asymptotic result or also [11] Chapter V). A similar problem arises

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11G45; Secondary 11R37.  
*Key words and phrases*: Rational points, class field theory.

from finding curves without points of degree  $n$  when  $n$  is a positive integer. In particular when  $X$  has no points over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  then  $g \geq \frac{q^n - 1}{2q^{n/2}}$ . The genus 2 case was already considered in [7]. Moreover in a recent paper, E. Howe, K. Lauter and J. Top [5] show that the previous bound is not always sharp when  $n = 1$  and  $g = 3$  or  $4$ . In the same paper they cite an unpublished result of P. Clark and N. Elkies that states that for every fixed prime  $p$  there is a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that for any integer  $n > 0$ , there is a projective curve over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of genus  $g \leq C_p n p^n$  without places of degree smaller than  $n$ .

In this paper we prove that this bound is not optimal. In fact we prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For any prime  $p$  there is a constant  $C_p > 0$  such that for any  $n > 0$  and for any power  $q$  of  $p$  there is a projective curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of genus  $g \leq C_p q^n$  without points of degree strictly smaller than  $n$ .*

We show the existence of such curves by means of class field theory. The basic facts and definitions about this topic are showed in the next section. In the third section we generalize a result in [1] about the number of ray class field extensions with given conductor  $\mathfrak{m}$  and we prove some consequences concerning cyclic extensions. In section 4 the estimate of Theorem 1.1 is proved. A table of examples for  $q = 2$  and  $n < 20$  is given at the end of the paper.

## 2 Background and notation

Throughtout the paper we consider the function fields associated to the projective, non singular, geometrically irreducible curves over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of characteristic  $p$ .

The set of the places of the function field  $K$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_K$  and the set of divisors of  $K$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_K$ . The degree zero divisors are denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_K^0$ . We can associate to every element  $z \in K$  its principal divisor  $(z) \in \mathcal{D}_K^0$ . The set of principal divisors is denoted by  $Prin(K)$ . The number  $h_K = |\mathcal{D}_K^0 / Prin(K)|$  is finite and it is called the divisor class number of  $K$ .

We denote by  $J_K$  and  $C_K$  the idele group and the class group of  $K$  (see [9], Chapter 2).

In the sequel we use ray class fields for constructing curves. Let  $S$  be a finite non-empty set of places and let  $\mathfrak{m} = \sum n_P P$  be an effective divisor of the function field  $K$  with support disjoint from  $S$ . The  $S$ -congruence

subgroup modulo  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the subgroup of  $J_K$

$$J_S^{\mathfrak{m}} = \prod_{P \in S} \hat{K}_P^* \times \prod_{P \notin S} \hat{U}_P^{(n_P)}.$$

where  $\hat{K}_P^*$  is the completion of  $K$  at the place  $P$  and  $\hat{U}_P^{(n_P)}$  is the  $n_P$ -th unit group.

**Definition 2.1.** A ray class group is a subgroup  $C_S^{\mathfrak{m}}$  of  $C_K$  of the form

$$C_S^{\mathfrak{m}} = (K^* J_S^{\mathfrak{m}}) / K^*$$

where  $J_S^{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a  $S$ -congruence subgroup modulo  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

The index of  $C_S^{\mathfrak{m}}$  in  $C_K$  is finite and we denote by  $K_S^{\mathfrak{m}}$  the function field associated to the subgroup  $C_S^{\mathfrak{m}}$  by the Artin map (see [9], Chapter 2).

The following result resumes many useful formulas for computing the genus of a ray class field (see [2], Section 1).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $K$  be a function field over the constant field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of genus  $g_K$  and let  $h_K$  be the divisor class number of  $K$ . Let  $S$  be a place of degree  $d$  and  $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i P_i$  be an effective divisor of  $K$  where  $P_i$  are distinct places of degree  $n_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  such that  $S \notin \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{m})$  and  $k \geq 1$  is a non negative integer. Then the ray class field  $K_S^{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a function field over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . The degree  $[K_S^{\mathfrak{m}} : K]$  is equal to*

$$dh_K \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(q^{n_i} - 1)q^{(m_i-1)n_i}}{q - 1}.$$

The genus  $g_{K_S^{\mathfrak{m}}}$  of  $K_S^{\mathfrak{m}}$  is given by

$$(2.1) \quad g_{K_S^{\mathfrak{m}}} = 1 + \frac{h_K \prod_i (q^{n_i} - 1)}{2(q - 1)} (2g_K - 2 + \text{deg}(\mathfrak{m}) - \sum_i \frac{\text{deg}(P_i) q^{(m_i-1)n_i}}{q^{n_i} - 1}).$$

### 3 Ray class fields

Let  $h = h_K$  be the divisor class number of  $K$ . Then  $h$  is the degree of every maximal unramified abelian extension of  $K$  with constant field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . There are exactly  $h$  such extensions of  $K$  (see [1], Chapter 8). We denote them by  $K_1^0, \dots, K_h^0$ .

