

Two results on expected values of imbalance indices of phylogenetic trees

Arnau Mir and Francesc Rosselló

Research Institute of Health Science (IUNICS) and Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of the Balearic Islands, E-07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain
 {arnau.mir, cesc.rossello}@uib.es

Abstract. We compute an explicit formula for the expected value of the Colless index of a phylogenetic tree generated under the Yule model, and an explicit formula for the expected value of the Sackin index of a phylogenetic tree generated under the uniform model.

1 Introduction

A *phylogenetic tree* is a representation of the shared evolutionary history of a set of extant species. From the mathematical point of view, it is a leaf-labeled rooted tree, with its leaves representing the extant species under study, its internal nodes representing common ancestors of some of them, the root representing the most recent common ancestor of all of them, and the arcs representing direct descentance through mutations. In this paper we only consider *binary* phylogenetic trees, where every internal node has exactly two children.

There are several stochastic models of the evolutionary processes that produce phylogenetic trees. Two of the most popular are the Yule and the uniform models. In the *Yule*, or *Equal-Rate Markov*, model [8,23], starting with a node, at every step a leaf is chosen randomly and uniformly, and it is replaced by a *cherry* (a phylogenetic tree consisting only of a root and two leaves). Finally, the labels are assigned randomly and uniformly to the leaves once the desired number of leaves is reached. Under this model of evolution, different trees with the same number of leaves may have different probabilities. More specifically, if T is a binary phylogenetic tree with n leaves, and for every internal node z we denote by $\kappa_T(z)$ the number of its descendant leaves, then the probability of T under the Yule model is [4,21]

$$P_Y(T) = \frac{2^{n-1}}{n!} \prod_{v \text{ internal}} \frac{1}{\kappa_T(v) - 1}.$$

On the other hand, the main feature of the *uniform*, or *Proportional to Distinguishable Arrangements*, model [17] is that all phylogenetic trees with the same number of leaves have the same probability. From the point of view of tree growth, this corresponds to a process where, starting with a node labeled 1, at the k -th step a new pendant arc, ending in the leaf labeled $k + 1$, is added either to a new root

or to some edge (with all possible locations of this new pendant arc equiprobable). Notice that this is not an explicit model of evolution, only of tree growth.

The study of the probabilistic distributions of indices associated to phylogenetic trees under different stochastic models of phylogenetic tree growth has received a lot of interest in the last decades [12,19]. The ultimate goal of this line of research is to be able to take as null model some stochastic model of phylogenetic tree growth and evaluate against it the indices of a sample of phylogenetic trees reconstructed from data. Two of the most popular indices used in this connection, measuring the degree of symmetry, or *balance*, of a tree, are Sackin's [18] and Colless' [6] indices, which we define later in the main body of this paper, but there are many other measures associated to phylogenetic trees that have been used in this context, like for instance other imbalance indices [7, Chap. 33] or the number of cherries of trees [20].

Several properties of the distributions of Sackin's S and Colless' C indices have been studied in the literature under different models [3,9,13,14,15,16,21]. In particular, their expected values have been studied under the Yule and the uniform model. The results published so far on these expected values have been the following. Let S_n and C_n be the random variables defined by choosing a binary phylogenetic tree T with n leaves and computing $S(T)$ or $C(T)$, respectively. Then:

- Under the Yule model,
 - $E_Y(S_n) = 2n \sum_{j=2}^n 1/j$ [9].
 - $E_Y(C_n) = n \log(n) + (\gamma - 1 - \log(2))n + o(n)$ [3], where γ is the Euler constant.
- Under the uniform model,
 - $E_U(S_n) \sim \sqrt{\pi}n^{3/2}$ [22].
 - $E_U(C_n) \sim \sqrt{\pi}n^{3/2}$ [3].

And, for instance, these are the formulas used by the R package `apTreeshape` [1] to compute the expected value of these indices for a given number of leaves. Let us also mention that Rogers [15] found a recursive formula for the moment-generating functions of C and S , which allowed him to compute $E_Y(C_n)$ and $E_U(C_n)$ for $n = 1, \dots, 50$, but he did not obtain any explicit formula for them.

