

# Anosov Flows and Dynamical Zeta Functions

P. Giulietti\*, C. Liverani<sup>†</sup> and M. Pollicott<sup>‡</sup>

June 3, 2019

## Abstract

We study the Ruelle and Selberg zeta functions for  $C^r$  Anosov flows,  $r > 2$ , on a compact smooth manifold. We prove several results, the most remarkable being: (a) for  $C^\infty$  flows the zeta function is meromorphic on the entire complex plane; (b) for contact flows satisfying a bunching condition (e.g. geodesic flows on manifolds of negative curvature  $\frac{1}{9}$ -pinched) the zeta function has a pole at the topological entropy and is analytic and non zero in a strip to its left; (c) under the same hypothesis as in (b) we obtain sharp results on the number of periodic orbits. Our arguments are based on the study of the spectral properties of the transfer operator acting on a suitable Banach spaces of anisotropic currents.

---

\*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma *Tor Vergata*, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy.

e-mail: giuliett@mat.uniroma2.it

<sup>†</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Roma *Tor Vergata*, Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy.

e-mail: liverani@mat.uniroma2.it

<sup>‡</sup>Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK.

e-mail: mpollic@maths.warwick.ac.uk

*Date:* June 3, 2019

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 37C30, 37D20, 53D25

*Keywords and phrases:* Dynamical zeta functions, Anisotropic norms, Transfer operator, Counting of periodic orbits, Dolgopyat Estimates.

We thank V. Baladi, L. Caporaso, M. Carfora, J. de Simoi, S. Gouezel, F. Ledrappier, A. Sambusetti, R. Schoof, M. Tsujii for helpful discussions and comments. Giulietti and Liverani acknowledge the support of the ERC Advanced Grant MALADY (246953) and they also thank the Fields Institute, Toronto, where part of this work was written.

# 1 Introduction

In 1956, Selberg introduced a zeta function for a surface of constant curvature  $\kappa = -1$  formally defined to be the complex function

$$\zeta_{\text{Selberg}}(z) = \prod_{\gamma} \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 - e^{-(z+n)\lambda(\gamma)}), z \in \mathbb{C} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\lambda(\gamma)$  denotes the length of a closed geodesic  $\gamma$ . This converges to a non-zero analytic function on the half-plane  $\text{Re}(z) > 1$  and Selberg showed that  $\zeta_{\text{Selberg}}$  has an analytic extension to the entire complex plane, by using the trace formula which now bears his name [42]. Moreover, he also showed that the zeroes of  $\zeta_{\text{Selberg}}$  correspond to the eigenvalues of the Laplacian. In fact, the trace formula connects the eigenvalues of  $-\Delta$  with the information provided by the geodesics, their lengths and their distribution.<sup>1</sup> The definition (1.1) was subsequently adapted to more general settings, including surfaces of variable curvature, thus giving birth to a new class of zeta functions which we refer to as dynamical zeta functions. However, due to the lack of a suitable generalized trace formula, few results are known on their meromorphic extension, the location of their zeroes or their relationships with appropriate operators.

In 1976, Ruelle [41] proposed generalizing the definition by replacing the closed geodesics in  $\zeta_{\text{Selberg}}$  by the closed orbits of an Anosov flow  $\phi_t : M \rightarrow M$ , where  $M$  is a  $C^\infty$ ,  $d$ -dimensional Riemannian compact manifold. We recall that an Anosov flow is a flow such that there exists a  $D\phi_t$ -invariant continuous splitting  $TM = E^s \oplus E^u \oplus E^c$ , where  $E^c$  is the one-dimensional subspace tangent to the flow, and constants  $C_0 \geq 1$  and  $\bar{\lambda} > 0$ , such that for all  $x \in M$

$$\begin{aligned} \|D\phi_t(v)\| &\leq \|v\|C_0e^{-\bar{\lambda}t} && \text{if } t \geq 0, v \in E^s, \\ \|D\phi_{-t}(v)\| &\leq \|v\|C_0e^{-\bar{\lambda}t} && \text{if } t \geq 0, v \in E^u, \\ C_0^{-1}\|v\| &\leq \|D\phi_t(v)\| \leq C_0\|v\| && \text{if } t \in \mathbb{R}, v \in E^c. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

We will denote by  $d_u \doteq \dim(E_u)$  and  $d_s \doteq \dim(E_s)$ . The geodesic flow on manifolds with negative sectional curvatures are very special examples of mixing Anosov flows (see [28] and references therein).

---

<sup>1</sup> See [35], and references therein, for a precise, yet friendly, introduction to the Selberg Trace formula and its relationship with the Selberg zeta function.

In this context Ruelle defined a zeta function by

$$\zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}(z) = \prod_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_p} (1 - e^{-z\lambda(\tau)})^{-1}, z \in \mathbb{C} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_p$  denotes the set of prime<sup>2</sup> orbits and  $\lambda(\tau)$  denotes the period of the closed orbit  $\tau$ . It is known, for weak mixing Anosov flows, that  $\zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}(z)$  is analytic and non zero for  $\Re(z) \geq h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$  apart for a single pole at  $z = h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$ , where by  $h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$  we mean the topological entropy of the flow (see [9], or [39, Page 143] for more details). It is easy to see that we can relate the Ruelle and Selberg zeta functions by

$$\zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}(z) = \frac{\zeta_{\text{Selberg}}(z+1)}{\zeta_{\text{Selberg}}(z)}$$

when they are both defined. Note that it is possible to reconstruct Selberg's zeta function from Ruelle's through the identity

$$\zeta_{\text{Selberg}}(z) = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}(z+i)^{-1}.$$

Here we study  $\zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}(z) &= \prod_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_p} (1 - e^{-z\lambda(\tau)})^{-1} = \exp \left( \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_p} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} e^{-zm\lambda(\tau)} \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{\mu(\tau)} e^{-z\lambda(\tau)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\mu(\tau)$  is the multiplicity of the associated orbit  $\tau$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  is the whole set of periodic orbits on  $M$ .

In the very special case of an analytic Anosov flows with real analytic stable and unstable foliations, Ruelle already showed that his zeta function has a meromorphic extension to  $\mathbb{C}$ ; and this result was generalized by Fried

---

<sup>2</sup> A periodic orbit  $\tau$ , of period  $\lambda(\tau)$ , is a closed curve parametrized by the flow, i.e.  $\tau : [0, \lambda(\tau)) \rightarrow M$  such that  $\tau(t) = \phi_t(\tau(0))$ . A periodic orbit  $\tau$  is prime if it is one-to-one with its image. The range of  $\tau$  is indicated again by  $\tau$ . If  $\tau_p$  is the prime orbit related to  $\tau$ , then  $\mu(\tau)$  is the unique integer such that  $\lambda(\tau) = \mu(\tau)\lambda(\tau_p)$ .

([17]) still assuming an analytic flow. Here we extend such results to the  $C^\infty$  setting.

An additional knowledge on the location of the zeroes of  $\zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}$  allows one to gain information on the distribution of the periodic orbits. For example it is known that if a negatively curved Riemannian manifold has dimension 2 [14] or the sectional curvatures are  $\frac{1}{4}$ -pinched [44], then the number  $N(T)$  of closed orbits of period less than  $T$  satisfies  $N(T) = \text{li}(e^{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)T}) + O(e^{cT})$  where  $c < h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$ , and  $\text{li}(x) = \int_2^x \frac{1}{\ln(s)} ds$ . Note that either the assumption that  $M$  is two dimensional or that the sectional curvatures are  $\frac{1}{4}$ -pinched imply that the horocycle foliation is  $C^1$ . One might then conjecture that such a foliation regularity is necessary in order to obtain a sharp error term. However, we show that this is not the case and, although we cannot prove it in full generality, we conjecture that the above bound holds for all contact Anosov flows.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we present the statements of the main results in this paper. We also explain the strategy of the proofs assuming several Lemmata and constructions explained detail in later sections. In section 3, we construct the spaces on which our operators will act. Sections 4, 5 and 6 contain estimates for transfer operators and their “flat trace”. In sections 7 and 8 we restrict ourself to the case of contact flows. In section 7 we exclude the existence of zeroes in a vertical strip to the left of  $h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$ . In sections 8 we obtain a bound on the growth of  $\zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}$  in this strip.

In Appendix A we collect together, for the reader’s convenience, several facts from differential geometry, while in Appendix B we discuss the orientability of the stable distribution. In Appendix C we relate the topological entropy and the volume growth of manifolds. Finally, in Appendix D, we recall some necessary facts concerning holonomies.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Statement of Results</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Some notation . . . . .	5
2.2	Theorems and Proofs . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Cones and Banach spaces</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1	Charts and Notation . . . . .	15

3.2	Banach Spaces . . . . .	17
3.3	Averaging operators . . . . .	22
<b>4</b>	<b>Transfer operators</b>	<b>25</b>
4.1	Properties of the transfer operator . . . . .	26
4.2	Properties of the Resolvent . . . . .	28
4.3	Proof of the Lasota-Yorke . . . . .	30
<b>5</b>	<b>Flat Traces</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Tensorial Operators</b>	<b>43</b>
6.1	Spaces and Operators . . . . .	44
6.2	Lasota-Yorke inequalities . . . . .	45
6.3	Trace representation . . . . .	48
<b>7</b>	<b>Contact flows</b>	<b>57</b>
7.1	Dolgopyat's estimate . . . . .	58
7.2	A key (but technical) inequality . . . . .	65
<b>8</b>	<b>Growth of <math>\zeta</math>-functions</b>	<b>69</b>
	<b>Appendices</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>External Forms: a toolbox</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Orientability</b>	<b>72</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Topological Entropy and volume growth</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Holonomies</b>	<b>79</b>
	<b>References</b>	<b>81</b>

## 2 Statement of Results

### 2.1 Some notation

We use  $B_d(x, r)$  to designate the open  $d$ -dimensional ball with center  $x$  and radius  $r$ .

We will use  $C_{\#}$  to represent a generic constant (depending on the manifold, the flow and the choice of the charts and the partition of unity made in Section 3) which could change from one occurrence to the next, even within the same equation. We will write  $C_{a_1, \dots, a_k}$  for generic constants depending

on the parameters  $a_1, \dots, a_k$ , which could still change from time to time. Finally, numbered constants  $C_0, C_1, C_2, \dots$  are constants with fixed value.

## 2.2 Theorems and Proofs

Our first result applies to all Anosov flows  $\phi_t$  on a connected, compact and orientable Riemannian manifold  $M$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any  $C^r$  Anosov flow  $\phi_t$  with  $r > 2$ ,  $\zeta_{Ruelle}(z)$  is meromorphic in the region*

$$\Re(z) > h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

where  $\bar{\lambda}$ , is determined by the Anosov splitting, and  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the integer part of  $x$ . Moreover,  $\zeta_{Ruelle}(z)$  is analytic for  $\Re(z) > h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$  and non zero for  $\Re(z) > \max\{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2} \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor, h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}\}$ . If the flow is topologically mixing then  $\zeta_{Ruelle}(z)$  has no poles on the line  $\{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) + ib\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}}$  apart from a single simple pole at  $z = h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *For any  $C^\infty$  Anosov flow the zeta function  $\zeta_{Ruelle}(z)$  is meromorphic in the entire complex plane.*

Note that if the flow is not weak mixing then the flow can be reduced to a constant ceiling suspension and hence there exists  $b > 0$  such that  $\zeta_{Ruelle}(z + ib) = \zeta_{Ruelle}(z)$  (for more details see [38]).

**Corollary 2.3.**  *$\zeta_{Ruelle}(z)$  and  $\zeta_{Selberg}(z)$  are meromorphic in the entire complex plane for smooth geodesic flows  $\phi_t$  on any connected compact orientable Riemannian manifold with variable strictly negative sectional curvatures.<sup>3</sup> Moreover, the zeta functions  $\zeta_{Ruelle}(z)$  and  $\zeta_{Selberg}(z)$  have no zeroes or poles on the line  $\{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) + ib\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}}$ , except at  $z = h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$  where both  $\zeta_{Ruelle}(z)^{-1}$  and  $\zeta_{Selberg}(z)$  have a simple zero.*

<sup>3</sup> This provides an answer to an old question of Smale: “Does  $\zeta_{Selberg}(z)$  have nice properties for any general class of flows?” cf. pages 802-803, of [43]. Smale also specifically asked if for suspension flows over Anosov diffeomorphisms close to constant height suspensions the zeta function  $\zeta_{Selberg}(z)$  has a meromorphic extension to all of  $\mathbb{C}$ . The above theorem answers these questions in the affirmative for  $C^\infty$  Anosov flows, despite Smale’s comment “I must admit that a positive answer would be a little shocking”.

Next, we specialize to *contact* Anosov flows. Let  $\lambda_+ \geq 0$  such that  $\|D\phi_{-t}\|_\infty \leq C_0 e^{\lambda_+ t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *For any  $C^r, r > 2$ , contact flow with  $\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\lambda_+} \geq \frac{1}{3}$  there exists  $\tau_* > 0$  such that the Ruelle zeta function is analytic in  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z) \geq h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \tau_*\}$  apart from a simple pole at  $z = h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$ .*

**Remark 2.5.** *Note that the bunching condition  $\frac{2\bar{\lambda}}{\lambda_+} \geq \frac{2}{3}$  implies that the invariant foliations are at least  $\frac{2}{3}$ -Hölder continuous. Also remember that an  $a$ -pinched geodesic flow is  $(2\sqrt{a} + \varepsilon)$ -bunched, that is  $a \leq \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\lambda_+}\right)^2 + \varepsilon$ , see [22] for more details.*

The above fact has several important implications. To begin with some low-hanging fruits remember that the determinants for 0-forms and  $d$ -forms have their first zeros at  $\Re(z) = 0$  (since they are exactly the dynamical determinants of the usual Ruelle transfer operator). Thus

**Corollary 2.6.** *For a volume preserving three dimensional Anosov flow we have that the zeta function  $\zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}(z)$  is meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  and, moreover,*

- $\zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}(z)$  is analytic for  $\Re(z) \geq h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \tau_*$ , except for a pole at  $h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$ ;
- $\zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}(z)$  is non-zero for  $\Re(z) > 0$ .

Moreover, Theorem 2.4 allows us to extend results of Huber-Selberg (for constant sectional curvatures), Pollicott-Sharp (for surfaces of negative curvature) and Stoyanov (for  $\frac{1}{4}$ -pinched geodesic flows).

**Theorem 2.7** (Prime Geodesic Theorem with exponential error). *Let  $M$  be a manifold better than  $\frac{1}{9}$ -pinched, with strictly negative sectional curvature. Let  $\pi(T)$  denote the number of closed geodesics on  $M$  with length at most  $T$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that*

$$\pi(T) = \text{li}(e^{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)T}) + O(e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \delta)T}) \text{ as } T \rightarrow +\infty$$

**Remark 2.8.** *The above Theorems are most likely not optimal. The  $\frac{1}{9}$ -pinching can conceivably be improved with some extra work (one would need to improve, or circumvent the use of, Lemma 7.7) but we do not see how to remove such conditions completely, even though we believe it to be possible.*

Let us start the discussion of the proofs. The basic objects we will study are the *dynamical determinants*, following the approach introduced by Ruelle [41], which arise naturally in the dynamical context and are formally of the general form

$$\mathfrak{D}_\ell(z) = \exp \left( - \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{\text{tr}(\wedge^\ell(D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)})) e^{-z\lambda(\tau)}}{\mu(\tau)\epsilon(\tau) |\det(\mathbf{1} - D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)})|} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\epsilon(\tau)$  is 1 if the flow preserves the orientation of  $E_s$  along  $\tau$  and  $-1$  otherwise. The symbol  $D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)}$  indicates the derivative, on the orbit, of the return map to a local transverse section to the orbit  $\tau$ . By  $\wedge^\ell A$  we mean the matrix associated to the standard  $\ell$ -th exterior product of  $A$ . Note that  $\text{tr}(\wedge^\ell(D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)}))$ ,  $\det(\mathbf{1} - D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)})$  and  $\det(D\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)}|_{E_s})$  depend only on the orbits (see comments before equation 5.9 for more details). Also, note that  $\epsilon(\tau) = \text{sign}(\det(D\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)}|_{E_s}))$ . The sum in (2.1) is well defined provided  $\Re(z)$  is large enough.

As a direct consequence of the linear algebra identity for a  $n \times n$  matrix<sup>4</sup>  $A$

$$\det(\mathbf{1} - A) = \sum_{\ell=0}^n (-1)^\ell \text{tr}(\wedge^\ell A) \quad (2.2)$$

we obtain from (1.4), (2.1) and (2.2) a product formula à la Atiyah-Bott. Thus, we deduce that<sup>5</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \mathfrak{D}_\ell(z)^{(-1)^{\ell+d_s+1}} &= \exp \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{d-1} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell+d_s} \text{tr}(\wedge^\ell(D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)})) e^{-z\lambda(\tau)}}{\mu(\tau)\epsilon(\tau) |\det(\mathbf{1} - D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)})|} \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{(-1)^{d_s} \det(\mathbf{1} - D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)}) e^{-z\lambda(\tau)}}{\mu(\tau)\epsilon(\tau) |\det(\mathbf{1} - D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)})|} \right) \\ &= \exp \left( \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{e^{-z\lambda(\tau)}}{\mu(\tau)} \right) = \zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}(z). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Thus Theorem 2.1 follows by the analogous statement for the dynamical determinants  $\mathfrak{D}_\ell(z)$ . To study the region in which the  $\mathfrak{D}_\ell(z)$  are meromorphic we will proceed in the following roundabout manner. First we define the following objects.

<sup>4</sup> See, for example, [47, Section 3.9] for more details.

<sup>5</sup> Indeed,  $\text{sign}(\det(\mathbf{1} - D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)})) = (-1)^{d_s} \text{sign}(\det(D\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)}|_{E_s})) = (-1)^{d_s} \epsilon(\tau)$ .



**Definition 2.9.** Given  $0 \leq \ell \leq d-1$ ,  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$  let

$$\chi_\ell(\tau) \doteq \frac{\operatorname{tr}(\wedge^\ell(D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)}))}{\epsilon(\tau) |\det(\mathbf{1} - D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)})|}. \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, for  $\xi, z \in \mathbb{C}$ , we let

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_\ell(\xi, z) \doteq \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^n}{n!} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{\chi_\ell(\tau)}{\mu(\tau)} \lambda(\tau)^n e^{-z\lambda(\tau)}\right). \quad (2.5)$$

Note that the series in (2.5) trivially converges for  $|\xi|$  sufficiently small and  $\Re(z)$  sufficiently large.

**Lemma 2.10.** Let  $0 \leq \ell \leq d-1$ ,  $\xi, z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Re(z)$  sufficiently large and  $|\xi - z|$  sufficiently small. Then we can write

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_\ell(\xi - z, \xi) = \frac{\mathfrak{D}_\ell(z)}{\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\xi)}. \quad (2.6)$$

*Proof.* The proof is by a direct calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_\ell(\xi - z, \xi) &= \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi - z)^n}{n!} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{\chi_\ell(\tau)}{\mu(\tau)} \lambda(\tau)^n e^{-\xi\lambda(\tau)}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{\chi_\ell(\tau)}{\mu(\tau)} (e^{-z\lambda(\tau)} - e^{-\xi\lambda(\tau)})\right) = \frac{\mathfrak{D}_\ell(z)}{\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\xi)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Hence Theorem 2.1 is implied by the following.

**Proposition 2.11.** For any  $C^r$  Anosov flow, with  $r > 2$ , there exists  $A > 0$  such that, for each  $\Re(\xi) \in [A, 2A]$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\xi)$  is analytic and the function  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_\ell(\xi - z, \xi)$  is meromorphic for  $z$  in the region

$$|\xi - z| < \Re(z) - h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) + |d_s - \ell| \bar{\lambda} + \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

We can then freely move  $\xi$  along the line  $\{a + ib\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}}$  and we obtain that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_\ell(\xi - z, \xi)$  is meromorphic for  $\Re(z) > h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - |d_s - \ell| \bar{\lambda} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{2} \lfloor \frac{r-1}{2} \rfloor$ .

Ruelle's original proof of his result on zeta functions was based on Markov partitions and Grothendieck's theory of nuclear operators, and hence required analyticity. Here instead, to deal with the finite smoothness case, we prove Proposition 2.11 using the method of extending determinants through the choice of anisotropic Banach spaces, based on the approach of Liverani-Gouezel [19], Butterley-Liverani [10] and Liverani-Tsujii [32]. This approach allows us to apply transfer operator methods directly to the manifold  $M$  by resorting to currents, avoiding the complications, due to the lack of regularity of the foliations, present in previous approaches.

**Remark 2.12.** *Recently alternative approaches have been developed based on different Banach spaces or on different strategies to compute dynamical determinants for Anosov diffeomorphisms. It is possible that such methods could be adapted to the present case and that such versions might allow one to obtain sharper estimates when one has finite differentiability (as in Baladi-Tsujii [6]) or when one can exploit an additional contact structure (see Tsujii [46]).*

**Proof of Proposition 2.11.** Let  $\Omega_s^\ell(M)$  be the space of  $\ell$ -forms on  $M$ , i.e. the  $C^s$  sections of  $\Lambda^\ell(T^*M)$ . Let  $\Omega_{0,s}^\ell(M) \subset \Omega_s^\ell(M)$  be the subspace of forms null in the flow direction (see (3.5)). In section 3 we construct a family of Banach spaces  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$  as the closure of  $\Omega_{0,s}^\ell(M)$  with respect to a suitable anisotropic norm so that the spaces  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$  are an extension of the spaces in [19].<sup>6</sup> Such spaces are canonically embedded in the space of currents (see Lemma 3.4).

In section 4 we define a family of operators for  $h \in \Omega_{0,s}^\ell(M)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  as<sup>7</sup>

$$\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}(h) \doteq \phi_{-t}^* h. \quad (2.7)$$

**Remark 2.13.** *In order to simplify a rather involved argument we chose to give full details only for the case in which the invariant foliations are orientable (i.e.,  $\epsilon(\tau) = 1$  for all  $\tau$ ). Notably, this includes some of the most*

---

<sup>6</sup> The indexes  $p, q$  measure, respectively, the regularity in the unstable and stable direction.

<sup>7</sup> Note that by restricting the transfer operator  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$  to  $h \in \Omega_{0,s}^\ell(M)$  we mimic the action of the standard transfer operators on sections transverse to the flow, in fact we morally project our forms on a Poincaré section. Moreover we adopt the standard notation where  $f^*$  denotes the pullback and  $f_*$  indicates the push-forward.

interesting examples, such as geodesic flows on manifolds with strictly negative sectional curvature (see Lemma B.1). To treat the non orientable case it is often sufficient to slightly modify the definition of the operator (2.7) by introducing an appropriate weight, see equation (B.1), and then repeating almost verbatim the following arguments. Unfortunately, as far as we can see, to treat the fully general case one has to consider more general Banach spaces than the ones used here. This changes very little in the arguments but makes the notation much more cumbersome. The reader can find the essential details in Appendix B.

The operators (2.7) generalize the action of the transfer operator  $\mathcal{L}_t$  on the spaces  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q}$  of [19]. We prove in Lemma (4.3) that the operators  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$  satisfy a Lasota-Yorke type estimate for sufficiently large times. To take care of short times we restrict ourself to a new space  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,\ell}$  which is the closure of  $\Omega_{0,s}^\ell(M)$  with respect to a slightly stronger norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q,\ell}$ , in this we follow [5].

On  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,\ell}$  the operators  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$  form a strongly continuous semigroup with generators  $X^{(\ell)}$  (see Lemma 4.5). We can then consider the resolvent  $R^{(\ell)}(z) \doteq (z\mathbf{1} - X^{(\ell)})^{-1}$ . The cornerstone of our analysis is that, although the operator  $X^{(\ell)}$  is an unbounded closed operator on  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,\ell}$ , we can access its spectrum thanks to the fact that its resolvent  $R^{(\ell)}(z)$  is a quasi compact operator on the same space. More precisely, in Lemma 4.7 we show that for  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Re(z) > h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - |d_s - \ell|\bar{\lambda}$ ,  $p + q < r - 1$  the operator  $R^{(\ell)}(z)$  has essential spectral radius

$$\rho_{\text{ess}}(R^{(\ell)}(z)) \leq (\Re(z) - h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) + |d_s - \ell|\bar{\lambda} + \bar{\lambda} \min\{p, q\})^{-1}.$$

We can then write  $R^{(\ell)}(z) = P^{(\ell)}(z) + U^{(\ell)}(z)$  where  $P^{(\ell)}(z)$  is a finite rank operator and  $U^{(\ell)}(z)$  has spectral radius arbitrarily close to  $\rho_{\text{ess}}(R^{(\ell)}(z))$ . In section 5, we define a “flat trace”<sup>8</sup> denoted by  $\text{tr}^b$ . In Lemma 5.1 we show that for  $\Re(z)$  large enough and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $\text{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z)^n) < \infty$  and

$$\text{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z)^n) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \frac{\chi_\ell(\tau)}{\mu(\tau)} \lambda(\tau)^n e^{-z\lambda(\tau)}. \quad (2.8)$$

---

<sup>8</sup> Such terminology has also been adopted by the dynamical system community, following the work of Atiyah and Bott ([3],[4]), since, as the reader will see, it is morally a regularization, or a flattening, of the trace.

Furthermore, in Lemma 6.10, we prove that, for each  $\lambda < \bar{\lambda}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,<sup>9</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z)^n) - \mathrm{tr}(P^{(\ell)}(z)^n)| \leq C_{z,\lambda} & \left( \Re(z) - h_{\mathrm{top}}(\phi_1) + |d_s - \ell|\lambda \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\lambda}{2} \min\{p, q\} \right)^{-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where “tr” is the standard trace.

**Remark 2.14.** *Given the two previous formulae one can have a useful heuristic explanation of the machinery we are using. Indeed, (2.9) shows that  $\mathrm{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z))$  is essentially a real trace, then substituting formula (2.8) in (2.1) and performing obvious formal manipulations we have that  $\mathfrak{D}_\ell(z)^{-1}$  can be interpreted as the “determinant” of  $R^{(\ell)}(z)$ . Moreover, to connect our objects to the more traditional ones, note that the action on  $d_s$  forms corresponds to the usual transfer operator with a weight given by the unstable determinant. Hence, the maximal eigenvalue of  $X^{(d_s)}$  is  $h_{\mathrm{top}}(\phi_1)$  and the associated eigenvector is given by the measure of maximal entropy. On the contrary,  $X^{(0)}$  and  $X^{(d)}$  are associated to the usual transfer operator and its adjoint. Thus, their maximal eigenvalue is zero and the associated eigenvector yields the SRB measure. Also, as usual, the other eigenvalues are connected to the poles of the Laplace transform of the correlations.*

Note that if  $\nu \in \sigma(P^{(\ell)}(z)) \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $z - \nu^{-1} \in \sigma(X^{(\ell)})$ .<sup>10</sup> Thus,  $\nu = (z - \mu)^{-1}$  where  $\mu \in \sigma(X^{(\ell)})$ . Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $a = \Re(\xi)$  is sufficiently large so that  $\mathfrak{D}_\ell(\xi)$  is well defined. Let  $\rho_{p,q,\ell} < a - h_{\mathrm{top}}(\phi_1) + |d_s - \ell|\lambda + \frac{\lambda}{2} \min\{p, q\}$ .

<sup>9</sup> The loss of a factor 2 in the formula (2.9) is due to an artifact of the method of proof. We live with it since it does not change substantially the result and to obtain a sharper result might entail considerably more work.

<sup>10</sup> As usual, we denote by  $\sigma$  the spectrum.

Let  $\lambda_{i,\ell}$  be the eigenvalues of  $X^{(\ell)}$ . For each  $z \in B_2(\xi, \rho_{p,q,\ell})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_\ell(\xi - z, \xi) &= \exp \left( - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi - z)^n}{n} \operatorname{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(\xi)^n) \right) = \\
&= \exp \left( - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi - z)^n}{n} \left( \sum_{\lambda_i \in B_2(\xi, \rho_{p,q,\ell})} \frac{1}{(\xi - \lambda_{i,\ell})^n} + \mathcal{O}(C_{\xi, \lambda} \rho_{p,q,\ell}^{-n}) \right) \right) \\
&= \exp \left( - \sum_{\lambda_i \in B_2(\xi, \rho_{p,q,\ell})} \log \left( 1 - \frac{\xi - z}{\xi - \lambda_i} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi - z)^n}{n} \mathcal{O}(C_{\xi, \lambda} \rho_{p,q,\ell}^{-n}) \right) \\
&= \left( \prod_{\lambda_i \in B_2(\xi, \rho_{p,q,\ell})} \frac{\xi - \lambda_i}{z - \lambda_i} \right) \psi(\xi, z)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where  $\psi(\xi, z)$  is analytic and non zero for  $z \in B_2(\xi, \rho_{p,q,\ell})$ . The results follows by optimizing the choice of  $p, q$ .  $\square$

Once Theorem 2.1 is established we can use the above machinery to obtain more information on the location of the zeros.

**Proof of Theorem 2.4.** In Lemma 7.10 we prove that there exists  $\gamma, C_1 > 0$  such that, for  $q < \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\lambda_+}$ ,  $\Re(z) > h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$  and  $n \geq C_1 \ln |\Im(z)|$ ,

$$\| \| R^{(d_s)}(z)^n \| \|_{1,q,d_s} \leq C_{\#} (\Re(z) - \sigma_{d_s})^{-n} |\Im(z)|^\gamma.$$

By the resolvent identity

$$R^{(d_s)}(z - a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n R^{(d_s)}(z)^n,$$

which implies the result.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.7.** The proof is then based on the following estimate, established in Lemma 8.2. There exists  $\gamma_1 \in (0, 1), B > 0$  such that, for each  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) \geq \Re(z) > h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \frac{\tau_*}{2}$ ,  $|\Im(z)| \geq B$ , we have that

$$\left| \frac{d}{dz} \ln \zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}(z) \right| \leq C_{\#} |z|^{\gamma_1}. \tag{2.11}$$

Starting from (2.11) we follow a classical approach in number theory. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(T) &\doteq \sum_{e^{nh_{top}(\phi_1)\lambda(\tau)} \leq T} h_{top}(\phi_1)\lambda(\tau) \\ \psi_1(T) &\doteq \int_1^T \psi(x)dx = \sum_{e^{nh_{top}(\phi_1)\lambda(\tau)} \leq T} h_{top}(\phi_1)\lambda(\tau)(T - e^{nh_{top}(\phi_1)\lambda(\tau)}) \\ \pi_0(T) &\doteq \sum_{e^{nh_{top}(\phi_1)\lambda(\tau)} \leq T} 1 \text{ and } \pi_1(T) \doteq \sum_{e^{h_{top}(\phi_1)\lambda(\tau)} \leq T} 1.\end{aligned}$$

First recall the following simple complex integral. For  $d > 1$  we have that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{d-i\infty}^{d+i\infty} \frac{y^{z+1}}{z(z+1)} dz = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 < y \leq 1 \\ y-1 & \text{if } y > 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

If we denote  $\zeta_0(z) = \zeta_{Ruelle}(h_{top}(\phi_1)z)$  then we can write

$$\psi_1(T) = \int_{d-i\infty}^{d+i\infty} \left( -\frac{\zeta'_0(z)}{\zeta_0(z)} \right) \frac{T^{z+1}}{z(z+1)} dz \quad (2.13)$$

for any  $d > 1$  sufficiently large. This comes by a term by term application of (2.12) to

$$-\frac{\zeta'_0(z)}{\zeta_0(z)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\tau} h_{top}(\phi_1) e^{-zn_{top}(\phi_1)\lambda(\tau)}.$$

Moving the line of integration from  $Re(z) = d > 1$  to  $Re(z) = c < 1$  gives

$$\psi_1(T) = \frac{T^2}{2} + \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \left( -\frac{\zeta'_0(z)}{\zeta_0(z)} \right) \frac{T^{z+1}}{z(z+1)} ds \quad (2.14)$$

for  $c = h_{top}(\phi_1) - \delta < 1$  sufficiently close to 1 so that we can apply the Dolgopyat type estimate (2.11). Note that the term  $\frac{T^2}{2}$  comes from the line of integration crossing the pole for  $\zeta(z)$  (now at  $z = 1$ ). Moreover, the integral yields a factor  $T^{c+1}$  i.e.  $\psi_1(T) = \frac{1}{2}T^2 + O(T^{c+1})$ . Next we have the following asymptotic estimates.

