

MULTI-VALUED, SINGULAR STOCHASTIC EVOLUTION INCLUSIONS

BENJAMIN GESS AND JONAS M. TÖLLE

*Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin (MA 7-5)
Straße des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Germany*

ABSTRACT. We provide an abstract variational existence and uniqueness result for multi-valued, monotone, non-coercive stochastic evolution inclusions in Hilbert spaces with general additive and Wiener multiplicative noise. As examples we discuss certain singular diffusion equations such as the stochastic 1-Laplacian evolution (total variation flow) in all space dimensions and the stochastic singular fast diffusion equation. In case of additive Wiener noise we prove the existence of a unique weak-* mean ergodic invariant measure.

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the following evolution inclusion in a separable Hilbert space H

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t + A(t, X_t) dt &\ni dg_t, \quad t > 0, \\ X_0 &= x. \end{aligned}$$

Here A is (among other assumptions) required to be a possibly multi-valued, singular, maximal monotone operator and g is a càdlàg path in H . The meaning of the expression dg_t will be specified below.

In particular, we are interested in stochastic evolution inclusions of the type

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t + A(t, X_t) dt &\ni dN_t, \quad t > 0, \\ X_0 &= x, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{N_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a càdlàg, adapted H -valued stochastic process on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ and in inclusions of the form

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t + A(t, X_t) dt &\ni B_t(X_t) dW_t, \quad t > 0, \\ X_0 &= x, \end{aligned}$$

where for some separable Hilbert space U , $B : [0, T] \times \Omega \times S \rightarrow L_2(U; H)$ takes values in the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from U to H and $\{W_t\}$ is a cylindrical Wiener process.

E-mail address: gess@math.tu-berlin.de, jonasmtolle@gmail.com.

Date: May 31, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47D07, 60H15; 35R60.

Key words and phrases. singular stochastic evolution equation, singular diffusion equation, total variation flow, logarithmic diffusion, plasma diffusion, fast diffusion equation, p -Laplacian equation, curve shortening flow, stochastic multi-valued evolution inclusion, ergodic semigroup, pathwise solutions.

Acknowledgements: Financial support of the German Science Foundation (DFG), in particular of the IRTG 1132 “Stochastics and Real World Models”, Beijing–Bielefeld and the Forschergruppe 718 “Analysis and Stochastics in Complex Physical Systems”, Berlin–Leipzig is gratefully acknowledged.

The authors would like to thank Max von Renesse for inspiring discussions.

We prove the unique existence of solutions to (1.1)–(1.3) as well as the unique existence of a weak-* mean ergodic invariant measure for (1.3) with additive noise, i.e. with $B_t(x) \equiv B_t$ independent of x .

We now comment on the main mathematical difficulties arising for singular evolution inclusions of type (1.1)–(1.3). The standard variational approach to (S)PDE of type (1.3) requires the drift operator A to be single-valued and to extend to a hemi-continuous, coercive operator $A : V \rightarrow V^*$ for some Gelfand triple $V \subseteq H \subseteq V^*$ (cf. [KR79, Par75, PR07]). The reflexivity of V and V^* is crucial for the construction of solutions. Therefore, the standard approach cannot be applied to highly singular (S)PDE such as the total variation flow, the two phase Stefan problem, plasma diffusion and the curve shortening flow. In all of these examples the space V degenerates in the sense that V or V^* fail to be reflexive. While recently increasing interest has been paid to this kind of singular, possibly multi-valued SPDE (cf. e.g. [BDP05, BDPR09c, BR97, Cio11, Răş96, Ste12]), the unique existence of solutions could only be shown for additive noise and under strong dimensional restrictions. The principal idea of most of these works is the concept of (stochastic) evolution variational inequalities (EVI), thus weakening the notion of solutions to (1.3)¹. However, the approach via EVI has multiple drawbacks. First, it relies on the transformation of (1.3) into a random PDE and hence is restricted to simple structures of noise, such as additive or linear multiplicative noise. Second, due to the weaker notion of solutions it is hard to prove uniqueness. In fact, so far uniqueness of EVI could only be proven in case of sufficiently regular additive noise. Third, the construction of solutions to EVI still requires a coercivity condition of the type

$$(1.4) \quad {}_{V^*} \langle A(u), u \rangle_V \geq c \|u\|_V^\alpha.$$

for some $\alpha \geq 1$, $c > 0$, which leads to restrictions on the dimension or the coercivity exponent α .

In order to remedy these obstacles for the class of equations considered in this paper, we introduce another Hilbert space S embedded compactly and densely into H such that

$$S \subseteq V \subseteq H \equiv H^* \subseteq V^* \subseteq S^*.$$

Subsequently, we will drop the intermediate space V and formulate the conditions of our hypotheses solely with respect to S . We assume that the drift A is maximal monotone and of at most linear growth in S^* . We are able to replace the strong co-coercivity assumption (1.4) by weak dissipativity in S formulated in an approximative way (cf. (A4) below).

Once existence and uniqueness of solutions for additive noise has been shown, limiting solutions for multiplicative noise may be constructed by “freezing the diffusion coefficients” and iteration methods. This is a well-known technique relying on the monotonicity of the drift (cf. e.g. [BDPR08, BDPR09a]). However, the solutions constructed this way are in general not known to satisfy the actual SPDE in any way. By proving regularity properties (i.e. X_t taking values in S a.s.) we are able to identify the limiting solutions as variational solutions to (1.3) (cf. Definition 4.3 below).

Both existence and uniqueness of invariant measures for singular SPDE of the form (1.3) with additive noise are difficult problems in general. Since only in dimension $d = 1$ we expect a compact embedding of the energy space V into H , for higher dimensions the standard approach to prove existence of invariant measures via the Krylov-Bogoliubov Theorem does not apply. Accordingly, for example, the

¹EVI is also referred to as SVI, that is, stochastic variational inequalities. We are following this naming convention in Appendix C.

existence of invariant measures for the stochastic total variation flow, i.e.

$$dX_t = \operatorname{div} [|\nabla X_t|^{-1} \nabla X_t] dt + dB_t,$$

could so far only be shown in one dimension in [BDPR09c]². Due to the lack of strong monotonicity of the drift operators A considered in this paper, also the dissipativity approach to prove uniqueness and ergodicity of invariant measures (cf. e.g. [BDP06] and the references therein) cannot be used. In [ESvR12] a general abstract result from [KPS10] has been applied to prove uniqueness of the invariant measure for the stochastic curve shortening flow in one dimension. However, their proof still relies on the compactness of the embedding $V \subseteq H$ of the underlying Gelfand triple. By refining their analysis we manage to avoid the need of compactness and thus to prove existence and uniqueness of an invariant measure for the stochastic total variation flow also for $d = 2$. In case of the stochastic plasma diffusion equation (cf. (1.9) below) the situation is even more involved, since the homogeneity of the drift operator A is lost. By deriving new, locally uniform decay estimates for its deterministic counterpart we manage to prove existence and uniqueness of invariant measures nonetheless.

For additive noise, we choose a pathwise approach to construct the solutions, which allows to include general noise, such as Lévy noise and fractional Brownian motion with arbitrary Hurst parameter. Since the literature on SPDE driven by Lévy noise is extensive, we make no attempt to provide a complete list but only refer to [Gyö82, PZ07] and the references therein. Another advantage of a pathwise construction is that the existence of a stochastic flow and a random dynamical system (RDS) are immediate consequences. Thus, assuming that the noise $\{N_t\}$ in (1.2) has càdlàg paths in S , satisfies some spatial regularity and has strictly stationary increments we prove that there is an RDS associated to (1.2). In case of additive Wiener noise this yields the Markov property for the associated semigroups $\{P_t\}$.

Using stochastic calculus we prove that in case of multiplicative Wiener noise we can relax the spatial regularity assumptions on the noise while preserving the regularity of the solutions. For additive Wiener noise, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a weak-* mean ergodic measure using recent methods by Komorowski, Peszat and Szarek [KPS10].

Let us now describe the concrete applications covered by our general results in more detail. As a first example we include certain singular diffusion equations such as the stochastic p -Laplacian evolution, $p \in [1, 2)$ (including the total variation flow, i.e. $p = 1$, where the equation becomes a multi-valued inclusion) in all space dimensions. More precisely,

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &\in \operatorname{div} [|\nabla X_t|^{p-2} \nabla X_t] dt + \begin{cases} dN_t, \\ B_t(X_t) dW_t, \end{cases} \quad t > 0, \\ X_0 &= x, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the curly bracket to indicate that we either consider càdlàg additive noise N_t as specified above or multiplicative Wiener noise. For all equations considered in this paper, unique existence of solutions is proven for general additive càdlàg noise and multiplicative Wiener noise in all space dimensions. As applied to (1.5) our results partially generalize the results of [BDPR09c] (known to hold only in space dimensions $d = 1, 2$ and for Wiener additive noise) and [BR13] (space

²In the recent work [Kim12] the existence and uniqueness of an invariant measure for the total variation flow on \mathbb{R}^d has been proven for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$. This work became available after the present paper was finished and poses the case of bounded domains as an open problem which will be solved here.

dimensions $d = 1, 2, 3$, linear multiplicative noise). In addition, we solve the open problem posed in [BDPR09c] of uniqueness of the invariant measure for (1.5) as mentioned above. We note, however, that a key point of [BDPR09c, BR13] is the well-posedness and characterization of solutions for initial conditions $x \in L^2(\Lambda)$ while we only construct solutions in a limiting sense for $x \in L^2(\Lambda)$ (using the well-posedness results from [BDPR09c, BR13] these two notions of solutions coincide, cf. Appendix C below). Equation (1.5) is a stochastically perturbed version of the deterministic p -Laplace equation which in its deterministic form appears in geometry, quasi-regular mappings, non-Newtonian fluid dynamics, plasma physics and image restoration (cf. e.g. [Lad67, ROF92]).

A further application of our general existence and uniqueness results, is the (1+1)-dim. stochastic curve shortening flow:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t(\xi) &= \frac{\partial_\xi^2 X_t(\xi)}{1 + (\partial_\xi X_t(\xi))^2} dt + \begin{cases} dN_t, \\ B_t(X_t) dW_t, \end{cases} \quad \xi \in [0, 1], \quad t > 0, \\ X_0(\xi) &= x(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

which has been studied by Es-Sarhir and von Renesse [ESvR12] (see also [ESvRS12]). We are able to generalize their result about unique existence of solutions to the case of general additive noise.

More generally, we study the existence and uniqueness of solutions, as well as ergodicity (for additive Wiener noise) for generalized Φ -Laplacian equations with Neumann (zero average for ergodicity) or Dirichlet boundary conditions on bounded domains $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &\in \operatorname{div} \Phi(\nabla X_t) dt + \begin{cases} dN_t, \\ B_t(X_t) dW_t, \end{cases} \quad t > 0, \\ X_0 &= x, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ is given as the subdifferential of a convex, continuous function of subquadratic growth and $\Phi(0) = 0$.

Further admissible nonlinearities Φ include the ones appearing in the evolution problem for plastic antiplanar shear (cf. [Zho92]) and the so-called minimal surface flow (cf. [LT78]).

We also consider the stochastic singular fast diffusion equation for $r \in [0, 1)$ (again including the critical, multi-valued case $r = 0$):

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &\in \Delta [|X_t|^{r-1} X_t] dt + \begin{cases} dN_t, \\ B_t(X_t) dW_t, \end{cases} \quad t > 0, \\ X_0 &= x. \end{aligned}$$

This generalizes results given in [BDP10] where only additive case has been considered. Moreover, we solve the open problem posed in [BDP10, BDPR12] of uniqueness of the invariant measure for (1.8) in one space dimension. Equation (1.8) is a randomly perturbed version of the deterministic fast diffusion equation which, for example, arises in plasma physics (cf. [Váz06] and the references therein). In its stochastically perturbed form (1.8) appears in the study of self-organized criticality (cf. [BDPR09d] and references therein). In models of self-organized criticality, the spontaneous, stable approach of a critical state, i.e. ergodicity and mixing properties are key features. Under dimensional restrictions, Liu and the second named author proved ergodicity and polynomial decay for the equations (1.5) and (1.8), excluding the limit cases $p = 1$, $r = 0$ in [LT11].

Stochastic porous media equations and stochastic fast diffusion equations have been intensively investigated in recent years (cf. [DPRRW06, RRW07, BDPR09a])

and references therein). The stochastic fast diffusion equation ($0 < r < 1$) with linear multiplicative space-time noise has been first solved in [RRW07]. Subsequently, extinction in finite time with positive probability has been shown in [BDPR09d, BDPR09b].

We are also able to treat the so called stochastic diffusion equation of plasma for any space dimension:

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &= \Delta [\log(|X_t| + 1) \operatorname{sgn}(X_t)] dt + \begin{cases} dN_t, \\ B_t(X_t) dW_t, \end{cases} \quad t > 0, \\ X_0 &= x, \end{aligned}$$

previously studied in an EVI setting in (1+1)-dimensions by Ciotir [Cio11]. Equation (1.9) is the stochastically perturbed version of a PDE arising in plasma physics, gas kinetics and statistical mechanics (cf. [BH82]). For additive Wiener noise, we are able to prove the existence of a unique invariant measure in one and two space dimensions.

For general symmetric negative-definite Dirichlet operators L on $L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$, that satisfy a spectral gap condition (here (E, \mathcal{B}, μ) is an abstract separable measure space), we consider the so called generalized fast-diffusion equation

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &\in L[\varphi(X_t)] dt + \begin{cases} dN_t, \\ B_t(X_t) dW_t, \end{cases} \quad t > 0, \\ X_0 &= x, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a monotone graph ($0 \in \varphi(0)$) with sublinear growth.

Future applications to RDS and random attractors similar to [BGLR11, Ges13, GLR11] as well as continuity results in the parameter p in (1.5) (r in (1.8) resp.), similar to [CT12, CT13], are in preparation.

2. DETERMINISTIC CASE

We start by considering the deterministic, time-inhomogeneous evolution inclusion (1.1). Let H be a separable Hilbert space with dual H^* . Suppose that there is another Hilbert space S embedded densely and compactly into H . We thus have a Gelfand triple

$$S \subseteq H \subseteq S^*$$

and it holds that

$$S^* \langle v, u \rangle_S = (v, u)_H, \quad \text{whenever } u \in S, v \in H.$$

Let $i_S : S \rightarrow S^*$ denote the Riesz map of S . We note that the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)_S$ defines a bilinear, S -bounded, S -coercive form on H . By the Lax-Milgram Theorem there is a linear, positive definite, self-adjoint operator $T : D(T) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ with $D(T^{1/2}) = S$ and $(T^{1/2}u, T^{1/2}v)_H = (u, v)_S$. We define $J_n = (1 + \frac{T}{n})^{-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, to be the resolvent associated to T and $T_n = TJ_n = n(1 - J_n)$ to be the Yosida approximation of T . Then

$$(x, y)_n := (x, T_n y)_H, \quad x, y \in H,$$

form a sequence of new inner products on H , the induced norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ are all equivalent to $\|\cdot\|_H$ and

$$\forall x \in S : \quad \|x\|_n \uparrow \|x\|_S \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $H_n := (H, (\cdot, \cdot)_n)$. We will use the norms $\|\cdot\|_n$ as smooth approximations of the stronger norm $\|\cdot\|_S$. Due to the equivalence of $\|\cdot\|_n$ to $\|\cdot\|_H$ we may apply Itô's formula to $\|\cdot\|_n^2$ and then pass to the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$. This will allow to derive estimates on the S -norm of approximating solutions to (1.1), see Lemma 6.3 below.

Let $g : [0, T] \rightarrow S$ be a càdlàg function (for simplicity $g(0) = 0$). We consider the integral evolution inclusion

$$(2.1) \quad X_t \in x - \int_0^t A(s, X_s) ds + g_t,$$

where $A : [0, T] \times S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$ is a multi-valued operator. We will construct solutions to this equation by first using the transformation $Y_t = X_t - g_t$ which leads to the evolution inclusion

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} dY_t + A(t, Y_t + g_t) dt \ni 0, & t > 0, \\ Y_0 = x. \end{cases}$$

We will prove unique existence for (2.2) which leads to unique existence for (2.1) by transforming back, i.e. by defining $X_t := Y_t + g_t$.

Let $W^{1,2}(0, T)$ be defined by

$$W^{1,2}(0, T) := \{u \in L^2([0, T]; S) \mid u \text{ abs. cont.}, \frac{d}{dt}u \in L^2([0, T]; S^*)\},$$

cf. e.g. [Sho97, §III.1] and let $D([0, T]; H)$ be the space of all càdlàg functions in H endowed with the topology of *uniform convergence*. A solution of (2.2) is a function $Y \in W^{1,2}(0, T)$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}Y_t = -\zeta_t, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, T],$$

$Y_0 = x$ and $\zeta \in L^2([0, T]; S^*)$ such that $\zeta_t \in A(t, Y_t + g_t)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Definition 2.1. A solution of (2.1) is a càdlàg function $X \in D([0, T]; H)$ such that

$$X_t = x - \int_0^t \eta_s ds + g_t,$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ as an equation in S^* , where $\eta \in L^2([0, T]; S^*)$ such that $\eta_t \in A(t, X_t)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Definition 2.2. Let (E, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a σ -finite complete measure space and Y be a Polish space. A map $F : E \rightarrow 2^Y$ with non-empty, closed values is called \mathcal{B} -measurable or simply measurable if $\{F(\cdot) \cap O \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{B}$ for each open set $O \subseteq Y$.

