# Integrability of a nonlinear evolution equation derived from isoperimetric plane curve motion

J. C. Brunelli<sup>∗</sup>

Departamento de Física, CFM Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina Campus Universitário, Trindade, C.P. 476 CEP 88040-900 Florian´opolis, SC, Brazil

#### Abstract

We provide a geometrical interpretation for the series of transformations used by Sakovich to map the third-order nonlinear evolution equation obtained by Chou and Qu to the mKdV equation. We also discuss its bi-Hamiltonian integrability as well as integrable equations associated with this system.

PACS: 02.30.Ik; 02.30.Jr; 05.45.-a

Keywords: Integrable models; Bi-Hamiltonian systems;

<sup>∗</sup> jcbrunelli@gmail.com

#### 1 Introduction:

Starting with the work of Hasimoto [\[1\]](#page-11-0) the study of the connection between integrable models and the dynamics of space curves has drawn a lot of interest. Motion of these curves and the associated integrable models were considered in Euclidean space  $E^3$  [\[2,](#page-11-1) [3,](#page-11-2) [4\]](#page-11-3),  $S^2$  and  $S^3$  spaces [\[5\]](#page-11-4) and Minkowski space [\[6,](#page-11-5) [7\]](#page-11-6). However, integrable equations associated with the motion of plane curves are more scarce [\[8,](#page-11-7) [9\]](#page-11-8). This has motivated Chou and Qu [\[10,](#page-11-9) [11\]](#page-11-10) to study motions of plane curves in other background geometries, replacing the Euclidean geometries by Klein ones. As a result they have shown that KdV, Harry-Dym and Sawada-Kotera hierachies, among other nonlinear equations, arise in an natural way from the motions of plane curves in affine, centroaffine and similarity geometries. In this process they also found a new equation associated with the mKdV equation, namely (see [\[10\]](#page-11-9), last equation on page 31),

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
u_t = \frac{1}{2} \left( (u_{xx} + u)^{-2} \right)_x.
$$
 (1)

Chou and Qu did not investigated if this equation (from now on the CQ equation) arises from an AKNS- or the WKI-scheme of inverse scattering transformation, its integrability was investigated by Sakovich [\[12\]](#page-11-11). Through a chain of Miura-type transformations he related equation [\(1\)](#page-1-0) with the mKdV equation. Firstly, [\(1\)](#page-1-0) can be transformed to

<span id="page-1-5"></span>
$$
v_t = \frac{1}{2}v^2((v^2)_{xx} + v^2)_x,
$$
\n(2)

by the transformation

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
(x,t,u(x,t)) \mapsto (x,t,v(x,t)) : v = -(u_{xx} + u)^{-1} . \tag{3}
$$

Then we perform two successive transformations

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
(y, t, w(y, t)) \mapsto (x, t, v(x, t)) : x = w, v = w_y,
$$
  

$$
(y, t, w(y, t)) \mapsto (y, t, z(y, t)) : z = w_y,
$$
 (4)

to obtain the mKdV equation

<span id="page-1-4"></span>
$$
z_t = z_{yyy} + \frac{3}{2}z^2 z_y , \t\t(5)
$$

where  $z(y, t) = v(x, t)$ . Also, in [\[12\]](#page-11-11) a zero curvature representation with an essential parameter was obtained as well as the following second-order recursion operator

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
\overline{R} = \frac{1}{u_{xx} + u} \partial \frac{1}{u_{xx} + u} (\partial + \partial^{-1}). \tag{6}
$$

It is well known that integrable equations also possess a bi-Hamiltonian structure which yields

a recursion operator in the form  $\mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_1^{-1}$ . So, going backwards Ren and Alatancang [\[13\]](#page-11-12) proved the hereditary property of [\(6\)](#page-1-1) and by a decomposition of it obtained the bi-Hamiltonian structure as well as a hierarchy of equations, associated with [\(1\)](#page-1-0).