**Lemma 2.15.** *We can estimate, provided  $1 > d > c > 0$ , that*

$$\psi(T) = T + O(T^{(c+1)/2});$$

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_0(T) &= \text{li}(T) + O\left(\frac{T^{(c+1)/2}}{\log T}\right); \\ \pi_1(T) &= \text{li}(T) + O(T^{(d+1)/2}).\end{aligned}$$

Since the proof of the above Lemma is completely analogous to the case of prime numbers, we omit it and refer the reader to [15, Chapter 4, Section 4]. Finally, we can write

$$\pi(T) = \pi_1(e^{h_{top}(\phi_1)T}) = \text{li}(e^{h_{top}(\phi_1)T}) + O(e^{[h_{top}(\phi_1)(d+1)/2]T})$$

and the theorem follows with  $h_{top}(\phi_1)(d+1)/2 = h_{top}(\phi_1) - \delta$ . □

### 3 Cones and Banach spaces

We want to introduce appropriate Banach spaces of currents<sup>11</sup> over a  $d$ -dimensional smooth<sup>12</sup> compact Riemannian manifold  $M$ .<sup>13</sup> The basic idea, going back to [33], is to consider regular “objects” that are “smooth” in the unstable direction while being “distributions” in the stable direction. This is obtained by defining norms in which such objects are integrated, against smooth functions, along manifolds close to the stable direction. Unfortunately, the realization of this program is fairly technical, since we have to define first the class of manifolds on which to integrate, then determine which are the relevant objects and, finally, explain what we mean by regular.

#### 3.1 Charts and Notation

We start with some assumptions and notation. More precisely, for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$ , such that, for each  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$  and  $\rho \in (0, 4)$ , there

---

<sup>11</sup> See Federer [16, Sections 4.1.1 - 4.1.7] for a detailed presentation of currents.

<sup>12</sup> One could easily generalize to  $C^r$  manifolds at the price of keeping track of the regularity of the manifold throughout the computations. We avoid doing so for readability.

<sup>13</sup> It is very convenient to outline a general construction of such spaces based only on an abstract cone structure, since later on we will apply this construction twice: once on  $M$  for certain operators, and once on  $M^2$  for some other operators.

exists an atlas  $\{(U_\alpha, \Theta_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite set, such that<sup>14</sup>

$$\begin{cases} \Theta_\alpha(U_\alpha) = B_d(0, 30\delta\sqrt{1+\rho^2}) \\ \cup_\alpha \Theta_\alpha^{-1}(B_d(0, 2\delta)) = M \\ \Theta_\alpha \circ \Theta_\beta^{-1}(\tilde{x}, x_d + s) = \Theta_\alpha \circ \Theta_\beta^{-1}(\tilde{x}, x_d) + (0, s) \\ \|\Theta_\alpha \circ \Theta_\beta^{-1}\|_{C^r} \leq 2. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Note that the above implies<sup>15</sup> the existence of a global vector field  $V$  such that  $\Theta_\alpha$  are flow box charts for the flow generated by  $V$ ; i.e. for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $(\Theta_\alpha^{-1})_*\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}\right) = V$ .

Let  $\{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to our atlas such that  $\text{supp}(\psi_\alpha) \subseteq \Theta_\alpha^{-1}(B_d(0, 2\delta)) \subset U_\alpha$  and  $\psi_\alpha|_{\Theta_\alpha^{-1}(B_d(0, \delta))} = 1$ . In addition, we assume  $d_1 + d_2 = \dim(M)$  are given, and let

$$\mathcal{C}_\rho \doteq \{(s, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2} : \|u\| < \rho\|s\|\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Next, we assume that there exists  $4 > \rho > \rho_- > 0$  such that, for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\mathcal{C}_0 \subset (\Theta_\alpha)_* \circ (\Theta_\beta^{-1})_* \mathcal{C}_{\rho_-} \subset \mathcal{C}_\rho, \quad (3.3)$$

when it is well defined. Note that, by compactness, there must exist  $\rho_1 > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_{\rho_1} \subset (\Theta_\alpha)_* \circ (\Theta_\beta^{-1})_* \mathcal{C}_{\rho_-}$ .

This concludes the hypotheses on the charts and the cones. For each  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ , let  $\Lambda^\ell(T^*M)$  be the algebra of the exterior  $\ell$ -forms on  $M$ . We write  $\Omega_r^\ell(M)$  for the space of  $C^r$  sections of  $\Lambda^\ell(T^*M)$ . Let  $h = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \psi_\alpha h \doteq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} h_\alpha$  so that  $h_\alpha \in \Omega_r^\ell(U_\alpha)$ .

Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in U_\alpha$  consider the basis of  $T_x U_\alpha$  given by  $\left\{(\Theta_\alpha^{-1})_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, (\Theta_\alpha^{-1})_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}\right\}$ . Let  $\{\hat{e}_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \hat{e}_{\alpha,d}\}$  be the orthonormal basis of  $T_x U_\alpha$  obtained from the first one by applying the Gram-Schmidt procedure, setting as first element of the algorithm  $\hat{e}_{\alpha,d} = (\Theta_\alpha^{-1})_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d} / \left\|(\Theta_\alpha^{-1})_* \frac{\partial}{\partial x_d}\right\|$ . Let  $\omega_{\alpha,1}, \dots, \omega_{\alpha,d}$  be the dual basis of 1-forms such that  $\omega_{\alpha,i}(\hat{e}_{\alpha,j}) = \delta_{i,j}$ . Thus we can define a scalar product on

<sup>14</sup> The relations are meant to be valid where the composition is defined. The  $\|\cdot\|_{C^r}$  norm is precisely defined in (3.6). Note that the explicit numbers used (e.g. 2, 30, ...) are largely arbitrary provided they satisfy simple relations that are implicit in the following constructions.

<sup>15</sup> To see that the first, second and third relation can always be satisfied consider charts determined by the exponential map.



$T_x^*U_\alpha$  by the expression  $\langle \omega_{\alpha,i}, \omega_{\alpha,j} \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ . Note that the above construction “respects” the special direction  $V$ .

Let  $\{\bar{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in \{1, \dots, d\}^\ell : i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_\ell\}$  be the set  $\mathcal{I}_\ell$  of  $\ell$ -multiindices ordered by the standard lexicographic order. Let  $e_{\bar{i}} \doteq e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_\ell}$  in  $\Lambda^\ell(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $dx_{\bar{i}} \doteq dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_\ell} \in (\Lambda^\ell)(\mathbb{R}^d)$  so that  $dx_{\bar{i}}(e_{\bar{j}}) = \delta_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}$ . Let  $\{e_{\alpha,\bar{i}}\} \subset \Lambda^\ell(T_x U_\alpha)$  and  $\{\omega_{\alpha,\bar{i}}\} \subset \Lambda^\ell(T_x^*U_\alpha)$  be defined in the same way.

Given  $h \in \Omega_r^\ell(U_\alpha)$  and  $(\Theta_\alpha^{-1})^*h \in \Omega_r^\ell(B_d(0, 12\delta))$  we will write

$$h = \sum_{\bar{i} \in \mathcal{I}_\ell} h_{\alpha,\bar{i}} \omega_{\alpha,\bar{i}}.$$

We define the scalar product

$$\langle h, g \rangle_{\Omega^\ell} \doteq \int_M \langle h, g \rangle_x \omega(x) \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\omega$  is the Riemannian volume form and  $\langle h, g \rangle_x$  is the usual scalar product for forms<sup>16</sup> (see (A.1) for a precise definition).

In the sequel we restrict ourselves to

$$\Omega_{0,r}^\ell(M) \doteq \{h \in \Omega_r^\ell(M) : h(V, \dots) = 0\}. \quad (3.5)$$

For  $f : B_d(0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\bar{d}}$ ,  $\bar{d} \in \mathbb{N}$ , we use the following  $C^r$ -norm<sup>17</sup>

$$\|f\|_{C^r} = \begin{cases} \sup_x \|f(x)\| & \text{if } r = 0 \\ \|f\|_{C^0} + \sup_{x,y \in B_d(0,\delta)} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{\|x - y\|^r} & \text{if } 0 < r < 1 \\ \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor r \rfloor - 1} 2^{\lfloor r \rfloor - i} \|D^i f\|_{C^0} + \|D^{\lfloor r \rfloor} f\|_{C^{r - \lfloor r \rfloor}} & \text{if } r \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

## 3.2 Banach Spaces

Given the above setting, we are going to construct several Banach spaces. The strategy is to first define appropriate norms and then close the space

<sup>16</sup> In the following we will drop the subscript  $x$  in the scalar product whenever it does not create any confusion.

<sup>17</sup> By  $\|D^k f(x)\|$  we mean the sup of all the possible derivative of order  $k$  of  $f$  at  $x$ . Remember that for such a  $C^r$  norm, for  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we have  $\|fg\|_{C^r} \leq \|f\|_{C^r} \|g\|_{C^r}$  and  $\|f \circ g\|_{C^r} \leq C_\# \sum_{i=0}^r \|f\|_{C^r} \|Dg\|_{C^{r-1}} \dots \|Dg\|_{C^{r-i}}$ .

of  $\ell$ -forms in the associated topology. For sufficiently large  $L > 0$ , let us define<sup>18</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_r(\rho, L) \doteq \{ & F : B_{d_1}(0, 6\delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_2} : F(0) = 0; \\ & \|DF\|_{C^0(B_{d_1}(0, 6\delta))} \leq \rho; \|F\|_{C^r(B_{d_1}(0, 6\delta))} \leq L\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}_r(\rho, L)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$ , let  $G_{x,F}(\xi) : B_{d_1}(0, 6\delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be defined by  $G_{x,F}(\xi) \doteq x + (\xi, F(\xi))$ .

Let us also define  $\tilde{\Sigma}(\rho, L) \doteq \{G_{x,F} : x \in B_{d_1}(0, 2\delta), F \in \mathcal{F}_r(\rho, L)\}$ .<sup>19</sup> For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $G \in \tilde{\Sigma}$  we define the leaf<sup>20</sup>  $W_{\alpha,G} = \{\Theta_\alpha^{-1} \circ G(\xi)\}_{\xi \in B_{d_1}(0, 3\delta)}$  and the enlarged leaf  $W_{\alpha,G}^+ = \{\Theta_\alpha^{-1} \circ G(\xi)\}_{\xi \in B_{d_1}(0, 6\delta)}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $G \in \tilde{\Sigma}$  note that  $W_{\alpha,G} \subset \bar{U}_\alpha \doteq \Theta_\alpha^{-1}(B_d(0, 6\delta\sqrt{1+\rho^2})) \subseteq U_\alpha$ . Next we consider sets  $\Sigma_\alpha \subset \tilde{\Sigma}$  (our sets of ‘‘stable’’ leaves) such that, if  $W_{\alpha,G} \in \Sigma_\alpha$  and  $W_{\alpha,G} \cap \bar{U}_\beta \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists  $G' \in \Sigma_\beta$  such that  $W_{\alpha,G} \cap \bar{U}_\beta \subset W_{\beta,G'}$ .<sup>21</sup> In the following we will be interested in the set of manifolds  $\Sigma = \cup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \cup_{G \in \Sigma_\alpha} \{W_{\alpha,G}\}$ .

Also, for each  $G \in \Sigma_\alpha$  we denote by  $\hat{\Gamma}_0^{\ell,s}(\alpha, G)$  the  $C^s$  sections of the fiber bundle on  $W_{\alpha,G}^+$ , with fibers  $\Lambda^\ell(T^*M)$ , which vanish in a neighborhood of  $\partial W_{\alpha,G}$ . We define the norm

$$\|g\|_{\hat{\Gamma}_0^{\ell,s}(\alpha,G)} \doteq \sup_{\bar{i}} \|g_{\alpha,\bar{i}} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1} \circ G\|_{C^s(B_{d_1}(0, 2\delta))}. \quad (3.8)$$

Consistent with this choice, we equip  $\Omega_r^\ell(M)$  with the norms, for  $s \leq r$ ,

$$\|h\|_{\Omega_s^\ell} = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \bar{i} \in \mathcal{I}_\ell} \|h_{\alpha,\bar{i}} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1}\|_{C^s(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad (3.9)$$

<sup>18</sup> We will define the manifolds on which we will integrate by representing them as graphs of functions, here we define the class of functions we are going to use.

<sup>19</sup> We will often ignore the arguments  $\rho, L$  when it does not create confusion.

<sup>20</sup> These  $W_{\alpha,G}$  are not to be confused with stable manifolds.

<sup>21</sup> Such sets exist. For example, start by setting  $\hat{\Sigma}_\alpha \doteq \tilde{\Sigma}(\rho_-, L')$ , for some  $L' > 0$ . Then, for each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $W_{\alpha,G_{x,F}}$ , we consider  $\tilde{F}(\xi) = \chi(\xi)F(\xi)$ , where  $\chi \in C^\infty$ ,  $\chi(\xi) = 1$  if  $\|\xi\| \leq 3\delta$  and  $\chi(\xi) = 0$  if  $\|\xi\| \geq 6\delta$ . Note that  $\tilde{F}$  can be trivially extended to  $\mathbb{R}^{d_s}$ . Obviously  $W_{\alpha,G_{x,F}} = W_{\alpha,G_{x,\tilde{F}}}$  even though they have different enlarged versions. For each  $\beta$  such that  $W_\beta \doteq W_{\alpha,G_{x,F}} \cap \bar{U}_\beta \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\tilde{F}$  determines, provided  $L$  has been chosen large enough, an element  $F_\beta \in \mathcal{F}_r$ , thanks to condition (3.3), and  $x_\beta = (\xi_\beta, 0)$  such that  $W_{\beta,G_{x_\beta,F_\beta}} \supset W_\beta$ . We add such elements to  $\hat{\Sigma}_\beta$ . Note that the added elements automatically have the required property, thanks to (3.3), since they correspond to manifolds with tangent spaces in the cones in  $U_\alpha$ .

Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{V}}^s(\alpha, G)$  be the set of  $C^s(U_{\alpha, G})$  vector fields, where  $U_{\alpha, G}$  is any open set such that  $U_\alpha \supset U_{\alpha, G} \supset W_{\alpha, G}^+$ .

Next, we choose sets  $\Gamma_0^{\ell, s}(\alpha, G)$  that are dense in  $\widehat{\Gamma}_0^{\ell, s}(\alpha, G)$  in the  $C^s$  norm for each  $s < r$ . Also we choose sets  $\mathcal{V}^s(\alpha, G) \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{V}}^s(\alpha, G)$  with the property that each of them is a Lie subalgebra and it contains the push forward of any constant vector field under the coordinate map  $\Theta_\alpha^{-1}$ .

**Remark 3.1.** *The norms and the Banach spaces we are going to define should have an index specifying their dependencies on the choices of  $\{\Gamma_0^{\ell, s}(\alpha, G)\}$  and  $\{\mathcal{V}^s(\alpha, G)\}$ . We choose to suppress them to ease notation, since this creates no confusion.*

Let  $\omega_{\text{vol}}$  be the volume form induced on  $W_{\alpha, G}$  by the Riemannian structure of  $M$ . Write  $L_v$  for the Lie derivative along a vector field  $v$ . Finally, for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $G \in \Sigma_\alpha$ ,  $g \in \Gamma_0^{\ell, 0}(\alpha, G)$ ,  $v_1, \dots, v_p \in \mathcal{V}^s(\alpha, G)$  and  $h \in \Omega_r^\ell(M)$  we define

$$J_{\alpha, G, g, v_1, \dots, v_p}(h) \doteq \int_{W_{\alpha, G}} \langle g, L_{v_1} \cdots L_{v_p} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.10)$$

Next, for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $p + q < r - 1$ ,  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ , let

$$\mathbb{U}_{p, q, \ell} \doteq \left\{ J_{\alpha, G, g, v_1, \dots, v_p} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, G \in \Sigma_\alpha, g \in \Gamma_0^{\ell, p+q}, v_j \in \mathcal{V}^{p+q}, \right. \\ \left. \|g\|_{\Gamma_0^{\ell, p+q}(\alpha, G)} \leq 1, \|v_j\|_{C^{q+p}(U_{\alpha, G})} \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (3.11)$$

Lastly, for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $h \in \Omega_{p+q}^\ell(M)$  we define the following norms

$$\|h\|_{p, q, \ell}^- \doteq \sup_{J \in \mathbb{U}_{p, q, \ell}} J(h) \quad \text{and} \quad \|h\|_{p, q, \ell} \doteq \sup_{n \leq p} \|h\|_{n, q, \ell}^-. \quad (3.12)$$

For all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$  we define the spaces  $\mathcal{B}^{p, q, \ell}$  to be the closures of  $\Omega_{0, r}^\ell(M)$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p, q, \ell}$ .

**Remark 3.2.** *For the norms just defined we trivially have*

$$\|h\|_{p, q, \ell} \leq \|h\|_{p+1, q, \ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \|h\|_{p, q+1, \ell} \leq \|h\|_{p, q, \ell} \\ \|h\|_{p, q, \ell}^- = \sup_{\{v_1, \dots, v_p \in \mathcal{V}^{p+q} : \|v_j\|_{C^{p+q}} \leq 1\}} \|L_{v_1} \cdots L_{v_p} h\|_{0, p+q}^- \\ \|h\|_{p, q, \ell} \leq C_\# \|h\|_{\Omega_p^\ell}. \quad (3.13)$$

**Remark 3.3.** *The above spaces are the natural extensions of the spaces  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q}$  in [19] to the case of  $\ell$ -forms. There the Banach spaces  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q}$  were defined as the closure of  $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$  with respect to the following norm<sup>22</sup>*

$$\|h\|_{p,q} = \sup_{0 \leq k \leq p} \sup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \\ G \in \tilde{\Sigma}}} \sup_{\substack{v_1, \dots, v_k \in \mathcal{V}(W_{\alpha,G}) \\ |v_i|_{C^r} \leq 1}} \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in C_0^q(W_{\alpha,G}, \mathbb{R}) \\ |\varphi|_{C^{k+q}} \leq 1}} \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} L_{v_1} \cdots L_{v_k}(h) \cdot \varphi \omega_{\text{vol}}.$$

*In particular, we can construct an isomorphism between the Banach space  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q}$  in [19] and the present Banach space  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q,d-1}$ . In fact, let  $i_V : \Omega_r^{\ell+1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{0,r}^\ell(M)$  be the interior product defined by  $i_V(h)(v_1, \dots, v_\ell) = h(V, v_1, \dots, v_\ell)$ . If  $h \in \Omega_{0,r}^\ell(M)$ , then  $(-1)^\ell i_V(h \wedge dV) = h$  where  $dV$  is any one form such that  $dV(V) = 1$ . That is  $i_V(\Omega_r^{\ell+1}(M)) = \Omega_{0,r}^\ell(M)$ . Next, since  $i_V(\Omega_{0,r}^{\ell+1}(M)) = 0$ , we can define  $i_{V,0} : \Omega_r^{\ell+1}(M)/\Omega_{0,r}^{\ell+1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{0,r}^\ell(M)$  and obtain by a standard algebraic construction that  $i_{V,0}$  is a natural isomorphism. Thus we can define*

$$\tilde{\omega} \doteq i_V \omega, \quad (3.14)$$

*where  $\omega$  is the Riemannian volume. Now we define  $\mathbf{i} : C^r(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{0,r}^{d-1}(M)$  where  $\mathbf{i}(f) \doteq f \cdot \tilde{\omega}$ , which is an isomorphism since  $\Omega_{0,r}^d = \{0\}$ . Clearly  $\mathbf{i}$  can be extended to an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q,d-1}$ .*

We now prove some properties of the spaces  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$ . To this end we will use some estimates on how fast an element of the space can be approximated by smooth forms. Such estimates will be proven in Subsection 3.3.

Given a form  $h \in \Omega^\ell$ , we can define a functional by

$$[j(h)](g) \doteq \langle h, g \rangle_{\Omega^\ell} \quad \text{where} \quad g \in \Omega_s^\ell(M). \quad (3.15)$$

The space of such functionals, equipped with the  $*$ -weak topology of  $\Omega_s^\ell(M)'$ ,<sup>23</sup> gives rise to the space  $\mathcal{E}_s^\ell$  of currents of regularity  $s$ .

The following extends [19, Proposition 4.1] and [19, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 3.4.** *For each  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ , there is a canonical injection from the space  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$  to a subspace of  $\mathcal{E}_{p+q}^\ell$ .*

<sup>22</sup> In [19] the coordinate charts are chosen with slightly different properties. However for our purposes they are equivalent.

<sup>23</sup> As usual, given a Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$ , by  $\mathcal{B}'$  we mean the dual space.

*Proof.* Since we can foliate  $M$  by manifolds in  $\Sigma$ , given the definition (3.15) and equation 3.4 we have

$$[j(h)](g) \leq C_{\#} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell} \|g\|_{\Omega_{p+q}^{\ell}(M)}. \quad (3.16)$$

Thus  $j$  can be extended to a continuous immersion of  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$  in  $\mathcal{E}_{p+q}^{\ell}$ .

To show injectivity consider a sequence  $\{h_n\} \subset \Omega_{0,p+q}^{\ell}$  that converges to  $h$  in  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$  such that  $j(h) = 0$ . By Lemma 3.7 and (3.21) we have that

$$\int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \langle g, h_n \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} = \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle g, \mathbb{M}_{\varepsilon} h_n \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} [j(h_n)](g_{\varepsilon}),$$

where

$$g_{\varepsilon}(x) \doteq \sum_{\beta, \bar{i}} \omega_{\beta, \bar{i}}(x) J\Theta_{\beta}(x) \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \omega_{\text{vol}}(y) \psi_{\beta}(y) \kappa(\Theta_{\beta}(x) - \Theta_{\beta}(y)) \langle \omega_{\beta, \bar{i}}, g \rangle_y \in \Omega_r^{\ell}.$$

Moreover, by equation (3.16) and Lemma 3.7 it follows that

$$|[j(h_n)](g_{\varepsilon}) - [j(h_m)](g_{\varepsilon})| \leq C_{\#} \|g\|_{\Gamma_0^{\ell,q}(\alpha,G)} \|h_n - h_m\|_{0,q,\ell}.$$

Thus, we can exchange the limits with respect to  $n$  and  $\varepsilon$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \langle g, h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \langle g, h_n \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [j(h_n)](g_{\varepsilon}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} [j(h)](g_{\varepsilon}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

which implies  $\|h\|_{0,q,\ell} = 0$ . By similar computations, using equations (3.20) and (3.23) to deal with the derivatives, we obtain  $\|h\|_{p,q,\ell} = 0$ . Thus  $j$  is injective and we obtain the statement of the theorem.  $\square$

We conclude this section by proving a compactness result which is essential in the implementation of the usual Lasota-Yorke strategy.

**Lemma 3.5.** *For each  $q > 0, p \geq 1$ , the unit ball of  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$  is compact in  $\mathcal{B}^{p-1,q+1,\ell}$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell}$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove sequential compactness. Let  $\{h_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$ ,  $\|h_n\|_{p,q,\ell} \leq 1$ . By Lemma 3.7 we can construct  $\{h_{\varepsilon,n}\}$  such that  $\|h_{\varepsilon,n} - h_n\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell} \leq C_{\#}\varepsilon$  and  $\|h_{\varepsilon,n}\|_{C^p} \leq C_{\#}\varepsilon^{-d_s - q}$ . By the Ascoli-Arzelá theorem there exists a subsequence  $\{h_{\varepsilon,n_j}\}$  that is convergent in  $C^{p-1}$ . Such a sequence is also convergent in  $\mathcal{B}^{p-1,q+1,\ell}$ . Compactness follows then by the usual diagonalization argument.  $\square$

### 3.3 Averaging operators

The following operators are used extensively in this paper.

**Definition 3.6.** Let  $\kappa \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that  $\int \kappa(x) dx = 1$ ,  $\text{supp } \kappa \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  and let  $\kappa_\varepsilon(x) \doteq \varepsilon^{-d} \kappa(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ . For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ , let  $\Psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, GL(\binom{d}{\ell}, \mathbb{R}))$  with  $\text{supp } \Psi \subset B_d(0, 2\delta)^2$ . We define<sup>24</sup> the operator  $\mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \Psi, \varepsilon} : \Omega_r^\ell \rightarrow \Omega_r^\ell$  by

$$\mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \Psi, \varepsilon}(h)(x) \doteq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \notin U_\alpha \\ \sum_{\bar{i}, \bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_\ell} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi(\Theta_\alpha(x), y)_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}} \kappa_\varepsilon(\Theta_\alpha(x) - y) \right. \\ \quad \left. \times \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{j}}, h \rangle_{\Theta_\alpha^{-1}(y)} dy \right] \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}} & \text{if } x \in U_\alpha. \end{cases}$$

Given the particular choice  $\bar{\Psi}(x, y)_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}} \doteq \psi_\alpha(\Theta_\alpha^{-1}(x)) \delta_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}}$  we define

$$\mathbb{M}_\varepsilon \doteq \sum_{\alpha} \mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \varepsilon} \doteq \sum_{\alpha} \mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \bar{\Psi}, \varepsilon}.$$

Lastly, given the duality induced by (3.4), we define the operators  $\mathbb{M}'_{\alpha, \varepsilon}, \mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon$ , as those such that for all  $h, g \in \Omega_r^\ell$  we have<sup>25</sup>

$$\langle \mathbb{M}'_{\alpha, \varepsilon} h, g \rangle_{\Omega_r^\ell} = \langle h, \mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \varepsilon} g \rangle_{\Omega_r^\ell} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon h, g \rangle_{\Omega_r^\ell} = \langle h, \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon g \rangle_{\Omega_r^\ell}.$$

**Lemma 3.7.** There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that, for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ ,  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ,  $q > 0, p \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $h \in \Omega_{p+q}^\ell$ , we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{M}_\varepsilon h\|_{p, q, \ell} + \|\mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon h\|_{p, q, \ell} &\leq C_{p, q, \kappa} \|h\|_{p, q, \ell}, \\ \|\mathbb{M}_\varepsilon h\|_{C^p(\Omega, M)} + \|\mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon h\|_{C^p(\Omega, M)} &\leq C_{p, q, \kappa} \varepsilon^{-d_s - q} \|h\|_{p, q, \ell}, \\ \|h - \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon h\|_{p-1, q+1, \ell} + \|h - \mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon h\|_{p-1, q+1, \ell} &\leq C_{p, q, \kappa} \varepsilon \|h\|_{p, q, \ell}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We will give a proof only for the operator  $\mathbb{M}_\varepsilon$ , the proof for  $\mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon$  being similar. To prove the first inequality we begin by estimating the integral in (3.10) for the case  $p = 0$ . First of all note that, for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}, W_{\beta, G} \in \Sigma$  and  $\Psi$ , if

$$\int_{W_{\beta, G}} \langle g, \mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \Psi, \varepsilon} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} \neq 0, \quad (3.17)$$

<sup>24</sup> Obviously our definition depends on the choice of the function  $\kappa$ , and in the future some special choice of  $\kappa$  will be made. However, we choose not to explicitly show the dependence as a subscript to simplify the notation.

<sup>25</sup> By the duality relation  $\mathbb{M}'$  is then also defined on currents. In addition, note that  $\mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \varepsilon} \Omega_{0, r}^\ell \cup \mathbb{M}'_{\alpha, \varepsilon} \Omega_{0, r}^\ell \subset \Omega_{0, r}^\ell$ .

then  $W_{\beta,G} \cap \overline{U}_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . By the definition of  $\Sigma$ , it follows that there exists  $W_{\alpha,G'} \in \Sigma$  such that  $W_{\beta,G} \cap \overline{U}_\alpha \subset W_{\alpha,G'}$ . On the other hand, by Definition 3.6, the integrand is supported in  $\overline{U}_\alpha$ , thus

$$\int_{W_{\beta,G}} \langle g, \mathbb{M}_{\alpha,\Psi,\varepsilon} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} = \int_{W_{\alpha,G'}} \langle g, \mathbb{M}_{\alpha,\Psi,\varepsilon} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}}.$$

Thus it suffices to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_{\alpha,G_{x,F}}} \langle g, \mathbb{M}_{\alpha,\Psi,\varepsilon} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} &= \sum_{\bar{i}, \bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_\ell} \int_{B_{d_s}(0, 2\delta)} d\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \Psi(G_{x,F}(\xi), y)_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}} \\ &\quad \times g_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1} \circ G_{x,F}(\xi) h_{\alpha, \bar{j}} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1}(y) \kappa_\varepsilon(G_{x,F}(\xi) - y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_{\alpha, \bar{i}}(z) = \langle h, \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \rangle_z$  and  $g_{\alpha, \bar{i}}(z) = \langle g, \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \rangle_z$  for each  $z \in U_\alpha$ .

Next, we keep  $\xi \in B_{d_s}(0, 2\delta)$  fixed and we consider the change of variables  $\zeta = G_{x,F}(\xi) - y$ . By Fubini-Tonelli theorem and the definition of  $G_{x,F}$  we can exchange the integrals and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_{\alpha,G_{x,F}}} \langle g, \mathbb{M}_{\alpha,\Psi,\varepsilon} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} &= \sum_{\bar{i}, \bar{j} \in \mathcal{I}_\ell} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\zeta \kappa_\varepsilon(\zeta) \int_{B_{d_s}(0, 2\delta)} d\xi \Psi(G_{x,F}(\xi), G_{x-\zeta,F}(\xi))_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}} \\ &\quad \times g_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1} \circ G_{x,F}(\xi) h_{\alpha, \bar{j}} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1} \circ G_{x-\zeta,F}(\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Now given our admissible test function  $g$  and a leaf  $W_{\alpha,G_{x,F}}$ , we can define admissible elements  $g_{\zeta,\Psi}$  and  $W_{\alpha,G_{x_\zeta,F}}$ , as follows. Let  $x_\zeta \doteq x - \zeta$ ,  $g_{\zeta,\Psi, \bar{j}} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1}(z) \doteq \sum_{\bar{i}} \Psi(z + \zeta, z)_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}} g_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1}(z + \zeta)$  and  $g_{\zeta,\Psi} = \sum_{\bar{i}} g_{\zeta,\Psi, \bar{i}} \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}$ , so that

$$\sum_{\bar{i}} \Psi(G_{x,F}(\xi), G_{x-\zeta,F}(\xi))_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}} g_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1} \circ G_{x,F}(\xi) = g_{\zeta,\Psi, \bar{j}} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1} \circ G_{x_\zeta,F}(\xi).$$

Then

$$\int_{W_{\alpha,G_{x,F}}} \langle g, \mathbb{M}_{\alpha,\Psi,\varepsilon} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} d\zeta \kappa_\varepsilon(\zeta) \int_{W_{\alpha,G_{x_\zeta,F}}} \langle g_{\zeta,\Psi}, h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}}. \quad (3.19)$$

Note that, since the cones are constant in the charts,  $G_{x_\zeta,F} \in \Sigma$  for each  $\zeta$  small enough. Since,  $\|g_{\zeta,\Psi}\|_{\Gamma_0^{\ell,q}(\alpha, G_{x_\zeta,F})} \leq C_q \|\Psi\|_{C^q} \|g\|_{\Gamma_0^{\ell,p+q}(\alpha, G_{x,F})}$  we have

$$\|\mathbb{M}_{\alpha,\Psi,\varepsilon} h\|_{0,q} \leq C_q \|\Psi\|_{C^q} \|h\|_{0,q}.$$

The first inequality of the Lemma, for  $p = 0$ , follows.

Next we treat the case  $p > 0$ . We need to compute  $L_v \mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \Psi, \varepsilon}$ . To do so, by using the product rule, recall that  $L_v(\omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}) = \sum_{\bar{j}} \rho_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}}(v) \omega_{\alpha, \bar{j}}$ . Moreover by equation (A.6) there exists  $\Psi'_v$  such that we have  $L_v(\Psi(\Theta_\alpha(x), y))_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}} \doteq \Psi'_v(\Theta_\alpha(x), y)_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}}$ . Next, we apply (A.5) to  $L_v \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{j}}, h \rangle_{\Theta_\alpha^{-1}(y)}$ . Lastly, we deal with  $L_v(\kappa_\varepsilon(\Theta_\alpha(x) - y))$  using integration by parts with respect to  $y$ .

By using all the remarks above, we obtain that there exists vectors  $w_1(v), \dots, w_l(v)$ , and functions  $\Psi_v, \Psi_{v,1}, \dots, \Psi_{v,l}$  such that  $\|\Psi_v\|_{C^r} + \sum_l \|\Psi_{v,l}\|_{C^r} \leq C_\# \|\psi\|_{C^r}$  and for which we have

$$L_v \mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \Psi, \varepsilon} h = \mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \Psi_v, \varepsilon} h + \sum_l \mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \Psi_{v,l}, \varepsilon} (L_{w_l} h). \quad (3.20)$$

By iterating the above equality, using the previous bound for  $p = 0$  and equation (3.13), we obtain the first inequality of the Lemma.