A similar result holds concerning also ramified extensions.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \sum_{i=1}^t m_i P_i$  be an effective divisor and let  $n_i$  be the degree of  $P_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . We set  $\mathfrak{m} = 0$  if  $t = 0$ . We set also  $d = \frac{h_K}{q-1} \prod_{i=1}^t (q^{n_i} - 1) q^{(m_i-1)n_i}$  if  $t > 0$  and  $d = h_K$  otherwise. Then there are exactly  $d$  abelian extensions of  $K$  of degree  $d$  with conductor  $\mathfrak{m}$  and constant field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

As before we denote such extensions by  $K_1^{\mathfrak{m}}, \dots, K_d^{\mathfrak{m}}$ . There is no conflict with the previous notation because the result concerning unramified extensions can be seen as a special case of the previous Theorem.

*Proof.* In order to apply the Artin reciprocity Theorem we construct suitable subgroups of the Class group  $C_K$ .

Let  $U_0$  be the subset of  $J_K$  given by

$$U_0 = \{(x_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}_K} \in J_K \mid x_P \in \hat{U}_P^* \text{ for all places } P \in \mathcal{P}_K\}$$

and let  $U_{\mathfrak{m}}$  be the subset of  $U_0$  given by

$$U_{\mathfrak{m}} = \{(x_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}_K} \in U_0 \mid x_P \equiv 1 \pmod{t_i^{m_i}} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, t\},$$

where  $t_i$  is a uniformizer parameter at  $P_i$ . As before we set  $U_{\mathfrak{m}} = U_0$  if  $\mathfrak{m} = 0$ . The field  $K^*$  is canonically embedded in  $J_K$  and we denote it again with  $K^*$  as in the previous Section. Let  $C_{\mathfrak{m}} = U_{\mathfrak{m}} / (K^* \cap U_{\mathfrak{m}})$  be the classes of  $U_{\mathfrak{m}}$  in  $C_K$ .

Let  $D_0$  be the subgroup of  $C_K$  of classes of ideles  $x = (x_P)_{P \in \mathcal{P}_K}$  such that the divisor

$$\text{Div}(x) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}_K} v_P(x_P) P$$

has degree 0. It is easy to check that  $D_0$  is well-defined and  $U_0 \subseteq D_0$ .

The following sequence is exact (see [1], Chapter 8):

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow C_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the map  $C_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is the degree of the divisor and it is surjective by the Schmidt Theorem (see [11], Chapter V). Let  $D$  be a divisor of degree 1. It is very easy to construct a class  $x \in J_K$  such that  $\text{Div}(x) = D$ . Let  $[x] \in C_K$  be the class of  $x$  in  $C_K$ . The subgroup generated by  $C_{\mathfrak{m}} \cup [x]$  in  $C_K$  has finite index  $d$ . Let  $a_1, \dots, a_d$  be the representatives of the cosets of  $C_{\mathfrak{m}}$  in  $D_0$ . Then the subgroups  $B_i$  of  $C_K$  generated by  $C_{\mathfrak{m}} \cup ([x] + a_i)$  are  $d$  distinct subgroups of  $C_K$  of index  $d$  such that the image onto  $\mathbb{Z}$  in (3.1) is surjective.

Let  $K_1^{\mathfrak{m}}, \dots, K_d^{\mathfrak{m}}$  be the function fields corresponding to the subgroups  $B_1, \dots, B_d$  by the Artin map. It is very easy to show that  $K_1^{\mathfrak{m}}, \dots, K_d^{\mathfrak{m}}$  are all the abelian extensions of  $K$  satisfying the hypothesis of the Theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** The proof of the previous Theorem shows that the extensions  $K_1^{\mathfrak{m}}, K_2^{\mathfrak{m}}, \dots, K_d^{\mathfrak{m}}$  of  $K$  are all contained in the constant field extension of degree  $d$  of any one of them, say  $K_1^{\mathfrak{m}}\mathbb{F}_{q^d}$ . In fact the compositum of function fields  $K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}K_j^{\mathfrak{m}}$  corresponds to the intersections  $B_{i,j} = B_i \cap B_j$  in  $C_K$  by the Artin reciprocity map for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . The image of the valuation of  $B_{i,j}$  by the degree map in (3.1) is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$  of finite index  $d'|d$ . In particular  $K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}K_j^{\mathfrak{m}} = K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}\mathbb{F}_{q^{d'}}$ .