Hypothesis 2.3. Suppose that $A : [0, T] \times S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$ satisfies the following conditions: There is a constant $C > 0$ such that

- (A1) Maximal monotonicity: For all $t \in [0, T]$, the map $x \mapsto A(t, x)$ is maximal monotone with nonempty values.
- (A2) Linear growth: For all $x \in S$, for Lebesgue a.a. $t \in [0, T]$, for all $y \in A(t, x)$:

$$\|y\|_{S^*} \leq f(t) + C \|x\|_S,$$

with some $f \in L^2([0, T])$.

- (A3) Weak coercivity in S : For all $x \in S$, for Lebesgue a.a. $t \in [0, T]$, for all $y \in A(t, x)$, and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$2_{S^*} \langle y, T_n(x) \rangle_S \geq -\gamma(t) - C \|x\|_S^2,$$

with some $\gamma \in L^1([0, T])$, with C, γ independent of n .

- (A4) Measurability: The map $t \mapsto A(t, x)$ is measurable w.r.t. the Lebesgue σ -algebra for all $x \in S$.

A condition similar to (A3) has been used in [Liu10, RW08]. Note that (A4) can be dropped if A is independent of time.

Remark 2.4. Using a standard transformation, it is sufficient for the unique existence of solutions to (2.1) to require that Hypothesis 2.3 is satisfied for $A + \lambda \text{Id}_H$ for some $\lambda > 0$. In other words, we may allow A to be maximal quasi-monotone with nonempty values.

Hypothesis 2.5. $g \in L^2([0, T]; D(T^{3/2}))$.

Here, the operator $(T^{3/2}, D(T^{3/2}))$ is defined in terms of the spectral theorem and the Hilbert space $D(T^{3/2})$ is equipped with the graph norm.

In order to construct solutions to (2.2) we will consider a viscosity approximation. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the perturbed problem

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} Y_t^\varepsilon + \varepsilon i_S(Y_t^\varepsilon) \in -A(t, Y_t^\varepsilon + g_t), & t > 0, \\ Y_0^\varepsilon = x, \end{cases}$$

The unique existence of variational solutions to these approximating problems is proved in Proposition 6.2 below. Application of the transformation $X_t^\varepsilon := Y_t^\varepsilon + g_t$ for the approximating equation yields

$$(2.4) \quad X_t^\varepsilon \in x - \int_0^t A(s, X_s^\varepsilon) - \varepsilon i_S(X_s^\varepsilon - g_s) ds + g_t, \quad t > 0.$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we will prove the existence of solutions to (2.2). Defining $X_t := Y_t + g_t$ we will then obtain

Theorem 2.6. Assume Hypotheses 2.3 and 2.5 and let $x \in S$. Then (2.1) has a unique solution in the sense of Definition 2.1 satisfying $X \in L^\infty([0, T]; S)$ with

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^\varepsilon - X_t\|_H^2 + \int_0^T \|X_t^\varepsilon - X_t\|_S^2 dt \rightarrow 0$$

and X is right-continuous in S .

Proof. See section 6.1.1. □

By monotonicity of the drift we can extend the unique existence of solutions to all initial conditions $x \in H$ at the cost of passing to limiting solutions in the sense

Definition 2.7 (Limit solution). We say that a function $X \in D([0, T]; H)$ is a limit solution to (2.1) with starting point $x \in H$, if $X_0 = x$ and for each approximation $\{x^\delta\}$ with (eventually) $x^\delta \in S$ and $x^\delta \rightarrow x$ in H the associated solutions $\{X^\delta\}$ converge to X in $D([0, T]; H)$.

We obtain

Theorem 2.8 (Extension to all initial conditions $x \in H$). Suppose that Hypotheses 2.3 and 2.5 hold and let $x \in H$. Then there is a unique limit solution X .

3. STOCHASTIC EVOLUTION INCLUSIONS WITH ADDITIVE NOISE

We now consider stochastic evolution inclusions with additive noise of the type (1.2). Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a filtered probability space (not necessarily complete, nor right-continuous), $N : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow S$ be an $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted stochastic process with càdlàg paths in S and $N_0 = 0$. We define $L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; H)$ to be the space of all \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable, H -valued random variables and let $x \in L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; H)$. For each $\omega \in \Omega$ we consider the following integral equation in S^*

$$(3.1) \quad X_t(\omega) \in x(\omega) - \int_0^t A(s, X_s(\omega)) ds + N_t(\omega).$$

Definition 3.1. An $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic process $X : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ is a pathwise (limit) solution to (3.1) with starting point $x \in L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; H)$ if for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $X(\omega)$ is a (limit) solution for (3.1) with $g = N(\omega)$.

Setting $g_t := N_t(\omega)$ for fixed $\omega \in \Omega$, Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.8 yield the existence of a pathwise (limit) solution X as long as A satisfies Hypothesis 2.3 and $N(\omega)$ satisfies Hypothesis 2.5, i.e. $N(\omega) \in L^2([0, T]; D(T^{3/2}))$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. The $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adaptedness of X is proved in Section 6.2 below. We obtain

Theorem 3.2. Assume that A satisfies Hypothesis 2.3 and for each $\omega \in \Omega$ the path $g = N(\omega)$ satisfies Hypothesis 2.5. For $x \in L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; S)$ there is a unique pathwise solution to (3.1) in the sense of Definition 3.1 satisfying $X(\omega) \in L^\infty([0, T]; S)$ and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^\varepsilon(\omega) - X_t(\omega)\|_H^2 + \int_0^T \|X_t^\varepsilon(\omega) - X_t(\omega)\|_S^2 dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega.$$

Moreover, $X(\omega)$ is right-continuous in S . For $x \in L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; H)$ there is a unique pathwise limit solution to (3.1).

If the noise is two-sided and strictly stationary then the solutions generate a random dynamical system (RDS). Let $((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}), (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ be a metric dynamical system, i.e. $(t, \omega) \mapsto \theta_t(\omega)$ is $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ measurable, $\theta_0 = \text{Id}$, $\theta_{t+s} = \theta_t \circ \theta_s$ and θ_t is \mathbb{P} -preserving, for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume that $N : \mathbb{R} \times \Omega \rightarrow S$ satisfies

Hypothesis 3.3.

(N) For all $t \geq s$ and $\omega \in \Omega$

$$N_t(\omega) - N_s(\omega) = N_{t-s}(\theta_s \omega).$$

By [GLR11, Lemma 3.1] for each S valued process \tilde{N}_t with $\tilde{N}_0 = 0$ a.s., stationary increments and a.s. càdlàg paths there exists a metric dynamical system $((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}), (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ and a version N_t of \tilde{N}_t on $((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}), (\theta_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}})$ such that N_t satisfies (N). In particular, applications include all Lévy processes and fractional Brownian motion with arbitrary Hurst parameter.

Since we constructed the solution for each path, we obtain

Corollary 3.4. Assume that A satisfies Hypothesis 2.3, is independent of time t and N satisfies Hypothesis 2.5 and 3.3 for all $\omega \in \Omega$. Then

$$\varphi(t, \omega)x := X_t^x(\omega), \quad x \in H, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \omega \in \Omega$$

defines a continuous RDS associated to (1.2), where $X_t^x(\omega)$ is the pathwise limit solution starting at x obtained in Theorem 3.2.

4. STOCHASTIC EVOLUTION INCLUSIONS WITH MULTIPLICATIVE NOISE

In this section we consider stochastic evolution inclusions driven by multiplicative Wiener noise, i.e. inclusions of the form (1.3). In this case, we may apply stochastic calculus and in particular Itô's formula to strengthen our results in two directions: First, we prove that the regularity assumptions on the noise (Hypothesis 2.5) may be relaxed (cf. Hypothesis 4.2 below) while preserving the regularity of the solution. Second, we allow multiplicative noise, i.e. state-dependent diffusion coefficients B_t .

In order to be able to use stochastic calculus we require a normal filtered probability space $(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ with a cylindrical Wiener process $\{W_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in U , where U is some separable Hilbert space. We further require the diffusion coefficients $B : [0, T] \times \Omega \times S \rightarrow L_2(U, H)$ to be progressively measurable (i.e. for every $t \in [0, T]$ the map $B : [0, t] \times \Omega \times S \rightarrow L_2(U; H)$ is $\mathcal{B}([0, t]) \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(S)$ -measurable) and the following random version of Hypothesis 2.5:

Hypothesis 4.1. (B1) *There is an $h \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega)$ such that*

$$\|B_t(x)\|_{L_2(U, H)}^2 \leq C\|x\|_S^2 + h_t, \quad (\text{growth}),$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in S$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

(B2) *There is a $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|B_t(x) - B_t(y)\|_{L_2(U, H)}^2 \leq C\|x - y\|_H^2, \quad (\text{Lipschitz continuity}),$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x, y \in S$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

For the existence of variational solutions (in the sense of Definition 4.3 below) we further require

Hypothesis 4.2. (B3) *There is an $h \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega)$ such that*

$$\|B_t(x)\|_{L_2(U, S)}^2 \leq C\|x\|_S^2 + h_t, \quad (S\text{-growth}),$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in S$ and $\omega \in \Omega$.

Definition 4.3. *We say that a continuous $\{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ -adapted stochastic process $X : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ is a solution to*

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t + A(t, X_t) dt &\ni B_t(X_t) dW_t \\ X(0) &= x \end{aligned}$$

*if $X \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H)) \cap L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; S)$ and X solves the following integral equation in S^**

$$X_t = X_0 - \int_0^t \eta_s ds + \int_0^t B_s(X_s) dW_s,$$

\mathbb{P} -a.s. for all $t \in [0, T]$, where $\eta \in A(\cdot, X)$, $dt \otimes \mathbb{P}$ -a.e.

Theorem 4.4 (Multiplicative noise). *Let $x \in L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; S)$. Assume that A satisfies Hypothesis 2.3 and B satisfies Hypothesis 4.1 and 4.2. Then there exists a unique solution X to (4.1) in the sense of Definition 4.3 satisfying*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_S^2 < \infty$$

and X is \mathbb{P} -a.s. right-continuous in S .

Proof. See section 6.3.2. □

Using monotonicity of the drift and Lipschitz continuity of the noise, we can extend the existence result to every initial condition $x \in L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; H)$ and driving noise taking values in $L_2(U, H)$ in a limiting sense.

Definition 4.5. *An $\{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic process $X \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$ is a limit solution to (4.1) with starting point $x \in L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; H)$ if for all approximations $x^\delta \in L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; S)$ with $x^\delta \rightarrow x$ in $L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; H)$ and B^δ satisfying Hypothesis 4.1 and 4.2 with $B^\delta(u) \rightarrow B(u)$ in $L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(U, H))$ for all $u \in S$ we have*

$$X^\delta \rightarrow X, \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H)).$$

Theorem 4.6 (Multiplicative noise for all initial conditions). *Let $x \in L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; H)$. We assume that A satisfies Hypothesis 2.3 and B satisfies Hypothesis 4.1. Then there exists a unique limit solution X to (4.1) in the sense of Definition 4.5.*

Proof. See section 6.3.3. □

5. ERGODICITY

In the following, we assume A, B to be independent of $(t, \omega) \in [0, T] \times \Omega$ and to satisfy Hypotheses 2.3 and 4.2 with f, γ and h being constant. We further restrict to the case of additive Wiener noise. Since B satisfies Hypothesis 4.2 the process BW_t is a trace class Wiener process in S in the following denoted by W_t^B . We consider the canonical realization of its two-sided extension: $\Omega := C(\mathbb{R}; S)$, $\pi_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma\{\pi_s \mid s \in (-\infty, t]\}$, $\mathcal{F} := \sigma\{\bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}_t\}$ and let \mathbb{P} be the law of W^B on Ω . We define the Wiener shift to be $\theta_t(\omega) := \omega(t + \cdot) - \omega(t)$. Then $(\Omega, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \{\theta_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}, \mathbb{P})$ is a metric dynamical system, the evaluation process π_t is a trace-class Wiener process, which by abuse of notation is again denoted by W_t^B and W_t^B satisfies Hypothesis 3.3. Let $\{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ be the right-continuous completion of $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$. We consider evolution inclusions of the form

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t + A(X_t) dt &\ni dW_t^B, \quad t > 0 \\ X_0 &= x. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{B}(H)$ the set of all Borel measurable subsets of H , by $\mathcal{B}_b(H)$ (resp. $C_b(H)$) the Banach space of all bounded, measurable (resp. continuous) functions on H equipped with the supremum norm and by $\text{Lip}_b(H)$ the space of all bounded Lipschitz continuous functions on H . By \mathcal{M}_1 we denote the set of all Borel probability measures on H . For a semigroup $\{P_t\}$ on $\mathcal{B}_b(H)$ we define the dual semigroup $\{P_t^*\}$ on \mathcal{M}_1 by $P_t^* \mu(B) := \int_H P_t \mathbb{1}_B d\mu$, for $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$. A measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1$ is said to be invariant for the semigroup $\{P_t\}$ if $P_t^* \mu = \mu$, for all $t \geq 0$. For $T > 0$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1$ we define

$$(5.2) \quad Q^T \mu := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_r^* \mu dr$$

and we write $Q^T(x, \cdot)$ for $\mu = \delta_x$. We endow \mathcal{M}_1 with the topology of weak convergence, i.e. $w\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \mu$ for a sequence $\mu_n \in \mathcal{M}_1$ iff $\int_H \varphi(x) d\mu_n(x) = \int_H \varphi(x) d\mu(x)$ for all bounded, Lipschitz functions φ on H . Recall:

Definition 5.1. *A semigroup $\{P_t\}$ is called weak-* mean ergodic if there exists a measure $\mu_* \in \mathcal{M}_1$ such that*

$$w\text{-}\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} Q^T \nu = \mu_*,$$

for all $\nu \in \mathcal{M}_1$.

Let $X(t, s; \omega)x$ be the solution to (5.1) starting at time $s \in \mathbb{R}$ in $x \in H$, with respect to the Wiener process $\widetilde{W}_t^B = W_{t+s}^B - W_s^B$ (cf. [PR07, section 4.3, p. 94]). We choose this notation in order to emphasize that $X(t, s; \omega)$ defines a stochastic flow on H in accordance to [CDF97, Section 2].

We define

$$P_x := (X(\cdot, 0; \cdot)x)_* \mathbb{P},$$

to be the law on $C([0, \infty), H)$ of the solution $X(\cdot, 0; \cdot)x$ viewed as a random variable taking values in $C([0, \infty), H)$. By Itô's formula

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X(t, 0; \cdot)x - X(t, 0; \cdot)y\|_H^2 \leq \|x - y\|_H^2.$$

Proposition 5.2. *The family $\{P_x\}_{x \in H}$ defines a time-homogeneous Markov process on $C([0, \infty), H)$ with respect to the filtration $\{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, i.e.*

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[F(\pi_{t+s}) \middle| \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_s \right] = \mathbb{E}_{\pi_s} [F(\pi_t)], \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{B}_b(H), \text{ } P_x\text{-a.s.}$$

Proof. See section 6.4. □

We define

$$P_t F(x) := \mathbb{E}[F(X(t, 0; \cdot)x)], \quad F \in C_b(H), \quad x \in H$$

to be the Feller semigroup associated to the stochastic flow $X(t, s; \omega)x$. By Proposition 5.2 the semigroup property is satisfied. The so-called e -property

$$(5.4) \quad |P_t F(x) - P_t F(y)| \leq \text{Lip}(F) \|x - y\|_H, \quad x, y \in H,$$

for all $F \in \text{Lip}_b(H)$ follows from (5.3). Consider the assumption

Hypothesis 5.3. (A5) *There are constants $C, c > 0$ such that*

$$2_{S^*} \langle y, x \rangle_S \geq c \|y\|_{S^*} - C,$$

for all $[x, y] \in A$.

Let $u(\cdot)x \in C([0, T]; H)$ denote the unique limit solution to

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} du_t + A(u_t)dt &\ni 0, \\ u_0 &= x \in H. \end{aligned}$$

The unique existence of such a solution u follows from Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.8 with $g \equiv 0$.

