

Cyclotron Resonance in Strongly Magnetized Plasmas and Gamma Ray Burst

S. Son

18 Caleb Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540

Sung Joon Moon

28 Benjamin Rush Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540

(Dated: January 24, 2019)

A plausible scenario for the gamma ray and hard x-ray burst is proposed, based on the theoretical prediction that the collective coherent photons get more violently excited than the incoherent cyclotron radiation [S. Son and S. J. Moon, arXiv:1112.4500]. The relevant physical parameters in the context of the strongly magnetized astrophysical plasmas are estimated, and the advantages compared to the conventional cyclotron radiation theory are discussed.

PACS numbers: 98.70.Rz, 98.70.Qy, 52.35.Hr

I. INTRODUCTION

A recent study reveals that the gyro-motion of the thermal electron under a strongly magnetized plasma can lead to an instability of an electromagnetic (E&M) wave [1]. Such an instability has implications when a strong magnetic field is present, both in the astrophysical and the laboratory plasmas [2–7]. The goal of this paper is to examine its relevance with the gamma ray or hard x-ray burst [6, 7]. Our analysis shows that the photons in the range of 10 keV to 1 MeV can be generated in a plasma of the electron density $10^{19} \sim 10^{26} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ with a relativistic temperature, when the magnetic field of 10^8 to 10^{13} gauss is present. In contrast to the conventional cyclotron radiation where the photons are emitted rather uniformly, the angular distribution of the radiated photons is highly localized. The rate that the electron kinetic energy gets transferred to the E&M wave can exceed the energy loss rate in the incoherent cyclotron radiation.

II. COHERENT CYCLOTRON RADIATION

Let us consider the growth rate of the collective E&M wave in the presence of a strong magnetic field $B_0 \hat{z}$; see Ref. [1] for the derivation when the E&M wave propagates parallel to the magnetic field. The growth rate for a general propagation direction θ , the angle between the magnetic field and the line of the observer's sight, is

$$\Gamma = + \frac{1}{\zeta} \left[\frac{\pi \omega_{pe}^2}{2 c^2 k^2} \left\langle \frac{\Omega_1(\omega, k, \theta, \beta)}{\gamma} \frac{\nabla_\beta S \cdot \nabla_\beta f}{|\nabla S(\beta)|^2} \frac{\beta_\perp^2}{2} \right\rangle_{S=0} \right] - \left[\frac{\pi \omega_{pe}^2}{2 c^2 k^2} \left\langle \frac{\Omega_2(\omega, k, \theta, \beta)}{\gamma} f \right\rangle_{S=0} \right], \quad (1)$$

where $k(\omega)$ is the wave vector (frequency) of the E&M mode, $\beta = (\beta_\perp, \beta_\parallel) = \mathbf{v}/c$, $\omega_{pe}^2 = 4\pi n_e e^2/m_e$ is the plasma frequency, $\beta_\perp^2 = \beta_x^2 + \beta_y^2$, $S(\beta) = \beta_\parallel - \omega/c k + \omega_{ce}/(ck\gamma(\beta))$, $\omega_{ce} = eB_0/m_e c$ is the classical cyclotron

frequency, $\gamma(\beta) = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2}$, f is the electron distribution with the normalization of $\int f d^3\beta = 1$, $\langle A \rangle_{S=0} = \int \delta(S) A d^3\beta$ is the integration in the velocity space with the constraint $S = 0$, and Ω_1 and Ω_2 are obtained below. We define ζ is the ratio of the wave energy density to the wave energy density in the vacuum: $\zeta = E_w/(E_x^2/4\pi)$. In this paper, it is assumed that $\zeta > 0$, which is the case for all E&M waves in the Maxwellian plasma and rare dense plasma and even for the most of the E&M waves in dense plasmas. For a generic angle θ between the magnetic field and the E&M field, there could be two independent modes, TE and TM modes. The wave vector is given as $\mathbf{k} = k \cos \theta \hat{z} + \sin \theta \hat{x}$. Let us define the TE (TM) mode as $\mathbf{E} = E_1 \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t) \hat{x}$ and $\mathbf{B} = E_1 (ck/\omega) \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t) (\cos \theta \hat{y} - \sin \theta \hat{z})$ ($\mathbf{E} = E_1 \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t) (\cos \theta \hat{x} - \sin \theta \hat{z})$ and $\mathbf{B} = E_1 (ck/\omega) \cos(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega t) \hat{y}$). Ω_1 and Ω_2 for the TE mode are