**Remark 3.3.** When the quotient group  $D_0/C_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is cyclic we can say something more about the subextensions of  $K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}$  containing  $K$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . In fact let  $l$  be a divisor of  $d$ . Then there is only one subgroup  $G$  of  $D_0/C_{\mathfrak{m}}$  of index  $l$ . Let  $g_1, \dots, g_l$  be the cosets representatives of  $G$  in  $D_0/C_{\mathfrak{m}}$ . We denote by  $F_i$  the fields corresponding by the Artin reciprocity map to the subgroups  $G_i$  of  $C_K$  generated by  $G \cup ([x] + g_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, l$ . The field extensions  $F_i/K$  are all the abelian extensions of degree  $l$  unramified outside  $\mathfrak{m}$  with constant field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for  $i = 1, \dots, l$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be an effective divisor and  $d$  a positive integer as in the previous Theorem. Let  $P$  be a place of  $K$  and denote its degree by  $d'$ . Let  $l$  be the positive integer  $\gcd(d, d')$  and  $P_i|P$  be a place of  $K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}$  over  $P$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ . If  $D_0/C_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a cyclic group then  $f(P_i|P) = 1$  in at most  $l$  such extensions  $K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}/K$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that the place  $P$  is totally split in  $K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}/K$  for at least one  $i \leq d$ , otherwise the proof would be trivial. Then  $P$  is split in  $K_j^{\mathfrak{m}}/K$  for  $j \neq i$  if and only if  $P$  is totally split in the compositum  $K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}K_j^{\mathfrak{m}}/K$ . But  $K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}K_j^{\mathfrak{m}} = K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}\mathbb{F}_{q^a}$  for a suitable integer  $a|d$  by Remark 3.2. By the properties of the constant field extensions this is possible only when  $a|d'$  and so  $a|l$  and  $K_j^{\mathfrak{m}} \subseteq K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}\mathbb{F}_{q^l}$ .

It follows from the proof of the previous Theorem that

$$l \cdot ([x] + a_i) \subseteq B_j$$

and so  $l \cdot (a_i - a_j) \in C_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and the class of  $l \cdot a_j$  in the quotient group  $D_0/C_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is the class of  $l \cdot a_i$ . It is very easy to see that when  $D_0/C_{\mathfrak{m}}$  is a cyclic group there are at most  $l$  such classes  $a_j \in D_0/C_{\mathfrak{m}}$  and so there are at most  $l$  corresponding fields extensions by the previous Theorem.  $\square$

The previous Corollary can be generalized in the following result.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Assume the quotient group  $D_0/C_{\mathfrak{m}}$  be a cyclic group of order  $d$  as in Corollary 3.4. Let  $s$  be a prime dividing  $d$  and let  $t$  be the maximal*

power of  $s$  dividing  $d$ . Let  $F_i/K$  be the extensions of degree  $t$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$  as in Remark 3.3. Let  $P$  be a place of  $K$  of degree  $d'$  and  $P_i|P$  be a place of  $F_i$  over  $P$ . Let  $l$  be the  $\gcd(d', t)$  and let  $c \geq 0$  be the exponent such that  $\frac{t}{l} = s^c$ . Assume  $c \geq 1$ . Then for all integers  $j = 1, 2, \dots, c$ , the integer  $s^j$  divides  $f(P_i|P)$  in at least  $l(s^c - s^{j-1})$  such extensions  $F_i/K$ .

*Proof.* Let  $j'$  denote the number  $ls^{c-j+1}$  and  $E_1/K, \dots, E_{j'}/K$  be the extensions of  $K$  unramified outside  $\mathfrak{m}$  of degree  $j'$  over  $K$  by Corollary 3.4. If  $s^j \nmid f(P_i|P)$  for a certain  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$  then the Frobenius  $Frob(P)$  of  $P$  in  $F_i/K$  has order dividing  $s^{j-1}$ . Let  $E_{i'}/K$  be the only subfield of  $F_i$  of degree  $j'$  over  $K$  and let  $P_{i'}$  be the place under  $P_i$  in  $E_{i'}$ . Then  $Frob(P_{i'}) = Frob(P_i)^{j-1} = 1$  so  $f(P_{i'}|P) = 1$ . By Corollary 3.4 there are at most  $l$  such extensions  $E_{i'}/K$  such that  $f(P_{i'}|P) = 1$ , say,  $E_1/K, \dots, E_l/K$ . There are exactly  $s^{j-1}$  extensions  $F_i/K$  over each  $E_{i'}$  so  $s^j \nmid f(P_i|P)$  in at most  $ls^{j-1}$  extensions  $F_i/K$  and the Corollary follows.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** In the previous Corollary when  $j = c$  we obtain that  $\frac{t}{l}$  does not divide  $f(P_i|P)$  in at most  $\frac{t}{s}$  extensions  $F_i/K$ .

## 4 A refinement of the Clark-Elkies bound

When  $K$  is the rational function field  $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$ , we get as a Corollary a result similar to the one of Clark and Elkies cited in [5] (see [10] Section 4.1 for more details) but we can improve that result for large  $n$  by considering ray class field extensions of  $K$  with conductor given by a sum of different places.

