

Deciding Whether a Regular Language is Generated by a Splicing System*

Lila Kari Steffen Kopecki

Department of Computer Science
The University of Western Ontario
Middlesex College, London ON N6A 5B7 Canada
{lila,steffen}@csd.uwo.ca

Abstract

Splicing as a binary word/language operation is inspired by the DNA recombination under the action of restriction enzymes and ligases, and was first introduced by Tom Head in 1987. Shortly thereafter, it was proven that the languages generated by (finite) splicing systems form a proper subclass of the class of regular languages. However, the question of whether or not one can decide if a given regular language is generated by a splicing system remained open. In this paper we give a positive answer to this question. Namely, we prove that, if a language is generated by a splicing system, then it is also generated by a splicing system whose size is a function of the size of the syntactic monoid of the input language, and which can be effectively constructed.

1 Introduction

In [10] Head described an operation on formal languages, called *splicing*, which models DNA recombination, a cut-and-paste operation on DNA strands under the action of restriction enzymes and ligases. A splicing system consists of a set of *axioms* or *initial words* and a set of (*splicing*) *rules*. The most commonly used definition for a splicing rule is a quadruple of words $r = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$. This rule splices two words $x_1u_1v_1y_1$ and $x_2u_2v_2y_2$: the words are cut between the factors u_1, v_1 , respectively u_2, v_2 , and the prefix (the left segment) of the first word is recombined by catenation with the suffix (the right segment) of the second word, see Figure 1 and also [16].




Figure 1: Splicing of the words $x_1u_1v_1y_1$ and $x_2u_2v_2y_2$ by the rule $r = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$.

Splicing as a language-theoretic word operation is meant to abstract the action of two compatible restriction enzymes and the ligase enzyme on two DNA strands. The first enzyme recognizes the subword u_1v_1 , called its *restriction site*, in any DNA string and cuts the string containing this subword between u_1 and v_1 . The second restriction enzyme, with restriction site u_2v_2 , acts similarly. Assuming that the “sticky ends” obtained after these cuts are in some sense “compatible”, the enzyme ligase aids then the recombination (catenation) of the first segment of one cut string with the second segment of another cut string.

A splicing system generates a language which contains every word that can be obtained by successively applying rules to axioms and the intermediately produced words. The most natural

*This research was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery Grant R2824A01 and UWO Faculty of Science grant to L. K.

variant of splicing systems, often referred to as finite splicing systems, is to consider a finite set of axioms and a finite set of rules. In this paper, by a splicing system we always mean a finite splicing system. Shortly after the introduction of splicing in formal language theory, Culik II and Harju [6] proved that splicing systems generate regular languages, only; see also [12, 15]. Gatterdam [7] gave $(aa)^*$ as an example of a regular language which cannot be generated by a splicing system; thus, the class of languages generated by splicing systems is strictly included in the class of regular languages. However, for a regular language L over an alphabet Σ , adding a marker $b \notin \Sigma$ to the left side of every word in L results in the language bL which can be generated by a splicing system [11]; e. g., the language $b(aa)^*$ is generated by the axioms $\{b, baa\}$ and the rule $(baa, \varepsilon; b, \varepsilon)$, where ε is the empty word.

This led to the question of whether or not one of the known subclasses of the regular languages corresponds to the class \mathcal{S} of languages which can be generated by a splicing system. All investigations to date indicate that the class \mathcal{S} does not coincide with another naturally defined language class. A characterization of *reflexive* splicing systems using *Schützenberger constants* has been given by Bonizzoni, de Felice, and Zizza [1–3]. A splicing system is reflexive if for all rules $(u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$ in the system we have that $(u_1, v_1; u_1, v_1)$ and $(u_2, v_2; u_2, v_2)$ are rules in the system, too. A word v is a Schützenberger constant of a language L if $x_1vy_1 \in L$ and $x_2vy_2 \in L$ imply $x_1vy_2 \in L$ [17]. Recently, it was proven by Bonizzoni and Jonoska that every splicing language has a constant [5]. However, not all languages which have a constant are generated by splicing systems, e. g., in the language $L = (aa)^* + b^*$ every word b^i is a constant, but L is not generated by a splicing system.

Another approach was to find an algorithm which decides whether a given regular language is generated by a splicing system. This problem has been investigated by Goode, Head, and Pixton [8, 9, 13] but it has only been partially solved: It is decidable whether a regular language is generated by a reflexive splicing system. It is worth mentioning that a splicing system by the original definition in [10] is always reflexive.

In this paper we settle the problem by proving that for a given regular language, it is indeed decidable whether the language is generated by a splicing system (which is not necessarily reflexive), Corollary 5.2. More precisely, if a regular language L is a splicing system, then it is generated by one particular splicing system whose size is a function of the size of the syntactic monoid of L , Theorem 4.1. If m is the size of the syntactic monoid, then all axioms and all components of rules have a length in $\mathcal{O}(m^2)$. By results from [12, 13], we can construct a finite automaton which accepts the language generated by this splicing system, compare it with a finite automaton which accepts L , and, thus, decide whether L is generated by a splicing system. Furthermore, we prove a similar result for a more general variant of splicing that has been introduced by Pixton [15], Theorem 3.1.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we lay down the notation and recall some well-known results about syntactic monoids. Section 3 (Section 4) contains the proof that a regular language L is generated by a *Pixton splicing system* (resp., *classical splicing system*) if and only if it is generated by one particular Pixton splicing system (resp., classical splicing system) which is restricted by the size of the syntactic monoid of L . Sections 3 and 4 can be read independently and overlap in some of their main ideas. The inclusion of both sections and the presentation order are chiefly for expository purposes: Due to the features of the Pixton splicing, Section 3 introduces the main ideas in a significantly more readable way. Finally, in Section 5 we deduce the decidability results for both splicing variants.

2 Notation and Definitions

We assume the reader to be familiar with the fundamental concepts of language theory, see [14].

Let Σ be an *alphabet*, Σ^* be the set of all words over Σ , and ε denote the *empty word*. A subset L of Σ^* is a *language* over Σ . Throughout this paper, we consider languages over the fixed alphabet Σ , only. Furthermore, we consider the letters of Σ to be ordered and for words $u, v \in \Sigma^*$ we denote the (*strict*) *length-lexicographical order* by $u \leq_{\ell\ell} v$ (resp., $u <_{\ell\ell} v$); i. e., $u \leq_{\ell\ell} v$ if either

$|u| \leq |v|$, or $|u| = |v|$ and u is at most v in lexicographic order.

For a length bound $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $\Sigma^{\leq m}$ denote the set of words whose length is at most m , i. e., $\Sigma^{\leq m} = \bigcup_{i \leq m} \Sigma^i$. Analogously, we define $\Sigma^{< m} = \bigcup_{i < m} \Sigma^i$.

Let $w \in \Sigma^*$ be a word. The length of w is denoted by $|w|$. If $w = xyz$ for some $x, y, z \in \Sigma^*$, then x , y , and z are called *prefix*, *factor*, and *suffix* of w , respectively. If a prefix or suffix of w is distinct from w , it is said to be *proper*.

Every language L induces an *syntactic congruence* \sim_L over words such that $u \sim_L v$ if and only if for all words x, y

$$xuy \in L \iff xvy \in L.$$

The *syntactic class* (with respect to L) of a word u is $[u]_L = \{v \mid u \sim_L v\}$. The *syntactic monoid* of L is the quotient monoid

$$M_L = \Sigma^* / \sim_L = \{[u]_L \mid u \in \Sigma^*\}.$$

It is well known that a language L is regular if and only if its syntactic monoid M_L is finite. We will use two basic facts about syntactic monoids of regular languages.

Lemma 2.1. *Let L be a regular language and let w be a word with $|w| \geq |M_L|^2$. We can factorize $w = \alpha\beta\gamma$ with $\beta \neq \varepsilon$ such that $\alpha \sim_L \alpha\beta$ and $\gamma \sim_L \beta\gamma$.*

Proof. Consider $w = a_1 \cdots a_n$ where a_1, \dots, a_n are letters and $n \geq |M_L|^2$. For $i = 0, \dots, n$, let $X_i = [a_1 \cdots a_i]_L$ be the syntactic classes of the prefixes of w and let $Y_i = [a_{i+1} \cdots a_n]_L$ be the syntactic classes of the suffixes of w . Note that $X_i Y_i = [w]_L$. By the pigeonhole principle, there are i, j with $0 \leq i < j \leq n$ such that $X_i = X_j$ and $Y_i = Y_j$. Let $\alpha = a_1 \cdots a_i$, $\beta = a_{i+1} \cdots a_j$, and $\gamma = a_{j+1} \cdots a_n$. As $\alpha \in X_i$ and $\alpha\beta \in X_j$, we see that $\alpha \sim_L \alpha\beta$ and, symmetrically, $\gamma \sim_L \beta\gamma$. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let L be a regular language. Every element $X \in M_L$ contains a word $x \in X$ with $|x| < |M_L|$.*

Proof. We define a series of sets $S_i \subseteq M_L$. We start with $S_0 = \{1\}$ (here, $1 = [\varepsilon]_L$) and let $S_{i+1} = S_i \cup \{X \cdot [a]_L \mid X \in S_i \wedge a \in \Sigma\}$ for $i \geq 0$. It is not difficult to see that $X \in S_i$ if and only if X contains a word $x \in X$ with $|x| \leq i$. As $S_i \subseteq S_{i+1}$ and M_L is finite, the series has a fixed point S_n such that $S_i = S_n$ for all $i \geq n$. Let n be the least value with this property, i. e., $S_{n-1} \subsetneq S_n$ or $n = 0$. Observe that $n < |M_L|$ as $S_0 \subsetneq S_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq S_n$. Every element $X \in M_L$ contains some word $x \in X$, thus, $X \in S_{|x|} \subseteq S_n$. Concluding that X contains a word with a length of at most $n < |M_L|$. \square

3 Pixton's Variant of Splicing

In this section we use the definition of the splicing operation as it was introduced in [15]. A triple of words $r = (u_1, u_2; v) \in (\Sigma^*)^3$ is called a (*splicing*) *rule*. The words u_1 and u_2 are called *left* and *right side* of r , respectively, and v is the *bridge* of r . This splicing rule can be applied to two words $w_1 = x_1 u_1 y_1$ and $w_2 = x_2 u_2 y_2$, that each contain one of the sides, in order to create the new word $z = x_1 v y_2$, see Figure 2. This operation is called *splicing* and it is denoted by $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_r z$.