$$\Omega_1(\theta) = \frac{c^2 k^2}{\omega} \cos \theta \left(\cos \theta - \frac{ck}{\omega} \beta_\parallel \right) - \frac{\omega_{ce}}{\gamma}$$

$$\Omega_2(\theta) = ck \left(1 - \frac{\beta_\perp^2}{2} - \frac{ck}{\omega} \beta_\parallel \cos \theta \right). \quad (2)$$

For the TM mode, they are given as

$$\Omega_1(\theta) = \frac{c^2 k^2}{\omega} \cos \theta \left(1 - \frac{ck}{\omega} \beta_\parallel \cos \theta \right) - \frac{\omega_{ce}}{\gamma} \cos \theta$$

$$\Omega_2(\theta) = ck \left(\left(1 - \frac{\beta_\perp^2}{2} \right) \cos \theta - \frac{ck}{\omega} \beta_\parallel \right). \quad (3)$$

The non-relativistic limit of Eq. (1) is

$$\Gamma = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{k^2} \left(\left\langle \frac{\beta_\perp^2}{2} \Omega_1(\theta) \frac{df}{dv} \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{f(v)}{c} \Omega_2(\theta) \right\rangle \right), \quad (4)$$

where $\langle \rangle$ is the ensemble average with $v_z = v_r = (\omega - \omega_{ce})/k$ or $\langle A \rangle = \int A \delta(v - v_r) d^3v$. When $\theta = 0$, the Eqs. (1) and (4) are reduced to the previously obtained results in Ref. [1] for both TE and TM modes.

For more rigorous treatment when $\theta \neq 0$, there should be infinite expansion of the Bessel functions $J_n(k \cos(\theta) r_g)$, where r_g is the electron gyro-radius.

However, in this paper, the instability wave identified will be shown to have the condition $k \cos(\theta) r_g < 1$ so that $J_1(k \cos(\theta) r_g) \cong 1$. For this reason, Eq. (1) is derived assuming $J_1 = 1$.

III. THE CASE WHEN $\theta = 0$ AND $\omega \neq ck$

Let us first consider the case when the E&M field propagates parallel with the magnetic field ($\theta = 0$). It was previously shown that $\Omega_1 = 0$ if $\omega = ck$, and there is no instability [1]. In order for ω and ck to be appreciably different, the photon frequency should be close to the plasmon frequency or the electron cyclotron frequency. For simplicity, we refer to the first (second) term on the right side of Eq. (1) and (4) as the gyro-lasing (gyro-damping) term. For $\omega > ck$, the semi-classical instability for the Maxwellian electrons is shown to be [1]

$$\Gamma = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{c^2 k^2} \left(\frac{v_r}{c^2} \Omega_1 - (1 - \beta_{the}^2 - \frac{ck}{\omega} \beta_r) k \right) f_{\parallel}(v_r), \quad (5)$$

where $\beta_{the} = v_{the}/c$, $\beta_r = v_r/c = (\omega - \omega_{ce})/c$ and v_{the} is the electron thermal velocity. The instability criterion is $\Gamma > 0$. The maximum possible instability, ignoring the gyro-damping term, is roughly estimated to be

$$\Gamma_{max} \cong 0.19 \times \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{(ck)^2} \Omega_1, \quad (6)$$