In the sequel we denote by  $K$  the rational function field over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The number of places of degree  $t$  of  $K$  is denoted by  $a_t$  for any integer  $t > 0$ . It is very easy to check by induction that, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{d < n} a_d \leq q \cdot \frac{q^n}{n}.$$

The next Lemma shows that there are many function fields without places of small degree when we consider ray class field extensions of  $K$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  be two real positive constants (not depending on  $n$ ) with  $C_2 < 1$ . Let  $m > \log_q(n)$  be a prime number and let  $\alpha \leq a_m$  be a positive integer. Let  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_\alpha$  be distinct places of  $K$  of degree  $m$  and let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the divisor  $\sum_{i=1}^\alpha \mathfrak{q}_i$ . We set  $d = \frac{(q^m - 1)^\alpha}{q - 1}$ . Let  $K_1^\mathfrak{m}, \dots, K_d^\mathfrak{m}$  be the abelian extensions of degree  $d$  unramified outside  $\mathfrak{m}$  as in Theorem 3.1. Then there is a constant  $n_0$  such that when  $n > n_0$  and  $\alpha > C_1 \frac{n}{\log_q(n)}$*

then there are at least  $C_2 d$  function field extensions  $K_i^m$  of  $K$  such that the inertia index  $f(P_i|P)$  is greater than  $\frac{n}{\deg(P)}$  whenever  $P$  is a place of  $K$  of degree  $\deg(P) < \frac{n}{\log_q(n)}$  and  $P_i$  is a place of  $K_i^m$  over  $P$ .

In the proof we use a well-known Lemma and an easy consequence.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $s$  and  $m$  be odd prime numbers and let  $q$  be a prime power such that  $s \mid \frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$  but  $s \nmid q-1$ . Then  $s = 2am+1$  for a suitable integer  $a > 0$ . In particular  $s > 2m$ .*

**Corollary 4.3.** *There is a constant  $c_q > 0$  such that when  $m > c_q$  is a prime then there are at most  $m$  distinct primes dividing  $\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$  and these primes are all greater than  $2m$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 4.1.* Let  $i$  be an element in  $\{1, \dots, d\}$  such that  $K_i^m/K$  is a function field extension with  $f(P_i|P) < \frac{d}{\deg(P)}$  for at least one place  $P$  of  $K$  of degree smaller than  $\frac{n}{\log_q(n)}$ . We estimate the number of such extensions.

Let  $k$  be the integer  $\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$ . Let  $j$  be an integer in  $\{1, \dots, \alpha\}$  and let  $t$  be a power of the prime number  $s$  such that  $t$  divides  $k$ . Consider the subextensions of  $K_i^{q^j} \subseteq K_i^m$  totally ramified in  $\mathfrak{q}_j$  of degree  $t$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, \alpha\}$ . Let  $d'$  denote the degree of  $P$  and let  $P_{i,j}$  be the place of  $K_i^{q^j}$  under  $P_i$  with  $P_{i,j}|P$ . Let  $l$  be the integer  $\gcd(t, d')$ . It is easy to see, multiplying all the maximal prime power  $t$  dividing  $k$ , that if for every prime power divisor  $t$  of  $k$  the number  $\frac{t}{l}$  divides  $f(P_{i,j}|P)$  for at least one  $j \leq \alpha$  then

$$k \mid f(P_i|P) \gcd(k, d')$$

and so

$$f(P_i|P) \geq \frac{n}{d'},$$

because  $k > n$  and  $d' \geq \gcd(k, d')$ . It follows that if  $f(P_i|P) < \frac{d}{\deg(P)}$  then there is at least one prime power  $t$  dividing  $k$  such that  $\frac{t}{l} \nmid f(P_{i,j}|P)$  for all  $j = \{1, \dots, \alpha\}$ . For this reason, given a prime power  $t$  dividing  $k$ , it will be enough to estimate only the number of extensions  $K_i^m/K$  such that  $\frac{t}{l} \nmid f(P_{i,j}|P)$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, \alpha$ .

The extensions  $K_i^{q^j}/K$  are cyclic for  $j \in \{1, \dots, \alpha\}$ . By Remark 3.6 there are at most  $\frac{t}{s}$  distinct extensions  $K_i^{q^j}/K$  of degree  $t$  totally ramified in  $\mathfrak{q}_j$  such that  $\frac{t}{l} \nmid f(P_{i,j}|P)$ . It follows that there are at most  $\left(\frac{k}{s}\right)^\alpha$  different extensions  $K_i^{q_1} \cdots K_i^{q_\alpha}$  of  $K$  such that  $\frac{t}{l} \nmid f(P_i|P)$  when  $P$  is unramified. So we see that there are at most

$$\frac{d}{s^\alpha}$$

extensions  $K_i^m/K$  with  $\deg(P_i) < n$ .

Now we consider the case  $P = \mathfrak{q}_h$ , for a certain  $h \in \{1, \dots, \alpha\}$ , is a ramified place. We consider  $\mathfrak{m}' = \mathfrak{m} - P$ . For a similar reasoning as above we get at most

$$\frac{(q^m - 1)^{\alpha-1}}{(q - 1)s^{\alpha-1}}$$

extensions  $K_j^{\mathfrak{m}'}$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, \frac{(q^m-1)^{\alpha-1}}{q-1}\}$  such that  $f(P'_j|P) < \frac{n}{\deg(P)}$ , where  $P'_j$  is a place of  $K_j^{\mathfrak{m}'}$  over  $P$ . But  $K_j^{\mathfrak{m}'} \subseteq K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}$  for  $q^m - 1$  suitable  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  and  $f(P'_j|P) \leq f(P_i|P)$  so there are at most

$$\frac{d}{s^{\alpha-1}}$$

extensions  $K_i^{\mathfrak{m}}/K$  of  $K$  with  $f(P_i|P) < \frac{n}{\deg(P)}$  when  $P \in \text{Supp}(\mathfrak{m})$  is ramified.