In this paper we obtain explicit formulas for $E_Y(C_n)$ and $E_U(S_n)$. Namely,

$$E_Y(C_n) = n \sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{1}{j} + \delta_{\text{odd}}(n),$$

where $\delta_{\text{odd}}(n) = 1$ if n is odd, and $\delta_{\text{odd}}(n) = 0$ if n is even, and

$$E_U(S_n) = \frac{n}{2n-3} {}_3F_2\left(\begin{matrix} 2, 2, 2-n \\ 1, 4-2n \end{matrix}; 2\right),$$

where ${}_3F_2$ is a *hypergeometric function* [2] that can be directly computed with many software systems, like Mathematica or R. These formulas thus contribute to our knowledge of the probability distributions of these indices, and yield precise values which can be used in tests.

2 Preliminaries and notations

In this paper, by a *phylogenetic tree* on a set S of taxa we mean a binary rooted tree with its leaves bijectively labeled in the set S . To simplify the language, we shall always identify a leaf of a phylogenetic tree with its label. We shall also use the term *phylogenetic tree with n leaves* to refer to a phylogenetic tree on the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. We shall denote by $L(T)$ the set of leaves of a phylogenetic tree T and by $V_{int}(T)$ its set of internal nodes.

Let $\mathcal{T}(S)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of phylogenetic trees on a set S of taxa, and set $\mathcal{T}_n = \mathcal{T}(\{1, \dots, n\})$. It is well known [7, Ch.3] that $|\mathcal{T}_1| = 1$ and, for every $n \geq 2$,

$$|\mathcal{T}_n| = (2n - 3)!! = (2n - 3)(2n - 5) \cdots 3 \cdot 1.$$

Whenever there exists a path from u to v in a phylogenetic tree T , we shall say that v is a *descendant* of u . The *cluster* of a node v in T is the set $C_T(v)$ of its descendant leaves, and we shall denote by $\kappa_T(v)$ the cardinal $|C_T(v)|$, that is, the number of descendant leaves of v . The *depth* $\delta_T(v)$ of a node v in a phylogenetic tree T is the length (number of arcs) of the unique path from the root r to v .

Given two phylogenetic trees T_1, T_2 on disjoint sets of taxa S_1, S_2 , respectively, we shall denote by $T_1 \hat{\ } T_2$ the tree on $S_1 \cup S_2$ obtained by connecting the roots of T_1 and T_2 to a (new) common parent r . Every tree in \mathcal{T}_n is obtained as $T_k \hat{\ } T_{n-k}$, for some subset $S_k \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ with k elements (with $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$), some tree T_k on S_k and some tree T_{n-k} on $S_k^c = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus S_k$: actually, if we perform in this order the choices necessary to produce a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ in this way, we obtain every tree in \mathcal{T}_n twice.

An *ordered m -forest* on a set S is an ordered sequence of m phylogenetic trees (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m) , each T_i on a set S_i of taxa, such that these sets S_i are pairwise disjoint and their union is S . Let $\mathcal{F}_{m,n}$ be the set of isomorphism classes of ordered m -forests on $\{1, \dots, n\}$. It is known (see, for instance, [11, Lem. 1]) that for every $n \geq m \geq 1$,

$$|\mathcal{F}_{m,n}| = \frac{(2n - m - 1)!m}{(n - m)!2^{n-m}}.$$

3 Expected value of the Colless index under the Yule model

Let T be a phylogenetic tree. For every $v \in V_{int}(T)$, the *balance value* of v is $bal_T(v) = |\kappa_T(v_1) - \kappa_T(v_2)|$, where v_1 and v_2 are its children. The *Colless index*

[6] of a phylogenetic tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ is

$$C(T) = \sum_{v \in V_{int}(T)} \text{bal}_T(v).$$

Lemma 1. *If $T_k \in \mathcal{T}_k$ and $T_{n-k} \in \mathcal{T}_{n-k}$, then*

$$(a) \ C(T_k \hat{\ } T_{n-k}) = C(T_k) + C(T_{n-k}) + |2n - k|$$

$$(b) \ P_Y(T_k \hat{\ } T_{n-k}) = \frac{2}{(n-1) \binom{n}{k}} P_Y(T_k) P_Y(T_{n-k})$$

where P_Y denotes the probability of a phylogenetic tree under the Yule model.

