ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY FOR A CLASS OF MARKOV SEMIGROUPS

BEBE PRUNARU

ABSTRACT. Let $U \subset K$ be an open and dense subset of a compact metric space and let $\{\Phi_t\}_{t>0}$ be a Markov semigroup on the space of bounded Borel measurable functions on U with the strong Feller property. Suppose that for each $x \in \partial U$ there exists a barrier $h \in C(K)$ at x such that $\Phi_t(h) \geq h$ for all $t \geq 0$. Suppose also that every real-valued $g \in C(K)$ with $\Phi_t(g) \geq g$ for all $t \geq 0$ and which attains its global maximum at a point inside U is constant. Then for each $f \in C(K)$ there exists the uniform limit $F = \lim_{t \to \infty} \Phi_t(f)$. Moreover F is continuous on K, agrees with f on ∂U and $\Phi_t(F) = F$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Let E be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let $M_b(E)$ be the space of all complex-valued, bounded and Borel measurable functions on E. Let also $C_b(E)$ be the set of all continuous functions in $M_b(E)$.

A linear map $\Phi: M_b(E) \to M_b(E)$ is called a Markov operator if for each $x \in E$ there exists a probability Borel measure μ_x on E such that

$$
\Phi(f)(x) = \int f d\mu_x \quad \forall f \in M_b(E).
$$

A Markov operator Φ is said to have the strong Feller property if $\Phi(f) \in C_b(E)$ for every $f \in M_b(E)$. The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1. Let K be a compact metric space and let $U \subset K$ be a dense open subset *such that* ∂U *contains at least two points. Let* C(K) *be the space of all continuous complex-valued functions on* K *and let* $C(\partial U)$ *be the corresponding space for* ∂U *.*

Let $\Phi : M_b(U) \to M_b(U)$ be a Markov operator with the strong Feller property. *Suppose that* Φ *satisfies the following conditions:*

- (A) For each point $x \in \partial U$ there exists $h \in C(K)$ such that $h(x) = 0$, $h(y) < 0$ *for all* $y \in K \setminus \{x\}$ *and* $\Phi(h_U) \geq h_U$ *on* U, where h_U *is the restriction of* h *to* U*;*
- (B) If $g \in C(K)$ *is a real valued function with* $\Phi(g_U) \geq g_U$ *and if there exists* $z \in U$ such that $g(z) = \max\{g(x) : x \in K\}$ then g is constant on K.

Then, for each $f \in C(\partial U)$ *there exists a unique function* $G \in C(K)$ *such that* $\Phi(G_U) = G_U$ and $G(x) = f(x)$ for all $x \in \partial U$. Moreover, if $F \in C(K)$ is an *arbitrary continuous extension of* f *to* K *then the sequence* $\{\Phi^n(F_U)\}_n$ *converges uniformly on* U *to* G_U *.*

Proof. (1) First of all, it can be proved, exactly as in Proposition 1.3 from [\[1\]](#page-3-0), that the boundary condition (A) implies the following. For each $f \in C(K)$ and for each

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 47D07, Secondary: 60J05, 60J25, 37A30, 47A35.

Key words and phrases. Markov semigroup, boundary value problem, asymptotic stability.

 $x ∈ ∂U$

$$
\lim_{y \to x} \sup_{n \ge 1} |(\Phi^n(f_U))(y) - f(x)| = 0.
$$

This enables us to define a map

 $\Psi: C(K) \to C(K)$

by setting, for each $f \in C(K)$, $\Psi(f)(x) = \Phi(f_U)(x)$ for $x \in U$ and $\Psi(f)(x) = f(x)$ for $x \in \partial U$.

(2) We show that if $f \in C(K)$ is a real-valued function such that $\Phi(f_U) \geq f_U$ on U, then the sequence $\{\Psi^n(f)\}\$ converges uniformly on K. Indeed, this sequence is monotone increasing and if g is its pointwise limit then g is Borel measurable and $\Phi(g_U) = g_U$. Since Φ has the strong Feller property, it follows that g_U is continuous on U . Moreover (1) implies that

$$
\lim_{y \to x} g(y) = g(x)
$$

for every $x \in \partial U$. This shows that $g \in C(K)$ and Dini's theorem shows that the convergence is uniform on K.

