

# Dynamic modelling of a freshwater stream community from experimental field data

Roberto de Moraes Lima Silveira<sup>1</sup>, Claudio Gonçalves Carvalhaes<sup>2</sup>, Felipe Augusto Maurin Krsulovic<sup>3</sup>  
& Timothy Peter Moulton<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Institute of Biosciences, Av. Fernando Correia da Costa, Cuiabá, 78060-900, CCBS III sala 3, Mato Grosso, Brazil.

<sup>2</sup>Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, and Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, Rua São Francisco Xavier, 524, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

<sup>3</sup>Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Alameda 340, Santiago, Chile.

<sup>4</sup>Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, and Institute of Biology, Rua São Francisco Xavier, 524, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

E-mails: silveira@ufmt.br, c.g.carvalhaes@gmail.com, famk@globo.com, moulton@uerj.br

We developed mathematical models that simulate community dynamics derived from a series of perturbation experiments. These experiments were performed in an Atlantic Forest stream. They identified the main community interactions. The three trophic level community was submitted to two combinations of press perturbation experiment. In the first, the top trophic level was removed by electrical exclusion. In the second configuration, the top trophic level was still excluded plus a group of species from the second trophic level was inhibited, also by electrical pulses. Experiments were repeated at different times to increase confidence in the observed mechanisms. Community responses indicated the existence of cascading interactions similar to a classic trophic cascade. The community was composed of *Macrobrachium* shrimps at the top trophic level, Ephemeroptera and Chironomidae larval insects at the second trophic level and periphyton (= epilithon = biofilm) at the first trophic level. The shrimp exclusion caused an increase in ephemeropterans and chironomids and led the periphyton to decrease in the exclusion zone. When ephemeropterans were inhibited together with the exclusion of shrimps, the chironomids and the periphyton increased. Although the insects-periphyton interactions were of a trophic nature, the shrimps-insects interactions were not. Based on the described mechanisms we modelled the community interactions by means of differential equation systems, simulating the three configurations: 1. natural condition, 2. shrimp exclusion condition and 3. shrimp-exclusion-plus-ephemeropteran-inhibition condition. All parameters were calculated via experimental results and the stability of the models was determined by their matrix eigenvalues. The models successfully reproduced the qualitative responses of the community and brought a better comprehension of the interactions involved. They proved to have attraction points for the all three simulations, which enables us to predict that the system has some ability to return to its previous condition after local perturbation. Although differential equation models have some shortcomings our models are a good description of the system since its interactions are described from experimental manipulation and its parameters were calculated based on field experimental data.

Keywords: aquatic; trophic cascade; mathematical model; electrical exclusion; periphyton

36 **INTRODUCTION**

37

38 Ecological studies are generally meant to characterize interactions which later allow us to develop an  
39 idea of a whole process or system. However exclusively intuitive models are often not enough for this  
40 understanding (Hannon & Ruth 2001). Some of the difficulties lie in the nature of the non-linear behaviour  
41 which is frequently found in population dynamics, interaction coefficients and higher order interactions (Abrams  
42 1993, Closs *et al.* 1993 and Billick & Case 1994).

43 In an attempt to overcome the limitations of intuitive modelling, biological processes have been  
44 described mathematically for more than one century (Muller & Joshi 2000). A mathematical model does not  
45 offer just a more consistent idea but also can simulate predictions based on our premises. This allows us to re-  
46 evaluate our understanding and rebuild a more coherent model (Hannon & Ruth 2001).

47 Traditionally mathematical modelling is well accepted in population dynamics (Volterra 1937, Murdoch  
48 1994, Dennis *et al.* 2001), more recently in food web studies (Pimm 1982, Closs *et al.* 1993 Carpenter *et al.*  
49 1994, McCann & Yodzis 1994, Berryman *et al.* 1995, Vander Zanden & Rasmussen 1996) and community  
50 dynamics (May 1973, Pimm 1991, Berryman *et al.* 1995, Laska & Wootton 1998, Silveira & Moulton 2000).  
51 Focusing on the last two areas, Vander Zanden & Rasmussen (1996) state the three major objectives of trophic  
52 level interaction modelling: 1. to search consistent patterns of community structure, 2. to study factors  
53 structuring communities and 3. to study energy flow.

54 We will concentrate on the factors that structure communities; generally these studies are carried out by  
55 experimental manipulations. Although experimental approaches have been criticized for their inability to create a  
56 reliable data set (Yodzis 1988), this method allows us to investigate indirect effects that otherwise could be  
57 easily overlooked. Experimental manipulations also provide good material for modelling. First, experiments can  
58 show the community dynamics which can be incorporated into a preliminary model and a set of parameters.  
59 Then experiments can be rearranged to obtain the model parameters.

60

61 *COMMUNITY INTERACTIONS AND EVIDENCE OF TROPHIC CASCADES*

62

63 Direct trophic interactions are not the sole processes that might structure a community; indirect  
64 interactions are often important system components as well. Wootton (1994) describes a series of indirect effects  
65 in ecological communities such as apparent competition, indirect mutualism and higher order interactions and  
66 shows how to detect them. He states that these effects have been commonly detected by perturbation  
67 experiments but that most authors may not be aware that indirect effects could be overlooked when they occur at  
68 the same time and in the same direction as a direct effect. Usually in these circumstances direct effects are  
69 imputed.

70 Indirect effects such as trophic cascades (Strong 1992, Pace *et al.* 1999) are especially present in aquatic  
71 ecosystems. A trophic cascade occurs when a top predator has an indirect interference in one or more species at  
72 the bottom of the food chain. Holt (2000) comments that terrestrial ecosystems could be less exposed to trophic  
73 cascades because only a few plant species would be seriously affected by a lack of predators. Generally trophic  
74 cascades are expected to occur in conditions where primary producers are easily submitted to herbivory pressure,  
75 only a few species act as grazers and a few others act as predators. And finally trophic cascades generally occur  
76 in places that are isolated in space (Strong 1992).

77 Various trophic cascades in temperate and tropical streams have been described (Strong 1992, Pace *et al.*  
78 1999). Power (1984 and 1990) and Power *et al.* (1985) found trophic cascades involving sediments, fishes and  
79 birds and others involving algae, aquatic insects and fishes. Lodge *et al.* (1994) also found a trophic cascade  
80 involving algae, snails and crayfishes. Kneib (1988) describes a trophic cascade involving aquatic insects,  
81 freshwater shrimps and fishes.

82

83 *THE STREAM COMMUNITY AT ILHA GRANDE*

84

85 The situation at our study site was similar to that suggested by Strong (1992) as where a trophic cascade  
86 could be expected. Periphyton was potentially highly productive and easily exposed to grazers; there were only a  
87 few grazers and only one predator. So a trophic cascade was expected and it could be modelled mathematically  
88 through our perturbation experiments. Evidences of trophic cascades were verified in two Ilha Grande Streams

89 (Moulton *et al.* 2010). On the other hand, tropical stream communities composed of shrimps and  
90 macroinvertebrates did not show tropic cascades in experiments in Puerto Rico (Pringle and Blake 1994) and  
91 Costa Rica (Pringle & Hamazaki 1998).

92 Our objectives are to define the main community interactions and to develop a useful model of  
93 community dynamics using results from perturbation experiments.

94 The three trophic level community was composed of shrimps at the top level, aquatic insects at the  
95 second trophic level and periphyton at the first trophic level. Based on the community response to the  
96 manipulations Silveira & Moulton (2000) were able to describe the community dynamics and produce a simple  
97 model of this system. Although the community dynamics was well described qualitatively, the mathematical  
98 model was not adequate to explain the processes observed during the experiments. This model was relevant to  
99 long term community dynamics, involving reproductive events, but did not simulate the interactions of short  
100 term experiments.

101 We remodelled the community dynamics using a different set of differential equations to better describe  
102 the community under experimental conditions. This new model aimed to incorporate the interactions revealed by  
103 experiments and describe the community dynamics based on them. We used the results of manipulation  
104 experiments to calculate the growth rates and interaction coefficients needed for modelling. Although modelling  
105 ecological interactions, populations and community dynamics are not unusual (May 1972, Pimm 1991, Hastings  
106 *et al.* 1993, Abrams 1993, Closs *et al.* 1993, Conroy *et al.* 1995, Berrymann *et al.* 1995, Leibold 1996) only a few  
107 studies employ parameters estimated from experimental or observational data set (e.g. Wootton 1994, Schmitz  
108 1997, Wootton 1997, Laska and Wootton 1998 and Dennis *et al.* 2001).