In this paper we point out, in Section 2, that the series of transformations [\(3\)](#page-1-2) and [\(4\)](#page-1-3) mapping the CQ equation [\(1\)](#page-1-0) to the mKdV equation [\(5\)](#page-1-4) has a nice geometrical interpretation which can be used to justify connections between other equations. In Section 3 the bi-Hamiltonian structure, and consequently the recursion operator  $R$ , is derived directly from a Lagrangian representation of [\(1\)](#page-1-0). In fact, the original derivation of Ren and Alatancang for this bi-Hamiltonian structure relies on the knowledge of the bi-Hamiltonian structure of a system related to [\(1\)](#page-1-0) by a Miura map (obtained previously by Olver and Rosenau [\[14\]](#page-11-13)) and of the recursion operator [\(6\)](#page-1-1). Therefore, their derivation is more a map between structures than a derivation from first principles. For completeness, in Section 4, we obtain the hierarchy of equations, some symmetries and equations related to [\(1\)](#page-1-0). We discuss the relation among these equations. The conclusions are presented in Section 5.

### 2 Plane Curve Motion:

Let be a closed smooth curve in the plane, parametrized by an arbitrary parameter  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in [0,1]$ , and let  $\mathbf{r}(\alpha, t)$  represent the position of a point on the curve at the time t. Along the curve the arc-length is  $s(\alpha, t) = \int_0^{\alpha} \sqrt{g(\alpha', t)} d\alpha'$  and we can use s as a parameter as well (this is called Lagrangian description of the curve). The metric on the curve is  $g = \mathbf{r}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}$  and we have that  $d/d\alpha = \sqrt{g} d/ds$ . At a point on the curve the unit tangent and normal vectors, defined by  $\mathbf{t}(s,t) = \mathbf{r}_s$  and  $\mathbf{n}(s,t) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{r}_{ss}$ , respectively, satisfy the Serret-Frenet equations

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix}_{s} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \kappa \\ -\kappa & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix} = i\sigma_{2}\kappa \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (7)
$$

where  $\kappa(s,t)$  is the curvature. The dynamics of the points of the curve are specified by

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\mathbf{r}_t = F\mathbf{n} + G\mathbf{t} \tag{8}
$$

where the normal and tangential velocities F and G are functions of the curvature  $\kappa$ . Now we make the further assumption that the perimeter  $L = \oint ds$  of the closed curve remains constant in time, i.e., we assume an isoperimetric plane curve motion. Therefore, from the metric evolution

$$
g_t = 2\mathbf{r}_{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{t\alpha} = 2g\left(G_s - \kappa F\right) ,
$$

we get

$$
\frac{dL}{dt} = \int_0^1 \sqrt{g(\alpha', t)} \, d\alpha' = \oint \left( G_s - \kappa F \right) ds ,
$$

and  $dL/dt = 0$  if  $G_s = \kappa F$ . This implies that the arc-length and time derivatives commute,  $[\partial_s, \partial_t] = 0$ . The time evolution of the tangent and normal vectors gives

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix}_{t} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & F_s + \kappa G \\ -F_s - \kappa G & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix} = i\sigma_2(F_s + \kappa G) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{t} \\ \mathbf{n} \end{pmatrix},
$$
(9)

and the compatibility condition between [\(7\)](#page-2-0) and [\(9\)](#page-3-0),

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}\n\mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{n}\n\end{array}\right)_{ts} = \left(\begin{array}{c}\n\mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{n}\n\end{array}\right)_{st},
$$

yields the following nonlinear evolution equation for the curvature

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\kappa_t = F_{ss} + \kappa_s \, \partial_s^{-1} (\kappa F) + \kappa^2 F \,, \tag{10}
$$

which can also be written as  $\kappa_t = RF$  with

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
R = \partial_s^2 + \kappa_s \partial_s^{-1} \kappa + \kappa^2 \,. \tag{11}
$$



Figure 1

If we choose  $F = -\kappa_s$  in [\(10\)](#page-3-1) we obtain the mKdV equation,

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
\kappa_t = -\kappa_{sss} - \frac{3}{2}\kappa^2 \kappa_s \,, \tag{12}
$$

and we recognize [\(11\)](#page-3-2) as the mKdV recursion operator. However, at this point let us change the curve description and parametrization. For a curve with positive curvature (uniformly convex) we introduce the support function  $h(\theta, t)$ , defined as