To prove the second inequality, given definition (3.9), we must evaluate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, \mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \varepsilon} h \rangle_{\Theta_\alpha^{-1}(z)} &= \psi_\alpha \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1}(z) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \kappa_\varepsilon(z - y) \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, h \rangle_{\Theta_\alpha^{-1}(y)} dy \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_u+1}} dy_{d_s+1} \dots dy_d \int_{W_{\alpha, G(0, y_{d_s+1}, \dots, y_d), 0}} \langle g_{\varepsilon, z, y}, h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where<sup>26</sup>  $\hat{g}_{\varepsilon, z, y, \bar{i}} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1}(\xi) = J[\Theta_\alpha \circ G(0, y_{d_s+1}, \dots, y_d), 0](\xi)^{-1} \kappa_\varepsilon(z - (\xi, y_{d_s+1}, \dots, y_d))$  and  $g_{\varepsilon, z, y} = \hat{g}_{\varepsilon, z, y, \bar{i}} \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}$ . Then, differentiating with respect to  $z$ , yields the desired result.

Finally note that by construction

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} [\mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \Psi, \varepsilon} h](x) = \Psi(\Theta_\alpha(x), \Theta_\alpha(x)) h(x) \doteq \widehat{\Psi}_\alpha(x) h(x).$$

Thus, by (3.20), we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} L_v \mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \Psi, \varepsilon} h = L_v [\widehat{\Psi}_\alpha h]. \quad (3.22)$$

Hence, by (3.19) and the explicit representation of (3.18), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_{\alpha, G_x, F}} \omega_{\text{vol}} \left[ \langle g, \widehat{\Psi}_\alpha h \rangle - \langle g, \mathbb{M}_{\alpha, \Psi, \varepsilon} h \rangle \right] &= \int d\zeta \kappa_\varepsilon(\zeta) \int_0^1 dt \frac{d}{dt} \int_{W_{\alpha, G_{x_t\zeta}, F}} \omega_{\text{vol}} \langle g_{t\zeta, \Psi}, h \rangle \\ &= \int d\zeta \kappa_\varepsilon(\zeta) \int_0^1 dt \int_{W_{\alpha, G_{x_t\zeta}, F}} \omega_{\text{vol}} \langle g_{t\zeta, \Psi}, L_{v_\zeta} h \rangle - \sum_{\bar{i}} \langle g_{t\zeta, \Psi}, \hat{h}_{\alpha, \bar{i}} L_{v_\zeta} \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

<sup>26</sup> As in (A.4),  $J[f]$  is the Jacobian of  $f$ .



where  $v_\zeta = -(\Theta_\alpha^{-1})_*\zeta$ . The last inequality, for  $p = 0$ , follows since  $\|v_\zeta\|_{C^{p+q}} \leq C_\# \varepsilon$ . To obtain the result for  $p > 0$ , one can use (3.20) and (3.22) repeatedly.  $\square$

The above Lemma has a useful corollary.

**Corollary 3.8.** *Both  $\mathbb{M}_\varepsilon$  and  $\mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon$  extend to bounded operators on  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$  and  $\mathcal{B}'_{p,q,\ell}$ , respectively. In addition, if  $h \in \mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$ , then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|h - \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon h\|_{p,q,\ell} + \|h - \mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon h\|_{p,q,\ell} = 0. \quad (3.24)$$

*Proof.* By definition, there exists a sequence  $\{h_n\} \subset \Omega_s^\ell$  that converges to  $h$  in  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|h - \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon h\|_{p,q,\ell} &\leq \|h - h_n\|_{p,q,\ell} + \|h_n - \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon h_n\|_{p,q,\ell} \\ &\leq \|h - h_n\|_{p,q,\ell} + C_\# \varepsilon \|h_n\|_{p+1,q-1,\ell} \end{aligned}$$

implies the result.  $\square$

## 4 Transfer operators and Resolvents

Let  $\phi_t : M \rightarrow M$  be a  $C^r$  Anosov flow on a smooth Riemannian  $d$ -dimensional compact manifold with  $r \geq 2$ . The flow induces canonically an action  $\phi_t^*$  on  $\ell$ -forms. Such an action has nice spectral properties only with respect to carefully constructed norms of the type described in section 3. Accordingly, we must specify all the choices involved in the definition of the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q,\ell}$ .

First of all, the “special” direction is obviously given by the vector field  $V$  generating the flow. In addition, we note the following.

**Remark 4.1.** *Without loss of generality, we can assume*

$$\begin{cases} D_0 \Theta_\alpha^{-1} \{(0, u, 0) : u \in \mathbb{R}^{d_u}\} = E^u(\Theta_\alpha^{-1}(0)) \\ D_0 \Theta_\alpha^{-1} \{(s, 0, 0) : s \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s}\} = E^s(\Theta_\alpha^{-1}(0)) \\ \Theta_\alpha^{-1}((s, u, t)) = \phi_t \Theta_\alpha^{-1}((s, u, 0)). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Let  $d_1 = d_s$  and  $d_2 = d_u + 1$ . Given the continuity of the stable and unstable distribution we can choose  $\delta$  so that equations (3.2) and (3.3) are

satisfied with  $\rho_- = 1, \rho = 2$ . Moreover, for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $x \in U_\alpha$  we have  $(\Theta_\alpha)_* E^s(x) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Note that there exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that, for all  $t \geq t_0$ ,<sup>27</sup>

$$(\Theta_\beta \circ \phi_{-t} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1})_*(\mathcal{C}_2) \subset \mathcal{C}_1 \quad (4.2)$$

and for each  $v \in (\Theta_\alpha^{-1})_* \mathcal{C}_2$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  it holds  $\|(\phi_{-t})_*(v)\| > C_\# e^{\lambda t} \|v\|$ . We define  $\Sigma$  as in footnote 21 for  $L$  large enough,  $\mathcal{V}^s = \widehat{\mathcal{V}}^s$  and  $\Gamma_{q,0}^\ell = \widehat{\Gamma}_{q,0}^\ell$ .

This concludes the definition of the Banach spaces and next we must define the action of the flow.

## 4.1 Properties of the transfer operator

Let  $h \in \Omega_{0,s}^\ell(M)$ , then  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\phi_t^* h(V, v_2, \dots, v_\ell) = h(V, (\phi_t)_* v_2, \dots, (\phi_t)_* v_\ell) = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Thus  $\phi_t^*(\Omega_{0,s}^\ell) = \Omega_{0,s}^\ell$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . We recall (2.7), where we defined the operators  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} : \Omega_{0,s}^\ell(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{0,s}^\ell(M)$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \doteq \phi_{-t}^* h.$$

Following the remark (3.3), in the special case of a  $d-1$  form, for  $h \in \Omega_{0,s}^{d-1}(U_\alpha)$  we have  $h = \bar{h} \tilde{\omega}$  where  $\bar{h} \in C^s(M, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\tilde{\omega}$  is defined in (3.14). Then<sup>28</sup>

$$\mathcal{L}_t^{(d-1)} h = \bar{h} \circ \phi_{-t} \det(D\phi_{-t}) \tilde{\omega} \doteq (\mathcal{L}_t \bar{h}) \tilde{\omega}. \quad (4.4)$$

Thus we recover the transfer operator acting on densities studied in [10].

We begin with a Lasota-Yorke type inequality for  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$ .

**Definition 4.2.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $0 \leq \ell \leq d-1$  and  $0 < \lambda < \bar{\lambda}$  where  $\bar{\lambda}$  is as in the Anosov splitting. Let us define*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\ell &\doteq h_{top}(\phi_1) - \lambda |d_s - \ell| \\ \sigma_{p,q} &\doteq \min\{p, q\} \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>27</sup> Indeed, if  $x \in U_\alpha$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $v = v^u + v^s$ ,  $v^u \in (\Theta_\alpha)_*(E^u(x) \times E^c(x))$ ,  $v^s \in (\Theta_\alpha)_*(E^s(x))$ , then  $\|((\phi_{-t} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1})_*(v^u))\| \leq C_0$  while  $\|((\phi_{-t} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1})_*(v^s))\| \geq C_0 e^{\lambda t}$  and the result follows by the fourth part of (3.1).

<sup>28</sup> Note that for  $\omega \in \Omega_{0,s}^{d-1}(M)$  we have  $\det(D\phi_t)\omega = \phi_t^* \omega$ . Hence by (4.3) we have  $\phi_t^* \tilde{\omega}(v_1, \dots, v_{d-1}) = \det(D\phi_t) \tilde{\omega}(v_1, \dots, v_{d-1})$ . That is  $\phi_t^* \tilde{\omega} = \det(D\phi_t) \tilde{\omega}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *There exists  $t_0 > 0$  such that, for each  $p, q \geq 0$ ,  $p + q < r - 1$ ,  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$  and  $t > t_0$  the linear operators  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$  are bounded in the  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q,\ell}$  norm. Accordingly, each such  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$  can be uniquely extended to a bounded operator<sup>29</sup>  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} : \mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$ . Moreover,*

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{0,q,\ell} \leq C_q e^{\sigma_\ell t} \|h\|_{0,q,\ell}.$$

In addition, if  $p, q > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{0,q,\ell} &\leq C_{q,\lambda} e^{(\sigma_\ell - \lambda q)t} \|h\|_{0,q,\ell} + C_{q,\lambda} e^{\sigma_\ell t} \|h\|_{0,q+1,\ell} \\ \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{p,q,\ell} &\leq C_{p,q,\lambda} e^{(\sigma_\ell - \sigma_{p,q})t} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell} + C_{p,q,\lambda} e^{\sigma_\ell t} \|h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell} \\ &\quad + C_{p,q,\lambda} e^{\sigma_\ell t} \|X^{(\ell)} h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of this lemma is the content of subsection 4.3. In particular, note that from the first and last equations of the above lemma and equation (3.13) we have for all  $p, q \geq 0$ ,

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{p,q,\ell} \leq C_{p,q} e^{\sigma_\ell t} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell}.$$

**Remark 4.4.** *Up to now we have extended, yet followed closely, the arguments in [10]. Unfortunately, in Section 7 of [10], the authors did not take into consideration that for  $t \leq t_0$ ,  $\phi_t(W_{\alpha,G})$  is not necessarily controlled by the cones defined by (3.2), and thus could be an inadmissible manifold. Such an issue can be easily fixed by introducing a dynamical norm (similarly to [5]) as done below.*

To take care of the  $t \leq t_0$ , we introduce the dynamical norm  $\|\!\| \cdot \|\!\|_{p,q,\ell}$ . For each  $h \in \Omega_r^\ell(M)$ , we set

$$\|\!\| h \|\!\|_{p,q,\ell} \doteq \sup_{s \leq t_0} \left\| \mathcal{L}_s^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{p,q,\ell}.$$

Thus we can define a space  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,\ell} \doteq \overline{\Omega_{0,r}^\ell}^{\|\!\| \cdot \|\!\|_{p,q,\ell}} \subset \mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$ .

---

<sup>29</sup> Which, by a harmless abuse of notation, we still designate by  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *For each  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $p, q > 0$ ,  $p+q < r-1$ ,  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d-1\}$ ,  $\lambda < \bar{\lambda}$ , for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we have that  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} \in L(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,\ell}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,\ell})$ . Moreover*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{0,q,\ell} &\leq C_q e^{\sigma_\ell t} \|h\|_{0,q,\ell} \\ \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{p,q,\ell} &\leq C_{p,q,\lambda} e^{(\sigma_\ell - \sigma_{p,q})t} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell} + C_{p,q,\lambda} e^{\sigma_\ell t} \|h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell} \\ &\quad + C_{p,q} e^{\sigma_\ell t} \|X^{(\ell)} h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\{\mathcal{L}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$  forms a strongly continuous semigroup.

*Proof.* For  $h \in \Omega_{0,r}^\ell$  Lemma 4.3 implies, for  $t < t_0$ ,

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{0,q,\ell} \leq \max \left\{ \|h\|_{0,q,\ell}, C_q e^{|\sigma_\ell| t_0} \|h\|_{0,q,\ell} \right\} \leq C_q \|h\|_{0,q,\ell},$$

and, for  $t \geq t_0$ , the inequality holds trivially. A similar argument implies the second inequality. The estimate for  $p > 0$  is obtained by induction. The boundedness of  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$  follows.

To conclude, note that  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$  is strongly continuous on  $\Omega_r^\ell(M)$  in the  $C^r$  topology by equation (3.13). Let  $\{h_n\} \subset \Omega_{0,p}^\ell$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h_n - h\|_{p,q,\ell} = 0$ , then, by the boundedness of  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$ ,

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h - h \right\|_{p,q,\ell} \leq C_\# \|h - h_n\|_{p,q,\ell} + \|\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h_n - h_n\|_{\Omega_p^\ell}$$

which can be made arbitrarily small by choosing first  $n$  large and then  $t$  small.  $\square$

## 4.2 Properties of the Resolvent

By standard results, see for example [12], the semigroups  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$  have generators  $X^{(\ell)}$  which are closed operators on  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,\ell}$  such that  $X^{(\ell)} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} = \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$ . If we compute  $X^{(\ell)} R^{(\ell)}(z)^n$  and  $R^{(\ell)}(z)^n X^{(\ell)}$  we obtain the following identity

$$R^{(\ell)}(z)^n = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_0^\infty t^{n-1} e^{-zt} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} dt. \quad (4.5)$$

The next Lemma, which proof can be found at the end of section 4.3, gives an effective Lasota-Yorke inequality for the resolvent.

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $p + q < r - 1$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $a = \Re(z) > \sigma_\ell$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| R^{(\ell)}(z)^n \right\|_{p,q,\ell} &\leq C_{p,q,\lambda} (a - \sigma_\ell)^{-n} \\ \left\| R^{(\ell)}(z)^n h \right\|_{p,q,\ell} &\leq C_{p,q,a,\lambda} \left\{ (a - \sigma_\ell + \sigma_{p,q})^{-n} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell} + \frac{(|z| + 1)}{(a - \sigma_\ell)^n} \|h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover the operator  $R^{(\ell)}(z)$  has essential spectral radius bounded by  $(a - \sigma_\ell + \sigma_{p,q})^{-1}$ .

We are now in the position to obtain the wanted spectral properties.

**Lemma 4.7.** *For  $p + q < r - 1$  the spectrum of the generator  $X^{(\ell)}$  of the semigroup  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$  acting on  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,\ell}$  lies on the left of the line  $\{\sigma_\ell + ib\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}}$  and in the strip  $\sigma_\ell \geq \Re(z) > \sigma_\ell - \sigma_{p,q}$  consists of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. Moreover, for  $\ell = d_s$ ,  $h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$  is an eigenvalue of  $X$ . If the flow is topologically transitive  $h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$  is a simple eigenvalue. If the flow is topologically mixing, then  $h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$  is the only eigenvalue on the line  $\{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) + ib\}_{b \in \mathbb{R}}$ .*

*Proof.* The first part of the Lemma is proven exactly as in [30, Proposition 2.10, Corollary 2.11]. Next, let us analyze the case  $\ell = d_s$ . In each  $E^s(x)$  let  $\tilde{\omega}_s$  be the volume form on  $E^s$  normalized so that  $\|\tilde{\omega}_s\| = 1$ . Also let  $\pi_s(x) : T_x M \rightarrow E^s(x)$  be the projections on  $E^s(x)$  along  $E^u(x) \oplus E^c(x)$ . Remember that  $\pi_s$  is  $\varpi$ -Hölder (see Appendix D). Next, define  $\omega_s(v_1, \dots, v_{d_s}) \doteq \tilde{\omega}_s(\pi_s v_1, \dots, \pi_s v_{d_s})$ , by construction  $\omega_s \in \Omega_{0,\varpi}^{d_s}$ . Note that  $\phi_{-t}^* \omega_s = J_s \phi_{-t} \omega_s$ , where  $J_s \phi_{-t}$  is the Jacobian restricted to the stable manifold. Finally, consider

$$\int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \langle \omega_s, \mathcal{L}_t \omega_s \rangle = \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} J_s \phi_{-t} \geq C_\# \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} J_W \phi_{-t} \geq C_\# \text{vol}(\phi_{-t} W_{\alpha,G}). \quad (4.6)$$

Taking the sup on the manifolds and integrating in time, Appendix C (see in particular Remark C.4) implies that the spectral radius of  $R^{d_s}(a)$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{0,\varpi,d_s}$  is exactly  $(a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-1}$ . Next, the third equation in the statement of Lemma 4.3 implies that the spectral radius of  $R^{d_s}(a)$  is  $(a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-1}$  when acting on each  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{0,q,d_s}$ ,  $q \geq 0$ . In addition, since  $\|h\|_{0,q,d_s} \leq \|h\|_{C^0}$ , it follows that  $\|\mathbb{M}_\varepsilon h - h\|_{0,q,d_s} \leq C_\# \varepsilon^\varpi$ . Thus

$$\left\| R^{d_s}(a)^n \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon h \right\|_{p,q,d_s} \geq \left\| R^{d_s}(a)^n \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon h \right\|_{0,q,d_s} \geq \frac{C_{0,q,d_s,\lambda} [1 - C_{0,q,d_s,\lambda} \varepsilon^\varpi]}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^n}. \quad (4.7)$$

Accordingly, the spectral radius of  $R^{d_s}(a)$  is  $(a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-1}$  when acting on each  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,d_s}$ . Lemma 4.6 implies then that the operator is quasicompact and that its peripheral spectrum does not contain Jordan blocks.<sup>30</sup> In turns, this implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (a - \sigma_{d_s})^k R^{d_s}(a)^k = \begin{cases} \Pi & \text{if } (a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-1} \in \sigma_{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,d_s}}(R^{d_s}(a)) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\Pi$  is the eigenprojector on the associated eigenspace and the convergence takes place in the strong operator topology of  $L(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,d_s}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,d_s})$ . Computing, for  $\varepsilon$  small enough,  $\int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \langle \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon \omega_s, R^{d_s}(a)^k \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon \omega_s \rangle$  as in (4.6), (4.7) it follows that  $0 < \|\Pi \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon \omega_s\|_{0,q,d_s} \leq \|\Pi \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon \omega_s\|_{p,q,d_s}$ . Hence  $\Pi \neq 0$  and  $(a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-1}$  belong to the spectrum. The other claimed properties follow by arguing as in [20, Section 6.2].  $\square$

### 4.3 Proof of the Lasota-Yorke inequality

**Proof of Lemma 4.3.** For each  $\mathbf{a} \in (0, 1)$ , we introduce the norms

$$\|h\|_{p,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}} \doteq \sum_{\varphi=0}^p \mathbf{a}^\varphi \|h\|_{\varphi,q,\ell}^-.$$

As the above norms are equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q,\ell}$ , it suffices to prove the required inequality for some fixed  $\mathbf{a}$  (to be chosen later).

For any  $\varphi, q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $G \in \tilde{\Sigma}$ ,  $v_i \in \mathcal{V}^{\varphi+q}(W_{\alpha,G}^+)$ ,  $g \in \Gamma_0^{\ell,\varphi+q}(\alpha, G)$  such that  $\|g\|_{\Gamma_0^{\ell,\varphi+q}(\alpha,G)} \leq 1$ , we must estimate

$$\int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \langle g, L_{v_1} \cdots L_{v_\varphi} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}}. \quad (4.8)$$

First of all, we consider the atlas introduced at the beginning of section 3. For  $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$  and for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , let  $\{V_{k,\beta}\}_{k \in K_\beta}$ ,  $K_\beta \subset \mathbb{N}$ , be the collection of connected components of  $\Theta_\beta(\phi_{-t}(W_{\alpha,G}) \cap U_\beta)$ . Let  $\tilde{K}_\beta \doteq \{k \in K_\beta : V_{k,\beta} \cap B(0, 2\delta) \neq \emptyset\}$ . For all  $V_{k,\beta}$ , let  $\tilde{V}_{k,\beta}$  be the connected component of  $\Theta_\beta(\phi_{-t}(W_{\alpha,G}^+) \cap U_\beta)$  which contains  $V_{k,\beta}$ . Next, for each  $k \in \tilde{K}_\beta$  choose

<sup>30</sup> A Jordan block would imply that  $\|R^{d_s}(a)^n\|_{p,q,d_s}$  grows at least as  $C_{\#} n (a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-n}$  contrary to the first inequality in Lemma 4.6.

$x_{k,\beta} \in (V_{k,\beta} \cap B(0, 2\delta))$ . By standard uniform hyperbolicity estimates it follows that, provided  $t > t_0$ , there exists  $F_k \in \mathcal{F}_r(2, L)$  (see definition (3.7)) such that  $G_{x_{k,\beta}, F_{k,\beta}}(\xi) = x_{k,\beta} + (\xi, F_{k,\beta}(\xi))$ ,  $\xi \in B(0, 6\delta)$ , and the graph of  $G_{x_{k,\beta}, F_{k,\beta}}$  is contained in  $\tilde{V}_{k,\beta}$ . Next we consider the manifolds

$$\{W_{\beta, G_{x_{k,\beta}, F_{k,\beta}}}\}_{k \in \tilde{K}_\beta} \subset U_\beta. \quad (4.9)$$

From now on let us write  $W_{\beta, G_k}$  for  $W_{\beta, G_{x_{k,\beta}, F_{k,\beta}}}$ . Note that the above construction provides an explicit analogue of Lemma 7.2 in [10].

In the following it will be convenient to use the *Hodge operator* “ $*$ ”, see Appendix A for details. Let us start with the case  $\varphi = 0$ . By using formula (A.4), changing variables and setting<sup>31</sup>  $J_W \phi_t = \left| \det \left( D\phi_t|_{TW_{\beta, G_k}} \right) \right|$ , we obtain<sup>32</sup>

$$\int_{W_{\alpha, G}} \langle g, \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} = \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathcal{A} \\ k \in \tilde{K}_\beta}} \int_{W_{\beta, G_k}} (-1)^{(d-\ell)\ell} \psi_\beta \frac{J_W \phi_t}{J \phi_t} \langle * \phi_t^* (*g), h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}}. \quad (4.10)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} * \phi_t^* (*g) &= \sum_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}} \langle \omega_{\beta, \bar{i}}, * \phi_t^* \omega_{\alpha, \bar{j}} \rangle g_{\bar{j}} \circ \phi_t \cdot \omega_{\beta, \bar{i}} \\ &= (-1)^{(d-\ell)\ell} J \phi_t \sum_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}} \langle \phi_{-t}^* \omega_{\beta, \bar{i}}, \omega_{\alpha, \bar{j}} \rangle \circ \phi_t \cdot g_{\bar{j}} \circ \phi_t \cdot \omega_{\beta, \bar{i}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (A.4) again. Accordingly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|[J \phi_t^{-1} J_W \phi_t \cdot \psi_\beta \cdot (* \phi_t^* (*g))]\] \circ \Theta_\beta^{-1} \circ G_k \|_{C^q} &\leq C_\# \sup_{\bar{j}} \|g_{\bar{j}} \circ \phi_t \circ \Theta_\beta^{-1} \circ G_k\|_{C^q} \\ &\times \|[J_W \phi_t \cdot \langle \phi_{-t}^* \omega_{\beta, \bar{i}}, \omega_{\alpha, \bar{j}} \rangle \circ \phi_t] \circ \Theta_\beta^{-1} \circ G_k\|_{C^q}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Next, we estimate the factors of the above product. Note that there exist maps  $\Xi_t \in C^r(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$\Theta_\alpha \circ \phi_t \circ \Theta_\beta^{-1} \circ G_k = G \circ \Xi_t. \quad (4.12)$$

<sup>31</sup> In the following will use interchangeably the notations  $\det(D\phi_t)$  and  $J\phi_t$ , since, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\det(D\phi_t) > 0$ .

<sup>32</sup> Since  $\langle g, h \rangle$  can be seen as a function on  $M$ , we will often use the notation  $F^* \langle g, h \rangle$  for  $\langle g, h \rangle \circ F$ .

Moreover, by the contraction properties of the Anosov flow,  $\|D\Xi_t\|_{C^{r-1}} \leq C_\# e^{-\bar{\lambda}t}$ .<sup>33</sup> Thus, by the properties of  $r$ -norms (see footnote 17),

$$\|g_{\bar{j}} \circ \phi_t \circ \Theta_\beta^{-1} \circ G_k\|_{C^q} \leq C_\# \|g \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1} \circ G\|_{C^q}. \quad (4.13)$$

Next, note that  $|\langle \phi_{-t}^* \omega_{\beta, \bar{i}}, \omega_{\alpha, \bar{j}} \rangle|$  is bounded by the growth of  $\ell$ -volumes while  $J_W \phi_t$  gives the contraction of  $d_s$  volumes in the stable direction. Clearly, the latter is simply the inverse of the maximal growth of  $|\langle \phi_{-t}^* \omega_{\beta, \bar{i}}, \omega_{\alpha, \bar{j}} \rangle|$  which takes place for  $d_s$  forms. By the Anosov property it follows that

$$\|J_W \phi_t \circ \Theta_\beta^{-1} \circ G_k \cdot \langle \phi_{-t}^* \omega_{\beta, \bar{i}}, \omega_{\alpha, \bar{j}} \rangle \circ \phi_t \circ \Theta_\beta^{-1} \circ G_k\|_{C^0} \leq C_\# e^{-|d_s - \ell| \bar{\lambda} t}. \quad (4.14)$$

To compute the  $C^q$  norm, for  $q \geq 1$ , we begin by computing the Lie derivative with respect to the vector fields  $Z_i = \partial_{\xi_i}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, d_s\}$ . Let us set  $\Upsilon_t \doteq \phi_t \circ \Theta_\beta^{-1} \circ G_k$ . By (A.5) and (A.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{Z_i} [\langle \phi_{-t}^* v, w \rangle \circ \Upsilon_t] &= \Upsilon_t^* L_{\Upsilon_{t*} Z_i} \langle \phi_{-t}^* v, w \rangle \\ &= -\Upsilon_t^* [\operatorname{div}(\Upsilon_{t*} Z_i) \langle \phi_{-t}^* v, w \rangle] + (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} \Upsilon_t^* \langle *L_{\Upsilon_{t*} Z_i} * w, \phi_{-t}^* v \rangle \\ &\quad + \Upsilon_t^* \langle w, L_{(\Theta_\beta^{-1} \circ G_k)*} Z_i v \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Hence, recalling again (3.6) and its properties, for  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\langle \phi_{-t}^* v, w \rangle \circ \Upsilon_t\|_{C^q} &\leq C_\# \sup_i \|L_{Z_i} [\langle \phi_{-t}^* v, w \rangle \circ \Upsilon_t]\|_{C^{q-1}} \\ &\quad + 2^{|q|+1} \|\langle \phi_{-t}^* v, w \rangle \circ \Upsilon_t\|_{C^0} \\ &\leq C_q \left\{ \|\operatorname{div}(\Upsilon_{t*} Z_i) \langle \phi_{-t}^* v, w \rangle\|_{C^{q-1}} + \|L_{(\Theta_\beta^{-1} \circ G_k)*} Z_i v, w\|_{C^{q-1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|\langle \phi_{-t}^* v, *L_{\Upsilon_{t*} Z_i} * w \rangle\|_{C^{q-1}} + \|\langle \phi_{-t}^* v, w \rangle\|_{C^0} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be used inductively to show that the  $C^q$  norm is bounded by the  $C^0$  norm. The computation for the case  $q \in \mathbb{R}$  being similar. Finally, by (4.14), (4.13), and (4.11) we have that

$$\|\psi_\beta J \phi_t^{-1} J_W \phi_{-t} * \phi_t * g\|_{\Gamma_0^{\ell, q}} \leq C_\# e^{-\bar{\lambda} |d_s - \ell| t}. \quad (4.16)$$

This provides an estimate for each term in (4.10). We are left with the task of estimating the number of manifolds. Note that such number at time  $t$  is bounded by a constant times the size of the manifold  $\phi_{-t}(W_{\alpha, G})$ . Moreover,

<sup>33</sup> See the Appendix in [10] for details.



it turns out that such volumes grow proportionally to  $e^{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)t}$  (see Appendix C). Thus

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{0,q,\ell}^- \leq C_q e^{-\bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell|t} e^{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)t} \|h\|_{0,q,\ell}^-. \quad (4.17)$$

To establish the second inequality note that, by analogy with [19, Lemma 6.6], for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $g_\varepsilon \in \Gamma_0^{\ell,r}(W_{\alpha,G})$  such that, setting  $q' = \max\{0, q - 1\}$ ,

$$\|g_\varepsilon - g\|_{\Gamma_0^{\ell,q'}} \leq C_q \varepsilon^{q-q'}; \|g_\varepsilon\|_{\Gamma_0^{\ell,q}} \leq C_q; \|g_\varepsilon\|_{\Gamma_0^{\ell,q+1}} \leq C_q \varepsilon^{-1}.$$

Thus, writing  $g = (g - g_\varepsilon) + g_\varepsilon$  we can apply all the above estimates to the two pieces, where for  $g_\varepsilon$  we use  $q + 1$  instead of  $q$ . To do so we use the estimate (4.13) for the first piece, which after setting  $\psi_{t,k} = \phi_t \circ \Theta_\beta^{-1} \circ G_k$ , for  $q \notin \mathbb{N}$ , now reads<sup>34</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \|(g - g_\varepsilon)_{\bar{j}} \circ \psi_{t,k}\|_{C^q} &\leq C_q \varepsilon^{q-q'} + \sup_{i_1, \dots, i_{[q]}} H^{q-[q]} \left( L_{Z_{i_1}} \dots L_{Z_{i_{[q]}}} [(g - g_\varepsilon)_{\bar{j}} \circ \psi_{t,k}] \right) \\ &\leq C_q (\varepsilon^{\min\{1,q\}} + e^{-\bar{\lambda}qt}), \end{aligned}$$

the case  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  being easier. Doing so yields the inequality

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{0,q,\ell}^- \leq C_q e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell| - \bar{\lambda}q)t} \|h\|_{0,q,\ell}^- + C_{q,t} \|h\|_{0,q+1,\ell}^-. \quad (4.18)$$

We are left with the case  $\wp > 0$ . We can apply Lemma 7.4 in [10] to decompose each  $v \in \mathcal{V}^{\wp+q}(\phi_t(W_{\beta, G_{x_k, F_k}}))$  as  $v = v^s + v^u + v^V$  where  $v^s$  is tangent to  $\phi_t(W_{\beta, G_{x_k, F_k}})$ ,  $v^u$  is “close” to the unstable direction and  $v^V$  is the component in the flow direction. Let  $\sigma \in \{s, u, V\}^\wp$ , then (4.8) reads

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \{s, u, V\}^\wp} \int_{W_{\alpha, G}} \langle g, L_{v_1^{\sigma_1}} \dots L_{v_\wp^{\sigma_\wp}} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}}. \quad (4.19)$$

Since  $L_v L_w h = L_w L_v h + L_{[v,w]} h$ , we can reorder the derivatives as to have first those with respect to the stable components, then those with respect to the unstable, and then finally those in the flow direction. By permuting the Lie derivative in such a way we introduce extra terms with less than  $\wp$  derivatives, and these extra terms contribute only to weaker norms. For

<sup>34</sup> By  $H^\eta(f)$  we mean the Hölder constant of  $f$ , i.e.  $\sup_{\|x-y\| \leq \delta} \frac{\|f(x) - f(y)\|}{\|x-y\|^\eta}$ .

each  $\sigma \in \{s, u, V\}^\wp$  let  $p_s(\sigma) = \#\{i \mid \sigma_i = s\}$ ,  $p_u(\sigma) = \#\{i \mid \sigma_i = u\}$  and  $p_V(\sigma) = \#\{i \mid \sigma_i = V\}$ . For each  $p_s, p_u, p_V \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p_s + p_u + p_V = \wp$ , let  $\Sigma_{\bar{p}} = \{\sigma : p_s = p_s(\sigma), p_u = p_u(\sigma), p_V = p_V(\sigma)\}$ . Next, for each  $\sigma \in \Sigma_{\bar{p}}$  we define the permutation  $\pi_\sigma$  by  $\pi_\sigma(i) < \pi_\sigma(i+1)$  for  $i \notin \{p_s, p_s + p_u\}$ ;  $\sigma_{\pi(i)} = s$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, p_s\}$ ;  $\sigma_{\pi(i)} = u$  for  $i \in \{p_s + 1, \dots, p_s + p_u\}$  and  $\sigma_{\pi(i)} = V$  for  $i \in \{\wp - p_V + 1, \dots, \wp\}$ . We can then bound the absolute value of (4.19) by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p_s + p_u + p_V = \wp} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\bar{p}}} \left| \int_{W_{\alpha, G}} \langle g, L_{v_{\pi_\sigma(1)}^s} \cdots L_{v_{\pi_\sigma(p_s)}^s} L_{v_{\pi_\sigma(p_s+1)}^u} \cdots L_{v_{\pi_\sigma(p_s+p_u)}^u} \right. \\ & \left. \times L_{v_{\pi_\sigma(p_s+p_u+1)}^V} \cdots L_{v_{\pi_\sigma(\wp)}^V} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} \right| + \mathbf{a}^{-\wp+1} C_{\wp, q} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{\wp-1, q, \ell, \mathbf{a}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

To simplify the notation we define<sup>35</sup>

$$\tilde{v}_i = \begin{cases} v_{\pi_\sigma(i)}^s & \text{for } i \leq p_s \\ v_{\pi_\sigma(i)}^u & \text{for } p_s + 1 \leq i \leq p_s + p_u \\ v_{\pi_\sigma(i)}^V & \text{for } i \geq p_s + p_u + 1. \end{cases}$$

We will analyze the terms of (4.20) one by one. First of all, suppose  $p_V \neq 0$ , then  $v_i = \gamma_i V$  for  $i > p_s + p_u$ . Using equation (A.7),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{W_{\alpha, G}} \langle g, L_{\tilde{v}_1} \cdots L_{\tilde{v}_\wp} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{W_{\alpha, G}} \langle g, L_{\tilde{v}_1} \cdots L_{\tilde{v}_{\wp-1}} (\gamma_\wp \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} X^{(\ell)} h) \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} \right| \\ &\leq C_{\wp, q} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} X^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{\wp-1, q+1, \ell}^- + \mathbf{a}^{-\wp+1} C_{\wp, q} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{\wp-1, q, \ell, \mathbf{a}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

Next, suppose  $p_V = 0$  but  $p_s \neq 0$ . By (A.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{W_{\alpha, G}} \langle g, L_{\tilde{v}_1} \cdots L_{\tilde{v}_\wp} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{W_{\alpha, G}} L_{\tilde{v}_1} \langle g, L_{\tilde{v}_2} \cdots L_{\tilde{v}_\wp} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} \right| + C_{\wp, q} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{\wp-1, q, \ell}^-. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>35</sup> In the following we should write  $\tilde{v}_{\sigma, i}$ . However, since the following arguments are done for each fixed  $\sigma$ , we drop the subscripts for ease the notation.

