Texture-zero model for the lepton mass matrices P. M. Ferreira^{(1,2)*} and L. Lavoura^{(3)†}

⁽¹⁾ Instituto Superior de Engenharia de Lisboa, 1959-007 Lisboa, Portugal

⁽²⁾ Centre for Theoretical and Computational Physics, University of Lisbon 1649-003 Lisboa, Portugal

> ⁽³⁾ Technical University of Lisbon and CFTP Instituto Superior Técnico, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

> > 23 July 2012

Abstract

We suggest a simple model, based on the type-I seesaw mechanism, for the lepton mass matrices. The model hinges on an Abelian symmetry which leads to mass matrices with some vanishing matrix elements. The model predicts one massless neutrino and $M_{e\mu} = 0$ (M is the effective light-neutrino Majorana mass matrix). We show that these predictions agree with the present experimental data if the neutrino mass spectrum is inverted, *i.e.* if $m_3 = 0$, provided the Dirac phase δ is very close to maximal ($\pm \pi/2$). In the case of a normal neutrino mass spectrum, *i.e.* when $m_1 = 0$, the agreement of our model with the data is imperfect—the reactor mixing angle θ_{13} is too small in our model. Minimal leptogenesis is not an option in our model due to the vanishing elements in the Yukawa-coupling matrices.

PACS numbers: 14.60.Pq, 11.30.Hv

^{*}E-mail: ferreira@cii.fc.ul.pt

[†]E-mail: balio@cftp.ist.utl.pt

Notation: In the model of this Letter we utilize the type-I seesaw mechanism. There are right-handed neutrinos ν_R which are invariant under the $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge group. One defines the left-handed conjugates ν'_L of the ν_R through

$$\nu_L' = C \bar{\nu}_R^T,\tag{1}$$

where C is the Dirac–Pauli charge-conjugation matrix. The neutrino mass terms are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu \,\text{mass}} = -\bar{\nu}_R M_D \nu_L - \bar{\nu}_L M_D^{\dagger} \bar{\nu}_R - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\nu}_R M_R C \bar{\nu}_R^T + \frac{1}{2} \nu_R^T C^{-1} M_R^* \nu_R$$
(2a)

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\nu_L^T, \nu_L'^T \right) C^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} 0 & M_D^T \\ M_D & M_R \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c} \nu_L \\ \nu_L' \end{array} \right) + \text{H.c.}, \tag{2b}$$

where, without loss of generality, the matrix M_R is symmetric. Under the conditions of the seesaw mechanism, the approximate Majorana mass matrix for the light neutrinos is then

$$M = -M_D^T M_R^{-1} M_D. aga{3}$$

The symmetric matrix M is bi-diagonalized by a unitary matrix U via

$$U^T M U = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \ \mu_2, \ \mu_3),$$
 (4)

where $\mu_j = m_j e^{2i\beta_j}$ (j = 1, 2, 3, no sum over j); the (real and non-negative) m_j are the neutrino masses and the β_j are their respective Majorana phases. The matrix U may be parametrized

$$U = \operatorname{diag}\left(e^{i\gamma_{e}}, \ e^{i\gamma_{\mu}}, \ e^{i\gamma_{\tau}}\right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{array}\right),$$

$$(5)$$

where the γ_{α} ($\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$) are unphysical phases, $c_i = \cos \theta_i$ (i = 12, 23, 13), $s_i = \sin \theta_i$, and δ is the physical (observable) 'Dirac' phase. The angles θ_i belong to the first quadrant.

Purpose: Recently [1], one of us has suggested a model that yields, in a certain limit and in the basis where the charged-lepton mass matrix M_{ℓ} is diagonal, the predictions

$$\det M = 0, \tag{6a}$$

$$M_{e\mu} = 0. \tag{6b}$$

It has been claimed [1] that the predictions (6) "are compatible with the experimental data on neutrino masses and on lepton mixing" but this assertion has not been proved and qualified in ref. [1]. It is the aim of this Letter to

- 1. Present a model, simpler than the one in ref. [1], that leads to the predictions (6).
- 2. Work out analytically the consequences of those predictions for the parameters of the matrix U, both in the cases of an inverted and of a normal neutrino mass spectrum.

- 3. Display graphically those predictions by means of scatter plots.
- 4. Analyse the consequences of our model for leptogenesis.
- 5. Show that, in the context of our model, the conditions (6) are stable under the (one-loop) renormalization-group evolution of M.