<span id="page-3-4"></span>
$$
h(\theta, t) = -\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n} \tag{13}
$$

where  $\theta$ , called the normal angle of the curve, is the angle between the unit normal **n** and the positive x-axis [\[15\]](#page-11-14). In the following let  $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}(\theta, t)$  and from the Figure 1 we have  $\mathbf{n} = -(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$  and  $\mathbf{t} = (-\sin \theta, \cos \theta)$ . For the support function  $h(\theta, t)$  we obtain

$$
h = x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta
$$
  

$$
h_{\theta} = -x \sin \theta + y \cos \theta,
$$

and  $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$  can be obtained form h using

$$
x = h \cos \theta - h_{\theta} \sin \theta
$$
  

$$
y = h \sin \theta + h_{\theta} \cos \theta.
$$

From this last equation we obtain

$$
|\mathbf{r}_{\theta}| = h_{\theta\theta} + h ,
$$

and since  $d/ds = |\mathbf{r}_{\theta}|^{-1} d/d\theta$  the Serrat-Frenet formula

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{t}}{ds} = |\mathbf{r}_{\theta}|^{-1} \frac{d\mathbf{t}}{d\theta} = \kappa \mathbf{n}
$$

yields a neat expression for the curvature in terms of the support function

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
\kappa = \frac{1}{h_{\theta\theta} + h} \,. \tag{14}
$$

Finally, from [\(8\)](#page-2-1) the normal speed of **r** is given by  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{r}_t = -\kappa_s$  and therefore

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
h_t = \kappa_s = |\mathbf{r}_\theta|^{-1} \kappa_\theta = \frac{1}{2} (\kappa^2)_\theta
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} ((h_{\theta\theta} + h)^{-2})_\theta$ , (15)

which is the equation [\(1\)](#page-1-0). An evolution equation for the curvature, in terms of the normal angle  $\theta$ , instead of the arc-length as in [\(12\)](#page-3-3), can be obtained. From [\(14\)](#page-4-0)

$$
\kappa_t = -\kappa^2(h_{\theta\theta t} + h_t) ,
$$

and after using [\(15\)](#page-4-1)

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\kappa_t = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2 \left( (\kappa^2)_{\theta\theta} + \kappa^2 \right)_{\theta} . \tag{16}
$$

It is well know that a curve is determined by its curvature up to a rigid motion [\[15\]](#page-11-14), therefore, there is a formal equivalence between  $(16)$  and  $(15)$  (and between  $(8)$  and  $(12)$ ) and the connection is made by [\(14\)](#page-4-0). In other words the equation [\(15\)](#page-4-1) and the curvature integrable equation [\(16\)](#page-4-2) are equivalent. Now we are in position to justify geometrically the transformations [\(3\)](#page-1-2) and [\(4\)](#page-1-3) if we

make the identifications  $h(\theta, t) \leftrightarrow u(x, t), \kappa(s, t) \leftrightarrow z(y, t), \kappa(\theta, t) \leftrightarrow v(x, t), \theta \leftrightarrow x$  and  $s \leftrightarrow y$ and recognize the equivalence between the equations  $(15) \leftrightarrow (1), (12) \leftrightarrow (5)$  and  $(16) \leftrightarrow (2)$  $(16) \leftrightarrow (2)$ . The transformation [\(3\)](#page-1-2) is simply [\(14\)](#page-4-0) and the transformation [\(4\)](#page-1-3) is the inverse transformation from [\(12\)](#page-3-3) to [\(16\)](#page-4-2) (projection [\(13\)](#page-3-4) and change of parametrization from s to  $\theta$ ). The recursion operator [\(6\)](#page-1-1) defines the curvature evolution under arc-length conserving dynamics and can be obtained from [\(11\)](#page-3-2) using the identifications given above.

#### 3 Bi-Hamiltonian Structure:

Let us study the integrability of  $(15)$  from a Hamiltonian point of view. Since the curvature plays a prominent role let us write [\(15\)](#page-4-1) as

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
h_t = \frac{1}{2}(\kappa^2)_{\theta} , \qquad (17)
$$

and where  $\kappa$  satisfies [\(16\)](#page-4-2) which can also be rewritten as

<span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
(\kappa^{-1})_t = \frac{1}{2} ((\kappa^2)_{\theta\theta} + \kappa^2)_\theta.
$$
 (18)

Let us observe that the basic field is h and that  $\kappa$  is a placeholder used to make expressions more compact (see [\[16\]](#page-11-15)). The equations [\(16\)](#page-4-2) and [\(18\)](#page-5-0) are not being viewed as nonlinear evolution equations but just as expressions for the time derivative of the placeholder  $\kappa$ , however, they can be interpreted as the Casimir equation for the so called modified compacton hierarchy (see equations (27) and (28) in [\[14\]](#page-11-13)). Also, we will consider  $\theta$ -periodic solutions, therefore,  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$  and  $h(\theta, t)$  =  $h(\theta + 2\pi, t)$ . We denote by  $\partial \equiv \partial_{\theta}$  the differential operator with respect to  $\theta$  with skew-adjoint inverse  $\partial^{-1} = \partial_{\theta}^{-1}$ . Whenever the nonlocal operator  $\partial^{-1}$  is used we have  $\partial \partial^{-1} = \partial^{-1} \partial = I$ ,  $\partial^{\dagger} = -\partial$  and  $(\partial^{-1})^{\dagger} = -\partial^{-1}$ . We define the anti-derivative  $\partial^{-1}$  as  $\int_0^{\theta} d\theta'$ .

