Few electron double quantum dot in an isotopically purified ²⁸Si quantum well

A. Wild,¹ J. Kierig,² J. Sailer,^{1, a)} J. W. Ager III,³ E. E. Haller,^{3, 4} G. Abstreiter,^{1, 5} S. Ludwig,⁶ and D. Bougeard^{2, b)}

¹⁾ Walter Schottky Institut and Physics Department, Technische Universität München, Am Coulombwall 4, 85748, Garching, Germany

²⁾Institut für Experimentelle und Angewandte Physik, Universität Regensburg, Universitätsstraße 31, 93040, Regensburg, Germany

³⁾ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Materials Sciences Division, Berkeley, CA 94720-8197, USA

⁴⁾Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720-1760, USA

⁵⁾ Technische Universität München Institute for Advanced Study, Lichtenbergstraße 2a, 85748, Garching, Germany

⁶⁾ Fakultät für Physik and Center for NanoScience, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Geschwister-Scholl-Platz 1, 80539, Munich, Germany

(Dated: 19 November 2021)

We present a few electron double quantum dot device defined in an isotopically purified ²⁸Si quantum well (QW). An electron mobility of $5.5 \cdot 10^4 \text{ cm}^2(\text{Vs})^{-1}$ is observed in the QW which is the highest mobility ever reported for a two-dimensional electron system in ²⁸Si. The residual concentration of ²⁹Si nuclei in the ²⁸Si QW is lower than 10^3 ppm, at the verge where the hyperfine interaction is theoretically no longer expected to dominantly limit the T_2 spin dephasing time. We also demonstrate a complete suppression of hysteretic gate behavior and charge noise using a negatively biased global top gate.

PACS numbers: 73.63.Kv, 73.23.Hk, 73.21.Fg, 28.60.+s, 72.70.+m, 73.50.Td

Semiconductor quantum dots (QD) are among the candidates for a scalable implementation of electron spin based qubits in solid state systems. Silicon (Si) has been widely recognized as a well suited material system for decoupling electron spin qubits from their volatile solid state environment owing to the weak spin-orbit and weak hyperfine interaction. Very long spin relaxation times (T₁) on the order of seconds have been reported for Si on the basis of electrostatically defined QDs¹, single phosphorous donors² or triplet-singlet relaxation times in double QDs³. Recently, also a spin dephasing time of $T_2^* = 360$ ns has been observed in a time ensemble measurement in a Si double QD⁴. These milestones highlight the great potential for quantum information processing in Si.

The adverse impact from nuclear spins on electron spin coherence⁵ can be further reduced in the Si material system by means of isotopic enrichment of the ²⁸Si isotope which has zero nuclear spin. Recent technological advances have enabled the fabrication of highly enriched ²⁸Si crystals⁶ with isotopic fractions of the nuclear spin carrying ²⁹Si isotope smaller than $4 \cdot 10^2$ ppm. In such ultra-clean ²⁸Si bulk samples, the spin coherence time T_2 for donor-bound electrons⁷ achieves unprecedentedly long values of $T_2 = 10$ s. This offers a promising perspective for qubit applications with electrostatically defined QDs in ²⁸Si heterostructures. However, the integration

To verify the chemical purity of our isotopically enriched MBE grown material, we employ high resolution

of isotopically purified material with low impurity concentrations into molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) or chemical vapor deposition growth processes is still a challenge. Hence, no QD devices have been demonstrated so far for two-dimensional electron systems (2DES) in 28 Si.

In this letter, we report on the fabrication and characterization of an electrostatically defined few electron double QD within a high mobility 2DES in a MBEgrown ²⁸Si/SiGe heterostructure. We find a concentration of residual ²⁹Si nuclei in the quantum well (QW) smaller than 10³ ppm and achieve a peak mobility of $5.5 \cdot 10^4 \text{ cm}^2(\text{Vs})^{-1}$ at a 2DES density of $3 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. We combine our double QD with a global top gate (TG) and demonstrate a strong suppression of hysteretic gate behavior and charge noise as a negative voltage is applied to the global TG.

Our heterostructures are grown in a solid source MBE system equipped with independent electron beam evaporators for Si and Ge of natural isotopic composition as well as ²⁸Si. All evaporators are equipped with high purity single crystals as source materials. The SiGe heterostructure discussed here is sketched in Fig. 1(a) and contains three key elements. The first is a relaxed SiGe virtual substrate (VS) grown by using Si and Ge of natural isotopic composition. The second is a 10 nm thick ²⁸Si QW hosting the 2DES. The third element is the modulation doping. It consists of a SiGe:P layer with a phosphorus (P) concentration of 10^{18} cm⁻³ and a spacer layer of thickness d = 17.5 nm which separates the QW from the remote dopants.

