

Spanning trees with small degrees and few leaves[☆]

Eduardo Rivera-Campo

*Departamento de Matemáticas
 Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana - Iztapalapa
 Av. San Rafael Atlixco 186, México D.F. 09340, México*

Abstract

We give an Ore-type condition sufficient for a graph G to have a spanning tree with small degrees and with few leaves.

Keywords:

Spanning tree. Bounded degree. Few leaves.

1. Introduction

From a classical result by Ore [3] it is well-known that if a simple graph G with $n \geq 2$ vertices is such that $d(u) + d(v) \geq n - 1$ for each pair u, v of non-adjacent vertices of G , then G contains a hamiltonian path.

A *leaf* of a tree T is a vertex of T with degree one. A natural generalisation of hamiltonian paths are spanning trees with a small number of leaves. In this direction, Ore's result was generalised by Broersma and Tuinstra [1] to the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. [1] *Let $s \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ be integers. If G is a connected simple graph with n vertices such that $d(u) + d(v) \geq n - s + 1$, for each pair u, v of non-adjacent vertices, then G contains a spanning tree with at most s leaves.*

Further related results have been obtained by Egawa *et al* [2] and by Tsugaki and Yamashita [5]. See also [4] for a survey on spanning trees with specific properties.

In this note we consider spanning trees with small degrees as well as with a small number of leaves. Our result is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let n, k and d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n be integers with $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and $2 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n \leq n - 1$. If G is a k -connected simple graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$ such that $d(u) + d(v) \geq n - 1 - \sum_{j=1}^k (d_j - 2)$ for*

[☆]Research supported by Conacyt, México

Email address: `erc@xanum.uam.mx` (Eduardo Rivera-Campo)

any non-adjacent vertices u and v of G , then G has a spanning tree T with at most $2 + \sum_{j=1}^k (d_j - 2)$ leaves and such that $d_T(w_j) \leq d_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

2. Proof of Theorem 2

Let T be a largest subtree of G with at most $2 + \sum_{j=1}^k (d_j - 2)$ leaves and such that if $w_j \in V(T)$, then $d_T(w_j) \leq d_j$. Since G is k -connected and $n \geq 2$, it contains a path with at least $k + 1$ vertices. Therefore, we may assume that tree T has at least $k + 1$ vertices.

If T is not a spanning tree, there is a vertex w of G not in T . By Menger's theorem, there are k internally disjoint paths $\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_k$ in G joining w to k different vertices r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k of T .

Let n_1 denote the number of leaves of T . We claim $n_1 = 2 + \sum_{j=1}^k (d_j - 2)$, otherwise there is a vertex r_i such that $d_T(r_i) < d_{j_i}$ where $w_{j_i} = r_i$. Then $T' = T \cup \pi_i$ is a subtree of G with more vertices than T such that $d_{T'}(w_j) \leq d_j$ for each $w_j \in V(T')$ and with at most $n_1 + 1 \leq 2 + \sum_{j=1}^k (d_j - 2)$ leaves, which contradicts our assumption on the maximality of T .

Because of Ore's theorem, we can assume $d_i \geq 3$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Since T has $n_1 = 2 + \sum_{j=1}^k (d_j - 2) \geq 3$ leaves, as shown above, there is a vertex w_j of T such that $d_T(w_j) \geq 3$. Suppose there are vertices x and y of degree one in T such that $xy \in E(G)$. Since T is not a path, there is an edge zz' in the unique xy path contained in T with $d_T(z) \geq 3$. Let $T' = (T - zz') + xy$ and notice that T' is a subtree of G with $V(T') = V(T)$, with less than $2 + \sum_{j=1}^k (d_j - 2)$ leaves and such that $d_{T'}(w_j) \leq d_j$ for each $w_j \in V(T')$. As above, this is a contradiction and therefore no leaves of T are adjacent in G .

Notice that $d_T(r_1) \geq 2$, otherwise $T' = T \cup \pi_1$ would be a tree larger than T , with the same number of leaves and with $d_{T'}(w_j) \leq d_j$ for each vertex w_j of T' . Let u and v be any two leaves of T with the property that the vertex r_1 lies in the unique uv path T_{uv} , contained in T . Orient the edges of T in such a way that the corresponding directed tree \vec{T} is outdirected with root u (see Fig. 1.)