Hypothesis 5.4. *Suppose that there exists a measurable Lyapunov function $\Theta : H \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ satisfying*

$$_{S^*} \langle y, x \rangle_S \geq \Theta(x), \quad \forall x \in S, \quad \forall y \in A(x)$$

with bounded sublevel sets $K_R = \{x \in H \mid \Theta(x) \leq R\}, R \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{x \in K_R} \|u(t)x\|_H = 0,$$

for all $R \geq 0$.

Simple sufficient conditions for Hypothesis 5.4 are given by

Remark 5.5. (1) *Suppose that there is a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$(5.6) \quad 2_{S^*} \langle y, x \rangle_S \geq c \|x\|_H^\alpha,$$

for some $1 \leq \alpha < 2$ and all $[x, y] \in A$. Then Hypothesis 5.4 holds.

(2) *Suppose there is a Lyapunov function Θ with compact sublevel sets and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|u(t)x\|_H = 0$ for all $x \in H$. Then Hypothesis 5.4 holds.*

Proof. See section 6.4. □

Then, using Theorem [KPS10, Theorem 2], we prove

Theorem 5.6. *Assume Hypotheses 2.3, 4.2, 5.3, 5.4. Then there exists a unique invariant measure μ_* for $\{P_t\}$ and*

(1) *The semigroup $\{P_t\}$ is weak-* mean ergodic.*

(2) *For any $\psi \in \text{Lip}_b(H)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1$ the weak law of large number holds, i.e.*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \psi(\pi_s) ds = \int_H \psi d\mu_*,$$

in \mathbb{P}_μ -probability, where \mathbb{P}_μ is the law of the Markov process π started with initial distribution μ .

Proof. See section 6.4. □

6. PROOFS

6.1. Deterministic case.

6.1.1. *Deterministic case with initial datum $x \in S$ (Theorem 2.6).*

We need the following definition, cf. [Zyg92].

Definition 6.1. Let (T, \mathcal{A}, μ) be a complete σ -finite measure space, let X, Y be Polish spaces. A multi-valued function $F : T \times X \rightarrow 2^Y$ is called *product measurable* if it is $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(X)$ -measurable, where $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is the Borel σ -algebra of X (see Definition 2.2 for the meaning of measurability in this context) and is called *superpositionally measurable* if, for every \mathcal{A} -measurable multi-valued function $G : T \rightarrow 2^X$ with non-empty closed values, the multi-valued function $F_G : T \rightarrow 2^Y$ defined by the superposition $F_G(t) = F(t, G(t))$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable, where $F(t, G(t))$ denotes the union of sets $F(t, x)$, where $x \in G(t)$.

We first prove that the approximating equation (2.3) has a unique solution:

Proposition 6.2. Assume Hypothesis 2.3: (A1), (A2), (A4), Hypothesis 2.5 and let $x \in H$. Then equation (2.3), i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} Y_t^\varepsilon + \varepsilon i_S(Y_t^\varepsilon) \in -A(t, Y_t^\varepsilon + g_t), & t > 0, \\ Y_0^\varepsilon = x \end{cases}$$

has a unique solution $Y^\varepsilon \in C([0, T]; H)$ in the sense that

$$Y_t^\varepsilon = x + \int_0^t \zeta_s^\varepsilon ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T],$$

as an equation in S^* , where $\zeta^\varepsilon \in L^2([0, T]; S^*)$ is such that $\zeta_t^\varepsilon \in -\varepsilon i_S(Y_t^\varepsilon) - A(t, Y_t^\varepsilon + g_t)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. We aim to apply Theorem A.2 with

$$F(t, x) = -A(t, x + g_t) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} i_S(x),$$

$$J(t, x) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} i_S(x),$$

and $u_0 = x$. Obviously (J1)–(J4) are satisfied. We check the conditions on F :

(F1): A consequence of maximal monotonicity (A1), see [Bar93, Ch. 2, Proposition 1.1].

(F2): Let $x \in S$. By [HP97, Ch. 3, Theorem 1.28] and maximal monotonicity of $x \mapsto A(t, x)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, $x \mapsto A(t, x)$ is strongly-to-weakly upper-semicontinuous. (A4) and [Zyg92, Theorem 1, Theorem 2] imply that $(t, x) \mapsto A(t, x)$ is product measurable and hence superpositionally measurable, see Definition 6.1. $F + (\varepsilon/2)i_S$ is the composition of the càdlàg function $t \mapsto (t, x + g_t)$ and A , thus $t \mapsto F(t, x)$ is measurable.

(F3): Since $A(t, \cdot)$ is maximal monotone so is $F(t, \cdot)$ for each $t \in [0, T]$, which implies sequential closedness in $S \times S_w^*$, see [Bar93, Ch. 2, Proposition 1.1].

(F4): Obvious by (A2) and Hypothesis 2.5.

(F5): We first note that (A1) combined with (A2) implies a weak coercivity property for A :

$$\begin{aligned} (6.1) \quad S^* \langle y, x \rangle_S &= S^* \langle y - z, x - 0 \rangle_S + S^* \langle z, x \rangle_S \\ &\geq -\|z\|_{S^*} \|x\|_S \geq -f(t) \|x\|_S, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $[x, y] \in A(t)$ and $z \in A(t, 0)$. For $t \in [0, T]$, $[x, y] \in F(t)$, $z \in A(t, x + g_t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{S^*}\langle y, x \rangle_S &= - {}_{S^*}\langle z, x \rangle_S - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|x\|_S^2 = - {}_{S^*}\langle z, x + g_t \rangle_S - {}_{S^*}\langle z, g_t \rangle_S - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|x\|_S^2 \\ &\leq f(t) \|x + g_t\|_S + \|z\|_{S^*} \|g_t\|_S - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|x\|_S^2 \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon)(f(t)^2 + f(t) \|g_t\|_S + \|g_t\|_S^2) \end{aligned}$$

and the last term is in $L^1([0, T])_+$ by (A2) and Hypothesis 2.5.

Application of Theorem A.2 thus yields the existence of a solution and monotonicity of F, J implies uniqueness. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Under Hypothesis 2.3 and 2.5 for starting points $x \in S$, we have that*

$$\|Y_t^\varepsilon\|_S^2 \leq C \|x\|_S^2 + C \int_0^t e^{-Cs} \left(\gamma(s) + f_s^2 + \|T^{3/2} g_s\|_H^2 \right) ds < \infty.$$

Proof. The proof is based on the smooth approximation of $\|\cdot\|_S$ by the norms $\|\cdot\|_n$. As outlined in Section 2 we first apply Itô's formula for $\|\cdot\|_n^2$ and then take the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Based on the definition of the spaces H_n in Section 2, we get a sequence of new Gelfand triples

$$S \subseteq H_n \subseteq S^*.$$

Moreover,

$$T_n|_S : S \rightarrow S$$

is continuous and the Riesz-map $i_S : S \rightarrow S^*$ is given by the extension of T to $T : S \rightarrow S^*$. Thus

$$2 {}_{S^*}\langle i_S(x), T_n(x) \rangle_S = 2(x, T_n x)_S = 2(T^{1/2}x, T_n T^{1/2}x)_H \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in S,$$

which will be used below.

By Proposition 6.2 we know that $Y^\varepsilon \in L^2([0, T]; S)$. We apply the chain-rule [Sho97, §III.4] to $\|\cdot\|_n^2$ to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y_t^\varepsilon\|_n^2 e^{-Kt} &\leq \|x\|_n^2 - 2 \int_0^t e^{-Ks} {}_{S^*}\langle \eta_s^\varepsilon + \varepsilon i_S(Y_s^\varepsilon), T_n(Y_s^\varepsilon) \rangle_S ds - K \int_0^t e^{-Ks} \|Y_s^\varepsilon\|_n^2 ds \\ &= \|x\|_n^2 - 2 \int_0^t e^{-Ks} {}_{S^*}\langle \eta_s^\varepsilon, T_n(Y_s^\varepsilon + g_s) \rangle_S ds + 2 \int_0^t e^{-Ks} {}_{S^*}\langle \eta_s^\varepsilon, T_n(g_s) \rangle_S ds \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon \int_0^t e^{-Ks} (Y_s^\varepsilon, T_n(Y_s^\varepsilon))_S ds - K \int_0^t e^{-Ks} \|Y_s^\varepsilon\|_n^2 ds \\ &\leq \|x\|_n^2 + \int_0^t e^{-Ks} (\gamma(s) + C \|Y_s^\varepsilon + g_s\|_S^2) ds + \int_0^t e^{-Ks} \|\eta_s^\varepsilon\|_{S^*}^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-Ks} \|T_n g_s\|_S^2 ds - K \int_0^t e^{-Ks} \|Y_s^\varepsilon\|_n^2 ds \\ &\leq \|x\|_n^2 + 2C \int_0^t e^{-Ks} \|Y_s^\varepsilon\|_S^2 ds - K \int_0^t e^{-Ks} \|Y_s^\varepsilon\|_n^2 ds \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t e^{-Ks} (\gamma(s) + f_s^2 + \|T g_s\|_S^2) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_s^\varepsilon \in A(Y_s^\varepsilon + g_s)$ ds -a.e. Taking $K = 2C$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ yields

$$(6.2) \quad \|Y_t^\varepsilon\|_S^2 e^{-Ct} \leq \|x\|_S^2 + C \int_0^t e^{-Cs} \left(\gamma(s) + f_s^2 + \|T^{3/2} g_s\|_H^2 \right) ds.$$

\square

We are now ready to prove unique existence of solutions for initial data $x \in S$, i.e.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. For $\varepsilon > 0$ let Y^ε denote the solution to (2.3) with corresponding selection $\eta^\varepsilon \in A(\cdot, Y^\varepsilon + g)$. By Lemma 6.3 $\|Y^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty([0,T];S)} \leq C$. Hence, there is a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$, $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$Y^{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup^* Y$$

weakly-* in $L^\infty([0,T];S)$. By the chain-rule (cf. [Sho97, §III.4])

$$(6.3) \quad \|Y_t^\varepsilon - Y_t^\delta\|_H^2 \leq -2 \int_0^t \int_{S^*} \langle \eta_s^\varepsilon - \eta_s^\delta, Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta \rangle_S ds \\ - 2 \int_0^t \int_{S^*} \langle \varepsilon i_S(Y_s^\varepsilon) - \delta i_S(Y_s^\delta), Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta \rangle_S ds, \quad t \in [0,T],$$

for all $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$. Hence,

$$\int_0^T \int_{S^*} \langle \varepsilon i_S(Y_s^\varepsilon) - \delta i_S(Y_s^\delta), Y_s^\varepsilon - Y_s^\delta \rangle_S ds \leq 0.$$

Since $\|Y^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty([0,T];S)} \leq C$ we may apply [BCP70, Lemma 1.4, (ii)] to obtain that $Y^{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup Y$ weakly in $L^2([0,T];S)$ and

$$(6.4) \quad \int_0^T \|Y_t^{\varepsilon_n}\|_S^2 dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \|Y_t\|_S^2 dt.$$

Hence $Y^{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow Y$ strongly in $L^2([0,T];S)$. Then (6.3) implies $Y^{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow Y$ in $C([0,T];H)$. By (A2) and Lemma 6.3 we can choose a further subsequence (again denoted by ε_n) such that

$$\eta^{\varepsilon_n} \rightharpoonup \eta, \quad \text{in } L^2([0,T];S^*).$$

In order to identify the limit η , we consider the operator $\tilde{A} : L^2([0,T];S) \rightarrow 2L^2([0,T];S^*)$ defined by

$$\tilde{A} : x \mapsto \{y \in L^2([0,T];S^*) \mid y(t) \in A(t, x(t)) \text{ for a.e. } t \in [0,T]\}.$$

By Proposition B.2 \tilde{A} is strongly-weakly closed, i.e. \tilde{A} is sequentially closed in $L^2([0,T];S) \times L_w^2([0,T];S^*)$. Thus $[Y + g, \eta] \in \tilde{A}$ and we deduce $[Y_t + g_t, \eta_t] \in A(t)$ for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$. By monotonicity the solution is unique and thus the whole sequence (net) $\{Y^\varepsilon\}$ converges strongly to Y in $L^2([0,T];S)$. Since Y is a unique solution to (2.2) $X_t := Y_t + g_t$ is a unique solution to (2.1).

We now prove $X \in L^\infty([0,T];S)$ and X is right-continuous in S . By the same calculation as for (6.2) we obtain

$$(6.5) \quad \|Y_t\|_S^2 e^{-Ct} \leq \|Y_s\|_S^2 e^{-Cs} + C \int_s^t e^{-Cr} \left(\gamma(r) + f_r^2 + \|T^{3/2}g_r\|_H^2 \right) dr.$$

In particular,

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|Y_t\|_S^2 < \infty$$

which due to compactness of $S \subseteq H$ and continuity of $t \mapsto Y_t$ in H implies weak continuity of Y . Let $t_n \in [0,T]$ with $t_n \downarrow t$. By (6.5)

$$\|Y_{t_n}\|_S^2 e^{-Ct_n} \leq \|Y_t\|_S^2 e^{-Ct} + C \int_t^{t_n} e^{-Cs} \left(\gamma(s) + f_s^2 + \|T^{3/2}g_s\|_H^2 \right) ds.$$

Hence, $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Y_{t_n}\|_S^2 \leq \|Y_t\|_S^2$. By weak continuity we conclude $Y_{t_n} \rightarrow Y_t$ in S . \square

6.1.2. Deterministic case with initial datum $x \in H$ (Theorem 2.8).

We prove Theorem 2.8. Let $x^\delta, \bar{x}^\varepsilon \in S$ be two approximations of x in H and $Y^\delta, \bar{Y}^\varepsilon$ be the variational solutions constructed in Theorem 2.6 corresponding to $x^\delta, \bar{x}^\varepsilon \in S$. By the chain-rule

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y_t^\delta - \bar{Y}_t^\varepsilon\|_H^2 &= \|x^\delta - \bar{x}^\varepsilon\|_H^2 - 2 \int_0^t S^* \langle \eta_r^\delta - \bar{\eta}_r^\varepsilon, Y_r^\delta - \bar{Y}_r^\varepsilon \rangle_S dr \\ &\leq \|x^\delta - \bar{x}^\varepsilon\|_H^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_r^\delta \in A(Y_r^\delta + g_r)$, $\bar{\eta}_r^\varepsilon \in A(\bar{Y}_r^\varepsilon + g_r)$. Thus $\{Y^\delta\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C([0, T]; H)$ and every sequence of approximative solutions $\{\bar{Y}^\varepsilon\}$ converges to the same limit Y in $C([0, T]; H)$.

6.2. Stochastic evolution inclusions with additive noise.

Let $x \in L^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_0; S)$ and X be the corresponding pathwise solution to (3.1). It remains to prove $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adaptedness of X .

Under the assumptions Theorem 2.6 we have shown that there is a unique solution Y to (2.2). We now prove that the solution map

$$\begin{aligned} F : S \times \left(L^2([0, T]; S) \cap L_w^2([0, T]; D(T^{3/2})) \right) &\rightarrow C([0, T]; H) \\ (x, g) &\mapsto Y, \end{aligned}$$

is continuous (here, the subscript “ w ” denotes the weak Hilbert topology). Let $x^n \rightarrow x$ in S and $g^n \rightarrow g$ in $L^2([0, T]; S)$ with $g^n \rightharpoonup g$ weakly in $L^2([0, T]; D(T^{3/2}))$. The bound in Lemma 6.3 for Y^n, Y does not depend on n since g^n is uniformly bounded in $L^2([0, T]; D(T^{3/2}))$. Hence $\eta_t^n \in A(t, Y_t^n + g_t^n)$, $\eta_t \in A(t, Y_t + g_t)$ are uniformly bounded in $L^2([0, T]; S^*)$. By the chain rule ([Sho97, §III.4])

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y_t^n - Y_t\|_H^2 &= -2 \int_0^t S^* \langle \eta_s^n - \eta_s, Y_s^n - Y_s \rangle_S ds \\ &\leq -2 \int_0^t S^* \langle \eta_s^n - \eta_s, g_s - g_s^n \rangle_S ds \\ &\leq 2 \|\eta_s^n - \eta_s\|_{L^2([0, T]; S^*)} \|g - g^n\|_{L^2([0, T]; S)} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claimed continuity.

Let N be as in Section 3. By Kuratowski's Theorem [Par67, Ch. I.3] the restriction $N|_{[0, t]} : \Omega \rightarrow L^2([0, t]; D(T^{3/2}))$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable for all $t \in [0, T]$. Hence, continuity of the solution map F implies $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adaptedness of Y and thus of X .

6.3. Stochastic evolution inclusions with multiplicative noise.

We will now prove Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.6. The proof consists of two main steps. First we consider the case of additive noise satisfying the weaker regularity properties in Hypothesis 4.1, 4.2 as compared to Hypothesis 2.5. In the second step we use the unique existence of solutions for additive noise in order to construct solutions to the case of multiplicative noise, using a fixed point argument.