(3) Let

$$
\mathcal{T}(\Psi) = \{ h \in C(K) : \Psi(h) = h \}
$$

and let A be the norm closed subalgebra of $C(K)$ generated by $\mathcal{T}(\Psi)$. Let $C(\Psi)$ be the set of all $f \in C(K)$ for which the sequence $\{\Psi^n(f)\}\$ is uniformly convergent on K and denote $\pi(f)$ its limit. Let also

$$
C(\Psi)_0 = \{ f \in C(\Psi) : \pi(f) = 0 \}.
$$

We will show that $A \subset C(\Psi)$.

Let $h \in \mathcal{T}(\Psi)$. Then $\Psi(|h|^2) \geq |h|^2$ therefore (2) shows that $|h|^2 \in C(\Psi)$ and also that $\pi(|h|^2) - |h|^2 \ge 0$. This also shows that the norm closed ideal of $C(K)$ generated by all the functions of the form $\pi(|h|^2) - |h|^2$ with $h \in \mathcal{T}(\Psi)$ is contained in $C(\Psi)_0$. Indeed it is easy to see that if $f \in C(\Psi)_0$ is non-negative on K then $fg \in C(\Psi)$ for every $g \in C(K)$.

We shall now prove that for any finite set of k functions from $\mathcal{T}(\Psi)$ their product belongs to $C(\Psi)$. Let $k = 2$. If $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{T}(\Psi)$ then

$$
h_1 h_2 = (1/4) \sum_{m=0}^{3} i^m |g_m|^2
$$

where $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and $g_m = (h_1 + i^m \bar{h_2})$. Since $g_m \in \mathcal{T}(\Psi)$ we see that $h_1 h_2 \in C(\Psi)$.

Let $k \geq 3$ and assume that every product of at most $k - 1$ elements from $\mathcal{T}(\Psi)$ belongs to $C(\Psi)$. Let h_1, \ldots, h_k in $\mathcal{T}(\Psi)$ and let $g = h_1 \cdots h_k$. Then

$$
g=(h_1h_2-\pi(h_1h_2))\cdot h_3\cdot\cdots\cdot h_k+\pi(h_1h_2)\cdot h_3\cdot\cdots\cdot h_k.
$$

By what we have already proved the first summand belongs to $C(\Psi)$ and the second belongs, by the induction hypothesis, to $C(\Psi)$. This shows that $A \subset C(\Psi)$.

(4) Consider the map

$$
\rho: A \to C(\partial U)
$$

that takes any $f \in A$ into its restriction to ∂U . It turns out that ρ is onto. To see this, we first observe that the boundary condition (A) together with (2) implies that for each $x \in \partial U$ there exists $g \in C(K)$ such that $g(x) = 0$, $g(y) < 0$ for every $y \in \partial U \setminus \{x\}$ and $\Phi(g_U) = g_U$. In particular $g \in \mathcal{T}(\Psi)$. This shows that the range

of ρ separates the points of ∂U therefore ρ is onto. This proves the existence part of the theorem. Uniqueness follows easily from (B).

(5) We shall denote

$$
\theta: C(K) \to C(K)
$$

the map which takes a function $f \in C(K)$ into the uniquely determined function in $\mathcal{T}(\Psi)$ which agrees with f on ∂U . It follows that for each $f \in C(\Psi)$ we have $\theta(f) = \pi(f)$. In particular, $\theta(f) = f$ for every $f \in \mathcal{T}(\Psi)$. Let

$$
L = \{ g \in C(K) : g = \pi(|h|^2) - |h|^2 \text{ for some } h \in \mathcal{T}(\Psi) \}.
$$

If $g \in L$, then it follows from (3) that $g \geq 0$. Moreover $\Psi(g) \leq g$ hence $\Phi(g_U) \leq \Psi(g_U)$ g_U .