Figure 2: Splicing of the words $x_1 u_1 y_1$ and $x_2 u_2 y_2$ by the rule $r = (u_1, u_2; v)$.

For a rule r we define the *splicing operator* σ_r such that for a language L

$$\sigma_r(L) = \{z \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists w_1, w_2 \in L: (w_1, w_2) \vdash_r z\}$$

and for a set of splicing rules R , we let

$$\sigma_R(L) = \bigcup_{r \in R} \sigma_r(L).$$

The reflexive and transitive closure of the splicing operator σ_R^* is given by

$$\sigma_R^0(L) = L, \quad \sigma_R^{i+1}(L) = \sigma_R^i(L) \cup \sigma_R(\sigma_R^i(L)), \quad \sigma_R^*(L) = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \sigma_R^i(L).$$

A finite set of axioms $I \subseteq \Sigma^*$ and a finite set of splicing rules $R \subseteq (\Sigma^*)^3$ form a *splicing system* (I, R) . Every splicing system (I, R) generates a language $L(I, R) = \sigma_R^*(I)$. Note that $L(I, R)$ is the smallest language which is closed under the splicing operator σ_R and includes I . It is known that the language generated by a splicing system is regular, see [15]. A (regular) language L is called a *splicing language* if a splicing system (I, R) exists such that $L = L(I, R)$.

A rule r is said to *respect* a language L if $\sigma_r(L) \subseteq L$. It is easy to see that for any splicing system (I, R) , every rule $r \in R$ respects the generated language $L(I, R)$. A rule $r \notin R$ respects $L(I, R)$ if and only if $L(I, R \cup \{r\}) = L(I, R)$. Furthermore, we say a splicing step $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_r z$ *respects* a language L if $w_1, w_2 \in L$ and r respects L ; obviously, this implies $z \in L$, too.

Pixton introduced this variant of splicing in order to give a simple proof for the regularity of languages generated by splicing systems. As Pixton's variant of splicing is more general than the *classic splicing*, defined in the introduction and in Section 4, his proof of regularity also applies to classic splicing systems. For a moment, let us call a classic splicing rule a *quadruple* and a Pixton splicing rule a *triple*. Consider a quadruple $r = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$. It is easy to observe that whenever we can use r in order to splice $w_1 = x_1 u_1 v_1 y_1$ with $w_2 = x_2 u_2 v_2 y_2$ to obtain the word $z = x_1 u_1 v_2 y_2$, we can use the triple $s = (u_1 v_1, u_2 v_2; u_1 v_2)$ in order to splice $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_s z$ as well. However, for a triple $s = (u_1, u_2; v)$ where v is not a concatenation of a prefix of u_1 and a suffix of u_2 , there is no quadruple r which can be used for the same splicings. Moreover, it is known that the class of classical splicing languages is strictly included in the class of Pixton splicing languages, see [4]. For the rest of this section we focus on Pixton's splicing variant and by a rule we always mean a triple.

The main result of this section is that if a regular language L is a splicing language, then it is created by a splicing system (I, R) which only depends on the syntactic monoid of L .

Theorem 3.1. *Let L be a splicing language and $m = |M_L|$. The splicing system (I, R) with $I = \Sigma^{< m^2 + 6m} \cap L$ and*

$$R = \left\{ r \in \Sigma^{< 2m} \times \Sigma^{< 2m} \times \Sigma^{< m^2 + 10m} \mid r \text{ respects } L \right\}$$

generates the language $L = L(I, R)$.

As the language generated by the splicing system (I, R) is constructible, Theorem 3.1 implies that the problem whether a given regular language is a splicing language is decidable. A detailed discussion of the decidability result is given Section 5.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3.1. Consider a splicing language L . One of the main techniques we use in the proof is that, whenever a word z is created by a series of splicings from a set of words in L and a set rules that respect L , then we can use a modified set of words from L and modified rules which respect L in order to obtain the same word z by splicing. If z is sufficiently long these words can be chosen such that they are all shorter than z and the sides and bridges of the rules also satisfy certain length restrictions. Of course, our goal is to show that we can create z by splicing from a subset of I with rules which all satisfy the length bounds given by R (as defined in Theorem 3.1). In Section 3.1 we will present techniques to obtain rules that respect L from other rules respecting L and we show how we can modify a single splicing step, such that the words used for splicing are not significantly longer than the splicing result. In Section 3.2 we use these techniques to modify series of splicings in the way described above (Lemma 3.7). Finally, in Section 3.3 we prove Theorem 3.1.

3.1 Rule Modifications

Let us start with the simple observation that we can extend the sides and the bridge of a rule r such that the new rule respects all languages which are respected by r .

Lemma 3.2. *Let $r = (u_1, u_2; v)$ be a rule which respects a language L . For every word x , the rules $(xu_1, u_2; xv)$, $(u_1x, u_2; v)$, $(u_1, xu_2; v)$, and $(u_1, u_2x; vx)$ respect L as well.*

Proof. Let s be any of the four rules $(xu_1, u_2; xv)$, $(u_1x, u_2; v)$, $(u_1, xu_2; v)$, or $(u_1, u_2x; vx)$. In order to prove that s respects L we have to show that, for all $w_1, w_2 \in L$ and $z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_s z$, we have $z \in L$, too. Indeed, if $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_s z$, then $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_r z$ and as r respects L , we conclude $z \in L$. \square

Henceforth, we will refer to the rules $(xu_1, u_2; xv)$ and $(u_1, u_2x; vx)$ as extensions of the bridge and to the rules $(u_1x, u_2; v)$ and $(u_1, xu_2; v)$ as extensions of the left and right side, respectively.

Next, for a language L , let us investigate the syntactic class of a rule $r = (u_1, u_2; v)$. The *syntactic class* (with respect to L) of r is the set of rules $[r]_L = [u_1]_L \times [u_2]_L \times [v]_L$ and two rules r and s are *syntactically congruent* (with respect to L), denoted by $r \sim_L s$, if $s \in [r]_L$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let r be a rule which respects a language L . Every rule $s \in [r]_L$ respects L .*

Proof. Let $r = (u_1, u_2; v)$ and $s = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2; \tilde{v})$. Thus, $u_i \sim_L \tilde{u}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $v \sim_L \tilde{v}$. For $\tilde{w}_1 = x_1\tilde{u}_1y_1 \in L$ and $\tilde{w}_2 = x_2\tilde{u}_2y_2 \in L$ we have to show that $\tilde{z} = x_1\tilde{v}y_2 \in L$. For $i = 1, 2$, let $w_i = x_iu_iy_i$ and note that $w_i \sim_L \tilde{w}_i$; hence, $w_i \in L$. Furthermore, $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_r x_1vy_2 = z \in L$ as r respects L and $\tilde{z} \in L$ as $z \sim_L \tilde{z}$. \square

Let L be regular and consider a splicing $(x_1u_1y_1, x_2u_2y_2) \vdash_r x_1vy_2$ which respects L as shown in Figure 3 on the left side. The factors u_1y_1 and x_2u_2 may be relatively long but they do not occur as factors in the resulting word x_1vy_2 . In particular, it is possible that two long words are spliced and the outcome is a relatively short word. Using the Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, we can find shorter words in L and a modified splicing rule which can be used to obtain x_1vy_2 .




Figure 3: The factors u_1y_1 and x_2u_2 can be replaced by *short* words.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $r = (u_1, u_2; v)$ be a rule which respects a regular language L and $w_1 = x_1u_1y_1 \in L$, $w_2 = x_2u_2y_2 \in L$. There is a rule $s = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2; v)$ which respects L and words $\tilde{w}_1 = x_1\tilde{u}_1 \in L$, $\tilde{w}_2 = \tilde{u}_2y_2 \in L$ such that $|\tilde{u}_1|, |\tilde{u}_2| < |M_L|$. More precisely, $\tilde{u}_1 \in [u_1y_1]_L$ and $\tilde{u}_2 \in [x_2u_2]_L$.*

In particular, whenever $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_r x_1vy_2 = z$, there is a splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z$ which respects L where \tilde{w}_1 , \tilde{w}_2 , and s have the properties described above.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, the rule $(u_1y_1, x_2u_2; v)$ respects L . Choose $\tilde{u}_1 \in [u_1y_1]_L$ and $\tilde{u}_2 \in [x_2u_2]_L$ as shortest words from the syntactic classes, respectively; as such, $|\tilde{u}_1|, |\tilde{u}_2| < |M_L|$ and $\tilde{w}_1 = x_1\tilde{u}_1 \in L$, $w_2 = \tilde{u}_2y_2 \in L$. Furthermore, by Lemma 3.3, $s = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2; v)$ respects L . \square

Another way of modifying a splicing $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_r z$ is to extend the bridge of r to the left until it covers a prefix of w_1 . Afterwards, we can use the same method we used in Lemma 3.4 and replace w_1 by a short word, see Figure 4. As the splicing operation is symmetric, we can also extend the bridge of r rightwards and replace w_2 by a short word, even though Lemma 3.5 does not explicitly state this.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $r = (u_1, u_2; v)$ be a rule which respects a regular language L and let $w_1 = x_1u_1y_1 \in L$. Every rule $s = (\tilde{w}, u_2; x_1v)$ where $\tilde{w} \in [w_1]_L \subseteq L$ respects L . In particular, there is a rule s , as above, where $|\tilde{w}| < |M_L|$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we see that $(x_1u_1y_1, u_2; x_1v)$ respects L and, by Lemma 3.3, $s = (\tilde{w}, u_2; x_1v)$ respects L . If $\tilde{w} \in [w_1]_L$ is a shortest word from the set, then $|\tilde{w}| < |M_L|$. \square




Figure 4: The word $x_1u_1y_1$ can be replaced by a *short* word as long as we extend the bridge of the splicing rule accordingly.