To be sure, the conditions (6) have been studied in the literature before, see for instance refs. [2, 3]. However, the points 1, 4, and 5 above are original, and we believe that we have also performed the tasks 2 and 3 in a simpler, more transparent fashion than in the previous literature.

Mass matrices: We shall assume that there are only two right-handed neutrinos ν_R [2]. This means that the matrix M_D is 2 × 3 and, therefore, the 5 × 5 mass matrix in equation (2b) has a 3 × 3 null submatrix, hence it has (at least) one zero eigenvalue, *i.e. there is one massless neutrino*. Furthermore, in the basis where M_R is diagonal,

$$M_R = \operatorname{diag}\left(x, \ y\right),\tag{7}$$

the matrix M_D has two texture zeros:

$$M_D = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & c \\ 0 & b & d \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (8)

By utilizing equation (3), one then has

$$M = -\begin{pmatrix} a^2/x & 0 & ac/x \\ 0 & b^2/y & bd/y \\ ac/x & bd/y & c^2/x + d^2/y \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (9)

This matrix features equations (6). Notice that we are implicitly assuming that the charged-lepton mass matrix is diagonal too. The condition (6b) means that

$$\mu_1^* U_{11} U_{21} + \mu_2^* U_{12} U_{22} + \mu_3^* U_{13} U_{23} = 0.$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

The condition (6a) means that one of the neutrinos is massless. Thus, either $\mu_1 = 0$ and then the neutrino mass spectrum is normal, with $m_2 = \sqrt{\Delta m_{\rm sol}^2}$ and $m_3 = \sqrt{\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2}$, or $m_3 = 0$ and then the neutrino mass spectrum is inverted, with $m_1 = \sqrt{\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2}$ and $m_2 = \sqrt{\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2 + \Delta m_{\rm sol}^2}$.

Inverted spectrum: In the inverted-spectrum case, equation (10) implies¹

$$m_1^2 c_{12}^2 \left(s_{12}^2 c_{23}^2 + c_{12}^2 s_{23}^2 s_{13}^2 + 2c_{12} s_{12} c_{23} s_{23} s_{13} \cos \delta \right) = m_2^2 s_{12}^2 \left(c_{12}^2 c_{23}^2 + s_{12}^2 s_{23}^2 s_{13}^2 - 2c_{12} s_{12} c_{23} s_{23} s_{13} \cos \delta \right).$$
(11)

¹The relative phases of the terms in the left-hand side of equation (10) depend on the Majorana phases and are therefore of little relevance.

Therefore,

$$0 = (m_2^2 - m_1^2) c_{12}^2 s_{12}^2 c_{23}^2 + (m_2^2 s_{12}^4 - m_1^2 c_{12}^4) s_{23}^2 s_{13}^2 - (m_2^2 s_{12}^2 + m_1^2 c_{12}^2) (2c_{12} s_{12} c_{23} s_{23} s_{13} \cos \delta).$$
(12)

One may compute the approximate value of each term in the right-hand side of equation (12) by using as a guide the Ansatz of tri-bimaximal mixing [4] $s_{12} = 3^{-1/2}$, $s_{23} = 2^{-1/2}$. Thus, equation (12) may be approximated by

$$0 \approx \frac{\Delta m_{\rm sol}^2}{9} - \frac{\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2}{6} s_{13}^2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2}{3} s_{13} \cos \delta.$$
(13)

We use in this Letter the phenomenological values given by [5]. A different phenomenological fit to the experimental data is the one by [6]. Numerically, using $s_{13}^2 \approx 0.024$, the first term in the right-hand side of equation (13) is about $8.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}^2$, the second term is about $-9.72 \times 10^{-6} \text{ eV}^2$, and the coefficient of $\cos \delta$ in the third term is about $1.77 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2$. One concludes from this estimate that equation (13) implies

$$\cos\delta \approx 0. \tag{14}$$

Remarkably, equation (12) does not imply any constraint on the mixing angles—it works perfectly well for any of their phenomenologically allowed values. One concludes that, in the case of an inverted neutrino mass spectrum, our conditions (6) fit very well² the phenomenological values of the mixing angles and make the prediction (14) for the Dirac phase.