Then<sup>36</sup>

$$d(fi_v\omega_{\text{vol}}) = L_v(f\omega_{\text{vol}}) = (-1)^{d_s+1}(L_v f)\omega_{\text{vol}} + fd(i_v\omega_{\text{vol}}).$$

Thus, by Stokes theorem, the integral is bounded by  $C_{\varphi,q} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{\varphi-1,q+1,\ell}^-$  and

$$\left| \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \langle g, L_{\tilde{v}_1} \cdots L_{\tilde{v}_\varphi} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} \right| \leq C_{\varphi,q} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{\varphi-1,q,\ell}^-. \quad (4.22)$$

Finally, suppose  $p_u = \varphi$ . Recalling (A.6) yields

$$\int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \langle g, L_{\tilde{v}_1} \cdots L_{\tilde{v}_\varphi} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} = \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \langle g, \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} L_{\phi_{-t^*}\tilde{v}_1} \cdots L_{\phi_{-t^*}\tilde{v}_\varphi} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}}.$$

By construction we have  $\|\phi_{-t^*}\tilde{v}_i\|_{C^{p+q}} \leq C_{\#}e^{-\bar{\lambda}t}$ . Hence, using (4.18) and (3.13) yields for  $\varphi < p$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \langle g, L_{\tilde{v}_1} \cdots L_{\tilde{v}_\varphi} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} \right| &\leq C_{q,t} \|L_{\phi_{-t^*}\tilde{v}_1} \cdots L_{\phi_{-t^*}\tilde{v}_\varphi} h\|_{0,q+\varphi+1,\ell}^- \\ &\quad + C_q e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell| - q\bar{\lambda})t} \|L_{\phi_{-t^*}\tilde{v}_1} \cdots L_{\phi_{-t^*}\tilde{v}_\varphi} h\|_{0,q+\varphi,\ell}^- \\ &\leq C_q e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell| - (q+\varphi)\bar{\lambda})t} \|h\|_{\varphi,q,\ell}^- + C_{\varphi,q,t} \|h\|_{\varphi,q+1,\ell}^-. \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

Note that the last term can be estimated by the  $\|\cdot\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell,\mathbf{a}}$  norm, except in the case  $\varphi = p$ . In such a case we use instead (4.17) which yields, for each  $\varphi \leq p$ ,

$$\left| \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \langle g, L_{\tilde{v}_1} \cdots L_{\tilde{v}_\varphi} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} \right| \leq C_q e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell| - \varphi\bar{\lambda})t} \|h\|_{\varphi,q,\ell}^-. \quad (4.24)$$

Collecting together (4.18), (4.21), (4.22), (4.23) and (4.24) we have, for  $t > t_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h\|_{p,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}} &\leq C_q e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell| - q\bar{\lambda})t} \|h\|_{0,q,\ell}^- + C_{q,t} \|h\|_{0,q+1,\ell}^- \\ &\quad + C_{p,q,\mathbf{a}} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{p-1,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}} + \sum_{\varphi=1}^p \mathbf{a}^\varphi C_{\varphi,q} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} X^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{\varphi-1,q+1,\ell}^- \\ &\quad + \sum_{\varphi=1}^{p-1} \mathbf{a}^\varphi C_{\varphi,q} e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell| - \bar{\lambda}(q+\varphi)t} \|h\|_{\varphi,q,\ell}^- + C_{p,q,\mathbf{a},t} \|\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell,\mathbf{a}} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{a}^p C_{p,q} e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell| - \bar{\lambda}p)t} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell}^-. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>36</sup> Here we are doing differential calculus intrinsically in the manifold  $W_{\alpha,G}$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h\|_{p,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}} &\leq C_{p,q} e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell| - \min\{p,q\}\bar{\lambda})t} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}} \\ &\quad + C_{p,q,\mathbf{a},t} \|h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell,\mathbf{a}} + C_{p,q,\mathbf{a},t} \|\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell,\mathbf{a}} \\ &\quad + C_{p,q,\mathbf{a}} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} X^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell,\mathbf{a}} + C_{p,q,\mathbf{a}} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{p,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

While, if we do not use (4.18) and (4.23), we have, for  $\mathbf{a} \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}_t h\|_{p,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}} &\leq C_{p,q} e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell|)t} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}} \\ &\quad + C_{p,q,\mathbf{a}} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} X^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell,\mathbf{a}} + C_{p,q,\mathbf{a}} \left\| \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{p-1,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, for which (4.17) is the initial step, it follows that, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{L}_t h\|_{p,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}} \leq C_{p,q} e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell|)t} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}}. \quad (4.26)$$

Next, we choose  $t_*$  such that for  $\lambda < \bar{\lambda}$  we have that<sup>37</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} C_{p,q} e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell|)t_*} &\leq e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \lambda|d_s - \ell|)t_*} \\ C_{p,q} e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda}|d_s - \ell| - \min\{p,q\}\bar{\lambda})t_*} &\leq e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \lambda|d_s - \ell| - \min\{p,q\}\lambda)t_*}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, choosing  $\mathbf{a} = e^{-\min\{p,q\}t_*}$ , from (4.25) and (4.26) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{L}_{t_*} h\|_{p,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}} &\leq e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \lambda|d_s - \ell| - \min\{p,q\}\lambda)t_*} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell,\mathbf{a}} \\ &\quad + C_{p,q,\mathbf{a},t_*} \|h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell,\mathbf{a}} + C_{p,q,\mathbf{a}} \left\| \mathcal{L}_{t_*}^{(\ell)} X^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell,\mathbf{a}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

Iterating (4.26) by time steps of size  $t_*$ , and recalling and (4.27), the Lemma follows.  $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 4.6.** By the second inequality of Lemma 4.5, equation (3.13), and since  $\|X^{(\ell)} h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell} \leq \|h\|_{p,q,\ell}$ , we have<sup>38</sup>

$$\|R^{(\ell)}(z)^n h\|_{p,q,\ell} \leq \frac{C_{p,q,\lambda}}{(n-1)!} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-at + \sigma_\ell t} t^{n-1} = \frac{C_{p,q,\lambda}}{(a - \sigma_\ell)^n} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell}.$$

Thus, provided  $\Re(z) > \sigma_\ell$ , we have that  $R^{(\ell)}(z)^n \in L(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,\ell}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,\ell})$ .

<sup>37</sup> In this case  $C_{p,q}$  refers exactly to the constants in (4.25) and (4.26) respectively.

<sup>38</sup> Note that for each  $a > 0, \alpha \geq 0$ , we have  $\int_\alpha^\infty x^n e^{-ax} dx = e^{-a\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{k!} \frac{\alpha^k}{a^{n-k+1}}$ .

Let us introduce a truncated resolvent

$$R_{n,\ell}(z) \doteq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} t^{n-1} e^{-zt} \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| R^{(\ell)}(z)^n - R_{n,\ell}(z) \right\|_{p,q,\ell} &\leq \int_0^{t_0} \frac{C_{p,q,\lambda} t^{n-1} e^{-(a-\sigma_\ell)t}}{(n-1)!} \\ &\leq C_{p,q,\lambda} \frac{t_0^n}{n!} \leq C_{p,q,\lambda} (a - \sigma_\ell + \sigma_{p,q})^{-n}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

provided  $n \geq t_0 e(a - \sigma_\ell + \sigma_{p,q})$ .<sup>39</sup> To prove the second inequality of the Lemma it suffices to estimate  $R_{n,\ell}(z)$ . To this end we follow the same computation used to estimate the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q,\ell}$  in Lemma 4.3. The only difference being in the treatment of (4.21). Indeed, since  $X\mathcal{L}_t = \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{L}_t$ , integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{n-1} e^{-zt}}{(n-1)!} \langle \gamma_\varphi g, L_{\tilde{v}_1} \cdots L_{\tilde{v}_{\varphi-1}}(\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} X^{(\ell)} h) \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} \right| \\ &\leq C_{\varphi,q} |z| \|h\|_{\varphi-1,q+1,\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|R_n(z)h\|_{p,q,\ell} \leq \frac{C_{p,q,a,\lambda} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell}}{(a - \sigma_\ell + \sigma_{p,q})^n} + \frac{C_{p,q,a,\lambda} (|z| + 1)}{(a - \sigma_\ell)^n} \|h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell}. \quad (4.29)$$

The first part of the Lemma then follows as in Lemma 4.5.

To prove the bound on the essential spectral radius of  $R^{(\ell)}(z)^n$  we argue by analogy with [30, Proposition 2.10, Corollary 2.11]. Nussbaum's formula [37] asserts that if  $r_n$  is the infimum of the  $r$  such that  $\{R^{(\ell)}(z)^n h\}_{\|h\| \leq 1}$  can be covered by a finite number of balls of radius  $r$ , then the essential spectral radius of  $R^{(\ell)}(z)^n$  is given by  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{r_n}$ . Let

$$B_1 \doteq \{h \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,\ell} \mid \|h\|_{p,q,\ell} \leq 1\} \subset \{h \in \mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell} \mid \|h\|_{p,q,\ell} \leq 1\} \doteq B_2.$$

By Lemma 3.5,  $B_2$  is relatively compact in  $\mathcal{B}^{p-1,q+1,\ell}$ . Thus, for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there are  $h_1, \dots, h_{N_\epsilon} \in B_2$  such that  $B_2 \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\epsilon} U_\epsilon(h_i)$ , where  $U_\epsilon(h_i) = \{h \in$

<sup>39</sup> Since  $n! \geq n^n e^{-n}$ .

$\mathcal{B}^{p-1,q+1,\ell} \mid \|h - h_i\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell} < \epsilon\}$ . For  $h \in B_1 \cap U_\epsilon(h_i)$ , computing as in (4.29) we have

$$\|R_n(z)(h - h_i)\|_{p,q,\ell} \leq \frac{C_{p,q,a,\lambda}}{(a - \sigma_\ell + \sigma_{p,q})^n} \|h - h_i\|_{p,q,\ell} + \frac{C_{p,q,a,\lambda} e^{at_0} |z|}{(a - \sigma_\ell)^n} \epsilon.$$

Choosing  $\epsilon$  appropriately and recalling (4.28) we conclude that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the set  $R^{(\ell)}(z)^n(B_1)$  can be covered by a finite number of  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q,\ell}$  - balls of radius  $C_{p,q,a,\lambda}(a - \sigma_\ell + \sigma_{p,q})^{-n}$ , which implies the statement.  $\square$

## 5 Flat Traces

In this section we define a flat trace and we prove some of its relevant properties. Formally, for  $A \in L(\mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}, \mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell})$  we would like to define this by

$$\int_M \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, A \delta_{x, \bar{i}} \rangle_x \quad (5.1)$$

where, for  $x \in U_\alpha$ ,  $\delta_{x, \bar{i}}(f) = \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, f \rangle_x \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}(x)$ , for each  $f \in \Omega_r^\ell$ . Unfortunately, the  $\delta_{x, \bar{i}}$  do not belong to the space  $\mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}$ . We are thus forced to employ an indirect strategy. For each  $x \in M$ ,  $\bar{i} \in \mathcal{I}_\ell$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough, let<sup>40</sup>

$$j_{\epsilon, \alpha, \bar{i}, x}(y) \doteq \begin{cases} J\Theta_\alpha(x) \psi_\alpha(x) \kappa_\epsilon(\Theta_\alpha(x) - \Theta_\alpha(y)) \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}(y) & \text{if } y \in U_\alpha \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin U_\alpha. \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

At this stage we choose a particular  $\kappa$  (see definition (3.6)). Let  $\kappa$  be  $\kappa(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \kappa_{d-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) \kappa_1(x_d)$ . Given an operator  $A \in L(\mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell}, \mathcal{B}^{p,q,\ell})$  we define the *flat trace* by

$$\text{tr}^b(A) \doteq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_M \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, A(j_{\epsilon, \alpha, \bar{i}, x}) \rangle_x, \quad (5.3)$$

provided the limit exists. To get a feeling for what we are doing, consider the operator  $A$  acting on  $h \in \Omega^\ell$  defined by

$$Ah(x) \doteq \int_M a(x, y)[h(y)] \quad (5.4)$$

---

<sup>40</sup> Note that the definition is, given the freedom in the choice of  $\kappa$ , quite arbitrary. We are not interested in investigating the equivalence of the various possible definitions.

where  $a(x, y) \in L(\wedge^\ell T_y^* M, \wedge^\ell T_x^* M)$  depends continuously on  $x, y$ . A direct computation shows that

$$\mathrm{tr}^b(A) = \int_M \mathrm{tr}(a(x, x)).$$

Also, one can verify directly that for a finite rank operator  $A$  we have  $\mathrm{tr}^b(A) = \mathrm{tr}(A)$ , although we will not require this fact.

**Lemma 5.1.** *There exists  $C_2 > 0$  such that, for each  $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\Re(z) > C_2$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq \ell \leq d-1$  we have that  $\mathrm{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z)^n \mathcal{L}_s^{(\ell)})$  is well defined. Moreover,*

$$\mathrm{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z)^n \mathcal{L}_s^{(\ell)}) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(s)} \frac{\chi_\ell(\tau)}{\mu(\tau)} [\lambda(\tau) - s]^{n-1} \lambda(\tau) e^{-z(\lambda(\tau) - s)},$$

provided  $s \notin \{\lambda(\tau)\}_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}}$  for  $\mathcal{T}(s) \doteq \{\tau \in \mathcal{T} ; \lambda(\tau) > s\}$ .

*Proof.* By definitions (4.5), (5.2) and (5.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}^b(R(z)^n \mathcal{L}_s) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M \times \mathbb{R}_+} \omega(x) dt \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \frac{t^{n-1} e^{-zt}}{(n-1)!} \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, \phi_{-t-s}^* j_{\varepsilon, \alpha, \bar{i}, x} \rangle_x \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \int_{U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}_+} \omega(x) dt \frac{t^{n-1} e^{-zt}}{(n-1)!} J\Theta_\alpha(x) \psi_\alpha(x) \\ &\quad \times \kappa_\varepsilon(\Theta_\alpha(x) - \Theta_\alpha(\phi_{-t-s}(x))) \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, \phi_{-t-s}^* \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \rangle_x. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

The integrand is different from zero only for  $\kappa_\varepsilon(\Theta_\alpha(x) - \Theta_\alpha(\phi_{-t-s}(x))) \neq 0$ , that is for  $d(x, \phi_{-t-s}(x)) \leq \varepsilon$ .

Next we use the shadowing theorem for flows (Bowen [8]), in the formulation explicitly given by Pilyugin in [40, Theorem 1.5.1], adapted to our case. First of all we define the  $(\varepsilon_0, T)$ -pseudo-orbits  $\mathbf{t}(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  to be a map such that for any  $t' \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $d(\phi_t(\mathbf{t}(t')), \mathbf{t}(t+t')) \leq \varepsilon_0$  if  $|t| < T$ .<sup>41</sup> Then we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.2** ([40]). *Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold and  $\phi_t$  a  $C^2$  Anosov flow. There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0, C_3 \geq 1$  such that given a  $(\varepsilon, 1)$ -pseudo orbit  $\mathbf{t}(t)$  with  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  there exists a orbit  $\tau$ , a point  $p \in \tau$  and a reparametrization  $\sigma(t)$  such that, for all  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$d(\mathbf{t}(t), \phi_{\sigma(t)}(p)) \leq C_3 \varepsilon \text{ where } |\sigma(t) - \sigma(s) - t + s| \leq C_3 \varepsilon |t - s|.$$

<sup>41</sup> Note that we do not require  $\mathbf{t}(t)$  to be continuous.

Note that, given  $x \in M$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $d(x, \phi_{-t-s}(x)) < \varepsilon$ , we can construct a periodic  $\varepsilon$ -pseudoorbit. Then, by Theorem 5.2 and by the Anosov closing Lemma [27, Theorem 18.1.7 and Corollary 18.1.8], we have that, for  $\varepsilon$  small enough, there exists a closed orbit  $\tau$  such that  $d(\phi_{-s}(x), \tau) \leq C_3\varepsilon$  for all  $s \in [0, t + s]$  and  $|\lambda(\tau) - t - s| \leq C_3\varepsilon\lambda(\tau)$ . Moreover, the above closed orbit is unique providing  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small. Given a closed orbit  $\tau$  we define

$$\Omega_\tau \doteq \{(x, t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}_+ \mid |t - \lambda(\tau)| \leq \varepsilon C_3 \lambda(\tau), \\ d(\phi_{-s}(x), \tau) \leq C_3 \varepsilon \forall s \in (0, (1 + C_3 \varepsilon) \lambda(\tau))\}.$$

Note that, provided  $\varepsilon < C_3^{-1}\varepsilon_0$ , all the  $\Omega_\tau$  are disjoint. In fact if  $(x, t) \in \Omega_\tau \cap \Omega_{\tau'}$ , then we can associate to it a  $(C_3\varepsilon, 1)$ -pseudo orbit. Then, by Theorem 5.2 there is only one periodic orbit with period close to  $\lambda(\tau)$  in a  $C_3^2\varepsilon$  neighborhood of  $x$ , which implies  $\tau = \tau'$ . For further use we set

$$F_{\alpha, \bar{i}, z}(x, t) \doteq \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-zt} \psi_\alpha(x) \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, \phi_{-t-s}^* \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \rangle_x, \\ \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(s) \doteq \mathcal{T}((1 - C_3\varepsilon)s).$$

We can rewrite the integral in (5.5) as

$$\sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \int_{U_\alpha \times [s, \infty)} \kappa_\varepsilon(\Theta_\alpha(x) - \Theta_\alpha(\phi_{-t}(x))) J\Theta_\alpha(x) F_{\alpha, \bar{i}, z}(x, t - s) \\ = \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}, \tau \in \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(s)} \int_{\Omega_\tau} \kappa_\varepsilon(\Theta_\alpha(x) - \Theta_\alpha(\phi_{-t}(x))) J\Theta_\alpha(x) F_{\alpha, \bar{i}, z}(x, t - s). \quad (5.6)$$

Note that, for  $a = \Re(z)$ , we have

$$\sup_{(x, t) \in \Omega_\tau} |J\Theta_\alpha(x) F_{\alpha, \bar{i}, z}(x, t - s)| \leq \frac{C_\# \lambda(\tau)^{n-1} e^{-a\lambda(\tau) + C_\#(\lambda(\tau) + s)}}{(n-1)!}.$$

Since the number of closed orbits with period between  $t$  and  $t + 1$  grows at most exponentially in  $t$  (see [27, Section 18.5]),<sup>42</sup> we have, for each  $L > 1$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}, \tau \in \mathcal{T}(L)} \int_{\Omega_\tau} \kappa_\varepsilon(\Theta_\alpha(x) - \Theta_\alpha(\phi_{-t}(x))) J\Theta_\alpha(x) F_{\alpha, \bar{i}, z}(x, t - s) \right| \\ \leq C_\# \int_{Ln}^{\infty} dt \frac{t^{n-1} e^{-(a - C_\#)t + C_\#s}}{(n-1)!} \leq C_\#^m e^{-C_\#Ln + \frac{a}{2}s} \quad (5.7)$$

<sup>42</sup> In fact, it is bounded by  $C_\# e^{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)t}$  and there is even an asymptotic formula, see [34], which we are improving in Theorem 2.7



provided  $a \geq C_2$  for some appropriate constant  $C_2$ . From now on we will consider only closed orbits in  $\mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(s, L) = \{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(s) : \lambda(\tau) \leq L\}$ . It is then convenient to pass to charts,  $(\xi, t) \doteq \tilde{\Theta}_\alpha(x, t) \doteq (\Theta_\alpha(x), t)$ ,  $\phi_{-t}^\alpha \doteq \Theta_\alpha \circ \phi_{-t} \circ \Theta_\alpha^{-1}$ . Thus, the expression (5.6) can be rewritten as,

$$\sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}, \tau \in \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(s, L)} \int_{\tilde{\Theta}_\alpha(\Omega_\tau \cap (U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}))} \kappa_\varepsilon(\xi - \phi_{-t}^\alpha(\xi)) F_{\alpha, \bar{i}, z} \circ \tilde{\Theta}_\alpha^{-1}(\xi, t - s). \quad (5.8)$$

Note that  $\tilde{\Theta}_\alpha(\Omega_\tau \cap (U_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}_+))$  consists of  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of a finite number of lines (the connected pieces of  $\Theta_\alpha(\tau \cap U_\alpha)$ ), let us call  $\{\bar{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}\}$  the collection of such connected components.

Let  $\xi = (\tilde{\xi}, \xi_d)$ . By construction, for each  $\alpha, m$ , there exists a unique  $p_{\alpha, m} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$  such that  $(p_{\alpha, m}, 0) \in \Theta_\alpha(\tau \cap U_\alpha)$  and  $(p_{\alpha, m}, 0, \lambda(\tau)) \in \bar{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}$ . Next, define the return time function  $r_{\alpha, m}(\tilde{\xi})$  by  $[\phi_{-r_{\alpha, m}(\tilde{\xi})}^\alpha(\tilde{\xi}, 0)]_d = 0$  such that  $r_{\alpha, m}(\tilde{\xi})(p_{\alpha, m}) = \lambda(\tau)$ . Note that  $\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)}^\alpha(p_{\alpha, m}, 0) = (p_{\alpha, m}, 0)$ , moreover we have  $\phi_{-t}^\alpha(\tilde{\xi}, \xi_d) = \phi_{-r_{\alpha, m}(\tilde{\xi})}^\alpha(\tilde{\xi}, 0) + (0, -t + r_{\alpha, m}(\tilde{\xi}) + \xi_d)$ .

Let us now consider the changes of variables  $\Xi_{\alpha, m} : \bar{\Omega}_{\alpha, m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ , which, for  $(\xi, t) \in \bar{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}$ , is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{\alpha, m}(\xi, t) &\doteq (\phi_{-t}^\alpha(\xi)_1 - \xi_1, \dots, \phi_{-t}^\alpha(\xi)_{d-1} - \xi_{d-1}, \xi_d, t) \\ &\doteq (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{d-1}, \xi_d, t) \doteq (\zeta, \xi_d, t). \end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the matrix  $\Lambda$  of components  $\Lambda_{i, j} = \frac{\partial(\phi_{-t}^\alpha)_i}{\partial \xi_j} - \delta_{i, j}$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$ . Note that  $\det(D\Xi_{\alpha, m}) = \det(\Lambda) \neq 0$  since  $\phi_{-t}^\alpha$  is hyperbolic. Moreover if  $\Xi_{\alpha, m}(\xi, t) = \Xi_{\alpha, m}(\xi', t')$ , then  $t = t'$ ,  $\xi_d = \xi'_d$ . Thus, recalling the definition of  $\Omega_\tau$ , we have  $\|\xi - \xi'\| \leq C_3\varepsilon$ . Lastly, note that the restriction of  $\Xi_{\alpha, m}$  to the first  $d-1$  coordinates is locally invertible; thus  $\xi = \xi'$ . Accordingly,  $\Xi_{\alpha, m}$  is a local diffeomorphism. Hence, there exists  $\varepsilon_L > 0$  such that, for all  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_L$ ,  $\Xi_{\alpha, m}$  is invertible in each  $\Omega_\tau$ ,  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(s, L)$ .

Thus  $\Xi_{\alpha, m}(p_{\alpha, m}, 0, t) = (0, 0, t)$ . Hence we have the following expansions<sup>43</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\xi} - p_{\alpha, m} - \Lambda(p_{\alpha, m})^{-1}\zeta\| &\leq C_\# e^{C_\#\lambda(\tau)} \|\zeta\|^2 \\ |r_{\alpha, m} - \lambda(\tau) - \langle \nabla r_{\alpha, m}(p_{\alpha, m}), \Lambda(p_{\alpha, m})^{-1}\zeta \rangle| &\leq C_\# e^{C_\#\lambda(\tau)} \|\zeta\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

By first performing the change of variables  $\Xi_{\alpha, m}$ , then using the properties of the chosen  $\kappa$ , and finally using the change of coordinates  $\eta = \varepsilon^{-1}\zeta$ ,  $v =$

<sup>43</sup> Indeed,  $\|\phi_t\|_{C^2} \leq C_\# e^{C_\#\lambda|t|}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$\varepsilon^{-1}(t - \lambda(\tau))$ , we can write (5.8) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}, m} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(s, L)} \int_{\Xi_{\alpha, m}(\bar{\Omega}_{\alpha, m})} F_{\alpha, \bar{i}, z} \circ \tilde{\Theta}_\alpha^{-1} \circ \Xi_{\alpha, m}^{-1}(\zeta, \xi_d, t - s) \varepsilon^{-d} \kappa_{d-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta) \\
 & \times \frac{\kappa_1(\varepsilon^{-1}(t - \lambda(\tau)) - \langle \nabla r_{\alpha, m}(p_{\alpha, m}), \Lambda(p_{\alpha, m})^{-1}\zeta \rangle + \mathcal{O}(e^{C\#\lambda(\tau)}\zeta^2))}{|\det(\Lambda(p_{\alpha, m}))|} d\zeta d\xi_d dt \\
 & = \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}, m, \tau \in \mathcal{T}_\varepsilon(s, L)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} F_{\alpha, \bar{i}, z} \circ \tilde{\Theta}_\alpha^{-1} \circ \Xi_{\alpha, m}^{-1}(0, \xi_d, \lambda(\tau) - s) \kappa_{d-1}(\eta) |\det(\Lambda)|^{-1} \\
 & \times \kappa_1(v - \langle \nabla r_{\alpha, m}(p_{\alpha, m}), \Lambda(p_{\alpha, m})^{-1}\eta \rangle) d\eta d\xi_d dv + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\varepsilon \lambda(\tau)^n e^{C\#\lambda(\tau)}}{(n-1)!}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the above integral we first integrate first with respect to  $dv$  and then with respect to  $d\eta$  obtaining

$$\sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}, \tau \in \mathcal{T}(s), m} \int_{-\delta}^{+\delta} F_{\alpha, \bar{i}, z} \circ \tilde{\Theta}_\alpha^{-1}(p_{\alpha, m}, \xi_d, \lambda(\tau) - s) |\det(\Lambda)|^{-1} d\xi_d.$$

Define  $D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{\lambda(\tau)} = \Lambda(p_{\alpha, m}) + \mathbf{1}$ . If we compute this a matrix in a different chart or at another point in the orbit we simply obtain a matrix conjugated to  $D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{\lambda(\tau)}$ . Thus  $|\det(\Lambda)| = |\det(\mathbf{1} - D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{\lambda(\tau)})|$  depends only on  $\tau$ . In addition, we have<sup>44</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{tr}(\Lambda^\ell D\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)}^\alpha) &= \sum_{\bar{k} \in \mathcal{I}_\ell} \det(D\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)}^\alpha)_{\bar{k}, \bar{k}} = \sum_{\bar{k} \in \mathcal{I}_\ell(d-1)} \det(D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)})_{\bar{k}, \bar{k}} \\
 &= \text{tr}(\Lambda^\ell(D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)})),
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

which, again, depends only on the orbit  $\tau$ . Accordingly,

$$\sum_{\bar{i}} \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, \phi_{-\lambda(\tau)}^* \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \rangle_{p_{\alpha, m}} = \text{tr}(\Lambda^\ell(D_{\text{hyp}}\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)})).$$

Thus, by summing over the connected components of  $\bar{\Omega}_{\alpha, m}$ , and taking into account the multiplicity of orbits, and by resumming on  $\alpha$  and recalling (2.4)

<sup>44</sup> Given a vector space  $V^d$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  and a matrix representation of a linear operator  $A : V^d \rightarrow V^d$ , we can construct, by the standard external product, a matrix representation of  $\Lambda^\ell A : \Lambda^\ell(V^d) \rightarrow \Lambda^\ell(V^d)$  with elements  $a_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}} = \det(A_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}})$  (see definition (A.1) or [7]). By  $\det(A)_{\bar{k}, \bar{k}}$  we mean the determinant of the matrix  $(A_{k_i, k_j})$ . Note that  $\det(D\phi_{-\lambda(\tau)}^\alpha)_{\bar{k}, \bar{k}} = 0$  unless  $k_\ell = d$ . Also, let  $\mathcal{I}_\ell(d-1)$  be the set of ordered multiindex  $i_1 < \dots < i_\ell < d$ .

we finally obtain

$$\left| \int_M \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, R^{(\ell)}(z)^n \mathcal{L}_s^{(\ell)}(j_{\epsilon, \alpha, \bar{i}, x}) \rangle_x - \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(s)} \frac{\chi_\ell(\tau) [\lambda(\tau) - s]^{n-1} \lambda(\tau)}{(n-1)! \mu(\tau) e^{z(\lambda(\tau) - s)}} \right| \quad (5.10)$$

$$\leq C_{\#} \varepsilon (a - C_2)^{-n} e^{\frac{a}{2}s} + C_{\#}^m e^{-C_{\#} L n + \frac{a}{2}s},$$

for each  $L$  large enough and  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_L$ . Taking the limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  followed by  $L \rightarrow \infty$  yields the Lemma.  $\square$

By a direct computation using Lemma 5.1 we have the natural formula<sup>45</sup>

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-zs} \frac{s^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \text{tr}^b(R(z)\mathcal{L}_s) = \text{tr}^b(R(z)^{n+1}). \quad (5.11)$$

## 6 Tensorial Transfer Operators

In this section we extend the methods of Liverani-Tsujii [32] to the case of flows. The goal is to provide a setting in which a formula of the type (5.1) makes sense and can be used to compute the trace (see Lemma 6.8 for the exact implementation). The first step is to note that, by equation (A.4), the adjoint (with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\Omega^\ell}$ ) of  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$  is given by, for  $g \in \Omega_{0,r}^\ell$ ,

$$\overline{\mathcal{L}}_t^{(\ell)} g \doteq (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} * (\phi_t^*(g)). \quad (6.1)$$

Next we would like to take the tensor product of  $\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}$  times  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}_t^{(\ell)}$ , and define a Banach space, connected to the product space  $\Omega_{r,r}^{2\ell}(M^2)$ ,<sup>46</sup> on which it acts naturally. Note that, contrary to the discrete case, in the continuous setting this procedure naturally yields a  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  action in the variables  $s, t \geq 0$  (rather than a flow). We start with the construction of the Banach space.

---

<sup>45</sup> Indeed,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-zs} \frac{s^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \text{tr}^b(R(z)\mathcal{L}_s) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} \int_0^{\lambda(\tau)} \frac{s^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \frac{\chi_\ell(\tau)}{\mu(\tau)} \lambda(\tau) e^{-z\lambda(\tau)}.$$

<sup>46</sup> By  $M^2$  we mean the Riemannian manifold with the product metric.

## 6.1 Spaces and Operators

We use the construction developed in section 3 applied to the manifold  $M^2$ .

