

# Equitable Colorings of Planar Graphs without Short Cycles

Keaitsuda Nakprasit\*

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, 40002, Thailand*

*E-mail address: kmaneeruk@hotmail.com*

Kittikorn Nakprasit

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Khon Kaen University, 40002, Thailand*

*E-mail address: kitnak@hotmail.com*

## Abstract

An *equitable coloring* of a graph is a proper vertex coloring such that the sizes of every two color classes differ by at most 1. Chen, Lih, and Wu conjectured that every connected graph  $G$  with maximum degree  $\Delta \geq 2$  has an equitable coloring with  $\Delta$  colors, except when  $G$  is a complete graph or an odd cycle or  $\Delta$  is odd and  $G = K_{\Delta, \Delta}$ . Nakprasit proved the conjecture holds for planar graphs with maximum degree at least 9. Zhu and Bu proved that the conjecture holds for every  $C_3$ -free planar graph with maximum degree at least 8 and for every planar graph without  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  with maximum degree at least 7.

In this paper, we prove that the conjecture holds for planar graphs in various settings, especially for every  $C_3$ -free planar graph with maximum degree at least 6 and for every planar graph without  $C_4$  with maximum degree at least 7, which

---

\*Corresponding Author

improve or generalize results on equitable coloring by Zhu and Bu. Moreover, we prove that the conjecture holds for every planar graph of girth at least 6 with maximum degree at least 5.

**Key Words:** Equitable coloring; Planar graph; Cycle; Girth

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, all graphs are finite, undirected, and simple. We use  $V(G)$ ,  $|G|$ ,  $E(G)$ ,  $e(G)$ ,  $\Delta(G)$ , and  $\delta(G)$ , respectively, to denote vertex set, order, edge set, size, maximum degree, and minimum degree of a graph  $G$ . We write  $xy \in E(G)$  if  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent. The graph obtained by deleting an edge  $xy$  from  $G$  is denoted by  $G - \{xy\}$ . For any vertex  $v$  in  $V(G)$ , let  $N_G(v)$  be the set of all neighbors of  $v$  in  $G$ . The *degree of  $v$* , denoted by  $d_G(v)$ , is equal to  $|N_G(v)|$ . We use  $d(v)$  instead of  $d_G(v)$  if no confusion arises. For disjoint subsets  $U$  and  $W$  of  $V(G)$ , the number of edges with one end in  $U$  and another in  $W$  is denoted by  $e(U, W)$ . We use  $G[U]$  to denote the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $U$ .

An *equitable  $k$ -coloring* of a graph is a proper vertex  $k$ -coloring such that the sizes of every two color classes differ by at most 1. We say that  $G$  is *equitably  $k$ -colorable* if  $G$  has an equitable  $k$ -coloring.

It is known [2] that determining if a planar graph with maximum degree 4 is 3-colorable is NP-complete. For a given  $n$ -vertex planar graph  $G$  with maximum degree 4, let  $G'$  be a graph obtained from  $G$  by adding  $2n$  isolated vertices. Then  $G$  is 3-colorable if and only if  $G'$  is equitably 3-colorable. Thus, finding the minimum number of colors need to color a graph equitably even for a planar graph is an NP-complete problem.

Hajnal and Szemerédi [4] settled a conjecture of Erdős by proving that every graph  $G$  with maximum degree at most  $\Delta$  has an equitable  $k$ -coloring for every  $k \geq 1 + \Delta$ . In its ‘complementary’ form this result concerns decompositions of a sufficiently dense graph into cliques of equal size. This result is now known as Hajnal

and Szemerédi Theorem. Later, Kierstead and Kostochka [6] gave a simpler proof of Hajnal and Szemerédi Theorem in the direct form of equitable coloring. The bound of the Hajnal-Szemerédi theorem is sharp, but it can be improved for some important classes of graphs. In fact, Chen, Lih, and Wu [1] put forth the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.** *Every connected graph  $G$  with maximum degree  $\Delta \geq 2$  has an equitable coloring with  $\Delta$  colors, except when  $G$  is a complete graph or an odd cycle or  $\Delta$  is odd and  $G = K_{\Delta, \Delta}$ .*

Lih and Wu [8] proved the conjecture for bipartite graphs. Meyer [9] proved that every forest with maximum degree  $\Delta$  has an equitable  $k$ -coloring for each  $k \geq 1 + \lceil \Delta/2 \rceil$  colors. This result implies conjecture holds for forests. The bound of Meyer is attained at the complete bipartite  $K_{1, m}$  : in every proper coloring of  $K_{1, m}$ , the center vertex forms a color class, and hence the remaining vertices need at least  $m/2$  colors. Yap and Zhang [13] proved that the conjecture holds for outerplanar graphs. Later Kostochka [5] extended the result for outerplanar graphs by proving that every outerplanar graph with maximum degree  $\Delta$  has an equitable  $k$ -coloring for each  $k \geq 1 + \lceil \Delta/2 \rceil$ . Again this bound is sharp.