Normal spectrum: In the normal-spectrum case, equation (10) implies

$$\Delta m_{\rm sol}^2 s_{12}^2 \left(c_{12}^2 c_{23}^2 + s_{12}^2 s_{23}^2 s_{13}^2 - 2c_{12} s_{12} c_{23} s_{23} s_{13} \cos \delta \right) = \Delta m_{\rm atm}^2 s_{23}^2 s_{13}^2. \tag{15}$$

In the left-hand side of this equation, the first term inside the parenthesis dominates over the other two because $s_{23} \approx c_{23}$, $c_{12} > s_{12}$, and $s_{13} \ll 1$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\Delta m_{\rm sol}^2}{\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2} \approx \frac{s_{23}^2}{c_{23}^2} \frac{s_{13}^2}{c_{12}^2 s_{12}^2}.$$
(16)

Phenomenologically, the left-hand side of equation (16) is about 0.03, while in the righthand side $s_{23}^2 / c_{23}^2 \approx 1$ and $1 / (c_{12}^2 s_{12}^2) \approx 4.5$. Therefore, the model predicts $s_{13}^2 \approx 0.0067$, which is much below the best-fit value for s_{13}^2 [5]. Moreover, while $1 / (c_{12}^2 s_{12}^2)$ is rather stable over the θ_{12} allowed range, s_{23}^2 / c_{23}^2 lies at 3σ in between 0.49 and 1.75, which means that s_{13}^2 must be significantly correlated with s_{23}^2 . One concludes that, if the neutrino mass spectrum is normal, then the condition (6b) does not give a perfect fit to the data because it implies a much too low θ_{13} ; moreover, there should be a correlation between the mixing angles θ_{13} and θ_{23} . The phase δ remains, in the case of a normal neutrino mass spectrum, free.

²A a matter of fact, our model leads to $\delta \sim \pi/2$, while ref. [5] prefers $\cos \delta \approx -1$, but only at the 1σ level; at 2σ , ref. [5] leaves δ free.

Neutrinoless 2β decay: One is particularly interested in the quantity $m_{\beta\beta} \equiv |M_{ee}|$, which is the mass relevant for neutrinoless double-beta decay. One easily finds that equation (10) together with the unitarity of U imply

$$m_1 = 0 \Rightarrow m_{\beta\beta} = m_3 \left| \frac{U_{13}U_{31}}{U_{22}} \right| \sim m_3 \frac{s_{13}}{\sqrt{2}},$$
 (17)

$$m_3 = 0 \Rightarrow m_{\beta\beta} = m_1 \left| \frac{U_{11} U_{33}}{U_{22}} \right| \sim m_1,$$
 (18)

where in the second step we have taken into account the approximate values of the matrix elements of U given by the Ansatz of tri-bimaximal mixing. One sees that $m_{\beta\beta} \sim \sqrt{\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2} \approx 0.05$ eV in the inverted-spectrum case, and that $m_{\beta\beta} \sim \sqrt{\Delta m_{\rm atm}^2} / 10 \approx 0.005$ eV in the normal case [7]³. Thus, in our model $m_{\beta\beta}$ might be measurable if the neutrino mass spectrum is inverted, but is certainly too low to be measured (at least any time soon) if the mass spectrum is normal.

Numerical analysis: We now undertake a scan of the parameter space allowed by the most recent phenomenological data on neutrino masses and oscillations [5]. As explained earlier, in the inverted-hierarchy case our model fits the data quite well, so we only had to use for the observables the 2σ intervals in ref. [5]. The fitting procedure consisted in varying the several observables (the angles θ_{12} , θ_{13} , and θ_{23} , the mass parameters m_1 and m_2 , and the phase δ) over their allowed ranges, but making them obey equation (12). Remarkably, this fit to the experimental data enforces no constraints on the parameters of the matrix U, with the exception of the phase δ , which is found to be close to its maximal value $\pm \pi/2$, as seen in figure 1. In that figure, we plot the quantity $m_{\beta\beta} = |M_{ee}|$, relevant for neutrinoless double-beta decay, versus the cosine of δ . Each point in that plot corresponds to a particular combination of U-matrix parameters which obey the constraint of equation (12). We thus confirm the two estimates shown previously: that the CP-violating phase δ is close to $\pm \pi/2$, and that $m_{\beta\beta} \sim 0.05$ eV.