6.3.1. Stochastic evolution inclusions with additive Wiener noise.

For the rest of this Section assume B to be independent of $x \in S$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $x \in L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; S)$ and assume Hypotheses 2.3, 4.1 and 4.2. Then there is a solution X to (4.1) satisfying*

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_S^2 < \infty$$

and X is \mathbb{P} -a.s. right-continuous in S . If $x \in L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; H)$ and Hypotheses 2.3 and 4.1 are satisfied, then there is a unique limit solution to (4.1).

Proof. We first make some general remarks concerning the approximation of elements $x \in S$. Since $T : D(T) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ is a linear, positive definite, self-adjoint operator with compact resolvent there is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors $e_i \in S$ of H . Let $\mathcal{H}_m = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ and $P_m : H \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_m$ be the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{H}_m in H . Since $(\cdot, \cdot)_S = (T^{1/2} \cdot, T^{1/2} \cdot)_H$ the restriction of P_m to S is the orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{H}_m in S . Moreover, P_m can be extended continuously to $P_m : S^* \rightarrow S$ with $\|P_m y\|_S \leq C_m \|y\|_{S^*}$.

We consider the approximating equations

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{cases} dX_t^m + A(t, X_t^m) dt \ni B_t^m dW_t \\ X_0 = x, \end{cases}$$

where $B^m := P_m B$. Then

$$\|P_m B\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(U, S))}^2 \leq \|B\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(U, S))}^2$$

and $\|TP_m B\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(U, S))}^2 \leq C_m \|B\|_{L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(U, S))}^2$. Hence

$$N_t^m(\omega) := \int_0^t B_s^m dW_s(\omega)$$

satisfies Hypothesis 2.5 for a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$. By dominated convergence $B^m \rightarrow B$ in $L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; L_2(U, H))$ and by Theorem 2.6 there is a solution $X^m(\omega)$ to (6.6) for a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$.

Lemma 6.5. *There is $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^m\|_S^2 \right] \leq 4e^{CT} \left(\mathbb{E} \|x\|_S^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (h_s + \gamma_s) ds \right),$$

where γ is as in Hypothesis 2.3 and h as in Hypothesis 4.2.

Proof. We first note that the process $t \mapsto \int_0^t \eta_s^m ds$ (where $\eta^m \in A(\cdot, X^m) dt \otimes \mathbb{P}$ -a.s.) is progressively measurable and that this is sufficient for the application of Itô's formula (cf. [PR07, Theorem 4.2.5]) for the approximating norm $\|\cdot\|_n^2$. In fact, the same proof as for [PR07, Theorem 4.2.5] can be used. We obtain by (A3):

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_t^m\|_n^2 e^{-Ct} &= \|x\|_n^2 - \int_0^t 2e^{-Cs} {}_{S^*} \langle \eta_s^m, T_n(X_s^m) \rangle_S ds + \int_0^t 2e^{-Cs} (X_s^m, B_s^m dW_s)_n \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-Cs} \|B_s^m\|_{L_2(U, H_n)}^2 ds - C \int_0^t e^{-Cs} \|X_s^m\|_n^2 ds \\ &\leq \|x\|_n^2 + \int_0^t e^{-Cs} \gamma_s ds + C \int_0^t e^{-Cs} \|X_s^m\|_S^2 ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t 2e^{-Cs} (X_s^m, B_s^m dW_s)_n + \int_0^t e^{-Cs} \|B_s^m\|_{L_2(U, H_n)}^2 ds \\ &\quad - C \int_0^t e^{-Cs} \|X_s^m\|_n^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

By the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, choosing K large enough and taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^m\|_S^2 e^{-Ct} \right] \leq 2 \left(\mathbb{E} \|x\|_S^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T e^{-Cs} (2h_s + \gamma_s) ds \right).$$

□

We proceed with the proof of Proposition 6.4. By Itô's formula and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^n - X_t^m\|_H^2 \right] \leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|B_s^n - B_s^m\|_{L_2(U, H)}^2 ds \rightarrow 0,$$

for $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $X^n \rightarrow X$ in $L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$. By lower semi-continuity of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; S))}$ on $L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$,

$$(6.7) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_S^2 \right] \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \|x\|_S^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (h_s + \gamma_s) ds \right).$$

By Lemma 6.5 and (A2), for some subsequence $\eta^m \rightharpoonup \bar{\eta}$ in $L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; S^*)$ and we obtain \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$X_t = x - \int_0^t \bar{\eta}_s ds + \int_0^t B_s dW_s, \quad \forall t \in [0, T].$$

As in the proof of Lemma 6.5 we may apply Itô's formula to this equation and to (6.6). Subtracting the resulting equations yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [\|X_t^m\|_H^2 - \|X_t\|_H^2] &= - \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t 2 {}_{S^*} \langle \eta_r^m, X_r^m \rangle_S - 2 {}_{S^*} \langle \bar{\eta}_r, X_r \rangle_S dr \right] \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|B_r^m - B_r\|_{L_2(U; H)}^2 dr \end{aligned}$$

and taking $\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty}$ we obtain

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t {}_{S^*} \langle \eta_r^m, X_r^m \rangle_S \leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^t {}_{S^*} \langle \bar{\eta}_r, X_r \rangle_S dr.$$

By Proposition B.4, $A : L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; S) \rightarrow L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; S^*)$ is maximal monotone. Thus, Minty's trick implies $[X, \bar{\eta}] \in A \, dt \otimes \mathbb{P}$ -a.e.

Right continuity of X in S is shown as in the proof of Theorem 2.6, i.e. (6.7) yields weak continuity and repeating the calculations from Lemma 6.5 for all initial times $s \leq t$ we can then deduce right-continuity.

For general initial conditions $x \in L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; H)$ and noise satisfying Hypothesis 4.1 only we consider approximations $x^m \in L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; S)$ and $B^m := P_m B$ with corresponding variational solutions X^m . Applying Itô's formula for the difference $\|X^m - X^n\|_H^2$ and using Burkholder's inequality yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^m - X_t^n\|_H^2 \right] \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \|x^m - x^n\|_H^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|B_s^n - B_s^m\|_{L_2(U, H)}^2 ds \right),$$

which implies the existence of a limit X of X^m in $L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$. For two approximating solutions X^m, \bar{X}^m by the same argument we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^m - \bar{X}_t^m\|_H^2 \right] \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \|x^m - \bar{x}^m\|_H^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|B_s^m - \bar{B}_s^m\|_{L_2(U, H)}^2 ds \right),$$

This implies that the limit X does not depend on the approximating sequence. □

6.3.2. *Proof of Theorem 4.4.*

First let $x \in L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; S)$ and B satisfy Hypothesis 4.1 and Hypothesis 4.2. We construct a solution by freezing the noise. For $K > 0$ let

$$\mathcal{W}^K := \left\{ Z \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H)) \mid \|Z\|_{L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; S))}^2 \leq K \right\}.$$

Since $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; S))}^2$ is a lower semi-continuous function on $L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$ the subsets \mathcal{W}^K are closed in $L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$. For $Z \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; S))$ we have

$$\|B_t(Z_t)\|_{L_2(U, S)}^2 \leq C\|Z_t\|_S^2 + h_t \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega).$$

By Proposition 6.4 there exists a unique corresponding solution $X = F(Z) \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; S))$ driven by the diffusion coefficients $B(Z)$. We will prove that the solution map

$$F : L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H)) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$$

is a contraction for $T > 0$ small enough. Let $Z^{(1)}, Z^{(2)} \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$. Then by Itô's formula and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(Z^{(1)}) - F(Z^{(2)})\|_{L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))}^2 &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|B_s(Z_s^{(1)}) - B_s(Z_s^{(2)})\|_{L_2(U, H)}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|Z_s^{(1)} - Z_s^{(2)}\|_H^2 ds \\ &\leq CT \|Z_s^{(1)} - Z_s^{(2)}\|_{L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $T > 0$ small enough F is a contractive mapping. For $Z \in \mathcal{W}^K$, by (6.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_S^2 \right] &\leq 4e^{CT} \left(\mathbb{E} \|x\|_S^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T C \|Z_s\|_S^2 ds + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (h_s + \gamma_s) ds \right) \\ &\leq 4e^{CT} \left(\mathbb{E} \|x\|_S^2 + CT \|Z_s\|_{L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; S))}^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (h_s + \gamma_s) ds \right) \\ &\leq 4e^{CT} \left(\mathbb{E} \|x\|_S^2 + CT K + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (h_s + \gamma_s) ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $K \geq 8e^{CT} \left(\mathbb{E} \|x\|_S^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (h_s + \gamma_s) ds \right)$ thus yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_S^2 \right] \leq \frac{K}{2} + 4Ce^{CT}TK.$$

Hence, for $T \geq 0$ small enough, F leaves \mathcal{W}^K invariant and is contractive. By Banach's fixed point theorem, there is a unique fixed point $X \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$, i.e. $F(X) = X$ or in other words

$$dX_t + A(X_t) dt \ni B(X_t) dW_t.$$

By Theorem 6.4 we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t\|_S^2 < \infty$$

and X is \mathbb{P} -a.s. right-continuous in S .

6.3.3. *Proof of Theorem 4.6.*

Let $x \in L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; H)$, B satisfying Hypothesis 4.1 only, $x^n \in L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; S)$ with $x^n \rightarrow x$ in $L^2(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0; H)$ and $B^m := P_m B$, thus satisfying Hypothesis 4.1 and Hypothesis 4.2. By Itô's formula and Burkholder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^n - X_t^m\|_H^2 e^{-Ct} \\ & \leq C \left(\mathbb{E} \|x^n - x^m\|_H^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|B_s^n(X_s^n) - B_s^m(X_s^m)\|_{L_2(U; H)}^2 ds \right) \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \|x^n - x^m\|_H^2 + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|B_s^n(X_s^n) - B_s(X_s^n)\|_{L_2(U; H)}^2 ds \\ & \quad + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \|B_s(X_s^m) - B_s^m(X_s^m)\|_{L_2(U; H)}^2 ds \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

by dominated convergence. Hence, there is a limit $X^n \rightarrow X \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$. Similar arguments yield the independence of X from the approximating sequence.

6.4. **Markov processes and ergodicity.**

In the following assume that the assumptions considered in Section 5 are satisfied.

Proof of Proposition 5.2: As in [PR07, Proposition 4.3.5] we note that by (5.3) it is enough to show

$$\mathbb{E}_x[G(\pi_{t_1}, \dots, \pi_{t_n})F(\pi_{t+s})] = \int_{\Omega} G(\pi_{t_1}(\omega), \dots, \pi_{t_n}(\omega)) \mathbb{E}_{\pi_s(\omega)}[F(\pi_t)] dP_x(\omega),$$

for all $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq s$, $G : H^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous, bounded and $F \in C_b(H)$. Equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[G(X(t_1, 0; \cdot)x, \dots, X(t_n, 0; \cdot)x)F(X(t+s, 0; \cdot)x)] \\ (6.8) \quad & = \int_{\Omega} G(X(t_1, 0; \omega)x, \dots, X(t_n, 0; \omega)x) \mathbb{E}[F(X(t, 0; \cdot)X(s, 0; \omega)x)] d\mathbb{P}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Let us first consider the case of regular initial conditions $x \in S$ and additive, pathwise $D(T^{3/2})$ -regular noise (Theorem 3.2). By Corollary 3.4, $X(t, s; \omega)x$ is a stochastic flow, i.e.

$$X(t, s; \omega)x = X(t, r; \omega)X(r, s; \omega)x, \quad \forall s \leq r \leq t$$

and a cocycle

$$X(t, s; \omega)x = X(t-s, 0; \theta_s \omega), \quad \forall s \leq t.$$

Thus:

$$X(t, 0; \cdot)X(s, 0; \omega)x = X(t+s, s; \theta_{-s} \cdot)X(s, 0; \omega)x.$$

Since $X(t+s, s; \cdot)$ is independent of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_s$ we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[F(X(t, 0; \cdot)X(s, 0; \omega)x)] &= \mathbb{E}[F(X(t+s, s; \cdot)X(s, 0; \omega)x)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[F(X(t+s, s; \cdot)X(s, 0; \cdot)x) | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_s](\omega) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[F(X(t+s, 0; \cdot)x) | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_s](\omega) \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} G(X(t_1, 0; \omega)x, \dots, X(t_n, 0; \omega)x) \mathbb{E}[F(X(t, 0; \cdot)X(s, 0; \omega)x)] d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} G(X(t_1, 0; \omega)x, \dots, X(t_n, 0; \omega)x) \mathbb{E}[F(X(t+s, 0; \cdot)x) | \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_s](\omega) d\mathbb{P}(\omega) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[G(X(t_1, 0; \cdot)x, \dots, X(t_n, 0; \cdot)x) F(X(t+s, 0; \cdot)x)]. \end{aligned}$$

For initial conditions $x \in H$ and noise B satisfying Hypothesis 4.1 only, solutions were constructed as limits of pathwise solutions $X^m(\cdot, 0, \cdot)x \rightarrow X(\cdot, 0; \cdot)x$ in $L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H))$. Using uniform Lipschitz continuity of the pathwise solutions in the initial condition we realize that (6.8) is preserved in the limit. \square

Recall that $u(\cdot)x \in C([0, T]; H)$ denotes the unique solution to (5.5).

Proof of Remark 5.5: (1): The Lyapunov function $\Theta(x) := c\|x\|_H^\alpha$ is measurable and has bounded sublevel sets. It remains to prove convergence to 0 uniformly on sublevel sets. If $\alpha = 2$ this follows immediately from Gronwall's inequality. Thus, consider $1 \leq \alpha < 2$.

First let $x \in S \cap B$. By the chain rule of calculus

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u_t\|_H^2 = 2_{S^*} \langle -\eta_t, u_t \rangle_S \leq -c\|u_t\|_H^\alpha = -c(\|u_t\|_H^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, \infty),$$

where α is as in (5.6) and $\eta_t \in A(u_t)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. Hence, informally $f(t) := \|u_t\|_H^2$ is a subsolution to the ordinary differential equation

$$f'(t) = -cf(t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

Hence

$$\|u_t\|_H^2 \leq \left(\left(\|x\|_H^{2-\alpha} - ct \frac{2-\alpha}{2} \right) \vee 0 \right)^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \leq \left(\left(\|B\|_H^{2-\alpha} - ct \frac{2-\alpha}{2} \right) \vee 0 \right)^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}.$$

By continuity in the initial condition the same inequality holds for all $x \in B$. We conclude $u_t \equiv 0$ for all $t \geq T_B := \|B\|_H^{2-\alpha} \frac{2}{c(2-\alpha)}$.

(2): By monotonicity of A , u_t is non-expansive, i.e.

$$\|u(t)x - u(t)y\|_H \leq \|x - y\|_H, \quad \forall x, y \in H.$$

Therefore, the convergence to 0 is uniform on compact sets. \square

In order to prove Theorem 5.6, we need some preparation. We start by proving stochastic stability for equation (5.1).

Lemma 6.6. *Suppose that Hypotheses 2.3, 4.2, 5.3 hold. For each $T > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $B \subseteq H$ bounded we have*

$$\mathbb{P}[\|X(T, 0; \cdot)x - u(T)x\|_H^2 \leq \varepsilon] > 0,$$

uniformly for all $x \in B$.

Proof. Since W_t^B is a trace class Wiener process on S , for each $\delta > 0$, $T > 0$ we can find a subset $\Omega^\delta \subseteq \Omega$ of positive mass such that $\sup\{\|W_t^B(\omega)\|_S \mid t \in [0, T]\} < \frac{\delta}{2}$ for

all $\omega \in \Omega^\delta$. Let $x \in S$. For $\omega \in \Omega^\delta$ we have by (A5)

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y(t, 0; \omega)x\|_H^2 &= \|x\|_H^2 - 2 \int_0^t \langle \eta_r, X(r, 0; \omega)x - W_r^B(\omega) \rangle_S dr \\ &\leq \|x\|_H^2 - c \int_0^t \|\eta_r\|_{S^*} dr - 2 \int_0^t \langle \eta_r, -W_r^B(\omega) \rangle_S dr + Ct \\ &\leq \|x\|_H^2 - \left(c - 2 \sup_{r \in [0, T]} \|W_r^B(\omega)\|_S \right) \int_0^t \|\eta_r\|_{S^*} dr + Ct \\ &\leq \|x\|_H^2 - (c - \delta) \int_0^t \|\eta_r\|_{S^*} dr + Ct, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_r \in A(X(r, 0; \omega)x)$ for a.e. $r \in [0, T]$ and $X = Y + W^B$, as before. The same argument can be applied for $u(t)x$ to obtain

$$\int_0^T \|\tilde{\eta}_r\|_{S^*} dr + \int_0^T \|\eta_r\|_{S^*} dr \leq C(1 + \|x\|_H^2),$$

where $\tilde{\eta}_r \in A(u(t)x)$ and $\eta_r \in A(X(r, 0; \omega)x)$ for a.e. $r \in [0, T]$ and all δ small enough. Using the monotonicity of A we estimate the difference to the deterministic solution by

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y(t, 0; \omega)x - u(t)x\|_H^2 &= \int_0^t \langle \eta_r - \tilde{\eta}_r, Y(r, 0; \omega)x - u(r)x \rangle_S dr \\ &\leq \|\eta - \tilde{\eta}\|_{L^1([0, T]; S^*)} \|W^B(\omega)\|_{L^\infty([0, T]; S)} \\ &\leq C(1 + \|x\|_H^2)\delta, \end{aligned}$$

for $\omega \in \Omega^\delta$. By continuity in x this inequality remains true for all $x \in H$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|X(t, 0; \omega)x - u(t)x\|_H^2 &= \|Y(t, 0; \omega)x + W_t^B(\omega) - u(t)x\|_H^2 \\ &\leq C(1 + \|x\|_H^2)\delta, \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\delta > 0$ small enough thus yields the claim. \square

Next, we prove the asymptotic concentration of the average mass on bounded (compact resp.) sets. Recall the definition of $Q^T(x, \cdot)$, right after (5.2).