Proof. Assertion (a) is well known, and a direct consequence of the definition of C . Assertion (b) is a direct consequence of the explicit probabilities of T_k , T_{n-k} and $T_k \hat{\ } T_{n-k}$ under the Yule model, and the fact that $V_{int}(T_k \hat{\ } T_{n-k}) = V_{int}(T_k) \cup V_{int}(T_{n-k}) \cup \{r\}$, these unions being disjoint.

Lemma 2. *Let $I : \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{T}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping such that, for every phylogenetic trees T_1, T_2 on disjoint sets of taxa S_1, S_2 , respectively,*

$$I(T_1 \hat{\ } T_2) = I(T_1) + I(T_2) + f(|S_1|, |S_2|)$$

for some mapping $f : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For every $n \geq 1$, let I_n be the random variable that chooses a tree $T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and computes $I(T_n)$, and let $E_Y(I_n)$ be its expected value under the Yule model. Then,

$$E_Y(I_n) = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E_Y(I_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(k, n-k) \right)$$

Proof. We compute $E_Y(I_n)$ using its very definition and Lemma 1.(b):

$$\begin{aligned}
E_Y(I_n) &= \sum_{T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n} I(T_n) \cdot p_Y(T_n) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{S_k \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \\ |S_k|=k}} \sum_{T_k \in \mathcal{T}(S_k)} \sum_{T_{n-k} \in \mathcal{T}(S_k^c)} I(T_k \hat{\ } T_{n-k}) \cdot p_Y(T_k \hat{\ } T_{n-k}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{T_k \in \mathcal{T}_k} \sum_{T_{n-k} \in \mathcal{T}_{n-k}} (I(T_k) + I(T_{n-k}) \\
&\quad + f(k, n-k)) \cdot \frac{2}{(n-1) \binom{n}{k}} p_Y(T_k) p_Y(T_{n-k}) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{T_k} \sum_{T_{n-k}} (I(T_k) + I(T_{n-k}) + f(k, n-k)) p_Y(T_k) p_Y(T_{n-k}) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{T_k} \sum_{T_{n-k}} I(T_k) p_Y(T_k) p_Y(T_{n-k}) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{T_k} \sum_{T_{n-k}} I(T_{n-k}) p_Y(T_k) p_Y(T_{n-k}) \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{T_k} \sum_{T_{n-k}} f(k, n-k) p_Y(T_k) p_Y(T_{n-k}) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{T_k} I(T_k) p_Y(T_k) + \sum_{T_{n-k}} I(T_{n-k}) p_Y(T_{n-k}) + f(k, n-k) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (E_Y(I_k) + E_Y(I_{n-k}) + f(k, n-k)) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E_Y(I_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f(k, n-k) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

as we claimed.

Mappings I satisfying the hypothesis in the previous lemma are a special case of *binary recursive tree shape statistics* in the sense of [10].

Theorem 1. *Let C_n be the random variable that chooses a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and computes its Colless index $C(T_n)$. Its expected value under the Yule model is*

$$E_Y(C_n) = n \sum_{j=2}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{1}{j} + \delta_{\text{odd}}(n),$$

where $\delta_{\text{odd}}(n) = 1$ if n is odd, and $\delta_{\text{odd}}(n) = 0$ if n is even.