First of all consider the atlas  $\{U_\alpha, \Theta_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$  chosen at the beginning of section 4.<sup>47</sup> We define the map  $I(u, s, t, u', s', t') = (s, u', u, s', t, t')$ ,  $u, u' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_u}$ ,  $s, s' \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s}$ ,  $t, t' \in \mathbb{R}$ , the atlas  $\{U_\alpha \times U_\beta, I \circ (\Theta_\alpha \times \Theta_\beta)\}_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}}$  and the partition of unity  $\{\psi_{\alpha, \beta}\}_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}}$ , where  $\psi_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y) = \psi_\alpha(x)\psi_\beta(y)$ . We are thus in the situation of section 3 with  $d_1 = d_u + d_s = d - 1$  and  $d_2 = d + 1$ . Note that condition the conditions (3.1) are automatically satisfied.<sup>48</sup> We choose the cones given by the choice  $\rho = 2, \rho_- = 1$  and the set of “stable” leaves, which we denote by  $\Sigma_2$ , as in footnote 21.

**Remark 6.1.** *From now on we will ignore the map  $I$  since it is just a trivial permutation of the coordinates.*

By appendix A we can consider the exterior forms  $\Omega_r^{2\ell}(M^2)$  and the related scalar product. We define the projections  $\pi_i : M^2 \rightarrow M$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , such that  $\pi_1(x, y) = x$  and  $\pi_2(x, y) = y$ . For each pair of  $\ell$ -forms  $f, g$  in  $\Omega_r^\ell(M)$  we have that  $\pi_1^* f \wedge \pi_2^* g \in \Omega_r^{2\ell}(M^2)$ . In addition, given  $a, b, f, g \in \Omega_r^\ell(M)$ , by equation (A.1), we have

$$\langle \pi_1^* a \wedge \pi_2^* b, \pi_1^* f \wedge \pi_2^* g \rangle_{(x, y)} = \langle a, f \rangle_x \langle b, g \rangle_y. \quad (6.2)$$

Moreover, by (A.2), it follows

$$* (\pi_1^* f \wedge \pi_2^* g) = (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} \pi_1^* (*f) \wedge \pi_2^* (*g). \quad (6.3)$$

Next we define the vector space  $\Omega_{2,r}^\ell(M) \doteq \text{span}\{\pi_1^* f \wedge \pi_2^* g : f, g \in \Omega_{0,r}^\ell(M)\}$  on which we intend to base our spaces. Note that locally the  $C^s$  closure of  $\Omega_{2,s}^\ell(M)$  contains all the forms  $h$  such that  $i_{(V,0)} h = i_{(0,V)} h = 0$ . Moreover  $h$  can be written as

$$h = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} h_{\alpha, \beta} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \bar{i}, \bar{j}} \psi_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y) h_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}}^{\alpha, \beta}(x, y) \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}(x) \wedge \omega_{\beta, \bar{j}}(y), \quad (6.4)$$

where  $h_{\alpha, \beta} \doteq \psi_{\alpha, \beta} h$ , and  $h_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}}^{\alpha, \beta} \in C^{\bar{s}}(M^2)$ , for each  $\bar{s} > s$ .<sup>49</sup>

<sup>47</sup> Note that  $\{U_\alpha, \Theta_\alpha\}$  is naturally adapted to the flow  $\phi_{-t}$  which has  $d_s$  dimensional unstable manifold and  $d_u$  dimensional stable manifold.

<sup>48</sup> Note that the third condition holds both for  $x_{2d}$  and  $x_{2d-1}$ .

<sup>49</sup> This follows since  $C^\infty$  is dense in  $C^s$  in the  $C^s$  topology and smooth functions can be approximated by tensor functions, e.g. by Fourier series, in any  $C^s$  norm. Note that if  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , then one can choose  $s = \bar{s}$  (for a more refined description, not used here, see the theory of *little Hölder spaces*).

Lastly, we must choose an appropriate set of test functions and vector fields. For the set of test functions we choose  $\Gamma_{2,r}^\ell(W_{\alpha,\beta,G})$ , defined by the restriction of  $\text{span}\{\pi_1^*f \wedge \pi_2^*g : f, g \in \Omega_r^\ell(M), \pi_1^*f \wedge \pi_2^*g|_{\partial W_{\alpha,\beta,G}} = 0\}$  to  $W_{\alpha,\beta,G}$ . The set of vector fields have only the restriction that<sup>50</sup>

$$[v, w] = ([(\pi_1)_*v, (\pi_1)_*w], [(\pi_2)_*v, (\pi_2)_*w]). \quad (6.5)$$

The reader can easily check that the above choices satisfy all the conditions specified in Section 3. Thus we can apply the results in Section 3 and call  $\|\cdot\|_{p,q,\ell,2}$  the resulting norms and  $\mathcal{B}_2^{p,q,\ell} \doteq \overline{\Omega_{2,r}^\ell(M)}^{\|\cdot\|_{p,q,\ell,2}}$  the corresponding Banach spaces.

Finally, we define the required operators  $\mathcal{L}_{t,s}^{(\ell)}$ . For each  $f, g \in \Omega_r^\ell(M)$

$$\mathcal{L}_{t,s}^{(\ell)}(\pi_1^*f \wedge \pi_2^*g) \doteq (\pi_1^*(\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}f)) \wedge (\pi_2^*(\overline{\mathcal{L}}_s^{(\ell)}g)) \quad (6.6)$$

which extends by linearity to an operator  $\mathcal{L}_{t,s}^{(\ell)} : \Omega_{2,r}^\ell(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{2,r}^\ell(M)$ .

To avoid the problem of small times, for which the cone contraction might fail, we apply the same strategy used in the previous section: we define

$$\|h\|_{p,q,\ell,2} = \sup_{t,s \leq t_0} \|\mathcal{L}_{t,s}h\|_{p,q,\ell,2},$$

and we define the spaces  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{p,q,\ell} \doteq \overline{\Omega_{2,r}^\ell}^{\|\cdot\|_{p,q,\ell,2}} \subset \mathcal{B}_2^{p,q,\ell}$ . Note that, by construction, we trivially have a set of inequalities as in (3.13).

Following Remark 3.3, equation (A.4), setting  $\omega_2 = \pi_1^*\tilde{\omega} \wedge \pi_2^*\tilde{\omega}$ , yields

$$\mathcal{L}_{t,t}^{(d)}(f\omega_2) = f \circ (\phi_{-t} \times \phi_t) J\phi_{-t}\omega_2.$$

That is, we recover the same type of operators studied in [32].

## 6.2 Lasota-Yorke inequalities

We can now obtain several results parallel to those in sections 4.1, 4.2. As the proofs are almost identical to those in section 4.3 we will not give full details and highlight only the changes that need to be made.

<sup>50</sup> In the right hand side the commutators are computed keeping fixed the  $y$  coordinate in the first component of the vector, and keeping fixed  $x$  in the second component.

**Lemma 6.2.** *For each  $p + q < r - 1$ ,  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{t,s}^{(\ell)} \in L(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{p,q,\ell}, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{p,q,\ell})$ . More precisely  $\mathcal{L}_{t,s}^{(\ell)}$  is an  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  action over  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^{p,q,\ell}$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{L}_{t,0}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{0,s}$  are strongly continuous semigroups with generators  $X_1, X_2$ , respectively. In addition, we have<sup>51</sup>*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{L}_{t,s}^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{p,q,\ell,2} &\leq C_{p,q} e^{\sigma_\ell(t+s)} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell,2} \\ \left\| \mathcal{L}_{t,s}^{(\ell)} h \right\|_{p,q,\ell,2} &\leq C_{p,q} e^{\sigma_\ell(t+s)} e^{-\sigma_{p,q} \min\{t,s\}} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell,2} + C_{p,q} e^{\sigma_\ell(t+s)} \|h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell,2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 e^{\sigma_\ell(t+s)} \|X_j h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell,2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* As in Section 4, we first prove an analogue of Lemma 4.3, and then we prove a stronger version of it, as in Lemma 4.5.

The proof contained in Subsection 4.3 can be followed almost verbatim. By the same construction one obtains the equivalent of (4.10), that is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_{\alpha,\alpha',G}} \langle g, \mathcal{L}_{t,s}^{(\ell)} h \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}} &= \sum_{\substack{\beta, \beta' \in \mathcal{A} \\ k \in \tilde{K}_{\beta, \beta'}}} \sum_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}, \bar{i}', \bar{j}'} \int_{W_{\beta, \beta', G_k}} (-1)^{(d-\ell)\ell} \psi_{\beta, \beta'} J \phi_{t-s}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times J_W(\phi_t \times \phi_{-s}) g_{\bar{i}', \bar{j}'} \circ (\phi_t \times \phi_{-s}) \cdot \langle \omega_{\beta, \bar{i}}, * \phi_t^* * \omega_{\alpha, \bar{j}} \rangle \langle \omega_{\beta, \bar{i}'}, \phi_{-s}^* \omega_{\alpha, \bar{j}'} \rangle \omega_{\text{vol}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the case  $\varphi = 0$ , the argument is exactly the same as in Section 4.3, apart from the estimate of the volume of  $\phi_{-t} \times \phi_s(W_{\alpha, \alpha', G})$  to which Appendix C cannot be applied directly. To estimate such a volume, after setting  $(z_1, z_2) = (\Theta_\alpha \times \Theta_{\alpha'})^{-1}(G(0))$ , let us consider  $W(z) \doteq W_{6\delta}^s(z_1) \times W_{6\delta}^u(z_2)$  and the holonomy from  $W_{\alpha, \alpha', G}$  to  $W(z)$  determined by the weak unstable foliation in the first coordinate and the weak stable in the second. Clearly the distance between the corresponding points in the images of  $W_{\alpha, \alpha', G}$  and  $W(z)$  is uniformly bounded; hence the required volume is proportional to the volume of  $\phi_{-t} \times \phi_s(W(z))$ , which is bounded by  $e^{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)(t+s)}$ .

For the case  $\varphi > 0$ , by equations (6.5), we can reorder the vector fields as to have first the vector fields tangent to  $W_{\beta, \beta', G_k}$ , then the vector fields in the unstable direction of  $\phi_t \times \phi_{-s}$  and then the two neutral directions. We can then proceed as in equation (4.20). The rest of the argument is the same as before apart from the fact that the weakest contraction is now given by

<sup>51</sup> Here and in the sequel we suppress the  $\lambda$  dependence in the constants to simplify the notation.

the case in which all the vector fields act on the component with the smallest time, hence the  $\min\{t, s\}$  factor. Then we can proceed exactly as in section 4.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *For each  $p + q < r - 1$ ,  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d - 1\}$ , and for each  $\Re(z) \doteq a > \sigma_\ell$ , the operator*

$$R_2^{(\ell)}(z)^n = \frac{1}{(n-1)!^2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (ts)^{n-1} e^{-z(t+s)} \mathcal{L}_{t,s}^{(\ell)} dt ds \quad (6.7)$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| R_2^{(\ell)}(z)^n \right\|_{p,q,\ell,2} &\leq C_{p,q} (a - \sigma_\ell)^{-2n} \\ \left\| R_2^{(\ell)}(z)^n h \right\|_{p,q,\ell,2} &\leq C_{p,q,a} \left\{ \frac{\|h\|_{p,q,\ell,2}}{(a - \sigma_\ell + \frac{\sigma_{p,q}}{2})^{2n}} + \frac{(|z| + 1)}{(a - \sigma_\ell)^{2n}} \|h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell,2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $R^{(\ell)}(z)$  is a linear operator on  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{p,q,\ell}$  with spectral radius bounded by  $(\Re(z) - \sigma_\ell)^{-2}$  and essential spectral radius bounded by  $(\Re(z) - \sigma_\ell + \frac{\sigma_{p,q}}{2})^{-2}$ .

*Proof.* Again the proof follows closely Subsection 4.2, and more precisely Lemma 4.6. The only difference rests in the need to decompose the domain of integration of (6.7) into four pieces:  $A_1 = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : t \leq t_0, s \leq t_0\}$ ,  $A_2 = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : t \leq t_0, s > t_0\}$ ,  $A_3 = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : t > t_0, s \leq t_0\}$  and  $A_4 = \{(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : t > t_0, s > t_0\}$ .

The estimate of the integration over  $A_4$  follows verbatim the argument in Lemma 4.6, except that one then obtains (instead of equation (4.29))

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^\infty \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{C_{p,q}(ts)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!^2 e^{[a-\sigma_\ell](t+s)+\min\{t,s\}\sigma_{p,q}}} \|h\|_{p,q,\ell,2} dt ds \\ + \frac{C_{p,q}(|z| + 1)}{(a - \sigma_\ell)^{2n}} \|h\|_{p-1,q+1,\ell,2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Next we estimate the following integral.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-[a-\sigma_\ell](t+s)-\min\{t,s\}\sigma_{p,q}}(ts)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!^2} = 2 \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt \int_t^{\infty} ds \frac{e^{-[a-\sigma_\ell](t+s)-t\sigma_{p,q}}(ts)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!^2} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{2}{(n-1)!k!} \int_0^{\infty} dt \frac{t^{n+k-1} e^{-(2a-2\sigma_\ell+\sigma_{p,q})t}}{(a-\sigma_\ell)^{n-k}} \\
& = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} \frac{1}{(a-\sigma_\ell)^{n-k}(2a-2\sigma_\ell+\sigma_{p,q})^{n+k}} \\
& \leq 2(2a-2\sigma_\ell+\sigma_{p,q})^{-n} (a-\sigma_\ell)^{-n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+k-1}{n-1} \frac{(a-\sigma_\ell)^k}{(2a-2\sigma_\ell+\sigma_{p,q})^k} \\
& \leq (a-\sigma_\ell + \frac{\sigma_{p,q}}{2})^{-n} (a-\sigma_\ell)^{-n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the integrals over  $A_2, A_3$  are bounded by

$$2 \int_0^{\infty} dt \int_0^{t_0} ds \frac{e^{-[a-\sigma_\ell](t+s)-s\sigma_{p,q}}(ts)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!^2} \leq \frac{C_{p,q} t_0^n}{(a-\sigma_\ell)^n n!} \leq \frac{C_{p,q}}{(a-\sigma_\ell + \frac{\sigma_{p,q}}{2})^{2n}},$$

provided  $n \geq \frac{(a-\sigma_\ell + \frac{\sigma_{p,q}}{2})^2 t_0 e}{(a-\sigma_\ell)}$ , by analogy with (4.28). The estimate of the integral over  $A_1$  is treated similarly.  $\square$

### 6.3 Tensor representation of the trace

Following the scheme of [32], we define a suitable delta like functional acting on  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{p,q,\ell}$  and we construct an approximation scheme for such a functional. For each  $f, g \in \Omega_r^\ell(M)$ , we define

$$\delta_2^\ell(\pi_1^* f \wedge \pi_2^* g) \doteq \int_M \langle f, g \rangle_x \omega_M(x). \quad (6.9)$$

Such a definition extends by linearity and density to all sections in the closure of  $\Omega_{2,r}^\ell(M)$  with respect to the  $C^r$  topology. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_2^\ell(h) &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{\bar{i},\bar{j}} \int_M \psi_{\alpha,\beta}(x,x) h_{\bar{i},\bar{j}}^{\alpha,\beta}(x,x) \langle \omega_{\alpha,\bar{i}}(x), \omega_{\beta,\bar{j}}(x) \rangle \omega_M(x) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\bar{i}} \int_M \psi_\alpha(x) h_{\bar{i},\bar{i}}^{\alpha,\alpha}(x,x) \omega_M(x).
\end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$



Given  $\kappa_\varepsilon$  as in Definition 3.6, we set  $J_\varepsilon(x, y) = 0$  if  $d(x, y) > \delta$ , otherwise we set

$$J_\varepsilon(x, y) \doteq \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \psi_\alpha(y) \cdot J\Theta_\alpha(y) \cdot \kappa_\varepsilon(\Theta_\alpha(x) - \Theta_\alpha(y)) \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}(x) \wedge \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}(y). \quad (6.11)$$

**Lemma 6.4.** *For each  $h \in \Omega_{2,s}^\ell(M)$ , we have that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle J_\varepsilon, h \rangle_{\Omega_2^\ell} = \delta_2^\ell(h). \quad (6.12)$$

*Proof.* For  $f, g \in \Omega_r^\ell(M)$ , let  $h = \pi_1^* f \wedge \pi_2^* g$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle J_\varepsilon, h \rangle_{\Omega_{2,s}^\ell} &= \int_{M^2} \langle J_\varepsilon, \pi_1^* f \wedge \pi_2^* g \rangle_{(x,y)} \omega_M(x) \wedge \omega_M(y) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{M^2} \sum_{\alpha} \psi_\alpha(y) J\Theta_\alpha(y) \cdot \kappa_\varepsilon(\Theta_\alpha(x) - \Theta_\alpha(y)) \langle f, g \rangle_x \omega_M(x) \wedge \omega_M(y). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows, for  $h$  of the above form, by integrating with respect to  $y$ . We can extend this result to a generic  $h$  by linearity of the scalar product and by density (see footnote 49).  $\square$

Next we need the equivalent of Lemma 3.4, the proof is omitted since it is exactly the same as before, starting from the space  $\Omega_{2,r}^\ell(M)$  and choosing  $k$  appropriately.

**Lemma 6.5.** *There exists an injective immersion  $j_2 : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{p,q,\ell} \rightarrow (\Omega_{2,r}^\ell(M))'$ .*

**Lemma 6.6.** *The current  $\delta_2^\ell$  extends uniquely to an element of  $(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{p,q,\ell})'$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{p,q,\ell}$  is defined by the closure of the sections in  $\Omega_{2,r}^\ell$ , it suffices to prove that there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\delta_2^\ell(h) \leq c \|h\|_{p,q,\ell,2}$ .

Let  $W_D \doteq \{(x, y) \in M^2 : x = y\}$  and recall that  $\delta_2^\ell$  corresponds to integrating on such a manifold by (6.10). If  $x \in U_\alpha$  we can foliate  $W_D$ , in the local chart  $V_\alpha$ , with the manifolds  $W_{\alpha, G_s} \in \tilde{\Sigma}$  given by the graph of the functions  $G_s(x^s, y^u) = (x^s, y^u, s, x^s, y^u, s)$ . Accordingly,

$$\delta_2^\ell(h) \leq \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \int ds \left| \int_{W_{\alpha, G_s}} \langle \psi_\alpha(x) \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \wedge \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, h \rangle \right| \leq C_\# \|h\|_{0,q,\ell,2}. \quad \square$$

At this point, we would like to make sense of the limit of  $J_\varepsilon$  in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{p,q,\ell}$ . Unfortunately, this can be done only at a price.

**Lemma 6.7.** *For  $\Re(z)$  sufficiently large, the sequence  $R_2^{(\ell)}(z)\mathcal{L}_{t_0,t_0}J_\varepsilon$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{p,q,\ell}$ . We call  $\bar{\delta}_2^\ell(z)$  the limit of such a sequence.*

*Proof.* Let us start by showing that the sequence is bounded in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{0,q,\ell}$ . Let  $g \in \Gamma_{2,r}^\ell$ , then by recalling the definition of the norms (see 3.10), of the operators  $R_2^{(\ell)}(z)$  and by using equation (A.4)<sup>52</sup> we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{W_{\alpha,\beta,G}} \langle g, R_2^{(\ell)}(z)\mathcal{L}_{t_0,t_0}J_\varepsilon \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} ds dt e^{-z(t+s)} \int_{W_{\alpha,\beta,G}} J\phi_{-t-t_0}(x) \\ &\quad \times J\phi_{s+t_0}(y) \langle (*\phi_{t+t_0}^* \times \phi_{-s-t_0}^*)g, J_\varepsilon \rangle \circ \phi_{-t-t_0}(x) \times \phi_{s+t_0}(y). \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

Note that, provided  $t_0$  has been chosen large enough, the tangent spaces of the manifold  $\phi_{-t-t_0} \times \phi_{s+t_0}(W_{\alpha,\beta,G})$  are strictly contained in the cone  $\mathcal{C}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Let  $\tilde{p} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\text{supp}(\tilde{p}) \subset (-\delta, \delta)$ ,  $\tilde{p}(-t) = \tilde{p}(t)$ , be such that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{p}(t + n\delta) = 1$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n,m}(x, y, t, s) &\doteq J\phi_{-t-t_0}(\phi_{n\delta+t_0}(x))J\phi_{s+t_0}(\phi_{-m\delta-t_0}(y)) \\ &\quad \times \det \left( D(\phi_{-n\delta-t_0} \times \phi_{m\delta+t_0})|_{TW_{\alpha,\beta,G}} \right)^{-1} \circ \phi_{n\delta+t_0} \times \phi_{-m\delta-t_0}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

By the change of variable  $(x', y') \doteq \phi_{-n\delta-t_0} \times \phi_{m\delta+t_0}(x, y)$ , (6.13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} ds dt \tilde{p}(-t + n\delta)\tilde{p}(s - m\delta)e^{-z(t+s)} \\ &\quad \times \int_{\phi_{-n\delta-t_0} \times \phi_{m\delta+t_0}(W_{\alpha,\beta,G})} F_{n,m} \cdot \langle (*\phi_{t+t_0}^* \times \phi_{-s-t_0}^*)g, J_\varepsilon \rangle \circ \phi_{-t+n\delta} \times \phi_{s-m\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $(x, y) \in \phi_{-n\delta-t_0} \times \phi_{m\delta+t_0}(W_{\alpha,\beta,G})$  and  $y \in \text{supp} \psi_\gamma$ , then the integrand is different from zero only if  $x \in U_\gamma$ . Thus, for each connected component  $\widehat{W}$  of  $\phi_{-n\delta-t_0} \times \phi_{m\delta+t_0}(W_{\alpha,\beta,G}) \cap \text{supp} \psi_\beta \times \text{supp} \psi_\gamma$ , by the same arguments used in the proof of Lemma 3.7, there exists a manifold  $W_\gamma \in \Sigma_2$ ,  $W_\gamma \subset U_{\gamma,\gamma}$  such that  $\partial W_\gamma \cap [\text{supp} \psi_\gamma]^2 \subset \partial([\text{supp} \psi_\gamma]^2)$  and the property that the integral of  $\langle f, J_\varepsilon \rangle$  on  $\widehat{W}$  equals the integral on  $W_\gamma$  for each  $f$ .<sup>53</sup>

<sup>52</sup> To apply such a formula to the present case, we have to use it together with equations (6.2), (6.3), and then extend it by density.

<sup>53</sup> Since  $J_\varepsilon$  is different from zero only if both coordinates belong to  $U_\gamma$ .

Let  $\{W_{\gamma,k}\}_{K_{\gamma,n,m}}$  be the collection of such manifolds. Recalling equation (6.11), we can then rewrite the previous formula<sup>54</sup> by setting  $t' = +t - n\delta$ ,  $s' = s - m\delta$ . Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}, \bar{i} \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{k \in K_{\gamma,n,m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} ds dt \tilde{p}(t') \tilde{p}(s') e^{-z(t'+s'+(n+m)\delta)} \\ & \times \int_{W_{\gamma,k}} F_{n,m}(x, y, t' + n\delta, s' + m\delta) \cdot \psi_\gamma \circ \phi_{s'}(y) J\Theta_\gamma \circ \phi_{s'}(y) \\ & \times \kappa_\varepsilon(\Theta_\gamma(\phi_{-t'}(x)) - \Theta_\gamma(\phi_{s'}(y))) \\ & \times \langle (*\phi_{t'+t_0+n\delta}^* \times \phi_{-s'-t_0-m\delta}^*)g, \omega_{\gamma,\bar{i}} \wedge \omega_{\gamma,\bar{i}} \rangle \circ \phi_{-t'} \times \phi_{s'}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the manifolds  $\Theta_\gamma(W_{\gamma,k})$  are graphs of the type<sup>55</sup>

$$G_k(x^s, y^u) \doteq (x^s + \hat{x}^s, H^u(x^s, y^u), H^s(x^s, y^u), y^u + \hat{y}^u, H_1^0(x^s, y^u), H_2^0(x^s, y^u))$$

where, since  $T\Theta_\gamma^{-1}(W_{\gamma,k}) \in \mathcal{C}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\max\{\|\partial_x H^u\| + \|\partial_x H^s\|, \|\partial_y H^u\| + \|\partial_y H^s\|\} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . It is then natural to set  $\overline{G}_k = \Theta_\gamma^{-1} \circ G_k$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{F}_{\gamma,n,m,k,\bar{i}}(x^s, y^u, s, t) &= F_{\gamma,n,m}(\Theta_\gamma^{-1} \circ G_k(x^s, y^u), t + n\delta, s + m\delta) \\ & \times [J\Theta_\gamma \cdot \psi_\gamma] \circ \Theta_\gamma^{-1}(G_k(x^s, y^u) + (0, 0, 0, s)) \times J\overline{G}_k(x^s, y^u) \\ & \times \langle (*\phi_{t+t_0+n\delta}^* \times \phi_{-s-t_0-m\delta}^*)g, \omega_{\gamma,\bar{i}} \wedge \omega_{\gamma,\bar{i}} \rangle \circ \Theta_\gamma^{-1}(G_k(x^s, y^u) + (0, 0, t, s)). \end{aligned}$$

We can then continue our computation and write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}, \bar{i} \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{k \in K_{\gamma,n,m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} ds dt \tilde{p}(t) \tilde{p}(s) e^{-z(t+s+(n+m)\delta)} \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} dx^s dy^u \widehat{F}_{\gamma,n,m,k,\bar{i}}(x^s, y^u, t, s) \tag{6.14} \\ & \times \varepsilon^{-d} \kappa_{d-1}(\varepsilon^{-1}(x^s - H^s(x^s, y^u) + \hat{x}^s, H^u(x^s, y^u) - y^u - \hat{y}^u)) \\ & \times \kappa_1(\varepsilon^{-1}(H^{0,1}(x^s, y^u) - H^{0,2}(x^s, y^u) - t - s)). \end{aligned}$$

<sup>54</sup> To be precise we should treat separately the terms with  $n = 0$  or  $m = 0$ , we leave this as an exercise for the reader since it is quite simple to handle, although a bit cumbersome.

<sup>55</sup> We drop the subscript  $n, m, \gamma, k$  from  $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, H^u, H^s, H^{0,1}, H^{0,2}$  in the following equation since it is clear that such graphs depends from all these choices. Moreover we drop  $n, m, \gamma$  from  $G_k$  since it will be always be clear which open set we are considering.

Note that we have  $\|\widehat{F}\|_{C^0} \leq C_{\#}\|g\|_{C^0}$  as in equation (4.14). In addition, the map  $\Xi$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= x^s - H^s(x^s, y^u) + \hat{x}^s, \\ \eta &= H^u(x^s, y^u) - y^u - \hat{y}^u, \\ t' &= H^{0,1}(x^s, y^u) - H^{0,2}(x^s, y^u) - t - s, \\ s' &= s\end{aligned}\tag{6.15}$$

is locally invertible, hence it can be used as a change of variables. Thus, setting  $a = \Re(z)$ , we can bound (6.14) by

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k \in K_{\gamma,n,m}} C_z e^{-a(n+m)\delta} \|g\|_{C^0} &\leq C_z \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - a)(n+m)\delta} \|g\|_{C^0} \\ &\leq C_z (a - h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1))^{-2} \|g\|_{C^0}.\end{aligned}$$

Which, taking the sup on the manifolds and test forms, yields

$$\left\| \left\| R_2^{(\ell)}(z) \mathcal{L}_{t_0, t_0}^{(\ell)} J_{\varepsilon} \right\| \right\|_{0,q,\ell,2,2} \leq C_z (a - h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1))^{-2}.$$

Next, given  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon' > 0$ , by using equation (6.14), (6.15) and the intermediate value theorem we have, for  $\Re(z)$  large enough,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left| \int_{W_{\alpha,\beta,G}} \langle g, R_2^{(\ell)}(z) \mathcal{L}_{t_0, t_0} J_{\varepsilon} - R_2^{(\ell)}(z) \mathcal{L}_{t_0, t_0} J_{\varepsilon'} \rangle \right| \\ &\leq C_{\#} \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A}, \bar{i} \in \mathcal{I}} \sum_{k \in K_{\gamma,n,m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} d\xi d\eta dt' ds' e^{-zs'} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \mathbb{F}_{\gamma,n,m,k,\bar{i}}(\xi, \eta, t', s') - \mathbb{F}_{\gamma,n,m,k,\bar{i}}(0, 0, 0, s') \right] \cdot \left[ \kappa_{\varepsilon}((\xi, \eta, t')) - \kappa_{\varepsilon'}((\xi, \eta, t')) \right] \\ &\leq C_z \varepsilon^{\min\{q,1\}} \|g\|_{C^q}\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{F}_{\gamma,n,m,k,\bar{i}}$  are functions which satisfy  $\|\mathbb{F}_{\gamma,n,m,k,\bar{i}}\|_{C^p} \leq C_{\#} e^{C_{\#}(n+m)}$ . This proves the Lemma for  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{0,q,\ell}$ . The extension to  $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^{p,q,\ell}$  is treated similarly after integrating by parts  $p$  times.  $\square$

We can finally present a description of the trace that does not involve any limit (although, unfortunately, not for the operators we are interested in).