Now we sum the number of all such extensions for all the places  $P$  of  $K$ , ramified or not, of degree smaller than  $\frac{n}{\log_q(n)}$  and for all prime  $s|k$ . So we prove the following inequality:

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{s|k} \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{d}{s^{\alpha-1}} + \sum_{\deg(P) < \frac{n}{\log_q(n)}} \sum_{s|k} \frac{d}{s^{\alpha}} < (1 - C_2)d,$$

where  $P$  runs over the unramified places of  $K$  of degree smaller than  $\frac{n}{\log_q(n)}$ . The left hand side in (4.2) is bounded by

$$m\alpha \frac{d}{(2m)^{\alpha-1}} + mq \cdot q^{\frac{n}{\log_q(n)}} \frac{d}{(2m)^{\alpha}}$$

by (4.1), Lemma 4.2 and Corollary 4.3. So we prove that

$$(2m)^{\alpha} > \frac{qm}{1 - C_2} (2m\alpha + q^{\frac{n}{\log_q(n)}}),$$

or also

$$(4.3) \quad \alpha \log_q(2m) > \log_q(q^{\frac{n}{\log_q(n)}} + 2m\alpha) + \log_q\left(\frac{m}{1 - C_2}\right) + 1.$$

It is very easy to check the last inequality in fact the right hand side is smaller than

$$\frac{n}{\log_q(n)} + \log_q(2m\alpha) + \log_q\left(\frac{m}{1 - C_2}\right) + 1,$$

because the logarithm is a convex function and so (4.3) holds when  $n$  is large because  $\alpha > C_1 \frac{n}{\log_q(n)}$  by hypothesis.  $\square$



The proof of the following Lemma follows directly by the Hurwitz genus formula. It is a generalization of (2.1).

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_h$  be distinct places of  $K$  of degree  $t_1, \dots, t_h$  respectively. Let  $p_1, \dots, p_h$  be positive integers such that  $p_i \mid \frac{q^{t_i}-1}{q-1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h$ . Let  $F_i/K$  be ray class field extensions of degree  $p_i$  totally ramified in  $\mathfrak{q}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, h$ . Then the genus  $g_L$  of the compositum field  $L = F_1 \cdots F_h$  is smaller than*

$$g_L \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^h t_i \prod_{j=1}^h p_j.$$

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $m$  and  $l$  be distinct prime numbers with  $l$  and  $m$  greater than  $3 \log_q(n)$  and let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be positive integers with  $\alpha \leq a_m$  and  $\beta \leq a_l$ . Let  $C_1 > 0$  be a real constant and let  $C_2 > 0$  be a real constant with  $C_2 < 1$  as in Proposition 4.1. Let  $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_\alpha$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_\beta$ ) be distinct places of  $K$  of degree  $m$  (resp.  $l$ ) with  $\alpha > C_1 \frac{n}{\log_q(n)}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{m}$  be the effective divisor  $\sum_{i=1}^\alpha \mathfrak{q}_i + \sum_{j=1}^\beta \mathfrak{p}_j$ . Let  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  be the integers  $\frac{q^m-1}{q-1}$  and  $\frac{q^l-1}{q-1}$  respectively and set  $d = \frac{(q^m-1)^\alpha (q^l-1)^\beta}{q-1}$ . Assume that  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are both prime to  $q-1$ .*

*Then there is an integer  $n_0$  such that when  $n > n_0$  and*

$$\frac{C_2}{2} d > \frac{q \cdot q^n}{n},$$

*there is a function field extension  $K_i^m/K$  for a certain  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  without places of degree smaller than  $n$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $l$  and  $m$  are smaller than  $\frac{n}{\log_q(n)}$  otherwise the proof would be more easy. By Lemma 4.1 there are at least  $C_2 d$  function field extensions  $K_i^m/K$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$  such that  $\deg(P)f(P_i|P) \geq n$  whenever  $\deg(P) < \frac{n}{\log_q(n)}$  and  $P_i$  is a place over  $P$ . In one of these field extensions  $K_i^m$  of  $K$  there is a place of degree smaller than  $n$  only if there is a place  $P$  of  $K$  of degree  $d' < n$  with  $d' \geq \frac{n}{\log_q(n)}$  such that  $P$  is totally split in  $K_i^{q_j}/K$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, \alpha\}$  and in  $K_i^{p_h}/K$  for all  $h \in \{1, \dots, \beta\}$  by Lemma 4.2, where  $K_i^{q_j}$  and  $K_i^{p_h}$  are the ray class fields of  $K$  with conductor  $\mathfrak{q}_j$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_h$ , respectively, contained in  $K_i^m$ . We are going to estimate the number of such function field extensions  $K_i^m/K$ .