The fitting procedure is analogous for the normal-hierarchy case, except that we now want the parameters to obey equation (15) instead of equation (12). It turns out that the fit is impossible if one uses the 2σ intervals for the various observables; we have therefore used the 3σ intervals instead. The main problem is with the angle θ_{13} , which is driven by the condition (15) to values much too small. This can be appreciated in figure 2, where we have plotted $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ against $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$. The red cross indicates the phenomenological best-fit value; one sees that our model yields θ_{13} always well below that best-fit value. In figure 2 one can also observe the correlation between the θ_{13} and θ_{23} mentioned earlier. We have found no other correlations between observables in this normal-hierarchy case. Unlike in the inverted-hierarchy case, the value of $m_{\beta\beta}$ is found to be always extremely small—in between 0.0017 eV and 0.0041 eV—and the CP-violating phase δ can take any value.

³These are, as a matter of fact, typical values for $m_{\beta\beta}$ which follow solely from the condition (6a) and independently of the condition (6b), as was shown in [7].



Figure 1: Scatter plot of the Dirac phase δ against $m_{\beta\beta} = |M_{ee}|$ in the case of an inverted hierarchy of neutrino masses. The fit is made to the 2σ data of ref. [5]. Notice that the fit strongly pushes δ to its maximal values.

A model: We now explicitly construct a model which generates the neutrino mass matrices in equations (7) and (8) together with a diagonal charged-lepton mass matrix. Those mass matrices are solely characterized by some zero matrix elements, and it is known [8] that any set of texture zeros can always be justified through a model furnished with an *Abelian* flavour symmetry and, possibly, a large number of scalar gauge multiplets. Indeed, there are always many such possible models; the model that we shall present in this paragraph is only one (possibly minimal in terms of its scalar content) out of many others which might perform the job. Our model is based on the Abelian symmetry \mathbb{Z}_8 ; let $\sigma = \sqrt[8]{1} = \exp(i\pi/4)$. The model has three scalar gauge-SU(2) doublets $\Phi_b = (\phi_b^+, \phi_b^0)^T$ (b = 1, 2, 3) and one complex gauge-invariant scalar S. Under \mathbb{Z}_8 , Φ_1 is invariant and

$$\Phi_2 \to \sigma^3 \Phi_2, \ \Phi_3 \to \sigma^6 \Phi_3, \ S \to \sigma^2 S, \ \nu_{R1} \to \sigma \nu_{R1}, \ \nu_{R2} \to \sigma^3 \nu_{R2}. \tag{19}$$

Therefore, the scalar potential will contain the phase-sensitive terms $\Phi_1^{\dagger}\Phi_2 \Phi_3^{\dagger}\Phi_2$, $\Phi_1^{\dagger}\Phi_3 S$, and S^4 , together with their Hermitian conjugates, thereby avoiding the appearance of any Goldstone bosons when S and the ϕ_b^0 acquire vacuum expectation values (VEVs). The matrix M_R is generated by the Yukawa couplings of the right-handed neutrinos to S,

$$\mathcal{L}_{S\,\text{Yuk}} = -\frac{y_1}{2} \,\bar{\nu}_{R1} C \bar{\nu}_{R1}^T S - \frac{y_2}{2} \,\bar{\nu}_{R2} C \bar{\nu}_{R2}^T S^* + \text{H.c.}, \tag{20}$$



Figure 2: Scatter plot of the reactor angle θ_{13} against the atmospheric angle θ_{23} , for the case of normal hierarchy of the neutrino masses. The fit is made to the 3σ data of ref. [5]. The boundaries of figure 2(a) correspond to the 3σ bounds, the red lines mark the 2σ bounds, and the cross indicates the best-fit point. Figure 2(b) is the magnification of the low-left corner of figure 2(a). Notice that the fit pushes both θ_{13} and θ_{23} to small values, in such a way that at 2σ level the model is excluded.

yielding $x = y_1 s$ and $y = y_2 s^*$, where $s = \langle 0 | S | 0 \rangle$ is the VEV of S. The left-handed lepton doublets $D_{L\alpha} = (\nu_{L\alpha}, \alpha_L)^T$ and the right-handed charged-lepton singlets α_R transform under \mathbb{Z}_8 as

$$D_{Le} \to \sigma D_{Le}, \ D_{L\mu} \to \sigma^5 D_{L\mu}, \ D_{L\tau} \to \sigma^3 D_{L\tau}, \ e_R \to \sigma e_R, \ \mu_R \to \sigma^7 \mu_R, \ \tau_R \to \tau_R.$$
 (21)