Equation [\(17\)](#page-5-1) can be obtained from a variational principle,  $\delta \int dt d\theta \mathcal{L}$ , from the Lagrangian density

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{L} = \kappa - h_t \, \partial^{-1} \kappa^{-1} \,. \tag{19}
$$

This is a first order Lagrangian density and we can use the Dirac's theory of constraints [\[17\]](#page-11-16) to obtain the Hamiltonian and the Hamiltonian operator associated with [\(19\)](#page-5-2). The Lagrangian is degenerate and the primary constraint is obtained to be

<span id="page-5-4"></span>
$$
\Phi = \pi + h_{\theta} + (\partial^{-1}h) , \qquad (20)
$$

where  $\pi = \partial \mathcal{L}/\partial h_t$  is the canonical momentum. The total Hamiltonian is

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
H_T = \int d\theta \left( \pi h_t - \mathcal{L} + \lambda \Phi \right) = \int d\theta \left[ -\kappa + \lambda \left( \pi + h_\theta + (\partial^{-1} h) \right) \right] \,, \tag{21}
$$

where  $\lambda$  is a Lagrange multiplier field. From the canonical Poisson bracket relation

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\{h(\theta), \pi(\theta')\} = \delta(\theta - \theta') , \qquad (22)
$$

with all others vanishing, we require the primary constraint to be stationary under time evolution,

$$
\{\Phi(\theta), H_T\} = 0,
$$

to determine the Lagrange multiplier field  $\lambda$  in [\(21\)](#page-5-3) and to find out that the system has no further constraints.

The canonical Poisson bracket relation [\(22\)](#page-6-0) yields

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
K(\theta, \theta') \equiv \{ \Phi(\theta), \Phi(\theta') \} = (\partial_{\theta} + \partial_{\theta}^{-1}) \, \delta(\theta - \theta') - (\partial_{\theta'} + \partial_{\theta'}^{-1}) \, \delta(\theta' - \theta) \,, \tag{23}
$$

and we find that the constraint [\(20\)](#page-5-4) is second class. The Dirac bracket between the basic variables is

$$
\{h(\theta), h(\theta')\}_D = \{h(\theta), h(\theta')\} - \int d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \{h(\theta), \Phi(\theta_1)\} J(\theta_1, \theta_2) \{\Phi(\theta_2), h(\theta')\} = J(\theta, \theta'),
$$

where  $J$  is the inverse of the Poisson bracket of the constraint  $(23)$ ,

$$
\int d\theta'' K(\theta, \theta'') J(\theta'', \theta') = \delta(\theta - \theta').
$$

From this last equation we get

$$
2(\partial + \partial^{-1}) J(\theta, \theta') = \delta(\theta - \theta') ,
$$

or

$$
J(\theta, \theta') = \mathcal{D}_1 \delta(\theta - \theta') ,
$$

where

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial + \partial^{-1} \right)^{-1} . \tag{24}
$$

We now set the constraint [\(20\)](#page-5-4) strongly to zero in [\(21\)](#page-5-3) to obtain

$$
H_2 = -\int d\theta \kappa \,,\tag{25}
$$

and the equation [\(17\)](#page-5-1) can be written in the Hamiltonian form as

$$
h_t = \mathcal{D}_1 \frac{\delta H_2}{\delta h} \ .
$$

From [\(18\)](#page-5-0) it is straightforward to show that the charge

$$
H_1 = \int d\theta \frac{1}{\kappa} \tag{26}
$$

is also conserved. Therefore, the equation [\(17\)](#page-5-1) can be written in the Hamiltonian form as

$$
h_t = \mathcal{D}_2 \frac{\delta H_1}{\delta h} \ ,
$$

where we have defined

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{D}_2 = \kappa \partial \kappa \ . \tag{27}
$$