In [14], Zhang and Yap essentially proved the conjecture holds for planar graphs with maximum degree at least 13. Later Nakprasit [10] extended the result to all planar graphs with maximum degree at least 9.

Other studies focused on planar graphs without some restricted cycles. Li and Bu [7] proved that the conjecture holds for every planar graph without  $C_4$  and  $C_6$  with maximum degree at least 6. Zhu and Bu [15] proved that the conjecture holds for every  $C_3$ -free planar graph with maximum degree at least 8 and for every planar graph without  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  with maximum degree at least 7. Tan [11] proved that the conjecture holds for every planar graph without  $C_4$  with maximum degree at least 7. Unfortunately the proof contains some flaws.

In this paper, we prove that each graph  $G$  in various settings has an equitably  $m$ -colorable such that  $m \leq \Delta$ . Especially we prove that the conjecture holds for planar graphs in various settings, especially for every  $C_3$ -free planar graph with maximum

degree at least 6 and for every planar graph without  $C_4$  with maximum degree at least 7, which improve or generalize results on equitable coloring by Zhu and Bu [15]. Moreover, we prove that the conjecture holds for every planar graph of girth at least 6 with maximum degree at least 5.

## 2 Preliminaries

Many proofs in this paper involve edge-minimal planar graph that is not equitably  $m$ -colorable. The minimality is on inclusion, that is, any spanning subgraph with fewer edges is equitably  $m$ -colorable. In this section, we describe some properties of such graph that appear recurrently in later arguments. The following fact about planar graphs in general is well-known and can be found in standard texts about graph theory such as [12].

**Lemma 1.** *Every planar graph  $G$  of order  $n$  and girth  $g$  has  $e(G) \leq (g/(g-2))(n-2)$ . Especially, a  $C_3$ -free planar graph  $G$  has  $e(G) \leq 2n - 4$  and  $\delta(G) \leq 3$ .*

Let  $G$  be an edge-minimal  $C_3$ -free planar graph that is not equitably  $m$ -colorable with  $|G| = mt$ , where  $t$  is an integer. As  $G$  is planar and without  $C_3$ , a graph  $G$  has an edge  $xy$  where  $d(x) = \delta \leq 3$ . By edge-minimality of  $G$ , the graph  $G - \{xy\}$  has an equitable  $m$ -coloring  $\phi$  having color classes  $V'_1, V'_2, \dots, V'_m$ . It suffices to consider only the case that  $x, y \in V'_1$ . Choose  $x \in V'_1$  such that  $x$  has degree  $\delta$  and order  $V'_1, V'_2, \dots, V'_\delta$  in a way that  $N(x) \subseteq V'_1 \cup V'_2 \cup \dots \cup V'_\delta$ . Define  $V_1 = V'_1 - \{x\}$  and  $V_i = V'_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

We define  $\mathcal{R}$  recursively. Let  $V_1 \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $V_j \in \mathcal{R}$  if there exists a vertex in  $V_j$  which has no neighbors in  $V_i$  for some  $V_i \in \mathcal{R}$ . Let  $r = |\mathcal{R}|$ . Let  $A$  and  $B$  denote  $\bigcup_{V_i \in \mathcal{R}} V_i$  and  $V(G) - A$ , respectively. Furthermore, we let  $A'$  denote  $A \cup \{x\}$  and  $B'$  denote  $B - \{x\}$ . From definitions of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $B$ ,  $e(V_i, \{u\}) \geq 1$  for each  $V_i \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $u \in B$ . Consequently  $e(A, B) \geq r[(m-r)t + 1]$  and  $e(A', B') \geq r(m-r)t$ .

Suppose that there is  $k$  such that  $k \geq \delta + 1$  and  $V_k \in \mathcal{R}$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{R}$ , there exist  $u_1 \in V_{i_1}, u_2 \in V_{i_2}, \dots, u_s \in V_{i_s}, u_{i_{s+1}} \in V_{i_{s+1}} = V_k$  such that  $e(V_1, \{u_1\}) =$

$e(V_{i_1}, \{u_2\}) = \dots = e(V_{i_s}, \{u_{s+1}\}) = 0$ . Letting  $W_1 = V_1 \cup \{u_1\}$ ,  $W_{i_1} = (V_{i_1} \cup \{u_2\}) - \{u_1\}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $W_{i_s} = (V_{i_s} \cup \{u_{s+1}\}) - \{u_s\}$ , and  $W_k = (V_k \cup \{x\}) - \{u_{s+1}\}$ , otherwise  $W_i = V_i$ , we get an equitable  $m$ -coloring of  $G$ . This contradicts to the fact that  $G$  is a counterexample.

Thus, in case of  $C_3$ -free planar graph, we assume  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_\delta\}$  where  $\delta \leq 3$  is the minimum degree of non-isolated vertices.

We summarize our observations here.