Suppose now that there exists a point $z_0 \in U$ such that $g(z_0) = 0$ for every $g \in L$. It then follows from (B) that $g = 0$ on U hence on K for every $g \in L$. This easily implies that $\mathcal{T}(\Psi)$ is closed under multiplication hence it equals A. Indeed if h_1 and h_2 are functions in $\mathcal{T}(\Psi)$ then $\pi(h_1h_2) - h_1h_2$ can be written as a linear combination of elements from L (see step 3). It then follows that $\pi(h_1h_2) = h_1h_2$ therefore $\mathcal{T}(\Psi) = A$.

This shows that the map $\theta: C(K) \to C(K)$ defined above is multiplicative on $C(K)$ and its range equals A. Let M be the maximal ideal space of A and for each $h \in A$ let $h \in C(M)$ be its Gelfand transform. Since A is self-adjoint, the Gelfand transform is an isometric isomorphism from A onto $C(M)$ (the Banach algebra of all continuous, complex-valued functions on M). It then follows that there exists a continuous one-to-one map $\gamma : M \to K$ such that $\theta(f) = f \circ \gamma$ for every $f \in C(K)$. Moreover, since $A \subset C(K)$ there exists a continuous surjective map $\lambda : K \to M$ such that $h = h \circ \lambda$ for every $h \in A$.

We now claim that $\gamma(M) \subset \partial U$. Suppose there exists $\alpha \in M$ such that $z =$ $\gamma(\alpha) \in U$. Then there exists a non-constant real valued function $h \in A$ such that

$$
\hat{h}(\alpha) = \sup\{h(x) : x \in K\}.
$$

Every such h attains its maximum only on ∂U . However

$$
\hat{h}(\alpha) = h(\gamma(\alpha)) = h(z)
$$

This shows that $\gamma(M) \subset \partial U$.

Let $z \in U$ and let $x = \gamma \circ \lambda(z)$. Then $x \in \partial U$. Let $h \in \mathcal{T}(\Psi)$ which attains its maximum on K only at this point. Then

$$
h(x) = (h \circ \gamma \circ \lambda)(z) = (\hat{h} \circ \lambda)(z) = h(z).
$$

We get a contradiction. This means that there is no $z_0 \in U$ such that $g(z_0) = 0$ for all $q \in L$.

(6) It follows from (5) that

 $\partial U = \{x \in K : g(x) = 0 \text{ for every } g \in L\}.$

It then follows that the closed ideal of $C(K)$ generated by L is precisely the ideal of all $f \in C(K)$ which vanish identically on all points of ∂U . Recall now that we already proved in part 3 that for any g in the closed ideal generated by L the sequence $\{\Psi^n(g)\}\$ converges uniformly to 0. In particular, this holds true for all functions g of the form $g = f - \theta(f)$ with $f \in C(K)$. This completes the proof of this theorem.

4 BEBE PRUNARU

This proof works as well for Markov semigroups with continuous parameter. Examples of Markov operators on complex domains which satisfy all the conditions in Theorem [1](#page-0-0) are given in [\[1\]](#page-3-0). As a matter of fact, the results and the methods used in [\[1\]](#page-3-0) strongly motivated and inspired our research. We have also used some ideas appearing in [\[3\]](#page-3-1). Theorem [1](#page-0-0) can be used to give an alternate proof for the main result in [\[2\]](#page-3-2).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Arazy and M. Englis, *Iterates and the boundary behavior of the Berezin transform*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 51 (2001) 1101-1133.
- [2] C. Liu, Iterates of a Berezin-type transform, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 329 (2007) 822-829.
- [3] B. Prunaru, Lifting fixed points of completely positive semigroups, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, to appear.

Institute of Mathematics "Simion Stoilow" of the Romanian Academy, P.O. Box 1- 764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: Bebe.Prunaru@imar.ro