^{a)}Present address: Department of Applied Physics, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

^{b)}Electronic mail: dominique.bougeard@ur.de



FIG. 1. (Color Online) (a) Layer sequence of the ²⁸Si/SiGe heterostructure. A ²⁸Si QW is embedded into a natural SiGe host crystal and separated by a spacer of thickness d from the SiGe:P layer. (b) SIMS measurement of the ^{28,29,30}Si isotopic fractions in a test structure (top).

secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS). We found no contamination of the ²⁸Si layers by spurious elements compared to the intrinsic substrate and to typical structures grown from our source material of natural isotopic composition. This rules out potential contaminations during the preparation of the isotopically enriched ²⁸Si MBE source crystal. Furthermore, we determined the residual concentration of ²⁹Si in our epitaxial ²⁸Si. To enable a high accuracy concentration measurement, a test structure as sketched at the top of Fig. 1(b) was grown. A 75 nm thick layer of ²⁸Si is sandwiched between two natural Si regions⁸. Within the ²⁸Si layer, the residual concentration of the nuclear spin carrying 29 Si isotope drops below an isotopic fraction of 10^3 ppm. This concentration can be assessed with the help of recent theoretical studies. By investigating decoherence in isotopically enriched ²⁸Si:P structures, Witzel et al.⁹ found that the spin coherence time T_2 is no longer solely limited by the hyperfine interaction but increasingly impaired by dipolar interactions with paramagnetic impurities - which are unavoidably present in any real crystal - below a threshold of roughly 10^3 ppm for 29 Si. Furthermore, Assali et al.¹⁰ calculated the hyperfine induced dephasing of an electron spin for gate-defined QDs in Si for various ²⁹Si concentrations which is in good agreement with recent experimental data⁴. Interpolating their numerical results, the model predicts a spin dephasing time on the order of $T_2^* \approx 2 \ \mu s$ for the ²⁹Si concentration of 10^3 ppm in our material¹¹ which would represent a strong improvement over $T_2^* \approx 10$ ns measured in GaAs⁵.

Using the above heterostructure, we followed a recipe published earlier^{12,13} to fabricate Hall bar devices. Figure 2(a) shows results from a magneto-transport measurement. The observation of Shubnikov-de Haas (SdH) oscillations and well resolved integer quantum Hall plateaus identifies the existence of a high quality 2DES in the ²⁸Si layer.

To manipulate the 2DES density, the Hall bar is covered by a Palladium (Pd) gate on top of a 20 nm thick Al_2O_3 dielectric which is fabricated by means of atomic



FIG. 2. (Color Online) Magneto-transport measurements on a Hall bar obtained at 320 mK (a) Longitudinal (ρ_{xx}) and transversal (ρ_{xy}) resistivity as a function of perpendicular magnetic field B of the 2DES in the ²⁸Si QW. (b) 2DES density *n* as a function of gate voltage (left/bottom axis) and mobility μ as a function of density (right/top axis) for a Hall bar with an Al₂O₃ gate dielectric. The density was determined from the low field slope of ρ_{xy} .

layer deposition (ALD). The 2DES density n is shown in Fig. 2(b) as a function of gate voltage U and can be tuned between 0 and $3 \cdot 10^{11}$ cm⁻². Above U = -0.7 V, n is almost independent of U, while below U = -0.7 V, n depends linearly on gate voltage. The extrapolation of n(U) [dashed line in Fig. 2(b)] implies that the 2DES is completely depleted at U = -1.5 V.

The measured 2DES mobility $\mu(n)$ is plotted in the same graph. Starting from zero mobility at a finite density of $n_{\min} \approx 1 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, the data exhibits a peak mobility of $5.5 \cdot 10^4 \text{ cm}^2(\text{Vs})^{-1}$ at a density of about $3 \cdot 10^{11}$ cm⁻². This is the highest mobility ever reported for a 2DES in ²⁸Si^{12,14,15}. Control experiments, using heterostructures equivalent to the one in Fig. 1(a) but with different spacer thicknesses d, reveal a strong superlinear dependence of the mobility on d (not shown). This points towards a notable effect of remote impurities located above the QW on the mobility in the 2DES. The particular influence of impurities introduced by the modulation doping layer on the electron mobility has been assessed through detailed calculations by A. $Gold^{16,17}$. These calculations were compared in a wide density range to experimental data from a Si/SiGe heterostructure almost identical to $ours^{17}$. The mobility is found to be determined by remote dopants in the SiGe:P layer. In the regime of low densities, the model predicts the dopants to create strong disorder which likely induces an Andersontype metal insulator transition (MIT) at a density N_{MIT} . For our system, we find good agreement between the model and our data in the whole covered density range¹⁸. Especially $N_{\rm MIT} = 0.95 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ can be calculated (Eq. 6 in A. Gold¹⁶) and matches nicely with $n_{\rm min}$ where the mobility drops to zero. From the strong d dependence of μ as well as the agreement of $\mu(n)$ with theory, we conclude that remote dopants in the SiGe:P layer constitute the main mobility limiting mechanism rather than impurities in the ²⁸Si QW. These results support our SIMS analysis and the high chemical purity of the MBE grown isotopically enriched material.