**Lemma 6.8.** *For each  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\Re(z)$  large enough,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we have that*

$$\delta_2^{(\ell)} \left( R_2^{(\ell)}(z)^n \mathcal{L}_{t,s}^{(\ell)} \bar{\delta}_2^{(\ell)} \right) = \text{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z)^{2n} \mathcal{L}_{t+s+2t_0}^{(\ell)}).\tag{6.16}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{R}_n(z, s, t) \doteq \delta_2^{(\ell)} \left( R_2^{(\ell)}(z)^n \mathcal{L}_{t,s}^{(\ell)} \bar{\delta}_2^{(\ell)} \right)$ . From Lemma 6.7 and equation (6.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_n(z, s, t) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \int_M \omega_M(x) \psi_\alpha(x) \left( R_2^{(\ell)}(z)^n \mathcal{L}_{t+t_0, s+t_0}^{(\ell)} J_\epsilon \right)_{\bar{i}, \bar{i}}^{\alpha, \alpha} (x, x) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \bar{i}, \bar{j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} ds' dt' \frac{(t' s')^{n-1} e^{-z(t'+s')}}{[(n-1)!]^2} \int_M \omega_M(x) \psi_\alpha(x) [\psi_\beta J \Theta_\beta] \circ \phi_{s'+s+t_0}(x) \\ &\quad \times \kappa_\epsilon (\Theta_\beta(\phi_{-t'-t-t_0}(x)) - \Theta_\beta(\phi_{s'+s+t_0}(x))) \\ &\quad \times (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} \langle \phi_{-t'-t-t_0}^* \omega_{\beta, \bar{j}}, \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \rangle_x \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, * \phi_{s'+s+t_0}^* * \omega_{\beta, \bar{j}} \rangle_x. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we sum over  $\bar{i}$ ,  $\alpha$  and use (A.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}(z, s, t) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\beta, \bar{j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} ds' dt' \frac{(t' s')^{n-1} e^{-z(t'+s')}}{[(n-1)!]^2} \int_M [\psi_\beta J \Theta_\beta] \circ \phi_{s'+s+t_0}(x) \\ &\quad \times \kappa_\epsilon (\Theta_\beta(\phi_{s'+s+t_0}(x)) - \Theta_\beta(\phi_{-t'-t-t_0}(x))) \\ &\quad \times J \phi_{s'+s+t_0} \langle \phi_{-t'-t-s'-s-2t_0}^* \omega_{\beta, \bar{j}}, \omega_{\beta, \bar{j}} \rangle \circ \phi_{s'+s+t_0}(x) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\beta, \bar{j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} ds' dt' \frac{(t' s')^{n-1} e^{-z(t'+s')}}{[(n-1)!]^2} \int_M \langle \omega_{\beta, \bar{j}}, \mathcal{L}_{t'+s'+t+s+2t_0}^{(\ell)} j_{\epsilon, \beta, \bar{j}, x} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last line, we have changed variables and used (5.2). Next, after the change of variables  $v = t' + s'$ ,  $u = t'$ , we integrate in  $u$  (the integral is given by the  $\beta$ -function) and recall (5.3) to obtain the statement of the Lemma.  $\square$

At last we can start harvesting the benefits of the previous results. By Lemma 6.3 we have  $R_2^{(\ell)}(z) = P_2^{(\ell)}(z) + U_2^{(\ell)}(z)$  where  $P_2^{(\ell)}(z)$  is a finite rank operator and the spectral radius of  $U_2^{(\ell)}(z)$  is bounded by  $(\Re(z) - \sigma_\ell + \frac{\sigma_{p,q}}{2})^{-2}$ . Recall that by Lemma 4.6 we have  $R^{(\ell)}(z) = P^{(\ell)}(z) + U^{(\ell)}(z)$  where  $P^{(\ell)}(z)$  is a finite rank operator and the spectral radius of  $U^{(\ell)}(z)$  is bounded by  $(\Re(z) - \sigma_\ell + \sigma_{p,q})^{-1}$ . In addition,

$$P^{(\ell)}(z)U^{(\ell)}(z) = U^{(\ell)}(z)P^{(\ell)}(z) = 0; \quad P_2^{(\ell)}(z)U_2^{(\ell)}(z) = U_2^{(\ell)}(z)P_2^{(\ell)}(z) = 0.$$

**Lemma 6.9.** *There exists  $C_4 > 0$  such that, for each  $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a = \Re(z) \geq C_4$ , we have that*

$$\delta_2^{(\ell)} \left( P_2^{(\ell)}(z)^n \mathcal{L}_{t,s} \bar{\delta}_2^{(\ell)}(z) \right) = \text{tr} \left( P^{(\ell)}(z)^{2n} \mathcal{L}_{t+s+2t_0} \right). \quad (6.17)$$

*Proof.* Recalling (6.6) and (A.4) we can write, for  $\Re(z)$  sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle R_2^{(\ell)}(z)^n \mathcal{L}_{t+t_0, s+t_0} J_\epsilon, \pi_1^*(f) \wedge \pi_2^*(g) \rangle_{\Omega_{2,s}^\ell} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times M^2} ds' dt' \frac{(t's')^{n-1}}{(n-1)!^2 e^{z(t'+s')}} \langle J_\epsilon, \pi_1^*(\phi_{t'+t+t_0}^* f) \wedge \pi_2^*(\phi_{-s-s'-t_0}^* g) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (6.18)$$

By taking the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , since the integrals are uniformly convergent with respect to time (as in equation (5.6), by a rough bound on the growth of the number of orbits), and recalling Lemma 6.7 and equation (6.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_2^{(\ell)}(z)^n \mathcal{L}_{t,s} \bar{\delta}_2^\ell(\pi_1^*(f) \wedge \pi_2^*(g)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \times M} \frac{(t's')^{n-1} \langle \phi_{t'+t+t_0}^* f, \phi_{-s-s'-t_0}^* g \rangle}{(n-1)!^2 e^{z(t'+s')}} \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} ds dt \frac{(t's')^{n-1} e^{-z(t'+s')}}{(n-1)!^2} \int_M \langle f, \phi_{-s-t-s'-t'-2t_0}^* g \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} d\tau \int_0^1 d\eta \frac{\tau^{2n-1} \eta^{n-1} (1-\eta)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!^2 e^{z\tau}} \int_M \langle f, \phi_{-\tau-t-s-2t_0}^* g \rangle \quad (6.19) \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+ \times M} d\tau \frac{\tau^{2n-1} \langle f, \phi_{-\tau-t-s-2t_0}^* g \rangle}{(2n-1)! e^{z\tau}} \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} \langle f, R(z)^{2n} \mathcal{L}_{t+s+2t_0} g \rangle_{\Omega^\ell}, \end{aligned}$$

since the  $d\eta$  integral in the third line is given by the  $\beta$ -function. By the von Neumann expansion, for  $\Re(z)$  and  $\Re(\xi)$  large enough, we have

$$(\xi \mathbb{1} - R_2^{(\ell)}(z))^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{t,s} \bar{\delta}_2^\ell(\pi_1^*(f) \wedge \pi_2^*(g)) = (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} \langle f, (\xi \mathbb{1} - (R(z)^{(\ell)})^2)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{t+s+2t_0} g \rangle_{\Omega^\ell}.$$

Since both expressions are meromorphic in the region  $\{|\xi| > (a - \sigma_\ell + \frac{\sigma_{p,q}}{2})^{-2}\}$ , it follows that they must agree on such a region. Given a curve  $\gamma$  surrounding the region  $\{|\xi| \leq (a - \sigma_\ell + \frac{\sigma_{p,q}}{2})^{-2}\}$ , we can use standard functional analytic calculus<sup>56</sup> to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [U_2^{(\ell)}(z)]^m \mathcal{L}_{t,s} \bar{\delta}_2^\ell(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \xi^m (\xi \mathbb{1} - R_2^{(\ell)}(z))^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{t,s} \bar{\delta}_2^\ell(h) d\xi \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)}}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma \xi^m \langle f, (\xi \mathbb{1} - R^{(\ell)}(z)^2)^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{t+s+2t_0} g \rangle_{\Omega_s^\ell} d\xi \\ &= \langle f, U^{(\ell)}(z)^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{t+s+2t_0} g \rangle_{\Omega_s^\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>56</sup> See [25], for example.

Furthermore, we have

$$\left[ P_2^{(\ell)}(z) \right]^m \mathcal{L}_{t,s} \bar{\delta}_2^\ell(h) = \langle f, P^{(\ell)}(z)^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{t+s+2t_0} g \rangle_{\Omega_s^\ell}. \quad (6.20)$$

Since  $P^{(\ell)}(z)^{2m}$  is a finite rank operator, it follows that  $P^{(\ell)}(z)^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{t+s+2t_0}$  is also finite rank on  $\mathcal{B}_{p,q,\ell}$ . Thus there exist  $u_{m,k} \in \mathcal{B}'_{p,q,\ell}$ ,  $v_{m,k} \in \mathcal{B}_{p,q,\ell}$ ,  $k \in \{1, \dots, L\}$ , such that

$$\langle f, P^{(\ell)}(z)^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{t+s+2t_0} g \rangle_{\Omega_s^\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^L \langle f, v_{m,k} \rangle_{\Omega_s^\ell} u_{m,k}(g).$$

Next, we define the mollification of an element  $h \in \Omega_{2,s}^\ell$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{M}_{2,\varepsilon}(h)(x, y) &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \sum_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}} \psi_\alpha(x, y) \psi_\beta(x, y) \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}(x) \wedge \omega_{\beta, \bar{j}}(y) \\ &\times \varepsilon^{-2d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \kappa_\varepsilon(\Theta_\alpha(x) - \xi) \kappa_\varepsilon(\Theta_\beta(y) - \eta) h_{\bar{i}, \bar{j}}^{\alpha, \beta}(\Theta_\alpha^{-1}(\xi), \Theta_\beta^{-1}(\eta)). \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

By duality, we can define the mollificator  $\mathbb{M}'_{2,\varepsilon}$  on the currents. Following the same reasoning as in Lemma 3.7 we have that  $\mathbb{M}'_{2,\varepsilon}$ , restricted to  $\mathcal{B}_2^{p,q,\ell}$ , is a bounded operator which converges, in the sense of Lemma 3.7, to the identity when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

A direct computation shows that  $\mathbb{M}_{2,\varepsilon}(\pi_1^*(f) \wedge \pi_2^*(g)) = \pi_1^*(\mathbb{M}_\varepsilon f) \wedge \pi_2^*(\mathbb{M}_\varepsilon g)$ , hence (6.20) implies

$$\mathbb{M}'_{2,\varepsilon} \left\{ \left[ P_2^{(\ell)}(z) \right]^m \mathcal{L}_{t,s} \bar{\delta}_2^\ell \right\} (h) = \langle \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon f, P^{(\ell)}(z)^{2m} \mathcal{L}_{t+s+2t_0} \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon g \rangle_{\Omega_s^\ell}.$$

Note that the value on the product sections determine uniquely the current (see footnote 49). In fact, since  $\mathbb{M}'_{2,\varepsilon} [P_2^{(\ell)}(z)]^m \mathcal{L}_{t,s} \bar{\delta}_2^\ell$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^L \pi_1^*(\mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon v_{m,k}) \wedge \pi_2^*(\mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon u_{m,k})$  agree on each element of the type  $\pi_1^*(f) \wedge \pi_2^*(g)$ , they agree as currents. Thus, by Lemma 6.5, they are the same element of  $\mathcal{B}_2^{p,q,\ell}$ . Accordingly, by Lemma 6.6 and equation (6.9), we finally obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_2 \left( P_2^{(\ell)}(z)^m \mathcal{L}_{t,s} \bar{\delta}_2^\ell \right) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta_2 \left( \mathbb{M}'_{2,\varepsilon} P_2^{(\ell)}(z)^m \mathcal{L}_{t,s} \bar{\delta}_2^\ell \right) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^L \delta_2 \left( \pi_1^*(\mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon v_{m,k}) \wedge \pi_2^*(\mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon u_{m,k}) \right) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^L \langle \mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon v_{m,k}, \mathbb{M}'_\varepsilon u_{m,k} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^L u_{m,k}(v_{m,k}), \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus we reduced the computation of the “flat trace” to that of a trace of a matrix.

**Lemma 6.10.** *For each  $p + q < r - 1$ ,  $z = a + ib \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $a > C_4$ ,  $\mu > (a - \sigma_\ell + \frac{\sigma_{p,q}}{2})^{-1}$ , we have, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that*

$$|\mathrm{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z)^n) - \mathrm{tr}(P^{(\ell)}(z)^n)| \leq C_{p,q,a,\mu} \mu^n. \quad (6.22)$$

*Proof.* Lemma 6.8 yields, for all  $n, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $|m - k| \leq C_\#$ , the following ugly, but useful, formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt \int_{t_0}^{\infty} ds \frac{s^{m-1} t^{k-1} e^{-z(t+s)}}{(m-1)!(k-1)!} \delta_2^{(\ell)} \left( R_2^{(\ell)}(z)^n \mathcal{L}_{t-t_0, s-t_0}^{(\ell)} \bar{\delta}_2^{(\ell)} \right) \\ &= \int_{2t_0}^{\infty} dt \int_{t_0}^{t-t_0} ds \frac{s^{m-1} (t-s)^{k-1} e^{-zt}}{(m-1)!(k-1)!} \mathrm{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z)^{2n} \mathcal{L}_t) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z)^{2n+m+k}) - \int_0^{2t_0} dt \frac{t^{m+k-1}}{(m+k-1)!} e^{-zt} \mathrm{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z)^{2n} \mathcal{L}_t) \\ &\quad - 2 \int_{2t_0}^{\infty} dt \int_0^{t_0} ds \frac{s^{m-1} (t-s)^{k-1} e^{-zt}}{(m-1)!(k-1)!} \mathrm{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z)^{2n} \mathcal{L}_t). \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

On the other hand by the spectral decomposition of  $R_2$ , as in Lemma 6.3, and Lemma 6.9 follows, for each  $\mu > \mu_1 > (a - \sigma_\ell + \frac{\sigma_{p,q}}{2})^{-1}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt \int_{t_0}^{\infty} ds \frac{s^{m-1} t^{k-1} e^{-z(t+s)}}{(m-1)!(k-1)!} \delta_2^{(\ell)} \left( R_2^{(\ell)}(z)^n \mathcal{L}_{t-t_0, s-t_0}^{(\ell)} \bar{\delta}_2^{(\ell)} \right) \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt \int_{t_0}^{\infty} ds \frac{s^{m-1} t^{k-1} e^{-z(t+s)}}{(m-1)!(k-1)!} \delta_2^{(\ell)} \left( P_2^{(\ell)}(z)^n \mathcal{L}_{t-t_0, s-t_0}^{(\ell)} \bar{\delta}_2^{(\ell)} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{C_{p,q,\mu_1} \mu_1^{2n}}{(a - \sigma_\ell)^{m+k}} \right) \\ &= \int_{t_0}^{\infty} dt \int_{t_0}^{\infty} ds \frac{s^{m-1} t^{k-1} e^{-z(t+s)}}{(m-1)!(k-1)!} \mathrm{tr} \left( P^{(\ell)}(z)^{2n} \mathcal{L}_{t+s}^{(\ell)} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left( \frac{C_{p,q,\mu_1} \mu_1^{2n}}{(a - \sigma_\ell)^{m+k}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, recall again that the number of periodic orbits of length  $t$  grows at most exponentially. Hence, by Lemma 5.1, there exists  $A \geq \sigma_\ell$  such that  $|\mathrm{tr}^b(R(z)^{2n} \mathcal{L}_t)| \leq C_\# (a - A)^{-2n} e^{At}$  while  $|\mathrm{tr} \left( P^{(\ell)}(z)^{2n} \mathcal{L}_{t+s}^{(\ell)} \right)| \leq C_\# (a - \sigma_\ell)^{-2n} e^{\sigma_\ell t}$ . We can use such estimates to evaluate the integrals in (6.23) and



obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{tr}^b(R^{(\ell)}(z)^{2n+m+k}) - \mathrm{tr}(P^{(\ell)}(z)^{2n+m+k})| &\leq \frac{C_{p,q,\mu_1}\mu_1^{2n}}{(a-\sigma_\ell)^{m+k}} + \frac{C_\#t_0^m}{(a-A)^{2n+k}m!} \\ &\quad + \frac{C_\#(2t_0)^{m+k}}{(a-A)^{2n}(m+k)!}. \end{aligned}$$

To conclude we choose  $m = \zeta n$ ,  $|m - k| \leq 1$ . Note that the last two terms are smaller than  $\mu^{2n+m+k}$  provided  $n \geq \frac{C_\#et_0}{\zeta(a-A)^{1+\frac{2}{\zeta}}\mu^{2+\frac{2}{\zeta}}}$ , while the first term on the left is bounded by  $C_\#\mu^{2n+m+k}$  provided we choose  $\zeta = \frac{\ln \mu \mu_1^{-1}}{\mu^{-1}(a-\sigma_\ell^{-1})}$ .  $\square$

## 7 Contact flows

The results of the previous sections suffice to prove that the  $\zeta_{\mathrm{Ruelle}}$  is meromorphic, yet provide very little information on the location of its zeroes and poles. Such a knowledge is fundamental to extract information from the  $\zeta$  functions (e.g. counting results). In section 8 we provide an approach to gain such informations, partially inspired by [31], based on a Dolgopyat type estimate on the norm of the resolvent. We are not aware of a general approach to gain such estimates apart for the case of  $C^1$  or Lipschitz foliations [14, 44, 45] and the case of *contact flows* ([29, 46]). In the following we will restrict to the latter since it covers the geometrically relevant case of geodesic flows in negative curvature. Our approach follows roughly [29, Section 6] but employs several simplifying ideas, some from [5], some new.

In the case of contact flows  $d$  must be odd,  $d_u = d_s$  and we can, and will, assume that the contact form  $\alpha$  in coordinates reads (see [5, section 3.2, Appendix A] for details)

$$(\Theta_\beta^{-1})^*\alpha = dx_d - \langle x^s, dx^u \rangle \doteq \alpha_0$$

where  $(x^s, x^u, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_s} \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^d$  is a point in the chart.

The extra information that we need, and that can be gained in the contact flow case, are bounds on the size of the resolvent  $R_{d_s}(z)$  for  $\Im(z)$  large.

**Remark 7.1.** *Since in the following we are mainly interested only in the case  $\ell = d_s$  we will often drop the scripts  $d_s$  in the relevant objects.*

## 7.1 Dolgopyat's estimate

Let  $a = \Re(z) > \sigma_{d_s}$  be fixed once and for all. For a fixed  $C_5 > 0$ , to be chosen later small enough, define  $c_a \doteq C_5(a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-1}e^{-1}$  and

$$\widehat{R}_n(z)h = \int_{c_a n}^{\infty} dt e^{-zt} \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \mathcal{L}_t h.$$

then, bounding  $e^{-\sigma_{d_s} t} \|\mathcal{L}_t\|_{0,q,d_s}$  by one, integrating and using Stirling formula, for each  $q > 0$  gives that

$$\left\| (R(z)^n - \widehat{R}_n(z))h \right\|_{0,q,d_s} \leq C_{\#} (a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-n} C_5^n \|h\|_{0,q,d_s}. \quad (7.1)$$

Moreover, for  $c_a n > t_0$ , it is easy to check that  $\left\| \widehat{R}_n(z) \right\|_{0,q,d_s} \leq C_{\#} \left\| \widehat{R}_n(z) \right\|_{0,q,d_s}$ . Thus, it suffices to estimate the latter norm.

In the following arguments it turns out to be convenient to introduce norms similar to the one used in [29]. We did not use them in the previous sections since they do not allow to keep track of the higher regularity of the flow.

**Definition 7.2.** We define norms  $\|\cdot\|_q^s$  as the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{0,q,d_s}$  with the only difference that the set  $\Sigma$  is replaced by the set  $\Sigma^s$ , defined as the elements of  $\Sigma$  which are subsets of a strong stable manifold. Also, let  $\mathcal{V}^u$  be the set of continuous vector fields tangent to the strong unstable direction, of norm one, and  $C^1$  when restricted to any unstable manifold.<sup>57</sup> We then define  $\|h\|^u \doteq \sup_{v \in \mathcal{V}^u} \|L_v h\|_{0,0,d_s}$ . To conclude we define the norm  $\|\cdot\|_q^* \doteq \|\cdot\|_q^s + \|\cdot\|^u$ .

Before starting the real work let us fix some notation and recall some facts.

**Remark 7.3.** Let  $\varpi$  be the Hölder regularity of the strong foliations and  $\varpi_*$  the Hölder regularity of the Jacobian of the associated holonomy. Then, in the contact flow case,  $\varpi \geq \frac{2\bar{\lambda}}{\lambda_+}$  and  $\varpi_* \geq \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{\lambda_+}$ .<sup>58</sup> For a manifold  $W \in \Sigma^s$  let  $\widetilde{W} = \cup_{|t| \leq \delta} \phi_t W$ . For each two nearby manifolds  $W, W' \in \Sigma$ , let  $H_{W,W'} : \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \widetilde{W}'$  be the unstable holonomy, then  $\|JH_{W,W'}\|_{C^{\varpi_*}} + \|H_{W,W'}\|_{C^{\varpi}} \leq C_{\#}$ . We set  $\widehat{\varpi} = \frac{2\bar{\lambda}}{\lambda_+}$ ,  $\varpi' = \min\{1, \widehat{\varpi}\}$ .

<sup>57</sup>Note that such vector fields determine a unique flow on each unstable manifold, hence a unique global flow, even if they are only continuous on the manifold. Thus the corresponding Lie derivative is well defined.

<sup>58</sup> See [30] for a quick explanation and references or [21, 22, 23] for more details.

**Lemma 7.4.** *For each  $q > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $W, W' \in \Sigma_\alpha$ ,  $g \in \Gamma_0^{d_s, q}(\widetilde{W})$  and  $h \in \Omega_r^{d_s}$ , let  $\bar{g} = g \circ H_{W, W'} JH_{W, W'}$ . We have that*

$$\left| \int_{\widetilde{W}'} \langle g, h \rangle - \int_{\widetilde{W}} \langle \bar{g}, h \rangle \right| \leq C_\# d(W, W') \|h\|^u.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\{\widetilde{W}_\tau\}_{\tau \in [0, 1]}$  be a smooth foliation interpolating between  $\widetilde{W}$  and  $\widetilde{W}'$ . Also let  $H_\tau$  be the holonomy between  $\widetilde{W}$  and  $\widetilde{W}_\tau$ . Define  $g_\tau \in \Gamma_0^{d_s, 0}(\widetilde{W}_\tau)$  by  $g_\tau(x) \doteq \sum_{\bar{i}} (g_{\bar{i}} \cdot JH_\tau^{-1}) \circ H_\tau^{-1}(x) \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}(x)$ , then

$$\int_{\widetilde{W}'} \langle g_1, h \rangle - \int_{\widetilde{W}} \langle g_0, h \rangle = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\widetilde{W}_\tau} \langle g_\tau, h \rangle = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\tau} \int_{\widetilde{W}} \sum_{\bar{i}} g_{\bar{i}} h_{\bar{i}} \circ H_\tau.$$

By the implicit function theorem it follows that  $\frac{d}{d\tau} h_{\bar{i}} \circ H_\tau = (L_v h_{\bar{i}}) \circ H_\tau$  for some  $v \in \mathcal{V}^u$ . From this the Lemma readily follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.5.** *For each  $\eta \in (0, \varpi_*)$ ,  $t \in (t_0, \infty)$  and  $h \in \Omega_r^{d_s}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\|_{0, \eta, d_s} &\geq \|h\|_\eta^s, \\ \|h\|_{0, 1 + \eta, d_s} &\leq C_\# \|h\|_\eta^*. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The first inequality is obvious since the sup in the definition of the norm is taken on a larger set. To prove the second note that Lemma 7.4 allows us to compare the integral on a manifold in  $\Sigma$  with a close by manifold in  $\Sigma^s$  implying

$$\|h\|_{0, 1 + \eta, d_s} \leq C_\# \|h\|^u + C_\# \|h\|_\eta^s.$$

$\square$

We are now ready to state and prove the main estimate of this section.

**Proposition 7.6.** *Let  $\phi_t$  be a contact flow such that  $\varpi' > \frac{2}{3}$ . Then for each  $\eta \in (0, \varpi_*)$ , there exist  $C_1, \gamma_0, a_0, b_0 > 0$  such that, for each  $h \in \Omega_{0, 1}^{d_s}(M)$ ,  $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_0)$ ,  $z = a + ib$  with  $a \geq a_0 + \sigma_{d_s}$ ,  $|b| \geq b_0$  and  $n \geq C_1 \ln |b|$ , we have*

$$\left\| \widehat{R}_n(z) h \right\|_\eta^* \leq \frac{C_\#}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^n} |b|^{-\gamma} \|h\|_\eta^*.$$

*Proof.* First note that, for each  $v \in \mathcal{V}^u$ ,  $L_v \phi_{-t}^* h = \phi_{-t}^* L_{(\phi_{-t})_* v} h$  and, by the Anosov property,  $\|(\phi_{-t})_* v\|_{C^0} \leq C_{\#} e^{-\bar{\lambda}t} \|v\|_{C^0}$ .

$$\|\mathcal{L}_t h\|^u \leq C_{\#} e^{-\bar{\lambda}t} \sup_{v \in \mathcal{V}^u} \|\mathcal{L}_t(L_v h)\|_{0,0,d_s} \leq C_{\#} e^{(h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \bar{\lambda})t} \|h\|^u. \quad (7.2)$$

Thus

$$\left\| \widehat{R}_n(z) h \right\|^u \leq C_{\#} (a - \sigma_{d_s} + \bar{\lambda})^{-n} \|h\|^u. \quad (7.3)$$

The other part of the norm is much harder to study. Let us start by noticing that the proof of the second inequality in Lemma 4.3 can be used, as in Lemma 4.6, to yield

$$\left\| \widehat{R}_n(z) h \right\|_{\eta}^s \leq \frac{C_{\#}}{(a - \sigma_{d_s} + \lambda)^n} \|h\|_{\eta}^s + \frac{C_{\#}}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^n} \|h\|_{1+\eta}^s. \quad (7.4)$$

It is then sufficient to consider the case  $h \in \Omega_{0,r}^{d_s}$ ,  $g \in \Gamma_0^{\ell,1+\eta}$ . In the following we will set  $h_s = \mathcal{L}_s^{(\ell)} h$ . It is convenient to proceed by small time steps of size  $r > 0$ , to be fixed later. Let  $p(t) = \tilde{p}(\delta t)$  where  $\tilde{p}$  is as in the proof of Lemma 6.7. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  large enough, we must estimate (see (4.10))

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{c_a n}^{\infty} dt \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \frac{t^{n-1} e^{-zt}}{(n-1)!} \langle g, \phi_{-t}^* h_s \rangle &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{c_a n}^{\infty} dt \frac{t^{n-1} e^{-zt} p(k - tr^{-1})}{(-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} (n-1)!} \\ &\times \int_{\phi_{-kr} W_{\alpha,G}} \langle * \phi_t^* * g, h_s \rangle \circ \phi_{-t+kr} J_W \phi_{kr} J \phi_{-t} \circ \phi_{kr}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

Next, it is convenient to localize in space as well. To this end we need to define a sequence of smooth partitions of unity.

For each  $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , let us define  $x^{\mathbf{i}} = r d^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{i}$ . We then introduce the partition of unity  $\Phi_{r,\mathbf{i}}(x) = \prod_{l=1}^d p(d^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-1} x_{i_l} - \mathbf{i}_l)$  (limited to the set  $B_d(0, 30\delta)$ ). Note that it enjoys the following properties

- (i)  $\Phi_{r,\mathbf{i}}(z) = 0$  for all  $z \notin B_d(x_{\mathbf{i}}, r)$ ;
- (ii) the collection  $\{B_d(x^{\mathbf{i}}, r)\}_{\mathbf{i} \in B_d(0, 10\delta)}$  covers  $B_d(0, 30\delta)$  with a uniformly bounded number of overlaps;
- (iii) for each  $r, \mathbf{i}$  we have  $\|\nabla \Phi_{r,\mathbf{i}}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_{\#} r^{-1}$ .

Note that the collection in **(ii)** has a number of elements bounded by  $C_{\#}r^{-d}$ .

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha,G,\beta,kr}$  be the family of manifolds defined in (4.9), with  $t = kr$ , and set  $\mathcal{W}_{\alpha,G,\beta,kr,\mathbf{i}} = \{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\alpha,G,\beta,kr} : \Theta_{\beta}(W) \cap B_d(x^{\mathbf{i}}, r) \neq \emptyset\}$ . For simplicity, let us adopt the notation  $\mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}} \doteq \mathcal{W}_{\alpha,G,\beta,kr,\mathbf{i}}$ . For  $W \in \mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}$  let  $\tau_W : \widetilde{W} \doteq \cup_{t \in [-2r, 2r]} \phi_t W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $\phi_{-\tau_W(x)}(x) \in W$ . Also set

$$\varphi_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}(x) = \psi_{\beta}(x) \Phi_{r,\mathbf{i}}(\Theta_{\beta}(x)) p(r^{-1} \tau_W(x)) \|V(x)\|^{-1}. \quad (7.6)$$

Letting

$$\hat{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}} = \varphi_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}} \frac{(kr - \tau_W)^{n-1} J_W \phi_{kr} \circ \phi_{-\tau_W} J \phi_{\tau_W}}{(-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} e^{-z(kr - \tau_W)} J \phi_{kr} (n-1)!} * \phi_{kr - \tau_W}^* * g, \quad (7.7)$$

we can rewrite (7.5) as<sup>59</sup>

$$\int_{cn}^{\infty} dt \int_{W_{\alpha,G}} \frac{t^{n-1} \langle g, \phi_{-t}^* h_s \rangle}{e^{zt} (n-1)!} = \sum_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}} \int_{\widetilde{W}} \langle \hat{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}, h_s \rangle. \quad (7.8)$$

Recalling (4.16), we have

$$\|J_W \phi_{kr} \circ \phi_{-\tau_W} J \phi_{-kr + \tau_W} * \phi_{kr - \tau_W}^* * g\|_{\Gamma^{\ell, 1+\eta}(W)} \leq C_{\#}. \quad (7.9)$$

Moreover, the derivative of  $\hat{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}$  in the flow direction is uniformly bounded as well. Next, for each  $\beta, \mathbf{i}$  we choose the reference manifold  $W_{\delta}^s(\Theta_{\beta}^{-1}(x^{\mathbf{i}})) \doteq \overline{W}_{\beta,\mathbf{i}} \in \Sigma^s$ , and define  $W_{\beta,\mathbf{i}} = \overline{W}_{\beta,\mathbf{i}} \cap \Theta_{\beta}^{-1}(B_d(x^{\mathbf{i}}, 2r))$  and  $\widetilde{W}_{\beta,\mathbf{i}} = \cup_{t \in [-2r, 2r]} \phi_t(W_{\beta,\mathbf{i}})$ . Let us set  $H_{\widetilde{W}_{\beta,\mathbf{i}}, \widetilde{W}} \doteq H_{\beta,\mathbf{i},W}$ . We can then rewrite the right hand side of (7.8) multiplied by  $(-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)}$  as<sup>60</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}} \int_{\widetilde{W}_{\beta,\mathbf{i}}} JH_{\beta,\mathbf{i},W} \cdot \langle \hat{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}, h_s \rangle \circ H_{\beta,\mathbf{i},W} \\ &= \sum_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}} \int_{\widetilde{W}_{\beta,\mathbf{i}}} \langle \hat{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},W}, h_s \rangle + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{r^2 (kr)^{n-1} e^{(\sigma_{d_s} - \lambda)s} \|h\|^u}{(n-1)! e^{(a - \sigma_{d_s})kr}}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

<sup>59</sup> First, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , perform the change change of variables  $L_k : \mathbb{R} \times W \rightarrow \widetilde{W}$  defined by  $L_k(t, y) = \phi_{-t+kr}(y)$  and then introduce the partition of unity in space. Note that this implies  $t = kr - \tau_W(x)$ . Also, note that the same manifold  $\widetilde{W}$  appears several times. Yet, given the support of the functions, the value of the integral is the correct one.

<sup>60</sup> Form now on we can use the norms on the manifolds and the norms in the charts interchangeably, since they are proportional.

where, in the last line, we have used Lemma 7.4, equation (7.2) and set

$$\hat{g}_{k,\beta,i,W} = \sum_{\bar{j}} JH_{\beta,i,W} \cdot \hat{g}_{k,\beta,i,\bar{j}} \circ H_{\beta,i,W} \cdot \omega_{\beta,\bar{j}}. \quad (7.11)$$

It is then natural to define

$$\mathfrak{g}_{k,\beta,i} \doteq \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,i}} \hat{g}_{k,\beta,i,W}. \quad (7.12)$$

Recalling equations (7.6) (7.9), and Appendix D one can easily compute<sup>61</sup>

$$\|\mathfrak{g}_{k,\beta,i}\|_{C^\eta} \leq C_{\#} r^{-\eta} \frac{(kr)^{n-1} e^{-akr}}{(n-1)!} \cdot \#\mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,i}. \quad (7.13)$$

To conclude we need an estimate of the sup norm of the test forms and this will follow from an  $L^2$  estimate,<sup>62</sup>

$$\int_{\widetilde{W}_{\beta,i}^s} \|\mathfrak{g}_{k,\beta,i}\|^2 \geq C_{\#} \|\mathfrak{g}_{k,\beta,i}\|_{C^0}^{2+\frac{d_s+1}{\eta}} \|\mathfrak{g}_{k,\beta,i}\|_{C^\eta}^{-\frac{d_s+1}{\eta}}.$$

Hence

$$\|\mathfrak{g}_{k,\beta,i}\|_{C^0} \leq C_{\#} \|\mathfrak{g}_{k,\beta,i}\|_{L^2(\widetilde{W}_{\beta,i}^s)}^{\frac{2\eta}{2\eta+d_s+1}} \|\mathfrak{g}_{k,\beta,i}\|_{C^\eta}^{\frac{d_s+1}{2\eta+d_s+1}}. \quad (7.14)$$

To compute the  $L^2$  norm it is convenient to proceed as follows. Given  $W \in \mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,i}$  let  $\{x_W\} \doteq \widetilde{W} \cap \Theta_{\beta}^{-1}(\{x^i + (0, \eta)\}_{\eta \in B_{du}(0,r)})$  be its ‘‘central’’ point. Also, for each  $\rho > 0$ , consider the disks  $\widetilde{D}_{\rho}^u(W) = \{\Theta_{\beta}(x_W) + (0, u, 0)\}_{u \in B_{du}(0,\rho)}$ . Then, for a fixed  $\varrho \in (0, r)$  to be chosen later, let  $A_{k,\beta,i}(W) = \{W' \in \mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,i} : \Theta_{\beta}(\widetilde{W}') \cap \widetilde{D}_{\varrho}^u(W) \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $B_{k,\beta,i}(W) = \mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,i} \setminus A_{k,\beta,i}(W)$  and set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{G}_{k,\beta,i,A} &\doteq \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,i}} \sum_{W' \in A_{k,\beta,i}(W)} \langle \hat{g}_{k,\beta,i,W}, \hat{g}_{k,\beta,i,W'} \rangle \\ \mathfrak{G}_{k,\beta,i,B} &\doteq \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,i}} \sum_{W' \in B_{k,\beta,i}(W)} \langle \hat{g}_{k,\beta,i,W}, \hat{g}_{k,\beta,i,W'} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

<sup>61</sup>By  $\#A$  we mean the cardinality of the set  $A$ .

<sup>62</sup>Note that if  $f : \mathbb{R}^\nu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a  $\eta$ -Hölder function and  $x_0$  satisfies  $f(x_0) = \|f\|_{C^0}$ , then  $f(x) \geq \|f\|_{C^0} - \|f\|_{C^\eta} |x_0 - x|^\eta$ , thus  $f(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{C^0}$  for all  $x \in B_\nu(x_0, \rho)$  where  $\rho = [\|f\|_{C^0} (2\|f\|_{C^\eta})^{-1}]^{\frac{1}{\eta}}$ .

note that  $\langle \mathfrak{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}, \mathfrak{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}} \rangle = \mathfrak{G}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},A} + \mathfrak{G}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},B}$ . We will estimate the two terms by separate arguments, the first being similar to [30, Lemma 6.2],<sup>63</sup> the second being the equivalent of [30, Lemma 6.3].

To simplify the notation we set:  $D_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}} = \frac{(kr)^{n-1} e^{-ark}}{(n-1)!} \#\mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p} = \frac{2\eta}{2\eta+d_s+1}$ .