109 The models simulated organism density in an area with the same dimensions as that used in experiments.  
110 Population density of the different organisms changes when community composition is changed. We simulated  
111 the three community compositions observed, first natural conditions, then with shrimps excluded and finally  
112 with shrimps excluded and ephemeropterans inhibited. We also tested stability in the vicinity of the system's  
113 equilibrium point by analysing the eigenvalues of the community matrix.

## 114 METHODS

### 115 STUDY SITE

116  
117  
118  
119 Experiments were performed at Córrego da Andorinha stream which is located near Vila Dois Rios  
120 village, Ilha Grande island Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. Córrego da Andorinha is a low order stream on the  
121 oceanic side of the island; we carried out our experiments at a particular site which was ~6 km from the source  
122 and ~1 km from the mouth (23° 10.97' S, 44° 12.08' W, 70 m asl). Discharge was approximately 200 L/s at base  
123 flow. The experimental area was ~28 m<sup>2</sup> of horizontal bed rock, and depth varied between 26.6 cm to 3.5 cm.  
124 Immediately upstream there is a pool (20 m diameter and 3.5 deep) and downstream the bedrock inclines ~30°  
125 forming a waterfall (Silveira & Moulton 2000; Moulton *et al.* 2004).

### 126 THE COMMUNITY

127  
128  
129 The community in the experimental area was relatively simple with only a few taxa for each main group.  
130 The two species of shrimps were *Macrobrachium olfersi* (Wiegmann 1836) and *Potimirim glabra* (Müller 1857).  
131 However, during the experiments predominantly *M. olfersi* was observed in the area. Ephemeropterans  
132 (Ephemeroptera, Baetidae) were represented by three morphospecies, *Cloeodes* sp., *Americabaetis* sp. and  
133 *Baetodes* sp. Chironomids (Order Diptera) belonged to subfamily Tanypodinae (tribe Pentaneurini- one  
134 morphospecies), subfamily Orthoclaadiinae (*Cricotopus* sp- one morphospecies), subfamily Chironominae, tribe  
135 Tanytarsini- one morphospecies and tribe Chironomini, *Beardius* sp. also one morphospecies. The algae  
136 assemblage was principally composed of diatoms *Cymbella* sp. and *Fragilaria* sp; blue green algae *Rivularia* sp  
137 and *Oscillatoria* sp. and green algae *Zygnema* sp. and *Cladophora* sp.

138 This community was distributed on a bedrock substrate without cobbles, ranging from 5 cm to 30 cm  
139 depth and well exposed to sunlight. The exposure and lack of refuges could explain why the only fish observed  
140 in the vicinity (Crenuchidae, *Characidium japyhybensis* Travassos 1949) was absent in that particular place and  
141 why other groups of aquatic insects such as Trichoptera and Plecoptera were also absent.

142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194

## EXPERIMENTS IN THE FIELD

The community was submitted to five press perturbation experiments where faunal components were excluded and or inhibited for a period of time. Field exclusion experiments in streams traditionally use cages to create the exclusion treatment (Diehl 1995 and Flecker 1996). We chose to create exclusion treatments using electricity to reduce artificial effects caused by cages following the principles developed by Pringle and Blake (1994); further details are found in Silveira & Moulton (2000); Moulton *et al.* (2004); Souza & Moulton (2005). Electric pulses were generated from electric fence chargers and applied in the water over given areas by parallel uncovered wires. The arrangement of wires created an electrified corridor that we called exclusion zone. We used two types of electrifiers with different electrical intensity. The Ballerup<sup>®</sup> (Alfa S.A., São Paulo, Brazil) had a low intensity electrical pulse and the Speedrite<sup>®</sup> (model SB5000, Tru-Test Ltd., New Zealand) electrifier had a high intensity electrical pulse. Energy to the electric fence was supplied by a 12 V battery, which was recharged by a solar panel.

Electric fields affect animals in proportion to their body sizes; large animals are affected at lower intensities than small animals. We adjusted the electrifiers to perform two types of exclusion: Type I excluded only shrimps and type II with a higher electric intensity excluded shrimps and inhibited ephemeropterans at the same time, without affecting chironomids and periphyton (Fig. 1). As a result of our exclusion method we could compartmentalize the community into four classes with different reactions to the two levels of electrification: shrimps, ephemeropterans, chironomids and periphyton

Each experiment had at least one electrified exclusion zone and a non electrified zone monitored as control. Both electrified and non electrified zones were not replicated within each experiment and the two kinds of manipulation were repeated in time. The lack of replicas does not compromise the major point of this paper which is to study community interactions through provoked responses from perturbation experiments and modelling them mathematically.

Experiments were carried out according to the availability of the field station and of the team workers. This caused some differences mainly in experiment time duration (Table 1). Experiments 4 and 5 were conducted simultaneously (Moulton *et al.* 2004).

Aquatic insects were sampled using a 200  $\mu\text{m}$  mesh Surber sampler on four occasions for the first three experiments and on three occasions for the last experiment. This was done always by the same team member in order to minimize operator error (Table 1). Sampled insects were preserved and counted and taxonomically identified under stereo microscope (40 X). Shrimps were visually counted inside treatment areas to estimate their activities. Unlike Surber sampling, visual counting was not substrate destructive and it could be done every day and night during the experiment. The counting was not done by a fixed person because it was too laborious, but care was taken to minimize operator error.

Periphyton was sampled using a sampler modified from Loeb (1981) and the sample was subsequently divided in three: one part to quantify periphyton total dry mass, another to quantify periphyton chlorophyll *a* and the last part to identify periphyton algae taxonomically. Periphyton dry mass was estimated using a calculated relation between the sample turbidity and its dry mass. Periphyton algae was filtered onto a glass fibre GF/F filter and its Chlorophyll *a* estimated using a spectrophotometer.

We used Analysis of Variance to identify significant differences in the densities of organisms and total dry mass between electrified and non electrified zones. Each group of organisms was analysed separately and the densities between treatments were compared for the last sampling day. Animal abundance data were transformed to Log ( $x+1$ ), total dry mass and chlorophyll *a* data were transformed to Log ( $x$ ) before statistical analysis to homogenize variances.

## BUILDING THE MODEL

We built a system of differential equations that represented community population fluctuations for an area of 1  $\text{m}^2$ . Unlike traditional Lotka-Volterra models, population increase of animals was not by reproduction since experiments were too short in time. Thus growth rate was not proportional to population density. In our model, the population dynamics came from migration behaviour. The algal population behaved as a Lotka-Volterra-type model (Berryman *et al.* 1995). The total dry mass fluctuation represented its accumulation and loss

195 to detritivores. Unlike the algal population model the total dry mass model considered that detritus had a  
 196 constant accumulation rate which was not multiplied by any equation variable. Such a system of mixing biomass  
 197 and individual numbers is known as the “well mixed producer” model (Nisbet *et al.* 1997).

198 The system was built to have the least number of parameters possible in order to reduce its uncertainty  
 199 and to model the most important elements of the community. Thus the system has five compartments composed  
 200 of algae, total dry mass (of periphyton), Chironomids, Ephemeropterans and shrimps. Three different systems  
 201 were built to represent the system under natural condition, Type I exclusion experiments and Type II exclusion  
 202 experiments, Fig. 1.