**Observation 2.** *If  $G$  is an edge-minimal  $C_3$ -free planar graph that is not equitably  $m$ -colorable with order  $mt$ , where  $t$  is an integer, then we may assume*

- (i)  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_\delta\}$  where  $\delta \leq 3$  is the minimum degree of non-isolated vertices;
- (ii)  $e(u, V_i) \geq 1$  for each  $u \in B$  and  $V_i \in \mathcal{R}$ ;
- (iii)  $e(A, B) \geq r[(m - r)t + 1]$  and  $e(A', B') \geq r(m - r)t$ .

### 3 Results on $C_3$ -free Planar Graphs

First, we introduce some useful tools and notation that will be used later.

**Theorem 3.** [3] (Grötzsch, 1959) *If  $G$  is a  $C_3$ -free planar graph, then  $G$  is 3-colorable.*

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $m$  be a fixed integer with  $m \geq 1$ . Suppose that any  $C_3$ -free planar graph of order  $mt$  with maximum degree at most  $\Delta$  is equitably  $m$ -colorable for any integer  $t \geq k$ . Then any  $C_3$ -free planar graph with order at least  $kt$  and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$  is also equitably  $m$ -colorable.*

**Proof.** Suppose that any  $C_3$ -free planar graph of order  $mt$  with maximum degree at most  $\Delta$  is equitably  $m$ -colorable for any integer  $t \geq k$ . Consider a  $C_3$ -free planar graph  $G$  of order  $mt + r$  where  $1 \leq r \leq m - 1$  and  $t \geq k$ . If  $r = m - 1$  or  $m - 2$ , then  $G \cup K_{m-r}$  is equitably  $m$ -colorable by hypothesis. Thus also is  $G$ . Consider  $r \leq m - 3$ . Let  $x$  be a vertex with minimum degree  $d$ . We assume that  $G - \{x\}$  is equitably  $m$ -colorable to use induction on  $r$ . Thus the coloring of  $G - \{x\}$  has  $r + 1$

color classes with size  $t - 1$ . Since there are at most  $d$  forbidden colors for  $x$  where  $d \leq 3$ , we can add  $x$  to a color class of size  $t - 1$  to form an equitable  $m$ -coloring of  $G$ . This completes the proof  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** [1] *If  $G$  is a graph with maximum degree  $\Delta \geq |G|/2$ , then  $G$  is equitably  $\Delta$ -colorable.*

**Observation 6.** *By Lemmas 4 and 5, for proving that the conjecture holds for  $C_3$ -free planar graphs it suffices to prove only  $C_3$ -free planar graphs of order  $\Delta t$  where  $t \geq 3$  is a positive integer.*

**Lemma 7.** [14] *Let  $G$  be a graph of order  $mt$  with chromatic number  $\chi$  such that  $\chi \leq m$ , where  $t$  is an integer. If  $e(G) \leq (m - 1)t$ , then  $G$  is equitably  $m$ -colorable.*

**Lemma 8.** *Suppose  $G$  is a  $C_3$ -free planar graph with  $\Delta(G) = \Delta$ . If  $G$  has an independent  $s$ -set  $V'$  and there exists  $U \subseteq V(G) - V'$  such that  $|U| > s(1 + \Delta)/2$  and  $e(u, V') \geq 1$  for all  $u \in U$ , then  $U$  contains two nonadjacent vertices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which are adjacent to exactly one and the same vertex  $\gamma \in V'$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $U_1$  consist of vertices in  $U$  with exactly one neighbor in  $V'$ . If  $r = |U_1|$ , then  $r + 2(|U| - r) \leq \Delta s$  which implies  $r \geq 2|U| - \Delta s > s$ . Consequently,  $V'$  contains a vertex  $\gamma$  which has at least two neighbors in  $U_1$ . Since  $G$  is  $C_3$ -free, this two neighbors are not adjacent. Thus  $U_1$  contains two nonadjacent vertices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which are adjacent to exactly one and the same vertex  $\gamma \in V'$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** [10] *If a graph  $G$  has an independent  $s$ -set  $V'$  and there exists  $U \subseteq V(G) - V'$  such that  $e(u, V') \geq 1$  for all  $u \in U$ , and  $e(G[U]) + e(V', U) < 2|U| - s$ , then  $U$  contains two nonadjacent vertices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which are adjacent to exactly one and the same vertex  $\gamma \in V'$ .*

**Notation.** Let  $q_{m,\Delta,t}$  denote the maximum number not exceeding  $2mt - 4$  such that each  $C_3$ -free planar graph of order  $mt$ , where  $t$  is an integer, is equitably  $m$ -colorable if it has maximum degree at most  $\Delta$  and size at most  $q_{m,\Delta,t}$ .

The next Lemma is similar to that in [10] except that we use  $V_1$  instead of  $V'_1$  which is erratum. Nevertheless later arguments in [10] stand correct.