This leads to the following Φ_b Yukawa Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\Phi \,\text{Yuk}} = -\left(\phi_{1}^{0}, -\phi_{1}^{+}\right)\left(y_{3}\bar{\nu}_{R1}D_{Le} + y_{4}\bar{\nu}_{R2}D_{L\tau}\right) - \left(\phi_{3}^{0}, -\phi_{3}^{+}\right)\left(y_{5}\bar{\nu}_{R1}D_{L\tau} + y_{6}\bar{\nu}_{R2}D_{L\mu}\right) \\ -y_{7}\left(\phi_{1}^{-}, \phi_{1}^{0^{*}}\right)\bar{e}_{R}D_{Le} - y_{8}\left(\phi_{3}^{-}, \phi_{3}^{0^{*}}\right)\bar{\mu}_{R}D_{L\mu} - y_{9}\left(\phi_{2}^{-}, \phi_{2}^{0^{*}}\right)\bar{\tau}_{R}D_{L\tau} + \text{H.c.} (22)$$

Let $v_b = \langle 0 | \phi_b^0 | 0 \rangle$ denote the VEV of ϕ_b^0 , then the charged-lepton masses are $m_e = |y_7 v_1|$, $m_\mu = |y_8 v_3|$, and $m_\tau = |y_9 v_2|$; the elements of the neutrino Dirac mass matrix M_D in equation (8) are $a = y_3 v_1$, $b = y_6 v_3$, $c = y_5 v_3$, and $d = y_4 v_1$. Notice that, as mentioned earlier, the charged-lepton mass matrix is automatically diagonal with this symmetry. Furthermore, unlike what usually happens in flavour models based on non-Abelian symmetries, there is here no specific requirement (beyond conservation of electromagnetism) on the model's vacuum and, therefore, the fit to the leptonic sector imposes no constraints on the scalar sector.

Leptogenesis: We next consider leptogenesis. (For reviews of leptogenesis see, for instance, refs. [9, 10].) We firstly do this in the context of a general multi-Higgs-doublet model (MHDM). Let there be n_H Higgs doublets Φ_b ($b = 1, 2, \ldots, n_H$) and n_ν right-handed neutrinos ν_{Ri} ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_\nu$); the latter are supposed to be eigenstates of mass and, in this paragraph, m_i denotes the mass of ν_{Ri} . The Yukawa couplings of the right-handed

neutrinos are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu \,\mathrm{Yuk}} = -\sum_{b=1}^{n_H} \sum_{i=1}^{n_\nu} \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \left(Y_b\right)_{i\alpha} \bar{\nu}_{Ri} \left(\phi_b^0, -\phi_b^+\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{L\alpha}\\\alpha_L^-\end{array}\right) + \mathrm{H.c.},\tag{23}$$

where the Y_b are dimensionless $n_{\nu} \times 3$ Yukawa-coupling matrices. In the approximation where the right-handed neutrinos are (very) massive but both the charged leptons α^{\pm} and the charged scalars ϕ_b^{\pm} are massless, the CP-violating asymmetry between the decay rates of ν_{Ri} to $\alpha^+ \phi_b^-$ and $\alpha^- \phi_b^+$, summed over the flavour α , is given by

$$\epsilon_{ib} = \frac{1}{8\pi \left(Y_b Y_b^{\dagger}\right)_{ii}} \sum_{c=1}^{n_H} \sum_{j=1}^{n_\nu} \left\{ \operatorname{Im} \left[\left(Y_c Y_b^{\dagger}\right)_{ji} \left(Y_b Y_c^{\dagger}\right)_{ji} \right] f(x_j) \right]$$
(24a)

$$+\mathrm{Im}\left[\left(Y_{b}Y_{b}^{\dagger}\right)_{ji}\left(Y_{c}Y_{c}^{\dagger}\right)_{ji}\right]g\left(x_{j}\right)\right\},\tag{24b}$$

where $x_j \equiv m_j^2 / m_i^2$ and [9]

$$f(x) = \sqrt{x} \left[1 + (1+x) \ln \frac{x}{1+x} \right],$$
 (25a)

$$g(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{1-x}.$$
(25b)

We now consider the particular case of our model in the previous paragraph. There,

$$Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} y_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & y_5 \\ 0 & y_6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (26)

