

PLURIPOLARITY OF GRAPHS OF DENJOY QUASIANALYTIC FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

S.A. Imomkulov, Z.Sh. Ibragimov

Abstract

In this paper we prove pluripolarity of graphs of Denjoy quasianalytic functions of several variables on the spanning set

$$T^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_1| = |z_2| = \dots = |z_n| = 1\}.$$

Quasianalytic functions have become the subject of many investigations in recent years due to their applications in pluripotential theory and multidimensional complex analysis. The graphs of quasianalytic functions are closely related to pluripolar sets, which are the main objects of pluripotential theory. Such relations were studied by several authors (e.g. J.E. Fornass, K. Diederich [1,2], N. Shcherbina [6], T. Edlund, B. Jorricke [4], D. Coman, N. Levenberg, E. Poletsky [5], A. Edigarian, J. Wiegerinck [3] and others).

It is easy to show that if $f \in A[a, b]$, then its graph

$$\Gamma_f = \{(x, f(x)) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : x \in [a, b]\}$$

is pluripolar set in \mathbb{C}^2 . In [1] J.E. Fornass and K. Diederich constructed an example of a C^∞ function f with nonpluripolar graph in \mathbb{C}^2 . Recently, D. Coman, N. Levenberg and E. Poletsky [5] have proved that the graph of Denjoy quasianalytic functions is pluripolar in \mathbb{C}^2 , i.e. if $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $T = \{|z| = 1\}$ Denjoy quasianalytic function, then its graph pluripolar in \mathbb{C}^2 .

In this work we consider Denjoy quasianalytic functions of several variables on the spanning set

$$T^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_1| = |z_2| = \dots = |z_n| = 1\}.$$

Let M_j be a sequence of positive numbers. We denote by $C_{M_j}(T^n)$ the class of infinity differentiable functions $f \in C^\infty(T^n)$ satisfying the condition

$$M_j(f) \leq R^j M_j,$$

where R depends on f . The class $C_{M_j}(T^n)$ is called the class of Denjoy quasianalytic functions if for any two functions $f, g \in C_{M_j}(T^n)$ the condition

$$f^{(\alpha)}(z^0) = g^{(\alpha)}(z^0), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,$$

at some point $z^0 \in T^n$ implies that

$$f(z) \equiv g(z).$$

Definition. A function $f \in C^\infty(T^n)$ is called Denjoy quasianalytic if the class $C_{M_j(f)}(T^n)$ is the class of Denjoy quasianalytic functions.

According to Carleman's theorem [7], a function $f \in C^\infty(T^n)$ is Denjoy quasianalytic if and only if

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\ln \tau_f(r)}{r^2} dr = -\infty,$$

where

$$\tau_f(r) = \inf_{j \geq 0} \frac{M_j(f)}{r^j}.$$

Let $f : T^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be infinity differentiable function with multiple Fourier series:

$$f(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_k e^{ik\theta}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n), \quad k_j \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n), \quad 0 \leq \theta_j \leq 2\pi,$$

$$j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad e^{ik\theta} = e^{ik_1\theta_1} e^{ik_2\theta_2} \dots e^{ik_n\theta_n}.$$

We consider L_2 - norm of partial derivatives:

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \dots \int_0^{2\pi} |\tilde{f}^{(\alpha)}(\theta)|^2 d\theta = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{k: k_p=0, \alpha_p \neq 0\}} k^{2\alpha} |c_k|^2 \leq M_j^2(f), \quad |\alpha| = j, \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$, $k^{2\alpha} = k_1^{2\alpha_1} k_2^{2\alpha_2} \dots k_n^{2\alpha_n}$; if $k_p = \alpha_p = 0$, we let $k_p^{2\alpha_p} = 1$, $1 \leq p \leq n$.

Theorem. *Let $f : T^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a Denjoy quasianalytic function. Then its graph Γ_f pluripolar in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .*

First, we will prove following proposition.

Proposition. *Let $f(z) \in C^\infty(T^n)$ be a function such that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \ln t_m = \infty, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\ln t_m = \inf \left\{ -\frac{\ln r^3 \tau_f(r)}{nr} : 1 \leq r \leq m \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Then its graph Γ_f - pluripolar.

