ON A FAMILY OF SYMMETRIC HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES AND THEIR EULER TYPE INTEGRAL REPRESENTATION

ZHUANGCHU LUO, HUA CHEN, AND CHANGGUI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the family $\{G_n\}$ of hypergeometric series of any finite number of variables, the coefficients being the square of the multinomial coefficients $(\ell_1 + ... + \ell_n)!/(\ell_1!...\ell_n!)$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. All these series belong to the family of the general Appell-Lauricella's series. It is shown that each function G_n can be expressed by an integral involving the previous one, G_{n-1} . Thus this family can be represented by a multidimensional Euler type integral, what suggests some explicit link with the Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky's theory of A-hypergeometric systems or with the Aomoto's theory of hypermeotric functions. The quasi-invariance of each function G_n with regard to the action of a finite number of involutions of \mathbb{C}^{*n} is also established. Finally, a particular attention is reserved to the study of the functions G_2 and G_3 , each of which is proved to be algebraic or to be expressed by the Legendre's elliptic function of the first kind.

1. INTRODUCTION

The subject of the present paper is the family of germs of analytic functions G_n at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ given by the following symmetric power series:

(1.1)
$$G_n(x) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left(\frac{|\ell|!}{\ell!}\right)^2 x^\ell \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, ...);$$

for convention, we set $G_0 \equiv 1$. Here, the following notations are used: for any positive integer n, if $x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\ell = (\ell_1, ..., \ell_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then:

(1.2)
$$x^{\ell} = x_1^{\ell_1} \dots x_n^{\ell_n}, \quad |\ell| = \ell_1 + \dots + \ell_n, \quad \ell! = \ell_1! \dots \ell_n!.$$

As it will be explained later in §1.2, these series belong to the family of Appell-Lauricella's series and, in the same time, they may be also considered as examples of Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky's A-hypergeometric functions or as being in relation with Aomoto's theory of hypergeometric functions. Some motivations and future works related to the present paper will be set out in the straight line of these explanations.

We will start by expounding some results established in the present work. The general plan of the paper will be indicated in $\S1.3$, at the end of this introduction.

Date: December 19, 2011.

Key words and phrases. Appell-Lauricella's series, A-hypergeometric systems, Euler integral, symmetric group, singular PDE.

1.1. Main results. One of our main results can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. The following general formula holds for any positive integer n and any $x = (x', x_n)$ close enough to $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$:

(1.3)
$$G_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{(1+)} G_{n-1}\left(\frac{t \, x'}{(t-1)(1-x_n t)}\right) \frac{dt}{(t-1)(1-x_n t)},$$

where the integration contour is composed of a closed path starting from the origin and making a circle around t = 1 in the anti-clock sense.

To each non-zero complex number a, we associate the quadratic transformation S(a;t) of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ as follows:

(1.4)
$$S(a;t) = \frac{t}{(t-1)(1-at)};$$

more generally, for any integer $m \geq 2$ and any vector $\vec{a} = (a_1, ..., a_m) \in \mathbb{C}^{*m}$, we define the following transformation of \mathbb{C}_{∞}^m :

(1.5)
$$\mathcal{S}(a_1,...,a_m;t_1,...,t_m) = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{S}(a_m,t_m)(a_1,a_2,...,a_{m-1});(t_1,t_2,...,t_{m-1})).$$

In addition, it will be convenient to introduce the following notations. For $1 \le j \le n$ and $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$, we write

(1.6)
$$\bar{x}'_j = (x_1, ..., x_j), \quad \bar{x}_j = (x_j, ..., x_n),$$

so that $x = (\bar{x}'_{i-1}, \bar{x}_j)$.

Let be denoted by C_1 any smooth closed-loop homotopic in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{1\}$ to the positiveoriented circle $\partial^+ D(1; R)$ centered at the point of unity affix and possessing some radius $R \in (0, 1)$. One can deduce from Theorem 1.1 the following statement.

Theorem 1.2. The following relation holds for any positive integer n and all x sufficiently near to 0 in \mathbb{C}^n :

(1.7)
$$G_n(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1^n} \prod_{j=1}^n \mathcal{S}(\bar{x}_j; \bar{t}_j) \frac{dt_j}{t_j}$$

where \bar{x}_j and \bar{t}_j are as given in (1.6) for $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ and $t = (t_1, ..., t_n)$, respectively.

By making use of the notations (1.6), we define the family of involutions $T_{n,j}$ of \mathbb{C}^{*n} as follows:

(1.8)
$$T_{n,j}(x) = (\bar{x}'_{j-1}/x_j, 1/x_j, \bar{x}_{j+1}/x_j),$$

where $x = (\bar{x}'_{j-1}, x_j, \bar{x}_{j+1})$. Let \mathcal{G}_n be the sub-group of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^{*n})$ generated by all the transformations $T_{n,j}$. One can easily check that all permutations of the coordinates $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ belong to \mathcal{G}_n . If F denotes any function given in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{*n}$ such that $\sigma\Omega = \Omega$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_n$, the action of \mathcal{G}_n on F is induced by the relation $\sigma F(x) = F(\sigma x)$ for $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}_n$.

Let

(1.9)
$$H_n(x) = \sqrt{Q_n(x)} G_n(x), \quad Q_n(x) = \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^n x_j\right)^2 - 2\sum_{i \neq j} x_i x_j.$$

Obviously, Q_n is a quadratic symmetric polynomial in x; with respect to the action of $T_{n,j}$, one can easily find that

(1.10)
$$T_{n,j} Q_n(x) = \frac{1}{x_j^2} Q_n(x) \,.$$

Theorem 1.3. For any positive integer n, the above-defined function $H_n(x)$ is left invariant by the action of \mathcal{G}_n .

More explicitly, it follows that $H_1 = H_2 = 1$ and that H_3 is related with the Lengendre's elliptic function of the first kind in the following manner:

(1.11)
$$H_3(x) = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1; u(x)\right), \quad u(x) = \frac{64 \, x_1 \, x_2 \, x_3}{Q_3(x)^2}.$$

Remark that the *new* variable u(x) appeared in (1.11) is invariant under the action of any element of the group \mathcal{G}_3 .

1.2. **Preliminary commentaries.** The present work is situated at the meeting point of the theory of Appell-Lauricella's hypergeometric functions, the Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky's theory of A-hypergeometric functions, and the Aomoto's theory of hypergeometric functions.

Firstly, it is easy to see that the series G_n belong to the family of the so-called Appell-Lauricella's series. Remember that the hypergeometric functions of two variables were firstly introduced by P. Appell [4] in 1880 and are called currently as Appell's hypergeometric functions, that are denoted as F_1 , F_2 , F_3 and F_4 , An extension of these functions is given in 1893 by G. Lauricella [19] in the case of more than two variables and this gives raise to the functions F_A , F_B , F_C and F_D . The starting point is to study how to extend the Gauss hypergeometric functions to the case of several complex variables.

Secondly, relation (1.7) shows that each G_n is really an A-hypergeometric function in the sense of Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky [12]. Remember that the last theory contains the classical Appell-Lauricella's functions and many mathematicians have worked for the links existing between these theories and also for their extensions or interpretations; see for example [2,7,10,17],

Lastly, one finds a complete theory of hypergeometric functions mainly developed by Aomoto; see the book [1]. Our integral representation (1.7) can be formally transformed into an Aomoto type formula as well as given in [17, Theorem 4] or [11, p. 101-102, F_4] but this one is not valid for our series. See in the below Remark 5.1 for the case of n = 2.

At the same time, the present work is motivated by the singularities analysis of PDEs; see [8,9,21] and the references therein. Indeed, we are interested in studying the confluent hypergeometric series such as

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} n! (x+y)^n, \quad \sum_{\ell,m\geq 0} \ell! m! x^\ell y^m \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{\ell,m\geq 0} (\ell+m)! x^\ell y^m, \quad etc;$$

all these series can be viewed as Euler type series of double variables.

Consider for example the first one: it satisfies formally any of the following two PDEs:

$$\partial_x f(x,y) = \partial_y f(x,y), \quad (x+y)^2 \partial_x f(x,y) - (1 - (x+y))f(x,y) = -1;$$

the common initial datum, $f(0, y) = \sum_{n \ge 0} n! y^n$, is merely the Euler series [23] which is really a divergent power series in \mathbb{C}^* . Expand $\sum_{n \ge 0} n! (x + y)^n$ as power

series of both variables x and y, and apply separately the Borel transform [23] to each of these variables; then one finds the Appell's series $F_4(1, 1, 1, 1, x, y)$ [6, p. 14], that is exactly the second series of the family G_n given at the beginning of the paper.