FIG. 3. (Color Online) (a) Layer stack of the device and electron beam defined gate layout. The double QD is sketched with white ellipses. Roman numbers denote ohmic contacts. (b) Coulomb diamond measurement of a single few electron QD showing the differential conductance g as a function of gate ($U_{\rm C}$) and source-drain ($U_{\rm SD}$) voltage. (c) Charge stability diagram of the double QD showing the transconductance $\partial I_{\rm QPC}/\partial U_{\rm XL}(U_{\rm XL}, U_{\rm R})$. (d) Current $I_{\rm QPC}$ flowing from contact III to IV as a function of the voltage $U_{\rm TC}$ applied to gates T and C for different global top gate voltages $U_{\rm TG}$. The gate sweep direction is indicated by arrows. The cryostat base temperature was $T \simeq 20$ mK in (b), (c), (d).

The layer stack and the gate layout of our double QD device is shown in Fig. 3(a). The QD gates are separated from the Si surface by 20 nm of Al_2O_3 in order to minimize the risk of leakage currents^{19,20}. Above the gate layer, we introduce a film of cross-linked PMMA with a thickness of 140 nm which serves as a gate insulator for an additional global Pd TG.

First, we tune the global TG to $U_{\rm TG} = -4$ V and apply negative voltages to gates T, XR, R and C to form a single QD while all other gates are grounded. Figure 3(b) presents the differential conductance of this QD as a function of $U_{\rm C}$. The Coulomb diamond (CD) sizes increase with more negative $U_{\rm C}$ from which charging energies $1.5 \text{ meV} \leq E_{\text{C}} \leq 10 \text{ meV}$ can be extracted. Such a strong dependence of $E_{\rm C}(U_{\rm C})$ indicates that the QD is already in the few electron regime. Arrows mark co-tunneling features and a rich spectrum of excited states which are evidenced by the existence of many conductance lines parallel to the CD edges. From these features, electronic excitation energies of $\approx 250 \ \mu eV$ can be extracted which is consistent with other QDs in $\mathrm{Si}/\mathrm{Si}\mathrm{Ge}^{21,22}$. The CDs also allow to determine the capacitive coupling $C_g = \alpha_g e^2 E_{\rm C}^{-1}$ between the QD and its gates and the corresponding con-version factors α_g^{23} to $\alpha_{\rm C} = 0.0246$, $\alpha_{\rm T} = 0.0365$ and $\alpha_{\rm XR} = 0.0261$. The relative sizes of these lever arms suggest a QD position as sketched by the right white circle

in Fig. 3(a).

Next, we form a double QD also at $U_{\rm TG} = -4$ V by additionally energizing the left gates of the device [Fig. 3(a)]. In addition, we bias gates QT and QB to define a quantum point contact (QPC) as a charge sensor. Figure 3(c) shows the charge stability diagram of the double QD illustrated by the transconductance $\partial I_{\rm QPC}/\partial U_{\rm XL}$ as a function of the voltages applied to gates XL and R. Dark lines with negative slope represent charging lines of the double QD in the few electron regime while the white line with positive slope corresponds to an inter-dot transition. (N, M) labels the last charging state of the double QD we can detect. For higher gate voltages, the occupation of the double QD increases by one electron in each QD. Beyond, the double well potential transforms into a soft single well as the inter-dot barrier decreases strongly with plunger gate voltages.