203

204 *ESTIMATING PARAMETERS*

205

206 *Periphyton algae rate of increase ( $r_a$ ) and algae logistic term ( $K_a$ )*

207

208 These parameters were calculated in Type II experiment conditions, where algae grew unconstrained by  
 209 herbivores. In these conditions algae dynamics was presumed to be ruled by the classic Lotka-Volterra equation.  
 210 Using the integral form of this equation we calculated the parameters needed:

211

$$212 \quad A_{(t)} = \frac{K_a}{1 + e^{b - r_a t}} \quad \text{Eq. (1)}$$

213

214

215

216 The parameters were estimated by non-linear regression (NONLIN module of SYSTAT) along with a  
 217 self consistent test. This test demands a base of previous parameter estimation.  $K_a$  was estimated as the natural  
 218 final algae density shown during experiments. The  $b$  parameter is:

219

220

$$221 \quad b - r_a t = \text{Ln}\left(\frac{K_a - A_{(t)}}{A_{(t)}}\right) \quad \text{Eq. (2)}$$

222

223

224

225 Plotting the right side of equation (2) against time the left side is a linear equation. The  $b$  parameter  
 226 value is found when time is equal to zero. The  $r_a$  parameter was previously estimated from the exponential part  
 227 of the curve. The NONLIN module used the previously estimated parameters as a base to find its own estimated  
 228 values. If the NONLIN calculated values were close to those estimated from the exponential curve we had  
 229 enough confidence to use the values calculated from the curve.

230

231 *Total dry mass increase rate ( $r_m$ ) and logistic term ( $K_m$ )*

232

233 As with the algae, the total dry mass increase rate and its logistic term were calculated from Type II  
 234 experiment conditions. Unlike the algae equation, the total dry mass dynamics was described by an equation but  
 235 the increase of this component is not proportional to itself like algae. This represents a constant increase rate  
 236 independent of the amount of total dry mass:

237

$$238 \quad M_{(t)} = K_m \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{r_m t}{K_m}} \right) \quad \text{Eq. (3)}$$

239

240 Again the NONLIN module was used to perform a self confidence test with previously estimated  
 241 parameters and calculate the most appropriate parameters.

242

242 *Chironomid and ephemeropteran increase rate ( $r_d$  and  $r_e$ )*

243

244 The rate of increase of both these components was proportional to the density of detritus. This is so  
 245 because of the kind of increase observed during experiments. Both populations are composed of sexually non-  
 246 mature individuals thus their increase in density could be only due to migratory movements. The experimental  
 247 area did not provide any shelter so we consider them to be attracted by the food supply. Both insects are  
 248 dependent on algae as a food resource so their dynamics were defined as increasing in function of algal  
 249 availability (Brito *et al.* 2006). Such parameters were calculated from Type II experiment conditions for  
 250 chironomids and Type I and II experiment conditions for ephemeropterans. Under such circumstances,  
 251 chironomid and ephemeropteran densities are determined by equations (4) and (5) respectively.  
 252

$$\frac{dD_{(t)}}{dt} = r_d A_{(t)} \quad \text{Eq. (4)}$$

$$\frac{dE_{(t)}}{dt} = r_e A_{(t)} \quad \text{Eq. (5)}$$

254 We used the data collected in each sampling day to plot by difference approximation the left side of  
 255 equations (4) and (5) against the algae densities ( $A$ ) recorded in those days. Using a linear regression we were  
 256 able to estimate the  $r_d$  and  $r_e$  parameters.  
 257

258  
 259 *Shrimp increase rate ( $r_s$ )*

260 To estimate this parameter we used data from in situ counting of shrimps during the day and at night. In  
 261 such conditions shrimp dynamics is defined by equation (6). This parameter was estimated by the same method  
 262 we used to estimate chironomid and ephemeropteran increase rate.  
 263

264 The only difference is that shrimps are supposed to be attracted by ephemeropteran densities.  
 265 Chironomids possibly influenced the dynamics, but our data were not sufficient to support this. Ephemeropterans  
 266 and shrimps have alternate activity (shrimps appear at night and ephemeropterans by day) which suggests a  
 267 strong predator-prey relationship between them.

268 Observed shrimp densities at night were plotted against observed ephemeropteran densities of the  
 269 previous afternoon. To allow such an approach, only data with a low number of shrimps were used. This  
 270 situation characterizes the population of shrimps in its lowest levels thus allowing us to consider that any intra-  
 271 specific relation was of negligible effect. In this case the second term of the shrimp equation was considered zero  
 272 and we used a linear regression to estimate the parameter  $r_s$ .

$$\frac{dS_{(t)}}{dt} = r_s E_{(t)} \quad \text{Eq. (6)}$$

273  
 274 *Interaction coefficients between components*

275 These parameters were calculated via system equilibrium points. We estimated such coefficients  
 276 according to the situation. The natural condition was considered to be in its stable equilibrium point just before  
 277 experiments. Using all experimental data for day zero (before electrification) we calculated the mean equilibrium  
 278 density for all community components. In such circumstances the component variation rate is zero so we  
 279 substituted all equilibrium point densities and parameters already estimated in the equations and made the  
 280 equation equal to zero.  
 281

282 The same procedure was performed to calculate the values of the interaction coefficients for Type I and  
 283 II experiments where some terms of chironomid and ephemeropteran equations were changed and some terms of  
 284 algae and total dry mass equations were deleted. In these cases the equilibrium point was determined at the end  
 285 of the experiments when the community was tending to stop its fluctuation.  
 286

287 *Stability analysis*

288

289 Characteristic matrix eigenvalues for the three simulated situations were calculated by MATLAB  
290 (MATLAB 1991) in order to test the model's stability and then the models were run in Model Maker computer  
291 program (SB Technology 1994).

292

293 **RESULTS**

294

295 We performed a series of statistical analyses to identify the significance of our experimental results. We  
296 analysed the experiments separately and compared the abundance of organisms or the weight of periphyton  
297 between exclusion zones and control areas.

298

299 *TYPE I EXPERIMENTS*

300

301 Type I experiments excluded only shrimps for a period of time and community responses were then  
302 observed (Table 2).

303 Periphyton dry mass decreased over time in the exclusion areas and was significantly less than that in the  
304 controls at the end of the experiment in both experiments (Table 2). Algal mass, as measured by chlorophyll *a*,  
305 was not significantly different between treatments in experiment 2, and was almost significantly less in the  
306 exclusion of experiment 4, ( $p = 0.053$ ).

307 Ephemeropterans increased in numbers when shrimps were excluded (Table 2). Electrified treatments  
308 had significantly more ephemeropterans (Table 2,  $p < 0.05$ ) than the non electrified treatments for at least the last  
309 sampling days of both experiments.

310 Chironomids appeared to increase in exclusion treatments in the first ten days, but later their density  
311 declined. The overall differences between treatments were not significant.

312

313 *TYPE II EXPERIMENTS*

314

315 Type II experiments excluded shrimps and inhibited ephemeropterans for a period of time and  
316 community responses were then observed (Table 3). Although there were no statistically significant differences  
317 between ephemeropteran densities in electrified and non electrified treatments, the former treatment showed an  
318 increase in periphyton mass (Table 3). As the main ephemeropteran food resource is periphyton and this  
319 resource increased in electrified treatments we concluded that electricity was able to inhibit the foraging of  
320 ephemeropterans.

321 Chlorophyll *a* increased inside type II exclusion zones. However, the increase was statistically  
322 significant only for the last sampling day of experiment 1 (Table 3,  $p < 0.05$ ). The other two experiments did not  
323 show any significant difference between treatments, but tendencies were the same as in experiment 1 with more  
324 chlorophyll *a* inside the exclusion zone. However total dry mass was significantly higher inside exclusion zones  
325 for all three experiments for at least the last two sampling days (Table 3,  $p < 0.05$ ).

326 During experiments 3 and 4 shrimp activity diminished in non-electrified zones and ephemeropterans  
327 increased in numbers in those same treatments. There was no significant difference in ephemeropteran numbers  
328 between treatments.

329 Chironomids also responded to Type II experiments. This time the increases were sustained until the end  
330 of the experiment. No statistically significant difference was registered between treatments for experiment 1  
331 (Table 3), but experiments 3 and 4 did register a significantly higher density in electrified treatments (Table 3,  
332  $p < 0.05$ ).

333

334 *MODELLING COMMUNITY DYNAMICS*

335

336 Interactions among the community components were deduced from the behaviour of the community in  
337 our experiments and were reinforced by the literature on their feeding habits. The model describes community  
338 interactions under natural and experimental circumstances but does not treat them specifically as trophic

339 relationships. Three models were constructed in order to reproduce community interactions; in natural conditions  
 340 (Fig.1A), under Type I experimental conditions (Fig.1B) and under Type II experimental conditions (Fig.1C).