As it is announced in Theorem 1.3, G_2 can be expressed as $1/\sqrt{Q_2(x_1, x_2)}$, what is a symmetric quadratic algebraic function of two variables. Such result is useful to do a Stokes analysis for the singularities of the associated PDE.

Moreover, in [5], Appell outlined a survey on the Fourier-Bessel's type confluent hypergeometric functions. As the asymptotic behavior of such functions is intimately related to the singularity nature at infinity, the global monodromy problem would be lead to a Stokes analysis with several variables. See [18, 22, 28] for some cases with only one variable.

In addition, in [14–16], M. Kato gave a very detailed study on Appell's F_4 , for the connection problem between the origin and the infinity and also the monodromy group of the associated Pfaff's system. On the other hand, the monodromy group is considered for A-hypergeometric systems in [7]. It seems that our present work would be helpful to doing a similar study for a family of functional equations.

Finally, it would be interesting to find some *new variables* to express the functions H_n in the spirit of (1.11). We think this would be a good occasion to make use of classical books [6,25], *etc.*; in our opinion, some projective geometry ideas would also be helpful, as in the books [1,27].

1.3. The plan of the paper. Section 2 is devoted to studying the family $\{G_n\}$ and especially the functions G_2 and G_3 from a point view of Appell-Lauricella's series F_C . In §2.1, it is shown that each G_n can be viewed as a particular example of the series F_4 for n = 2 and F_C for $n \ge 3$ variables. An algebraic expression related to the generating function of the Legendre polynomials is then deduced in §2.2 from some formulas that Appell established for his series F_1, \ldots, F_4 . Being expressed as power series of one variable whose coefficients are given in terms of F_4 , the function G_3 is proved to be quasi-invariant with respect to the action of the involutions $T_{3,j}$ of \mathbb{C}^{*3} , $1 \le j \le 3$; see Theorem 2.2.

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are proved in Section 3 and the proof of the first one is based upon the fact that each G_n can be considered as a solution of some PDE admitting G_{n-1} as the initial condition. In §3.1, an infinite order partial differential operator involving Euler vector fields is associated to a contour integral; this operator permits to obtain G_n from G_{n-1} , as shown in Proposition 3.2. In §3.4, Theorem 1.2 is proved by making use of Theorem 1.1; with help of the same theorem, the analytic continuation domain of G_n will be considered in §3.5.

Section 4 contains three paragraphes, the last one of which is devoted to explaining how to establish the invariance of H_n with respect to the action of \mathcal{G}_n . For doing this, we will set out two approaches for studying the action of \mathcal{G}_n on \mathcal{G}_n : one is based upon the Euler vector fields (see §4.1) and the other upon the integral representation (see §4.2).

In order to finish the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Section 5, we consider the possible explicit expressions for G_2 and G_3 with the help of Theorem 1.1. It will be shown that G_3 can be expressed by an elliptic integral; see Theorem 5.1 of §5.3. Paragraph 5.2 contains a relatively long preparation in order to put the related differential form into a Riemann's normal form.

Finally, we end the paper with a point of view of ODE about the function G_3 , in Section 6. Indeed, one may find one new variable, the variable u(x) as given in Theorem 1.3, for transforming a second order PDE satisfied by G_3 into a Riccati equation. See Theorem 6.1.

2. The point of view of Appell-Lauricella's series F_4 and F_C

We will start with the definition of the series F_4 and F_C , that allows us to see each G_n as a particular case of these classical functions. In particular, from Appell's results, we get a closed expression for G_2 in §2.2 and some symmetry properties for G_3 in §2.3.

2.1. Writing G_n by means of F_4 and F_C . Let $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \gamma') \in \mathbb{C}^4$ such that $\gamma \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ and $\gamma' \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$. Following [4] and [6, p.14], the associated Appell's series $F_4(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \gamma', x, y)$ is defined in the following manner:

(2.1)
$$F_4(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \gamma', x, y) = \sum_{\ell, m \ge 0} \frac{(\alpha)_{\ell+m} (\beta)_{\ell+m}}{(\gamma)_\ell (\gamma')_m} \frac{x^\ell y^m}{\ell! m!}$$

if $(x, y) \in \Omega := \Omega_2((0, 0); 1)$, where

(2.2)
$$\Omega_2((0,0);1) = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : \sqrt{|x|} + \sqrt{|y|} < 1\}$$

Its link with the Euler-Gauss' hypergeometric function $_2F_1$ may be expressed by the following relation:

(2.3)
$$F_4(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\gamma',x,y) = \sum_{\ell \ge 0} \frac{(\alpha)_\ell (\beta)_\ell}{(\gamma)_\ell} {}_2F_1(\alpha+\ell,\beta+\ell;\gamma';y) \frac{x^\ell}{\ell!}.$$

Using Barne's contour integral for $_2F_1$ gives raise to the expression

$$F_4(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\gamma',x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma')}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} (\frac{1}{2\pi i})^2 \int_{-\infty i}^{+\infty i} \int_{-\infty i}^{+\infty i} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+s+t)\Gamma(\beta+s+t)}{\Gamma(\gamma+s)\Gamma(\gamma'+t)} \Gamma(-s)\Gamma(-t)(-x)^s (-y)^t \, ds dt \,,$$

what allows us to consider F_4 on the domain $(\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, +\infty])^2$ in \mathbb{C}^2 .

In [19], Lauricella extended the four types of Appell's series F_{1-4} into F_{A-D} for *n* variables. By means of the notations x^{ℓ} , $|\ell|$ and $\ell!$ introduced in (1.2), the definition of F_C may be given as follows:

(2.4)
$$F_C(\alpha,\beta,\vec{\gamma},x) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(\alpha)_{|\ell|} (\beta)_{|\ell|}}{(\vec{\gamma})_{\ell} \ell!} x^{\ell},$$

where $\vec{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^n$ and $(\vec{\gamma})_{\ell} = (\gamma_1)_{\ell_1} ... (\gamma_n)_{\ell_n}$. Instead of Ω as given in (2.2), the domain of convergence of F_C is

(2.5)
$$\Omega_n(\mathbf{0}; 1) = \{ x \in \mathbb{C}^n : \sqrt{|x_1|} + \sqrt{|x_2|} + \dots + \sqrt{|x_n|} < 1 \};$$

see [6, p. 115].

Putting $\alpha = \beta = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \cdots = \gamma_n = 1$ in F_C gives raise to the family of power series G_n , that is to say:

(2.6)
$$G_n(x) = F_C(1, 1, \vec{1}, x), \quad \vec{1} = (1, 1, .., 1) \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

2.2. An algebraic expression for G_2 . Let $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and let F_2 be the second Appell's series:

$$F_2(\alpha, \vec{\beta}, \vec{\gamma}, x) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^2} \frac{(\alpha)_{|\ell|} (\vec{\beta})_{\ell}}{(\vec{\gamma})_{\ell} \ell!} x^{\ell} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \ \vec{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}^2, \ \vec{\gamma} \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})^2).$$

In the direct line of the Pfaff's transformations known for the classic hypergeometric functions, the following relation is established in [6, p. 27]:

$$F_4(\alpha, \alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \beta, \gamma, \beta + \frac{1}{2}, x_1, x_2)$$

$$(2.7) = \left(1 + \sqrt{x_2}\right)^{-2\alpha} F_2\left(\alpha, (\alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \beta, \beta), (\alpha, 2\beta), \frac{x_1}{(1 + \sqrt{x_1})^2}, \frac{4\sqrt{x_1}}{(1 + \sqrt{x_2})^2}\right)$$

Moreover, let F_1 be the first Appell's series:

$$F_1(\alpha, \vec{\beta}, \gamma, x) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^2} \frac{(\alpha)_{|\ell|} (\vec{\beta})_{\ell}}{(\gamma)_{|\ell|} \ell!} x^{\ell} \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \ \vec{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}^2, \ \gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0});$$

it follows [6, p. 35, (10)] that

(2.8)
$$F_2(\alpha, (\beta, \beta'), (\gamma, \alpha), x_1, x_2) = (1 - x_2)^{-\beta'} F_1(\beta, (\alpha - \beta', \beta'), \gamma, x_1, \frac{x_1}{1 - x_2}).$$

Letting $\alpha = \gamma = 2\beta = 1$ in (2.7) gives raise to the following relation $(x = (x_1, x_2))$:

$$G_2(x) = (1 + \sqrt{x_2})^{-2} F_2\left(1, (1, \frac{1}{2}), (1, 1), \frac{x_1}{(1 + \sqrt{x_2})^2}, \frac{4\sqrt{x_2}}{(1 + \sqrt{x_2})^2}\right),$$

which, by considering (2.8), can be written as follows:

(2.9)
$$G_2(x) = (1 + \sqrt{x_2})^{-2} \\ \left(1 - \frac{4\sqrt{x_2}}{(1 + \sqrt{x_2})^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} F_1\left(1, (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), 1, \frac{x_1}{(1 + \sqrt{x_2})^2}, \frac{x_1}{(1 - \sqrt{x_2})^2}\right).$$

Theorem 2.1. Let H_2 and Q_2 be as given in (1.9) for n = 2. Then the following relation holds for all $x = (x_1.x_2)$ near zero:

(2.10)
$$G_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_2(x_1, x_2)}}$$

In other words, it follows that $H_2 \equiv 1$.