Proof. Let $f(z) \in C^\infty(T^n)$ be infinity differentiable function with Fourier expansion

$$f(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} c_k e^{ik\theta}.$$

We consider the following rational function corresponding to Fourier expansion of f :

$$L_m(f; z) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} a_{m,r} z_1^{\beta_1 r} z_2^{\beta_2 r} \dots z_n^{\beta_n r}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5)$$

where

$$a_{m,r} = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} c_{\beta r + m\beta}, \quad (6)$$

$$\Lambda = \{\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n) : \beta_j = \pm 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

$$\beta r = (\beta_1 r, \beta_2 r, \dots, \beta_n r), \quad m\beta l = (m\beta_1 l_1, m\beta_2 l_2, \dots, m\beta_n l_n).$$

According to (2) we have the following estimates for the Fourier coefficients:

$$|c_k| \leq \frac{M_j(f)}{|k_1|^{\alpha_1} |k_2|^{\alpha_2} \dots |k_n|^{\alpha_n}}, \quad |k| \geq 1, \quad \alpha : |\alpha| = j \geq 2n. \quad (7)$$

$\alpha_p = 0$ if $k_p = 0$ and $\alpha_p \geq 2$ if $k_p \neq 0$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$; (if $k_p = \alpha_p = 0$, we let $|k_p|^{\alpha_p} = 1$).

According to (7) the series $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |c_k|$ is convergent and, consequently, the series (6) converges absolutely.

Now we will construct an interpolation trigonometric polynomial $\{L_m(f; z^0; z)\}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where $z^0 = e^{i\theta_0}$ some fixed point from T^n and $z = e^{i\theta}$ is an arbitrary point from T^n :

$$L_m(f; z^0; z) = L_m(f; z) + \frac{z_1^m + z_2^m + \dots + z_n^m - n}{(z_1^0)^m + (z_2^0)^m + \dots + (z_n^0)^m - n} (f(z^0) - L_m(f, z^0)).$$

Here

$$L_m(f; z^0; z^{(l)}) = f(z^{(l)}),$$

where

$$z^{(l)} = (e^{\frac{2\pi i l_1}{m}}, e^{\frac{2\pi i l_2}{m}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2\pi i l_n}{m}}),$$

$l \in J_m = \{l = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, 1 \leq l_p \leq m\}$. The number of such points on T^n are equal m^n . In case $(z_1^0)^m + (z_2^0)^m + \dots + (z_n^0)^m = n$, the value of $L_m(f; z^0; z)$ is defined as $L_m(f; z^0; z) = L_m(f; z)$. The function $L_m(f; z^0; z)$ interpolates f at the points $z^0, z^{(l)}, l \in J_m$.

Now we show that there exists a constant C_f , which depends on f , such that for each $m \geq 1$, $z^0 \in T^n$ and $t > 1$ we have

$$|L_m(f; z^0; z)| \leq C_f (1 + \sum_{r=1}^m r \tau_f(r) t^{nr}) \quad (8)$$

for all $z: \frac{1}{t} \leq |z_p| \leq t$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In fact, according to inequality (7) we have

$$|a_{m,r}| \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} |c_{\beta r + m\beta}| \leq 2^n M_j(f) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{1}{|r + ml_1|^{\alpha_1} |r + ml_2|^{\alpha_2} \dots |r + ml_n|^{\alpha_n}} \leq \frac{4^n M_j(f)}{r^j},$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) : |\alpha| = j \geq 2n$, $\alpha_p = 0$ if $r + ml_p = 0$ and $\alpha_p \geq 2$ if $k_p \neq 0$, $p = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $r + ml_p = \alpha_p = 0$, we let $|r + ml_p|^{\alpha_p} = 1$.

By the definition of $\tau_f(r)$ there is a number $r_0(f)$ such that for all $r > r_0(f)$ we have

$$\tau_f(r) = \min\{M_0(f), \frac{M_1(f)}{r}, \frac{M_2(f)}{r^2}, \dots, \frac{M_{2n}(f)}{r^{2n}}, \inf_{j \geq 2n+1} \frac{M_j(f)}{r^j}\} = \inf_{j \geq 2n+1} \frac{M_j(f)}{r^j}.$$

Hence there is a constant $C_1(f)$ such that $|a_{m,r}| \leq C_1(f)\tau_f(r)$ and

$$|L_m(f, z)| \leq C_1(f)(1 + \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} \tau_f(r)t^{nr}) \quad (9)$$

for all $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) : \frac{1}{t} \leq |z_p| \leq t, \quad p = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

Now we estimate following expression on T^n :

$$\frac{f(z^0) - L(f; z^0)}{(z_1^0)^m + (z_2^0)^m + \dots + (z_n^0)^m - n}.$$

It is easy to show that

$$\left| \frac{(z_1^0)^{m\beta_1 l_1} (z_2^0)^{m\beta_2 l_2} \dots (z_n^0)^{m\beta_n l_n} - 1}{(z_1^0)^m + (z_2^0)^m + \dots + (z_n^0)^m - n} \right| \leq |l|, \quad \forall z^0 \in T^n, \beta \in \Lambda, \forall l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n.$$