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $G$  be an edge-minimal  $C_3$ -free planar graph that is not equitably  $m$ -colorable with order  $mt$ , where  $t$  is an integer, and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ . If  $e(G) \leq (r+1)(m-r)t - t + 2 + q_{r,\Delta,t}$ , then  $B$  contains two nonadjacent vertices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which are adjacent to exactly one and the same vertex  $\gamma \in V_1$ .*

**Proof.** If  $e(G[A']) \leq q_{r,\Delta,t}$ , then  $G[A']$  is equitably  $r$ -colorable. Consequently,  $G$  is equitably  $m$ -colorable. So we suppose  $e(G[A']) \geq q_{r,\Delta,t} + 1$ . By Observation 2,  $e(A' - V'_1, B') \geq (r-1)(m-r)t$ . Note that  $e(G[B]) = e(G[B'])$ ,  $e(V_1, B) = e(V'_1, B') + 1$ . So  $e(G[B]) + e(V_1, B) = e(G[B']) + e(V'_1, B') + 1 = e(G) - e(G[A']) - e(A' - V'_1, B') + 1 < 2mt - 2rt - t + 3 = 2|B| - |V_1|$ . By Lemma 9,  $B$  contains two nonadjacent vertices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which are adjacent to exactly one and the same vertex  $\gamma \in V_1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $G$  be an edge-minimal  $C_3$ -free planar graph that is not equitably  $m$ -colorable with order  $mt$ , where  $t$  is an integer, and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ . If  $B$  contains two nonadjacent vertices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which are adjacent to exactly one and the same vertex  $\gamma \in V_1$ , then  $e(G) \geq r(m-r)t + q_{r,\Delta,t} + q_{m-r,\Delta,t} - \Delta + 4$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose  $e(G) \leq r(m-r)t + q_{r,\Delta,t} + q_{m-r,\Delta,t} - \Delta + 3$ . If  $e(G[A']) \leq q_{r,\Delta,t}$ , then  $G[A']$  is equitably  $r$ -colorable. Consequently,  $G$  is equitably  $m$ -colorable. So we suppose  $e(G[A']) \geq q_{r,\Delta,t} + 1$ . This with Observation 2 implies  $e(G[A']) + e(A, B') \geq q_{r,\Delta,t} + 1 + r(m-r)t$ . Note that  $e(G[A']) + e(A, B') = e(G[A]) + e(A, B)$ . Let  $A_1 = (A - \{\gamma\}) \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}$  and  $B_1 = (B \cup \{\gamma\}) - \{\alpha, \beta\}$ . Then  $e(G[A_1]) + e(A_1, B_1) \geq e(G[A]) + e(A, B) - \Delta + 2 \geq q_{r,\Delta,t} + 1 + r(m-r)t - \Delta + 2$ . So  $e(G[B_1]) = e(G) - e(G[A_1]) + e(A_1, B_1) \leq q_{m-r,\Delta,t}$  which implies  $G[B_1]$  is equitably  $(m-r)$ -colorable. Combining with  $(V_1 - \{\gamma\}) \cup \{\alpha, \beta\}, V_2, \dots, V_r$ , we have  $G$  equitably  $m$ -colorable which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 12.** *Let  $G$  be an edge-minimal  $C_3$ -free planar graph that is not equitably  $m$ -colorable with order  $mt$ , where  $t$  is an integer, and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ .*

Then  $e(G) \geq r(m-r)t + q_{r,\Delta,t} + q_{m-r,\Delta,t} - \Delta + 4$  if one of the following conditions are satisfied:

(i)  $(m-r)t + 1 > (t-1)(1+\Delta)/2$ ;

(ii)  $e(G) \leq (r+1)(m-r)t - t + 2 + q_{r,\Delta,t}$ .

**Proof.** This is a direct consequence of Lemmas 8, 10, and 11. □

Now we are ready to work on  $C_3$ -free planar graphs.

**Lemma 13.** (i)  $q_{1,\Delta,t} = 0$ . (ii)  $q_{2,\Delta,t} \geq 3$  for  $t \geq 3$ . (iii)  $q_{3,\Delta,t} \geq 2t$ .

**Proof.** (i) and (ii) are obvious. (iii) is the result of Theorem 3 and Lemma 7. □

**Lemma 14.**  $q_{4,\Delta,t} \geq \min\{q_{3,\Delta,t} + 3t + 3 - \Delta, 4t - \Delta + 9\}$  for  $\Delta \geq 5$  and  $t \geq 3$ .

**Proof.** Consider  $\Delta \geq 5$  and  $t \geq 3$ . Suppose  $G'$  is a  $C_3$ -free planar graph with maximum degree at most  $\Delta$  and  $e(G') \leq \min\{q_{3,\Delta,t} + 3t + 3 - \Delta, 4t - \Delta + 9\}$  but  $G'$  is not equitably 4-colorable. Let  $G \subseteq G'$  be an edge-minimal graph that is not equitably 4-colorable. From Table 1,  $e(G) > e(G')$ . This contradiction completes the proof.