,

This Hamiltoninan structure is manifestly skew symmetric. Using the expansion

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
\left(\partial + \partial^{-1}\right)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \partial^{-(2n+1)}
$$
\n(28)

it is easy to show that  $\mathcal{D}_1$  is also skew symmetric. Jacobi identity for these structures as well as their compatibility follows from standard method of prolongation [\[18\]](#page-11-17). We can construct the two bivectors associated with the two structures as

$$
\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_1} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\theta \, {\{\phi \wedge \mathcal{D}_1 \phi\}} = \frac{1}{4} \int d\theta \, {\phi \wedge \left[ (\partial + \partial^{-1})^{-1} \phi \right]}
$$

$$
\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_2} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\theta \, {\{\phi \wedge \mathcal{D}_2 \phi\}} = \frac{1}{2} \int d\theta \, \kappa^2 \phi \wedge \phi_{\theta} .
$$

where  $\phi$  is the univector corresponding to the one-form dh. Using the prolongation relations,

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{pr} \vec{v}_{\mathcal{D}_1\phi}(\kappa^2) = -\kappa^3 \phi_\theta ,
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{pr} \vec{v}_{\mathcal{D}_2\phi}(\kappa^2) = -2\kappa^3 \left[ \left( \partial + \partial^{-1} \right) \kappa(\kappa \phi)_\theta \right]_\theta ,
$$
\n(29)

we show that the prolongation of the bivector  $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_2}$  vanishes,

$$
\operatorname{pr} \vec{v}_{\mathcal{D}_2\phi} \left(\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_2}\right) = 0 ,
$$

implying that  $\mathcal{D}_2$  satisfies Jacobi identity. Using  $(29)$ , it also follows that

$$
\mathbf{pr} \,\vec{v}_{\mathcal{D}_1\phi} \left(\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_2}\right) + \mathbf{pr} \,\vec{v}_{\mathcal{D}_2\phi} \left(\Phi_{\mathcal{D}_1}\right) = 0 \;,
$$

showing that  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  are compatible. Namely, not only are  $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2$  genuine Hamiltonian structures, any arbitrary linear combination of them is as well. As a result, the dynamical equation [\(17\)](#page-5-1) is bi-Hamiltonian and, consequently, is integrable [\[18,](#page-11-17) [19\]](#page-12-0). Also, the bi-Hamiltonian structures [\(24\)](#page-6-2) and [\(27\)](#page-7-1) provide us with a natural recursion operator defined by

$$
R=\mathcal{D}_2\mathcal{D}_1^{-1}
$$

,

or

$$
R = 2\kappa \partial \kappa (\partial + \partial^{-1}),
$$

which is exactly the recursion operator  $\overline{R}$ , given by [\(6\)](#page-1-1), obtained by Sakovich [\[12\]](#page-11-11).

## 4 Hierarchy of Equations:

From the bi-Hamiltonian structure obtained in the previous section we can naturally define a hierarchy of commuting flows by

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
h_{t_n} = K_n[h] = \mathcal{D}_1 \frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta h} = \mathcal{D}_2 \frac{\delta H_n}{\delta h} , \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots
$$
 (30)

For  $n = 1$  we obtain [\(17\)](#page-5-1). From [\(30\)](#page-8-0) we have

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\delta H_{n+1}}{\delta h} = R^{\dagger} \frac{\delta H_n}{\delta h} \,, \tag{31}
$$

where

$$
R^{\dagger} = (\mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_1^{-1})^{\dagger} = 2(\partial^{-1} + \partial)\kappa\partial\kappa
$$

is the adjoint of  $R$ . Using  $(31)$  recursively we obtain an infinite set of conserved Hamiltonians

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
H_1 = \int d\theta \frac{1}{\kappa},
$$
  
\n
$$
H_2 = -\int d\theta \kappa,
$$
  
\n
$$
H_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\theta \left( \kappa^3 - 4\kappa \kappa_\theta^2 \right),
$$
  
\n
$$
H_4 = -24 \int d\theta \left( 3\kappa^5 + 20\kappa^3 \kappa_{\theta\theta}^2 + 15\kappa^4 \kappa_{\theta\theta} + \kappa^4 \kappa_{\theta\theta\theta\theta} \right),
$$
  