Moreover, the expansion (1) can be rewritten as follows:

$$f(z^0) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (c_k(z^0))^k = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} (c_{\beta r + m\beta e}(z^0))^{\beta r + m\beta e}.$$

From here, using (7) at $|\alpha| = j \geq 3n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{f(z^0) - L(f; z^0)}{(z_1^0)^m + (z_2^0)^m + \dots + (z_n^0)^m - n} \right| \leq \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} c_{\beta r + m\beta l} \frac{(z_1^0)^{\beta_1 r + m\beta_1 l} (z_2^0)^{\beta_2 r + m\beta_2 l} \dots (z_n^0)^{\beta_n r + m\beta_n l}}{(z_1^0)^m + (z_2^0)^m + \dots + (z_n^0)^m - n} \right| \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\beta \in \Lambda} |l| |c_{\beta r + m\beta e}| \leq 2^n M_j(f) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \frac{|l|}{|r + ml_1|^{\alpha_1} |r + ml_2|^{\alpha_2} \dots |r + ml_n|^{\alpha_n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\left| \frac{f(z^0) - L_m(f; z^0)}{(z_1^0)^m + (z_2^0)^m + \dots + (z_n^0)^m - n} \right| \leq 2^n \cdot m \cdot M_j(f) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{|l|}{m^j l^\alpha} \leq 4^n \cdot n \cdot m \frac{M_j(f)}{m^j}, \quad j \geq 3n,$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) : |\alpha| = j \geq 3n, \alpha_p = 0$ if $r + ml_p = 0$ and $\alpha_p \geq 3$ if $k_p \neq 0, p = 1, 2, \dots, n.$ If $r + ml_p = \alpha_p = 0$, we let

$$|r + ml_p|^{\alpha_p} = 1.$$

By the definition of $\tau_f(r)$ there is a number $m_0 = m_0(f)$ such that for any $m > m_0$ we have $\tau_f(m) = \inf_{j \geq 3n+1} \frac{M_j(f)}{m^j}.$

Consequently, at $z : |z_p| < t, (t > 1), p = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we obtain

$$\left| \frac{z_1^m + z_2^m + \dots + z_n^m - n}{(z_1^0)^m + (z_2^0)^m + \dots + (z_n^0)^m - n} (f(z^0) - L_m(f, z^0)) \right| \leq 2 \cdot 4^n \cdot n^2 \cdot m \tau_f(m) t^m, \quad m > m_0.$$

Hence there is some constant $C_2(f)$ such that

$$\left| \frac{z_1^m + z_2^m + \dots + z_n^m - n}{(z_1^0)^m + (z_2^0)^m + \dots + (z_n^0)^m - n} (f(z^0) - L_m(f, z^0)) \right| \leq C_2(f)(1 + m\tau_f(m)t^m),$$

$$\forall z^0 \in T^n, \forall z : |z_p| < t, p = \overline{1, n}, \forall m \geq 1 \quad (10)$$

From inequalities (9) and (10) we obtain inequality (8). Using formula (4) we define following sequence t_m :

$$t_m = \min\left\{\frac{1}{(r^3 \tau_f(r))^{1/nr}} : 1 \leq r \leq m\right\}.$$

Clearly, the sequence t_m is decreasing and according to (3) we also have $t_m > 1$ for all m . Moreover, $r^3 \tau_f(r) t_m^{nr} \leq 1$ for all $r \leq m$. If $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) : \frac{1}{t_m} \leq |z_p| \leq t_m, p = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then inequality (9) implies that

$$|L_m(f; z^0; z)| \leq C_f \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{r^3 \tau_f(r) t_m^{nr}}{r^2}\right) \leq C_f \left(1 + \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{1}{r^2}\right) \leq 3C_f.$$

Thus, we have defined a mapping

$$F_m(z) = (z, L_m(f; z^0; z))$$

in a domain

$$D(t_m) = \{z \in C^n : \frac{1}{t_m} \leq |z_p| \leq t_m, p = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

whose image (along with graph of function f) belongs to some ball $B(0, R_f)$ from C^{n+1} centered at the origin and with radius R_f for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $z^0 \in T^n$. Moreover it has following properties:

- 1) $F_m(z^{(l)}) = (z^{(l)}, f(z^{(l)})) \in \Gamma_f, z^{(l)} = (e^{\frac{2\pi i l_1}{m}}, e^{\frac{2\pi i l_2}{m}}, \dots, e^{\frac{2\pi i l_n}{m}}), l \in J_m;$
- 2) $F_m(z^0) = (z^0, f(z^0)) \in \Gamma_f, z^0 \in T^n.$