We finally evaluate the benefit of the global TG on device performance. Therefore, we define a QPC via gates T and C and measure I_{OPC} as a figure of merit for the stability of the local potential in the vicinity of the double QD. Figure 3(d) shows pinch-off curves of $I_{\rm QPC}$ as a function of $U_{\rm TC}$ for five distinct voltages $U_{\rm TG}$ where arrows mark up and down sweeps of $U_{\rm TC}$. For $U_{\rm TG} \ge -1.5$ V, we observe a strongly hysteretic behavior of $I_{\rm QPC}(U_{\rm TC})$ for successive up and down sweeps of $U_{\rm TC}$ as well as random, abrupt switching events in $I_{\rm QPC}$. Such switching noise has impaired measurements before in SiGe QDs^{21,24}. Around $U_{TG} = -2$ V, gate hysteresis and switching events are less pronounced, whereas both features vanish below $U_{\text{TG}} \leq -2.5$ V as shown in the rightmost trace of Fig 3(d). Similarly beneficial effects of a global TG on the suppression of switching events for a QPC in GaAs have been observed by Buizert and $colleagues^{25}$. They related the occurrence of switching noise to gate-2DES leakage currents that can be suppressed by increasing the effective height of the barrier at the surface for tunneling electrons via a negatively biased global TG. Another work on bias cooling applied to GaAs QDs points in the same direction²⁶. In contrast, we exclude tunneling processes from any gate at the surface into the heterostructure as the origin of switching noise in our device. Due to the Al_2O_3 insulator, we can apply up to -12 V between the QD gates and the 2DES without leakage. Since biasing a global TG modifies the band structure between the global TG and the 2DES, the gradual suppression of switching noise and gate hysteresis with more negative values of $U_{\rm TG}$ indicates that the global TG acts on charge traps located between the 2DES and the sample surface. Thus, we suggest that a global TG voltage of $U_{\rm TG} = -4$ V either depletes charge traps or localizes fluctuating charges in long-lived states and, as a result, enables the stable operation of our double QD. Even beyond issues related to obvious device stability, a global TG could also turn out advantageous for qubit operation in isotopically enriched ²⁸Si. On the one hand, it can reduce the number of trapped spins that induce decoherence of a spin qubit⁹ through depletion.

On the other hand, charge fluctuations could be frozen out, which otherwise degrade the qubit coherence time via the exchange, spin-orbit or hyperfine interaction.

In summary, we presented a few electron double QD device in a nuclear spin refined ²⁸Si QW with a residual concentration of nuclear spin carrying ²⁹Si nuclei smaller than 10^3 ppm. For this concentration, a recent theory predicts an almost three orders of magnitude increase of the spin dephasing time compared to $GaAs^{10}$. The 2DES achieves a record mobility of $5.5 \cdot 10^4 \text{ cm}^2 (\text{Vs})^{-1}$ which is only limited by remote impurities in the doping layer. Hence, there is no intrinsic limitation for reaching lower levels of disorder in the 2DES from the usage of the isotopically purified source material. We discussed the beneficial role a global TG can adopt within the device regarding stability and coherence of qubit states. Altogether, our findings render isotopically purified 28 Si/SiGe heterostructures an interesting platform for future applications in quantum information.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft via SFB 631 and the "Nano Initiative Munich" (NIM). We gratefully thank Daniela Taubert, Gunnar Petersen and Rupert Huber for technical assistance and Dirk Grundler for access to the Al_2O_3 ALD funded via the European Community's FP7/2007-2013 program under grant No. 228673. Work at the LBNL was supported in part by US NSF Grant No. DMR-0405472 and the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

- ¹C. Simmons, J. Prance, B. Van Bael, T. Koh, Z. Shi, D. Savage, M. Lagally, R. Joynt, M. Friesen, S. Coppersmith, and M. A. Eriksson, Phys. Rev. Lett., **106**, 156804 (2011).
- ²A. Morello, J. J. Pla, F. A. Zwanenburg, K. W. Chan, K. Y. Tan, H. Hübl, M. Möttönen, C. D. Nugroho, C. Yang, J. A. van Donkelaar, A. D. C. Alves, D. N. Jamieson, C. C. Escott, L. C. L. Hollenberg, R. G. Clark, and A. S. Dzurak, Nature, **467**, 687 (2010).
- ³J. Prance, Z. Shi, C. Simmons, D. Savage, M. Lagally, L. Schreiber, L. Vandersypen, M. Friesen, R. Joynt, S. Coppersmith, and M. A. Eriksson, Phys. Rev. Lett., **108**, 046808 (2012).
- ⁴B. M. Maune, M. G. Borselli, B. Huang, T. D. Ladd, P. W. Deelman, K. S. Holabird, A. A. Kiselev, I. Alvarado-Rodriguez, R. S. Ross, A. E. Schmitz, M. Sokolich, C. A. Watson, M. F. Gyure, and A. T. Hunter, Nature, **481**, 344 (2012).
- ⁵J. R. Petta, A. C. Johnson, J. M. Taylor, E. A. Laird, A. Yacoby, M. D. Lukin, C. M. Marcus, M. P. Hanson, and A. C. Gossard, Science, **309**, 2180 (2005).
- ⁶B. Andreas, Y. Azuma, G. Bartl, P. Becker, H. Bettin, M. Borys, I. Busch, M. Gray, P. Fuchs, K. Fujii, H. Fujimoto, E. Kessler, M. Krumrey, U. Kuetgens, N. Kuramoto, G. Mana, P. Manson,