3.2 Series of Splicings

We are now investigating words which are created by a series of successive splicings which all respect a regular language L . First, consider a word z which is created by two successive splicings from words $w_i = x_iu_iy_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ as in Figure 5. If no factor of w_1 or of the bridge in the first splicing is a part of z , then we can find another splicing rule s such that $(w_3, w_2) \vdash_s z$ and the bridge of s is the bridge used in the second splicing.




Figure 5: Two successive splicings can be replaced by one splicing in case when the factor x_1 and the bridge v_1 do not contribute to the resulting word.

Lemma 3.6. *Let L be a language, $w_i = x_iu_iy_i \in L$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $r_1 = (u_1, u_2; v_1)$, $r_2 = (u_3, u_4; v_2)$ be rules respecting L . If there are splicings*

$$(w_1, w_2) \vdash_{r_1} x_1v_1y_2 = w_4 = x_4u_4y_4, \quad (w_3, w_4) \vdash_{r_2} x_3v_2y_4 = z$$

where y_4 is a suffix of y_2 , then there is a rule $s = (u_3, \tilde{u}_2; v_2)$ which respects L and $(w_3, w_2) \vdash_s z$.

Proof. By extending the bridge v_1 of r_1 and the right side u_4 of r_2 (Lemma 3.2), we may assume the factors v_1 and u_4 match in w_4 . In order to do so, we may have to extend v_1 left- and rightwards (thus, u_1 and u_2 may be modified) and we may have to extend u_4 leftwards only as y_4 is a suffix of y_2 . The left side u_3 and the bridge v_2 of r_2 are not modified. Now, we have $v_2 = u_4$, $x_1 = x_4$, and $y_2 = y_4$. Let $s = (u_3, u_2; v_2)$ (where u_2 is the modified right side of r_1). As desired, we have $(w_3, w_2) \vdash_s x_3v_2y_4 = z$ since $w_2 = x_2u_2y_4$.

Let $\tilde{w}_i = \tilde{x}_i\tilde{u}_i\tilde{y}_i \in L$ for $i = 2, 3$. If for all those words $\tilde{x}_3v_2\tilde{y}_2 \in L$, then s respects L . Indeed, we may splice

$$(w_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_{r_1} x_1v_1\tilde{y}_2 = x_1u_4\tilde{y}_2, \quad (\tilde{w}_3, x_1u_4\tilde{y}_2) \vdash_{r_2} \tilde{x}_3v_2\tilde{y}_2.$$

Therefore, $\tilde{x}_3v_2\tilde{y}_2 \in L$ and s respects L . \square

Consider a splicing system (J, S) which generates the regular language $L = L(J, S)$. Let n be the length of the longest word in J and let μ be the length-lexicographic largest word that is a component of a rule in S . Define $W_\mu = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \leq_{\ell\ell} \mu\}$ as the set of all words that are as most as large as μ , in length-lexicographical order. Furthermore, let $I = \Sigma^{\leq n} \cap L$ be a set of axioms and let

$$R = \{r \in W_\mu^3 \mid r \text{ respects } L\}$$

be a set of rules. Since $J \subseteq I$ and $S \subseteq R$, we see that $L \subseteq L(I, R)$. On the other hand, $I \subseteq L$ and every rule in R respects L ; therefore, $L = L(I, R)$. Whenever convenient, we may assume that a splicing language L is generated by a splicing system which is of the form of (I, R) .

Now, consider the creation of a word $xzy \in L$ by splicing in (I, R) . The creation of xzy can be traced back to a word $z_1 = x_1zy_1$ where either $z_1 \in I$ or where z_1 is created by a splicing that affects z , i. e., the bridge in this splicing overlaps with the factor z in x_1zy_1 . The next lemma

describes this creation of $xy = z_{k+1}$ by k splittings in (I, R) , and shows that we can choose the rules and words which are used to create z_{k+1} from z_1 such that the words and bridges of rules are not significantly longer than $\ell = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let L be a splicing language, let $\ell, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $m = |M_L|$, and let μ be a word with $|\mu| \geq \ell + 2m$ such that for $I = \Sigma^{\leq n} \cap L$ and $R = \{r \in W_\mu^3 \mid r \text{ respects } L\}$ we have $L = L(I, R)$.*

Let $z_{k+1} = x_{k+1}zy_{k+1}$, with $|x_{k+1}|, |y_{k+1}| \leq \ell$, be a word that is created by k splittings from a word $z_1 = x_1zy_1$ where either $z_1 \in I$ or z_1 is created by a splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1$ with $\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2 \in L$, $s \in R$, and the bridge of s overlaps with z in z_1 . Furthermore, for $i = 1, \dots, k$ the intermediate splittings are either

- (i) $(w_i, z_i) \vdash_{r_i} x_{i+1}zy_{i+1} = z_{i+1}$, $w_i \in L$, $r_i \in R$, $y_{i+1} = y_i$, and the bridge of r_i is fully covered by the prefix x_{i+1} or
- (ii) $(z_i, w_i) \vdash_{r_i} x_{i+1}zy_{i+1} = z_{i+1}$, $w_i \in L$, $r_i \in R$, $x_{i+1} = x_i$, and the bridge of r_i is fully covered by the suffix y_{i+1} .

There are rules and words creating z_{k+1} , as above, satisfying in addition:

1. There is $k' \leq k$ such that for $i = 1, \dots, k'$ all splittings are of the form (i) and for $i = k' + 1, \dots, k$ all splittings are of the form (ii).
2. For $i = 1, \dots, k$ the following bounds apply: $|x_i|, |y_i| < \ell + 2m$, $|w_i| < m$, $r_i \in \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<\ell+m}$.

In particular, if $n \geq m$, then $w_1, \dots, w_k \in I$.

Proof. The first statement follows by the simple observation that the order of two successive splittings may be changed when they do not interfere with each other, meaning that the factors created by the bridges do not overlap with each other. To be more formal, consider rules $r = (u_1, u_2; v_1)$ and $s = (\tilde{u}_2, u_3; v_2)$ and words $w_1 = x_1u_1y_1$, $w_2 = x_2u_2\tilde{w}\tilde{u}_2y_2$, and $w_3 = x_3u_3y_3$ (these notations are not supposed to match with the notations in the claim). The word $z = x_1v_1\tilde{w}v_2y_3$ can be obtained by the splittings

$$\begin{aligned} (w_1, w_2) \vdash_r x_1v_1\tilde{w}\tilde{u}_2y_2 = \tilde{z}, & & (\tilde{z}, w_3) \vdash_s z & \text{ as well as} \\ (w_2, w_3) \vdash_s x_2u_2\tilde{w}v_2y_3 = \hat{z}, & & (w_1, \hat{z}) \vdash_r z, & \end{aligned}$$

which makes the order of the splicing steps irrelevant.

Note that if $k = 0$, then statement 2 is trivially true. By the first statement, $x_{k'+1} = x_{k'+2} = \dots = x_{k+1}$ and $y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_{k'+1}$. Let us consider the splittings of the form (i) which are the steps $i = 1, \dots, k'$. The notations we employ in order to prove the second statement for $i = 1, \dots, k'$ are chosen to match the notations in Figure 6.




Figure 6: The i -th splicing step in the proof of Lemma 3.7 where $v_i = u_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}$ and $x_{i+1} = u_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}\tilde{x}_i$.

Let $r_i = (w_i, u_i; v_i)$ where $w_i \in \Sigma^{<m} \cap L$ (by Lemma 3.5) and $x_i = u_i\tilde{x}_i$ (by Lemma 3.2, we extended the side u_i to cover a prefix of x_i) such that $u_{i+1}\tilde{x}_{i+1} = v_i\tilde{x}_i$ with $u_{k'+1} = \varepsilon$ and $\tilde{x}_{k'+1} = x_{k'+1} = x_{k+1}$. Lemma 3.6 justifies the assumption that every splicing occurs at the left of the preceding splicing, i. e., \tilde{x}_i is a proper suffix of \tilde{x}_{i+1} . Note that, as $|\tilde{x}_{k'+1}| \leq \ell$, the length of \tilde{x}_i is bounded by ℓ . Now, choose δ_{i+1} such that $\tilde{x}_{i+1} = \delta_{i+1}\tilde{x}_i$; thus, $u_{i+1}\delta_{i+1} = v_i$.

For $i = 2, \dots, k'$ we replace u_i by a shortest word from $[u_i]_L$. Note that this does not change the fact that all rules respect L (Lemma 3.3). We also replace the prefix of x_i and v_{i-1} by this factor. (There is no need to change $v_{k'}$ as $|v_{k'}| = |\delta_{k'+1}| \leq |x_{k+1}| \leq \ell$.) Therefore, $|x_i| < |\tilde{x}_i| + m < \ell + m$ and $r_i \in \Sigma^{<m} \times \Sigma^{<m} \times \Sigma^{<\ell+m}$ (if $i \neq 1$). We do not change u_1 yet as this may effect the splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1$ if it exists. Note that, for $i = 2, \dots, k'$, we have actually proven a stronger bound than claimed in statement 2 (of Lemma 3.7). Even though we have not proven the bound for r_1 yet, we have already established $r_1 \in \Sigma^{<m} \times \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^{<\ell+m}$. Symmetrically, we can consider statement 2 to be proven for $i = k' + 2, \dots, k$, i.e., only the prefix x_1 and the suffix $y_1 = y_{k'+1}$ have not been modified yet.

Now, let $x_1 = u_1 \tilde{x}_1$ (as above) and, symmetrically, let $y_1 = \tilde{y}_{k'+1} u_{k'+1}$ where $u_{k'+1}$ is the left side of $r_{k'+1}$. If $k' = 0$ (or $k' = k$), then u_1 (resp., $u_{k'+1}$) can be considered empty and $\tilde{x}_1 = x_{k+1}$ (resp., $\tilde{y}_{k'+1} = y_{k+1}$). If $z_1 \in I$ we replace u_1 and $u_{k'+1}$ by shortest words from their syntactic classes, respectively, and the claim holds. Otherwise, $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1$ where $s = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, v)$, $\tilde{w}_1 = x \tilde{u}_1$, and $\tilde{w}_2 = \tilde{u}_2 y$, by Lemma 3.4. Thus,

$$z_1 = u_1 \tilde{x}_1 z \tilde{y}_{k'+1} u_{k'+1} = xvy.$$

In case when v does not overlap with the prefix u_1 of z_1 , replace u_1 by a shortest word from its syntactic class. If v and the prefix u_1 overlap, let $u_1 = \delta_1 \delta_2$ such that δ_2 is the overlap and replace δ_1 and δ_2 by a shortest word from their syntactic classes, respectively. In both cases, $|u_1| < 2m$ and if v was modified, it got shorter; hence, we still have $v \in W_\mu$. Observe that $|x_1| < \ell + 2m$ and $r_1 \in \Sigma^{<m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<\ell+m}$. Symmetrically, we may treat $u_{k'+1}$ and $r_{k'+1}$ in order to prove statement 2. \square

3.3 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Let L be a splicing language and $m = |M_L|$. Throughout this section, by \sim we denote the equivalence relation \sim_L and by $[\cdot]$ we denote the corresponding equivalence classes $[\cdot]_L$.