For each vertex $z \neq u$ in T let z^- be the unique vertex of T such that z^-z is an arc of \vec{T} . Let

$$A = \{y \in V(T) : yv \in E(G)\} \text{ and } B = \{x^- \in V(T) : ux \in E(G)\}.$$

Because of the way the tree T was chosen, all vertices of G adjacent to u or to v lie in T and therefore $|A| = d(v)$. Let x_1 and x_2 be vertices of T adjacent to u in G , if $x_1^- = x_2^- = z$ for some vertex z of T , let $T' = (T + ux_1) - zx_1$. Since zx_1 and zx_2 are edges of T , $d_{T'}(z) \geq 2$ and T' is a subtree of G with $V(T') = V(T)$,




Figure 1: $n = 15$, $k = 4$, $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{15} = 3$.

with less than $2 + \sum_{j=1}^k (d_{i_j} - 2)$ leaves and such that $d_{T'}(w_j) \leq d_j$ for each $w_j \in V(T')$. Again, this is a contradiction, therefore $|B| = d(u)$.

Since no vertex in $A \cup (B \setminus \{u\})$ is a leaf of T ,

$$|A \cup B| \leq |V(T)| - n_1 + 1 \leq (n - 1) - n_1 + 1 = n - 2 - \sum_{j=1}^k (d_j - 2).$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} |A \cup B| &= |A| + |B| - |A \cap B| = d(u) + d(v) - |A \cap B| \geq \\ &n - 1 - \sum_{j=1}^k (d_j - 2) - |A \cap B|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $|A \cap B| \geq 1$; let $z^- \in A \cap B$. We consider two cases:

Case 1. Edge z^-z lies on the path T_{uv} .

If $z = r_1$ (see Fig. 2), let

$$T' = ((T + z^-v) - z^-z) \cup \pi_1 \text{ and}$$




Figure 2: $T' = ((T + z^-v) - z^-z) \cup \pi_1$

and if $r_1 \neq z$ (see Fig. 3), let

$$T' = (((T + uz) + z^-v) - r_1^-r_1) - z^-z) \cup \pi_1.$$




Figure 3: $T' = \left(\left(\left((T + uz) + z^-v \right) - r_1^- r_1 \right) - z^-z \right) \cup \pi_1$

Both situations lead to a contradiction since T' is a subtree of G larger than T , with at most $2 + \sum_{j=1}^k (d_j - 2)$ leaves and such that $d_{T'}(w_j) \leq d_j$ for each $w_j \in V(T')$.

Case 2. Edge z^-z does not lie on the path T_{uv} .

If z^- lies in T_{uv} , let $T'' = (T + uz) - z^-z$ (see Fig. 4).




Figure 4: $T'' = (T + uz) - z^-z$

And if z^- does not lie in T_{uv} , let x be a vertex in T_{uv} not in T_{uz^-} such that x^- is a vertex in T_{uz^-} (see Fig. 5). Let

$$T'' = \left(\left(\left((T + uz) + z^-v \right) - x^-x \right) - z^-z \right)$$

In this case T'' is a subtree of G with $V(T'') = V(T)$, with at most $n_1 - 1 = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^k (d_j - 2)$ leaves and such that $d_{T''}(w_j) \leq d_j$ for each $w_j \in V(T'')$. As seen above, this is not possible.

Cases 1 and 2 cover all possibilities, therefore T is a spanning tree of G .

Let $k \geq 1$ and d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n be integers with $3 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$ and $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2-k+d_1+\dots+d_k}\}$ be sets of vertices. The complete bipartite graph G with bipartition (X, Y) is k -connected, has $n = 2 + \sum_{j=1}^k d_j$ vertices and is such that $d(u) + d(v) \geq 2k = n - 2 - \sum_{j=1}^k (d_j - 2)$ for any vertices u and v of G . Nevertheless, if T is a spanning tree of G , then




Figure 5: $T'' = (((T + uz) + z^-v) - x^-x) - z^-z$

$d_T(x_j) > d_j$ for some $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. This shows that the condition in Theorem 2 is tight.

References

- [1] H. Broersma, H. Tuinstra, Independence trees and Hamilton cycles, *J. Graph Theory* **29** (1998), 227 - 237.
- [2] Y. Egawa, H. Matsuda, T. Yamashita, K. Yoshimoto, On a spanning tree with specified leaves, *Graphs Combin.* **24(1)** (2008), 13 - 18.
- [3] O. Ore, Note on hamiltonian circuits, *American Math. Monthly* **67** (1960), 55.
- [4] K. Oseki, T. Yamashita, Spanning trees: A survey, *Graphs Combin.* **27(1)** (2011), 1 - 26.
- [5] M. Tsugaki, T. Yamashita, Spanning trees with few leaves, *Graphs Combin.* **23(5)** (2007), 585 - 598.