$r$	lower bounds on size	Reasons
3	$q_{3,\Delta,t} + 3t + 3 - \Delta$ or $q_{3,\Delta,t} + 3t + 2$	Corollary 12(ii), Lemma 13
2	$4t - \Delta + 9$ or $5t + 5$	Corollary 12(ii), Lemma 13
1	$q_{3,\Delta,t} + 3t + 3 - \Delta$ or $5t + 2$	Corollary 12(ii), Lemma 13

Table 1: Lower bounds on size of  $G$  in the proof of Lemma 14

□

**Lemma 15.**  $q_{5,\Delta,t} \geq \min\{q_{3,\Delta,t} + 6t + 6 - \Delta, q_{4,\Delta,t} + 4t + 3 - \Delta, 7t + 2\}$  for  $\Delta \geq 5$  and  $t \geq 3$ .

**Proof.** Use Table 2 for an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 14.

□



$r$	lower bounds on size	Reasons
3	$q_{3,\Delta,t} + 6t + 6 - \Delta$ or $q_{3,\Delta,t} + 7t + 2$	Corollary 12(ii), Lemma 13
2	$q_{3,\Delta,t} + 6t + 6 - \Delta$ or $8t + 5$	Corollary 12(ii), Lemma 13
1	$q_{4,\Delta,t} + 4t + 3 - \Delta$	Corollary 12(i), Lemma 13

Table 2: Lower bounds on size of  $G$  in the proof of Lemma 15

- Corollary 16.** (1)  $q_{4,6,t}$  is at least  $5t - 3$  and  $4t + 3$  for  $t$  at least 3 and 6, respectively.  
(2)  $q_{4,7,t}$  is at least  $5t - 4$  and  $4t + 2$  for  $t$  at least 3 and 6, respectively.  
(3)  $q_{5,6,t}$  is at least  $9t - 6$  and  $8t$  for  $t$  at least 3 and 6, respectively.  
(4)  $q_{5,7,t}$  is at least  $9t - 8$  and  $8t - 2$  for  $t$  at least 3 and 6, respectively.

**Proof.** The results can be calculated directly from Lemmas 13 to 15. □

**Corollary 17.** Each  $C_3$ -free planar graph  $G$  with maximum degree at most 7 and  $|G| \geq 18$  has an equitable 6-coloring. Moreover, each  $C_3$ -free planar graph  $G$  with maximum degree 6 has an equitable 6-coloring.

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be an edge-minimal  $C_3$ -free planar graph that is not equitably  $\Delta$ -colorable with  $|G| = 6t$ , where  $t$  is an integer at least 3, and maximum degree at most 7.

Consider the case  $r = 3$ . By Corollaries 12(ii) and 16,  $e(G) > \min\{2q_{3,\Delta,t} + 9t + 3 - \Delta, q_{3,\Delta,t} + 11t + 2\} \geq 13t - 4 \geq 12t - 4$ .

Consider the case  $r = 2$ . By Corollary 12(i),  $e(G) > q_{4,\Delta,t} + 8t + 6 - \Delta$ . It follows from Corollary 16 that  $e(G) > \min\{13t - 5, 12t + 1\} \geq 12t - 4$  for  $t \geq 3$ .

Consider the case  $r = 1$ . We have  $e(B', V_1) \geq 5t$  by Observation 2. But  $y$  has at most  $\Delta - 1$  neighbors in  $B'$  because  $xy \in E(G)$ , so  $(t - 1)\Delta - 1 \geq e(B', V_1)$ . Consequently,  $(t - 1)\Delta - 1 \geq 5t$ . That is  $t \geq 4$  when  $\Delta \leq 7$ . By Corollary 12 (i),  $e(G) > q_{5,\Delta,t} + 5t - 4$ . Using Corollary 16, we have  $e(G) > \min\{14t - 12, 13t - 6\}$ . It follows from  $t \geq 4$  that  $e(G) > 12t - 4$ .

Since we have contradiction for all cases, the counterexample is impossible. Use Lemma 4 to complete the first part of the proof.

Observation 6 implies each  $C_3$ -free planar graph  $G$  with maximum degree 6 has an equitable 6-coloring.  $\square$

Note that a graph  $G$  in Corollary 17 has an equitable  $m$ -coloring with  $m < \Delta(G)$ .

**Lemma 18.** *Each  $C_3$ -free planar graph  $G$  with maximum degree at most 7 has an equitable 7-coloring.*

**Proof.** Use Table 3 for an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 14.

$r$	lower bounds on size	Reasons
3	$q_{3,\Delta,t} + 12t + q_{4,\Delta,t} + 3 - \Delta$	Corollary 12(i), Lemma 13
2	$q_{5,\Delta,t} + 10t + 6 - \Delta$	Corollary 12(i), Lemma 13
1	$q_{6,\Delta,t} + 6t + 3 - \Delta$	Corollary 12(i), Lemma 13

Table 3: Lower bounds on size of  $G$  in the proof of Lemma 18

$\square$

Using Corollary 16, and  $q_{6,\Delta,t} = 12t - 4$  from Corollary 17, we have  $e(G) > 14t - 4$  for each case of  $r$ , which is a contradiction. Thus the counterexample is impossible. Use Observation 6 to complete the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 19.** *Each  $C_3$ -free planar graph  $G$  with maximum degree  $\Delta \geq 6$  has an equitable  $\Delta$ -coloring.*

**Proof.** Zhu and Bu [15] proved that the theorem holds for every  $C_3$ -free planar graph with maximum degree at least 8. Use Corollary 17 and Lemma 18 to complete the proof.  $\square$

Next, we show that the conjecture holds also for a planar graph of maximum degree 5 if we restrict the girth to be at least 6.