Proof. To simplify the notations, we shall denote $E_Y(C_n)$ simply by E_n . By Lemmas 1.(a) and 2,

$$E_n = \frac{1}{n-1} \left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E_k + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |n-2k| \right).$$

Now a simple computation shows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} |n-2k| = \begin{cases} \frac{n(n-2)}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{(n-1)^2}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

and therefore

$$E_n = \frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E_k + \begin{cases} \frac{n(n-2)}{2(n-1)} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{n-1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

In order to obtain a recurrence of order one from this expression, we distinguish the case when n is even from the case when n is odd.

– When n is even

$$E_n = \frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E_k + \frac{n(n-2)}{2(n-1)}, \quad E_{n-1} = \frac{2}{n-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} E_k + \frac{n-2}{2}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= \frac{2}{n-1} E_{n-1} + \frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} E_k + \frac{n(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \\ &= \frac{2}{n-1} E_{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \cdot \frac{2}{n-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} E_k + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \cdot \frac{n-2}{2} + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{2}{n-1} E_{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-1} E_{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \\ &= \frac{n}{n-1} E_{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

– When n is odd

$$E_n = \frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} E_k + \frac{n-1}{2}, \quad E_{n-1} = \frac{2}{n-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} E_k + \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{2(n-2)}$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
E_n &= \frac{2}{n-1}E_{n-1} + \frac{2}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} E_k + \frac{n-1}{2} \\
&= \frac{2}{n-1}E_{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \cdot \frac{2}{n-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} E_k + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \cdot \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{2(n-2)} + 1 \\
&= \frac{2}{n-1}E_{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-1}E_{n-1} + 1 \\
&= \frac{n}{n-1}E_{n-1} + 1
\end{aligned}$$

So, in summary,

$$E_n = \frac{n}{n-1}E_{n-1} + \begin{cases} \frac{n-2}{n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

In particular, if n is even,

$$\begin{aligned}
E_n &= \frac{n}{n-1}E_{n-1} + \frac{n-2}{n-1} = \frac{n}{n-1} \left(\frac{n-1}{n-2}E_{n-2} + 1 \right) + \frac{n-2}{n-1} \\
&= \frac{n}{n-2}E_{n-2} + 2
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $x_n = E_n/n$, this equation becomes

$$x_n = x_{n-2} + \frac{2}{n}$$

whose solution (for even numbered terms) with $x_2 = E_2/2 = 0$ is

$$x_n = \sum_{i=2}^{n/2} \frac{1}{i}.$$

Therefore, when n is even,

$$E_n = n \sum_{i=2}^{n/2} \frac{1}{i},$$

and when n is odd,

$$E_n = \frac{n}{n-1}E_{n-1} + 1 = \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot (n-1) \sum_{i=2}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{1}{i} + 1 = 1 + n \sum_{i=2}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{1}{i}$$

as we claimed.

4 Expected value of the Sackin index under the uniform model

The *Sackin index* [18] of a phylogenetic tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ is defined as the sum of the depths of its leaves:

$$S(T) = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_T(i).$$

Alternatively,

$$S(T) = \sum_{v \in V_{int}(T)} \kappa_T(v).$$

Let S_n be the random variable that chooses a tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ and computes its Sackin index $S(T)$. Since, under the uniform model, all trees in \mathcal{T}_n have probability $1/((2n-3)!!)$, the expected value of S_n under the uniform model is

$$\frac{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} S(T)}{(2n-3)!!}.$$

So, we need to compute the numerator in this fraction. Now, for every $k = 1, \dots, n-1$, let

$$c_{k,n} = |\{T \in \mathcal{T}_n \mid \delta_T(1) = k\}|.$$

Lemma 3. For every $n \geq 3$, $\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} S(T) = n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \cdot c_{k,n}$

Proof. Notice that, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$|\{T \in \mathcal{T}_n \mid \delta_T(i) = k\}| = |\{T \in \mathcal{T}_n \mid \delta_T(1) = k\}|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} S(T) &= \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_T(i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} \delta_T(i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \cdot |\{T \in \mathcal{T}_n \mid \delta_T(i) = k\}| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \cdot |\{T \in \mathcal{T}_n \mid \delta_T(1) = k\}| = n \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \cdot c_{k,n} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4. For every $n \geq 2$ and $k = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$c_{k,n} = \frac{(2n-k-3)!k}{(n-k-1)!2^{n-k-1}}.$$