where we assume $\zeta \cong 1$. The Maxwellian distribution for the fully relativistic electrons is $f(\beta) \cong \gamma^3 \exp(-\gamma\lambda)$, where $\lambda = m_e c^2 / T_e$. When $\lambda < 1$, the distribution is peaked at $\gamma = 3/\lambda$ with the width of $\delta\beta \cong (\lambda/3)^2$. We consider two most plausible cases, when $\omega > ck > \omega_{ce}$ and $\omega_{ce} > ck > \omega$. When $\omega > ck > \omega_{ce}$, one necessary condition for the existence of the resonance is given as $\omega/ck < \beta_{max} + \omega_{ce}/\gamma_{max} = \sqrt{1 + \omega_{ce}^2/c^2 k^2}$, where $\gamma_{max} = (1 - \beta_{max}^2)^{-1/2}$ and $\beta_{max} = 1/\sqrt{1 + (\omega_{ce}/ck)^2}$. The instability condition at $\beta = \beta_{res}$ is [1]

$$\frac{df}{d\beta} \left(\frac{\omega_{ce}}{\gamma ck} + 1 \right) \left(1 - \frac{ck}{\omega} \right) > \frac{dS}{d\beta} f(\beta_{res}) \left(1 - \frac{ck}{\omega} \beta_{res} \right), \quad (7)$$

The growth rate, neglecting the gyro-damping term, can be estimated as

$$\Gamma_{max} \cong \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{\gamma (ck)^2} \frac{\Omega_1}{dS/d\beta}. \quad (8)$$

For $\omega_{ce} > ck > \omega$, the resonance condition exists mostly in negative β_{\parallel} , which is $\omega_{ce} = \gamma\omega$ at $\beta_{\parallel} = 0$. Let us consider the case when ω_{ce}/ω is appreciably larger than the unity. From Eq. (1), it can be estimated, at the resonance, that $\nabla_{\beta} S \cong (\omega_{ce}/ck)\gamma$. The instability criterion, the condition that the gyro-lasing term is larger than the gyro-damping term is,

$$\Omega_1 \frac{df}{d\beta} \frac{\beta_{\perp}^2}{2} \frac{ck}{\omega_{ce}\gamma} > \Omega_2 f(\beta). \quad (9)$$

where $\Omega_1 = (c^2 k^2 / \omega - \omega_{ce} / \gamma)$ and $\Omega_2 = ck(1 - \beta_{\perp}^2 / 2)$. Note that $\Omega_1 > 0$ when $\omega_{ce} > ck > \omega$. One necessary condition is $df/d\beta > 0$, because $\Omega_1 \nabla_{\beta} S \cdot \nabla_{\beta} f > 0$ for a positive gyro-lasing term. Assuming $\beta_{\perp} \cong c$, Eq. (9) is simplified to $(\Omega_1 / \Omega_2) (\beta_{\perp}^2 / 2) (ck\gamma / \omega_{ce}) \cong c^2 k^2 / \omega^2 - 1 > \gamma^2 f / (df/d\beta)$. This is possible as $|f / (df/d\beta)|$ is a decreasing function of β with $|f / (df/d\beta)| \cong 1/\gamma^2$ when $\gamma > 4/\lambda$. With the instability criterion being satisfied, the maximum growth rate is estimated to be

$$\Gamma_{max} \cong \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{(ck)^2} \left(\frac{c^2 k^2}{\omega^2} - 1 \right) \gamma kc. \quad (10)$$