341 When any potential interaction was too small to interfere in the community dynamics, it was not  
 342 included, as well as any other interaction that could not be observed and measured under experimental  
 343 conditions. In order to keep the model simple a minimum of parameters was adopted thus reducing the model  
 344 imprecision.

345 Total dry mass was included in the model to represent detrital organic matter, actively incorporated  
 346 organic matter and inorganic accumulation in the periphyton. This includes organic matter of the periphytic  
 347 algae, but since algae mass is more than  $10^3$  times less than total dry mass (Brito *et al.* 2006) it was considered  
 348 insignificant, permitting the modelling of the two components separately.

349 We chose to model the system using differential equations because it is a method that is well founded in  
 350 the literature and is suitable when the variation rate is small. The variation rate of the components of the  
 351 community was considered small during our experiments, because the daily variation did not surpass ten percent  
 352 of the total population densities. The system characterizes the animals' community visitation and the algal and  
 353 detritus accumulation and loss with the equations representing that dynamics. The population is given in  
 354 densities mean per day.

355 We used three equation systems to model the community dynamics; the last two models are essentially  
 356 based in the first. To simulate experimental conditions mathematically we simply excluded equations or the  
 357 terms that were operating the excluded or inhibited interactions.

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

Natural Condition

$$\frac{dA(t)}{dt} = r_a A(t) - \left(\frac{r_a}{K_a}\right) A(t)^2 - e_a E(t)A(t)$$

$$\frac{dM(t)}{dt} = r_m - \left(\frac{r_m}{K_m}\right) M(t) - e_m E(t)M(t)$$

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = r_c A(t) - m_c S(t)C(t)$$

$$\frac{dE(t)}{dt} = r_e A(t) - m_e M(t)E(t)$$

$$\frac{dS(t)}{dt} = r_s E(t) - s_s S(t)^2$$

Type I exclusion - shrimps excluded

$$\frac{dA(t)}{dt} = r_a A(t) - \left(\frac{r_a}{K_a}\right) A(t)^2 - e_a E(t)A(t)$$

$$\frac{dM(t)}{dt} = r_m - \left(\frac{r_m}{K_m}\right) M(t) - e_m E(t)M(t)$$

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = r_c A(t) - c_c C(t)^2$$

$$\frac{dE(t)}{dt} = r_e A(t) - e_e E(t)^2$$

Type II exclusion - shrimps excluded and  
Ephemeroptera nymphs inhibited

$$\frac{dA(t)}{dt} = r_a A(t) - \left(\frac{r_a}{K_a}\right) A(t)^2$$

$$\frac{dM(t)}{dt} = r_m - \left(\frac{r_m}{K_m}\right) M(t)$$

$$\frac{dC(t)}{dt} = r_c A(t) - c_c C(t)^2$$

$$\frac{dE(t)}{dt} = r_e A(t) - e_e E(t)^2$$



392  
 393  
 394  
 395  
 396  
 397  
 398  
 399  
 400  
 401  
 402  
 403  
 404  
 405  
 406  
 407  
 408  
 409  
 410  
 411  
 412  
 413  
 414  
 415  
 416  
 417  
 418  
 419  
 420  
 421  
 422  
 423  
 424  
 425  
 426  
 427  
 428  
 429  
 430  
 431  
 432  
 433  
 434  
 435  
 436  
 437  
 438  
 439  
 440  
 441  
 442  
 443  
 444

Equations parameters are:

$r_i$  - growth rate of component  $i$ ,

$K_i$  - Logistic term of component  $i$ ,

$e_i, c_i, m_i$  and  $s_i$  - components interaction coefficients.

$A, M, C, E$  and  $S$  - are the respective densities of algae, Total Dry Mass, chironomids, ephemeropterans and shrimps. Where  $dA_{(t)}, dM_{(t)}, dC_{(t)}, dE_{(t)}$  and  $dS_{(t)}$  are the mean value of components present in time  $t + \Delta t$ , considering  $\Delta t$  the smallest time interval enough to observe measurable variations.

Type I experiments were simulated by a different equation system, where shrimps were absent and two new terms in the ephemeropteran and chironomid equations substituted the previous terms where shrimps were interacting. This is so because these terms were irrelevant for the community dynamics in the presence of shrimps, but are important now that the major source of population decrease is gone. These terms provide a control for those populations in order not to let them increase in numbers infinitely.

Type II experiments were simulated by a third differential equation system. These conditions prevented ephemeropterans from grazing on algae and total dry mass thus demanding withdrawal of equations terms responsible for this. As only the ephemeropteran foraging activity was inhibited and they were not excluded they still appear in the system.

#### *Periphyton algae and Total Dry Mass increase rate and Logistic terms*

These constants were calculated via the NONLIN module of SYSTAT statistical computer program using a self consistent test. We used data from Type II exclusion experiments to estimate the increase rates and logistic terms (Table 4).

#### *Chironomid, ephemeropteran and shrimp increase rate*

Chironomid increase rate was derived from the variation of chironomids in function of algal density (Fig. 2). Ephemeropteran increase rate was calculated from the variation of ephemeropterans in function of algae numbers (Fig. 3) and shrimp increase rate could be due the presence of chironomids or ephemeropterans. We carried out two linear regression analyses plotting the variation of shrimps with the density of Chironomids and the variation of shrimps with the density of ephemeropterans (Fig. 4 A and B). The variation of shrimps was recorded at night and plotted against the densities of the insects registered in the previous afternoon.

#### *Interaction coefficients of components ( $e_i, s_i$ and $c_i$ )*

These parameters were calculated considering the system equilibrium points. The equation system for natural conditions was considered to be in its stable equilibrium point just before experiments. Thus, substituting the left side of the equations in the natural condition system for zero, we represented the system in its equilibrium point. Then we calculated the interaction coefficients by inserting in the equations the values of the variable before electrification and all the parameters already estimated in the equations.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 = r_a A - \left( \frac{r_a}{K_a} \right) A^2 - e_a EA \\ 0 = r_m - \left( \frac{r_m}{K_m} \right) M - e_m EM \\ 0 = r_c A - s_c SC \\ 0 = r_e A - s_e ME \\ 0 = r_s E - s_s S^2 \end{array} \right.$$

445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497

Equations parameters are:

$r_i$  - growth rate of component  $i$ ,

$K_i$  - Logistic term of component  $i$ ,

$e_i$  and  $s_i$  - components interaction coefficients.

$A$ ,  $M$ ,  $C$ ,  $E$  and  $S$  - are the respective densities of algae, total dry mass, chironomids, ephemeropterans and shrimps at the equilibrium point in natural conditions.

Experiment conditions demanded a new system of equations since shrimps were excluded. The above procedure was repeated to calculate the two interaction coefficients introduced in the ephemeropteran and chironomid equations in order to substitute the shrimp interaction coefficient. In this case we considered the system stable equilibrium point in the last days of Type II exclusion experiments.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0 = r_a A - \left( \frac{r_a}{K_a} \right) A^2 \\ 0 = r_m - \left( \frac{r_m}{K_m} \right) M \\ 0 = r_c A - c_c C^2 \\ 0 = r_e A - e_e E^2 \end{array} \right.$$

Equation parameters are:

$r_i$  - growth rate of component  $i$ ,

$K_i$  - Logistic term of component  $i$ ,

$c_i$  and  $e_i$  - components interaction coefficients.

$A$ ,  $M$ ,  $C$  and  $E$  - are the respective numbers of algae, total dry mass, chironomids and ephemeropterans at the equilibrium point in Type II exclusion conditions.

Since there are two experiments for each exclusion type, this allowed us to reach two quantitatively different equilibrium points for each manipulation. Using those values one at a time for parameters calculations led us to two sets of interaction coefficient values for each exclusion type. We calculated the final value for interaction coefficients as the mean of the two sets (Table 4).

#### MODEL STABILITY

The stability of the models was tested by analysis of their eigenvalues. A stable community matrix will return to its previous dynamic equilibrium point after perturbation. However the magnitude of the perturbation must not be too strong since stability in these models means stability in the vicinity of the equilibrium point. This is appropriate for biological purposes since one can understand that it would be impossible for a system to recover to its previous condition in the event of very large perturbations such as the extinction of some of the species. However smaller perturbations are frequent in nature and are mostly not enough to change the system permanently.