Proof. To compute $c_{k,n}$ for $k \geq 1$, notice that every tree $T \in \mathcal{T}_n$ such that $\delta(1) = k$ will have the form described in Fig. 1. Therefore, it is determined by the ordered k -forest T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k on $\{2, \dots, n\}$, and thus

$$c_{k,n} = |\mathcal{F}_{k,n-1}| = \frac{(2n-k-3)!k}{(n-k-1)!2^{n-k-1}}.$$




Fig. 1. The structure of a tree T with $\delta_T(1) = k$.

Now, recall that the (*generalized*) *hypergeometric function* ${}_pF_q$ is defined [2] as

$${}_pF_q \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, \dots, b_q \end{matrix}; z \right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a_1)_k \cdots (a_p)_k}{(b_1)_k \cdots (b_q)_k} \cdot \frac{z^k}{k!},$$

where $(a)_k := a \cdot (a + 1) \cdots (a + k - 1)$. Many popular software systems, like Mathematica or R, have implementations of these functions.

Theorem 2. *The expected value of the random variable S_n under the uniform model is*

$$E_U(S_n) = \frac{n}{2n-3} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 2, 2, 2-n \\ 1, 4-2n \end{matrix}; 2 \right)$$

Proof. As we have already mentioned,

$$E_U(S_n) = \frac{\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_n} S(T)}{(2n-3)!!} = \frac{n}{(2n-3)!!} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k \cdot c_{k,n} = \frac{n}{(2n-3)!!} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(2n-k-3)! k^2}{(n-k-1)! 2^{n-k-1}}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{nk^2(2n-k-3)!}{(2n-3)!!(n-k-1)!2^{n-k-1}} &= \frac{nk^2(2n-k-3)!2^{n-2}(n-2)!}{(2n-3)!(n-k-1)!2^{n-k-1}} \\ &= \frac{nk^2(2n-k-3)!2^{n-2}(n-2)!k!}{(2n-3)!(n-k-1)!2^{n-k-1}k!} \\ &= \frac{nk^2 2^{k-1} \binom{n-1}{k}}{(n-1) \binom{2n-3}{k}} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
E_U(S_n) &= \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^2 2^{k-1} \cdot \frac{\binom{n-1}{k}}{\binom{2n-3}{k}} \\
&= \frac{n}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k^2 2^{k-1} (n-1)(n-2)(n-3) \cdots (n-k)}{(2n-3)(2n-4)(2n-5) \cdots (2n-k-2)} \\
&= \frac{n}{2n-3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k^2 2^{k-1} (n-2)(n-3) \cdots (n-k)}{(2n-4)(2n-5) \cdots (2n-k-2)} \\
&= \frac{n}{2n-3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k^2 2^{k-1} (2-n)(2-n+1) \cdots (-n+k)}{(4-2n)(4-2n+1) \cdots (2-2n+k)} \\
&= \frac{n}{2n-3} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{(k+1)^2 2^k (2-n)(2-n+1) \cdots (1-n+k)}{(4-2n)(4-2n+1) \cdots (3-2n+k)} \\
&= \frac{n}{2n-3} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{((k+1)!)^2 (2-n)(2-n+1) \cdots (1-n+k) \cdot 2^k}{(k!)^2 (4-2n)(4-2n+1) \cdots (3-2n+k)} \\
&= \frac{n}{2n-3} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(2)_k (2)_k (2-n)_k}{(1)_k ((4-2n)_k)} \cdot \frac{2^k}{k!} = \frac{n}{2n-3} {}_3F_2 \left(\begin{matrix} 2, 2, 2-n \\ 1, 4-2n \end{matrix}; 2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

as we claimed.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we have obtained explicit formulas for the expected value of the Sackin index under the uniform model and the Colless index under the Yule model. These results add up to the already known expected value of the Sackin index under the Yule model [9]. For any n , these expected values are easily computed directly using for instance the software system R, and can be used instead of their estimations in packages like apTreeshape [1] or SymmeTREE [5].