Proof. By taking into account the fact that

$$F_1\left(1, (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}), 1, x, y\right) = \left((1-x)(1-y)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

one gets finally (2.10) from (2.9) by direct calculations.

Remark 2.1. If one introduces the following notations:

$$z = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{x_1 - x_2}, \quad r = x_1 - x_2,$$

then the above relation (2.10) can be read as follows:

$$G_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2zr + r^2}},$$

6

that is merely the generating function of the Legendre polynomials $P_n(z)$. Thus one can find (2.10) by making use of the relation $P_n(z) = {}_2F_1(-n,1;1;(1-z)/2)$ (cf [3, p. 295, (6.3.5)]).

Remark 2.2. From the algebraic expression (2.10), it follows that G_2 may be extended on the universal covering $\tilde{\Omega}_2$ of $\mathbb{C}_2^2 \setminus S$ if we denote by \mathbb{C}_2 the Riemann surface of \sqrt{z} and by S the following subset of \mathbb{C}_2^2 :

$$S = S_+ \cup S_-, \quad S_\pm = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}_2 \times \mathbb{C}_2 : \sqrt{x_1} \pm \sqrt{x_2} = 1\}.$$

Let π the canonical map of \mathbb{C}_2 onto \mathbb{C}^* and $\Pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2)$ the induced map from $\tilde{\Omega}_2$ to \mathbb{C}^2 . Let $\Omega_2 = \Pi(\tilde{\Omega}_2)$. One may suppose that Ω_2 is invariant under the action of \mathcal{G}_2 ; see (1.2) for the definition of \mathcal{G}_n .

Remark 2.3. Theorem 1.3 is proved in the case of n = 2.

2.3. Action of the group \mathcal{G}_3 on \mathcal{G}_3 . We start with the following expression of \mathcal{G}_3 in terms of the Appell's series F_4 :

(2.11)
$$G_3(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_4(k+1,k+1,1,1,x_1,x_2) x_3^k \quad (x = (x_1,x_2,x_3)).$$

Lemma 2.1. For any integer k, the following identity holds for all $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus (0, +\infty))^2$ such that $\frac{x}{y} \notin (0, +\infty)$:

(2.12)
$$F_4(k,k,1,1,x,y) = -y^{-k}F_4\left(k,k,1,1,\frac{x}{y},\frac{1}{y}\right).$$

Proof. Recall the following relation [6, p. 26, (37)], which can be obtained from the connection formula of $_2F_1$ between zero and infinity:

$$F_4(\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\gamma',x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma')\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\gamma'-\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}(-y)^{-\alpha}F_4(\alpha,\alpha+1-\gamma',\gamma,\alpha+1-\beta,\frac{x}{y},\frac{1}{y'}) + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma')\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma'-\beta)}(-y)^{-\beta}F_4(\beta+1-\gamma',\beta,\gamma,\beta+1-\alpha,\frac{x}{y},\frac{1}{y}).$$

If we let $\alpha = k + \epsilon$, $\beta = k - \epsilon$, $\gamma = \gamma' = 1$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\epsilon \to 0$, then the coefficients will contain $\Gamma(\pm 2\epsilon)$, $\Gamma(k \pm \epsilon)$ and $\Gamma(1 - k \pm \epsilon)$; a direct computation allows us to obtain the desired relation (2.12).

Theorem 2.2. The function $G_3(x)$ satisfies the following relation:

(2.13)
$$T_{3,j}G_3(x) = -x_j G_3(x), \quad 1 \le j \le 3.$$

Equivalently, the function H_3 given in (1.9) with n = 3 is invariant under the action of \mathcal{G}_3 .

Proof. It comes immediately from (2.11) and (2.12), by noticing that both $G_n(x)$ and $F_4(\alpha, \alpha, \gamma, \gamma, x, y)$ are symmetrical with respect to their respective variables.

Remark 2.4. Theorem 2.2 may be viewed as a particular case n = 3 of Theorem 4.1, which extends the equality (2.13) to the case of all positive integer n; see Section 4.

Consequently, in order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 for n = 3, it remains only to find the expression of H_3 by means of the Legendre's elliptic function.

3. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

In the following, the main goal is to give a proof for Theorem 1.1. For doing this, we shall make use of the fact that G_n can be viewed as solution to a Cauchy problem for which the initial condition is exactly G_{n-1} . This idea leads us to introduce an infinite order partial differential operator made up with Euler-type vector fields. As in the Cauchy's theory for analytic functions, this differential operator can be represented by an integral; see Proposition 3.1 in §3.1. In paragraphes 3.2 and 3.3 we then apply this representation to our couple of functions (G_{n-1}, G_n) .

In §3.4, we shall set out how to read Theorem 1.2 as a corollary of Theorem 1.1. We conclude this section by considering the analytic continuation domain of each G_n , by mean of Theorem 1.1.

In whole this section, n denotes an integer ≥ 2 , $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $x' = \bar{x}'_{n-1} = (x_1, ..., x_{n-1})$ and the following Euler operator and vector fileds will also be used:

(3.1)
$$\delta_{x_j} = x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad \mathcal{D} = \delta_{x_1} + \dots + \delta_{x_n}, \quad \mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D} - \delta_{x_n}$$

3.1. An infinite order differential operator. To any positive integer k, we associate the polynomial P_k of degree 2k as follows:

(3.2)
$$P_k(z) = \prod_{j=1}^k (z+j)^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{2k} C_{k,j} z^j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} [z].$$

For convenience, we set $C_{k,j} = 0$ if j > 2k. Let $\mathcal{K}(u, z)$ denote the following modified generating function associated to the double sequence $C_{k,j}$:

(3.3)
$$\mathcal{K}(u,z) = \sum_{k,j \ge 0} \frac{C_{k,j}}{k!^2} u^k z^j,$$

that can be read as follows:

(3.4)
$$\mathcal{K}(u,z) = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{P_k(z)}{k!^2} u^k = {}_2F_1(z+1,z+1;1;u)$$

Thus, it follows that $\mathcal{K}(u, z)$ can be extended into a holomorphic function in the domain $(\mathbb{C} \setminus [1, +\infty)) \times \mathbb{C}$ of \mathbb{C}^2 .

Lemma 3.1. For any compact $K \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus [1, +\infty)$, there exist $C_K > 0$ and $M_K > 0$ making the following relation valid:

(3.5)
$$|\mathcal{K}(u,z)| < C_K e^{M_K |z|}, \quad \forall (u,z) \in K \times \mathbb{C}.$$

Proof. From Kummer's formula [20, p.67, (3)] and (3.4), it follows that

$$\mathcal{K}(u,z) = (1-u)^{-z-1} {}_2F_1(z+1,-z;1;\frac{u}{u-1}),$$

to what the Watson's asymptotic formula [20, p.237, (8)] can be applied. In the same time, one can also make use of the expression (3.14) given latter in §3.3 for $\mathcal{K}(u, z)$. The details are left to the reader.

In other words, the function $\mathcal{K}(u, z)$ admits at most the first order exponential growth at $z = \infty$ when u remains inside any relatively compact subset of the cut-plan $\mathbb{C} \setminus [1, +\infty)$. Therefore, one can define its Laplace transform as follows:

(3.6)
$$\mathcal{C}(u,\zeta) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{K}(u,z) \, e^{-z\zeta} \, dz \,,$$

and this represents an analytic function at $(0, \infty) \in \mathbb{C} \times (\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\})$.