Recall that Theorem 3.1 claims that the splicing system (I, R) with $I = \Sigma^{<m^2+6m} \cap L$ and

$$R = \left\{ r \in \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<m^2+10m} \mid r \text{ respects } L \right\}$$

generates L . The proof is divided in two parts. In the first part, Lemma 3.8, we prove that L is generated by a splicing system (I, R') where all sides of rules in R' are shorter than $2m$, but we do not care about the lengths of the bridges. The second part will then conclude the proof by showing that there are no rules in R' with bridges of length greater than or equal to $m^2 + 10m$ which are essential for the creation of the language L by splicing.

Lemma 3.8. *Let L , m , and I as above. There is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the splicing system (I, R') with*

$$R' = \left\{ r \in \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{\leq n} \mid r \text{ respects } L \right\}$$

generates the language $L = L(I, R')$.

Proof. As $I \subseteq L$ and every rule in R' respects L , it is clear that $L(I, R') \subseteq L$ (for any n); we only need to prove the converse inclusion.

As L is a splicing language, $L = L(J, S)$ for some splicing system (J, S) . Let n be larger than the length of every bridge of every rule in S and $n \geq 4m^2$.

In order to prove $L \subseteq L(I, R')$ we use induction on the length of words in L . For all $w \in L$ with $|w| < m^2 + 6m$, by definition, $w \in I \subseteq L(I, R')$.

Now, consider $w \in L$ with $|w| \geq m^2 + 6m$. The induction hypothesis states that every word $\tilde{w} \in L$ with $|\tilde{w}| < |w|$ belongs to $L(I, R')$. Factorize $w = x\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y$ such that $|x|, |y| = 3m$, $|\alpha\beta\gamma| = m^2$, $|\beta| \geq 1$, $\alpha \sim \alpha\beta$, and $\gamma \sim \beta\gamma$.

The proof idea is to use a pumping argument on β in order to obtain a very long word. This word has to be created by a series of splittings in (J, S) . We show that these splittings can be

modified in order to create w by splicing from a set of strictly shorter words and with rules from R' . Then, the induction hypothesis yields $w \in L(I, R')$.

Choose j sufficiently large ($j > n$ and J does not contain words of length j or more). We let $z = \alpha\beta^j\gamma\delta$ and investigate the creation of $xzy \in L$. As z is not a factor of a words in J , every word in L which contains z is created by some splicing in (J, S) . Thus, we can trace back the creation of xzy by splicing to the point where the factor z is affected for the last time. Let $z_{k+1} = x_{k+1}zy_{k+1}$, where $x_{k+1} = x$ and $y_{k+1} = y$, be created by k splittings from a word $z_1 = x_1zy_1$ where x_1zy_1 is created by a splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1$ with $\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2 \in L$, $s \in S$, and the bridge of s overlaps with z . Furthermore, for $i = 1, \dots, k$ the intermediate splittings are either

- (i) $(w_i, z_i) \vdash_{r_i} x_{i+1}zy_{i+1} = z_{i+1}$, $w_i \in L$, $r_i \in S$, $y_{i+1} = y_i$, and the bridge of r_i is fully covered by the prefix x_{i+1} or
- (ii) $(z_i, w_i) \vdash_{r_i} x_{i+1}zy_{i+1} = z_{i+1}$, $w_i \in L$, $r_i \in S$, $x_{i+1} = x_i$, and the bridge of r_i is fully covered by the suffix y_{i+1} .

Following Lemma 3.7 (with $\ell = 3m$), we may assume that $w_1, \dots, w_k \in I$, $r_1, \dots, r_k \in \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<4m}$, thus $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R'$, and $|x_1|, |y_1| < 5m$. Furthermore, we may use the same words and rules in order to create $w = x_{k+1}\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y_{k+1}$ from $x_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y_1$ by splicing, i. e., if $x_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y_1$ belongs to $L(I, R')$, so does w .

Now, consider the first splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1 = x_1zy_1$. By Lemma 3.4, we assume $s = (u_1, u_2; v)$ such that $\tilde{w}_1 = xu_1$, $\tilde{w}_2 = u_2y$ and $|u_1|, |u_2| < m$ (x and y are newly chosen words). Hence,

$$z_0 = xvy = x_1\alpha\beta^j\gamma\delta y_1.$$

where x is a proper prefix of $x_1\alpha\beta^j\gamma\delta$ and y is a proper suffix of $\alpha\beta^j\gamma\delta y_1$.

We will now pump down the factor β^j to β in order to obtain the words \hat{x} , \hat{v} , \hat{y} from x , v , y , respectively, as follows: If v overlaps with β^j but does neither cover α nor γ , extend v (Lemma 3.2) such that $v = \alpha\beta^j\gamma$. Thus, the factor $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ is fully covered by either xv or vy . If $\alpha\beta^j$ or $\beta^j\gamma$ is fully covered by one of x , v , or y , then replace this factor by $\alpha\beta$ or $\beta\gamma$, respectively. Otherwise, by symmetry, assume that $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ is covered by xv and, therefore, we can factorize

$$x = x_1\alpha\beta^{j_1}\beta_1 \qquad v = \beta_2\beta^{j_2}\gamma\tilde{v}$$

where $\beta_1\beta_2 = \beta$ and $j_1 + j_2 + 1 = j$. The results of pumping are the words $\hat{x} = x_1\alpha\beta_1$, $\hat{v} = \beta_2\gamma\tilde{v}$, and $\hat{y} = \tilde{y}_2$.

Let \hat{u}_1 and \hat{u}_2 be the sides of s that may have been altered due to the extension of v and, by Lemma 3.4, assume $|\hat{u}_1|, |\hat{u}_2| < m$. If we used extension for v , then $|\hat{v}| = m^2$. No matter whether we used extension, $t = (\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2; \hat{v}) \in R'$ and $(\hat{x}\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2\hat{y}) \vdash_t x_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y_1$ as desired. Observe that \hat{x} is a prefix of $x_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta$ and \hat{y} is a suffix of $\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y_1$ and recall that $|x_1|, |y_1| < 5m$. Therefore, $|\hat{x}\hat{u}_1|, |\hat{u}_2\hat{y}| < |\alpha\beta\gamma\delta| + 6m = |w|$ and, by induction hypothesis, $\hat{x}\hat{u}_1$ and $\hat{u}_2\hat{y}$ belong to $L(I, R')$. We conclude that $x_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y_1$ as well as w belong to $L(I, R')$. \square

We are now prepared to prove the main result.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Recall that for a splicing language L with $m = |M_L|$, we intend to prove that the splicing system (I, R) with $I = \Sigma^{<m^2+6m} \cap L$ and

$$R = \left\{ r \in \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<m^2+10m} \mid r \text{ respects } L \right\}$$

generates the language $L = L(I, R)$. Obviously, $L(I, R) \subseteq L$. By Lemma 3.8, there is a finite set of rules $R' \subseteq \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^*$ such that $L(I, R') = L$.

For a word μ , let $W_\mu = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \leq_{\ell\ell} \mu\}$, as before, and define the finite set of rules

$$R_\mu = \left\{ r \in \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times W_\mu \mid r \text{ respects } L \right\}$$

and the language $L_\mu = L(I, R_\mu) \subseteq L$. If $L_\mu = L$ for some word μ , then for all v with $\mu \leq_{\ell\ell} v$, $L_v = L$ and there exists a word μ such that $R' \subseteq R_\mu$ and $L_\mu = L$. Furthermore, for $\nu = b^{m^2+10m-1}$, where b is the lexicographically largest letter in Σ , $R_\nu = R$ and, therefore, if $L_\nu = L$, the claim holds. For the sake of contradiction assume $L_\nu \neq L$ and let μ be the smallest word, in the length-lexicographic order, such that $L_\mu = L$, i. e., $|\mu| \geq m^2 + 10m$. Let μ' be the next-smaller word than μ , in the length-lexicographic order, and let $S = R_{\mu'}$; thus, $L(I, S) \subsetneq L$. Note that $R_\mu \setminus S$ only contains rules whose bridges are μ .

Choose w from $L \setminus L(I, S)$ as a shortest word, i. e., for all $\tilde{w} \in L$ with $|\tilde{w}| < |w|$, we have $\tilde{w} \in L(I, S)$. Factorize $w = xzy$ with $|x| = |y| = 3m$ (n. b., $|w| \geq m^2 + 6m$, otherwise $w \in I$) and factorize $\mu = \delta_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta_2$ with $|\delta_1|, |\delta_2| \geq 5m$, $|\alpha\beta\gamma| = m^2$, $\beta \neq \varepsilon$, $\alpha \sim \alpha\beta$, and $\gamma \sim \beta\gamma$.

Next, we will use a pumping argument on all factors $\alpha\beta\gamma$ in z . As in the proof of Lemma 3.8, this new word has to be created by a series of splicings in (I, R_μ) and we will show that these splicings can be modified in order to create w from strictly shorter words and with rules from S . This will contradict the assumption that w is a shortest word from $L \setminus L(I, S)$.