For a fixed  $j \leq \alpha$  we consider  $K_i^{q_j}/K$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k_1\}$ . There are at most  $d_1 = \gcd(d', k_1)$  function field extensions  $K_i^{q_j}/K$  such that  $P$  is totally split by Corollary 3.4. Similarly for a fixed  $h \leq \beta$  there are at most  $d_2 = \gcd(d', k_2)$  function field extensions  $K_i^{q_h}/K$  with  $i \in \{1, \dots, k_2\}$

such that  $P$  is totally split. We denote by  $d''$  the greatest common divisor  $\gcd(q-1, d')$ . It follows that there are at most  $d_1^\alpha d_2^\beta d''^{\alpha+\beta-1}$  extensions  $K_i^m/K$  with  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  such that  $P$  is totally split.

Let  $A_{d_1, d_2, d'}$  be the number of places of  $K$  of degree  $d'$  totally split in all the subextensions of degree  $d_1 d''$  (resp.  $d_2 d''$ ) of the ray class fields  $K_i^{q^j}$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k_1\}$  and  $j \in \{1, \dots, \alpha\}$  (resp.  $K_i^{p^h}$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k_2\}$  and  $h \in \{1, \dots, \beta\}$ ). Then

$$A_{d_1, d_2, d'} \leq \frac{q^{d'}}{d' d_1^\alpha d_2^\beta d''^{\alpha+\beta-1}} + 2 \frac{g_L}{d_1^\alpha d_2^\beta d''^{\alpha+\beta-1}} q^{d'/2} + \deg(\mathfrak{m})$$

by the Chebotarev Theorem (see [8]), where  $L$  is the compositum of the subextensions of degree  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  of  $K_i^{q^j}$  and  $K_i^{p^h}$ . By Lemma 4.4 we get

$$A_{d_1, d_2, d'} \leq \frac{q^{d'}}{d' d_1^\alpha d_2^\beta d''^{\alpha+\beta-1}} + (q^{d'/2} + 1)(m\alpha + l\beta).$$

By the previous Proposition there are at least  $C_2 d$  distinct extensions  $K_i^m/K$  such that  $f(Q|P)\deg(P) > n$  when  $\deg(P) < \frac{n}{\log_q(n)}$  but there are at most

$$\sum_{d'=\frac{n}{\log_q(n)}}^{n-1} A_{d_1, d_2, d'} d_1^\alpha d_2^\beta d''^{\alpha+\beta-1}$$

extensions  $K_i^m/K$  with at least one totally split place of degree  $d'$  by Lemma 3.4. In particular this number is smaller than

$$\sum_{d'=\frac{n}{\log_q(n)}}^{n-1} \frac{q^{d'}}{d'} + (q^{d'/2} + 1)(\alpha m + \beta l) d_1^\alpha d_2^\beta d''^{\alpha+\beta-1}.$$

But

$$d_1 d'' \leq d' < n < k_1^{1/3}$$

and similarly for  $d_2 d''$ . Moreover  $\alpha m + \beta l < 2 \log_q(d)$ . It follows that there are at most

$$(4.4) \quad q \frac{q^n}{n} + 2nq^{n/2} \log_q(d) d^{1/3}$$

extensions  $K_i^m/K$  such that at least one point of degree  $d' < n$  is totally split. The right hand side in (4.4) is smaller than  $C_2 d$  if

$$q \frac{q^n}{n} < \frac{C_2}{2} d$$

and

$$2nq^{n/2} \log_q(d) d^{1/3} < \frac{C_2}{2} d.$$

The first condition holds by hypothesis, the second one holds when  $n$  is large because  $d > q^{\frac{n}{\log_q(n)}}$ . So there is at least one function field extension  $K_i^m/K$  without places of degree smaller than  $n$ .  $\square$

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we choose  $l$  and  $m$  greater than  $3 \log_q(n)$  but smaller than  $C \log_q(n)$  for a suitable constant  $C > 0$  and we find suitable  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  smaller than  $n$  with  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  greater than  $C_1 \frac{n}{\log_q(n)}$  for an other suitable  $C_1 > 0$  such that the integer

$$d = \frac{(q^m - 1)^\alpha (q^l - 1)^\beta}{q - 1}$$

is bigger than  $4q \frac{q^n}{n}$  but smaller than  $C' 4q \cdot \frac{q^n}{n}$  for a suitable constant  $C' > 1$  (not depending on  $n$ ). In fact, when  $d \leq C' 4q \cdot \frac{q^n}{n}$  then  $m\alpha + l\beta \leq n$  when  $n$  is large and the genus  $g$  of  $K_i^m$  is bounded by  $g \leq \frac{m\alpha + l\beta}{2} d$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , by (2.1), so  $g \leq \frac{n}{2} d \leq 2C' q \cdot q^n$ . We will see that  $C' = q$  is a possible choice for  $C'$ .