\n
$$
H_5 = -2 \int d\theta \left[ \frac{5}{16} \kappa^7 + \frac{35}{12} \kappa^6 \kappa_{\theta\theta} + 7 \left( \frac{13}{120} \kappa^6 \kappa_{\theta\theta\theta\theta} + \frac{27}{20} \kappa^5 \kappa_{\theta\theta}^2 \right) \right]
$$
  
\n
$$
- \frac{28}{15} \kappa^5 \kappa_{\theta\theta\theta}^2 - \frac{21}{2} \kappa^4 \kappa_{\theta}^2 \kappa_{\theta\theta\theta\theta} + \frac{21}{2} \kappa^4 \kappa_{\theta\theta}^3 + \frac{16}{45} \kappa^6 \kappa_{\theta\theta\theta\theta\theta\theta} \right],
$$

These charges can also be obtained using the fact that

. .

$$
H_n = \text{Tr} R^{\frac{2n-3}{2}}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,
$$

where "Tr" is the Adler's trace [\[20\]](#page-12-1). We also obtained [\(32\)](#page-8-2) in this way using our program PSEUDO [\[21\]](#page-12-2).

The corresponding first flows associated with [\(32\)](#page-8-2) are

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
h_{t_1} = \frac{1}{2} (\kappa^2)_{\theta} ,
$$
  
\n
$$
h_{t_2} = \left( \frac{3}{4} \kappa^2 + 2 \kappa^3 \kappa_{\theta\theta} + \kappa^2 \kappa_{\theta}^2 \right)_{\theta} ,
$$
  
\n
$$
h_{t_3} = \left( \frac{5}{4} \kappa^6 + 15 \kappa^4 \kappa_{\theta}^2 + 10 \kappa^5 \kappa_{\theta\theta} + 18 \kappa^4 \kappa_{\theta\theta}^2 + 24 \kappa^4 \kappa_{\theta} \kappa_{\theta\theta\theta} + 4 \kappa^5 \kappa_{\theta\theta\theta\theta} + 2 \kappa^2 \kappa_{\theta}^4 + 32 \kappa^3 \kappa_{\theta}^2 \kappa_{\theta\theta} \right)_{\theta} ,
$$
  
\n
$$
\vdots
$$
\n(33)

Since every symmetry of an integrable model defines another integrable model we can go further in the study of the hierarchy of equations of our system through a symmetry study of Eq. [\(17\)](#page-5-1). Using the Lie's algorithm (assisted by the computer algebra system program GeM [\[22\]](#page-12-3)) we have obtained the following point and first higher order symmetry generators in evolutionary form

$$
X_1 = h_{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial h},
$$
  
\n
$$
X_2 = \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial h},
$$
  
\n
$$
X_3 = \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial h},
$$
  
\n
$$
X_4 = -\frac{1}{2} (\kappa^2)_{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial h},
$$
  
\n
$$
X_5 = \left[ -\frac{t}{2} (\kappa^2)_{\theta} + \frac{h}{3} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial h}.
$$

Of course the flow associated with  $X_4$  is in the hierarchy [\(33\)](#page-9-0). The flow associated with  $X_2$  can be obtained if we allow in [\(30\)](#page-8-0) the value  $n = 0$ . In fact, from

$$
h_{t_0} = \mathcal{D}_1 \frac{\delta H_1}{\delta h} \ ,
$$

where  $\delta H_1/\delta h = 1$ , and after using [\(28\)](#page-7-2) and  $(\partial^{-1} 1) = \theta^n/n!$  we obtain

$$
h_{t_0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \partial + \partial^{-1} \right)^{-1} \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left( \partial^{-(2n+1)} 1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta.
$$

From the flow  $X_1$  we take  $h_t = h_\theta$  as a seed equation and the recursion procedure  $h_t = (R^{-1})^n h_\theta$ generates for  $n = 1$ 

$$
h_t + h_{\theta\theta t} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ (h + h_{\theta\theta})(h^2 + h_\theta^2) \right]_{\theta} .
$$

This is the dual counterpart of the mKdV equation considered by Olver and Rosenau (equation  $(25)$  in [\[14\]](#page-11-13)). In this same work we recognize (up to multiplicative constants) equation [\(16\)](#page-4-2) as the Lagrange transform of the mKdV equation (equation  $(28)$  in [\[14\]](#page-11-13)). From  $(16)$  (or  $(18)$ ) if we make the identification  $\kappa = 1/\rho$  we obtain