Proposition 3.1. Let \mathcal{D}' be as in (3.1) and let $\mathcal{K}(u, \mathcal{D}')$ be the infinite order differential operator obtained by replacing z by \mathcal{D}' in (3.3). Let \mathcal{H}_{n-1} and \mathcal{H}_n be the space of germs of holomorphic functions at $x' = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ and at $x = (x', x_n) = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, respectively. Then $\mathcal{K}(x_n, \mathcal{D}')$ is well-defined from \mathcal{H}_{n-1} to \mathcal{H}_n and, moreover, for all $f \in \mathcal{H}_{n-1}$, one can find R > 0 such that the following integral representation holds near $x = 0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$:

(3.7)
$$\mathcal{K}(x_n, \mathcal{D}')f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}_R} f(e^{\zeta} x') \mathcal{C}(x_n, \zeta) d\zeta ,$$

where \mathcal{L}_R denotes the closed-loop along the circle |z| = R in the anti-clock sense.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{n-1}$, replace (u, z) by (x_n, \mathcal{D}') in (3.3) and consider the obtained double power series that involves $\mathcal{D}'^j f(x')$. By induction on j, one may easily prove that

$$\mathcal{D}'^{j}f(x') = \frac{\partial^{j}f(e^{\zeta}x')}{\partial\zeta^{j}}\Big|_{\zeta=0}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0};$$

therefore, from the Cauchy's formula, one finds that

$$\mathcal{K}(x_n, \mathcal{D}')f(x') = \sum_{k,j \ge 0} \frac{C_{k,j}}{k!^2} x_n^k \frac{j!}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}_R} f(e^{\zeta} x') \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta^{j+1}} d\zeta$$

Here, if we choose R large enough, then one can observe that the following equality holds for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $|\zeta| > R/2$:

$$\sum_{k,j\geq 0} \frac{C_{k,j} j!}{k!^2} \frac{x_n^k}{\zeta^{j+1}} = \mathcal{C}(x_n,\zeta) \,,$$

which allows us to permute \sum and \int . Thus one obtains the wanted expression (3.7).

3.2. Passing from G_{n-1} to G_n . It is easy to check that the power series G_n defined in (1.1) satisfies the following partial differential equation:

(3.8)
$$\delta_{x_n}^2 G_n(x) = x_n \left(\mathcal{D} + 1\right)^2 G_n(x), \quad G_n(x', 0) = G_{n-1}(x').$$

Notice that one can also get this PDE by the one established for F_C in [6, p. 117], making all the parameters equal to 1.

Proposition 3.2. Consider the differential operator $\mathcal{K}(x_n, \mathcal{D}')$ defined in Proposition 3.1. Then it follows that

(3.9)
$$G_n(x', x_n) = \mathcal{K}(x_n, \mathcal{D}')G_{n-1}(x')$$

for all $x = (x', x_0)$ close enough to $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Proof. From (3.8) one can deduce the following relation for any positive integer k:

(3.10)
$$\left(\frac{1}{x_n}\delta_{x_n}^2\right)^k G_n(x) = \prod_{m=1}^k (\mathcal{D}+m)^2 G_n(x)$$

Indeed, let j be an integer $\in [1, n]$; from the expression (1.1), it follows that

(3.11)
$$\left(\frac{1}{x_j}\delta_{x_j}^2\right)^k G_n(x) = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^n} \left(\frac{(|\ell|+k)!}{\ell!}\right)^2 x^\ell;$$

thus one finds (3.10) by direct computation, noticing the elementary relation $\mathcal{D}x^{\ell} = |\ell| x^{\ell}$. On can complete the proof of (3.9) by rewriting the power series G_n as follows:

(3.12)
$$G_n(x', x_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}} \left(\frac{(|\ell|+k)!}{\ell!} \right)^2 x'^{\ell} \frac{x_n^k}{k!^2}.$$

We omit the details.

Remark 3.1. From (3.12), one may notice the following alternative representations of G_n in terms of G_{n-1} :

$$G_n(x',x_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{x_j} \delta_{x_j}^2\right)^k G_{n-1}(x') \frac{x_n^k}{k!^2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{m=1}^k (\mathcal{D}'+m)^2 G_{n-1}(x') \frac{x_n^k}{k!^2},$$

where j denotes any integer $\in [1, n-1]$.

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By taking into account Propositions 3.1 and 3.2, it follows that, for all $x = (x', x_n)$ sufficiently near $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

(3.13)
$$G_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}_R} G_{n-1}(e^{\zeta} x') \mathcal{C}(x_n, \zeta) d\zeta,$$

where \mathcal{L}_R denotes a positive-oriented circle $|\zeta| = R$ with R sufficiently large. We will express $C(x_n, \zeta)$ as an integral and then prove Theorem 1.1 by permuting the obtained double integral.

Recall the following Euler's type integral formula for $_2F_1$ [20, p. 58, (6)]:

$${}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;u) = \frac{i\Gamma(c)e^{i\pi(a-c)}}{2\Gamma(a)\Gamma(c-a)\sin\pi(c-a)} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{(0,1+)}} t^{a-1}(1-t)^{c-a-1}(1-t\,u)^{-b}dt,$$

where $\Re(b) > 0$, $c - a \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $|\arg(1-u)| < \pi$ and where the contour $\mathcal{C}_{(0,1+)}$ goes from zero towards 1 and then comes back to zero after a positive round around 1. Therefore, in view of (3.4), $\mathcal{K}(u, z)$ can be rewritten as follows:

(3.14)
$$\mathcal{K}(u,z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_{(0,1+)}} \left(\mathcal{S}(u;t) \right)^{z+1} \frac{dt}{t} \,,$$

where S(u;t) is the transformation defined in (1.4) with a = u. Notice that the integral contour $C_{(0,1+)}$ can be replaced by a loop C_1 as in Theorem 1.2, what we are going to do in the following.

Consequently, the Laplace transform (3.6) can be decoded as a double integral. Applying Fubini's Theorem to this one gives raise to the following relation:

$$\mathcal{C}(u,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} \mathcal{S}(u;t) \frac{dt}{t} \int_0^\infty \left(\mathcal{S}(u;t) e^{-\zeta} \right)^z dz \,.$$

Remember that $\mathcal{C}(u,\zeta)$ represents a germ of analytic function at $(0,\infty)$ in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, with $\mathbb{C}_{\infty} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $\mathbf{D}_R = \{u \in \mathbb{C} : |u| < R\}$; for any sufficiently small R > 0, if $(u,t) \in \mathbf{D}_R \times \mathcal{C}_1$ and if \mathcal{C}_1 remains enough close to t = 1, then $\mathcal{S}(u,t) \sim 1/(1-t)$, thus one may suppose that $\arg(e^{i\pi} \mathcal{S}(u,t)) \in (-\pi,\pi]$. Therefore, one finds the following expression:

(3.15)
$$\mathcal{C}(u,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} \frac{\mathcal{S}(u;t)}{\zeta - \log(\mathcal{S}(u;t))} \frac{dt}{t},$$

where log denotes the principal branch of the logarithm.

Finally, if one puts both $u = x_n$ and the expression (3.15) in (3.13) and then applies again Fubini's Theorem to this new double integral, one findsz that

$$G_n(x',x_n) = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} \mathcal{S}(x_n;t) \frac{dt}{t} \int_{\mathcal{L}_R} \frac{G_{n-1}(e^{\zeta} x')}{\zeta - \log(\mathcal{S}(x_n;t))} d\zeta.$$

Thus from the Cauchy's formula one obtains the wanted relation (1.3) and this is the end of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 3.2. In the same way, one can prove that the function $\mathcal{K}(x_n, \mathcal{D}')f$ considered in Proposition 3.1 can be read as follows:

$$\mathcal{K}(x_n, \mathcal{D}')f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{(1+)} f(S(x_n; t)x') \,\mathcal{S}(x_n; t) \,\frac{dt}{t}$$

Notice that one may replace in the relation (1.3) the integration contour by any smooth closed-loop homotopic to $\partial^+ D(1; 1/2)$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$; such loop will be denoted as \mathcal{C}_1 as in Theorem 1.2.

3.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We proceed to the induction on n. If n = 1, it is elementary to check that

(3.16)
$$G_1(x) = \frac{1}{1-x} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} \frac{dt}{(1-t)(tx-1)}$$

so that the wanted relation (1.7) is true for n = 1.

From the expression (1.3), one may write that, if $x = (x', x_n)$ remains enough close to 0 in \mathbb{C}^n , then:

$$G_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} G_{n-1} \left(\mathcal{S}(x_n; t_n) \, x' \right) \mathcal{S}(x_n; t_n) \, \frac{dt_n}{t_n} \, .$$

Assume Theorem 1.2 to be true for m = n - 1, $n \ge 2$; it follows that

$$G_n(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} \mathcal{S}(x_n; t_n) \frac{dt_n}{t_n} \int_{\mathcal{C}_1^{n-1}} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{S}(\bar{Y}_j(x_n, t_n); \bar{t}_j) \frac{dt_j}{t_j},$$

where we set

$$\bar{Y}_j(x_n, t_n) = \mathcal{S}(x_n; t_n) \,\bar{x}_j, \quad 1 \le j \le n-1.$$

Therefore, one can complete the proof with the help of the definition (1.5) for the transformations $S(\bar{x}_j; \bar{t}_j)$.