The existence of suitable  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is proved by the next Lemma.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $l$  and  $m$  be coprime numbers with  $l < m < 2l$ . Then there is a constant  $l_0$ , such that when  $l > l_0$  then for any real number  $r$  greater than  $q^{2m^3}$  there are two positive integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that*

$$(4.5) \quad r < \frac{(q^m - 1)^\alpha (q^l - 1)^\beta}{q - 1} < rq.$$

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be the real number  $\log_q(rq) + \log_q(q - 1)$ . Taking logarithm of both sides in (4.5) we get the equivalent condition

$$R - 1 < \alpha q_m + \beta q_l \leq R,$$

where  $q_m$  and  $q_l$  denote the real numbers  $\log_q(q^m - 1)$  and  $\log_q(q^l - 1)$ .

By means of the Farey series (see [4], Chapter III) we can find positive integers  $h$  and  $k$  with  $0 < h < k < m$  such that the real number

$$v = kq_l - hq_m$$

satisfies  $\frac{1}{2} < v < 1$ . In fact  $\frac{h}{k}$  is the rational number preceding  $\frac{l}{m}$  in the Farey series and  $\frac{h}{k} < \frac{q_l}{q_m} < \frac{l}{m}$  when  $l$  is large compared to  $q$ . In particular  $v < kl - hm = 1$  by an elementary properties of the Farey series and  $v > \frac{1}{2}$  otherwise

$$\frac{q_l}{q_m} - \frac{h}{k} = \frac{v}{kq_m} < \frac{1}{2kq_m}$$

so

$$\frac{l}{m} - \frac{q_l}{q_m} + \frac{1}{2kq_m} > \frac{l}{m} - \frac{h}{k} = \frac{1}{km}$$

and so

$$\frac{l}{m} - \frac{q_l}{q_m} > \frac{1}{km} - \frac{1}{2kq_m} > \frac{1}{4m(m-1)}$$

and we get a contradiction because  $\frac{l}{m} - \frac{q_l}{q_m} < \frac{1}{4m(m-1)}$  when  $l$  is large.

Let  $c$  be the integer  $\lfloor \frac{R}{q_m} \rfloor$  and let  $z$  be the real number  $cq_m$ . If  $z > R - 1$  then we choose  $\alpha = c$  and  $\beta = 0$  and the Lemma follows. Otherwise we define the succession  $z_i = z + iv$  for all integers  $i \geq 0$ . Let  $j$  be the minimum integer such that  $z_j > R - 1$ . Then  $z_j < R$  because  $v < 1$  and so  $j < \frac{c}{h}$  otherwise  $z_j$  would be greater than  $R$ , because  $v > \frac{1}{2}$  and  $R > 2m^3$ , but this is not the case. We choose  $\alpha = c - jh$  and  $\beta = jk$  and the Lemma follows.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We assume before  $q = p$  is a prime.

Choose prime numbers  $l$  and  $m$  and two positive integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfying (4.5) in the previous Lemma with  $r = 4p^{\frac{p^n}{n}}$ . Such choice of  $r$  verifies the hypothesis of the Lemma when  $n$  is large and  $l$  and  $m$  are smaller than  $C \log_p(n)$  for a constant  $C > 0$ . By the Bertrand postulate there are at least two primes smaller than  $C \log_p(n)$  when  $C \geq 12$  so there are such integers.

It is easy to see that  $\alpha < a_m$  and  $\beta < a_l$  if  $l$  and  $m$  are greater than  $3 \log_p(n)$  otherwise  $p^{m\alpha+l\beta}$  would be greater than  $p^{n^3}$  and it would not satisfy (4.5). In a similar way we see that  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  is greater than, say,  $\frac{1}{48} \frac{n}{\log_p(n)}$  otherwise  $p^{m\alpha+l\beta}$  would be smaller than  $p^{n/2}$  in contrast with (4.5). So we can apply Proposition 4.5 with  $C_1 = \frac{1}{48}$ . We get a function field without places of degree smaller than  $n$  for all  $n > n_0$  for a suitable constant  $n_0$ . We have already seen that the genus of such function field is smaller than  $\frac{1}{2(p-1)}p^n$  by (2.1). Let  $C_p$  be the constant  $\frac{1}{2(p-1)}p^{n_0}$ . Then there is a function field with constant field  $\mathbb{F}_p$  without places of degree smaller than  $n$  of genus smaller than  $C_p p^n$  for all integer  $n > 0$ .

Now let  $q = p^c$  be a prime power of  $p$ . By the previous case there is a function field  $K$  of genus  $g_K \leq C_p p^{cn} = C_p q^n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  without places of degree smaller of  $cn$ . The constant field extension  $K\mathbb{F}_q$  is a function field over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with the same genus without places of degree smaller than  $n$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 5 Tables

We list examples of curves over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  without points of degree  $d'$  such that  $d' \leq n$  when  $q = 2$  and  $n < 20$ .