Let j be a sufficiently large even number ($j > 4|\mu| + |z|$ will do). Let \tilde{z} be the word that we obtain by replacing all factors $\alpha\beta\gamma$ in z by $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$, using the following pumping algorithm:

1. $\tilde{z} := z$;
2. if there is a factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ of \tilde{z} such that neither
 - (a) the factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is a prefix of a factor $\alpha\beta^{j/2}$ in \tilde{z} nor
 - (b) the factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is a suffix of a factor $\beta^{j/2}\gamma$ in \tilde{z} ,
then replace this factor by $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$;
3. repeat step 2 until there is no such factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ left.

A proof that the algorithm will terminate, hence \tilde{z} is well defined, can be found in the Appendix; see Lemma A.1. The new word \tilde{z} may still contain the factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$, but if it does, then (a) or (b) holds. By induction and as $\alpha\beta\gamma \sim \alpha\beta^j\gamma$, it is easy to see that $\tilde{z} \sim z$.

Let us trace back the creation of $x\tilde{z}y \in L$ by splicing in (I, R_μ) to a word $x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ where either $x_1\tilde{z}y_1 \in I$ or where $x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ is created by a splicing that affects \tilde{z} . Let $z_{k+1} = x_{k+1}\tilde{z}y_{k+1}$, where $x_{k+1} = x$ and $y_{k+1} = y$, be created by k splicings from a word $z_1 = x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ where either $x_1\tilde{z}y_1 \in I$ or $x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ is created by a splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1$ with $\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2 \in L$, $s \in R_\mu$, and the bridge of s overlaps with \tilde{z} . Furthermore, for $i = 1, \dots, k$ the intermediate splicings are either

- (i) $(w_i, z_i) \vdash_{r_i} x_{i+1}\tilde{z}y_{i+1} = z_{i+1}$, $w_i \in L$, $r_i \in R_\mu$, $y_{i+1} = y_i$, and the bridge of r_i is fully covered by the prefix x_{i+1} or
- (ii) $(z_i, w_i) \vdash_{r_i} x_{i+1}\tilde{z}y_{i+1} = z_{i+1}$, $w_i \in L$, $r_i \in R_\mu$, $x_{i+1} = x_i$, and the bridge of r_i is fully covered by the suffix y_{i+1} .

Following Lemma 3.7 (with $\ell = 3m$), we may assume that $w_1, \dots, w_k \in I$, $r_1, \dots, r_k \in \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<4m}$, thus $r_1, \dots, r_k \in S$, and $|x_1|, |y_1| < 5m$. Furthermore, we may use the same words and rules in order to create $w = x_{k+1}zy_{k+1}$ from x_1zy_1 by splicing. As w does not belong $L(I, S)$, the word x_1zy_1 must not belong to $L(I, S)$ neither. If z_1 was in I , then $x_1zy_1 \in I$ as well, as z is as most as long as \tilde{z} .

Therefore, z_1 is created by a splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1$ where $s = (u_1, u_2; v)$, $\tilde{w}_1 = xu_1$, and $\tilde{w}_2 = u_2y$ where $|\tilde{u}_1|, |\tilde{u}_2| < m$, by Lemma 3.4 (here, x and y are newly chosen words). We have

$$z_1 = x_1\tilde{z}y_1 = xvy$$

where x is a proper prefix of $x_1\tilde{z}$ and y is a proper suffix of $\tilde{z}y_1$. Recall that either $s \in S$ or $v = \mu$.

However, we will see next that if $v = \mu$, there is also a rule $\tilde{s} \in S$ and slightly modified words which can be used in order to create $x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ by splicing. In this case $\mu = \delta_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta_2$ is a factor of z_1 . As $|\delta_1|, |\delta_2| \geq 5m > |x_0|, |y_0|$, the factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is covered by \tilde{z} and, as such, the pumping algorithm

ensured that either (a) α is succeeded by $\beta^{j/2}$ or (b) γ is preceded by $\beta^{j/2}$. Due to symmetry, we only consider the former case, in which $\gamma\delta_2$ is a prefix of a word in β^+ . Let us shorten the bridge v such that $\tilde{s} = (u_1, u_2; \delta_1\alpha\gamma\delta_2)$. Note that $\tilde{s} \in S$ (as $\alpha \sim \alpha\beta$ and by Lemma 3.3). Furthermore, as j is large enough, $y = \beta_2\beta^\ell\tilde{y}$ where β_2 is the suffix of β such that $\gamma\delta_2\beta_2 \in \beta^+$ and $\ell \geq |\gamma|$. Note that this implies $\beta_2\gamma$ is a prefix of y , which allows us to add an additional β . Therefore, $(\tilde{w}_1, u_2\beta_2\beta^{\ell+1}\tilde{y}) \vdash_{\tilde{s}} z_1$ where $u_2\beta_2\beta^{\ell+1}\tilde{y} \in L$. This observation justifies the assumption that $v \neq \mu$ and $s \in S$ which we will make for the remain of the proof.

Next, we will pump down the factors $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ to $\alpha\beta\gamma$ in \tilde{z} again. At every position where we pumped up before, we are now pumping down (in reverse order) in order to obtain the words \hat{x} , \hat{v} , \hat{y} from the words x , v , y , respectively. The pumping in each step is done as in the proof of Lemma 3.8:

If v overlaps with β^j but does neither cover α nor γ , extend v (Lemma 3.2) such that $v = \alpha\beta^j\gamma$. Thus, the factor $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ is fully covered by either xv or vy . If $\alpha\beta^j$ or $\beta^j\gamma$ is fully covered by one of x , v , or y , then replace this factor by $\alpha\beta$ or $\beta\gamma$, respectively. Otherwise, by symmetry, assume that $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ is covered by xv and, therefore, we can factorize

$$x = \tilde{x}\alpha\beta^{j_1}\beta_1 \qquad v = \beta_2\beta^{j_2}\gamma\tilde{v}$$

where $\beta_1\beta_2 = \beta$ and $j_1 + j_2 + 1 = j$. The pumping results are the words $\tilde{x}\alpha\beta_1$ and $\beta_2\gamma\tilde{v}$, respectively.

Let \hat{u}_1 and \hat{u}_2 be the sides of s that may have been altered due to extensions and, by Lemma 3.4, assume $|\hat{u}_1|, |\hat{u}_2| < m$. If we used extension for v in at least one of the steps, then $|\hat{v}| \leq m^2$. No matter whether we used extension, $t = (\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2; \hat{v}) \in S$ and $(\hat{x}\hat{u}_1, \hat{u}_2\hat{y}) \vdash_t x_1zy_1$. As $|\hat{x}\hat{u}_1|, |\hat{u}_2\hat{y}| < |z| + 6m = |w|$, $\hat{x}\hat{u}_1$ and $\hat{u}_2\hat{y}$ belong to $L(I, S)$. We conclude that x_1zy_1 as well as w belong to $L(I, S)$ — the desired contradiction. \square

4 The Case of Classical Splicing

In this section, we consider the classical splicing operation as defined in [16]. This is the most commonly used definition for splicing in formal language theory. Throughout this section, a quadruple of words $r = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2) \in (\Sigma^*)^4$ is called a (*splicing*) *rule*. The words u_1v_1 and u_2v_2 are called *left* and *right side* of r , respectively. This splicing rule can be applied to two words $w_1 = x_1u_1v_1y_1$ and $w_2 = x_2u_2v_2y_2$, that each contain one of the sides, in order to create the new word $z = x_1u_1v_2y_2$, see Figure 7. This operation is called *splicing* and it is denoted by $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_r z$. The *splicing position* of this splicing is the position between the factors x_1u_1 and v_2y_2 in z .




Figure 7: Splicing of the words $x_1u_1v_1y_1$ and $x_2u_2v_2y_2$ by the rule $r = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$.

Just as in Section 3, for a rule r we define the *splicing operator* σ_r such that for a language L

$$\sigma_r(L) = \{z \in \Sigma^* \mid \exists w_1, w_2 \in L: (w_1, w_2) \vdash_r z\}$$

and for a set of splicing rules R , we let

$$\sigma_R(L) = \bigcup_{r \in R} \sigma_r(L).$$

The reflexive and transitive closure of the splicing operator σ_R^* is given by

$$\sigma_R^0(L) = L, \quad \sigma_R^{i+1}(L) = \sigma_R^i(L) \cup \sigma_R(\sigma_R^i(L)), \quad \sigma_R^*(L) = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \sigma_R^i(L).$$

A finite set of axioms $I \subseteq \Sigma^*$ and a finite set of splicing rules $R \subseteq (\Sigma^*)^4$ form a *splicing system* (I, R) . Every splicing system (I, R) generates a language $L(I, R) = \sigma_R^*(I)$. Note that $L(I, R)$ is the smallest language which is closed under the splicing operator σ_R and includes I . It is known that the language generated by a splicing system is regular, see [6, 15]. A (regular) language L is called a *splicing language* if a splicing system (I, R) exists such that $L = L(I, R)$.

A rule r is said to *respect* a language L if $\sigma_r(L) \subseteq L$. It is easy to see that for any splicing system (I, R) , every rule $r \in R$ respects the generated language $L(I, R)$. Moreover, a rule $r \notin R$ respects $L(I, R)$ if and only if $L(I, R \cup \{r\}) = L(I, R)$. Furthermore, we say a splicing step $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_r z$ *respects* a language L if $w_1, w_2 \in L$ and r respects L . Obviously, this implies $z \in L$, too.

The main result of this section is that if a regular language L is a splicing language, then it is created by a splicing system (I, R) which only depends on the syntactic monoid of L .

Theorem 4.1. *Let L be a splicing language and $m = |M_L|$. The splicing system (I, R) with $I = \Sigma^{<m^2+6m} \cap L$ and*

$$R = \left\{ r \in \Sigma^{<m^2+10m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<m^2+10m} \mid r \text{ respects } L \right\}$$

generates the language $L = L(I, R)$.

As the language generated by the splicing system (I, R) is constructible, Theorem 4.1 implies that the problem whether a given regular language is a splicing language is decidable. A detailed discussion of the decidability result is given Section 5.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.1. The proof uses many ideas that have been employed in the Section 3. However, there are some problems we encounter solely while considering the classic splicing variant. The additional complexity comes from having to handle the first and fourth components of rules, because in a splicing they occur in the words we use for splicing as well as in the splicing result. The structure of this section is the same as Section 3. In Section 4.1 we will present techniques to obtain rules that respect a regular language L from other rules respecting L and we show how we can modify a single splicing step, such that the words used for splicing are not significantly longer than the splicing result. In Section 4.2 we use these techniques to show that a long word $z \in L$ can be obtained by a series of splittings from a set shorter words from L and by using rules which satisfy certain length restrictions. Finally, in Section 4.3 we prove Theorem 4.1.