IV. THE CASE WHEN $\theta \neq 0$ AND $\omega = ck$

The resonance condition for the TM mode is $S = \beta_{\parallel} \cos \theta + \omega_{ce} / \gamma - 1 = 0$. The gyro-lasing term vanishes at the resonance as $\Omega_1 = (c^2 k^2 / \omega) \cos \theta S = 0$, however, the gyro-damping term does not always vanish at the resonance; $\Omega_2 / ck = \cos \theta (1 - \beta_{\perp}^2 / 2) - \beta_{\parallel}$. If $\Omega_2 < 0$ at $S = 0$, this term acts as an amplifying term instead of a damping term. Consider the semi-classical case first. From the resonance condition $\beta_r \cos \theta = 1 - \omega_{ce} / ck$,

$$\Omega_2 = -ck \left[\beta_r - \frac{(1 - \frac{\omega_{ce}}{ck})(1 - \frac{\beta_{\perp}^2}{2})}{\beta_r} \right], \quad (11)$$

which is negative if $\beta_r^2 > (1 - \omega_{ce}/ck)(1 - \beta_{\perp}^2/2)$. If $\beta_{\perp} \cong 0$, the condition $\Omega_2 > 0$ becomes $\beta_r > \sqrt{1 - \omega_{ce}/ck}$, or $\cos \theta = (1 - \omega/ck)/\beta_r < \sqrt{1 - \omega_{ce}/ck}$. For a given Maxwellian distribution f_M , the growth rate is

$$\Gamma = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{c^2 k^2} ck \left[\beta_r - \frac{(1 - \frac{\omega_{ce}}{ck})(1 - \frac{\beta_{\perp}^2}{2})}{\beta_r} \right] f_M(\beta_r), \quad (12)$$

which has the maximum roughly when $\beta_{the} < \beta_r < 2\beta_{the}$ to be given roughly similar to Eq. (6). For given ω_{ce} and ck , the growth rate as a function of θ has the maximum when $\beta_{the} \cos \theta \cong 1 - \omega_{ce}/ck$. For the fully relativistic electrons, note that S has the maximum at $\beta_{\perp} = 0$ and $\beta_{\parallel} = 1/(1 + \omega_{ce}^2/\omega^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{1/2}$ with $S_{max} = \cos \theta (1 + \omega_{ce}^2/\omega^2 \cos^2 \theta)^{1/2} - 1$. The necessary condition for the resonance existence is $S_{max} > 0$. In particular, the solution of $S = 0$ when $\beta_{\perp} = 0$ is given as

$$\beta_0 = \frac{\cos \theta + \frac{\omega_{ce}}{ck} \sqrt{\frac{\omega_{ce}^2}{c^2 k^2} - \sin^2 \theta}}{\cos^2 \theta + \frac{\omega_{ce}^2}{c^2 k^2}}. \quad (13)$$

If $\omega_{ce}/ck \gg 1$, $\Omega_2 = \cos \theta - \beta_{\parallel} < 0$ when $\cos \theta < 1$, and the gyro-damping term becomes positive. However, the resonance condition of the whole surface for $\omega_{ce}/ck \gg 1$ is an ellipse slightly deviating from the unit sphere, and the average of the gyro-damping term is most likely to be negative due to the contribution from the other part of the resonance surface with $\Omega_2 > 0$. While a Maxwellian

plasma can have the instability if the electrons mostly concentrated at $\beta_{\parallel} = \beta_0$ given above, such a regime of the instability is rather narrow.

For the TE mode, let us first consider semi-classical electrons. With the resonance condition $\beta_r \cos \theta = 1 - \omega_{ce}/ck$, we obtain $\Omega_1 = ck(\cos^2 \theta - 1)$ and $\Omega_2 = ck(1 - \beta_{\perp}^2/2 - \beta_r \cos \theta)$. The stability criterion from a similar approach as for Eq. (5) is

$$\beta_r > \frac{1 - \beta_{the}^2}{1 - \cos^2 \theta + \cos \theta}. \quad (14)$$

The maximum growth rate, ignoring the gyro-damping term, is given as in Eq. (6).