**Corollary 20.** *Each planar graph  $G$  of girth at least 6 with maximum degree at most 6 and  $|G| \geq 15$  has an equitable 5-coloring. Moreover, each planar graph  $G$  with girth at least 6 and maximum degree  $\Delta \geq 5$  has an equitable  $\Delta$ -coloring.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be an edge-minimal planar graph of girth at least 6 that is not equitably  $\Delta$ -colorable with  $|G| = 5t$ , where  $t$  is an integer at least 3, and maximum degree at most 6.

Then for  $t \geq 3$ , we have  $e(G) \leq (15/2)t - 3$  from Lemma 1, and  $e(G) > \min\{9t - 6, 8t\}$  from Corollary 16 which leads to a contradiction. Thus the counterexample is impossible. Use Lemma 4 to complete the first part of the proof.

Observation 6 implies each planar graph  $G$  with girth at least 6 and maximum degree 5 has an equitable 5-coloring. Use Theorem 19 to complete the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Results on Planar Graphs without $C_4$

First we introduce the result by Tan [11].

**Lemma 21.** *If a planar graph  $G$  of order  $n$  does not contain  $C_4$ , then  $e(G) \leq (15/7)n - (30/7)$  and  $\delta(G) \leq 4$ .*

The proof of Lemma 21 by Tan is presented here for convenience of readers.

**Proof.** Let  $f$  and  $f_i$  denote the number of faces and the number of faces of length  $i$ , respectively. We need only to consider the case that  $G$  is connected. A graph  $G$  cannot contain two  $C_3$  that share the same edge since  $G$  does not contain  $C_4$ . It follows that  $3f_3 \leq e(G)$ .

Consider  $5f - 2f_3 = 5(f_3 + f_5 + \dots + f_n) - 2f_3 \leq 3f_3 + 5f_5 + \dots + nf_n = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} if_i = 2e(G)$ . Thus  $f \leq (8/15)e(G)$ . Using Euler's formula, we have  $e(G) \leq (15/7)n - (30/7)$ . The result about minimum degree follows from Handshaking Lemma.  $\square$

From Lemma 21, each edge-minimal counterexample graph has  $1 \leq r \leq 4$ . The following tools in this section are quite similar to that of the previous section. Thus we omit the proofs of them.

**Lemma 22.** *Let  $m$  be a fixed integer with  $m \geq 1$ . Suppose that any planar graph without  $C_4$  of order  $mt$  with maximum degree at most  $\Delta$  is equitably  $m$ -colorable*

for any integer  $t \geq k$ . Then any planar graph without  $C_4$  of order at least  $kt$  and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$  is also equitably  $m$ -colorable.

**Observation 23.** By Lemmas 5 and 22, for proving that the conjecture holds for planar graphs without  $C_4$  it suffices to prove only planar graphs without  $C_4$  of order  $\Delta t$  where  $t \geq 3$  is a positive integer.

**Lemma 24.** Suppose  $G$  is a planar graph without  $C_4$  with  $\Delta(G) = \Delta$ . If  $G$  has an independent  $s$ -set  $V'$  and there exists  $U \subseteq V(G) - V'$  such that  $|U| > s(2 + \Delta)/2$  and  $e(u, V') \geq 1$  for all  $u \in U$ , then  $U$  contains two nonadjacent vertices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which are adjacent to exactly one and the same vertex  $\gamma \in V'$ .

**Notation.** Let  $p_{m,\Delta,t}$  denote the maximum number not exceeding  $(15/7)mt - (30/7)$  such that each planar graph without  $C_4$  of order  $mt$ , where  $t$  is an integer, is equitably  $m$ -colorable if it has maximum degree at most  $\Delta$  and size at most  $p_{m,\Delta,t}$ .

**Lemma 25.** Let  $G$  be an edge-minimal planar graph without  $C_4$  that is not equitably  $m$ -colorable with order  $mt$ , where  $t$  is an integer, and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ . If  $e(G) \leq (r + 1)(m - r)t - t + 2 + p_{r,\Delta,t}$ , then  $G$  contains two nonadjacent vertices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which are adjacent to exactly one and the same vertex  $\gamma \in V_1$ .

**Lemma 26.** Let  $G$  be an edge-minimal planar graph without  $C_4$  that is not equitably  $m$ -colorable with order  $mt$ , where  $t$  is an integer, and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ . If  $G$  contains two nonadjacent vertices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which are adjacent to exactly one and the same vertex  $\gamma \in V_1$ , then  $e(G) \geq r(m - r)t + p_{r,\Delta,t} + p_{m-r,\Delta,t} - \Delta + 4$ .