4.1 Rule Modifications

Our first lemma tells us that we can extend the sides of a rule r such that the extended rule respects all languages that are respected by r .

Lemma 4.2. *Let $r = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$ be a rule which respects a language L . For every word x , the rules $(xu_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$, $(u_1, v_1x; u_2, v_2)$, $(u_1, v_1; xu_2, v_2)$, and $(u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2x)$ respect L as well.*

Proof. Let s be any of the rules $(xu_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$, $(u_1, v_1x; u_2, v_2)$, $(u_1, v_1; xu_2, v_2)$, $(u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2x)$. In order to prove that s respects L , we have to show that, for all $w_1, w_2 \in L$ and $z \in \Sigma^*$ such that $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_s z$, we have $z \in L$, too. Indeed, if $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_s z$, then $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_r z$ and, as r respects L , we conclude $z \in L$. \square

Henceforth, we will refer to the rules $(xu_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$, $(u_1, v_1x; u_2, v_2)$ as extensions of the left side and to $(u_1, v_1; xu_2, v_2)$, $(u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2x)$ as extensions of the right side.

Next, for a language L , let us investigate the syntactic class of a rule $r = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$. The *syntactic class* (with respect to L) of r is the set of rules $[r]_L = [u_1]_L \times [v_1]_L \times [u_2]_L \times [v_2]_L$ and two rules r and s are *syntactically congruent* (with respect to L), denoted by $r \sim_L s$, if $s \in [r]_L$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let r be a rule which respects a language L . Every rule $s \in [r]_L$ respects L .*

Proof. Let $r = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$ and $s = (\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{v}_1; \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_2)$. Thus, $u_i \sim_L \tilde{u}_i$ and $v_i \sim_L \tilde{v}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. For $\tilde{w}_1 = x_1 \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{v}_1 y_1 \in L$ and $\tilde{w}_2 = x_2 \tilde{u}_2 \tilde{v}_2 y_2 \in L$ we have to show that $\tilde{z} = x_1 \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{v}_2 y_2 \in L$. For $i = 1, 2$, let $w_i = x_i u_i v_i y_i$ and note that $w_i \sim_L \tilde{w}_i$; hence, $w_i \in L$. Furthermore, $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_r x_1 u_1 v_2 y_2 = z \in L$ as r respects L , and $\tilde{z} \in L$ as $z \sim_L \tilde{z}$. \square

Consider a splicing $(x_1 u_1 v_1 y_1, x_2 u_2 v_2 y_2) \vdash_r x_1 u_1 v_2 y_2$ which respects a regular language L , as shown in Figure 8 on the left side. The factors $v_1 y_1$ and $x_2 u_2$ may be relatively long but they do not occur as factors in the resulting word $x_1 u_1 v_2 y_2$. In particular, it is possible that two long words are spliced and the outcome is a relatively short word. Using the Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3, we can find shorter words in L and a modified splicing rule which can be used to obtain $x_1 u_1 v_2 y_2$.




Figure 8: Replacing $v_1 y_1$ and $x_2 u_2$ by *short* words.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $r = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$ be a rule which respects a regular language L and $w_1 = x_1 u_1 v_1 y_1 \in L$, $w_2 = x_2 u_2 v_2 y_2 \in L$. There is a rule $s = (u_1, \tilde{v}_1; \tilde{u}_2, v_2)$ which respects L and words $\tilde{w}_1 = x_1 u_1 \tilde{v}_1 \in L$, $\tilde{w}_2 = \tilde{u}_2 v_2 y_2 \in L$ such that $|\tilde{v}_1|, |\tilde{u}_2| < |M_L|$. More precisely, $\tilde{v}_1 \in [v_1 y_1]_L$ and $\tilde{u}_2 \in [x_2 u_2]_L$.*

In particular, whenever $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_r x_1 u_1 v_2 y_2 = z$, then there is a splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z$ which respects L where \tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2 , and s have the properties described above.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2, the rule $(u_1, v_1 y_1; x_2 u_2, v_2)$ respects L . Choose $\tilde{v}_1 \in [v_1 y_1]_L$ and $\tilde{u}_2 \in [x_2 u_2]_L$ as shortest words from the sets, respectively; as such, $|\tilde{u}_1|, |\tilde{u}_2| < |M_L|$ and $\tilde{w}_1 = x_1 u_1 \tilde{v}_1 \in L$, $\tilde{w}_2 = \tilde{u}_2 v_2 y_2 \in L$. Furthermore, by Lemma 4.3, $s = (u_1, \tilde{v}_1; \tilde{u}_2, v_2)$ respects L . \square

4.2 Series of Splicings

We consider the creation of words by a series of splicings. Let us begin with a simple observation. In case when a word is created by two (or more) successive splicings, but the sides of the splicings do not cover the splicing position of the other splicing, then the order of these splicings is irrelevant. Recall that the splicing position of a splicing $(w_1, w_2) \vdash_r z$ with $r = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$ is the position between the factors u_1 and v_2 in z . The notation in Remark 4.5 is the same as in the Figure 9.




Figure 9: The word $x_1 u_1 z_2 v_3 y_3$ can be created by either using the right splicing first or by using the left splicing first.

Remark 4.5. *Let $w_1 = x_1 u_1 v_1 y_1$, $w_2 = x_2 u_2 z_2 \tilde{v}_2 y_2$, where v_2 is a prefix of z_2 and \tilde{u}_2 is a suffix of z_2 , $w_3 = x_3 u_3 v_3 y_3$ be words and $r_1 = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$, $r_2 = (\tilde{u}_2, \tilde{v}_2; u_3, v_3)$ be rules. In order to*

create the word $z = x_1u_1z_2v_3y_3$ by splicing, we may use splicings

$$\begin{aligned} (w_1, w_2) \vdash_{r_1} x_1u_1z_2\tilde{v}_2y_2 = z', & & (z', w_3) \vdash_{r_2} z & \text{ or} \\ (w_2, w_3) \vdash_{r_2} x_2u_2z_2v_3y_3 = z'', & & (w_1, z'') \vdash_{r_1} z. \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider a word z which is created by two successive splicings from words $w_i = x_iu_iv_iy_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ as in Figure 10. If no factor of w_1 is a part of z , then we can find another splicing rule s such that $(w_3, w_2) \vdash_s z$. This replacement will become crucial in the proof of Lemma 4.7.




Figure 10: If no part of $x_1u_1v_1y_1$ is a factor of the splicing result, then the two splicings can be reduced to one splicing.

Lemma 4.6. *Let L be a language, $w_i = x_iu_iv_iy_i \in L$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $r_1 = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$, $r_2 = (u_3, v_3; u_4, v_4)$ be rules respecting L . If there are splicings*

$$(w_1, w_2) \vdash_{r_1} x_1u_1v_2y_2 = w_4 = x_4u_4v_4y_4, \quad (w_3, w_4) \vdash_{r_2} x_3u_3v_4y_4 = z$$

where v_4y_4 is a suffix of v_2y_2 , then there is a rule $s = (u_3, v_3; u_2\delta, \tilde{v}_4)$ which respects L and $(w_3, w_2) \vdash_s z$. Furthermore, $\tilde{v}_4 = v_4$ or $\tilde{v}_4 \leq_{\ell\ell} v_2$.

Proof. We extend u_1, v_2, u_4 , and v_4 such that the factors u_1v_2 and u_4v_4 match in w_4 (Lemma 4.2); more precisely, we only have to extend one of u_1, u_4 and one of v_2, v_4 . As v_4y_4 was a suffix of v_2y_2 , now, v_4 is a suffix of v_2 and $y_2 = y_4$; Additionally, either v_4 was not modified or $v_4 \leq_{\ell\ell} v_2$ and v_2 was not modified. Let δ such that $\delta v_4 = v_2$, let $s = (u_3, v_3; u_2\delta, v_4)$, and observe that $(w_3, w_2) \vdash_s z$.

Next, let us prove that s respects L . Let $\tilde{w}_3 = \tilde{x}_3u_3v_3\tilde{y}_3 \in L$ and $\tilde{w}_2 = \tilde{x}_2u_2\delta v_4\tilde{y}_2 = \tilde{x}_2u_2v_2\tilde{y}_4 \in L$. There are splicings

$$(w_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_{r_1} x_1u_1v_2\tilde{y}_2 = \tilde{w}_4 = x_1u_4v_4\tilde{y}_2, \quad (\tilde{w}_3, \tilde{w}_4) \vdash_{r_2} \tilde{x}_3u_3v_4\tilde{y}_2 = \tilde{z}$$

and $\tilde{z} \in L$, concluding that s respects L . \square

Consider a splicing system (J, S) and the generated language $L = L(J, S)$. Let n be the length of the longest word in J and let μ be the length-lexicographically largest word that is a component of a rule in S . Define $W_\mu = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \leq_{\ell\ell} \mu\}$ as the set of words which are at most as large as μ , in length-lexicographic order. Furthermore, let $I = \Sigma^{\leq n} \cap L$ be a set of axioms and let

$$R = \{r \in W_\mu^4 \mid r \text{ respects } L\}$$

be a set of rules. It is not difficult to see that $J \subseteq I$, $S \subseteq R$, and $L = L(I, R)$. Whenever convenient, we will assume that a splicing language L is generated by a splicing system which is of the form of (I, R) .

We will consider a word $xzy \in L$ where the length of the middle factor z is at least $|\mu|$. The creation of xzy by splicing in (I, R) can be traced back to a word $x_1zy_1 = z_1$ where either $z_1 \in I$ or where z_1 is created by a splicing that affects the factor z , i. e., the splicing position lies in the factor z . The next lemma describes this creation of $xzy = z_{k+1}$ by k splicings in (I, R) , and shows that we can choose the rules and words which are used to create z_{k+1} from z_1 such that the words and bridges of rules are not significantly longer than $\ell = \max\{|x|, |y|\}$.