For the TE mode of the fully relativistic electrons, we consider only when $\theta = \pi/2$. The resonance surface is given as $S = 1 - \omega_{ce}/\gamma\omega$ so that $\omega = \omega_{ce}/\gamma$ and $|\nabla_{\beta} S| = (\omega_{ce}/\omega)\gamma = \gamma^2$, which leads to $\Omega_1 = -\omega$ and $\Omega_2 = (1 - \beta_{\perp}^2/2)ck$. A similar analysis as in Eq. (9) can be used, and the instability criterion becomes

$$\Omega_1 \frac{\nabla_{\beta} S \cdot \nabla_{\beta} f \beta_{\perp}^2}{|\nabla S(\beta)|^2} > f(1 - \frac{\beta_{\perp}^2}{2})\omega. \quad (15)$$

Note that $\nabla_{\beta} S \cdot \nabla_{\beta} f < 0$ for a positive gyro-lasing term, since $\Omega_1 < 0$. Assuming $\beta_{\perp} \cong 1$, Eq. (15) becomes

$$\frac{|\nabla_{\beta} f|}{f} > \gamma^2. \quad (16)$$

In the case of the Maxwellian plasma, $|\nabla_{\beta} f_M|/f_M = (3\gamma^2 - \lambda\gamma^3)\beta$, where $\lambda = m_e c^2/T_e$. If $\lambda\gamma < 2$, Eq. (15) is satisfied. Assuming $\beta_{\perp} \cong 1$, it is estimated that $\Gamma \cong (\pi/2)(\omega_{pe}^2/c^2 k^2)(1/\gamma^3)(df/d\beta)$. Note that $df/d\beta$ can be as large as γ^4 , and the maximum growth rate is

$$\Gamma_{max} \cong \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{1}{\zeta} \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{c^2 k^2} \gamma\omega. \quad (17)$$

If $\omega = ck$, $\Omega_2 = 0$ for $\theta = 0$, and the instability is relevant only when $\omega_{pe} \approx \omega$. This requires a very high electron density for gamma rays or hard x-rays. On the other hands, when $\theta \neq 0$, an explosive instability becomes relevant even when $\omega = ck \gg \omega_{pe}$, which makes the radiation burst more probable compared to the case $\theta = 0$.

V. GAMMA RAY BURST

In the above, the instability growth rate in Maxwellian plasmas was investigated. The maximum growth rate in the classical plasma is given in Eq. (6), and the one in the fully relativistic plasmas is in Eqs. (8), (10) and (17). In the latter, it can be roughly summarized as

$$\Gamma_{max} \cong \frac{\omega_{pe}^2}{(ck)^2} g(\gamma)\omega, \quad (18)$$

where $1/\gamma \leq g(\gamma) \leq \gamma$. The energy loss rate via the cyclotron radiation is given as

$$\Gamma_{ci} = \frac{2P}{mv_{\perp}^2} = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\gamma^2 k e^2}{m_e c^2} \omega, \quad (19)$$

where P is the loss power and Γ_{ci} is the ratio of the loss rate to the electron perpendicular kinetic energy. The condition for the instability growth rate to exceed that of the cyclotron radiation is

$$\Gamma_{max} > \Gamma_{ci}, \quad (20)$$

where Γ_{max} is given in Eq. (6) or (18). Note that the right-hand side of Eq. (20) is proportional to the electron density, while the other side is not; the instability always dominates the cyclotron radiation for higher densities. For the non-relativistic electrons, the ratio Γ_{max}/Γ_{ci} is given as $\Gamma_{max}/\Gamma_{ci} \cong 1.79 \times (n_e/k^3)$. For the relativistic electrons, it is given as $\Gamma_{max}/\Gamma_{ci} \cong n_e g(\gamma)/k^3 \gamma^2$.