3.5. Analytic continuation domain of G_n . For all positive integer n, let Ω_n be the analytic continuation domain of G_n . We shall make use of Theorem 1.1 to set out how to describe Ω_n from Ω_{n-1} . If n = 1. it is clear that $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$. For all integer $n \geq 2$, it will be convenient to introduce the following notations: to any $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is associated the set $\mathcal{C}_{(a)}$ of all smooth closed-loops that are homotopic to $\partial D^+(1;r)$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, 1/a\}$, where r denotes any (infinitesimal) real > 0; for any $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{(a)}$ we denote by $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}^{a;\Gamma}$ the set of all $x' \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ such that $\mathcal{S}(a;t)x' \in \Omega_{n-1}$ for all $t \in \Gamma$; finally, we define

(3.17)
$$\mathcal{U}_{n-1}^{a} = \bigcup_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{(a)}} \mathcal{U}_{n-1}^{a;\Gamma}, \quad \mathcal{U}_{n} = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{C}} \left(\{a\} \times \mathcal{U}_{n-1}^{a} \right)$$

and, their respective connected component containing **0** will be denoted by $\mathcal{U}_{n-1;0}^a$ and $\mathcal{U}_{n;0}$, respectively.

Proposition 3.3. The following assertions hold for all integer $n \ge 2$.

(1)
$$\Omega_n = \mathcal{U}_{n;\mathbf{0}} = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{U}_{n;\mathbf{0}}^a$$
.

(2) $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}^0 = \Omega_{n-1}$.

(3) If $a \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{U}_{n-1}^a = a \mathcal{U}_{n-1}^{1/a}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{n-1;0}^a = a \mathcal{U}_{n-1;0}^{1/a}$.

(4) For any given compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, it follows that

$$\cap_{a\in K}\mathcal{U}_{n-1}^a\neq\emptyset,\quad\cap_{a\in K}\mathcal{U}_{n-1}^a\neq\emptyset.$$

Proof. The assertions 1 and 2 are evident.

To prove the assertion 3, it suffices to consider the relation S(1/a; t) = aS(a; 1/t). The last assertion comes from the fact that $\bigcap_{a \in K} C_{(a)} \neq \emptyset$.

Corollary 3.1. The intersection set $\Omega_n \cap (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is left invariant by the group \mathcal{G}_n . *Proof.* It suffices to consider Proposition 3.3 and especially its assertions 1 and 3.

Remark 3.3. In the above, the reasoning was made for each domain Ω_n that was supposed to be in \mathbb{C}^n . However, it is natural to consider some spaces of loops or covering spaces instead of \mathbb{C}^n , that should be studied in a future work. For n = 2 and n = 3, see Remarks 2.2 and 6.1.

4. Symmetries of G_n and H_n

This section is devoted to the study of the invariance of each function H_n under the action of \mathcal{G}_n , as it is announced in Theorem 1.3. Remember that $H_n = G_n \sqrt{Q_n}$, where the factor Q is a symmetric quadratic polynomial; see (1.9) and (1.10). Since all the permutations of the coordinates belong to \mathcal{G}_n , one needs only to consider G_n under the action of anyone of the n transformations $T_{n,j}$ once the index n is given.

Theorem 4.1. The following relation holds for any given pair of positive integers (n, j) such that $j \leq n$:

(4.1)
$$T_{n,j}G_n(x) = -x_j G_n(x).$$

Two different proofs of this statement will be given in the two foolowing paragraphes. In §4.1, we shall consider the alternative representations of G_n given in Remark 3.1; accordingly, the corresponding identity (4.1) will be interpreted as consequence of some symmetries of the Euler vector fields \mathcal{D}' .

In §4.2, the starting point is to make use of the integral representation (1.3). It will be shown that Theorem 4.1 comes from some very simple symmetries of the quadratic transformation S(a; t).

Finally, a few words will be added in §4.3 for finding, from Theorem 4.1, the invariance of H_n under the action of the group \mathcal{G}_n .

4.1. Symmetries viewed from Euler vector fields. Let $T_n := T_{n,1}$ and recall that $T_n(x) = (T_{n-1}x', x_n/x_1)$, where we suppose that $x_1 \neq 0$. A key point for what we are going to do in this paragraph is the following relation :

(4.2)
$$\delta_{x_1}(T_n f(x)) = \delta_{x_1} f(1/x_1, x_2/x_1, \dots, x_n/x_1) = -T_n(\mathcal{D}f(x)),$$

where f denotes any function which is assumed to be holomorphic in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{*n}$ such that $T_n \Omega = \Omega$.

12

Proof of (4.1) for j = 1. The identity is obviously true for n = 1, since $G_1(x) = 1/(1-x)$. If n = 2, we saw in Paragraph 2.2 that $G_2(x) = 1/\sqrt{Q_2(x)}$, so that the stated result is already established.

We shall prove the general result by induction on n. Suppose the identity (4.1) is true for j = 1 and $m = n - 1 \ge 1$, where n denotes some fixed integer ≥ 2 . For all integer $k \ge 0$, we define

$$\gamma_k(x') = \left(\frac{1}{x_1}\delta_{x_1}^2\right)^k G_{n-1}(x'), \quad \gamma_k^*(x') = T_{n-1}\gamma_k(x');$$

By taking into account Remark 3.1, the expected relation (4.1) for j = 1 is equivalent to the following relations for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x' \in \mathbb{C}^{*n-1}$:

(4.3)
$$\gamma_k^*(x') = -x_1^{k+1} \gamma_k(x') \, .$$

This is true for k = 0, because of the induction hypothesis on G_{n-1} ; therefore, it remains only to prove (4.3) for all $k \ge 1$ and we shall do it by induction on k in the following.

Indeed, suppose $\gamma_{k-1}^*(x') = -x_1^k \gamma_{k-1}(x')$ for some integer $k \ge 1$; it follows that (4.4) $\delta_{x_k} \gamma_{k-1}^*(x') = kT_{n-1} \gamma_{k-1}(x') - x_1^k \delta_{x_k} \gamma_{k-1}(x').$

(4.4)
$$\delta_{x_1}\gamma_{k-1}^{*}(x') = kT_{n-1}\gamma_{k-1}(x') - x_1^{n}\delta_{x_1}\gamma_{k-1}(x').$$

On the other hand, applying (4.2) to $T_{n-1}\gamma_{k-1}$ instead of $T_n f$ gives raise to the relation $\delta_{x_1}\gamma_{k-1}^*(x') = -T_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}'\gamma_{k-1}(x'))$; thus, from (4.4) one finds that

(4.5)
$$x_1^k \delta_{x_1} \gamma_{k-1}(x') = T_{n-1}((\mathcal{D}' + k)\gamma_{k-1}(x')).$$

If one applies again (4.2) to the left-hand side of (4.5) with x' instead of x, one may find that

(4.6)
$$\delta_{x_1} \left(x_1^k \delta_{x_1} \gamma_{k-1}(x') \right) = -T_{n-1} (\mathcal{D}'(\mathcal{D}'+k) \gamma_{k-1}(x')) \,.$$

In the same time, combing the relation (4.5) with

$$\delta_{x_1}\left(x_1^k\delta_{x_1}\gamma_{k-1}(x')\right) = kx_1^k\delta_{x_1}\gamma_{k-1}(x') + x_1^k\delta_{x_1}^2\gamma_{k-1}(x'),$$

gives raise to the following:

(4.7)
$$\delta_{x_1} \left(x_1^k \delta_{x_1} \gamma_{k-1}(x') \right) = k T_{n-1} ((\mathcal{D}' + k) \gamma_{k-1}(x')) + x_1^{k+1} \gamma_k(x'),$$

where the relation $\delta_{x_1}^2 \gamma_{k-1}(x') = x_1 \gamma_k(x')$ was used.

Finally, one can deduce from (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$x_1^{k+1}\gamma_k(x') = -T_{n-1}(\mathcal{D}'+k)^2\gamma_{k-1}(x')$$

which implies the relation (4.3) with the help of (3.10). Thus one completes the proof of (4.1) for j = 1.