For each experimental condition we built its respective community matrix and calculated the eigenvalues. If the eigenvalues turned out to be all negative the model was accepted as a stable model. If at least one of the eigenvalues was positive the model has some instability.

The first community matrix described the community in its natural condition. For this we obtained 5 eigenvalues, all of them negative (Table 5). The second community matrix characterized the community under

498 Type I exclusion and had all 4 eigenvalues negative (Table 5). The last community matrix in which the  
499 community was under Type II exclusion conditions also showed negative eigenvalues for all 4 eigenvalues  
500 (Table V). All three models were accepted as stable models.

#### 501 502 *MODEL SIMULATION*

503  
504 We ran the model in the Model Maker 2.0 software program that executed it through a 4-order Runge-  
505 Kutta calculation. We made simulations for each model component under all experimental conditions for a time  
506 period of 35 days. This time period spans all experiment times including the longest. The model's qualitative and  
507 quantitative behaviour was compared to the field data for all model components for both Type I and Type II  
508 exclusion conditions.

509 We used the Type I exclusion equation system to model the community dynamics and compare with the  
510 data from the original experiments. Field data of chlorophyll *a* representing algae density was plotted alongside  
511 algal density from the simulation. These experiments registered in the exclusion zone a sharp reducing of  
512 chlorophyll *a* (Fig. 5A). total dry mass equation model simulation also was plotted alongside field data collected  
513 in Type I exclusion experiments (Fig. 5B). The exclusion of shrimps in Type I exclusion experiments  
514 caused the ephemeropterans to increase inside electrified treatments. Field data registered for such conditions  
515 were plotted with the simulation of Type I conditions and revealed a similar qualitative and quantitative pattern  
516 among them (Fig. 6A). On the other hand the chironomid equation model did not perform well when compared  
517 to Type I exclusion experiment field data (Fig. 6B). This probably was caused by the high oscillations registered  
518 during those experiments for this component in particular. Field data also did not show a distinctive pattern,  
519 which did not allow a more accurate model.

520 Type II experiments excluded shrimps and inhibited ephemeropteran foraging activity, which raised  
521 chlorophyll *a*, total dry mass and chironomid density inside the electrified zones. Chlorophyll *a* densities  
522 registered during these experiments had a great amount of quantitative variation but the same qualitative  
523 response (Fig. 7A). Apart from that, the model simulation fits well quantitatively at least for experiment 3. Total  
524 dry mass in Type II exclusion experiments also registered great variability among experiments, although all  
525 experiments had the same qualitative response and at least for experiments 3 and 5 the model fits well  
526 quantitatively (Fig. 7B).

527 The quantitative response of chironomids to Type II experiments also varied considerably among  
528 experiments, but increasing density inside electrified zones was the overall result. Model simulation approach  
529 fitted well for experiment 3 but had less quantitative precision for experiments 1 and 5 (Fig. 8).

#### 530 531 *MODEL PREDICTIONS*

532  
533 We simulated the extending of the experiment in time to investigate the model's behaviour. The field  
534 experiments did not last for more than 20 days, thus any information on how the community dynamics would  
535 develop for a longer time period was welcome. The extension in time of Type I exclusion simulation showed  
536 that the initial increasing of chironomids and ephemeropterans in the first 20 days was expected to reduce with  
537 some oscillation and to stabilize at considerably lower density (Fig. 9). Although the ephemeropteran population  
538 stabilized at a higher number than expected in natural conditions, the chironomid population stabilized at much  
539 lower values compared to natural densities.

540 Total dry mass simulation extended in time also showed a surprising pattern. After the initial decrease of  
541 the first 20 days, it changed to an increase and then decreased before stabilizing at values lower than those of the  
542 natural conditions (Fig. 10). Such oscillation before stability suggests that if nothing more interfered in the  
543 community for more than 1000 days of experiment, one should expect total dry mass to increase for nearly 250  
544 days (Fig. 10 for time 150 to 400 days) for no other reason than the community dynamics itself.

545 Type I exclusion experiments excluded shrimps from the system, which leaves no reason to simulate  
546 shrimp population dynamics.

547 Type II exclusion simulations used the equation system for such circumstances. We also extended these  
548 simulations in time, but in this case the system maintains only three components, algae, total dry mass and  
549 chironomids. Both algae and total dry mass have fixed logistic terms which cause these populations to stabilize  
550 at the established value (Table 4). The time extension modelling allowed us to predict chironomid population

551 size when stabilized (Fig. 11). Chironomid, ephemeropteran and shrimp populations do not have fixed logistic  
552 terms, which allows their dynamics to stabilize in different population numbers if the algae logistic term is  
553 changed.

554

## 555 **DISCUSSION**

556

### 557 *EXPERIMENT RESULTS*

558

559 Type I exclusion experiment successfully excluded shrimps from the electrified zone (Tab. II). As a  
560 consequence, ephemeropteran densities increased in the electrified zones for both experiment 2 and 4. Under  
561 natural conditions we observed that

562 ephemeropterans in our study site are diurnal and shrimp activity is mostly nocturnal (Moulton *et al.* 2004). Such  
563 antagonism suggested that ephemeropterans were avoiding shrimps in time, probably to avoid predation  
564 (Moulton *et al.* 2004). Ephemeropterans have been shown to avoid trout predators by diurnal movements  
565 (Peckarsky & McIntosh 1998). Experiment results are in accordance with the predator avoidance hypothesis  
566 since in the absence of shrimps, ephemeropterans tended to increase and even prolong their activity in electrified  
567 zone into the night (Moulton *et al.* 2004).

568 Ephemeropteran foraging activity is mainly directed at the periphyton growing on the bedrock.  
569 Ephemeropterans are known as important grazers in some systems (Hill & Knight 1987). We observed decreases  
570 in chlorophyll *a* and total dry mass of the periphyton in both Type I experiments in which ephemeropterans  
571 increased, and we deduce that ephemeropterans are important grazers in our system. Chironomid densities  
572 oscillated greatly during Type I experiments. The exclusion of shrimps was not enough to distinguish the effects  
573 of ephemeropterans from the effect of chironomids. The logical extension of the experiments was to exclude  
574 ephemeropterans and observe the effects of chironomids in Type II experiments

575 We managed to inhibit ephemeropterans foraging activity inside the electrified zones, but were not able  
576 to prevent them from entering the exclusion zones in Type II experiments (Table 3). When we observed  
577 ephemeropterans directly inside high-intensity (Type II) electrical exclusion areas, we saw that they were  
578 affected by the electrical pulses – they twitched and would often jump or lose their hold on the substrate. Direct  
579 visual counts showed that their numbers diminished in the exclusion areas (Silveira 2002, Moulton *et al.* 2004).  
580 Thus we were surprised that the counts of ephemeropterans sampled by Surber apparatus did not reflect the  
581 direct observations. This was at least partially due to the presence of small ephemeropterans, which were  
582 probably less affected by the shocks and were less visible. However we concluded that the high-intensity  
583 electricity inhibited their foraging, since periphyton increased in the exclusion areas. Brown *et al.* (2000)  
584 reported a similar phenomenon with high intensity exclusion – macroinvertebrate numbers did not decrease in  
585 the excluded areas, but grazing pressure was apparently diminished.

586 We were able to separate the ephemeropteran effect from the chironomid effect, since ephemeropteran  
587 foraging activity was inhibited, and that of the chironomids apparently not. Chironomid effect on periphyton  
588 turned out to be negligible since chlorophyll *a* and total dry mass increased greatly despite the increase of  
589 chironomids (Fig. 7). It was not possible to extract the effects of chironomids since we had no means to exclude  
590 the very small chironomids with electricity.

591 Type II exclusion registered significant increase for both total dry mass and chironomid densities, as  
592 well as the significant exclusion of shrimps. Chlorophyll *a* results were significant only for experiment 1, but  
593 showed the same increasing tendency for experiments 3 and 5. The explanation for the lack of significance of  
594 chlorophyll *a* is not clear but might be associated with the low concentration of chlorophyll *a*, low sensitivity of  
595 the spectrophotometric method of measurement and possible variation in the primary productivity due to  
596 seasonal effects.