According to the hypothesis of the proposition we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m^{n+1}} \ln t_m = +\infty.$$

From the sequence $\frac{1}{m^{n+1}} \ln t_m$ we can choose a subsequence which tends to infinity. Without loss of generality we can assume that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m^{n+1}} \ln t_m = +\infty.$$

Next, we consider weighted multipole Green's functions $G_m(w)$ in a ball $B(0, 2R_f)$ with poles $w^{(l)} = (z^{(l)}, f(z^{(l)}))$, $l \in J_m$ and with weight $m^{-\frac{n}{n+1}}$ at each pole (see [8], [9]):

$$G_m(w) = \sup \left\{ u(w) \in psh(B(0, 2R_f)) : u(w) \leq 0, \right. \\ \left. u(w) - m^{-\frac{n}{n+1}} \ln |w - w^{(l)}| = O(1), w \rightarrow w^{(l)}, l \in J_m \right\}$$

and $(dd^c G_m(w))^{n+1} = 0$ on $B(0, 2R_f) \setminus \{w^{(l)}, l \in J_m\}$, $G_m(w) = 0$ on $\partial B(0, 2R_f)$, $G_m(w) - m^{-\frac{n}{n+1}} \ln |w - w^{(l)}| = O(1), w \rightarrow w^{(l)}, l \in J_m$. Moreover,

$$(dd^c G_m)^{n+1} = (2\pi)^{n+1} \sum_{l \in J_m} m^{-n} \delta_{w^{(l)}},$$

where $\delta_{w^{(l)}}$ is the Dirac mass at $w^{(l)}$. From here we obtain that

$$\int_{B(0, 2R_f)} (dd^c G_m(w))^{n+1} = (2\pi)^{n+1}.$$

We consider following plurisubharmonic function in a domain $D(t_m)$:

$$u_m(z) = G_m(F_m(z)),$$

which at a point $z^0 \in T^n$ takes on the value $G_m(w^0) = G_m(z^0, f(z^0))$ and at a point $z^{(l)}$, $l \in J_m$, has poles with weights $m^{-\frac{n}{n+1}}$.

Let $g(\lambda)$ be the Green's function on a ring

$$U_m = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{1}{t_m^m} < |\lambda| < t_m^m \right\}$$

which at a point $\lambda = 1$ has a pole with weight 1, such that

$$\sup_{|\lambda|=1} g(\lambda) \leq C_g \log t_m^m,$$

where C_g is some negative constant (existence of such a Green function is proved in [5]).

Let

$$V(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} g(z_j), \quad (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in D(t_m^m).$$

Then $V(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ is a maximal plurisubharmonic function with a single pole at a point $a = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in T^n$. The function $V(z_1^m, z_2^m, \dots, z_n^m)$ is also maximal plurisubharmonic function with poles at points $z^{(l)}$, $l \in J_m$. Then for all $z \in D(t_m)$ we have the inequality

$$u_m(z) \leq m^{-\frac{n}{n+1}} V(z_1^m, z_2^m, \dots, z_n^m).$$

We note that $t_m^m \rightarrow +\infty$ and, consequently, $t_m^m > 2$ for sufficiently large m . From here we obtain

$$G_m(z^0, f(z^0)) = u_m(z^0) \leq C \cdot m^{-\frac{n}{n+1}} \ln t_m^m = C \cdot m^{1-\frac{n}{n+1}} \ln t_m,$$

where $C < 0$. Thus,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} G_m(z^0, f(z^0)) = -\infty, \quad z^0 \in T^n.$$

That is, Γ_f is pluripolar, which completes the proof of the proposition.

Proof of the theorem. Let $f(z) \in C^\infty(T^n)$ be a Denjoy quasianalytic function. We note that the graph of the function f is pluripolar if and only if the graph of cf is pluripolar, where $c \neq 0$ is a constant. Multiplying f by a small constant we can assume that our smooth function f satisfies the inequality

$$M_3(f) < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{\tau}_f(r) = \inf_{s \geq 3} \frac{M_s(f)}{r^{s-3}} = \inf_{s \geq 0} \frac{M_{s+3}(f)}{r^s} < \frac{1}{2} \quad (11)$$

be the associated function for the shifted sequence $\{\tilde{M}_s\} = \{M_{s+3}(f)\}$. Setting

$$\ln \theta_f(m) = \min \left\{ -\frac{\ln \tilde{\tau}_f(r)}{nr} : 1 \leq r \leq m \right\}, \quad (12)$$

we obtain

$$\ln t_m \geq \ln \theta_f(m) > 0. \quad (13)$$

From the definition of $\tau_f(r)$ we have

$$r^3 \tau_f(r) = \min \left\{ M_0(f)r^3, M_1(f)r^2, M_2(f)r, \inf_{s \geq 3} \frac{M_s(f)}{r^{s-3}} \right\} = \tilde{\tau}_f(r)$$

for all $r > r_0(f) \geq 0$. We will show that

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \ln \theta_f(m) = \infty. \quad (14)$$

To show this we use the following lemma from [5].