It is easy to see that the matrices $Y_1Y_1^{\dagger}$ and $Y_3Y_3^{\dagger}$ are diagonal, and therefore do not contribute to line (24b), while $(Y_1Y_3^{\dagger})_{12} = 0$ and $(Y_3Y_1^{\dagger})_{21} = 0$, and therefore line (24a) vanishes too. We conclude that leptogenesis (at least in its simplest version, where the flavour of the lepton α is summed over) is not viable in our model. Indeed, this is a general result, *i.e.* it holds because of the texture zeros in our mass matrices and not only in our specific model of the previous paragraph. Since M_R is diagonal, we have $m_1 = |x|$ and $m_2 = |y|$. We know that

$$M_D = \sum_{b=1}^{n_H} Y_b v_b.$$
 (27)

In order for the M_D in equation (8) to follow from an *Abelian* symmetry which enforces its particular texture zeros, the conditions

$$(Y_b)_{1\mu} = 0, (28a)$$

$$(Y_b)_{2e} = 0,$$
 (28b)

$$(Y_b)_{1\tau} (Y_b)_{2\tau} = 0 (28c)$$

[no sum over b in equation (28c)] must hold for all $b = 1, 2, ..., n_H$. [If equation (28c) did not hold, that would mean that ν_{R1} and ν_{R2} transform in the same way under the Abelian symmetry, and then $(M_D)_{1\mu} = 0$ would imply $(M_D)_{2\mu} = 0$, etc.] Now, equations (28) imply that

$$\left(Y_b Y_b^{\dagger}\right)_{12} = \sum_{\alpha = e, \mu, \tau} (Y_b)_{1\alpha} (Y_b^*)_{2\alpha} = 0,$$
(29)

and therefore line (24b) vanishes. Furthermore, because of equations (28a) and (28b),

$$\left(Y_b Y_c^{\dagger}\right)_{12} \left(Y_c Y_b^{\dagger}\right)_{12} = \left(Y_b\right)_{1\tau} \left(Y_c^*\right)_{2\tau} \left(Y_c\right)_{1\tau} \left(Y_b^*\right)_{2\tau}, \tag{30}$$

which vanishes because of equation (28c). Therefore, line (24a) is zero and $\epsilon_{ib} = 0$.

Renormalization-group invariance: We now show that the conditions (6) are, in the context of the model that we have suggested in this Letter, invariant under the (one-loop) renormalization-group (RG) evolution of M. In that evolution, one must consider [11], in the context of a MHDM, the effective operators

$$\mathcal{O}_{bc} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau} \left(\phi_b^0 \nu_{L\alpha}^T - \phi_b^+ \alpha_L^T \right) \kappa_{\alpha\beta}^{(bc)} C^{-1} \left(\phi_c^0 \nu_{L\beta} - \phi_c^+ \beta_L \right)$$
(31)

where the $\kappa^{(bc)}$ are matrices in flavour space. The effective operators \mathcal{O}_{bc} arise in the seesaw mechanism upon integrating out the heavy right-handed neutrinos. One has $\kappa^{(bc)} = -Y_b^T M_R^{-1} Y_c$; in our model, from equations (7) and (26), all the $\kappa^{(bc)}$ have, at high energy, vanishing (e, μ) and (μ, e) matrix elements. During the RG evolution to lower energies, the operators \mathcal{O}_{bc} mix among themselves. Moreover, the RG derivative $d\kappa^{(bc)}/d(\ln \mu)$ contains [11] matrices $\kappa^{(bc)}$ either multiplied on the right by matrices

$$F_{bc} = \hat{Y}_b^{\dagger} \hat{Y}_c \tag{32}$$

or multiplied on the left by matrices F_{bc}^{T} . In equation (32), the Yukawa-coupling matrices \hat{Y}_{b} are those which appear in the Yukawa couplings of the right-handed charged leptons,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\ell \operatorname{Yuk}} = -\sum_{b=1}^{n_H} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau} \left(\hat{Y}_b \right)_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\alpha}_R \left(\phi_b^- \nu_{L\beta} + \phi_b^{0*} \beta_L \right) + \text{H.c.}$$
(33)