597 The qualitative results of our experiments were quite consistent with a trophic cascade interaction system  
598 (Moulton *et al.* 2004). Trophic cascades are expected to occur where primary producers are exposed to herbivore  
599 pressure of a few grazer species which in turn have few but strong predators (Strong 1992). Moreover, low  
600 spatial heterogeneity encourages trophic cascades. Our community has these characteristics and vindicates  
601 Strong's predictions. The phenomenon we found is an interaction cascade and not entirely trophic, since the  
602 effects of shrimps over ephemeropterans was more frequently of behaviour nature than due to ingestion itself.  
603 The result is quite different to those found in electrical exclusion experiments with similar shrimp and insect

604 fauna in Puerto Rico (Pringle & Blake 1994) and Costa Rica (Pringle & Hamazaki 1998), where the exclusion of  
605 shrimp produced increases in periphyton. Pringle & Hamazaki (1998) suggested that the lack of a trophic  
606 cascade was due to the omnivorous trophic status of the shrimps. Our result implies that the shrimps were  
607 primarily predators of ephemeropterans and chironomids.

608 Although the experiments were not internally replicated, we repeated each manipulation at least twice,  
609 which gives us confidence in the experimental results. Based on this confidence, we used the data for our  
610 modelling exercise.

611

#### 612 *MODELLING THE COMMUNITY INTERACTIONS*

613

614 The experimental data set provided a useful base for modelling the community since it was able to show  
615 the most significant qualitative relationships, gave us estimates of quantitative responses and fulfilled  
616 mathematical demands for calculating model parameters.

617 The experimental design, however, was not the most appropriate for mathematical modelling. The time  
618 lag between the first and the next sampling days was larger than desirable for calculating accurate growth rates.  
619 However, the experimental area had little room to accommodate replicated treatments and not enough sampling  
620 space inside the treatments to admit more than three or four sampling days.

621 Despite these shortcomings, the manipulation experiments proved essential for developing a dynamic  
622 view of the community interactions. This allowed us to build models that involved all the identifiable  
623 interactions, one for each community condition. All three models retained the same parameter mainframe in  
624 order to achieve a more universal description of the community interactions.

625 A difference equation system model might have been used since our samplings days are discrete in time  
626 and variations in experiment effects among these samples are not discernable. However, we decided to employ  
627 differential equation systems because they are less dependent on the given initial condition. Modelling our  
628 system with differential equations could have reduced the quantitative precision of the simulations. However, the  
629 initial conditions of the community components showed a considerable amount of variation in our experiments,  
630 which was considered to be more pertinent than the possible loss of quantitative precision.

631 All three models proved to be stable by eigenvalue analysis. Each matrix, for each modelled condition  
632 had negative eigenvalues. Community matrices with negative eigenvalues are characteristic of systems that are  
633 stable in the vicinity of their equilibrium points. This means that the system is able to recover from small  
634 perturbations and return to its previous dynamic equilibrium. This is expected for most natural systems, due to  
635 their natural ability to recover from small perturbations (Pimm 1991). It can be noted, however, that stream  
636 ecosystems are subject to frequent perturbations due to floods. This has given rise to non-equilibrium models of  
637 stream communities (e.g. McAuliffe, 1984) and investigations of patchiness as determinants of community  
638 composition (e.g. Downes *et al.* 1993).

639 Classic Lotka-Volterra equations of population dynamics consider that population variation is due to a  
640 balance between increase (birth, migration and death rate included) and decrease, which is generally, described  
641 as intra-specific competition (Begon *et al.* 1986). Berryman *et al.* (1995) went further by adding some equation  
642 terms describing trophic relationships. However these systems are suited for communities which the major force  
643 for population increase is reproduction. A previous attempt at modelling the community dynamics based on  
644 Berryman-like models showed the need for a more specific set of models (Silveira & Moulton 2000), since the  
645 main force for population increase in our experiments was migration and not reproduction.

646 In the case of our community, only the algae population increased due to reproduction, while  
647 chironomid, ephemeropteran and shrimp population growth rates were due to migration. Although algae  
648 population growth rate could be set as proportional to algae population, the other three groups had their  
649 population increase rate proportional to their potential prey. This simulates immigration due to an attraction  
650 force. Moreover, as the model describes the most important interactions, it is not imperative that a relationship  
651 appears in both directions. For instance ephemeropterans have effect on total dry mass described in the equation  
652 systems of natural condition and Type I exclusion but do not have any effect from total dry mass in their  
653 equation. This is so because the grazing activity of the ephemeropterans affects periphyton total dry mass but  
654 their real interest lies in the algae stock mixed with the detritus matrix (Krsulovic 2004); stable isotope analysis  
655 of ephemeropterans showed them to be herbivores of algae despite their large effect on the non-algal parts of the  
656 periphyton (Brito *et al.* 2006).

657 The dynamics of total dry mass was a special case with a steady accumulation rate not proportional to  
658 anything. Its logistic term represents the periphyton loss due to hydrological sheer stress. Another interesting  
659 feature of our models is that chironomids, ephemeropterans and shrimps do not have a fixed logistic term. This is  
660 more likely since they are dependent on the amount of algae or ephemeropterans and not dependent on an  
661 arbitrary maximum density. Algae population and total dry mass density are, however, most likely dependent on  
662 nutrients and hydrology respectively, and these could be understood as naturally determined limits.

663 The Type I exclusion simulation is in good agreement with both qualitative and quantitative  
664 experimental results. Simulation of chlorophyll *a*, total dry mass and ephemeropterans fitted well with  
665 experiment data (Fig. 5 and 6). However the high quantitative variability of the experimental results is probably  
666 the main reason for the poor simulation of chironomids in Type I exclusion conditions. Such high quantitative  
667 variability could be explained by a transient gradient expected to be observed under these circumstances.  
668 Hastings (2001) emphasizes that when nature is submitted to new conditions a transient dynamics is expected  
669 before a new community quantitative and qualitative composition stabilizes. Short term experiments are  
670 criticized because the observed results could change in a longer period of time. Modelling with field data can  
671 help simulating long term experiments and comparing the simulation with the short term experiment results. We  
672 did find differences between our observed results and the long term experiment simulated by our models, which  
673 implies a possible effect of short term experiments.

674 The simulation of Type II exclusion also approximated the dynamics of chlorophyll *a*, total dry mass and  
675 chironomids (Fig. 7 and 8). However these experiments registered an even larger amount of quantitative  
676 variability with experiments 1 and 3 showing high discrepancy between their chlorophyll *a* – total dry mass  
677 relationship. Such difference among experiments suggests that there are some relevant processes being  
678 overlooked by our experimental approach.

679 One of the most striking results of the modelling appeared when we simulated the extension in time of  
680 our experiments. Type I exclusion model predicts that the initial increase of chironomids and ephemeropterans  
681 stabilizes in much lower numbers compared to the amount of these insects in 30 days of experiment. Algae  
682 density never reaches zero and stabilizes at low numbers. Ephemeropterans stabilize at higher numbers than  
683 natural concentrations but chironomids are reduced in density compared to the natural condition (Fig. 9).

684 It is particularly interesting that the simulation of Type I exclusion predicts that chironomids should  
685 decrease. They are sessile animals and depend on periphyton as habitat as well as food. The model did not  
686 anticipate the decrease as an intrinsic property of the chironomid equation, since the equation is essentially the  
687 same as that of the highly mobile ephemeropterans, which increased. The model construction based on field data  
688 highlighted chironomid dependence on periphyton substrate (Souza *et al.* 2007).

689 Simulation allowed us to see further in time and, according to the model, it shows that results observed  
690 during the experiments are expected to change. Type II exclusion modelling extended in time was useful in  
691 suggesting where chironomid population density would stabilize, a prediction that could not be achieved solely  
692 by experiment observations (Fig. 11).

693 Time extension modelling also suggests that Total dry mass density under Type II exclusion is expected  
694 to change if the experiment could last longer. An increase is expected when the experiment is about 150 days of  
695 duration and then it turns to decrease again around 400 days of experiment (Fig. 10). The oscillation happens in  
696 consequence of the reduction of ephemeropterans which also reduce their grazing pressure on periphyton, thus  
697 allowing total dry mass to increase for some time. If such time extension could be observed in the field and  
698 nothing more than the community dynamics interfered in the system such increasing would imply a possible  
699 important element that had been overlooked.