4.2. Symmetries of the quadratic transformation S(a; t). Let S(a; t) be the transformation given in (1.4); it follows that

(4.8)
$$S(a;t) = \frac{1}{a-1} \left(\frac{1}{1-t} - \frac{1}{1-at} \right),$$

so that one can easily find the following relations:

(4.9)
$$\mathcal{S}(a;t) = \mathcal{S}\left(a;\frac{1}{at}\right), \quad \mathcal{S}(a;t) = \frac{1}{a}\mathcal{S}\left(\frac{1}{a};\frac{1}{t}\right).$$

Proof of (4.1) for j = n. Let $x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $x_n \neq 0$ and define

(4.10)
$$g_n(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} G_{n-1} \left(\mathcal{S}(x_n;t) \, x' \right) \mathcal{S}(x_n;t);$$

then the integral representation (1.3) can be read as follows:

(4.11)
$$G_n(x) = \int_0^{(1+)} g_n(x,t) \, \frac{dt}{t} \, .$$

By taking into account both relations of (4.9), one deduces respectively that

(4.12)
$$g_n(x,t) = g_n\left(x,\frac{1}{x_nt}\right), \quad g_n(x,t) = \frac{1}{x_n} g_n\left(T'_n x, \frac{1}{t}\right),$$

where $T'_n = T_{n,n}$ is given by $T'_n(x) = (x'/x_n, 1/x_n)$. By combining (4.11) with (4.12), we find finally that

(4.13)
$$G_n(x) = -\int_{\infty}^{\left(\frac{1}{x_n}+\right)} g_n(x,t) \,\frac{dt}{t}$$

and

(4.14)
$$G_n(x) = -\frac{1}{x_n} \int_{\infty}^{(1+)} g_n(T'_n x, t) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

As $R \to +\infty$, one can easily see that

$$\int_{|t|=R} g_n(x,t) \, \frac{dt}{t} \to 0$$

so that the following relation holds:

$$\int_{\infty}^{(1+)} g_n(x,t) \frac{dt}{t} = -\int_{\infty}^{(\frac{1}{x_n}+)} g_n(x,t) \frac{dt}{t} \, .$$

Thus one can deduce (4.1) from the relations (4.13) and (4.14).

Remark 4.1. Such relation as (4.1) exists for all function that can be expressed by an integral of the type $\int f(x,t) \frac{dt}{t}$ if f be defined as in (4.10) by replacing G_{n-1} by some suitable function.

4.3. Invariance of the function H_n by the group \mathcal{G}_n . Remember that $H_n(x) = \sqrt{Q_n(x)} G_n(x)$; see (1.9). From the relation (1.10), it follows that

$$T_{n,j}\sqrt{Q_n(x)} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{Q_n(x)}}{x_j}.$$

Moreover, by a standard argument of continuation process, one can see that the symbol " \pm " can be only "-", so that one gets $T_{n,j}H_n(x) = H(x)$ from (4.1).

Remark 4.2. By taking into account Theorem 2.1, relation (3.16) and the aboveestablished invariance for H_n , the proof of Theorem 1.3 will be completed once one will have expressed the function G_3 in terms of the Legendre's elliptic function of the first kind.

As it is shown in (3.16), letting $G_0 = 1$ in (1.3) gives rise to the sum function of the corresponding power series (1.1) with n = 1. The main object of this section is devoted to deducing an explicit expression for each of G_2 and G_3 by starting from (1.3).

Remember that an algebraic expression is found for G_2 in §2.2, by making use of general transformations of Appell's series. In §5.1 that follows, this expression will be reformulated by means of the discriminant of a quadratic polynomial.

Putting this algebraic expression of G_2 into (1.3) would allow one to find an *explicit* expression for G_3 : this is what will be shown in §5.2 and §5.3. In fact, G_3 is nearly associated to the Legendre's elliptic function of the first kind; see Theorem 5.1. This ends finally the proof of Theorem 1.3.

5.1. Alternative proof of Theorem 2.1. Putting (3.16) in the left hand side of (1.3) gives raise to the following expression :

$$G_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_0^{(1+)} \frac{dt}{(1-t)(1-x_2t) + x_1t}$$

Write

$$(1-t)(1-x_2t) + x_1t = x_2(t-t_+)(t-t_-),$$

where

$$t_{\pm} = \frac{1 - x_1 + x_2 \pm \sqrt{(1 - x_1 + x_2)^2 - 4x_2}}{2x_2}$$

If $(x_1, x_2) \to (0, 0)$, one observes that

$$t_+ \to \infty, \qquad t_- \to 1,$$

so that the last integral for $G_2(x)$ may be evaluated by applying the residues Theorem at $t = t_-$. By observing that

$$x_2(t_- - t_+) = -\sqrt{(1 - x_1 + x_2)^2 - 4x_2}$$

and

$$(1 - x_1 + x_2)^2 - 4x_2 = (1 - x_1 - x_2)^2 - 4x_1 x_2$$

one obtains the wanted expression (2.10).

Remark 5.1. By a suitable change of variables, the integral representation formula (1.7) for n = 2 can be formally put in the same form as the Aomoto's formula for the Appell's series F_4 ; see [26, (0.4)] or [1, p. 124, Example 3.1]. This processus remains only formal, since the Aomoto's formula is valid uniquely when the parameters α , β , ν_1 and nu_2 do not belong to \mathbb{Z} .

5.2. Elliptic integral related to G_3 . By making use of the expression (2.10), the integral representation (1.3) with n = 3 can be written as follows:

(5.1)
$$G_3(x) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_0^{(1+)} \omega(x;t) \qquad (x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)),$$

where

(5.2)
$$\omega = \frac{dt}{\sqrt{P(x;t)}}$$

with

$$P(x;t) = \left((1-t)(1-x_3t) + (x_1+x_2)t\right)^2 - 4x_1x_2t^2.$$

The differential form $\omega(x;t)$ will be considered over the Riemann surface of $t \mapsto \sqrt{P(x;t)}$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ will be considered as small complex parameter. By choosing any determination of $\sqrt{x_1x_2}$ in the Riemann surface of \sqrt{z} , we set

$$a_{\pm} = 1 - x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \pm 2\sqrt{x_1 x_2},$$

$$t_1 = \frac{a_+ - \sqrt{a_+^2 - 4x_3}}{2x_3}, \quad t_2 = \frac{a_- - \sqrt{a_-^2 - 4x_3}}{2x_3}$$

and

$$t_3 = \frac{a_+ + \sqrt{a_+^2 - 4x_3}}{2x_3}, \quad t_4 = \frac{a_- + \sqrt{a_-^2 - 4x_3}}{2x_3}$$

A direct computation allows us to obtain that

(5.3)
$$P(x;t) = x_3^2 (t-t_1) (t-t_2) (t-t_3) (t-t_4)$$

Let $Q = Q_3$ be as in (1.9) with n = 3, that means that

(5.4)
$$Q(x) = \left(1 - (x_1 + x_2 + x_3)\right)^2 - 4(x_1 x_2 + x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_1);$$

let

(5.5)
$$u(x) = \frac{64 x_1 x_2 x_3}{Q(x)^2}.$$

Lemma 5.1. The following relation holds:

(5.6)
$$(a_{+}^{2} - 4x_{3}) (a_{-}^{2} - 4x_{3}) = Q(x)^{2} (1 - u(x)).$$

Proof. From the definition of a_{\pm} , one can find that

(5.7)
$$a_{+}a_{-} = (1 - x_{1} - x_{2} + x_{3})^{2} - 4x_{1}x_{2} = Q(x) + 4x_{3}.$$

In the same time, it is easy to see that

$$a_{+}^{2} + a_{-}^{2} = 2 a_{+} a_{-} + 16 x_{1} x_{2} ,$$

so that one obtains finally that

$$(a_{+}^{2} - 4x_{3})(a_{-}^{2} - 4x_{3}) = (a_{+}a_{-} - 4x_{3})^{2} - 64x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}.$$

This ends the proof of (5.6)

Consider the differential form ω of (5.2). In order to put ω into a so-called Riemann's normal form (see [24, p. 10]), we consider the Mobius transform:

$$t \mapsto t' = \frac{(t-t_1)(t_2-t_3)}{(t-t_3)(t_2-t_1)},$$

which sends t_1 , t_2 and t_3 into 0, 1 and ∞ , respectively.

Proposition 5.1. Let Q and u be given in (5.4) and (5.5), respectively. Then the differential form ω given in (5.2) can be put into the following form:

(5.8)
$$\omega = \frac{dt'}{\sqrt{\mu \tilde{P}(x;t')}}, \quad \tilde{P}(x;t') = t' (1-t') (1-\lambda t').$$

where

(5.9)
$$\lambda = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - u(x)}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - u(x)}}$$

and

(5.10)
$$\mu = -\frac{Q(x)}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - u(x)}\right).$$

Proof. Consider the factorization of the polynomial P(x;t) given in (5.3). The above-mentioned Mobius transform $t \mapsto t'$ takes t_4 to $1/\lambda$ if one denotes by λ the cross-ratio of $t_1, ..., t_4$, this means, if

$$\lambda = \frac{(t_4 - t_3)(t_2 - t_1)}{(t_4 - t_1)(t_2 - t_3)} \,.$$

On the other hand, from the definition of $t_1, ..., t_4$, it follows that

$$(t_4 - t_1)(t_2 - t_3) = \frac{1}{4x_3^2} \left(-2a_+ a_- + 8x_3 - 2\sqrt{(a_+^2 - 4x_3)(a_-^2 - 4x_3)} \right);$$

applying Lemma 5.1 and relation (5.7) gives raise to the following relation:

(5.11)
$$2 x_3^2 (t_4 - t_1) (t_2 - t_3) = -Q(x) \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - u(x)}\right).$$

By the same way, one can find that

$$2x_3^2(t_4 - t_3)(t_2 - t_1) = -Q(x)\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - u(x)}\right).$$

Thus one obtains the expected expression (5.9) for λ .