Lemma 6.7. *Suppose that Hypotheses 2.3, 4.2, 5.4 hold. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each bounded set $A \subseteq H$ there exists a constant $R(\varepsilon, \|A\|_H) > 0$ such that the sublevel set $B := \{\Theta \leq R(\varepsilon, \|A\|_H)\} \subseteq H$ satisfies*

$$\inf_{x \in A} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} Q^T(x, B) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $A \subseteq H$ be bounded and $x \in A$. For $R > 0$, $K_R := \{\Theta \leq R\}$ is a measurable set. By Itô's formula,

$$\frac{1}{T} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \Theta(X(s, 0; \cdot)x) ds \leq C(\|x\|_H^2 + 1), \quad \text{for } T \geq 1.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} Q^T(x, K_R) &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_s(x, K_R) ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left(1 - \frac{\mathbb{E} [\Theta(X(s, 0; \cdot)x)]}{R} \right) ds \geq 1 - \frac{C}{R}(\|A\|_H^2 + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $R(\varepsilon, \|A\|_H) > \varepsilon^{-1}C(\|A\|_H^2 + 1)$ yields the claim with $B := K_{R(\varepsilon, \|A\|_H)} = \{x \in H \mid \Theta(x) \leq R(\varepsilon, \|A\|_H)\}$. \square

We are now ready to prove a locally uniform lower bound for the average mass at 0.

Lemma 6.8. *Suppose that Hypotheses 2.3, 4.2, 5.3, 5.4 hold. For each $\delta > 0$ and each bounded set $A \subseteq H$*

$$\inf_{x \in A} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} Q^T(x, B_\delta(0)) > 0.$$

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$, $A \subseteq H$ be bounded, $x \in A$ and $B = K_{R(\frac{1}{2}, \|A\|_H)}$ be as in Lemma 6.7. By Hypothesis 5.4, there exists a T_0 corresponding to B such that $\|u_{T_0}^z\|_H \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ for all $z \in B$. Using Lemma 6.6 yields

$$(6.9) \quad P_{T_0}(z, B_\delta(0)) = \mathbb{P}(\|X_{T_0}^z\|_H \leq \delta) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\|X_{T_0}^z - u(T_0)z\|_H \leq \frac{\delta}{2}\right) \geq \gamma_1 > 0,$$

where $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(T_0, \delta)$ is independent of $z \in B$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} Q^T(x, B_\delta(0)) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_s(x, B_\delta(0)) ds \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_{s+T_0}(x, B_\delta(0)) ds \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_H P_s(x, dz) P_{T_0}(z, B_\delta(0)) ds \\ &\geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_B P_s(x, dz) P_{T_0}(z, B_\delta(0)) ds \\ &\geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_1 \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_B P_s(x, dz) ds \\ &\geq \gamma_1 \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} Q^T(x, B) \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{2} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(T_0, \delta) = \gamma_1(\|A\|_H, \delta)$. \square

We conclude that Theorem [KPS10, Theorem 2] can be applied to yield the claim of Theorem 5.6.

7. EXAMPLES

In the following we present several examples of singular SPDE which can be treated by the general results discussed above. Let us first consider the case in which the drift is given as the subgradient of a convex function. Let $S \subseteq H \subseteq S^*$ be a Gelfand triple of Hilbert spaces and T, T_n, J_n as in Section 2.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semi-continuous, convex function such that $\inf_{u \in S} \varphi(u) > -\infty$. Then $A := \partial\varphi : S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$ satisfies (A1), (A4), (A5).*

If

$$(7.1) \quad \varphi(u) \leq C \left(1 + \|u\|_S^2\right), \quad \forall u \in S,$$

for some $C > 0$, then A satisfies (A2).

If in addition, φ is non-expansive with respect to J_n , i.e. $\varphi(J_n u) \leq \varphi(u)$ for all $u \in S$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then A satisfies (A3).

Proof. By replacing φ with $\varphi - \inf_{u \in S} \varphi(u)$, we may assume $\varphi \geq 0$. By [Phe89, Proposition 3.3], φ is continuous on S . (A4) is satisfied since φ is independent of time t .

(A1): By [Bar10, Theorem 2.8, Proposition 1.7] A is maximal monotone with nonempty values.

(A5): As noted above, φ is continuous. Hence, there is $\delta > 0$ such that $\varphi(w) \leq \varphi(0) + 1$ for all $\|w\|_S \leq \delta$. For $v \in \partial\varphi(u)$ we observe

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{S^*} &= \frac{1}{\delta} \sup_{w \in S, \|w\|_S = \delta} S^* \langle v, w \rangle_S \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \sup_{w \in S, \|w\|_S = \delta} S^* \langle v, w - u \rangle_S + \frac{1}{\delta} S^* \langle v, u \rangle_S \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} \sup_{w \in S, \|w\|_S = \delta} \varphi(w) - \varphi(u) + \frac{1}{\delta} S^* \langle v, u \rangle_S \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\delta} (\varphi(0) + 1) + \frac{1}{\delta} S^* \langle v, u \rangle_S. \end{aligned}$$

(A2): Let $u \in S$, $v \in \partial\varphi(u)$ and φ^* be the Legendre-Fenchel transform of φ . By (7.1) it follows that

$$\varphi^*(v) \geq \frac{\|v\|_{S^*}^2}{4C} - C, \quad \forall v \in S^*,$$

compare e.g. with [RW98, eq. 11(3)]. Hence by Young's equality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{S^*}^2 &\leq 4C (\varphi^*(v) + C) = 4C (S^* \langle v, u \rangle_S - \varphi(u) + C) \\ &\leq 4C (\|v\|_{S^*} \|u\|_S + C), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (A2).

(A3): For $u \in S$, $v \in \partial\varphi(u)$

$$S^* \langle v, T_n u \rangle_S = -n S^* \langle v, J_n u - u \rangle_S \geq n (\varphi(u) - \varphi(J_n u)) \geq 0,$$

for all $u \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\varphi : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semicontinuous function with compact sublevel sets such that $\varphi|_S$ is an even, convex function satisfying $\varphi(u) = \inf_{v \in H} \varphi(v)$ iff $u = 0$. Then $A := \partial\varphi$ satisfies Hypothesis 5.4.*

Proof. We check the conditions of Remark 5.5, (2). By the subgradient property we have

$$S^* \langle v, u \rangle_S \geq \varphi(u) - \varphi(0), \quad \forall v \in \partial\varphi(u).$$

Hence, we may choose $\Theta := \varphi - \varphi(0)$ in Hypothesis 5.4. Closedness of the sublevel sets on H implies lower semi-continuity of Θ on H . Then [Bru75, Theorem 5] implies pointwise convergence to 0. \square

In the following let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a (not necessarily complete nor right-continuous) filtered probability space and $N : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow S$ be an $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ -adapted process satisfying $N(\omega) \in L^2([0, T]; D(T^{\frac{3}{2}}))$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ and strict stationarity, i.e. (N). Furthermore, let $(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a normal filtered probability space and $B : [0, T] \times \Omega \times S \rightarrow L_2(U, H)$ be an $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -progressively measurable map. The choice of the underlying Gelfand triple $S \subseteq H \subseteq S^*$ will be specified in each example.

7.1. Stochastic generalized fast-diffusion equation.

We adopt a framework inspired by Röckner and Wang [RW08]. Let (E, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a finite measure space and $(\mathcal{E}, D(\mathcal{E}))$ be a symmetric Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mu)$ with associated Dirichlet operator $(L, D(L))$ (cf. [FOT94]). Assume that L is strictly coercive, self-adjoint, positive-definite and possesses a compact resolvent. Then $D(\mathcal{E})$ is a Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|_0 := \mathcal{E}^{1/2}(\cdot)$ and $D(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq L^2(\mu)$ is dense and compact.

We define the generalized fast diffusion operator in the Gelfand triple

$$S := L^2(\mu) \subseteq H := D(\mathcal{E})^* \subseteq S^*.$$

Let $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be an even, convex, continuous function with $\Psi(0) = 0$, subdifferential $\Phi = \partial\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ and

$$(7.2) \quad \Psi(r) \leq C(|r|^2 + \mathbb{1}_{\{\mu(E) < \infty\}}), \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Explicit examples are given by:

(1) Fast diffusion equation:

$$\Phi_p(r) := \partial \left(s \mapsto \frac{1}{p} |s|^p \right) (r) = |r|^{p-1} \operatorname{sgn}(r), \quad p \in [1, 2].$$

Note that we include the limit case $p = 1$ for which

$$\Phi_1(r) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(r), & r \neq 0 \\ [-1, 1], & r = 0. \end{cases}$$

(2) Plasma diffusion:

$$\Phi_{\log}(r) := \partial \left(s \mapsto (|s| + 1) \log(|s| + 1) - |s| \right) (r) = \log(|r| + 1) \operatorname{sgn}(r).$$

We define

$$\varphi(u) := \int_E \Psi(u(\xi)) d\mu(\xi) \in [0, +\infty], \quad u \in L^1(\mu)$$

and consider its restriction to S . Let $A := \partial\varphi : S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$. Taking (7.2) and $\Psi \geq 0$ into account, we can apply Pratt's lemma [Pra60, Theorem 1] in order to see that φ is continuous on S .

As in [Bar76, Proposition 2.9] we obtain

$$(7.3) \quad A(u) = \{v \in S^* = L^2(\mu) \mid v(\xi) \in \Phi(u(\xi)), \text{ a.e. } \xi \in E\}.$$

Example 7.3. Consider the stochastic generalized fast-diffusion equation

$$(7.4) \quad dX_t \in L\Phi(X_t) dt + \begin{cases} dN_t \\ B_t(X_t) dW_t \end{cases}, \quad t \in (0, T],$$

$$X_0 = x.$$

Then Theorem 3.2, Corollary 3.4, Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.6 apply, proving the unique existence of a (limit) solution to (7.4).

Proof. By continuity, convexity and the growth condition for Ψ , $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a convex continuous function. By Proposition 7.1 it only remains to prove that φ is non-expansive with respect to J_n .

Recall that $-L$ equals the Riesz map of S as we dualize over $H = D(\mathcal{E})^*$. Since we have assumed L to be a symmetric Dirichlet operator, it holds that

$$\|J_n u\|_{L^\infty(\mu)} \leq \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mu)}, \quad \forall u \in L^\infty(\mu), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$J_n u \leq J_n v, \quad \forall u, v \in L^2(\mu), \quad 0 \leq u \leq v \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e.}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By an interpolation theorem due to Maligranda (cf. [Mal89, Theorem 3]), we obtain

$$\varphi(J_n u) \leq \varphi(u), \quad \forall u \in \tilde{L}^\Psi(\mu) \supseteq L^2(\mu) = S,$$

where

$$\tilde{L}^\Psi(\mu) := \left\{ u : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid u \text{ measurable, } \varphi(u) := \int_E \Psi(u) d\mu < \infty \right\}.$$

□

In order to prove ergodicity of the corresponding Markovian semigroup we require one of the following stronger coercivity conditions

(C1) For each $v \in L^2(\mu)$ with $v(\xi) \in \Phi(u(\xi))$ for a.e. $\xi \in E$ we have

$$\int_E vu \, d\mu \geq c \|u\|_H^p,$$

for some $p \in [1, 2)$ and some constant $c > 0$.

For example, this is satisfied in the case of stochastic fast diffusion equations on bounded domains, i.e. for $E = \Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ being a bounded, smooth domain, $\mu = dx$ being the Lebesgue measure, $L = \Delta$ being the Dirichlet Laplacian and $\Psi(r) = \frac{1}{p}|r|^p$ with $p \in \left(1 \vee \frac{2d}{d+2}, 2\right) \cup [d, 2)$ (cf. also [Liu11, LT11]).

(C2) The embedding $L^1(\mu) \subseteq H = D(\mathcal{E})^*$ is compact, $\Psi(r) = 0$ iff $r = 0$ and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Psi(r)}{r} = +\infty.$$

In particular, this is satisfied by the plasma diffusion in one space dimension.

Example 7.4. Consider the generalized stochastic fast-diffusion equation,

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &\in L\Phi(X_t) dt + B dW_t, \quad t \in (0, T], \\ X_0 &= x \end{aligned}$$

and assume that (C1) or (C2) is satisfied. Then the associated Markovian semi-group $\{P_t\}$ is weak-* mean ergodic.

Proof. First assume (C1). We prove that Hypothesis 5.4 is satisfied by Remark 5.5, (1). By (7.3) and (C1) we obtain

$$2_{S^*} \langle y, x \rangle_S = \int_E y(\xi) x(\xi) \, d\mu(\xi) \geq c \|x\|_H^p.$$

Hence, Theorem 5.6 applies.

Let us now assume (C2). We aim to prove that Hypothesis 5.4 is satisfied by application of Proposition 7.2.

By Fatou's lemma φ is lower semi-continuous on $L^1(\mu)$. Compactness of the embedding $L^1(\mu) \subseteq H$ implies that φ has relatively compact sublevel sets in H . It remains to show closedness of the sublevel sets $\{\varphi \leq \alpha\}$ in H . Let $x_n \in \{\varphi \leq \alpha\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\|x_n - x\|_H \rightarrow 0$ for some $x \in H$. By de la Vallée-Poussin's theorem, $\{x_n\}$ is uniformly integrable in $L^1(\mu)$. By the Dunford–Pettis theorem, there is $y \in L^1(\mu)$ and a subsequence $\{x_{n'}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n'} \rightharpoonup y$ weakly in $L^1(\mu)$. Since $\{\varphi \leq \alpha\}$ is convex and closed in $L^1(\mu)$, it is also weakly closed in $L^1(\mu)$ by Mazur's lemma. Hence $y \in \{\varphi \leq \alpha\}$. By weak continuity of the embedding $L^1(\mu) \subseteq H$, we conclude $y = x$.

The claim follows now from Proposition 7.2. \square

As pointed out above, explicit examples include the fast diffusion equation for $p \in \left(1 \vee \frac{2d}{d+2}, 2\right) \cup [d, 2)$ and the generalized stochastic plasma-diffusion equation for $d = 1$. Note that this includes the limit case of the fast diffusion equation $(\Psi_1(r) = |r|)$ in one space dimension.

7.1.1. Ergodicity for the stochastic plasma-diffusion in two space dimensions.

In the situation of the stochastic plasma-diffusion in two space dimensions the embedding $L^1(\Lambda) \subseteq H = (H_0^1(\Lambda))^*$ does not hold and thus condition (C2) cannot be used to prove ergodicity anymore. In particular, we cannot expect compactness of the level sets of

$$\varphi(u) = \int_\Lambda \Psi(u(\xi)) d\xi = \int_\Lambda (|u(\xi)| + 1) \log(|u(\xi)| + 1) - |u(\xi)| d\xi.$$

However, in this section we provide a Lyapunov function Θ with bounded sublevel sets $K_R := \{\Theta \leq R\}$ in H such that the solutions to the deterministic equation converge to zero uniformly on K_R (i.e. Hypothesis 5.4 holds).

Let $L = \Delta$ be the Dirichlet Laplace operator on a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. Let $S = L^2(\Lambda)$, $H = H^{-1}(\Lambda)$. Consider the stochastic plasma-diffusion with additive noise,

$$(7.6) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &= \Delta [\log(|X_t| + 1) \operatorname{sgn}(X_t)] dt + B dW_t, \quad t \in (0, T], \\ X_0 &= x. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Phi_{\log}(r) := \log(|r| + 1) \operatorname{sgn}(r)$. Observe that $\Phi_{\log} \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$, i.e. Φ_{\log} is continuously differentiable and Lipschitz. Indeed, $\Phi'_{\log}(r) = \frac{1}{|r|+1} \in (0, 1]$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Consider the deterministic equation

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} du_t &= \Delta [\log(|u_t| + 1) \operatorname{sgn}(u_t)] dt, \quad t \in (0, T], \\ u_0 &= x \in H^{-1}(\Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.5. *Let u be a weak solution to (7.7). Let*

$$\theta(r) := \Phi_{\log}(r)r = |r| \log(|r| + 1).$$

Then

$$\Theta(v) := \int_{\Lambda} \theta(v) d\xi \in [0, +\infty], \quad v \in H^{-1}(\Lambda) \cap L^1(\Lambda),$$

is a Lyapunov function such that u and Θ satisfy Hypothesis 5.4.