It remains open the problem of finding an explicit formula for the expected value of the Colless index under the uniform model.

Acknowledgements. The research reported in this paper has been partially supported by the Spanish government and the UE FEDER program, through projects MTM2009-07165 and TIN2008-04487-E/TIN. We thank G. Cardona and M. Llabrés for several comments on this work.

References

1. N. Bortolussi, E. Durand, M. Blum, O. François, apTreeshape: statistical analysis of phylogenetic tree shape. *Bioinformatics* 22 (2006), 363–364.
2. W.N. Bayley, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 32, Stechert-Hafner Service Inc. (1964).

3. M. G. B. Blum, O. François, S. Janson, The mean, variance and limiting distribution of two statistics sensitive to phylogenetic tree balance. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 16 (2006), 2195–2214.
4. J. Brown, Probabilities of evolutionary trees. *Syst. Biol.* 43 (1994), 78–91.
5. K. M. Chan, B. R. Moore, SymmeTREE: Whole-tree analysis of differential diversification rates. *Bioinformatics* 21 (2005), 1709–1710.
6. D. H. Colless, Review of “Phylogenetics: the theory and practice of phylogenetic systematics”. *Sys. Zool.* 31 (1982), 100–104.
7. J. Felsenstein, *Inferring Phylogenies*. Sinauer Associates Inc., 2004.
8. E. Harding, The probabilities of rooted tree-shapes generated by random bifurcation. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* 3 (1971), 44–77.
9. M. Kirkpatrick, M. Slatkin, Searching for evolutionary patterns in the shape of a phylogenetic tree. *Evolution* 47 (1993), 1171–1181.
10. F. Matsen, Optimization Over a Class of Tree Shape Statistics. *IEEE/ACM Trans. Comput. Biol. Bioinf.* 4 (2007), 506–512.
11. A. Mir, F. Rosselló, The mean value of the squared path-difference distance for rooted phylogenetic trees. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 371 (2010), 168–176.
12. A. Mooers, S. B. Heard, Inferring evolutionary process from phylogenetic tree shape. *Quart. Rev. Biol.* 72 (1997) 31–54.
13. W. H. Mulder, Probability distributions of ancestries and genealogical distances on stochastically generated rooted binary trees. *J. Theor. Biol.* 280 (2011), 139–145.
14. J. S. Rogers, Response of tree imbalance to number of terminal taxa. *Sys. Biol.* 42 (1993), 102–105.
15. J. S. Rogers, Central moments and probability distributions of Colless’s coefficient of tree imbalance. *Evolution* 48 (1994), 2026–2036.
16. J. S. Rogers, Central moments and probability distributions of three measures of phylogenetic tree imbalance, *Sys. Biol.* 45 (1996), 99–110.
17. D. E. Rosen, Vicariant Patterns and Historical Explanation in Biogeography. *Syst. Biol.* 27 (1978), 159–188.
18. M. J. Sackin, “Good” and “bad” phenograms. *Sys. Zool.* 21 (1972), 225–226.
19. K.T. Shao, R. Sokal, Tree balance. *Sys. Zool.* 39 (1990), 226–276.
20. M. Steel, A. McKenzie, Distributions of cherries for two models of trees. *Math. Biosc.* 164 (2000), 81–92.
21. M. Steel, A. McKenzie, Properties of phylogenetic trees generated by Yule-type speciation models. *Math. Biosc.* 170 (2001), 91–112.
22. L. Takács, A Bernoulli Excursion and Its Various Applications. *Adv. Appl. Prob.* 23 (1991), 557–585.
23. G. U. Yule, A mathematical theory of evolution based on the conclusions of Dr J. C. Willis. *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. (London) Series B* 213 (1924), 21–87.