Lemma 4.7. Let L be a splicing language, let $\ell, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $m = |M_L|$, and let μ be a word with $|\mu| \geq \ell + 2m$ such that for $I = \Sigma^{\leq n} \cap L$ and $R = \{r \in W_\mu^4 \mid r \text{ respects } L\}$ we have $L = L(I, R)$.

Let $z_{k+1} = x_{k+1}zy_{k+1}$ with $|z| \geq |\mu|$ and $|x_{k+1}|, |y_{k+1}| \leq \ell$ be a word that is created by k splittings from a word $z_1 = x_1zy_1$ where either $z_1 \in I$ or z_1 is created by a splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1$ where $\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2 \in L$, s respects L , and the splicing position lies in the factor z . Furthermore, for $i = 1, \dots, k$ the intermediate splittings are either

- (i) $(w_i, z_i) \vdash_{r_i} x_{i+1}zy_{i+1} = z_{i+1}$, $w_i \in L$, $r_i \in R$, $y_{i+1} = y_i$, and the splicing position lies on the left of the factor z or
- (ii) $(z_i, w_i) \vdash_{r_i} x_{i+1}zy_{i+1} = z_{i+1}$, $w_i \in L$, $r_i \in R$, $x_{i+1} = x_i$, and the splicing position lies on the right of the factor z .

There are rules and words creating z_{k+1} , as above, satisfying in addition:

1. There is $k' \leq k$ such that for $i = 1, \dots, k'$ all splittings are of the form (i) and for $i = k' + 1, \dots, k$ all splittings are of the form (ii).
2. For $i = 1, \dots, k'$ the following bounds apply: $|x_i| < \ell + 2m$, $|w_i| < \ell + 2m$, $r_i \in \Sigma^{<\ell+m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times W_\mu$, and $x_{k'+1} = x_{k'+2} = \dots = x_{k+1}$.
3. For $i = k' + 1, \dots, k$ the following bounds apply: $|y_i| < \ell + 2m$, $|w_i| < \ell + 2m$, $r_i \in W_\mu \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<\ell+m}$, and $y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_{k'+1}$.

In particular, if $n \geq \ell + 2m$, then $w_1, \dots, w_k \in I$.

Proof. The first statement follows immediately by Remark 4.5 and the fact that $|z| \geq |\mu|$. The first statement also implies $x_{k'+1} = x_{k'+2} = \dots = x_{k+1}$ and $y_1 = y_2 = \dots = y_{k'+1}$. Note that if $k' = 0$ (or $k' = k$), then statement 2 (resp., statement 3) is trivially true.




Figure 11: The i -th splicing step in the proof of Lemma 4.7 where $x_{i+1} = u_i \tilde{x}_i$ and \tilde{v}_i is a prefix of $\tilde{x}_i z$.

The notation we employ in order to prove statement 2 is chosen such that it matches with Figure 11. For $i = 1, \dots, k'$, let $r_i = (u_i, v_i; \tilde{u}_i, \tilde{v}_i)$. By extension (Lemma 4.2), we may assume that $w_i = u_i v_i$ and $x_i = \tilde{u}_i \tilde{x}_i$ such that $x_{i+1} = u_i \tilde{x}_i$ and \tilde{v}_i is a prefix of $\tilde{x}_i z$. Let $\tilde{x}_{k'+1} = x_{k'+1} = x_{k+1}$ and $\tilde{u}_{k'+1} = \varepsilon$. By Lemma 4.6, we may assume that every splicing position lies on the left of the previous splicing position, i.e., \tilde{x}_i is a proper suffix of \tilde{x}_{i+1} and $|\tilde{x}_i| \leq \ell$ (as $|\tilde{x}_{k'+1}| \leq \ell$). Note that we may have lost control of the lengths of u_i , v_i , and \tilde{u}_i , but \tilde{v}_i still belongs to W_μ . Let δ_{i+1} such that $\tilde{x}_{i+1} = \delta_{i+1} \tilde{x}_i$; hence, $u_i = \tilde{u}_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}$. Now, for $i = 2, \dots, k'$, we replace \tilde{u}_i by a shortest word from $[\tilde{u}_i]_L$. (We also replace this prefix of x_i and u_{i-1} .) Furthermore, we replace v_i by a shortest word from $[v_i]_L$ for $i = 1, \dots, k'$. We do not replace \tilde{u}_1 yet, as this might affect the word \tilde{w}_1 and the rule s in the splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s x_1 z y_1$.

Observe that the words z_i , w_i , and the rules r_i can still be used to create z_{k+1} by splicing, in the way described in the claim. For $i = 2, \dots, k'$, we have $|x_i| = |\tilde{u}_i \tilde{x}_i| < \ell + m$, $|w_i| \leq |x_{i+1}| + |v_i| < \ell + 2m$, and $r_i \in \Sigma^{<\ell+m} \times \Sigma^{<m} \times \Sigma^{<m} \times W_\mu$. We also have $|w_1| < \ell + 2m$ and $r_1 \in \Sigma^{<\ell+m} \times \Sigma^{<m} \times \Sigma^* \times W_\mu$. Note that, except for the length of x_1 , and the third component of r_1 , we have proven statement 2 (of the lemma) and we actually have proven a stronger bound than claimed. Symmetrically, we can consider statement 3 to be proven except for $y_1 = y_{k'+1}$ and the second component of $r_{k'+1}$.

Let $x_1 = \tilde{u}_1 \tilde{x}_1$ (as above) and, symmetrically, let $y_1 = \tilde{y}_{k'+1} \tilde{v}_{k'+1}$ where $\tilde{v}_{k'+1}$ is the second component of $r_{k'+1}$. If $k' = 0$ (or $k' = k$), then \tilde{u}_1 (resp., $\tilde{v}_{k'+1}$) can be considered empty and

$\tilde{x}_1 = x_{k+1}$ (resp., $\tilde{y}_{k'+1} = y_{k+1}$). If $z_1 \in I$ we replace \tilde{u}_1 and $\tilde{v}_{k'+1}$ by shortest words from their syntactic classes, respectively, and the claim holds. Otherwise, $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1$ where x_1 is a prefix of \tilde{w}_1 and y_1 is a suffix of \tilde{w}_2 .

Let $s = (u_1, v_1; u_2, v_2)$ and consider the overlap of u_1 in this splicing with the prefix \tilde{u}_1 of \tilde{w}_1 . In case when u_1 does not overlap with \tilde{u}_1 , replace \tilde{u}_1 by a shortest word from its syntactic class. If u_1 and \tilde{u}_1 overlap, let $\tilde{u}_1 = \delta_1 \delta_2$ such that δ_2 is the overlap and replace δ_1 and δ_2 by shortest words from their syntactic classes, respectively. Note that if we modified u_1 , it got shorter; hence, s still belongs to R . In any case, $|\tilde{u}_1| < 2m$, $|x_1| < \ell + 2m$, and $r_1 \in \Sigma^{<\ell+m} \times \Sigma^{<m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times W_\mu$; thus, the second statement.

We may treat $y_{k'+1}$ and $r_{k'+1}$ symmetrically in order to prove statement 3. \square

4.3 Proof of Theorem 4.1

Let L be a splicing language and $m = |M_L|$. Throughout this section, by \sim we denote the equivalence relation \sim_L and by $[\cdot]$ we denote the corresponding equivalence classes $[\cdot]_L$.

Recall that Theorem 4.1 claims that the splicing system (I, R) with $I = \Sigma^{<m^2+6m} \cap L$ and

$$R = \left\{ r \in \Sigma^{<m^2+10m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<m^2+10m} \mid r \text{ respects } L \right\}$$

generates L . The proof is divided in two parts. In the first part, Lemma 4.8, we proof that the set of rules can be chosen as $\left\{ r \in (\Sigma^{<m^2+10m})^4 \mid r \text{ respects } L \right\}$ for some finite set of axioms. The second part concludes the proof of Theorem 4.1, by employing the length bound $2m$ for the second and third component of rules and by proving that the set of axioms can be chosen as $I = \Sigma^{<m^2+6m} \cap L$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let L and m as above. There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the splicing system (I, R) with $I = \Sigma^{\leq n} \cap L$ and*

$$R = \left\{ r \in (\Sigma^{<m^2+10m})^4 \mid r \text{ respects } L \right\}$$

generates the same language $L = L(I, R)$.

Proof. As every word in I belongs to L and every rule in R respects L , the inclusion $L(I, R) \subseteq L$ holds (for any n).

Let (I', R') be a splicing system that generates $L = L(I', R')$ and let n such that $n - 6m$ is larger than any word in I' and larger than any component of a rule in R' . As in the claim, let $I = \Sigma^{\leq n} \cap L$.

For a word μ we let $W_\mu = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \leq_{\ell\ell} \mu\}$, as we did before. Define the set of rules where every component is length-lexicographically bounded by μ

$$R_\mu = \left\{ r \in W_\mu^4 \mid r \text{ respects } L \right\}$$

and the language $L_\mu = L(I, R_\mu)$; clearly, $L_\mu \subseteq L$. For two words $\mu \leq_{\ell\ell} v$ we see that $R_\mu \subseteq R_v$, and hence, $L_\mu \subseteq L_v$. Thus, if $L_\mu = L$ for some word μ , then for all words v with $\mu \leq_{\ell\ell} v$, we have $L_v = L$. As $L = L(I', R')$, there exists a word μ such that $L_\mu = L$ and $|\mu| + 6m \leq n$. Let b be the lexicographically largest letter in Σ . For $\nu = b^{m^2+10m-1}$ the set R_ν contains exactly the rules that respect L and where every component has a length of less than $m^2 + 10m$; therefore, $R_\nu = R$ and if $L_\nu = L$, the claim holds. For the sake of contradiction assume $L_\nu \neq L$ and let μ be the smallest word, in the length-lexicographic order, such that $L_\mu = L$; hence, $|\mu| \geq m^2 + 10m$. Let μ' be the next-smaller word than μ , in the length-lexicographic order, and let $S = R_{\mu'}$. Note that $L(I, S) \subsetneq L$ and $R_\mu \setminus S$ contains only rules which have a component that is equal to μ .