Moreover, the Markovian semigroup $\{P_t\}$ associated to (7.6) is weak- mean ergodic.*

We extend Θ by $+\infty$ to all of $H^{-1}(\Lambda)$. Lower semicontinuity of $\Theta : H \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ then follows from coercivity of θ , the Dunford-Pettis theorem and weak lower semicontinuity of $\Theta : L^1(\Lambda) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$. In turn, weak lower semicontinuity of $\Theta : L^1(\Lambda) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ follows from convexity and Fatou's Lemma.

For the proof of Theorem 7.5, we shall need the following form of the Trudinger-Moser inequality:

Lemma 7.6 ([Mos71]). *Let $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded, open domain and let $u \in H_0^1(\Lambda)$. Then there exists a constant $c = c(2) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\Lambda} \exp(|u|) d\xi \leq c|\Lambda| \exp\left(\frac{1}{16\pi} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Lambda)}^2\right).$$

Proof. See e.g. [NSY97, Section 2, Corollary 1, p. 414]. □

Lemma 7.7. *Let $u \in H_0^1(\Lambda)$ with $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1$. Let $\theta(r) := |r| \log(|r| + 1)$ and θ^* the associated convex conjugate function. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending only on $|\Lambda|$, such that*

$$\int_{\Lambda} \theta^*(u) d\xi \leq C.$$

Proof. Let $r > 0$. Then

$$\theta(r) = r \log(r + 1) \geq r \log(r + 1) - r \geq r \log(r) - r.$$

Taking the convex conjugate (see e.g. [BL06, Section 3.3, p. 49]) yields

$$\theta^*(r) \leq \exp(r), \quad \forall r \geq 0,$$

and hence by symmetry

$$\theta^*(r) \leq \exp(|r|), \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The claim follows now by Lemma 7.6. □

Proof of Theorem 7.5. We observe that θ is twice continuously differentiable with

$$\theta'(r) = \frac{r}{|r|+1} + \Phi_{\log}(r), \quad \theta''(r) = \frac{|r|+2}{(|r|+1)^2}.$$

Since $\theta''(r) \in (0, 2]$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we see that θ is convex and θ' is Lipschitz. Moreover, θ is an N -function, that is, θ is even, convex, continuous, non-decreasing, $\theta(r) = 0$ iff $r = 0$ and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\theta(r)}{r} = 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\theta(r)}{r} = +\infty.$$

θ satisfies the following form of the so-called Δ_2 -condition

$$(7.8) \quad \theta(2r) \leq 4\theta(r), \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Indeed,

$$\theta(2r) = |2r| \log(|2r|+1) \leq 2|r| \log((|r|+1)^2) = 4|r| \log(|r|+1) = 4\theta(r).$$

For initial data $u_0 \in L^2(\Lambda)$, we get by the chain-rule ($0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$)

$$\int_{\Lambda} \theta(u_t) d\xi - \int_{\Lambda} \theta(u_s) d\xi = \int_s^t \int_{\Lambda} \theta'(u_r) \Delta \Phi_{\log}(u_r) d\xi dr.$$

Integrating by parts and the chain-rule yield

$$\int_{\Lambda} \theta(u_t) d\xi - \int_{\Lambda} \theta(u_s) d\xi = - \int_s^t \int_{\Lambda} \theta''(u_r) \Phi'_{\log}(u_r) |\nabla u_r|^2 d\xi dr \leq 0$$

and $t \mapsto \int_{\Lambda} \theta(u_t) d\xi$ is non-increasing. These informal calculations can be made rigorous by standard approximation techniques. Taking into account that

$$\|u_t\|_{-1}^2 = \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 - 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Lambda} \theta(u_r) d\xi dr,$$

we get for $u_0 \in L^2(\Lambda)$ that

$$\int_{\Lambda} \theta(u_t) d\xi \leq \frac{\|u_0\|_{-1}^2}{2t}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2^{-k} \leq \varepsilon \leq 2^{-k+1}$. Then $\theta(\frac{s}{\varepsilon}) \leq 4^k \theta(s)$ by (7.8). Then

$$\int_{\Lambda} \theta\left(\frac{u_t}{\varepsilon}\right) d\xi \leq \frac{4^k \|u_0\|_{-1}^2}{2t} \leq \frac{1}{t} 2^{2k-1} \|u_0\|_{-1}^2 \leq \frac{2}{t} \varepsilon^{-2} \|u_0\|_{-1}^2.$$

Therefore, for $\varepsilon := (2 \|u_0\|_{-1}^2)^{1/2} t^{-1/2}$,

$$\int_{\Lambda} \theta\left(\frac{u_t}{\varepsilon}\right) d\xi \leq 1$$

and hence

$$\|u_t\|_{(\theta)} \leq \varepsilon = \sqrt{\frac{2}{t}} \|u_0\|_{-1},$$

where

$$\|v\|_{(\theta)} := \inf \left\{ k > 0 \mid \int_{\Lambda} \theta\left(\frac{v}{k}\right) d\xi \leq 1 \right\}$$

denotes the so-called *gauge-norm*.

Now, by [RR02, Theorem 1.2.6, (i), p. 15] and [RR02, Theorem 1.2.8, (ii), p. 17] and Lemma 7.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_t\|_{-1} &= \sup \left\{ \int_{\Lambda} u_t v \, d\xi \mid v \in H_0^1(\Lambda), \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1 \right\} \\
(7.9) \quad &\leq 2 \|u_t\|_{(\theta)} \sup \left\{ \left(1 \vee \int_{\Lambda} \theta^*(v) \, d\xi \right) \mid v \in H_0^1(\Lambda), \|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 1 \right\} \\
&\leq 2\sqrt{2}(1 \vee C) \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \|u_0\|_{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By density of the embedding $L^2(\Lambda) \subseteq H^{-1}(\Lambda)$ and continuity in the initial data we get (7.9) for all $u_0 \in H^{-1}(\Lambda)$. In particular, $u_t \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on bounded sets in H .

To verify Hypothesis 5.4 it remains to prove boundedness of the sublevel sets $K_R := \{\Theta \leq R\}$. By [RR02, Theorem 1.2.8, (i)], $\|u\|_{(\theta)} \leq 1$ iff $\Theta(u) \leq 1$ and by the same calculation as for (7.9)

$$\|u\|_{-1} \leq C \|u\|_{(\theta)}, \quad \forall u \in H^{-1}(\Lambda).$$

If $\Theta(u) \leq 1$ then $\|u\|_{(\theta)} \leq 1$. If $\Theta(u) > 1$ then $\|u\|_{(\theta)} > 1$ and by [RR02, Theorem 1.2.8, (i)] $\Theta(u) \geq \|u\|_{(\theta)}$. Hence,

$$\|u\|_{-1} \leq C(1 + \Theta(u)), \quad \forall u \in H^{-1}(\Lambda).$$

and Θ has bounded sublevel sets.

The proof is completed by Theorem 5.6. \square

7.2. The stochastic singular Φ -Laplacian equation.

7.2.1. Dirichlet boundary conditions in a bounded domain.

Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded domain with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Lambda$ of class C^2 and $-\Delta$ be the Dirichlet Laplacian on Λ . We define $|\cdot|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ to be the Euclidean norm and the inner-product on \mathbb{R}^d respectively. Let

$$S := H_0^1(\Lambda) \subseteq H := L^2(\Lambda) \subseteq S^*,$$

where we endow S with the equivalent norm $\|u\|_S := (\int_{\Lambda} |\nabla u|^2 \, d\xi)^{1/2}$.

Let $\Psi(x) = \tilde{\Psi}(|x|) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a radially symmetric function with $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ being even, convex, continuous, non-decreasing and satisfying $\tilde{\Psi}(0) = 0$. We further assume

$$(7.10) \quad \Psi(x) \leq C(|x|^2 + 1), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Let $\Phi := \partial\Psi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}^d}$. Explicit examples are given by:

- (1) Singular p -Laplacian: $\Phi_p(x) := \partial \left(\frac{1}{p} |\cdot|^p \right) (x) = |x|^{p-1} \text{Sgn}(x)$, $p \in [1, 2]$, where

$$\text{Sgn}(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{x}{|x|}, & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}, \\ \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |y| \leq 1\}, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that we include the limit case $p = 1$.

- (2) Minimal surface flow:

$$\Phi_{\text{m.s.f.}}(x) := \partial \left(\sqrt{1 + |\cdot|^2} \right) (x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(3) Plastic antiplanar shear deformation:

$$\Phi_{\text{p.a.s.}}(x) := \partial \left(y \mapsto \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}|y|^2, & \text{if } |y| \leq 1, \\ |y| - \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } |y| > 1, \end{cases} \right) (x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\ \text{Sgn}(x), & \text{if } |x| > 1, \end{cases}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

(4) Curve shortening flow:

$$\Phi_{\text{arctan}}(r) := \partial \left(s \mapsto s \arctan(s) - \frac{1}{2} \log(s^2 + 1) \right) (r) = \arctan(r), \quad r \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We define

$$\varphi(u) := \int_{\Lambda} \Psi(\nabla u(\xi)) \, d\xi, \quad u \in S$$

and $A := \partial\varphi : S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$. Since $\nabla : S = H_0^1(\Lambda) \rightarrow L^2(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is a linear, continuous operator, Pratt's lemma [Pra60, Theorem 1] (using (7.10) and $\Psi \geq 0$) implies that φ is continuous on S .

Remark 7.8. We would like to point out that we do not consider the 1-Laplace operator on $H = L^2(\Lambda)$, that is, the $L^2(\Lambda)$ -subdifferential of the energy

$$\bar{\varphi}(u) := \begin{cases} \|Du\|(\Lambda) + \int_{\partial\Lambda} |u^\Lambda| \, d\mathcal{H}^{d-1}, & \text{if } u \in BV(\Lambda) \cap L^2(\Lambda), \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $\|Du\|(\Lambda)$ is the total variation of u (measured in Λ), u^Λ is the boundary trace of u on $\partial\Lambda$ and \mathcal{H}^{d-1} is the $(d-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure on $\partial\Lambda$. $\bar{\varphi}$ is the closure of $u \mapsto \int_{\Lambda} |\nabla u| \, dx$, $u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Lambda)$ in $L^2(\Lambda)$ (cf. [ABM06, Proposition 11.3.2], [BR13, Section 2]).

The $L^2(\Lambda)$ subdifferential $\partial\bar{\varphi}$ was characterized in [KS07, Proposition 4.23 (1)]. As our framework relies on the triple $S \subseteq H \subseteq S^*$, for our purposes, it is sufficient to consider $\varphi(u) := \int_{\Lambda} |\nabla u| \, dx$ for $u \in H_0^1(\Lambda)$. Since φ is continuous with respect to this stronger $H_0^1(\Lambda)$ -norm the maximal monotonicity of $A := \partial\varphi : S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$ follows from standard results. Note $\varphi = \bar{\varphi}$ on $S = H_0^1(\Lambda)$.

Since $\nabla : S = H_0^1(\Lambda) \rightarrow L^2(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}^d)$ has as adjoint $\nabla^* = (-\Delta)^{-1} \circ \text{div}$, the chain-rule for subgradients (cf. [Sho97, Proposition 7.8]) implies $\partial\varphi = \nabla^* \circ \partial \left(\int_{\Lambda} \Psi(\cdot) \, d\xi \right) \circ \nabla$, i.e. $\nabla^* y \in \partial\varphi(x) \subseteq S^*$ iff $y \in L^2(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $y(\xi) \in \Phi(\nabla x(\xi))$ for almost every $\xi \in \Lambda$. In this case

$$(7.11) \quad {}_{S^*} \langle \nabla^* y, z \rangle_S = \int_{\Lambda} \langle y(\xi), \nabla z \rangle \, d\xi.$$

Hence A is an S -realization of the singular Φ -Laplace operator

$$A(u) := -\text{div } \Phi(\nabla u).$$

Example 7.9. Consider the stochastic, singular Φ -Laplace equation

$$(7.12) \quad dX_t \in \text{div } \Phi(\nabla X_t) \, dt + \begin{cases} dN_t \\ B_t(X_t) dW_t \end{cases}, \quad t \in (0, T],$$

$$X_0 = x,$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then Theorem 3.2, Corollary 3.4, Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.6 apply, proving the unique existence of a (limit) solution to (7.12).

Proof. By continuity, convexity and the growth bound of Ψ , $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a convex, continuous function. By Proposition 7.1 it only remains to prove that φ is non-expansive with respect to J_n . This follows from Propositions D.1 and D.2 in the appendix. \square

In order to prove ergodicity of the associated Markovian semigroup we need to assume

(C3) For some $p \in [1, 2)$ and for all $\nabla^* v \in \partial\varphi(u) \subseteq S^*$

$$(7.13) \quad \int_{\Lambda} \langle v(\xi), \nabla u(\xi) \rangle d\xi \geq c \|u\|_H^p.$$

For example, this is the case for the stochastic singular p -Laplace equation, i.e. for $\Phi(x) := |x|^{p-1} \text{Sgn}(x)$ with $p \in [1 \vee \frac{2d}{2+d}, 2)$ (cf. also [LT11]). For $p = 1$, the result was conjectured in [CT12].

Example 7.10. Consider the stochastic, singular Φ -Laplace equation

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &\in \text{div } \Phi(\nabla X_t) dt + B dW_t, \quad t \in (0, T], \\ X_0 &= x, \end{aligned}$$

with Dirichlet boundary conditions and assume (C3). Then the associated Markovian semigroup $\{P_t\}$ is weak-* mean ergodic.

Proof. First assume (C3). We prove that Hypothesis 5.4 is satisfied by Remark 5.5, (1). Using (7.13) and (7.11) we observe

$$2_{S^*} \langle \nabla^* y, x \rangle_S = \int_{\Lambda} \langle y(\xi), \nabla x(\xi) \rangle d\xi \geq c \|x\|_H^p,$$

for all $y \in L^2(\Lambda; \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $y(\xi) \in \Phi(\nabla x(\xi))$ for a.e. $\xi \in \Lambda$. Thus, we may apply Theorem 5.6. \square

In particular, this applies to the stochastic total variation flow ($\Phi_1(x) = \text{Sgn}(x)$) in one and two space dimensions.

7.2.2. Neumann boundary conditions in a bounded domain. Let $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded, open domain with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Lambda$. We either assume that Λ is convex or $\partial\Lambda$ is C^2 and convex. By the Rellich–Kondrachov compact embedding theorem, $S := H^1(\Lambda) \subseteq L^2(\Lambda) =: H$ compactly (and densely). S is normed by $\|u\|_S^2 := \int_{\Lambda} |\nabla u|^2 d\xi + \int_{\Lambda} |u|^2 d\xi$. Let J_n be the resolvent of the Riesz map of S , which is the $H^1(\Lambda)$ realization of $-\Delta + \text{Id}$, where Δ denotes the Neumann Laplacian on Λ .

Let $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be an Orlicz function, i.e., $\tilde{\Psi}$ is even, convex, continuous, non-decreasing and satisfies $\tilde{\Psi}(0) = 0$. Set $\Psi(z) := \tilde{\Psi}(|z|)$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Assume that for some constant $C > 0$,

$$(7.15) \quad \Psi(z) \leq C(1 + |z|^2) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let $\Phi := \partial\Psi$. An explicit example is given by the singular p -Laplacian nonlinearity

$$\Psi_p(z) := \frac{1}{p} |z|^p, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $p \in [1, 2]$. The nonlinear diffusion operator “ $A(u) := -\text{div}[\Phi(\nabla u)]$ ” is defined rigorously as the subdifferential of the convex potential

$$\varphi(u) := \int_{\Lambda} \Psi(\nabla u) d\xi, \quad u \in S.$$

Taking (7.15) and $\Psi \geq 0$ into account, we can apply Pratt’s lemma [Pra60, Theorem 1] in order to see that φ is continuous on $H^1(\Lambda)$.

As in Section 7.2.1, $A := \partial\varphi$ admits the following variational characterization: For $u \in S$, $\nabla^* y \in A(u)$ iff $|y| \in H$ and $y(\xi) \in \Phi(\nabla u(\xi))$ for a.e. $\xi \in \Lambda$. In this case,

$$_{S^*} \langle \nabla^* y, v \rangle_S = \int_{\Lambda} \langle y(\xi), \nabla v(\xi) \rangle d\xi, \quad v \in S.$$

Example 7.11. Consider the stochastic Φ -Laplace equation with Neumann boundary conditions

$$(7.16) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &\in \operatorname{div} \Phi(\nabla X_t) dt + \begin{cases} dN_t \\ B_t(X_t) dW_t \end{cases}, \quad t \in (0, T], \\ X_0 &= x \in L^2(\Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Then Theorem 3.2, Corollary 3.4, Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.6 apply, proving the unique existence of a (limit) solution to (7.16).