700

## 701 CONCLUSIONS

702

703 Manipulation experiments are very appropriate for modelling purposes. Some considerations must be  
704 taken first relative to experimental design and sampling. Experimental design and sampling must fit the  
705 modelling needs to provide more adequate results for modelling. Nevertheless, even the less adequate  
706 experimental designs can always produce a qualitative model.

707 Our models did represent the system well in both qualitative and quantitative aspects. The experimental  
708 design showed some shortcomings that, allied with high natural variability, did take away some of the model's  
709 quantitative precision.

710 The modelling exercise helped to determine the most important interactions and their probable nature  
711 and discard the less important community interactions previously established in the first model for that  
712 community (Silveira & Moulton 2000). Such result offers a more clear and objective understanding of the  
713 community dynamics.

714 Interesting results came up, suggesting that densities of ephemeropterans and chironomids in Type I  
715 exclusion were expected to be lower, that chironomids density could be higher in Type II exclusions and Total  
716 dry mass could oscillate before stabilizing in Type II exclusions.

717 Modelling with field data predicts the biological need of chironomids for substrate fixation in Type I  
718 exclusion. The simulation of Type I exclusion extended in time shows their high dependence on substrate.

719 The models can be useful for any other circumstances where the population balance is not determined by  
720 birth and death but is determined by migration. Such conditions are common for field experiments as well as  
721 management programs.

722

## 723 REFERENCES

724

- 725 ABRAMS, P. 1993. Why predator rate should not be proportional to predator density. *Ecology*, 74 : 726-733.  
726 BEGON, M.; HARPER, J. L. & TOWNSEND, C. R. 1986. *Ecology: individuals, populations and communities*.  
727 Blackwell Scientific Publications. Oxford. 751 p  
728 BERRYMAN, A. A.; MICHALSKI, J.; GUTIERREZ, A. P. & ARDITI, R. 1995. Logistic theory of food web  
729 dynamics. *Ecology*, 76(2), 336-343.  
730 BILLICK, I. & CASE, T. J. 1994. Higher order interaction in ecological communities: what are they and how  
731 can they be detected? *Ecology*, 75 (6): 1529-1543.  
732 BRITO, E. F.; MOULTON, T. P.; SOUZA, M. L. DE; & BUNN, S. E. 2006. Stable isotope analysis indicates  
733 microalgae as the predominant food source of fauna in a coastal forest stream of Southeast Brazil. *Austral*  
734 *Ecology*, 31: 623-633.  
735 BROWN, G. C.; NORRIS, R. H.; MAHER, W. A. & THOMAS, K. 2000. Use of electricity to inhibit  
736 macroinvertebrate grazing of epilithon in experimental treatments in flowing waters. *Journal of the North*  
737 *American Benthological Society*, 19, 176-185.  
738 CARPENTER, S. R.; COTTINGHAM, K. L. & STOW, C. A. 1994. Fitting predator-prey models to time series  
739 with observation errors. *Ecology*, 75 (5): 1254-1264.  
740 CLOSS, G.; WATTERSON, G. A. & DONNELLY, P.J. 1993. Constant predator-prey ratios: an arithmetical  
741 artifact? *Ecology*, 74 (1): 238-248.  
742 CONROY, M. J.; COHEN, Y.; JAMES, F. C.; MATSINOS, Y. G. & MAURER, B. A. 1995. Parameter  
743 estimation, reliability, and model improvement for spatially explicit models of animal populations.  
744 *Ecological Applications*, 5 (1), 17-19.  
745 DENNIS, B.; DESHARNAIS, R. A.; CUSHING, J. M.; HENSON, S. M. & CONSTANTINO, R. F. 2001.  
746 Estimating chaos and complex dynamics in an insect population. *Ecology*, 71 (2): 277-303.  
747 DOWNES, B. J.; LAKE, P. S. & SCHREIBER, E. S. G. 1993. Spatial variation in the distribution of stream  
748 invertebrates: implications of patchiness for models of community organisation. *Freshwater Biology*, 30:  
749 119-132.  
750 HANNON, B. & RUTH, M. 2001. *Dynamic modeling*. 2° Edition. Springer-Verlag. New York. 440 p.  
751 HASTINGS, A. 2001 Transient dynamics and persistence of ecological systems. *Ecology Letters*, 4:215-220.  
752 HASTINGS, A.; HOM, C. L.; ELLNER, S.; TURCHIN, P. & GODFRAY, H. C. J. 1993. Chaos in ecology: Is  
753 Mother Nature a strange attractor? *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 24: 1-33.  
754 HOLT, R. D. 2000. Trophic cascades in terrestrial ecosystems. Reflections on Polis *et al.* *TREE*, 15 (11), 444-  
755 445.  
756 KNEIB, R. T. 1988. Testing for indirect effects of predation in an intertidal soft-bottom community. *Ecology*, 69  
757 (6), 1795-1805.  
758 KRSULOVIC, F.A. M. 2004. Avaliação de mecanismos de remoção de perifiton por ninfas de Ephemeroptera  
759 em um córrego, Ilha Grande, Brasil. MSc Thesis, Pós-graduação em Biologia, Universidade do Estado do  
760 Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro.

- 761 LAMBERTI, G. A.; GREGORY, S. V.; ASHKENAS, L. R.; STEINMAN, A. D. & MCINTIRE, D. 1989.  
762 Productive capacity of periphyton as a determinant of plant - herbivore interactions in streams. *Ecology*,  
763 70 (6), 1840-1856.
- 764 LASKA, S. M. & WOOTTON, J. T. 1998. Theoretical concepts and empirical approaches to measuring  
765 interaction strength. *Ecology*, 79 (2): 461-476.
- 766 LEIBOLD, M. A. 1996. A graphical model of keystone predators in food webs: Trophic regulation of  
767 abundance, incidence, and diversity patterns in communities. *The American Naturalist*, 147 (5): 784-812.
- 768 LODGE, D. M.; KERSHNER, M.W.; ALOI, J. E. & COVICH, A. P. 1994. Effects of an omnivorous crayfish  
769 (*Orconectes rusticus*) on a freshwater littoral food web. *Ecology*, 75, 1265-1281.
- 770 MAY, R. M. 1973. Stability and complexity in model ecosystems. Princeton University Press. New Jersey. 306  
771 p.
- 772 MATLAB 1991. MATLAB for Windows User's Guide, The Math Works Inc.
- 773 MCAULIFFE, J. R. 1984. Competition for space, disturbance, and the structure of a benthic stream community.  
774 *Ecology* 65: 894-908.
- 775 MCCANN, K. & YODZIS P. 1994. Biological conditions for chaos in a three-species food chain. *Ecology* 75  
776 (2): 561-564).
- 777 MOULTON, T. P.; SOUZA, M. L.; SILVEIRA, R. M. L.; KRSULOVIC, F. A. M. 2004 . Effects of  
778 ephemeropterans and shrimps on periphyton and sediments in a coastal stream (Atlantic Forest, Rio de  
779 Janeiro, Brazil). *Journal of North American Benthological Society*, v. 23, n. 4, p. 868-881.
- 780 MOULTON, T. P.; SOUZA, M. L.; SILVEIRA, R. M. L.; KRSULOVIC, F. A. M.; SILVEIRA, M. P.; ASSIS,  
781 J. C. F. & FRANSISCHETTI C. N. 2010. Patterns of periphyton are determined by cascading trophic  
782 relationships in two neotropical streams. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 61, 57-64
- 783 NISBET, R.M.; DIEHL, S.; WILSON, W.G.; COOPER, S.D.; DONALSON, D.D.; & KRATZ, K. 1997.  
784 Primary productivity gradients and short-term population dynamics in open systems, *Ecological*  
785 *Monograph* 67:535-553.
- 786 PACE, M. L.; COLE, J. J.; CARPENTER, S. R.; & KITCHELL, J. F. 1999. Trophic cascades revealed in  
787 diverse ecosystems. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 14, 483-489.
- 788 PIMM, S. L. 1982. Food webs. Chapman and Hall, New York. 268 p.
- 789 PIMM, S. L. 1991. The Balance of Nature? Ecological Issues in the Conservation of Species and Communities.  
790 Chicago.: The University Chicago Press. 452 p.
- 791 POWER, M. E. 1984. Depth distribution of armored catfish: predator-induced resource avoidance? *Ecology*, 65,  
792 523-528.
- 793 POWER, M. E. 1990. Resource enhancement by indirect effects of grazers: armored catfish, algae and sediment.  
794 *Ecology*, 71, 891-904.
- 795 POWER, M. E.; MATTHEWS, W. J. & STEWART, A. J. 1985. Grazing minnows, piscivorous bass, and stream  
796 algae: dynamics of a strong interaction. *Ecology*, 65, 1448-1456.
- 797 PRINGLE, C. M. & BLAKE, G. A. 1994. Quantitative effects of atyid shrimp (Decapoda: Atyidae) on the  
798 depositional environment in a tropical stream: use of electricity for experimental exclusion. *Canadian*  
799 *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 51, 1443-14450.
- 800 PRINGLE, C. M. & HAMAZAKI, T. 1998. The role of omnivory in a neotropical stream: separating diurnal and  
801 nocturnal effects. *Ecology*, 79(1), 269-280.
- 802 PRINGLE, C. M.; BLAKE, G. A.; COVICH, A. P.; BUZBY, K. M.; AND FINLEY, A. 1993. Effects of  
803 omnivorous shrimp in a montane tropical stream: Sediment removal, disturbance of sessile invertebrates  
804 and enhancement of understory algal biomass. *Oecologia*, 93, 1-11.
- 805 SB TECHNOLOGY. 1994. Model Maker Version 2.0. Cherwell Scientific publications, Oxford.
- 806 SILVEIRA, R. M. L. & MOULTON, T. P. 2000. Modelling the food web of a stream in Atlantic forest. *Acta*  
807 *Limnológica Brasiliensia*, 12 (1): 63-71 .
- 808 SILVEIRA, R. M. L. 2002. Modelo de matriz de comunidade da rede trófica de um córrego de Mata  
809 Atlântica. PhD Thesis, Pós-graduação em Biologia, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de  
810 Janeiro, 273p.
- 811 SOUZA, M. L. DE & MOULTON, T. P. 2005. The effects of shrimps on benthic material in a Brazilian island  
812 stream. *Freshwater Biology*, 50: 592-602.
- 813 SOUZA M.L.; MOULTON T.P.; SILVEIRA R.M.L.; KRSULOVIC F.A.M. & BRITO E.F. 2007. Responses of