In order to obtain the polynomial $\tilde{P}(x;t')$ of (5.8) and the factor μ given in (5.10), we will firstly observe that

(5.12)
$$\mu = (t_2 - t_3) (t_4 - t_1) x_3^2.$$

Indeed, an elementary calculation permits to notice that the expression (5.3) can be written as follows:

$$P(x;t) = x_3^2 \beta \frac{t'(1-t')(1-\lambda t')}{(t'-\alpha)^4},$$

where we set

$$\alpha = \frac{t_2 - t_3}{t_2 - t_1}, \quad \beta = (t_2 - t_3) (t_4 - t_1) (t_3 - t_1)^2 \alpha^2.$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$dt = \frac{(t_1 - t_3) \alpha}{(t' - \alpha)^2} dt',$$

so that one can find finally the expression (5.8) for which the factor μ is given by the relation

$$\mu = \frac{x_3^2 \beta}{(t_1 - t_3)^2 \alpha^2};$$

thus we find the expression (5.12).

Finally, by taking into account the relation (5.11), we obtain the factor μ in the form of (5.10) which satisfies the condition (5.8); thus one completes the proof of proposition 5.1.

5.3. Expression of G_3 by means of Legendre's elliptic function. Coming back to Proposition 5.1, one may find that the following limit behavior holds as $x \to 0 \in \mathbb{C}^3$:

$$(5.13) t_1, t_2 \to 1; t_3, t_4 \to \infty$$

and

$$(5.14) \qquad \qquad \lambda \to 0, \quad \mu \to -1.$$

١

Accordingly, for any x enough near to $0 \in \mathbb{C}^3$, the contour of the integral (5.1) can be replaced by a closed loop linked t_1 and t_2 . Therefore, from proposition 5.1 it follows that

(5.15)
$$G_3(x) = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{dt'}{\sqrt{\mu t' (1 - t') (1 - \lambda t')}}$$

where we replaced the closed contour by the interval (0, 1) that is taken two times.

In view of (5.14), letting x = 0 in (5.15) leads us to the following identity:

$$1 = \frac{i}{\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{dt'}{\sqrt{t'(1-t')}} \,,$$

which suggests us to take $\sqrt{-1} = i$; in other words, we will make use of the following relation, deduced from (5.10):

(5.16)
$$\frac{i}{\sqrt{\mu}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{Q(x)}} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - u(x)}\right)^{-1/2}$$

as well as x remains sufficiently near to 0 in \mathbb{C}^3 .

Theorem 5.1. Let Q and u be given in (5.4) and (5.5), respectively. For all $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ sufficiently close to $0 \in \mathbb{C}^3$, the following relation holds:

(5.17)
$$G_3(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q(x)}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1; u(x)\right).$$

Proof. By taking into account of (5.16), letting $t' = s^2$ allows us to write the integral (5.15) as follows:

$$G_3(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{Q(x)}} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - u(x)}\right)^{-1/2} \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{\sqrt{(1 - s^2)(1 - \lambda s^2)}},$$

where λ is given as in (5.9). Here, the last integral is an elliptic integral of the first kind; more precisely, from [3, p. 132, (3.2.3)], one gets the following expression:

$$G_3(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{Q(x)}} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - u(x)} \right)^{-1/2} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - u(x)}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - u(x)}} \right)$$

Remember the following identity deduced from Pfaff's transformation on $_2F_1$ (see [3, p. 128, (3.1.9)]):

$$_{2}F_{1}(a,b;a-b+1;z) = (1+z)^{-a} _{2}F_{1}\left(\frac{a}{2},\frac{1+a}{2};a-b+1;\frac{4z}{(1+z)^{2}}\right).$$

This leads us to the expected relation (5.17), with

$$a = b = \frac{1}{2}, \quad z = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - u(x)}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - u(x)}}.$$

Thus one completes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

5.4. End of the proof of Theorem 1.3. It suffices to combine Theorem 5.1 with relation (3.16) and Theorems 2.1 and 4.1; see Remark 4.2.

6. Point view of ODE on the function G_3

The hypergeometric function ${}_{2}F_{1}(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1; u)$ appeared in the expression (5.17) of $G_{3}(x)$ can be characterized by certain second order ODE with explicit polynomial coefficients. Or, as it is easy to se (see Lemma 6.1 below), all member of the family of the symmetric series G_{n} for $n \geq 1$ can be viewed as the unique solution to some second order PDE Cauchy problem. In the following, we will show how to "parameterize" the corresponding PDE initial problem for $G_{3}(x)$ as being a nonlinear first order ODE problem, that is of Riccati type. This constitutes a new proof of Theorem 5.1.

In the following, (x, y, z) will be used instead of (x_1, x_2, x_3) .

6.1. The function G_3 regarded as coming from a Cauchy problem. We start with the following elementary fact.

Lemma 6.1. The germ of analytic function $G_3(x)$ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^3$ satisfies the following Cauchy problem:

(6.1)
$$\partial_x(x\partial_x F) = \partial_y(y\partial_y F), \quad F(x,y,0) = G_2(x,y).$$

Moreover, it is the unique solution of (6.1) that be analytic at 0 in \mathbb{C}^3 and symmetric with respect to its variables.

Proof. Direct verification for (6.1).

For the uniqueness, it suffices to consider the Taylor's series of F at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^3$; the details are left to the reader.

Remark the above initial condition $F(x, y, 0) = G_2(x, y)$ comes from the definition (1.1). Moreover, it follows that

$$G_2(x,y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_2(x,y)}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q(x,y,0)}};$$

see (2.10) and (5.4).

6.2. A new parametrization. We will suppose that F is of the following form:

(6.2)
$$F(x,y,z) = \frac{\varphi(u(x,y,z))}{\sqrt{Q(x,y,z)}}$$

where Q and u are given as in (5.4) and (5.5), respectively, and where φ denotes one unknown function that we would like to determine. If one makes use of the logarithmic derivation

$$D_t F := \frac{\partial_t F}{F} \quad (t = x, y, z),$$

then one can write

$$\frac{\partial_t (t\partial_t F)}{F} = D_t F + t(D_t F)^2 + t\partial_t D_t F.$$

Let ψ be the logarithmic derivative function of φ :

$$\psi(u) = D\varphi(u) := \frac{\varphi'(u)}{\varphi(u)};$$

one may successively find that, if t denotes one of the variables x, y and z, then

$$D_t F = \psi(u)u'_t - \frac{1}{2}D_tQ,$$

$$t(D_t F)^2 = t(\psi(u))^2 {u'_t}^2 - t\psi(u)u'_t D_t Q + \frac{t}{4}(D_t Q)^2,$$

$$t\partial_t (D_t F) = t\psi'(u){u'_t}^2 + t\psi(u)u''_{tt} - \frac{t}{2}\partial_t D_t Q.$$

Therefore, if one sets

(6.3)
$$A_t = A_{2,t} = t(u_t')^2, \quad A_{1,t} = u_t' - tu_t' D_t Q + tu_{tt}''$$

and

(6.4)
$$A_{0,t} = -\frac{1}{2}D_tQ + \frac{t}{4}(D_tQ)^2 - \frac{t}{2}\partial_tD_tQ,$$

one may obtain the following expression:

(6.5)
$$\frac{\partial_t (t\partial_t F)}{F} = A_t \psi'(u) + A_{2,t} (\psi(u))^2 + A_{1,t} \psi(u) + A_{0,t},$$

By taking into account the relations

$$\partial_t D_t Q = \frac{2}{Q} - (D_t Q)^2, \quad u'_t = (D_t u)u = (\frac{1}{t} - 2D_t Q)u$$

and

$$u_{tt}'' = \left(\partial_t D_t u + (D_t u)^2\right) u = \left(-\frac{4}{Q} - \frac{4}{t}D_t Q + 6(D_t Q)^2\right) u,$$

the quantities given in (6.3) et (6.4) can be written as follows:

(6.6)
$$A_t = A_{2,t} = \left(\frac{1}{t} - 4D_tQ + 4t(D_tQ)^2\right)u^2,$$

(6.7)
$$A_{1,t} = \left(\frac{1}{t} - \frac{4t}{Q} - 7D_tQ + 8t(D_tQ)^2\right)u$$

and

(6.8)
$$A_{0,t} = -\frac{t}{Q} - \frac{1}{2}D_tQ + \frac{3}{4}t\,(D_tQ)^2.$$

Lemma 6.2. The following relations hold:

$$D_x Q - D_y Q = \frac{4(x-y)}{Q}$$

and

$$x(D_xQ)^2 - y(D_yQ)^2 = \frac{4(x-y)}{Q} + \frac{16(x-y)u}{xy}$$

Proof. If one introduces the following notations:

$$X = x + y + z - 1$$
, $\hat{t} = x + y + z - t$ $(t = x, y, z)$,

then one can notice that

(6.9)
$$D_t Q = \frac{2(X - 2\,\hat{t})}{Q},$$

which implies immediately the first relation stated in the above in Lemma 6.2.