- E. Massa, S. Mizushima, A. Nicolaus, A. Picard, A. Pramann, O. Rienitz, D. Schiel, S. Valkiers, and A. Waseda, Phys. Rev. Lett., **106**, 030801 (2011).
- ⁷A. M. Tyryshkin, S. Tojo, J. J. L. Morton, H. Riemann, N. V. Abrosimov, P. Becker, H.-J. Pohl, T. Schenkel, M. L. W. Thewalt, K. M. Itoh, and S. A. Lyon, Nature Mat., **11**, 143 (2011).
- ⁸The relatively thick isotopically purified layer is necessary for SIMS analysis of the specimen to allow for stabilization of the signal. The incident ion beam leads to a crater formation in the sample surface and thus mixing of sputtered atoms from different depths which results in a slight averaging of the signal along the growth direction. This effect leads to a reduced depth resolution whereas the thick ²⁸Si layer still enables a high concentration resolution.
- ⁹W. Witzel, M. Carroll, A. Morello, L. Cywinski, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. Lett., **105**, 187602 (2010).
- ¹⁰L. Assali, H. Petrilli, R. Capaz, B. Koiller, X. Hu, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B, 83, 165301 (2011).
- $^{11}\mathrm{We}$ calculated the penetration of the wave function into the barrier outside of the QW. We find that only a negligible part of about 0.1 % of the probability distribution overlaps with nuclear spins in the barrier.
- ¹²J. Sailer, V. Lang, G. Abstreiter, G. Tsuchiya, K. M. Itoh, J. W. Ager, E. E. Haller, D. Kupidura, D. Harbusch, S. Ludwig, and D. Bougeard, Phys. Status Solidi (RRL), **3**, 61 (2009).
- ¹³J. Sailer, A. Wild, V. Lang, A. Siddiki, and D. Bougeard, New J. Phys., **12**, 113033 (2010).
- ¹⁴S. Shankar, A. M. Tyryshkin, J. He, and S. A. Lyon, Phys. Rev. B 82, 195323 (2010).
- ¹⁵C. C. Lo, V. Lang, R. E. George, J. J. L. Morton, A. M. Tyryshkin, S. A. Lyon, J. Bokor, and T. Schenkel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 207601 (2011).
- ¹⁶A. Gold, Phys. Rev. B, **44**, 8818 (1991).
- ¹⁷A. Gold, J. Appl. Phys., **108**, 063710 (2010).
- ¹⁸Details will be published elsewhere.
- ¹⁹U. Dötsch, U. Gennser, C. David, G. Dehlinger, D. Grützmacher, T. Heinzel, S. Lüscher, and K. Ensslin, Appl. Phys. Lett., **78**, 341 (2001).
- ²⁰M. Yuan, F. Pan, Z. Yang, T. J. Gilheart, F. Chen, D. E. Savage, M. G. Lagally, M. A. Eriksson, and A. J. Rimberg, Appl. Phys. Lett., **98**, 142104 (2011).
- ²¹A. Wild, J. Sailer, J. Nützel, G. Abstreiter, S. Ludwig, and D. Bougeard, New J. Phys., **12**, 113019 (2010).
- ²²M. Thalakulam, C. B. Simmons, B. J. Van Bael, B. M. Rosemeyer, D. E. Savage, M. G. Lagally, M. Friesen, S. N. Coppersmith, and M. A. Eriksson, Phys. Rev. B, 84, 045307 (2011).
- ²³T. Ihn, (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2010) ISBN 978-0-19-953442-5.
- ²⁴C. Payette, K. Wang, P. J. Koppinen, Y. Dovzhenko, J. C. Sturm, and J. R. Petta, Appl. Phys. Lett., **100**, 043508 (2012).
- ²⁵C. Buizert, F. Koppens, M. Pioro-Ladrière, H.-P. Tranitz, I. Vink, S. Tarucha, W. Wegscheider, and L. M. K. Vandersypen, Phys. Rev. Lett., **101**, 226603 (2008).
- ²⁶ M. Pioro-Ladrière, J. Davies, A. Long, A. Sachrajda, L. Gaudreau, P. Zawadzki, J. Lapointe, J. Gupta, Z. Wasilewski, and S. Studenikin, Phys. Rev. B, **72**, 115331 (2005).