The integer  $d$  in the table is the degree of a function field extension  $K/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$  of the rational function field with genus  $g$  and constant field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In this table the field  $K$  is always a subfield of the ray class field  $K_S^{\mathfrak{m}}$  of conductor  $\mathfrak{m}$ . The irreducible polynomials in the forth column correspond to the places in the support of  $\mathfrak{m}$  with multiplicity. The polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_q(x)$  corresponding to the place  $S$  totally split in  $K_S^{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathbb{F}_q(x)$  is showed in the last column.

Pointless curves for  $q = 2$

<b>n</b>	<b>g</b>	<b>d</b>	<b>m</b>	<b>S</b>
1	2	2	$(x^3 + x + 1)^2$	$(x^3 + x^2 + 1)$
2	3	7	$(x^3 + x + 1)$	$(x^4 + x + 1)$
3	4	5	$(x^4 + x + 1)$	$(x^7 + x^4 + 1)$
5	12	7	$(x^6 + x^4 + x^3 + x + 1)$	$(x^8 + x^5 + x^3 + x^2 + 1)$
7	48	17	$(x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x + 1)$	$(x^9 + x^7 + x^5 + x^2 + 1)$
8	78	7 · 7	$(x^3 + x^2 + 1, x^3 + x + 1)$	$(x^9 + x^7 + x^2 + x + 1)$
9	120	31	$(x^{10} + x^3 + 1)$	$(x^{11} + x^9 + x^7 + x^2 + 1)$
11	362	15 · 7	$(x^4 + x + 1, x^6 + x^5 + x^3 + x^2 + 1)$	$(x^{13} + x^8 + x^5 + x^3 + 1)$
12	588	31 · 7	$(x^5 + x^2 + 1, x^3 + x + 1)$	$(x^{13} + x^{12} + x^{10} + x^7 + x^4 + x + 1)$
13	1480	31 · 15	$(x^5 + x^2 + 1, x^4 + x + 1)$	$(x^{14} + x^{13} + x^5 + x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 1)$
14	3342	127 · 7	$(x^7 + x + 1, x^3 + x + 1)$	$(x^{15} + x^{14} + x^{13} + x^7 + x^6 + x^4 + x^2 + x + 1)$
15	8940	73 · 17	$(x^9 + x^4 + 1, x^8 + x^5 + x^3 + x^2 + 1)$	$(x^{16} + x^{14} + x^{13} + x^{11} + x^{10} + x^7 + x^4 + x + 1)$
16	19861	23 · 89	$(x^{11} + x^6 + x^5 + x^2 + 1, x^{11} + x^9 + 1)$	$(x^{18} + x^{17} + x^{11} + x^9 + x^7 + x^4 + 1)$
17	41440	89 · 63	$(x^{11} + x^9 + 1, x^6 + x + 1)$	$(x^{18} + x^{17} + x^{16} + x^{11} + x^9 + x^4 + 1)$
18	89415	127 · 89	$(x^7 + x + 1, x^{11} + x^9 + 1)$	$(x^{19} + x^{18} + x^{15} + x^{14} + x^{11} + x^7 + x^3 + x + 1)$
19	95886	127 · 127	$(x^7 + x + 1, x^7 + x^6 + 1)$	$(x^{20} + x^{19} + x^{15} + x^{14} + x^{13} + x^2 + 1)$

## Acknowledgements

This research is part of my Phd thesis at the Università di Roma 'Sapienza'. I am grateful to Prof. René Schoof, Università di Roma 'Tor Vergata', for his patience and support. Part of this work was done when I was a visiting student at the Mathematical Institute at Leiden University.

## References

- [1] E. Artin, J. Tate, *Class field theory*, New York, W.A. Benjamin (1967).
- [2] R. Auer, *Ray class fields of global function fields with many rational places*, Acta Arithmetica 95, 97-122 (2000).
- [3] R. Fuhrmann, F. Torres, *The genus of curves over finite fields with many rational points*, Manuscr. Math., 89, 103-106 (1996).
- [4] G.H. Hardy, E.M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon (1938).
- [5] H. Howe, K. Lauter, J. Top, *Pointless curves of genus three and four*, Séminaires et congrès, 11, 125-141 (2005).
- [6] Y. Ihara, *Some remarks on the number of rational points of algebraic curves over finite fields*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 28, 721-724 (1981).
- [7] D. Maisner, E. Nart, *Abelian surfaces over finite fields as Jacobians. With an appendix by Everett W. Howe*, Experiment. Math. 11, 321-337 (2002).
- [8] K. Murty, J. Scherk, *Effective versions of the Chebotarev density theorem for function fields*, Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences (Paris), Série I, Mathématique, 319, 523-528 (1994).

- [9] H. Niederreiter, C. Xing: *Rational points on curves over finite fields: theory and applications*. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press (2001).
- [10] C. Stirpe, *An upper bound for genus of a curve without points of small degree*, Phd Thesis at Università di Roma 'Sapienza' (2011).
- [11] H. Stichtenoth: *Algebraic function fields and codes*. Berlin, Springer-Verlag (1993).
- [12] A. Weil: *Courbes algébriques et variétés abéliennes*. Paris, Hermann (1971).