Proof. By Proposition 7.1, we are done if we can show that φ is non-expansive with respect to $\{J_n\}$. Let $\{J_n^0\}$ be the resolvent of $-\Delta$ and $\{P_t^0\}$ be the associated L^2 semigroup. In our situation, [WY13, Eq. (1.1)] holds with $K = 0$. Therefore by [WY13, Theorem 1.2] or [Wan05a, Proposition 2.5.1], we have the following gradient estimate for all $f \in C_b^1(\overline{\Lambda})$

$$|\nabla P_t^0 f| \leq P_t^0 |\nabla f|, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Let $\{P_t\}$ be the semigroup associated to $\{J_n\}$. By the Trotter product formula [RS80, Ch. VIII.8], $P_t = e^{-t} P_t^0$. Hence for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla J_n u| &= \left| \nabla \int_0^\infty e^{-t} P_{t/n}(u) dt \right| = \left| \nabla \int_0^\infty e^{-t-\frac{t}{n}} P_{t/n}^0(u) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty e^{-t-\frac{t}{n}} P_{t/n}^0 |\nabla u| dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} P_{t/n} |\nabla u| dt = J_n |\nabla u|, \quad \forall u \in C_b^1(\overline{\Lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{P_t\}$ and hence $\{J_n\}$ is Markovian symmetric on $L^2(\Lambda)$, by [Mal89, Theorem 3]

$$\int_\Lambda \tilde{\Psi}(J_n u(\xi)) d\xi \leq \int_\Lambda \tilde{\Psi}(u(\xi)) d\xi, \quad \forall u \in L^2(\Lambda).$$

Combining these two inequalities we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(J_n u) &= \int_\Lambda \tilde{\Psi}(|\nabla J_n u(\xi)|) d\xi \\ &\leq \int_\Lambda \tilde{\Psi}(J_n |\nabla u|(\xi)) d\xi \\ &\leq \int_\Lambda \tilde{\Psi}(|\nabla u|(\xi)) d\xi = \varphi(u), \quad \forall u \in H^1(\Lambda), \end{aligned}$$

by density of $C_b^1(\overline{\Lambda}) \subseteq H^1(\Lambda)$ and dominated convergence. \square

Remark 7.12. Using the results from [Wan05a, Wan05b], it is possible to deal with curved domains, so that Λ is replaced by a compact Riemannian manifold or a Riemannian manifold equipped with a weight.

To prove ergodicity we again need to require a stronger coercivity condition, i.e. we assume

$$(7.17) \quad \int_\Lambda \langle \Phi(\nabla u(\xi)), \nabla u(\xi) \rangle d\xi \geq c \|u\|_H^p,$$

for some $c > 0$ and some $p \in [1, 2)$. For example, by the Poincaré inequality, this is satisfied by the Neumann p -Laplacian with mean zero starting points for $p \in \left[1 \vee \frac{2d}{2+d}, 2\right)$, i.e., we replace the energy space $H^1(\Lambda)$ above by the closed subspace $S := H_{\text{av}}^1(\Lambda) := \{u \in H^1(\Lambda) \mid \int_\Lambda u = 0\}$ (cf. e.g. [GK11]). In this setup, we also need to take $H := L_{\text{av}}^2(\Lambda) := \{u \in L^2(\Lambda) \mid \int_\Lambda u = 0\}$.

By the same proof as for Example 7.10 we obtain

Example 7.13. Consider the stochastic Φ -Laplace equation with Neumann boundary conditions

$$(7.18) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t &\in \operatorname{div}[\Phi(\nabla X_t)] dt + B dW_t, \quad t \in (0, T], \\ X_0 &= x \in L^2_{\text{av}}(\Lambda), \end{aligned}$$

for Φ satisfying (7.17). Then the associated Markovian semigroup $\{P_t\}$ is weak-* mean ergodic.

APPENDIX A. A DETERMINISTIC EXISTENCE RESULT

Consider the following multi-valued Cauchy problem:

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}u(t) + J(t, u(t)) \in F(t, u(t)) & \text{for a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = u_0. \end{cases}$$

Here $J : [0, T] \times S \rightarrow S^*$ and $F : [0, T] \times S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$.

Definition A.1. A solution of (A.1) is a function $u \in W^{1,2}(0, T)$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}u(t) + J(t, u(t)) = f(t)$$

a.e. on $[0, T]$, $u(0) = u_0$ and $f \in L^2([0, T]; S^*)$ such that $f(t) \in F(t, u(t))$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Consider the following conditions on J and F :

- (J1) $t \mapsto J(t, x)$ is measurable for all $x \in S$.
- (J2) $x \mapsto J(t, x)$ is demicontinuous and for almost all $t \in [0, T]$, $J(t, \cdot)$ satisfies: if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in S and

$$\lim_n \sup_{S^*} \langle J(t, u_n) - J(t, u), u_n - u \rangle_S \leq 0,$$

then $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in S .

Note that the last property is satisfied whenever $J(t, \cdot)$ is strongly monotone, i.e.

$$_{S^*} \langle A(u) - A(v), u - v \rangle_S \geq c \|u - v\|_S^2 \quad \forall u, v \in S, \text{ for some } c > 0.$$

- (J3) $\|J(t, x)\|_{S^*} \leq j_1(t) + c_1 \|x\|_S$ a.e. on $[0, T]$ for all $x \in S$ with $j_1 \in L^2([0, T])$, $c_1 > 0$.
- (J4) $_{S^*} \langle J(t, x), x \rangle_S \geq c_2 \|x\|_S^2 - c'_2 \|x\|_S - j_2(t)$ a.e. on $[0, T]$ for all $x \in S$ with $c_2, c'_2 > 0$ and $j_2 \in L^1([0, T])$.
- (F1) The values of F are non-empty, closed and convex.
- (F2) $t \mapsto F(t, x)$ is measurable for all $x \in S$.
- (F3) $\operatorname{Gr} F(t, \cdot)$ is sequentially closed in $S \times S_w^*$ for almost all $t \in [0, T]$.
- (F4) $\|F(t, x)\|_{S^*} \leq f_1(t) + c_3 \|x\|_S$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ with $f_1 \in L^2([0, T])$, $c_3 > 0$.
- (F5) $_{S^*} \langle y, x \rangle_S \leq \gamma(t)$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, all $x \in S$, $y \in F(t, x)$ and some $\gamma \in L^1([0, T])_+$.

Here “Gr” denotes the graph of a multi-valued map,

$$L^1([0, T])_+ := \{f \in L^1([0, T]) \mid f \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } [0, T]\},$$

and “ S_w^* ” denotes the weak Hilbert topology of S^* .

The following theorem can be found in [HP00, Theorem I.2.40] or [PPY00, Theorem 3]. See [BW99] for similar results.

Theorem A.2 (Hu–Papageorgiou–Papalini–Yannakakis). Suppose that J and F satisfy (J1)–(J4) and (F1)–(F5) resp. Then the set of solutions to inclusion (A.1) for initial point $u_0 \in H$ is nonempty, weakly compact in $W^{1,2}(0, T)$ and compact in $C([0, T]; H)$.

APPENDIX B. MAXIMAL MONOTONE OPERATORS DEPENDING ON A PARAMETER

Let S be a separable Hilbert space, (E, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a σ -finite measure space and $A : E \times S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$ satisfy:

(MM) For μ -a.a. $u \in E$ the map $x \mapsto A(u, x)$ is maximal monotone with nonempty values.

Definition B.1. A map $A : S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$ with non-empty values is called strongly-to-weakly upper semi-continuous if for each $x \in S$ and for each weakly open set V in S^* such that $A(x) \subseteq V$ and for all $\{x_n\} \subseteq S$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$ strongly, we have that $A(x_n) \subseteq V$ for large n .

A map $A : S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$ with non-empty values is called weakly upper hemicontinuous if for each $x, y \in S$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and for each weakly open set V in S^* such that $A(x + \lambda y) \subseteq V$ and for all $\{\lambda_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$, we have that $A(x + \lambda_n y) \subseteq V$ for large n .

A map $A : S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$ with non-empty values is weakly upper hemicontinuous whenever it is strongly-to-weakly upper semi-continuous.

Let \tilde{A} be the mapping defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A} : L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S) &\rightarrow 2^{L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S^*)}, \\ \tilde{A} : x &\mapsto \{y \in L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S^*) \mid y(u) \in A(u, x(u)), \mu\text{-a.e. } u \in E\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\tilde{A}(x)$ might be empty for some (or all) $x \in L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S)$.

Proposition B.2. Suppose that (MM) holds. Then the graph of \tilde{A} is sequentially closed in $L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S) \times L^2_w(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S^*)$.

Proof. Our proof is inspired by [AD72, proof of Proposition (3.4)]. Let $x_n \rightarrow x$ in $L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S)$, such that $[x_n, y_n] \in \text{Gr } \tilde{A}$ exists for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $y_n \rightharpoonup \eta$ weakly in $L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S^*)$ for some $\eta \in L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S^*)$. Let us extract a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_k}(u) \rightarrow x(u)$ for μ -a.e. $u \in E$. By the Banach-Saks theorem,

$$w_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

converges to η strongly in $L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S^*)$ (and hence $\{w_{n_k}\}$, too). By extracting another subsequence, if necessary, there is a μ -null set $N \in \mathcal{B}$ such that for all $u \in E \setminus N$

$$x_{n_k}(u) \rightarrow x(u) \text{ strongly in } S, \quad w_{n_k}(u) \rightarrow \eta(u) \text{ strongly in } S^*$$

and $A(u, \cdot)$ is maximal monotone. By [HP97, Ch. 3, Theorem 1.28], $A(u, \cdot)$ is strongly-to-weakly upper semi-continuous. Let $u \in E \setminus N$ and V be any weakly open neighborhood of $A(u, x(u))$. Then $y_{n_k}(u) \in V$ for large k and hence $w_{n_k}(u) \in \text{co}V$. As a result, $\eta(u) = \lim_k w_{n_k}(u) \in \overline{\text{co}V}$. Since V was chosen arbitrarily and $A(u, x(u))$ is a weakly closed, convex set (see e.g. [HP97, Ch. 3, Proposition 1.14]), we conclude

$$\eta(u) \in \bigcap_{\substack{V \text{ weakly open} \\ V \supseteq A(u, x(u))}} \overline{\text{co}V} = A(u, x(u)).$$

Since we can repeat the argument for all $u \in E \setminus N$, we get that $[x, \eta] \in \text{Gr } \tilde{A}$. \square

Lemma B.3. Suppose that $A : S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$ is a map with non-empty values. Then the following statements are equivalent.

(i) A is maximal monotone.

- (ii) A is monotone, has weakly compact and convex values and is weakly upper hemicontinuous.

Proof. Follows from [CZ05, Propositions 2.6.4 and 2.6.5]. □

Proposition B.4. *Let (E, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a complete σ -finite measure space. Suppose that $A : E \times S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$ is a map with non-empty values such that*

- (1) $u \mapsto A(u, x)$ is \mathcal{B} -measurable for all $x \in S$.
- (2) $x \mapsto A(u, x)$ is maximal monotone for all $u \in E$.
- (3) $\sup_{y \in A(x, u)} \|y\|_{S^*} \leq f(u) + C \|x\|_S$ for μ -a.a. $u \in E$ for all $x \in S$ and some $C > 0$, $f \in L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu)_+$.

Then $\tilde{A} : L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S) \rightarrow 2^{L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S^)}$ is a maximal monotone map with non-empty values.*

The above conditions mimic those in [Pap92, cond. H(A)], except for an additional coercivity condition that is assumed therein.

Proof of Proposition B.4. Compare with [Pap92, Proof of Lemma 3.3]. By condition (2) and [HP97, Ch. 3, Theorem 1.28] $x \mapsto A(u, x)$ is strongly-to-weakly upper semi-continuous for all $u \in E$. By condition (1) and [Zyg92, Theorem 1, Theorem 2] the map $u \mapsto A(u, x(u))$ is \mathcal{B} -measurable and non-empty valued for all $x \in L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S)$. Condition (3) implies that each measurable selection $y \in A(\cdot, x(\cdot))$ is contained in $L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S^*)$, thus $\tilde{A}(x)$ is non-empty valued. Because of condition (2), \tilde{A} is monotone. We are left to prove that \tilde{A} is weakly upper hemicontinuous and has weakly compact, convex values in $L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S^*)$.

We note that by condition (2) and Lemma B.3, for all $u \in E$ every $x \in L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S)$, $A(u, x(u))$ is a non-empty, convex and weakly compact set in 2^{S^*} . It is easy to see that $A(\cdot, x(\cdot))$ is a convex subset of $L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S^*)$. As in the proof of Proposition B.2 we see that $A(\cdot, x(\cdot))$ is weakly closed in $L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S^*)$. Weak compactness follows from condition (3) and the Banach-Alaoglu theorem.

Now, let $x, v \in L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S)$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, V be a weakly open set such that $\tilde{A}(x + \lambda v) \subseteq V$ and $\{\lambda_n\} \subseteq [0, 1]$ such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$. Clearly, $x + \lambda_n v \rightarrow x + \lambda v$ strongly in $L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S)$. Suppose that “ $\tilde{A}(x + \lambda_n v) \subseteq V$ for large n ” is not valid. Then there exists a subsequence $y_{n_k} \in \tilde{A}(x + \lambda_{n_k} v)$ such that $y_{n_k} \notin V$. Passing to a further subsequence, by condition (3) and the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, we may assume that $y_{n_k} \rightharpoonup \eta$ weakly for some $\eta \in L^2(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu; S^*)$. By Proposition B.2, $\eta \in \tilde{A}(x + \lambda v) \subseteq V$ which gives a contradiction, since V was assumed to be weakly open. Hence \tilde{A} is weakly upper hemicontinuous and the proof is completed by Lemma B.3. □

APPENDIX C. COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT KINDS OF SOLUTIONS

In [BDPR09c, BDPR12, BR13] another approach for multi-valued singular stochastic evolution equations was developed by introducing solutions in the sense of *stochastic (evolution) variational inequalities* (SVI). In the following, we shall compare our notion of a solution in the sense of Definitions 4.3, 4.5 with such SVI solutions (see also [BR13, Remark 3.4]).

Assume that there exists a proper, l.s.c., convex function $\varphi : H \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that $\varphi_S := \varphi|_S$ is finite-valued on S and admits a subdifferential $\partial\varphi_S : S \rightarrow 2^{S^*}$ with $\partial\varphi_S = A$. Assume also that

$$(C.1) \quad \varphi_S(x) \leq C(1 + \|x\|_S^2)$$

for some constant $C \geq 0$.

Let $(\Omega, \widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ be a normal filtered probability space. Consider the following SPDE in H

$$(C.2) \quad \begin{aligned} dX_t + \partial\varphi(X_t) dt &\ni B_t(X_t) dW_t, \quad t > 0, \\ X_0 &= x \in H, \end{aligned}$$

here, W_t is a cylindrical Wiener process in U , where U is some separable Hilbert space, and $B : [0, T] \times \Omega \times H \rightarrow L_2(U, H)$ is assumed to be $\{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ -progressively measurable.

Definition C.1. Let $0 < T < \infty$ and let $x \in H$. A stochastic process $X : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ is said to be an SVI solution to (C.2) if the following conditions hold.

- (i) X is $\{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ -adapted, X has \mathbb{P} -a.s. continuous sample paths in H and $X_0 = x$.
- (ii) $X \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; H)$, $\varphi(X) \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega)$.
- (iii) For all $\{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ -progressively measurable processes $G \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; H)$ and all $\{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ -adapted H -valued processes Z with \mathbb{P} -a.s. continuous sample paths such that $Z \in L^2([0, T] \times \Omega; S)$ and Z solving the equation

$$Z_t - Z_0 + \int_0^t G_s ds = \int_0^t B_s(Z_s) dW_s, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

we have

$$(C.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|X_t - Z_t\|_H^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \varphi(X_\tau) d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|x - Z_0\|_H^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \varphi(Z_\tau) d\tau + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (X_\tau - Z_\tau, G_\tau)_H d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|B_\tau(X_\tau) - B_\tau(Z_\tau)\|_{L_2(U, H)}^2 d\tau, \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

There is at least one such pair (G, Z) .

Note that for additive noise, i.e. when B does not depend on the position of X in H , the last term in the variational inequality introduced above vanishes.

Remark C.2. If B satisfies Hypothesis 4.1 and Hypothesis 4.2 then there exists at least one pair (G, Z) satisfying the requirements of (iii) in the above Definition. This follows (with $G \equiv 0$) e.g. from Theorem 4.4.