**Lemma 7.7.** *If  $\varrho < \delta r^{(1+\varsigma)\frac{2}{\mathfrak{p}}}$ , for some  $\varsigma > 0$ , then there exists  $\varsigma_0 > 0$  s.t.*

$$\|\mathfrak{G}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},A}\|_\infty \leq C_\# D_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}^2 r^{\varsigma_0}. \quad (7.15)$$

*Proof.* Note that the number of elements in  $A_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}(W)$  must correspond to the number of intersections between  $\cup_{t \in [-3\delta, 3\delta]} W_{\alpha,G}$  and  $\phi_{kr}(D_\varrho^u(W))$  and, since each intersection has a  $\delta$ -neighborhood in  $\phi_{kr}(D_\varrho^u(W))$  that cannot contain any other intersection,  $\#A_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}(W) \leq C_\# \text{vol}(\phi_{kr}(D_\varrho^u(W)))$ . On the other hand, by the mixing property,<sup>64</sup> a disk in  $\phi_{kr}(D_\varrho^u(W))$  with inner diameter larger than  $C_\#$  must intersect  $\cup_{t \in [-3\delta, 3\delta]} W_{\alpha,G}$ , thus  $\#A_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}(W) \geq C_\# \text{vol}(\phi_{kr}(D_\varrho^u(W)))$ . Similar arguments imply that  $\#\mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}$  is proportional to  $\text{vol}(\phi_{kr}(D_r^u(W)))$ . We are thus reduced to estimating the ratio of two volumes.

The simplest possible estimate is as follows:<sup>65</sup> let  $n$  be the largest integer such that  $e^{\lambda n} r \leq r^{-\varsigma}$ , now suppose that  $e^{\lambda+n} \varrho \leq \delta$ , it follows that at time  $n$  the image of the smaller disk is contained in a disk of radius  $\delta$  while the image of the largest one contains at least  $r^{-\varsigma d_u}$  such disks. By the results in Appendix C such a ratio will persist at later times. Note that the above condition is implied by  $\varrho < \delta r^{(1+\varsigma)\frac{2}{\mathfrak{p}}}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7.8.** *Setting  $|b| = \varrho^{-2+\varpi'+\varsigma}$  and  $r = \varrho^{1-\varpi'+\varsigma}$ , for  $\varsigma > 0$ , there exists  $\gamma_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\left| \int_{\widetilde{W}_{\beta,\mathbf{i}}^s} \mathfrak{G}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},B} \right| \leq C_\# b^{-\gamma_0} r^{d_s+1} D_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}^2. \quad (7.16)$$

The proof of the above Lemma is postponed to Subsection 7.2.

<sup>63</sup> But note that in the present case we obtain much weaker results. The difficulty stems from the fact that the ambient measure is the measure of maximal entropy rather than the Liouville measure.

<sup>64</sup> Recall that a contact flow on a connected manifold is mixing, [26].

<sup>65</sup> It may be possible to improve on this by using some distortion estimate but we do not see how.

**Remark 7.9.** *Note that the conditions of the two Lemmata can be simultaneously satisfied only if  $\hat{\omega} > \frac{2}{3}$ .*

Substituting in (7.14) the estimates given by (7.13), (7.15) and (7.16) we obtain that there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that<sup>66</sup>

$$\|\mathbf{g}_{k,\beta,i}\|_{C^0} \leq C_{\#} D_{k,\beta,i} |b|^{-\gamma}. \quad (7.17)$$

By (7.13) and (7.17) and arguing as in the proof of (4.18), provided  $s \geq c_a n$  with  $n \geq C_1 \ln |b|$ , for  $C_1$  large enough,<sup>67</sup> we can rewrite the last integral in (7.10) as

$$\left| \sum_{k,\beta,i} \int_{\widetilde{W}_{\beta,i}} \langle \mathbf{g}_{k,\beta,i}, h_s \rangle \right| \leq C_{\#} \sum_{k,\beta,i} D_{k,\beta,i} (b^{-\gamma} + e^{-\lambda \eta s} r^{-\eta}) \text{vol}(\phi_{-s} \widetilde{W}_{\beta,i}) \|h\|_{\eta}^s$$

Note that  $\sum_i \#\mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,i} |\phi_{-s} \widetilde{W}_{\beta,i}| \leq C_{\#} r \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_{\alpha,G,\beta,kr}} |\phi_{-s} W|$ . Thus, by Lemma C.3 and Remark C.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k,\beta,i} \int_{\widetilde{W}_{\beta,i}} \langle \mathbf{g}_{k,\beta,i}, h_s \rangle \right| &\leq C_{\#} \sum_k \frac{(kr)^{n-1} (|b|^{-\gamma} + e^{-\lambda \eta s} r^{-\eta})}{(n-1)! e^{ark - \sigma_{d_s}(kr+s)}} r \|h\|_{\eta}^s \\ &\leq C_{\#} (a - h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1))^{-n} |b|^{-\gamma} e^{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)s} \|h\|_{\eta}^s. \end{aligned} \quad (7.18)$$

Thus, by (7.8), (7.10), (7.18),

$$\left\| \widehat{R}_n(z)^2 h \right\|_{1+\eta}^s \leq \frac{C_{\#}}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^n} \left[ \frac{\|h\|^u (a - \sigma_{d_s})^n}{(a - \sigma_{d_s} + \lambda)^n} + |b|^{-\gamma} \|h\|_{\eta}^s \right]. \quad (7.19)$$

Next, by (7.4), (7.19)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{R}_n(z)^3 h \right\|_{\eta}^s &\leq \frac{C_{\#} \left\| \widehat{R}_n(z)^2 h \right\|_{\eta}^s}{(a - \sigma_{d_s} + \lambda)^n} + \frac{C_{\#}}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^n} \left\| \widehat{R}_n(z)^2 h \right\|_{1+\eta}^s \\ &\leq \frac{C_{\#}}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^{3n}} \left[ \frac{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^n}{(a - \sigma_{d_s} + \lambda)^n} + |b|^{-\gamma} \right] \|h\|^*. \end{aligned} \quad (7.20)$$

The above, and (7.3), imply the Lemma by choosing  $n$  appropriately.  $\square$

<sup>66</sup>Since, for all  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ ,  $\mathbf{p} \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\alpha^{1-\mathbf{p}} \beta^{\mathbf{p}} \leq \alpha + \beta$ .

<sup>67</sup>So that the size of  $\phi_{-s} W_{\beta,i}$  exceeds  $\delta$ .



The goal of this section easily follows.

**Lemma 7.10.** *If  $\phi_t$  is a contact Anosov flow with  $\varpi' > \frac{2}{3}$ , then for each  $\eta \in (0, \varpi_*)$ , there exist constants  $C_1, \gamma_0, a_0, b_0 > 0$  such that for each  $\eta \in (0, \varpi_*)$ ,  $h \in \mathcal{B}^{1, \eta, d_s}$ ,  $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_0)$ ,  $z = a + ib$  with  $a \geq a_0 + \sigma_{d_s}$ ,  $|b| \geq b_0$ , and  $n \geq C_1 \ln |b|$ , holds true*

$$\|R(z)^n h\|_{1, \eta, d_s} \leq C_\eta (a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-n} b^{-\gamma} \|h\|_{1, \eta, d_s}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(z)^{(k+1)n} h\|_{1, \eta, d_s} &\leq \frac{C_\#}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^{kn} (a - \sigma_{d_s} + \lambda)^n} \|h\|_{1, \eta, d_s} \\ &\quad + \frac{C_\# |b|}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^n} \|R(z)^{kn} h\|_{0, 1 + \eta, d_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (7.1) and subsequent comments, it suffices to prove, for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\left\| \widehat{R}_n(z)^k h \right\|_{0, 1 + \eta, d_s} \leq C_q (a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-kn} b^{-1 - \gamma} \|h\|_{1, \eta, d_s}.$$

By Lemmata 3.7, 7.5 and Proposition 7.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{R}_n(z)^k h \right\|_{0, 1 + \eta, d_s} &\leq \frac{C_\# \varepsilon \|h\|_{1, \eta, d_s}}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^{kn}} + C_\# \left\| \widehat{R}_n(z)^k \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon h \right\|_{0, 1 + \eta, d_s} \\ &\leq \frac{C_\# \varepsilon \|h\|_{1, \eta, d_s}}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^{kn}} + C_\# \left\| \widehat{R}_n(z)^k \mathbb{M}_\varepsilon h \right\|_\eta^* \\ &\leq \frac{C_\# \varepsilon \|h\|_{1, \eta, d_s}}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^{kn}} + C_\# (a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-kn} |b|^{-k\gamma} \|\mathbb{M}_\varepsilon h\|_\eta^* \\ &\leq \frac{C_\# \varepsilon \|h\|_{1, \eta, d_s}}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^{kn}} + C_\# (a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-kn} |b|^{-k\gamma} \varepsilon^{-1} \|h\|_{0, \eta, d_s}, \end{aligned}$$

which, after choosing  $\varepsilon = |b|^{-1 - \gamma}$ ,  $n = C_1 \ln |b|$  and  $k = 2\gamma^{-1} + 2$ , proves the Lemma.  $\square$

## 7.2 A key (but technical) inequality

We are left with the task of proving (7.16).

**Proof of Lemma 7.8.** This is the heart of Dolgopyat's estimate. Given  $W \in \mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}$  and  $W' \in B_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i}}(W)$ , we must estimate

$$\int_{\widetilde{W}_{\beta,\mathbf{i}}^s} \langle \hat{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},W}, \hat{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},W'} \rangle = \int_{\widetilde{W}_{\beta,\mathbf{i}}^s} JH_{\beta,\mathbf{i},W} \cdot JH_{\beta,\mathbf{i},W'} \cdot \overline{\hat{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},\bar{i}}} \circ H_{\beta,\mathbf{i},W} \quad (7.21)$$

$$\times \hat{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},\bar{i}} \circ H_{\beta,\mathbf{i},W'}.$$

It turns out to be convenient to write the above as an integral over  $W$ . More precisely, let  $\tilde{\vartheta}_W : B_d(0, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a flow box coordinate change preserving the contact form  $\alpha_0$  and such that, setting  $\vartheta_W = \Theta_\beta^{-1} \circ \tilde{\vartheta}_W$ , has the property that  $\vartheta_W(\{(\xi, 0)\}_{\xi \in B_{d_s+1}(0, C_\# r)}) \subset W$  and, more, contains the support of the integrand projected on  $W$  via the unstable Holonomy.<sup>68</sup> We can then rewrite (7.21) as<sup>69</sup>

$$\int_{\widetilde{W}_{\beta,\mathbf{i}}^s} \langle \hat{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},W}, \hat{g}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},W'} \rangle = \int_{B_{d_s+1}(0, 2r)} d\xi e^{-z(\tau_{W'} \circ \tilde{H}_{W'}(\xi) - \xi_d)} \mathcal{G}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},W,W',\bar{i}}(\xi), \quad (7.22)$$

where  $\xi = (\tilde{\xi}, \xi_d)$ ,  $\tilde{H}_{W'} = H_{\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}'} \circ \vartheta_W$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{k,\beta,\mathbf{i},W,W',\bar{i}} &\doteq \left\{ \frac{(kr + \tau_W)^{n-1} e^{-akr} J_W \phi_{kr+\tau_W} (*\phi_{kr+\tau}^* g)_{\bar{i}}}{\varphi_{k,\beta} (n-1)! J \phi_{kr+\tau_W}} \right\} \circ \vartheta_W \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{(kr + \tau_{W'})^{n-1} e^{-akr} J_{W'} \phi_{kr+\tau_{W'}} (*\phi_{kr+\tau_{W'}}^* g)_{\bar{i}}}{\varphi_{k,\beta} (n-1)! J \phi_{kr+\tau_{W'}}} \right\} \circ \tilde{H}_{W'} \\ &\times J\tilde{H}_{\beta,\mathbf{i},W'}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define

$$\Delta^*(\xi) \doteq \tau_{W'} \circ \tilde{H}_{\beta,\mathbf{i},W'}(\xi) - \xi_d, \quad (7.23)$$

and note that  $\Delta^*(\xi + \zeta) - \Delta^*(\xi)$  is exactly the so called *temporal function*.<sup>70</sup> We let  $w(\xi) = (w^s(\xi), w^u(\xi), w_d(\xi)) = \vartheta_W^{-1} \circ \tilde{H}_{W'}(\xi) - (\xi, 0)$ . Then by Lemma

<sup>68</sup> Such a coordinate change always exists, see [5, Lemma A.4].

<sup>69</sup> By Appendix D it follows that the image of  $W'$  on  $\widetilde{W}$  by the unstable holonomy is strictly contained in a ball of radius  $2r$ , provided  $r$  is small enough.

<sup>70</sup>In the language of [30] (in which the role of the stable and unstable manifolds are reversed)  $\Delta^*(\xi + \zeta) - \Delta^*(\xi) = \Delta(y, y')$ , where  $x = \vartheta_W(\xi)$ ,  $y = \tilde{H}_{W'}(\xi)$  and  $y' = \vartheta_W(\xi + \zeta)$  with  $\zeta = (\zeta, \zeta_d)$ .

D.2 we have<sup>71</sup>

$$|\Delta^*(\xi + \zeta) - \Delta^*(\xi) - d\alpha_0(w(\xi), \zeta)| \leq C_{\#} \|w\|^2 \|\tilde{\zeta}\|^{\varpi'} + C_{\#} \|w\|^{\varpi'} \|\tilde{\zeta}\|^2 + C_{\#} \|w\|^{1+\varpi'} \|\tilde{\zeta}\|^{1+\varpi'}. \quad (7.24)$$

We are left with the task of performing the integral in the lefthand side of (7.22). The basic idea is to integrate first in a specially chosen (optimal) direction. As such a direction can change wildly from point to point (at least in the case of very low regularity of the foliations) we impose the condition

$$r = \varrho^{1-\varpi'+\varsigma} \quad (7.25)$$

for some  $\varsigma > 0$ . By Lemma D.1 we have that

$$\|w(\xi) - w(\xi + \zeta)\| \leq C_{\#} (\|w(\xi)\| \|\tilde{\zeta}\|^{\varpi'} + \|w(\xi)\|^{\varpi'} \|\tilde{\zeta}\|) \leq C_{\#} \varrho. \quad (7.26)$$

Next, we consider the directions  $y_i = (-w(0), 0) \|w(0)\|^{-1}$ . By the cone condition,  $\|w^s\| \leq C_{\#} \|w^u\|$ . Hence, for  $\xi \in B_{d_s+1}(0, r)$ ,  $\|w(\xi)\| \geq \varrho$ ,

$$d\alpha_0(w(\xi), y_i) \geq C_{\#} \|w^u(\xi)\|. \quad (7.27)$$

For each  $\xi \in B_{d_s+1}(0, r)$ ,  $\langle \xi, y_i \rangle = 0$ , we define  $I_i(\xi) = \{s \in \mathbb{R} : \xi + sy_i \in B_{d_s+1}(0, r)\}$  and consider the integral

$$\left| \int_{I_i(\xi)} ds e^{-z\Delta^*(\xi+sy_i)} \mathcal{G}_{k,\beta,i,W,W',\bar{i}}(\xi + sy_i) \right|. \quad (7.28)$$

It is then natural to divide the interval  $I_i(\xi)$  in subintervals  $\{s_l, s_{l+1}\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that,

$$(s_{l+1} - s_l) d\alpha_0(w(\xi + s_l y_i), y_i) = 2\pi |b|^{-1}.$$

Let us set  $\delta_l = s_{l+1} - s_l$  and  $w_l = w(\xi + s_l y_i)$ , then, recalling (7.27), we have

$$C_{\#} |b|^{-1} \leq \frac{\delta_l \|w_l\|}{2\pi} \leq C_{\#} |b|^{-1}. \quad (7.29)$$

Next, we must assume

---

<sup>71</sup> It may be possible to improve this estimate by going forward or backward in time to the situation in which the two lengths are equal, but some non-obvious distortion estimate would have to play a role to insure that the following ‘‘preferred’’ direction does not changes too wildly.

$$|b|^{-1} \leq r \varrho, \quad (7.30)$$

to insure that  $I_i(\xi)$  contains at least an interval. Note that Lemma D.1 implies that  $\|\tilde{H}_{W'}(\xi + y_i s_{l+1}) - \tilde{H}_{W'}(\xi + y_i s_l)\| \leq C_{\#} \max\{\delta_l, \|w_l\| \delta_l^{\varpi'}\}$ . Hence<sup>72</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{|s-s_l| \leq \delta_i} |\mathcal{G}_{k,\beta,i,W,W',\bar{i}}(\xi + s y_i) - \mathcal{G}_{k,\beta,i,W,W',\bar{i}}(\xi + s_l y_i)| &\leq C_{\#} D_{n,k}^2 \\ &\times (r^{-1} \max\{\delta_l, \|w_l\| \delta_l^{\varpi'}\} + \delta_l^{\varpi^*} \|w_l\|) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } D_{n,k} \doteq \frac{(kr)^{n-1} e^{-akr}}{(n-1)!}.$$

We can then bound (7.28) by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_l \left| \int_{s_l}^{s_{l+1}} e^{-z[\Delta^*(\xi + s y_i) - \Delta^*(\xi + s_l y_i)]} \mathcal{G}_{k,\beta,i,W,W',\bar{i}}(\xi + s_l y_i) \right| \\ + C_{\#} D_{n,k}^2 \left( |b|^{-1} \|w_l\|^{-1} + |b|^{-\varpi'} \|w_l\|^{1-\varpi'} + \frac{\|w_l\|^{1-\varpi^*} r}{|b|^{\varpi^*}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, equations (7.24), (7.29) yield

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta^*(\xi + s y_i) - \Delta^*(\xi + s_l y_i) - (s - s_l) d\alpha_0(w_l, y_i)| &\leq C_{\#} \frac{\|w_l\|^{2-\varpi'}}{|b|^{\varpi'}} \\ &+ C_{\#} \frac{\|w_l\|^{\varpi'-2}}{|b|^2} + C_{\#} \frac{1}{|b|^{1+\varpi'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (7.25) and (7.26), we can continue our estimate of (7.28) as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_l \left| \int_{s_l}^{s_{l+1}} ds e^{-z(s-s_l) d\alpha_0(w_l, \bar{y}_l)} \mathcal{G}_{k,\beta,i,W,W',\bar{i}}(\xi + s_l y_i) \right| \\ + C_{\#} D_{n,k}^2 r \left( |b|^{-1} \varrho^{-1} r^{-1} + \frac{r^{1-\varpi^*}}{|b|^{\varpi^*}} + \frac{r^{2-\varpi'}}{|b|^{\varpi'-1}} + \frac{\varrho^{\varpi'-2}}{|b|} + \frac{r^{-\varpi'}}{|b|^{\varpi'}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that the integrals in the first line are all bounded by  $C_{\#} |b|^{-1} \delta_l$ . By the above equation, integrating in the remaining directions and letting<sup>73</sup>

$$D_{k,n,\beta,i} \doteq D_{k,n} \# \mathcal{W}_{k,\beta,i} \quad \text{and} \quad |b|^{-1} = \varrho^{2-\varpi'+2\varsigma}$$

<sup>72</sup> We remark that  $\|J\tilde{H}_{\beta,i,W'}(\xi) - J\tilde{H}_{\beta,i,W'}(\xi + \zeta)\| \leq C_{\#} \|w_l\| \|\zeta\|^{\varpi^*}$ , see Appendix D.

<sup>73</sup> The choice for  $\varrho$  satisfies the constraints (7.30).

equation (7.22) yields

$$\left| \int_{\widetilde{W}_{\beta,i}^s} \mathfrak{G}_{k,\beta,i,B} \right| \leq C_{\#} D_{k,n,\beta,i}^2 \left[ \varrho^{\varpi' \varsigma} + \frac{1}{|b|^{\frac{\varpi'}{2}}} \right] r^{d_s+1} \leq C_{\#} D_{k,n,\beta,i}^2 |b|^{-\frac{\varpi' \varsigma}{2-\varpi'+2\varsigma}} r^{d_s+1}.$$

which gives the required inequality.  $\square$

## 8 Growth of $\zeta$ -functions

We start by showing that the estimates in section 7.1 imply a bound on the growth of the traces. To this end note that the previous results show that  $\mathrm{tr}^b(R(z)^n)$ , which is well defined for  $\Re(z)$  large enough, equals a function meromorphic in  $\Re(z) > \sigma_{\ell} - \frac{\sigma_{p,q}}{2}$ , for each  $p+q < r-1$ . It is then natural to use  $\mathrm{tr}^b(R(z)^n)$  to also denote such an extension.

**Lemma 8.1.** *There exists  $\tau_*$ ,  $B > 0$ ,  $A \geq h_{\mathrm{top}}(\phi_1)$ , such that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $2A \geq a = \Re(z) \geq A$ ,  $b = \Im(z) \notin [-B, B]$ ,*

$$|\mathrm{tr}^b(R(z)^n)| \leq C_{\#} |b|^{\gamma} (a - \sigma_{d_s} + \tau_*)^{-n}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.1 and equation (5.10) follow, for each  $L > 1$  and  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_L$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathrm{tr}^b(R(z)^n)| &\leq \left| \int_M \sum_{\alpha, \bar{i}} \langle \omega_{\alpha, \bar{i}}, R^{(\ell)}(z)^n(j_{\varepsilon, \alpha, \bar{i}, x}) \rangle_x \right| + C_{\#} \varepsilon (a - C_2)^{-n} + C_{\#}^n e^{-C_{\#} L n} \\ &\leq C_{\#} \varepsilon^{-C_{\#}} \| \| R(z)^n \| \|_{1,q,d_s} + C_{\#} \varepsilon (a - C_2)^{-n} + C_{\#}^n e^{-C_{\#} L n} \end{aligned}$$

Next, given  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , large enough, let  $z = a + ib$ ,  $a = a_0 + \sigma_{d_s}$  and  $c > 0$ . Also, let  $n_b = \lceil C_1 \ln |b| \rceil$  and write, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n = k_n n_b + r_n$ ,  $r_n < n_b$ . Lemmata 7.10 and 4.6 imply, for  $B$  large enough,

$$\| \| R(z)^n \| \|_{1,q,d_s} \leq \frac{C_q^{k_n} |b|^{-\gamma k_n}}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^n} \leq \frac{|b|^{\gamma} e^{\frac{n}{\ln |b|} (\ln C_q - \gamma \ln |b|)}}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^n} \leq \frac{|b|^{\gamma} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2} n}}{(a - \sigma_{d_s})^n}. \quad (8.1)$$

Let us set  $\bar{C}_a \doteq \frac{C_2 + \sigma_{d_s}}{a - \sigma_{d_s}}$ . We can then chose  $\varepsilon$  depending on  $n$  by requiring  $C_{\#} \varepsilon^{-C_{\#}} |b|^{\gamma} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2} n} = C_{\#} \varepsilon e^{\bar{C}_a n}$ . This, provided  $A$  is large enough and  $e^{-C_{\#} L} = (2A - \sigma_{d_s} + 1)^{-1}$  yields

$$|\mathrm{tr}^b(R(z)^n)| \leq C_{\#} (a - \sigma_{d_s})^{-n} |b|^{\gamma} e^{-\frac{\gamma}{4} n} + C_{\#} (a - \sigma_{d_s} + 1)^{-n}$$

implying the result.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.2.** *In the region  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z) \in [h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \tau_*, \infty)\}$   $\zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}$  is non zero and has only a simple pole at  $z = h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$ . Moreover, setting  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z) \in [h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \tau_*, A]; |\Im(z)| \geq B\}$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}(z)| &\leq C_{\#} e^{C_{\#}|z|^{\gamma_1}} \quad \text{for all } z \in D \\ \left| \frac{d}{dz} \ln \zeta_{\text{Ruelle}}(z) \right| &\leq C_{\#} |z|^{\gamma_1} \quad \text{for all } z \in D. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* If  $\tau_*$  is chosen small enough, then all the determinants  $\mathfrak{D}_{\ell}$ ,  $\ell \neq d_s$ , are analytic and bounded in the considered regions and hence, recalling equation (2.3), it suffices to study  $\mathfrak{D}_{d_s}(z)$ . In view of Lemma 2.10 we start by studying  $\tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{d_s}(\xi - z, \xi)$ . Fix  $z_0 \in D$  and consider  $\xi = A + i\Im(z_0)$ . Then, recalling Lemma 5.1

$$\mathfrak{D}_{d_s}(z) = \mathfrak{D}_{d_s}(\xi) \tilde{\mathfrak{D}}_{d_s}(\xi - z, \xi) = \mathfrak{D}_{d_s}(\xi) \exp \left( - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\xi - z)^n}{n} \text{tr}^b(R(\xi)^n) \right).$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{D}_{d_s}(\xi)$  is uniformly bounded in  $z_0$ . By Lemma (8.1) it follows that

$$|\mathfrak{D}_{d_s}(z_0)| \leq C_{\#} \exp \left( - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Im(z_0)|^{\gamma} (A - \Re(z_0))^n}{n(A - h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) + \tau_*)^n} \right)$$

which is convergent provided  $\Re(z_0) > h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1) - \tau_*$ .

Next, by the same argument as above, the logarithmic derivative

$$\frac{d}{dz} \ln \mathfrak{D}_{d_s}(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\xi - z)^{n-1} \text{tr}^b(R(\xi)^n).$$

is bounded as claimed in the required domain. □

## Appendices

### A External Forms: a toolbox

In this appendix we collect together for the reader's convenience some useful formulae. Most of them are a direct application of [24, Section 2]).

Given a Riemannian  $d$  dimensional manifold  $M$ , for each  $x \in M$ ,  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, d\}$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_\ell, w_1, \dots, w_\ell \in T_x^*M$  we define<sup>74</sup>

$$\langle v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_\ell, w_1 \wedge \dots \wedge w_\ell \rangle = \det(\langle v_i, w_j \rangle). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Assuming bilinearity, the above formula defines uniquely a scalar product among  $\ell$ -forms.

Let  $\omega_M$  be the Riemannian volume form on  $M$ . We define a duality from  $\ell$  to  $d - \ell$  forms via (see [24, (2.1.6)])

$$\langle v, w \rangle \omega_M = (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} v \wedge *w = (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} w \wedge *v = *v \wedge w. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Since such a formula must hold for each  $\ell$ -forms, the  $(d - \ell)$ -form  $*w$  is uniquely defined. The operator “ $*$ ” is the so called *Hodge operator*. In particular, equation (A.2) implies the following equalities

$$\langle v, *w \rangle = (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} \langle *v, w \rangle ; \quad **v = (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} v ; \quad \langle *v, *w \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

It is also natural to define a scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\Omega_\ell} \doteq \int_M \langle f, g \rangle_x \omega_M(x).$$

Next, consider a smooth diffeomorphism  $F : M \rightarrow N$ , for  $M, N$  Riemannian manifolds. Let  $\det(DF)$  be determined by  $F^*\omega_N = \det(DF)\omega_M$  then

$$\langle F^*f, g \rangle = (-1)^{(d-\ell)\ell} \det(DF) \cdot F^* \langle f, *(F^{-1})^* * g \rangle. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

To prove this, we just compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F^*f, g \rangle \omega_M &= ** F^*f \wedge *g = F^*(f \wedge ** (F^{-1})^* * g) \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} F^*(\langle f, *(F^{-1})^* * g \rangle \omega_N) \\ &= (-1)^{\ell(d-\ell)} \det(DF) \cdot \langle f, *(F^{-1})^* * g \rangle \circ F \cdot \omega_M. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, letting  $(F^*)'$  be defined by  $\langle F^*v, w \rangle_{\Omega_\ell} = \langle v, (F^*)'w \rangle_{\Omega_\ell}$ , we have

$$*(F^*)' = (F^{-1})^* *.$$

---

<sup>74</sup> By duality the scalar product in  $T_x^*M$  induces a canonical scalar product in  $T_x^*M$ .

The above formulae yield a formula for the Lie derivative: let  $Z$  be a vector field and  $F_t$  the flow generated by  $Z$ . Then, by differentiating  $F_t^*\langle v, w \rangle = \det(DF)^{-1}\langle F_t^* * v, *F_t^* w \rangle$  with respect to  $t$  at  $t = 0$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} L_Z\langle v, w \rangle &= -\langle v, w \rangle \operatorname{div} Z + \langle L_Z(*v), *w \rangle + \langle v, L_Z w \rangle \\ &= -\langle v, w \rangle \operatorname{div} Z + (-1)^{d(d-\ell)} \langle *L_Z(*v), w \rangle + \langle v, L_Z w \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

We will also be using the relation (see [1, Proposition 2.2.19] for the details)

$$L_Z F^* w = F^* L_{F_* Z} w. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

In addition, note that if  $\{dx_i\}$  is an orthogonal base of  $T^*M$ , then  $\{dx_{\bar{i}} = dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_\ell}\}$  is an orthonormal base of  $\wedge^\ell T^*M$ , where  $\bar{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell)$  is an ordered multi-index (i.e.  $i_k < i_{k+1}$ ). Then

$$*dx_{\bar{i}} = \varepsilon(\bar{i}^c) dx_{\bar{i}^c}$$

where  $\bar{i}^c$  is the ordered  $(d - \ell)$ -multiindex such that  $dx_{\bar{i}} \wedge dx_{\bar{i}^c} = \varepsilon(\bar{i}) \omega_M$  and  $\varepsilon(\bar{i})$  is the sign of the permutation  $\pi(1, \dots, d) = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell, i_1^c, \dots, i_{d-\ell}^c)$ .

Let  $\gamma V$  be a rescaling of the vector field  $V$ . Recall that, by definition,  $X^{(\ell)} h \doteq \frac{d}{dt} \phi_{-t}^* h \Big|_{t=0} = -L_V h$  for  $h \in \Omega_{0,s}^\ell$ . Hence for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , by the properties of Lie derivatives (for  $i_V$  as in equation (3.14)),

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\gamma V}(\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h) &= \gamma L_V(\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h) + d\gamma \wedge i_V(\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)} h) \\ &= -\gamma \frac{d}{ds} \phi_{-s}^*(\phi_{-t}^* h) \Big|_{s=0} = -\gamma \mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}(X^{(\ell)} h). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

## B Orientability

Here we prove some simple facts about orientability of the invariant distributions for Anosov flows and discuss how to modify the arguments of this paper in the non orientable case. We believe some of the following results to be well known but we could not locate a simple reference, so we add them for the reader convenience.

**Lemma B.1.** *For each geodesic flow on an orientable compact Riemannian manifold  $M_0$  of negative sectional curvature, the unstable and stable distribution are orientable.*



*Proof.* We present the proof for the unstable distribution, the proof for the stable distribution being exactly the same by reversing time. Remember that the geodesic flow takes place in  $M \doteq T_1M$ , the unitary tangent bundle. If we assume that  $M_0$  is  $d$  dimensional, then  $M$  is  $2d - 1$  dimensional and the unstable space is  $d - 1$  dimensional.

Given a geodesic  $\gamma$ , let  $(J, J') \in T(TM)$  be a Jacobi fields along it. We have then [13, Chapter 5.2]

$$\frac{D^2 J}{dt^2} + R(\gamma'(t), J(t))\gamma'(t) = 0$$

where  $\frac{D}{dt}$ , is the covariant derivative and  $R$  is the curvature tensor. We can recall that  $\frac{D}{dt}J = J'$  and that we can assume that both  $J(t)$  and  $J'(t)$  are perpendicular to  $\frac{d}{dt}\gamma(t)$ . If we then define the quadratic form  $Q(J, J') = \langle J, J' \rangle$ , we have [13, chapter 3.3],<sup>75</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}Q(J, J') &= \langle J', J' \rangle - \langle J, R(\gamma'(t), J(t))\gamma'(t) \rangle \\ &= \langle J', J' \rangle - K(\gamma'(t), J(t)) \|\gamma'(t) \wedge J(t)\|^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that the cone  $\{(J, J') \in T(TM) : Q(J, J') > 0\}$  is invariant under the flow, thus the unstable vectors must belong to such a cone. Given a point  $q \in M_0$  and  $(q, p) \in M$ , let us consider the tangent space  $T_{(q,p)}M$ . Let  $(\delta q, \delta p) \in E^u(q, p) \subset T_{(p,q)}M$ . By the above discussion we have  $\langle \delta q, p \rangle = \langle \delta p, p \rangle = 0$  and that  $(0, \delta p) \in E^u$  implies  $\delta p = 0$ . Finally, let  $E^{cu}(q, p) = \text{span}\{E^u(q, p), V\}$ , where  $V = (p, 0)$  is the vector field generating the geodesic flow. Accordingly, if we denote the canonical projection  $\pi : M \rightarrow M_0$  defined by  $\pi(q, p) = q$ , we have that  $\pi_* : E^{cu}(q, p) \rightarrow T_qM$  is an isomorphism. Indeed, if  $(\delta q, \delta p) \in E^{cu}$ , then we can write  $\delta q = \beta p + \xi$  and  $\delta p = \eta$ , where  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $(\xi, \eta) \in E^u$ . Accordingly, if  $\delta q = \pi_*(\delta q, \delta p) = 0$ , then  $\beta = 0$  and  $\xi = 0$ , which in turns imply  $\eta = 0$ .