Again using (6.9) allows us to write

$$x(D_xQ)^2 - y(D_yQ)^2 = \frac{4}{Q^2} \left((x-y)X^2 - 4(x\hat{x} - y\hat{y})X + 4(x\hat{x}^2 - y\hat{y}^2) \right),$$

replace X^2 , \hat{x} , \hat{y} by Q + 4(xy + yz + zx), y + z and z + x, respectively; a direct computation permits to find the second relation of Lemma 6.2, which ends the proof.

6.3. Riccati equation deduced from G_3 . We are ready to give the principal statement of this section.

Theorem 6.1. If F is of the form (6.2), then the Cauchy problem (6.1) associated to G_3 can be transformed as follows:

(6.10)
$$t(64t-1)\psi'(t) + t(64t-1)(\psi(t))^2 + (128t-1)\psi(t) + 12 = 0,$$

with

$$\psi(t) = \frac{\varphi'(t)}{\varphi(t)}, \quad \psi(0) = 12.$$

Proof. Consider the expression (6.5) and, for any symbol B_x appearing in the list A_x , ..., $A_{0,x}$, define $B_{x|y} = B_x - B_y$. Therefore, the second order PDE of the Cauchy problem (6.1) can be read as follows:

$$A_{x|y} \psi'(u) + A_{2,x|y} (\psi(u))^2 + A_{1,x|y} \psi(u) + A_{0,x|y} = 0,$$

On the other hand, applying Lemma 6.2 to the relations (6.6), (6.7) and (6.8) gives raise to the following:

$$A_{x|y} = A_{2,x|y} = \frac{x-y}{xy} (64u-1)u^2, \quad A_{1,x|y} = \frac{x-y}{xy} (128u-1)u$$

and

$$A_{0,x|y} = \frac{12(x-y)}{xy} \, u.$$

Thus, one obtains Eq. (6.10).

The initial condition $\psi(0) = 12$ is necessary for (6.10) to have an analytic solution at t = 0. This is the end of the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Eq. (6.10) is a Riccati equation, from which one obtains a second order linear equation for φ (see [13, p. 24]):

$$t(64t-1)\,\varphi''(t) + (128t-1)\,\varphi'(t) + 12\,\varphi(t) = 0.$$

Making use of the new variable s = 64t allows one to write the last linear equation into an Euler-Gauss hypergeometric equation, so that one can observe that

$$\varphi(t) = {}_{2}F_{1}(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1; 64t).$$

This constitutes an alternative proof for Theorem 5.1.

Remark 6.1. Thanks to Theorem 5.1, one can proceed to the analytic continuation of G_3 into a larger domain than the domain $\Omega_3(\mathbf{0}; 1)$ given in (2.5) for n = 3. For doing this, it is useful to notice that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) u(x, y, z) = 1;
- (2) $\epsilon_1 \sqrt{x} + \epsilon_2 \sqrt{y} + \epsilon_3 \sqrt{z} = 1$, where $\epsilon_j = \pm 1$ for j = 1, 2 and 3.

Acknowledgement– This work has been started during the period June/July, 2010, while the first author was a visiting professor at USTL (Lille 1) and the most part of the present paper has been outlined when the third author visited in Wuhan university in the period of June/July, 2011. This work was partially supported by the NSFC under the grants 11131005, 11171261.

References

- K. Aomoto and M. Kita, Theory of Hypergeometric Functions (English translation edition), Springer, 2011.
- [2] A. Adolphson, Hypergeometric functions and rings generated by monomials, Duke Math. J. 73 (1994), 269-290.
- [3] G. E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, Special functions, Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [4] P. Appell, Sur les séries hypergéométriques de deux variables et sur des équations différentielles linéaires aux dérivées partielles, Comptes Rendus des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences (Paris) 90 (1880), 296-298 and 731-735.
- [5] P. Appell, Sur les fonctions hypergéométriques de plusieurs variables, les polynômes d'Hermite et autres fonctions sphériques dans l'hyperespace. Mémorial des sciences mathématiques 3 (1925), p. 1-75.
- [6] P. Appell and J. Kampé de Fériet, Fonctions hypergéométriques et hypersphériques. Polynômes d'Hermite, Paris, 1926.
- [7] F. Beukers, Algebraic A-hypergeometric functions, Invent. Math. 180 (2010), 589-610.
- [8] H. Chen, Z. Luo and H. Tahara, Formal solution of nonlinear first order totally characteristic type PDE with irregular singularity, Ann. Inst. Fourier 51 (2001), 1599-1620.
- [9] H. Chen and H. Tahara, On totally characteristic type non-linear differential equations in the complex domain, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 35 (1999), 621–636.
- [10] B. Dwork, Generalized hypergeometric functions, Oxford, 1990.
- [11] B. Dwork and F. Loeser, Hypergeometric series, Japan. J. Math. 19 (1993), 81-129.
- [12] I. M. Gelfand, M. M. Kapranov, and A. V. Zelevinsky, Generalized Euler integrals and Ahypergeometric functions, Adv. Math. 84 (1990), 255-271.
- [13] E. L. Ince, Ordinary differential equations, Dover Publications, Inc., 1956.
- [14] M. Kato, Connection Formulas for Appell's System F_4 and Some Applications, *Funkcial*. *Ekvac.* **38** (1995), 243-266.
- [15] M. Kato, The irreducibilities of Appell's F₄, Ryukyu Math. J. 7 (1995), 25-34.
- [16] M. Kato, Appell's F₄ with finite irreducible monodromy group, Kyushu J. Math. 51 (1997), 125-147.
- [17] M. Kita, On hypergeometric functions in several variables 1, New integral representations of Euler type, Japan. J. Math. 18 (1992), 25-74.
- [18] C. Lambert and Ch. Rousseau, The Stokes phenomenon in the confluence of the hypergeometric equation using Riccati equation, *Journal of Differential Equations* 244 (2008), 2641-2664.
- [19] G. Lauricella, Sulla funcioni ipergeometriche a più variabili, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo 7 (1893), 111-158.
- [20] Y. L. Luke, The Special Functions and their Approximations, Vol. 1. New York, Academic Press, 1969.
- [21] Z. Luo, H. Chen and C. Zhang, Exponential-type Nagumo norms and summability of formal solutions of singular partial differential equations, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 61 (2011), to appear.
- [22] J.-P. Ramis, Confluence et resurgence, J. Fac. Sci. Tokyo, Sect. IA, Math. 36 (1989), 703-716.
- [23] J.-P. Ramis, Séries divergentes et théories asymptotiques. Bull. Soc. Math. France 121 (1993), Panoramas et Syntheses, suppl..
- [24] H. E. Rauch and A. Lebwitz, *Elliptic functions, theta functions, and Riemann surfaces*, The Williams & Wilkins Company, 1973.
- [25] H. Weyl, The classical groups: their invariants and representations, Princeton University Press, reprint in 1997.
- [26] M. Yoshida, Euler integral transformations of hypergeometric functions of two variables, *Hiroshima Math. J.* 10 (1980), 329-335.
- [27] M. Yoshida, Hypergeometric Functions, My Love, Vieweg Verlag, Leipzig, 1997.

[28] C. Zhang, Confluence et phénomène de Stokes, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 3 (1996), 91-107.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430072, CHINA *E-mail address*: zhchluo.math@whu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430072, CHINA *E-mail address*: chenhua@whu.edu.cn

LABORATOIRE P. PAINLEVÉ (UMR – CNRS 8524), UFR MATH., UNIVERSITÉ DE LILLE 1, CITÉ SCIENTIFIQUE, 59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CEDEX, FRANCE *E-mail address:* zhang@math.univ-lille1.fr