**Corollary 27.** Let  $G$  be an edge-minimal planar graph without  $C_4$  that is not equitably  $m$ -colorable with order  $mt$ , where  $t$  is an integer, and maximum degree at most  $\Delta$ . Then  $e(G) \geq r(m - r)t + p_{r,\Delta,t} + p_{m-r,\Delta,t} - \Delta + 4$  if one of the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $(m - r)t + 1 > (t - 1)(2 + \Delta)/2$ ;
- (ii)  $e(G) \leq (r + 1)(m - r)t - t + 2 + p_{r,\Delta,t}$ .

Now we are ready to work on planar graphs without  $C_4$ .

**Lemma 28.** (i)  $p_{1,\Delta,t} = 0$ . (ii)  $p_{2,\Delta,t} = 2$ . (iii)  $p_{3,\Delta,t} \geq 6$  for  $t \geq 3$ . (iv)  $p_{4,\Delta,t} \geq 3t$ .

**Proof.** (i), (ii) and (iii) are obvious. (iv) is the result of Lemma 7.  $\square$

**Lemma 29.**  $p_{5,\Delta,t} \geq \min\{p_{4,\Delta,t} + 16t + 3 - \Delta, 6t + 11 - \Delta, 7t + 2\}$  for  $\Delta \geq 8$  and  $t \geq 3$ .

**Proof.** Use Table 4 for an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 14.

$r$	lower bounds on size	Reasons
4	$p_{4,\Delta,t} + 16t + 3 - \Delta$ or $p_{4,\Delta,t} + 4t + 2$	Corollary 27(ii), Lemma 28
3	$6t + 11 - \Delta$ or $7t + 8$	Corollary 27(ii), Lemma 28
2	$6t + 11 - \Delta$ or $8t + 4$	Corollary 27(ii), Lemma 28
1	$p_{4,\Delta,t} + 4t + 3 - \Delta$ or $7t + 2$	Corollary 27(ii), Lemma 28

Table 4: Lower bounds on size of  $G$  in the proof of Lemma 29

$\square$

**Lemma 30.**  $p_{6,\Delta,t} \geq \min\{p_{4,\Delta,t} + 8t + 5 - \Delta, 9t + 15 - \Delta, 11t + 4, p_{5,\Delta,t} + 5t + 3 - \Delta\}$  for  $\Delta \geq 8$  and  $t \geq 3$ .

**Proof.** Use Table 5 for an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 14.

$r$	lower bounds on size	Reasons
4	$p_{4,\Delta,t} + 8t + 5 - \Delta$ or $p_{4,\Delta,t} + 9t + 2$	Corollary 27(ii), Lemma 28
3	$9t + 15 - \Delta$ or $11t + 8$	Corollary 27(ii), Lemma 28
2	$p_{4,\Delta,t} + 8t + 5 - \Delta$ or $11t + 4$	Corollary 27(ii), Lemma 28
1	$p_{5,\Delta,t} + 5t + 3 - \Delta$	Corollary 27(i), Lemma 28

Table 5: Lower bounds on size of  $G$  in the proof of Lemma 30

$\square$

**Corollary 31.** (1)  $p_{5,8,t}$  is at least  $7t - 5$  and  $6t + 3$  for  $t$  at least 3 and 8, respectively.  
(2)  $p_{6,8,t}$  is at least  $12t - 10$  and  $9t + 7$  for  $t$  at least 3 and 6, respectively.  
(3)  $p_{7,8,t}$  is at least  $18t - 15$  and  $15t + 1$  for  $t$  at least 3 and 6, respectively.

**Proof.** The results can be calculated directly from Lemmas 28 to 30. □

**Corollary 32.** Each planar graph  $G$  without  $C_4$  with maximum degree at most 8 and  $|G| \geq 21$  has an equitable 7-coloring. Moreover, each planar graph  $G$  without  $C_4$  with maximum degree 7 has an equitable 7-coloring.

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be an edge-minimal planar graph without  $C_4$  that is not equitably  $\Delta$ -colorable with  $|G| = 7t$ , where  $t$  is an integer at least 3, and maximum degree at most 8.

Consider the case  $r = 4$ . By Corollaries 27(ii) and 31,  $e(G) > \min\{p_{4,\Delta,t} + 12t + p_{3,\Delta,t} + 3 - \Delta, p_{4,\Delta,t} + 14t + 2\} \geq 15t + 1 \geq 15t - (30/7)$  for  $t \geq 3$ .

Consider the case  $r = 3$ . By Corollaries 27(ii) and 31,  $e(G) > \min\{p_{3,\Delta,t} + 12t + p_{4,\Delta,t} + 3 - \Delta, p_{3,\Delta,t} + 15t + 2\} \geq 15t + 1 \geq 15t - (30/7)$  for  $t \geq 3$ .