To conclude note that since  $M_0$  is orientable, then there exists a volume form  $\omega$  on  $M_0$ . But then  $\bar{\omega} = i_V(\pi^*\omega)$  is a volume form on each  $E^u$ , therefore the bundle is orientable.  $\square$

The above result is false for general Anosov flows.<sup>76</sup> Yet, it is often possible to keep track of the orientation of an orbit by a simple multiplicative factor as follows.

---

<sup>75</sup> We let  $K$  denote the sectional curvature.

<sup>76</sup> For example consider the map  $f : \mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^2$  defined by  $f(x, y) = -A(x, y) \pmod 1$

**Lemma B.2.** *If the torsion of the first homology group does not contain factors of the type  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ , then there exists a smooth function  $A : M \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that, for each closed orbit  $\tau$  and  $x \in \tau$ ,*

$$e^{\pi i A(x, \lambda(\tau))} = \epsilon(\tau).$$

Moreover,  $A_t(\cdot) = A(t, \cdot)$  is a cocycle.

*Proof.* Consider the line bundle  $\pi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow M$  such that the fiber at  $x \in M$  consists of the volume forms on  $E^u(x)$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F} \setminus \{(x, 0)\}_{x \in M}$ , i.e. we have taken out the zero section. Hence, for each  $x \in M$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  has two connected component. Thus, for each  $x \in M$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U \ni \pi^{-1}x$  and a continuous map  $F : U \rightarrow \pi(U) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  such that  $F$  is constant on each connected component and  $p \circ F(\bar{x}) = \pi(\bar{x})$ , where  $p(x, i) = x$ . This construction defines a double covering  $M_{or}$  of  $M$ . Given a cycle  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  we can then consider any lift  $\tilde{\gamma}$  to  $M_{or}$ . Locally  $\tilde{\gamma}$  will have the form  $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma(t), i(t))$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . We define then the degree map  $\bar{d}(\gamma) = i(0) + i(1)$  which is well known to be a homotopy invariant. Accordingly,  $\bar{d}$  depends only on the homology class of  $\gamma$ . In other words  $\bar{d} \in H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . We would like to show that  $\bar{d} \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

Since  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$  is finitely generated it is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \text{Tor}$ . By the universal coefficient Theorem  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  while  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2^{n+m}$  where  $m$  is the number of  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$  that are present in the torsion part. Thus by hypothesis  $m = 0$ . Next, it is known that the closed orbits of an Anosov map generate all  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , [2]. Consider a set of closed periodic orbits  $\Gamma = \{\gamma_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  that generate  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ , hence they generate  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . We can thus consider a base  $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$  of  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . By the above discussion it is a base in  $H_1(M, \mathbb{R})$  as well. We can then consider the dual base in  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ . By De Rham cohomology such a base can be represented in terms of 1-forms. In conclusion, there exist closed 1-forms  $\{w_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0}$  such that, for each  $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma_0$ ,  $\int_0^{\lambda(\gamma')} \phi_t^* w_\gamma(V)$  is one if  $\gamma = \gamma'$  and zero otherwise. Finally, let

$$A(x, t) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0} \bar{d}(\gamma) \int_0^t \phi_s^* w_\gamma(V(x)) ds.$$

---

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can then define a ceiling function  $\tau$  and the associated suspension, clearly the resulting flows is Anosov but the invariant distribution are non orientable.

Note that  $A$  is an additive cocycle with respect to the flow  $\phi_t$ . Moreover, if  $\tau$  is a closed orbit and  $x \in \tau$ ,  $A(x, \lambda(\tau)) \pmod 2 = \bar{d}(\tau)$ .  $\square$

In the hypotheses of the above Lemma we can define, instead of (2.7), the operator

$$\mathcal{L}_t^{(\ell)}(h) = e^{\pi i A t} \phi_{-t}^* h. \tag{B.1}$$

The study of such an operator can be carried out in complete analogy with what we have done in sections 4, 5, 6 (at the price of slightly heavier notation).<sup>77</sup>

If the hypothesis of Lemma B.2 does not apply, then one can still introduce an appropriate weight, but this creates some difficulties that can be solved only by introducing a more sophisticated Banach space. The basic idea is to consider the action of  $\phi_t^*$  also on an orientation bundle. This would be simple enough, but in so doing the action of the flows on such a bundle produces a multiplicative factor proportional to the stable Jacobian. To eliminate such an unwanted multiplicative factor it is then necessary to consider a transfer operator with a weight given exactly by the inverse of the stable Jacobian.<sup>78</sup> Yet, this solution produces another problem: in general such a weight is only Hölder, hence the resulting operator does not act properly on spaces of smooth functions, a property essential to obtain large domains of analyticity for the zeta function. To overcome this last obstacle in the present setting one has to change the Banach space. This can be done as in [20].

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Grassmannian of  $d_s$  dimensional subspaces of the tangent bundle. Next, consider the fiber bundle  $\mathcal{E} := \{(x, E, h, \omega) : (x, E) \in \mathcal{G}, h \in \bigwedge^\ell \mathcal{T}_x^* M, \omega \in \bigwedge^{d_s} E'\}$ ,  $\pi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  given by  $\pi(x, E, h, \omega) = (x, E)$ . The fibers consist of the space of  $\ell$ -forms times a line that gives a volume form (hence an orientation) on the subspace. We can then consider the vector space  $\mathcal{S}$  of the  $C^{r-1}$  sections of the bundle  $\mathcal{E}$ . This generalizes the space  $\Omega_r^\ell$ . Given  $(h, \omega) \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $g \in \Omega_r^\ell$  and  $W_{\alpha, G} \in \Sigma$  we can then define the integral

$$\int_{W_{\alpha, G}} \langle g, h \rangle \omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d_s}} \langle g(G_\alpha(\xi)), h(G_\alpha(\xi), E_{G_\alpha}) \rangle G_\alpha^* \omega$$

---

<sup>77</sup> The computations are essentially the same. In Section 4, 6, 7 one obtains the same bounds for the spectrum, while in Section 5 keeping track of the cocycle yields the wanted factor  $\epsilon(\tau)$ .

<sup>78</sup> The interested reader can consult Fried [17, Section 6] for a thorough explanation.

where  $G_\alpha \doteq \Theta_\alpha^{-1} \circ G$  and  $E_{G_\alpha} = DG_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{d_s})$ . We can then use the above to define the analogue of the functionals  $J_{\alpha, G, g, v_1, \dots, v_p}$  in section (3.10) and then construct the norms in the same way. The transfer operator is then the canonical action of the flow on  $\mathcal{S}$  with a weight given by the Jacobian of the flow restricted to the element of the Grassmanian. Note that one obtains an operator of the type considered in [20, equation (3.1)]. Accordingly, all the present arguments can be repeated in complete analogy, although one must compute in the more sophisticated way detailed in [20].<sup>79</sup>

As the present paper is already quite technical, we decided not to go into such a more sophisticated construction as, on the one hand, it does not add any new idea, and on the other it would make the presentation much harder to follow. Nevertheless, all the results presented here can be generalized by using such an approach.

## C Topological Entropy and volume growth

It is well known that there is a relation between asymptotic volume growth of manifolds and the topological entropy (see [48, 18, 36] and references therein). Unfortunately, here we need a uniform upper and lower bound for all times. We are not aware of such bounds for flows in the literature. For Axiom A diffeomorphisms they can be obtained in great generality from the results in [20].<sup>80</sup> It is clear that applying the same strategy to the present setting of flows similar results can be obtained. Yet, since we are interested only in the topological entropy and not in an arbitrary potential, a more elementary approach is available.

Let us proceed in a slightly higher generality than needed, since it can be done at no extra cost. Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $(M, f)$  a partially hyperbolic diffeomorphism. Call  $E^s, E^u, E^c$  the stable, unstable and central distribution respectively. Let  $d_s$  be the dimension of  $E^s$ .

Assume that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|Df^n|_{E^c}\| + \|Df^{-n}|_{E^c}\| \leq C_\#$ .

---

<sup>79</sup> To be precise, in [20] there are no  $\ell$ -forms, but the point of the present paper is exactly that functions and  $\ell$ -forms can be handled by essentially the same computations once the proper machinery has been set up.

<sup>80</sup> Indeed, in [20] it is shown in particular that, given a mixing Axiom A diffeomorphism  $(M, f)$ , the spectral radius of a transfer operator with potential  $\bar{\phi}$  satisfies, for each manifold  $W \in \Sigma$ ,  $C_\# e^{P(\bar{\phi})n} \leq \left| \int_W e^{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \bar{\phi} \circ f^k} \right| \leq C_\# e^{P(\bar{\phi})n}$ , where  $P(\bar{\phi})$  is the pressure associated to the potential, see [20, equations (3.3), (4.10), Lemma 4.7, Theorem 5.1.].

A set  $S \subset M$  is called  $(\varepsilon, n)$ -separated if for each  $x, y \in S$  we have that  $d_{f,n}(x, y) > \varepsilon$  where  $d_{f,n}(x, y) = \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} d(f^k(x), f^k(y))$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}(f, \varepsilon, n)$  be the set of all  $(\varepsilon, n)$ -separated sets. We then define  $N(f, \varepsilon, n) = \sup_{S \in \mathcal{S}(f, \varepsilon, n)} \#S$ .

It is well known that, [27],

$$h_{\text{top}}(f) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln N(f, \varepsilon, n)}{n}.$$

Moreover since if  $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$ , then  $N(f, \varepsilon, n) \geq N(f, \varepsilon', n)$ . Thus, for each  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$h_{\text{top}}(f) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln N(f, \delta, n)}{n}. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

Fix  $\delta > 0$  and let  $\widehat{\Sigma}$  be the set of all  $C^1$ ,  $d_s$ -dimensional manifolds of radius  $\delta$  and tangent space close to  $E^s$ . Let us define

$$\rho_n^+ = \sup_{W \in \widehat{\Sigma}} \text{vol}(f^n W) \quad ; \quad \rho_n^- = \inf_{W \in \widehat{\Sigma}} \text{vol}(f^n W).$$

**Lemma C.1.** *For all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\rho_{n+m}^- \geq C_{\#} \rho_n^- \rho_m^-; \quad \rho_{n+m}^+ \leq C_{\#} \rho_n^+ \rho_m^+.$$

*In addition, if  $f$  is topologically transitive,*

$$\rho_n^+ \leq C_{\#} \rho_n^-.$$

*Proof.* To prove the first equation consider  $f^n(W)$  and chose a set  $\mathcal{W}_n \doteq \{W_i\} \in \widehat{\Sigma}$  such that  $W_i \subset f^n(W)$ ,  $W_i \cap W_j = \emptyset$  and the cardinality of  $\mathcal{W}_n$  is maximal. Then  $\#\mathcal{W}_n \geq C_{\#} \text{vol}(f^n(W))$ . Thus

$$\text{vol}(f^{n+m}(W)) \geq \sum_{W' \in \mathcal{W}_n} \text{vol}(f^m(W')) \geq C_{\#} \rho_n^- \rho_m^-$$

and the result follows taking the inf on  $W$ . The second inequality is proven similarly by taking a minimal cover of  $f^n(W)$ .

Let us prove the last inequality. By topological transitivity for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$  such that given any two ball  $B, B'$  of radius  $\varepsilon$ , there exists  $n \leq n_\varepsilon$  such that  $f^n(B) \cap B' \neq \emptyset$ .<sup>81</sup> Let  $\bar{n} = n_\delta$ .

---

<sup>81</sup> Take  $S \in \mathcal{S}(f, 0, \varepsilon/4)$  with maximal cardinality. Then  $\cup_{x \in S} B(x, \varepsilon) \supset M$ , where  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  is a ball (in the Riemannian metric) of radius  $\varepsilon$  and center  $x$ . Then for each  $x, y \in S$  there exists  $n_{x,y} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f^{n_{x,y}}(B(x, \varepsilon/4)) \cap B(y, \varepsilon/4) \neq \emptyset$ . The claim follows since any ball  $B$  of radius  $\varepsilon$  must contain a point  $x \in S$  such that  $B(x, \varepsilon/4) \subset B$ .

Next, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $W_n \in \widehat{\Sigma}$  such that  $\text{vol}(f^{n-\bar{n}}(W_n)) \geq \frac{1}{2}\rho_{n-\bar{n}}^+$ . Let  $x \in W_n$  and  $B$  an open ball centered at  $x$  and of radius  $c\delta$  for some fixed  $c \in (0, 1)$  to be chosen later. Given any  $W \in \widehat{\Sigma}$  let  $z \in W$  and  $B'$  a ball of radius  $c\delta$  centered at  $z$ . By the above arguments there exists  $m \leq \bar{n}$ , such that  $f^m(B') \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Given that the stable and unstable manifolds are uniformly transversal, it is possible to choose  $c$  such that, for each point in  $y \in W_n$ ,  $W_\delta^{cu}(y) \cap f^m W \neq \emptyset$ .<sup>82</sup> By the hypothesis on the central bundle it follows that the point related by the holonomy will never have a distance larger than  $\delta$  in the future, then  $\text{vol}(f^k(W_n)) \leq C_\# \text{vol}(f^{k+m}(W))$ . Accordingly

$$\text{vol}(f^n(W)) \geq C_\# \text{vol}(f^{n-m}(W_n)) \geq C_\# \rho_{n-\bar{n}}^+ \geq C_\# \rho_n^+,$$

and the result follows again by taking the inf on the  $W$ .  $\square$

**Lemma C.2.** *If  $(M, f)$  is topologically transitive, then, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$C_\varepsilon \rho_n^+ \leq N(f, \delta, n) \leq C_\varepsilon \rho_n^+.$$

*Proof.* Let  $W \in \widehat{\Sigma}$  and consider a  $\varepsilon$  separated set  $S_n$  on  $f^n(W)$ .<sup>83</sup> Since the manifold contracts backward, points that are far away in  $f^n(W)$  but close in  $M$  will separate backward. Thus  $f^{-n}S_n \in \mathcal{S}(f, \varepsilon, n)$ . Since  $\#S_n \geq C_\# \text{vol}(f^n(W))$ , it follows that  $N(f, \varepsilon, n) \geq C_\varepsilon \rho_n^+$ .

To prove the second inequality we use the notation introduced in the proof of Lemma C.1. Fix  $W \in \widehat{\Sigma}$ . Let  $S \in \mathcal{S}(f, \varepsilon, n)$  be a set with maximal cardinality. Cover  $M$  with balls of radius  $c\varepsilon$ . By transitivity, for each ball  $B$  there exists a time  $n_B \leq \bar{n}$  such that  $f^{n_B}W \cap B \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $W_B \subset f^{n_B}W$ ,  $W_B \in \widehat{\Sigma}$  be a manifold that intersects the ball  $B$  and project all the point in  $S \cap B$  to  $W_B$  via the weak unstable holonomy. If we consider the images of such points we note that two points can be  $(\varepsilon, n)$  separated only if their corresponding points on  $W_B$  are  $(C_\#\varepsilon, n)$  separated. Finally, note again that the  $(C_\#\varepsilon, n)$  separated points on  $W_B$  must have a distance larger than  $C_\#\varepsilon$  in the manifold  $f^n(W_B)$ . Thus there can be at most  $C_\varepsilon \text{vol}(f^n(W_B))$  such points. Accordingly,

$$N(f, \varepsilon, n) \leq \sum_B C_\varepsilon \text{vol}(f^{n+n_B}(W)) \leq C_\varepsilon \rho_n^+.$$

---

<sup>82</sup> By  $W_\delta^{cu}(y)$  we mean a disk of radius  $\delta$  in the weak unstable manifold.

<sup>83</sup> That is the distance among the points is larger than  $\varepsilon$  when measured on the manifold  $f^n(W)$ .

□

Using the above results the required bound follows.

**Lemma C.3.** *If  $(M, f)$  is topologically transitive, then for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have*

$$e^{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)n} \leq \rho_n^+ \leq C_{\#} e^{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)n}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemmata C.2, C.1

$$\frac{\ln N(f, \varepsilon, kn)}{kn} \leq \frac{\ln C_{\varepsilon} \rho_{kn}^+}{kn} \leq \frac{\ln C_{\varepsilon}}{kn} + \frac{\ln \rho_n^+}{n}.$$

Taking the limit for  $k \rightarrow \infty$  first and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  second, the first inequality of the Lemma follows.

By Lemma C.1, it follows that  $\rho_{n+m}^+ \geq C_{\#} \rho_n^+ \rho_m^+$ . Then  $\rho_{kn}^+ \geq C_{\#}^k (\rho_n^+)^k$  and

$$\ln[C_{\#} \rho_n^+] \leq m \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \rho_{kn}^+}{km} \leq n \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln N(f, \delta, kn)}{kn} \leq nh_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)$$

where we have used Lemma C.2 and equation (C.1). □

**Remark C.4.** *Note that if  $f$  is the time one map of a hyperbolic flow, then by the spectral decomposition Theorem [11, Section 1.1], the manifold can be decomposed into finitely many isolated topologically transitive sets. Hence applying Lemma C.3 to each isolating neighborhood we obtain  $C_{\#} e^{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)n} \leq \rho_n^+ \leq C_{\#} e^{h_{\text{top}}(\phi_1)n}$  for each Anosov flow.*

## D Holonomies

The strong unstable foliation can be locally trivialized by a change of coordinates of the form  $\mathbb{H}(\xi, \eta) = (H(\xi, \eta), \eta)$ ,  $\eta \in B_{d_u}(0, \delta)$ ,  $\xi \in B_{d_s+1}(0, \delta)$ , such that for each  $\xi$ ,  $\{\mathbb{H}(\xi, \eta)\}_{\eta \in B_{d_u}(0, \delta)}$  is a strong unstable manifold. In addition, without loss of generality, we can require that  $H(0, \eta) = 0$  and  $H(\xi, 0) = \xi$ . The results on the regularity of the foliation can be summarized by considering the map  $\tilde{H} : B_{d_s+1}(0, \delta) \rightarrow C^0(B_{d_u}(0, \delta), \mathbb{R}^{d_s+1})$  defined by  $[\tilde{H}(\xi)](\cdot) = H(\xi, \cdot)$ . Then  $\tilde{H}$  has range in  $C^r(B_{d_u}(0, \delta), \mathbb{R}^{d_s+1})$  and  $\|\tilde{H}\|_{C^{\infty}(B_{d_s+1}(0, \delta), C^r(B_{d_u}(0, \delta), \mathbb{R}^{d_s+1}))} \leq C_{\#}$ . Moreover for each  $\eta$ , the function

$H(\cdot, \eta)$  is absolutely continuous and has Jacobian  $JH(\xi, \eta)$ . Again, we can define  $J\tilde{H} : B_{d_s+1}(0, \delta) \rightarrow C^0(B_{d_u}(0, \delta), \mathbb{R})$  by  $[J\tilde{H}(\xi)](\cdot) = JH(\xi, \cdot)$ . Then  $J\tilde{H}$  has range in  $C^r(B_{d_u}(0, \delta), \mathbb{R})$  and  $\|J\tilde{H}\|_{C^{\varpi^*}(B_{d_s+1}(0, \delta), C^r(B_{d_u}(0, \delta), \mathbb{R}))} \leq C_\#$ .<sup>84</sup>

In this paper the holonomies are often used as follows: given  $W_1, W_2 \in \Sigma$  and  $x, y \in W_1$  estimate  $d(H_{\widetilde{W}_1, \widetilde{W}_2}(x), H_{\widetilde{W}_1, \widetilde{W}_2}(y))$ .<sup>85</sup> Although it is clear how to proceed, we give the details for the benefit of the lazy reader.

Note that, without loss of generality we can assume that  $x = 0, y = (a, 0)$  and  $H_{\widetilde{W}_1, \widetilde{W}_2}(0) = (0, z), \widetilde{W}_1 = \{(\xi, 0)\}_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s+1}}$ . Then  $\widetilde{W}_2$  will have the form  $\{(\xi, z + G(\xi)), G(0) = 0, \text{ and } \|D_\xi G\| \leq 2\}$ . Also let  $H_{\widetilde{W}_1, \widetilde{W}_2}(a, 0) = (\xi, \eta)$ ,

**Lemma D.1.** *We have that*

$$\|(\xi, \eta) - (a, z)\| \leq C_\# \left[ \|a\|^{\varpi'} \|z\| + \|\partial_\xi G\|_{C^0} \|a\| \right]. \quad (\text{D.1})$$

*Proof.* The intersection point  $(\xi, \eta)$  satisfies  $(\xi, \eta) = (H(a, \eta), z + G(\xi))$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \xi - a &= H(a, \eta) - H(a, 0) \\ &= H(a, z + G(\xi)) - H(a, z + G(a)) + H(a, z + G(a)) - H(a, 0) \\ &= A(\xi - a) + H(a, z + G(a)) - H(a, 0). \end{aligned}$$

where the last line is an application of the intermediate value theorem and  $\|A(\xi)\| \leq \|\partial_\eta H(a, \cdot)\|_{C^0} \|\partial_\xi G\|_{C^0} \leq C_\# \|a\|^{\varpi'} \|\partial_\xi G\|_{C^0}$ . Then

$$\|\xi - a\| \leq \|(\mathbf{1} - A)^{-1}(H(a, z + G(a)) - H(a, 0))\| \leq C_\# \|a\|^{\varpi'} (\|z\| + \|\partial_\xi G\|_{C^0} \|a\|).$$

Analogously,

$$\eta - z = G(H(a, \eta)) - G(H(a, z)) + G(H(a, z)) = A_1(\eta - z) + G(H(a, z)),$$

where  $\|A_1(\eta)\| \leq \|\partial_\xi G\|_{C^0} \|\partial_\eta H(a, \cdot)\|_{C^0} \leq C_\# \|a\|^{\varpi'} \|\partial_\xi G\|_{C^0}$ . Thus

$$\|\eta - z\| \leq \|(\mathbf{1} - A_1)^{-1}G(H(a, z))\| \leq C_\# \|\partial_\xi G\|_{C^0} (\|a\| + \|a\|^{\varpi'} \|z\|).$$

□

Next, we need a small improvement of [30, Lemma B.7]. We use the same notation established before (7.24), a part from the fact that the points  $\xi, \zeta$  are fixed to some value  $\xi_*, \zeta_*$ .

<sup>84</sup> See [23] and reference therein for the proofs of these statements.

<sup>85</sup> Remember that  $\widetilde{W} = \cup_{t \in I} \phi_t W$ , for some appropriate interval  $I$ .



**Lemma D.2.** For  $\xi_*, \zeta_* \in B_{d_s+1}(0, \delta)$  and  $\Delta^*$  as in (7.23) we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta^*(\xi_* + \zeta_*) - \Delta^*(\xi_*) - d\alpha_0(z(\xi_*), \zeta_*)| &\leq C_{\#}(\|z\|^2 \|\tilde{\zeta}_*\|^{\varpi'} + \|z\|^{\varpi'} \|\tilde{\zeta}_*\|^2) \\ &\quad + C_{\#} \|z\|^{1+\varpi'} \|\tilde{\zeta}_*\|^{1+\varpi'}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We use the same coordinates defined before Lemma D.1 with  $W = W_1$ ,  $W' = W_2$ ; so that  $\xi_* = 0$ ,  $\zeta_* = (\tilde{\zeta}, 0)$ .<sup>86</sup> Thus  $\widetilde{W}$  is a flat manifold and  $\widetilde{W}'$  is the graph of  $(\xi, G(\tilde{\xi}))$ , where  $\tilde{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{d_s})$ ,  $\xi_{d_s+1}$  being the flow direction. Also  $W = \{(\tilde{\xi}, 0)\}$  while  $W'$  is the graph of  $(\xi, G(\tilde{\xi}), L(\tilde{\xi}))$  with  $L(0) = 0$ ,  $G(0) = \bar{z} = z^u(\xi_*)$ . Let  $H(\xi, \eta) = (H_s(\xi, \eta), H_0(\xi, \eta))$ ,  $H_s : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d_s}$ ,  $H_0 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\{(I_u(\zeta), I_s(\zeta), I_0(\zeta))\} = \{\mathbb{H}(\zeta, \eta)_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_u}}\} \cap \{(\xi, G(\xi))_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d_s+1}}\}$ . Then  $\Delta^*(\xi_* + \zeta_*) - \Delta^*(\xi_*) = I_0(\tilde{\zeta}) - L(I_s(\tilde{\zeta}))$ . Define  $\Xi : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  by

$$\Xi(t, s) = (sH_s(\tilde{\zeta}, tI_u(\tilde{\zeta})), tG(sI_s(\tilde{\zeta})), s[H_0(\tilde{\zeta}, tI_u(\tilde{\zeta})) - tI_0(\tilde{\zeta})] + tL(sI_s(\tilde{\zeta})))$$

and set  $\Xi([0, 1]^2) \doteq \Sigma$ . From [30, equations (B.4), (B.5)] it follows that  $I_0(\tilde{\zeta}) - L(I_s(\tilde{\zeta}))$  equals the integral of the symplectic form over  $\Sigma$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^*(\xi_* + \zeta_*) - \Delta^*(\xi_*) &= \int_{\Sigma} d\alpha_0 = \int_{[0,1]^2} \Xi^* d\alpha_0 = \int_{[0,1]^2} d\alpha_0(\partial_s \Xi, \partial_t \Xi) dt ds \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^2} \langle H_s(\tilde{\zeta}, tI_u(\tilde{\zeta})), G(sI_s(\tilde{\zeta})) \rangle - ts \langle \partial_{\tilde{\xi}} G(sI_s(\tilde{\zeta})) I_s(\tilde{\zeta}), \partial_{\eta} H_s(\tilde{\zeta}, tI_u(\tilde{\zeta})) I_u(\tilde{\zeta}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\zeta}, \bar{z} \rangle + \mathcal{O} \left( \|\tilde{\zeta}\|^{\varpi'} \|\bar{z}\| \|I_u\| + \|\tilde{\zeta}\| \|\bar{z}\|^{\varpi'} \|I_s\| + \|\tilde{\zeta}\|^{\varpi'} \|\bar{z}\|^{\varpi'} \|I_u\| \|I_s\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since, by Lemma D.1,  $\|I_u - \bar{z}\| + \|I_s - \tilde{\zeta}\| \leq C_{\#}(\|\bar{z}\|^{\varpi'} \|\tilde{\zeta}\| + \|\bar{z}\| \|\tilde{\zeta}\|^{\varpi'})$ , the Lemma follows.  $\square$

## References

- [1] R. Abraham and J. E. Marsden. *Foundations of Mechanics*. Addison-Wesley, 1987.
- [2] T. Adachi and T. Sunada. Homology of closed geodesics in a negatively curved manifold. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 26(26):81–99, 1987.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. *Notes on the Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes*, volume 2 of *Reprinted in Bott's Collected Papers*. Harvard University, 1964.

---

<sup>86</sup> This can be done without loss of generality since the general case follows by a trivial translation.

- 
- [4] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. i. *Ann. of Math*, 86:374–407, 1967.
- [5] V. Baladi and C. Liverani. Exponential decay of correlations for piecewise cone hyperbolic contact flows. *Preprint arXiv:1105.0567v1 [math.DS]*, 2011.
- [6] V. Baladi and M. Tsujii. Dynamical determinants and spectrum for hyperbolic diffeomorphisms. In *Geometric and probabilistic structures in dynamics*, volume 469 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 29–68. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [7] G. Birkhoff and S. MacLane. *Algebra*. AMS, 1999.
- [8] R. Bowen. Periodic orbits for hyperbolic flows. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 94:1–30, 1972.
- [9] R. Bowen. Symbolic dynamics for hyperbolic flows. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 95:429–460, 1973.
- [10] O. Butterley and C. Liverani. Smooth anosov flows: correlation spectra and stability. *Journal of Modern Dynamics*, 1, 2:301–322, 2007.
- [11] L. J. Díaz C. Bonatti and M. Viana. *Dynamics beyond uniform hyperbolicity*, volume 102 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2005. A global geometric and probabilistic perspective, Mathematical Physics, III.
- [12] E.B. Davies. *One-Parameter semigroups*. Academic Press, London, 1980.
- [13] M. do Carmo. *Riemannian Geometry*. Addison-Wesley, 1987.
- [14] D. Dolgopyat. On decay of correlations in Anosov flows. *Annals of Mathematics*, 147:357–390, 1998.
- [15] W. Ellison and F. Ellison. *Prime numbers*. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1985.
- [16] H. Federer. *Geometric measure theory*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 153. Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1969.
- [17] D. Fried. Meromorphic zeta functions for analytic flows. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 174(1):161–190, 1995.
- [18] K. Gelfert. Lower bounds for the topological entropy. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 12(3):555–565, 2005.
- [19] S. Gouezel and C. Liverani. Banach spaces adapted to anosov systems. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 26, 1:189–217, 2006.
- [20] S. Gouezel and C. Liverani. Compact locally maximal hyperbolic sets for smooth maps: fine statistical properties. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 79:433–477, 2008.
- [21] B. Hasselblatt. Horospheric foliations and relative pinching. *J. Differential Geom.*, 39(1):57–63, 1994.
- [22] B. Hasselblatt. Regularity of the Anosov splitting and of horospheric foliations. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 14:645–666, 1994.

- 
- [23] B. Hasselblatt. Regularity of the Anosov splitting. II. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 17(1):169–172, 1997.
- [24] J. Jost. *Riemannian geometry and geometric analysis*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, fifth edition, 2008.
- [25] T. Kato. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1980 edition.
- [26] A. Katok. Infinitesimal Lyapunov functions, invariant cone families and stochastic properties of smooth dynamical systems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 14(4):757–785, 1994. With the collaboration of Keith Burns.
- [27] A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, volume 54 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [28] W. Klingenberg. Riemannian manifolds with geodesic flow of Anosov type. *Annals of Mathematics*, 99(2):1–13, 1974.
- [29] C. Liverani. Decay of correlation. *Annals of Mathematics*, 142:239–301, 1995.
- [30] C. Liverani. On contact Anosov flows. *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series*, 159(3):1275–1312, 2004.
- [31] C. Liverani. Fredholm determinants, Anosov maps and Ruelle resonances. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 13(5):1203–1215, 2005.
- [32] C. Liverani and M. Tsujii. Zeta functions and dynamical systems. *Nonlinearity*, 19, 10:2467–2473, 2006.
- [33] G. Keller M. Blank and C. Liverani. Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius spectrum for Anosov maps. *Nonlinearity*, 15(6):1905–1973, 2002.
- [34] G. A. Margulis. *On some aspects of the theory of Anosov systems*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. With a survey by Richard Sharp: Periodic orbits of hyperbolic flows, Translated from the Russian by Valentina Vladimirovna Szulikowska.
- [35] J. Marklof. Selberg’s trace formula: an introduction. In J. Bolte and F. Steiner, editors, *Hyperbolic Geometry and Applications in Quantum Chaos and Cosmology*, volume 397 of *L.M.S. Lecture Notes*, pages 83–119. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [36] S. E. Newhouse. Entropy in smooth dynamical systems. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, August 21-29, Tokyo 1990*, 2, 1991.
- [37] R. D. Nussbaum. The radius of the essential spectrum. *Duke Math. J.*, 37:473–478, 1970.
- [38] W. Parry and M. Pollicott. An analogue of the prime number theorem for closed orbits of shifts of finite type and their suspensions. *Annals of Mathematics*, 118:573–591, 1983.

- 
- [39] W. Parry and M. Pollicott. Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics. In *Astérisque*, volume 187-188. Société mathématique de France, 1990.
- [40] S. Yu. Pilyugin. *Shadowing in Dynamical Systems*, volume 1706 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [41] D. Ruelle. Zeta-functions for expanding maps and Anosov flows. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 34:231–242, 1976.
- [42] A. Selberg. Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces with applications to Dirichlet series. *J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 20:47–87, 1956.
- [43] S. Smale. Differentiable dynamical systems. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73(6):747–817, 1967.
- [44] L. Stoyanov. Regular decay of ball diameters and spectra of ruelle operators for contact anosov flows. *ArXiv e-prints*, 2011.
- [45] L. Stoyanov. Spectra of ruelle transfer operators for axiom a flows. *Nonlinearity* 24 (2011), 1089-1120, 24:1089–1120, 2011.
- [46] M. Tsujii. Quasi-compactness of transfer operators for contact Anosov flows. *Nonlinearity*, 23(7):1495–1545, 2010.
- [47] S. Winitzki. *Linear Algebra via Exterior Product*. Lulu.com, 2009. <http://sites.google.com/site/winitzki/linalg>.
- [48] Y. Yomdin. Volume growth and entropy. *Israel J. Math.*, 57(3):285–300, 1987.