Lemma. *Let $\tilde{h}(s) = h(e^s)$ - positive, increasing, convex function of variable s on $[0; \infty)$ and let*

$$\tilde{H}(x) = \min \left\{ \tilde{h}(s)e^{-s} : 0 \leq s \leq x \right\}.$$

If $\tilde{H}(x) \leq Ce^{-\alpha x}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, for all $x \geq 0$, then

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{h}(s)e^{-s} ds = \int_1^\infty \frac{h(t)}{t^2} dt < \infty.$$

Suppose that condition (14) does not hold, that is,

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} m^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \ln \theta_f(m) \leq C < \infty.$$

Let $h(t) = -\ln \tilde{\tau}_s(t)$ and $\tilde{h}(s) = h(e^s)$. We have

$$H(t) = \ln \theta_f(t) = \min \left\{ \frac{h(r)}{nr} : 1 \leq r \leq t \right\}.$$

It follows that $H(m) < C/m^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$ for each positive m . Since H is decreasing, we have $H(x) \leq 2C/x^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$ for all x . Computations yield

$$\tilde{H}(x) = \min \left\{ \tilde{h}(s)e^{-s} : 0 \leq s \leq x \right\} = H(e^x), \quad H(x) < 2Ce^{-\frac{x}{n+1}}, \quad \forall x \geq 0.$$

According to (12) we have $h(t) = -\ln \tilde{\tau}_s(t) > 0$ and using the lemma we have

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{h(t)}{t^2} dt < \infty.$$

On the other hand, we have $r^3 \tau_f(r) = \tilde{\tau}_f(r)$ for all $r > r_0(f) \geq 0$ and the function f is a Denjoy quasianalytic function. Then according to Carleman's theorem we have

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{-\ln \tilde{\tau}_f(r)}{r^2} dr = \int_1^\infty \frac{-3 \ln r}{r^2} dr + \int_1^\infty \frac{-\ln \tau_f(r)}{r^2} dr = +\infty,$$

which is a required contradiction. Therefore, the function f satisfies condition (14) and, consequently, it also satisfies condition (3). Thus, any Denjoy quasianalytic function satisfies the conditions of the proposition. It follows that Γ_f is pluripolar. The proof of the theorem is complete.

REFERENCES

1. Diederich K., Fornass J.E. A smooth curve in \mathbf{C}^2 which is not a pluripolar set. Duke Math. J. 1982, Volume 49, 931-936.
2. Diederich K., Fornass J.E. Smooth, but not complex-analytic pluripolar sets. Manuscript Math. 1982, Volume 37, 121-125.
3. Edigaryan A., Weegerinck J. Graphs that are not complete pluripolar. Proceedings of the AMS. Volume 131, 8, 2459-2465
4. Edlund T., Joricke B. The pluripolar hull of a graph and fine analytic continuation. Ark. Mat., Volume 44 (2006), 39–60.
5. Coman D., Levenberg N., Poletskiy E.A. Quasianalyticity and Pluripolarity. J. Amer. Math. Soc. Volume 18, (2005) 2, 9-16.
6. Shcherbina N. Pluripolar graphs are holomorphic// Acta Math., Volume 194 (2005), 203-216.
7. Mandelbrojt S. Quasianalytic class of functions. Moscow, 1937.
8. Demailly J.P. Mesures de Monge-Ampere et mesures plurisousharmoniques// Math. Z. Volume 194, (1987), 519-564.
9. Lelong P. Fonction de Green pluricomplexe et lemmes de Schwarz dans les espaces de Banach// J. Math. Pures Appl. Volume 68, (1989), 319-347.

Sevdiyov A. Imomkulov

Department of Mathematics and Physics,

Navoi State Pedagogical Institute (Uzbekistan)

e-mail: sevdi@rambler.ru

Zafar Sh. Ibragimov

Department of Mathematics and Physics,

Urgench State University (Uzbekistan)

e-mail: z.ibragim@gmail.com