Let us consider in the reference frame where the electron average drift is zero, to be denoted by \mathbf{S}_0 . The semi-classical resonance condition $v_r k = ck - \omega_{ce}$ implies that the photon energy is comparable to $\hbar\omega_{ce}$, as $v_r \ll c$ is assumed. For a non-relativistic Maxwellian plasma in \mathbf{S}_0 , the density satisfying $\Gamma_{max}/\Gamma_{ci} = 1$ for 0.01 keV (10 keV) photon is roughly $n_e = 10^{17} \text{cm}^{-3}$ (10^{26}cm^{-3}). For the photons of 100 keV to be observed in the observer's frame, the electron density in \mathbf{S}_0 should exceed $(10^{29}/\gamma_0^3) \text{cm}^{-3}$, where γ_0 is the Lorentz factor between the observation frame and \mathbf{S}_0 . The above estimation implies that the density where the collective instability becomes relevant is quite high. The literature on the gamma ray burst [7] says the relativistic factor γ_0 is usually between 10 and 1000. The photon energy in \mathbf{S}_0 increases by a factor of $\gamma_0 \hbar ck$ in the observer's frame. We are interested in when $10 \text{ keV} < \gamma_0 \hbar ck < 1 \text{ MeV}$, or $0.01 \text{ keV} < \hbar ck < 100 \text{ keV}$, which corresponds to the magnetic field of 10^8 to 10^{13} gauss.

The theoretical consideration in our study has the following distinctive features, compared to the conventional cyclotron radiation theory:

(i) The fastest growing E&M mode would be the one parallel (or perpendicular) to the magnetic field. The radiation intensity is proportional to $\cos^2 \theta$. The intensity of a collective E&M mode is proportional to $\exp(\gamma t \cos \theta)$ when $\theta = 0$ and to $\exp(\gamma t \cos(\theta - \pi/2))$ when $\theta = \pi/2$, so that the peak angle is narrower especially when $\gamma t \gg 1$. This suggests that more intense photons would be observed compared to when the photons are emitted rather isotropically, which most of the conventional theory predict. Consequently, the actual energy power requirement for the gamma ray burst might be less than what is suggested by the conventional theory [7].

(ii) As the electrons emit the photons by losing the energy in the perpendicular direction, the temperature becomes further anisotropic, which makes the E&M wave perpendicular to the magnetic field unstable with respect to the Weibel instability. In turn, the Weibel instability

mitigates the temperature anisotropy. As a result, the low frequency photons from the Weibel instability would be emitted in the perpendicular direction.

(iii) Our analysis suggests that the gamma ray burst could be originated from a more compact and dense object than conventionally believed. In a highly dense plasma considered here, a photon emitted from an incoherent cyclotron radiation has a short mean free path due to the gyro-damping as well as various other damping including the Thomson scattering. However, the collective photons could overcome these dampings through the gyro-lasing; the compact and dense plasma is optically thin for the coherent photons, while it is optically thick for the incoherent photons from the cyclotron radiation.

(iv) The collective radiation loss rate is larger than that of the collision damping or the cyclotron radiation. In some sense, the plasma should be considered to be more collisional, as the electron kinetic energy is drained into the photons via the instability considered here at a faster rate here and the Weibel instability. This would considerably change the picture of the plasma radiation and relaxation dynamics.

(v) The growth rate of the instability is sensitive to the slope of the distribution function at the resonance; note that the average kinetic energy is relevant in the Weibel instability. Consider a shock region where two plasmas of different drifts violently encounter. It is plausible that the parallel and perpendicular electron temperatures are comparable but the parallel distribution has two sharp humps. The instability of the coherent photons (the Weibel instability) is unstable (stable) because the growth rate depends on the slope of the electron distribution (the average kinetic energy). The density requirement in Eq. (20) then might be lower than the case where the electron distribution is Maxwellian.