Proposition C.3. Let X be a solution (resp. limit solution) to (C.2) in the sense of Definition 4.3 (Definition 4.5 resp.). Assume that A satisfies Hypothesis 2.3 and B satisfies Hypothesis 4.1 and Hypothesis 4.2. Then X is also an SVI solution to (C.2).

Proof. We first consider the case of X being a solution in the sense of Definition 4.3. Then Definition C.1, (i) is satisfied by assumption. Since A satisfies Hypothesis 2.3 also Definition C.1, (ii) is satisfied. Let (G, Z) be as in Definition C.1. Using Itô's formula [PR07, Theorem 4.2.5] for the S^* -valued process

$$X_t - Z_t = x - Z_0 + \int_0^t (G_\tau - \eta_\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t (B_\tau(X_\tau) - B_\tau(Z_\tau)) dW_\tau$$

and taking expectation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|X_t - Z_t\|_H^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t {}_{S^*} \langle \eta_\tau, X_\tau - Z_\tau \rangle_S d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|x - Z_0\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|B_\tau(X_\tau) - B_\tau(Z_\tau)\|_{L_2(U,H)}^2 d\tau \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (X_\tau - Z_\tau, G_\tau)_H d\tau, \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

By the subgradient property,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|X_t - Z_t\|_H^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \varphi_S(X_\tau) d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \|x - Z_0\|_H^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \varphi_S(Z_\tau) d\tau + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t (X_\tau - Z_\tau, G_\tau)_H d\tau \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|B_\tau(X_\tau) - B_\tau(Z_\tau)\|_{L_2(U,H)}^2 d\tau, \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, X is an SVI solution in the sense of Definition C.1.

Let now X be a limit solution in the sense of Definition 4.5. Again, Definition C.1, (i) is satisfied by assumption. Let $x_n \in S$ with $\|x_n - x\|_H \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and let X^n be a solution to (C.2) in the sense of Definition 4.3 starting in x_n . By Definition 4.5 we have

$$X^n \rightarrow X, \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; H)).$$

We have already seen that X^n is an SVI solution and thus satisfies (C.3). Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (C.3) and using l.s.c. of φ on H we see that Definition C.1, (iii) is satisfied by X . Definition C.1, (ii) then follows by choosing one such (G, Z) which implies $\varphi(X) \in L^1([0, T] \times \Omega)$. \square

Remark C.4. (1) Assume that A satisfies Hypothesis 2.3 and B satisfies Hypothesis 4.1 and Hypothesis 4.2. If uniqueness of SVI solutions to (C.2) can be proven then the unique limit solution X coincides with the unique SVI solution.

(2) Uniqueness for SVI solutions has been verified for the stochastic 1-Laplace equation with additive (cf. [BDPR09c, BDPR12]) and linear multiplicative noise (cf. [BR13]). Hypothesis 2.3 has been proven for the 1-Laplacian in Section 7.2.1. For additive noise, [BDPR09c, condition (1.3)] implies Hypothesis 4.2 while Hypothesis 4.1 is trivially satisfied. For linear multiplicative noise, [BR13, conditions (H1) & (H2)] and the structure of the noise imply Hypothesis 4.2 and Hypothesis 4.1 (cf. [BR13, Remark 2.1] for details).

APPENDIX D. $W_0^{1,1}$ -DISSIPATIVITY OF THE DIRICHLET LAPLACIAN

The main result D.1 of this appendix is credited to H. Brézis. For a detailed proof of the results we refer to [BR13, Section 8, Appendix 1].

Proposition D.1. Let Λ be a bounded, convex domain of \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Lambda$ of class C^2 . Let $J_n = (1 - \frac{\Delta}{n})^{-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the resolvent of the Dirichlet Laplacian $(-\Delta, D(-\Delta))$, where $D(-\Delta) = H_0^1(\Lambda) \cap H^2(\Lambda)$. Then

$$\int_\Lambda |\nabla J_n(u)| d\xi \leq \int_\Lambda |\nabla u| d\xi, \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Lambda), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. See [BR13, Proposition 8.1, Remark 8.1]. \square

Proposition D.2. *Let Λ be a bounded, convex domain of \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, with piecewise smooth boundary $\partial\Lambda$ of class C^2 . Let $J_n = (1 - \frac{\Delta}{n})^{-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the resolvent of the Dirichlet Laplacian $(-\Delta, D(-\Delta))$, where $D(-\Delta) = H_0^1(\Lambda) \cap H^2(\Lambda)$. Let $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a continuous, even and convex function of at most quadratic growth such that $\tilde{\Psi}(0) = 0$. Then*

$$\int_{\Lambda} \tilde{\Psi}(|\nabla J_n(u)|) d\xi \leq \int_{\Lambda} \tilde{\Psi}(|\nabla u|) d\xi, \quad \forall u \in H_0^1(\Lambda), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. [BR13, Proposition 8.2]. □

REFERENCES

- [ABM06] H. Attouch, G. Buttazzo, and G. Michaille, *Variational analysis in Sobolev and BV spaces: applications to PDEs and optimization*, MPS-SIAM series on optimization, vol. 6, SIAM and MPS, Philadelphia, 2006.
- [AD72] H. Attouch and A. Damlamian, *On multivalued evolution equations in Hilbert spaces*, Israel J. Math. **12** (1972), 373–390.
- [Bar76] V. Barbu, *Nonlinear semigroups and differential equations in Banach spaces*, revised and enlarged ed., Mathematics and its applications, East European series, Editura Academiei, București, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1976.
- [Bar93] ———, *Analysis and control of nonlinear infinite dimensional systems*, Mathematics in science and engineering, vol. 190, Academic Press, Inc., 1993.
- [Bar10] ———, *Nonlinear differential equations of monotone types in Banach spaces*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer, 2010.
- [BCP70] H. Brézis, M. G. Crandall, and A. Pazy, *Perturbation of nonlinear maximal monotone sets in Banach space*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **23** (1970), 123–144.
- [BDP05] V. Barbu and G. Da Prato, *The Neumann problem on unbounded domains of \mathbb{R}^d and stochastic variational inequalities*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **30** (2005), no. 7–9, 1217–1248.
- [BDP06] ———, *Ergodicity for nonlinear stochastic equations in variational formulation*, Appl. Math. Optim. **53** (2006), no. 2, 121–139.
- [BDP10] ———, *Invariant measures and the Kolmogorov equation for the stochastic fast diffusion equation*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **120** (2010), no. 7, 1247–1266.
- [BDPR08] V. Barbu, G. Da Prato, and M. Röckner, *Existence and uniqueness of nonnegative solutions to the stochastic porous media equation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), no. 1, 187–211.
- [BDPR09a] ———, *Existence of strong solutions for stochastic porous media equation under general monotonicity conditions*, Ann. Probab. **37** (2009), no. 2, 428–452.
- [BDPR09b] ———, *Finite time extinction for solutions to fast diffusion stochastic porous media equations*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **347** (2009), no. 1–2, 81–84.
- [BDPR09c] ———, *Stochastic nonlinear diffusion equations with singular diffusivity*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **41** (2009), no. 3, 1106–1120.
- [BDPR09d] ———, *Stochastic porous media equation and self-organized criticality*, Comm. Math. Phys. **285** (2009), no. 3, 901–923.
- [BDPR12] ———, *Addendum to: Stochastic nonlinear diffusion equations with singular diffusivity*, Preprint (2012), 6 pp., BiBoS Preprint 12-02-396, <http://www.physik.uni-bielefeld.de/bibos/preprints/12-02-396.pdf>.
- [BGLR11] W.-J. Beyn, B. Gess, P. Lescot, and M. Röckner, *The global random attractor for a class of stochastic porous media equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **36** (2011), no. 3, 446–469.
- [BH82] J. G. Berryman and C. J. Holland, *Asymptotic behavior of the nonlinear diffusion equation $n_t = (n^{-1}n_x)_x$* , J. Math. Phys. **23** (1982), no. 6, 983–987.
- [BL06] J.M. Borwein and A.S. Lewis, *Convex analysis and nonlinear optimization: theory and examples*, CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer, 2006.
- [BR97] A. Bensoussan and A. Răşcanu, *Stochastic variational inequalities in infinite dimensional spaces*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **18** (1997), no. 1–2, 19–54.
- [BR13] V. Barbu and M. Röckner, *Stochastic variational inequalities and applications to the total variation flow perturbed by linear multiplicative noise*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **209** (2013), no. 3, 797–834.
- [Bru75] R. E. Bruck, Jr., *Asymptotic convergence of nonlinear contraction semigroups in Hilbert space*, J. Funct. Anal. **18** (1975), no. 1, 15–26.

- [BW99] W. Bian and J. R. L. Webb, *Solutions of nonlinear evolution inclusions*, Nonlinear Anal. **37** (1999), no. 7, Ser. A: Theory Methods, 915–932.
- [CDF97] H. Crauel, A. Debussche, and F. Flandoli, *Random attractors*, J. Dynam. Differential Equations **9** (1997), no. 2, 307–341.
- [Cio11] I. Ciotir, *Existence and uniqueness of solution for the stochastic nonlinear diffusion equation of plasma*, Preprint (2011), 21 pp., arXiv:1103.2715, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1103.2715v2>.
- [CT12] I. Ciotir and J. M. Tölle, *Convergence of invariant measures for singular stochastic diffusion equations*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **122** (2012), no. 4, 1998–2017.
- [CT13] ———, *Corrigendum to ‘Convergence of invariant measures for singular stochastic diffusion equations’ [Stochastic Process. Appl. 122 (2012) 1998–2017]*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **123** (2013), no. 3, 1178–1181.
- [CZ05] K. C. Chang and G. Zhang, *Methods in nonlinear analysis*, Springer monographs in mathematics, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 2005.
- [DPRRW06] G. Da Prato, M. Röckner, B. L. Rozovskii, and F. Wang, *Strong solutions of stochastic generalized porous media equations: existence, uniqueness, and ergodicity*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **31** (2006), no. 1-3, 277–291.
- [ESvR12] A. Es-Sarhir and M.-K. von Renesse, *Ergodicity of stochastic curve shortening flow in the plane*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **44** (2012), no. 1, 224–244.
- [ESvRS12] A. Es-Sarhir, M.-K. von Renesse, and W. Stannat, *Estimates for the ergodic measure and polynomial stability of plane stochastic curve shortening flow*, Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. (NoDEA) **19** (2012), no. 6, 663–675.
- [FOT94] M. Fukushima, Y. Oshima, and M. Takeda, *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, de Gruyter, Berlin–New York, 1994.
- [Ges13] B. Gess, *Random attractors for singular stochastic evolution equations*, J. Differential Equations **255** (2013), no. 3, 524–559.
- [GK11] Y. Giga and R. V. Kohn, *Scale-invariant extinction time estimates for some singular diffusion equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **30** (2011), no. 2, 509–535.
- [GLR11] B. Gess, W. Liu, and M. Röckner, *Random attractors for a class of stochastic partial differential equations driven by general additive noise*, J. Differential Equations **251** (2011), no. 4–5, 1225–1253.
- [Gyö82] I. Gyöngy, *On stochastic equations with respect to semimartingales. III*, Stochastics **7** (1982), no. 4, 231–254.
- [HP97] S. Hu and N. S. Papageorgiou, *Handbook of multivalued analysis: Volume I: Theory*, Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 419, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht–Boston–London, 1997.
- [HP00] ———, *Handbook of multivalued analysis: Volume II: Applications*, Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 500, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht–Boston–London, 2000.
- [Kim12] J. U. Kim, *On a stochastic singular diffusion equation in R^d* , J. Funct. Anal. **262** (2012), no. 6, 2928–2977.
- [KPS10] T. Komorowski, S. Peszat, and T. Szarek, *On ergodicity of some Markov processes*, Ann. Probab. **38** (2010), no. 4, 1401–1443.
- [KR79] N. V. Krylov and B. L. Rozovskii, *Stochastic evolution equations*, Current problems in mathematics, vol. 14, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Informatsii, Moscow, 1979, pp. 71–147.
- [KS07] B. Kawohl and F. Schuricht, *Dirichlet problems for the 1-Laplace operator, including the eigenvalue problem*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **9** (2007), no. 4, 515–543.
- [Lad67] O. A. Ladyženskaja, *New equations for the description of the motions of viscous incompressible fluids, and global solvability for their boundary value problems. (Russian)*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov **102** (1967), 85–104.
- [Liu10] W. Liu, *Invariance of subspaces under the solution flow of SPDE*, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **13** (2010), no. 1, 87–98.
- [Liu11] ———, *Ergodicity of transition semigroups for stochastic fast diffusion equations*, Front. Math. China **6** (2011), no. 3, 449–472.
- [LT78] A. Lichnerowsky and R. Temam, *Pseudosolutions of the time-dependent minimal surface problem*, J. Differential Equations **30** (1978), no. 3, 340–364.
- [LT11] W. Liu and J. M. Tölle, *Existence and uniqueness of invariant measures for stochastic evolution equations with weakly dissipative drifts*, Electr. Comm. Probab. **16** (2011), 447–457.
- [Mal89] L. Maligranda, *Some remarks on Orlicz’s interpolation theorem*, Studia Math. **95** (1989), no. 1, 43–58.

- [Mos71] J. Moser, *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1970/71), 1077–1092.
- [NSY97] T. Nagai, T. Senba, and K. Yoshida, *Application of the Trudinger-Moser inequality to a parabolic system of chemotaxis*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **40** (1997), no. 3, 411–433.
- [Pap92] N. S. Papageorgiou, *On the set of solutions of a class of nonlinear evolution inclusions*, Kodai Math. J. **15** (1992), no. 3, 387–402.
- [Par67] K. R. Parthasarathy, *Probability measures on metric spaces*, AMS Chelsea Pub., 1967.
- [Par75] É. Pardoux, *Équations aux dérivées partielles stochastiques de type monotone*, Séminaire sur les Équations aux Dérivées Partielles (1974–1975), III, Exp. No. 2., Collège de France, Paris, 1975, p. 10.
- [Phe89] R. R. Phelps, *Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1364, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1989.
- [PPY00] N. S. Papageorgiou, F. Papalini, and N. Yannakakis, *Nonmonotone, nonlinear evolution inclusions*, Math. Comput. Modelling **32** (2000), no. 11–13, 1345–1365.
- [PR07] C. Prévôt and M. Röckner, *A concise course on stochastic partial differential equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1905, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 2007.
- [Pra60] J. W. Pratt, *On interchanging limits and integrals*, Ann. Math. Stat. **31** (1960), no. 1, 74–77.
- [PZ07] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk, *Stochastic partial differential equations with Lévy noise*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 113, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [Răş96] A. Răşcanu, *Deterministic and stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces involving multivalued maximal monotone operators*, Panamer. Math. J. **6** (1996), 83–119.
- [ROF92] L. I. Rudin, S. Osher, and E. Fatemi, *Nonlinear total variation based noise removal algorithms*, Physica D **60** (1992), no. 1–4, 259–268.
- [RR02] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, *Applications of Orlicz spaces*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York–Basel, 2002.
- [RRW07] J. Ren, M. Röckner, and F.-Y. Wang, *Stochastic generalized porous media and fast diffusion equations*, J. Differential Equations **238** (2007), no. 1, 118–152.
- [RS80] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics I. Functional analysis*, revised and enlarged ed., Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [RW98] R. T. Rockafellar and R. J.-B. Wets, *Variational analysis*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 317, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 1998.
- [RW08] M. Röckner and F.-Y. Wang, *Non-monotone stochastic generalized porous media equations*, J. Differential Equations **245** (2008), no. 12, 3898–3935.
- [Sho97] R. E. Showalter, *Monotone operators in Banach space and nonlinear partial differential equations*, Mathematical surveys and monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.
- [Ste12] M. Stephan, *Yosida approximations for multivalued stochastic differential equations on Banach spaces via a Gelfand triple*, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Bielefeld, 2012, BiBoS-Preprint E12-03-398, <http://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/~bibos/preprints/E12-03-398.pdf>.
- [Váz06] J. L. Vázquez, *Smoothing and decay estimates for nonlinear diffusion equations: equations of porous medium type*, Oxford lecture series in mathematics and its applications, vol. 33, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2006.
- [Wan05a] F.-Y. Wang, *Functional inequalities, Markov semigroups and spectral theory*, Science Press, Beijing–New York, 2005.
- [Wan05b] ———, *Gradient estimates and the first Neumann eigenvalue on manifolds with boundary*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **115** (2005), no. 9, 1475–1486.
- [WY13] F.-Y. Wang and L. Yan, *Gradient estimate on convex domains and applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), no. 3, 1067–1081.
- [Zho92] X. Zhou, *An evolution problem for plastic antiplanar shear*, Appl. Math. Optim. **25** (1992), no. 3, 263–285.
- [Zyg92] W. Zygmunt, *On superpositionally measurable semi-Carathéodory multifunctions*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **33** (1992), no. 1, 73–77.