814 Chironomidae (Díptera: Insecta) to exclusion of shrimps and Ephemeroptera in a coastal forest stream, Rio  
815 de Janeiro, Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Biology*, 67: 47-51.

816 STRONG, D. R. 1992. Are trophic cascades all wet? Differentiations and donor-control in speciose ecosystems.  
817 *Ecology*, 73 (3): 747-757.

818 VOLTERRA, V. 1937. Principes de biologie mathématique. *Acta Biotheorica*, 3 : 1-36.

819 WOOTTON, J. T. 1994. The nature and consequences of indirect effects in ecological communities. *Annual*  
820 *Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 25.

821 YODZIS, P. 1988. The indeterminacy of ecological interactions as perceived through perturbation experiments.  
822 *Ecology*, 69 (2), 508-515.

823

824 **Table 1.** Experiment characteristics. Shrimp exclusion = Type I exclusion and Shrimp exclusion and  
 825 Ephemeropterans inhibition = Type II exclusion.

Experiment	Date (month/year)	Exclusion type	Fauna affected	Experiment duration in days	Sampling days	Treatment dimensions
1	May, 1998	II	Shrimp and Ephemeropterans	13	0, 7, 9, 13	60 X 200 cm
2	July, 1999	I	Shrimp	19	0, 5, 12, 19	60 X 200 cm
3	October, 1999	II	Shrimp and Ephemeropterans	34	0, 6, 13, 34	60 X 200 cm
4	January, 2000	I	Shrimp	15	0, 6, 15	30 X 180 cm
5	January, 2000	II	Shrimp and Ephemeropterans	15	0, 6, 15	30 X 180 cm

826  
827

828 **Table 2.** Type I exclusion results. ↑ = increased density, ↓ decreased density and \* = p<0.05

Exclusion type	Total Dry mass	Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	Chironomid	Ephemeropteran	Shrimps
Exp. N° 2 Type I exclusion	↓*	↓	Did not change	↑*	↓*
Exp. N° 4 Type I exclusion	↓*	↓	Did not change	↑*	↓*

829  
830

831 **Table 3.** Type II exclusion results: ↑ = increased density, ↓ decreased density and \* = p<0.05

Exclusion type	Total Dry mass	Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	Chironomid	Ephemeropteran	Shrimps
Exp. N° 1 Type II exclusion	↑*	↑*	Did not change	Did not change	↓*
Exp. N° 3 Type II exclusion	↑*	↑	↑*	↑	↓*
Exp. N° 5 Type II exclusion	↑*	↓	↑*	↑	↓*

832

833

834 **Table 4.** Model parameters. Units for the ephemeropterans, chironomids and shrimps are based on density of  
 835 individuals. Algae and total dry mass units are in  $\mu\text{g}$  and g.  
 836


Relationship	Coefficient	Parameter
Total Dry Mass increase rate / day	$r_m$	1.95
Algae increase rate/ day	$r_a$	0.29
Ephemeropteran increase rate/ day	$r_e$	0.122
Chironomid increase rate/ day	$r_c$	0.087
Shrimp increase rate/ day	$r_s$	0.042
Ephemeropteran effect on Total Dry Mass	$e_m$	0.00046
Ephemeropteran effect on algae	$e_a$	0.00029
Shrimp effect on ephemeropterans	$s_e$	0.032
Shrimp self-interaction	$s_s$	0.066
Shrimp effect on chironomids	$s_c$	0.0031
Ephemeropteran self-interaction	$e_e$	0.000002
Chironomid self-interaction	$c_c$	0.000002
Total dry mass Logistic term	$K_m$	33.5 g
Algae Logistic term	$K_a$	1679 $\mu\text{g}$

837  
 838

839 **Table 5.** Eigenvalues analysis results  
840


Community condition	Eigenvalues
Natural	-0.2004
	-0.0434
	-0.2854
	-0.7582
	-1.4441
Type I exclusion	-0.9506
	-0.0063
	-0.2895
	-0.0174
Type II exclusion	-0.0582
	-0.0100
	-0.1260
	-0.2900

841  
842




844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851

Fig.1. Conceptual model of the community interaction for natural circumstances (A), Type I experiment conditions (B) and Type II experiment conditions (C). Arrows indicate interactions and thus can appear pointing both directions meaning positive (+) and negative (-) interactions. Total dry mass comprises organic and inorganic matter of the periphyton.




852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857

Fig. 2- Linear regression between chironomid variation and algae density for Type I and II exclusion experiments first sampling days.



859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864


Fig. 3- Linear regression between ephemeropteran variation and algae density for Type I exclusion experiments first sampling days.



865  
866  
867  
868  
869


Fig. 4- Linear regression between shrimp variation and chironomid density (A) and ephemeropteran density (B) for Type I and II exclusion experiments first sampling days.






870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875

Fig. 5- Comparison of field experimental data and model simulation for Type I exclusion. Chlorophyll a (A) and total dry mass (B).




876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882

Fig. 6 - Comparative results of field experiment data and model simulation. Ephemeropteran (A) and chironomid (B) dynamics during Type I exclusion experiments.




883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888

Fig. 7 - Comparative results of field experiments data and model simulation. Chlorophyll *a* increasing during Type II exclusion experiment (A) and Total dry mass response during Type II exclusion experiments (B).




889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894

Fig. 8 - Comparative results of field experiment data and model simulation. Chironomid response during Type II exclusion experiment.




895  
896  
897  
898  
899

Fig. 9 – Simulation of Type I exclusion modelling extended in time. The initial values for all components are considered those for community stable equilibrium in natural conditions.



900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905

Fig. 10 – Simulation of Type I exclusion modelling extended in time. The initial value for total dry mass is considered as the community stable equilibrium in natural conditions.



906 Fig. 11 – Simulation of Type II exclusion modelling extended in time. The initial value for chironomids is the  
 907 community stable equilibrium in natural conditions.  
 908  
 909  
 910