(vi) Finally, our theory provides the photons with an easy escape scenario from the plasma when the magnetic field and the plasma change along the photon propagation path. A typical example would be the decreasing magnetic field strength when the photons escape from the plasma (e.g., the foot point of the solar corona). Here, we only consider the TE mode when $\theta = \pi/2$. Consider a situation where a photon propagates with $\theta = \pi/2$ at $r = 0$ and the magnetic field decreases in the intensity with the increasing r . In other words, $\mathbf{B} = B(r)\hat{z}$ with $B(r_1) < B(r_2)$ if $r_1 > r_2$. From the resonance condition $\omega = \omega_{ce}/\gamma$, γ of the resonant electrons should decrease with decreasing ω_{ce} . Assuming the electron temperature remains the same along the photon propagation, the gyro-lasing term stays positive since $\lambda\gamma < 2$. In such a case, the photons can escape from the plasma because

the gyro-lasing term overcomes the gyro-damping term as well as other damping. The above discussion provides a view that photons will be radiated primarily in the direction of (perpendicular to) the magnetic field. The photons from the incoherent cyclotron radiation or the photons in the direction not parallel (or perpendicular) to the magnetic field experience a severe damping while the coherent photons are sustained or amplified by the gyro-lasing term even in the changing (decreasing) magnetic field.

VI. SUMMARY

A scenario of the gamma ray burst based on the recent radiation theory [1] is proposed and examined. We first generalize the previous analysis that deals only with the case $\theta = 0$ to an arbitrary angle, and estimate the instability growth rate for various dense plasmas. The estimation shows that the collective burst of 10 keV to 1 MeV photons is plausible when $\gamma_0 = 10 \sim 1000$ and the electron density is higher than some critical value, $n_e > 10^{18} \sim 10^{26}$. The attractive features of our scenario in comparison with the conventional incoherent cyclotron radiation theory are discussed. In particular, it is shown that a rather compact dense object with less available energy could cause the short gamma ray burst. It is predicted that the observed gamma rays would be coherent rather than incoherent. In addition, as well as the gamma rays, the coherent photons of lower frequency comparable to the plasma frequency might be observed due to the Weibel instability. This is particularly relevant to when $\theta = \pi/2$ because the low frequency coherent photons (high frequency coherent photons) from the Weibel instability (from the instability studied here) can be observed simultaneously. These two predictions might be used to verify whether the scenario proposed here would account for some of the short gamma ray burst events observed in the satellites [7].

While our estimation is rather focused on the gamma and hard x-rays, a similar mechanism would be plausible in generating soft x-rays in the inertial confinement fusion plasma [8]. The electron beam of $\gamma > 10 \sim 100$ and the magnetic field of 10^8 gauss can be readily generated in the laboratory. Even a magnetic field of 10^9 gauss might be possible [9]. Then, the photon generated from the instability may have energy between 10 eV and 1 keV. Complications would be the electron quantum diffraction effect and the degeneracy [9–12]. The plausibility study is in progress.

-
- [1] S. Son and S. J. Moon, submitted, arXiv:1112.4500 (2011).
 [2] T. P. Fleming, J. M. Stone, and J. F. Hawley, *Astrophys. J.* **530**, 464 (2000).

- [3] D. Biskamp, *Physics of Fluids* **29**, 1520 (1986).
 [4] S. Masuda, T. Kosugi, H. Hara, S. Tsuneta, and Y. Ogawara, *Nature* **371**, 495 (1994).
 [5] D. E. Innes, B. Inhester, W. I. Axford, and K. Wilhelm,

- Nature **386**, 811 (1997).
- [6] G. Fishman and C. A. Meegan, *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **33**, 415 (1995).
 - [7] E. Nakar, *Phys. Rep.* **442**, 166 (2007).
 - [8] M. Tabak, J. Hammer, M. E. Glinsky, W. L. Krueger, S. C. Wilks, J. Woodworth, E. M. Campbell, M. J. Perry, and R. J. Mason, *Phys. Plasmas* **1**, 1626 (1994).
 - [9] S. Son and N. J. Fisch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 225002 (2005).
 - [10] S. Son and N. J. Fisch, *Phys. Lett. A* **329**, 16 (2004).
 - [11] S. Son and S. Ku, *Phys. Plasmas* **17**, 010703 (2009).
 - [12] S. Son, S. Ku, and S. J. Moon, *Phys. Plasmas* **17**, 114506 (2010).