Consider the case  $r = 2$ . By Corollaries 27(i) and 31,  $e(G) > 10t + p_{5,\Delta,t} + 3 - \Delta \geq 15t - (30/7)$  for  $t \geq 3$ .

Consider the case  $r = 1$ . We have  $e(B', V_1) \geq 6t$  by Observation 2. But  $y$  has at most  $\Delta - 1$  neighbors in  $B'$  because  $xy \in E(G)$ , so  $(t - 1)\Delta - 1 \geq e(B', V_1)$ . Consequently,  $(t - 1)\Delta - 1 \geq 5t$ . That is  $t \geq 4.5$  when  $\Delta \leq 8$ . By Corollary 27 (i),  $e(G) > p_{6,\Delta,t} + 6t - 5$ . Using Corollary 31, we have  $e(G) > \min\{18t - 15, 15t + 1\}$ . It follows from  $t \geq 4.5$  that  $e(G) > 15t - (30/7)$ .

Since we have contradiction for all cases, the counterexample is impossible. Use Lemma 22 to complete the first part of the proof.

Observation 23 implies each planar graph  $G$  without  $C_4$  with maximum degree 7 has an equitable 7-coloring. □

**Lemma 33.** Each planar graph  $G$  without  $C_4$  with maximum degree at most 8 has an equitable 8-coloring.

$r$	lower bounds on size	Reasons
4	$p_{4,\Delta,t} + 16t + p_{4,\Delta,t} + 3 - \Delta$ or $p_{4,\Delta,t} + 19t + 2$	Corollary 27(ii), Lemma 28
3	$15t + p_{5,\Delta,t} + 9 - \Delta$	Corollary 27(i), Lemma 28
2	$p_{6,\Delta,t} + 12t + 5 - \Delta$	Corollary 27(i), Lemma 28
1	$p_{7,\Delta,t} + 7t + 3 - \Delta$	Corollary 27(i), Lemma 28

Table 6: Lower bounds on size of  $G$  in the proof of Lemma 33

**Proof.** Use Table 6 for an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 14. □

Using Corollary 31, and  $q_{7,\Delta,t} = 15t - 4$  from Corollary 32, we have  $e(G) > (120/7)t - (30/7)$  for each case of  $r$ , which is a contradiction. Thus the counterexample is impossible. Use Observation 23 to complete the proof. □

**Theorem 34.** *Each planar graph  $G$  without  $C_4$  with maximum degree  $\Delta \geq 7$  has an equitable  $\Delta$ -coloring.*

**Proof.** Nakprasit [10] proved that the theorem holds for every planar graph with maximum degree at least 9. Use Corollary 32 and Lemma 33 to complete the proof. □

## 5 Acknowledgement

The first author was supported by Research Promotion Fund, Khon Kaen University, Fiscal year 2011.

## References

- [1] B.-L. Chen, K.-W. Lih, and P.-L. Wu, Equitable coloring and the maximum degree, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* 15(1994), 443–447.

- [2] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-completeness*, W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1979.
- [3] H. Grötzsch, Ein Drefarbensatz fur dreikreisfreie Netze auf der Kugel, *Wiss Z Martin-Luther-Univ Halle-Wittenberg, Mat-Natur Reche* 8(1959), 102–120.
- [4] A. Hajnal and E. Szemerédi, Proof of conjecture of Erdős, in: *Combinatorial Theory and its Applications, Vol. II* (P. Erdős, A. Rényi and V. T. Sós Editors), (North-Holland, 1970), 601–623.
- [5] A. V. Kostochka, Equitable colorings of outerplanar graphs, *Discrete Math.* 258(2002), 373–377.
- [6] H. A. Kierstead and A. V. Kostochka, A short proof of the Hajnal-Szemerédi Theorem on equitable colouring, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 17(2008), 265–270.
- [7] Q. Li and Y. Bu, Equitable list coloring of planar graphs without 4- and 6-cycles, *Discrete Math.* 309(2009), 280–287.
- [8] K.-W. Lih and P.-L. Wu, On equitable coloring of bipartite graphs, *Discrete Math.* 151(1996), 155–160.
- [9] W. Meyer, Equitable Coloring, *American Math. Monthly* 80(1973), 920–922.
- [10] K. Nakprasit, Equitable colorings of planar graphs with maximum degree at least nine, *Discrete Math.* 312(2012), 1019–1024.
- [11] X. Tan, Equitable  $\Delta$ -coloring of planar graphs without 4-cycles, *The Ninth International Symposium on Operations Research and Its Applications* (2010), 400–405.
- [12] D. B. West, Introduction to graph theory, Second edn, Printice Hall, pp. xx+588, 2001.



- [13] H.-P. Yap and Y. Zhang, The equitable  $\Delta$ -colouring conjecture holds for outer-planar graphs, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin.* 25(1997), 143–149.
- [14] Y. Zhang and H.-P. Yap, Equitable colourings of planar graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 27(1998), 97–105.
- [15] J. Zhu and Y. Bu, Equitable list colorings of planar graphs without short cycles, *Theoretical Computer Science* 407(2008), 21–28.