In our model the matrices—cf. equation (22)—

$$\hat{Y}_1 = \text{diag}(y_7, 0, 0), \quad \hat{Y}_2 = \text{diag}(0, 0, y_9), \quad \hat{Y}_3 = \text{diag}(0, y_8, 0)$$
 (34)

are diagonal and, therefore, the F_{bc} are diagonal too. Hence, the multiplication of the $\kappa^{(bc)}$ by the F_{bc} preserves the texture zeros that exist in the $\kappa^{(bc)}$. Since in our model all the $\kappa^{(bc)}$ initially have null (e, μ) and $(\mu.e)$ matrix elements, that feature will be preserved all along the RG evolution. Therefore, the condition (6b) is RG-stable. As for the condition (6a), it follows directly from the fact that there are only two right-handed neutrinos, and therefore it is stable independently of the specific form of the RG equations. **Conclusions:** In this Letter we have shown that the conditions (6) on the effective lightneutrino Majorana mass matrix M may be imposed by means of an Abelian symmetry \mathbb{Z}_8 in a three-Higgs-doublet model with an extra scalar singlet. We have furthermore demonstrated that those conditions agree very well with the known phenomenology if the neutrino mass spectrum is inverted, in which case the Dirac phase δ is predicted to be extremely close to $\pm \pi/2$. The conditions (6) do not work as well if the neutrino mass spectrum is normal, since in that case they necessitate a rather small θ_{13} , but they cannot yet be excluded at the 3σ level. Unfortunately, (flavour-independent) leptogenesis cannot work in the scenario in which the conditions (6) are enforced by means of an Abelian horizontal symmetry.

Addendum: After completion of this work, our attention has been called to a recent paper [12] which has suggested, albeit from a different starting point, the conditions (6). That paper states that the condition (6b) "suffer[s] from little predictivity" in the case of an inverted neutrino mass spectrum; however, that case is, in our view, the one in which the condition (6b) agrees best with experiment.

Acknowledgements: We thank Filipe Joaquim for a discussion on leptogenesis. We thank both him and Werner Rodejohann for calling our attention to some relevant literature. The work of P.M.F. is supported in part by the Portuguese *Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia* (FCT) under contract PTDC/FIS/117951/2010, by the FP7 Reintegration Grant n. PERG08-GA-2010-277025, and by PEst-OE/FIS/UI0618/2011. L.L. is funded by FCT through unit 777 and through the projects CERN/FP/116328/2010, PTDC/FIS/098188/2008, and PTDC/FIS/117951/2010, and also by the Marie Curie Initial Training Network "UNILHC" PITN-GA-2009-237920.

References

- L. Lavoura, "On a possible relationship between lepton mixing and the stability of dark matter," J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 39 (2012) 025202 [arXiv:1109.6854 [hep-ph]].
- [2] A. Ibarra and G. G. Ross, "Neutrino phenomenology: the case of two right-handed neutrinos," Phys. Lett. B 591 (2004) 285 [hep-ph/0312138].
- [3] E. I. Lashin and N. Chamoun, "The one-zero textures of Majorana neutrino mass matrix and current experimental tests," arXiv:1108.4010 [hep-ph] (to be published in Phys. Rev. D).
- [4] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins, and W. G. Scott, "Tri-bimaximal mixing and the neutrino oscillation data," Phys. Lett. B 530 (2002) 167 [hep-ph/0202074].
- [5] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, D. Montanino, A. Palazzo, and A. M. Rotunno, "Global analysis of neutrino masses, mixings and phases: entering the era of leptonic CP violation searches," arXiv:1205.5254 [hep-ph].

- [6] D. V. Forero, M. Tórtola, and J. W. F. Valle, "Global status of neutrino oscillation parameters after recent reactor measurements," arXiv:1205.4018 [hep-ph].
- [7] G. C. Branco, R. G. Felipe, F. R. Joaquim, and T. Yanagida, "Removing ambiguities in the neutrino mass matrix," Phys. Lett. B 562 (2003) 265 [hep-ph/0212341].
- [8] W. Grimus, A. S. Joshipura, L. Lavoura, and M. Tanimoto, "Symmetry realization of texture zeros," Eur. Phys. J. C 36 (2004) 227 [hep-ph/0405016].
- [9] E. A. Paschos, "Leptogenesis," Pramana **62** (2004) 359 [hep-ph/0308261]
- [10] G. C. Branco, R. G. Felipe and F. R. Joaquim, arXiv:1111.5332 [hep-ph] (to be published in Reviews of Modern Physics).
- [11] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, "Renormalization of the neutrino mass operators in the multi-Higgs-doublet standard model," Eur. Phys. J. C 39 (2005) 219 [hep-ph/0409231].
- [12] W. Rodejohann, M. Tanimoto, and A. Watanabe, "Relating large U_{e3} to the ratio of neutrino mass-squared differences," Phys. Lett. B **710** (2012) 636 [arXiv:1201.4936 [hep-ph]].