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\rho_t = \frac{1}{2} (\rho^{-2})_{\theta\theta\theta} + \frac{1}{2} (\rho^{-2})_{\theta} , \qquad (34)
$$

called Casimir equation for the modified compacton hierarchy (up to multiplicative constants it is the equation  $(27)$  in [\[14\]](#page-11-13)). It is implicit in [14] (due to the role played by the mKdV equation) that [\(34\)](#page-10-0) and the CQ equation [\(15\)](#page-4-1) are related by the Miura transformation  $\rho = u + u_{xx}$ . Using this transformation the bi-Hamiltonian structure of [\(34\)](#page-10-0) follows from the bi-Hamiltonian structure of the CQ equation derived in Section 3 and they yield

$$
\mathcal{D}_1 = \partial + \partial^3, \qquad H_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\theta \rho^{-1},
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{D}_2 = \partial \rho \partial^{-1} \rho \partial, \quad H_1 = -\frac{1}{8} \int d\theta \left( \rho^{-3} - 4\rho^{-5} \rho_\theta^2 \right).
$$

The input of this bi-Hamiltonian structure was used in [\[13\]](#page-11-12) for the derivation of the bi-Hamiltonian structure of the CQ equation. In fact they transformed  $\mathcal{D}_1$ ,  $H_2$  back to the CQ equation variables and the second Hamiltonian structure was obtained by a factorization of the recursion operator [\(6\)](#page-1-1).

#### 5 Conclusion:

In this paper, the transformations used by Sakovich to map the CQ and mKdV equations into each other were shown to have a nice geometrical interpretation. Namely, they follow from a isoperimetric curve motion in the Euclidean plane. Also, the bi-Hamiltonian structure of the system was obtained without any knowledge of its recursion operator or other known result of equations associated with the system under consideration. Finally, we have derived a hierarchy of equations, symmetries and equations associated with the CQ equation system which have appeared in the literature previously.

#### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the authors of [\[13\]](#page-11-12) for sending us a copy of their paper. This work was supported by CNPq (Brazil).

### <span id="page-11-0"></span>References

- <span id="page-11-1"></span>[1] H. Hasimoto, J. Fluid Mech. 51, 477 (1972).
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>[2] G.L Lamb, *J. Math. Phys.* **18**, 1654 (1977).
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>[3] M. Lakshmanan, J. Math. Phys. 20, 1667 (1979).
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>[4] J. Langer and R. Perline, *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **1**, 71 (1991).
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>[5] A. Doliwa and P. M. Santini, Phys. Lett. A 185, 373 (1994).
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>[6] K. Nakayama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 3031 (1998); K. Nakayama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68, 3214 (1999).
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>[7] M. Gürses, *Phys. Lett. A* **241**, 329 (1998).
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>[8] R. E. Goldstein and D. M. Petrich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3203 (1991).
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>[9] K. Nakayama, H. Segur and M. Wadati, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2603 (1992).
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>[10] K.-S. Chou and C. Qu, *Physica D* **162**, 9 (2002).
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>[11] K.-S. Chou and C. Qu, *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **13**, 487 (2003).
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>[12] S. Yu. Sakovich, *Phys. Lett. A* **314**, 232 (2003).
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>[13] W. X. Ren and Alatancang, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **48**, 211 (2007).
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>[14] P. J. Olver and P. Rosenau, *Phys. Rev. E* 53, 1900 (1996).
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>[15] H. W. Guggenheimer, Differential Geometry (Dover Publications, New York, 1973).
- [16] J. C. Brunelli and G. A. T. F. da Costa, J. Math. Phys. 43, 6116 (2002); J. C. Brunelli, Phys. Lett. A 353, 475 (2006); J. C. Brunelli, J. Math. Phys. 46, 123507 (2005).
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>[17] P. A. M. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*, Belfer Graduate School of Science Monographs, vol. 2 (New York, 1964); K. Sundermeyer, Constrained Dynamics, Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 169 (Springer, Berlin, 1982).
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>[18] P. J. Olver, Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations, 2nd ed. (Springer, Berlin, 1993).
- <span id="page-12-1"></span><span id="page-12-0"></span>[19] F. Magri, J. Math. Phys. 19, 1156 (1978).
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[20] M. Adler, *Invent. Math.* **50**, 219 (1979).
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[21] J. C. Brunelli, Comput. Phys. Commun. 163, 22 (2004).
- [22] A. F. Cheviakov, Comput. Phys. Commun. 176, 48 (2007).