Choose w from $L \setminus L(I, S)$ as a shortest word, i.e., for all $\tilde{w} \in L$ with $|\tilde{w}| < |w|$, we have $\tilde{w} \in L(I, S)$. Factorize $w = xzy$ with $|x| = |y| = 3m$, n. b., $|z| \geq |\mu|$, otherwise $w \in I$. Factorize $\mu = \delta_1 \alpha \beta \gamma \delta_2$ with $|\delta_1|, |\delta_2| \geq 5m$, $|\alpha \beta \gamma| = m^2$, $\beta \neq \varepsilon$, $\alpha \sim \alpha \beta$, and $\gamma \sim \beta \gamma$.

We will show that there is a series of splittings which creates w from a set of shorter words and by using splicing rules from S . This yields a contradiction to the choice of w . In order to find this

series of splicings we investigate the creation of a word $x\tilde{z}y$ where \tilde{z} is derived by using a pumping argument on all factors $\alpha\beta\gamma$ in z .

Let j be a sufficiently large even number ($j > 4|\mu| + |z|$ will suffice). Let \tilde{z} be the word that we obtain by replacing all factors $\alpha\beta\gamma$ by $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ in z by the following pumping algorithm:

1. $\tilde{z} := z$;
2. if there is a factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ of \tilde{z} such that neither
 - (a) the factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is a prefix of a factor $\alpha\beta^{j/2}$ in \tilde{z} nor
 - (b) the factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is a suffix of a factor $\beta^{j/2}\gamma$ in \tilde{z} ,
then replace this factor by $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$;
3. repeat step 2 until there is no such factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ left.

A proof that the algorithm will terminate, hence \tilde{z} is well defined, can be found in the Appendix; see Lemma A.1. The new word \tilde{z} may still contain the factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$, but if it does, then (a) or (b) holds. By induction and as $\alpha\beta\gamma \sim \alpha\beta^j\gamma$, it is easy to see that $\tilde{z} \sim z$.

Let us trace back the creation of $x\tilde{z}y \in L$ by splicing in (I, R_μ) to a word $x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ where either $x_1\tilde{z}y_1 \in I$ or where $x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ is created by a splicing that affects \tilde{z} , i. e., the splicing position lies within the factor \tilde{z} . Let $z_{k+1} = x_{k+1}\tilde{z}y_{k+1}$, where $x_{k+1} = x$ and $y_{k+1} = y$, be created by k splicings from a word $z_1 = x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ where either $x_1\tilde{z}y_1 \in I$ or $x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ is created by a splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1$ with $\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2 \in L$, $s \in R_\mu$, and the splicing position lies in the factor \tilde{z} . Furthermore, for $i = 1, \dots, k$ the intermediate splicings are either

- (i) $(w_i, z_i) \vdash_{r_i} x_{i+1}\tilde{z}y_{i+1} = z_{i+1}$, $w_i \in L$, $r_i \in R_\mu$, $y_{i+1} = y_i$, and the splicing position lies on the left of the factor \tilde{z} or
- (ii) $(z_i, w_i) \vdash_{r_i} x_{i+1}\tilde{z}y_{i+1} = z_{i+1}$, $w_i \in L$, $r_i \in R_\mu$, $x_{i+1} = x_i$, and the splicing position lies on the right of the factor \tilde{z} .

Note that $|\tilde{z}| \geq |z| \geq |\mu|$ and, therefore, we can apply Lemma 4.7 (with $\ell = 3m$). Thus, $w_i \in I$ and $|x_i|, |y_i| < 5m$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Consider a rule r_i in a splicing of the form (i). By Lemma 4.7, $r_i \in \Sigma^{<4m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times W_\mu$. Suppose the fourth component of r_i covers a prefix of the left-most factor $\alpha\beta^{j/2}$ in \tilde{z} which is longer than α (as j is very large, it cannot fully cover $\alpha\beta^{j/2}$). By extension (Lemma 4.2), we may write $r_i = (u_1, v_1; u_2, \tilde{v}\alpha\beta^\ell)$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. By Lemma 4.3 and as $\alpha \sim \alpha\beta$, we may replace this rule by $(u_1, v_1; u_2, \tilde{v}\alpha)$. Note that, as the fourth component got shorter, now $r_i \in S$.

After we symmetrically treated rules of form (ii), these new rules r_1, \dots, r_k and the words w_1, \dots, w_k can be used in order to create $w = x_{k+1}\tilde{z}y_{k+1}$ from $x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ by splicing. In order to see this, observe that, even though the factors $\alpha\beta\gamma$ in z , which we pumped up before, may overlap with each other, the left-most (and right-most) position where we replaced β by β^j is preceded by the factor α (resp., succeeded by the factor γ) in \tilde{z} .

Furthermore, the rules r_1, \dots, r_k all belong to S . By contradiction, suppose $r_i \notin S$ for some i and, by symmetry, suppose this i -th splicing is of the form (i). Thus, the fourth component of r_i has to be $\mu = \delta_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta_2$. As $|\delta_1| \geq 5m > |x_i|$, $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is a factor of \tilde{z} . The pumping algorithm ensured that (a) the prefix α is succeeded by $\beta^{j/2}$ or (b) the suffix γ is preceded by $\beta^{j/2}$. As $j/2$ is very large and the splicing position is too close to the left end of z_i , case (b) is not possible. Thus, the fourth component of r_i overlaps in more than $|\alpha|$ letters with the left-most factor $\alpha\beta^{j/2}$ in \tilde{z} and we used the replacement above, which ensured $r_i \in S$ — the contradiction.

Let us summarize. If $x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ was in $L(I, S)$, then $w \in L(I, S)$ as well, which would contradict the choice of w . If $z_1 = x_1\tilde{z}y_1 \in I$, then $x_1\tilde{z}y_1$, which is at most as long as z_1 , would belong to I and we are done. We only have to consider the case when $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1 = x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ and the splicing position lies within the factor \tilde{z} . We will show that, from this splicing, we derive another splicing $(\hat{w}_1, \hat{w}_2) \vdash_t x_1\tilde{z}y_1$ which respects $L(I, S)$ and, therefore, yields the contradiction.

Let $s = (u, v_1; u_2, v)$, $\tilde{w}_1 = xuv_1$ and $\tilde{w}_2 = u_2vy$ where $|v_1|, |u_2| < m$, by Lemma 4.4 (here, x and y are newly chosen words). We have

$$z_1 = x_1\tilde{z}y_1 = xuvy$$

where xu is a proper prefix of $x_1\tilde{z}$ and vy is a proper suffix of $\tilde{z}y_1$.

We will see next that if $s \notin S$, then we can use a rule $\tilde{s} \in S$ and maybe slightly modified words in order to obtain z_1 by splicing. If $s \notin S$, then $u = \mu$ or $v = \mu$. Suppose $u = \mu = \delta_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta_2$. Thus, $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is a factor of \tilde{z} , as $|\delta_1| \geq 5m > |x_1|$, and, as such, either (a) α is succeeded by $\beta^{j/2}$ or (b) γ is preceded by $\beta^{j/2}$. If (b) holds, $\delta_1\alpha$ is a suffix of a word in β^+ . We may write $\delta_1\alpha = \beta_2\beta^\ell$ where $\ell \geq 0$ and β_2 is a suffix of β . Replace u by $\beta_2\gamma\delta_1$ and use this new rule \tilde{s} in order to splice $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_{\tilde{s}} z_1$. Note that the first component is now shorter than μ . Otherwise, (a) holds and $\gamma\delta_2v$ is a prefix of a word in β^+ . As j is very large and γ is a prefix of a word in β^+ , we may extend v (Lemma 4.2) such that we can write $\beta\gamma\delta_2 = \beta^{\ell_1}\beta_1$ and $v = \beta_2\beta^{\ell_2}\gamma$ where $\ell_1 \geq 1$, $\ell_2 \geq 0$ and $\beta_1\beta_2 = \beta$. Now, we pump down one of the β in the first component and β^{ℓ_2} in the fourth component and we let $\tilde{s} = (\delta_1\alpha\beta^{\ell_1-1}\beta_1, v_1; u_2, \beta_2\gamma) \sim s$. As both components are shorter than μ , we see that $\tilde{s} \in S$ and

$$(x\delta_1\alpha\beta^{\ell_1-1}\beta_1v_1, u_2\beta_2\beta^{\ell_2+1}\gamma y) \vdash_{\tilde{s}} z_1,$$

i. e., we have shifted one of the occurrences of β from \tilde{w}_1 to \tilde{w}_2 . Note that $\beta_2\gamma$ is a prefix of $\beta_2\beta^{\ell_2+1}\gamma$. Treating the fourth component analogously justifies the assumption that $s \in S$.

Next, we will pump down the factors $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ to $\alpha\beta\gamma$ in \tilde{z} again. At every position where we pumped up before, we are now pumping down (in reverse order) in order to obtain the words $\hat{x}, \hat{u}, \hat{v}, \hat{y}$ from the words x, u, v, y , respectively. For each pumping step:

If u is covered by the factor $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ (which we pump down in this step), extend u to the left such that it becomes a prefix of $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$. Symmetrically, if v is covered by the factor $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$, extend v to the right such that it becomes a suffix of $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ (Lemma 4.2). Observe that extension ensures that the factor $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ is covered by either xu, uv , or vy .

If $\alpha\beta^j$ or $\beta^j\gamma$ is fully covered by one of x, u, v , or y , then replace this factor by $\alpha\beta$ or $\beta\gamma$, respectively. Otherwise, let us show how to pump when $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ is covered by xu . The cases when $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ is covered by uv or vy can be treated analogously. We can factorize

$$x = \tilde{x}\alpha\beta^{j_1}\beta_1 \qquad u = \beta_2\beta^{j_2}\gamma\tilde{u}$$

where $\beta_1\beta_2 = \beta$ and $j_1 + j_2 + 1 = j$. The pumping result are the words $\tilde{x}\alpha\beta_1$ and $\beta_2\gamma\tilde{u}$, respectively.

Observe that, after reversing all pumping steps, $\hat{x}\hat{u} \sim xu$, $\hat{v}\hat{y} \sim vy$, $\hat{x}\hat{u}\hat{v}\hat{y} = x_1zy_1$, and the rule $t = (\hat{u}, v_1; u_2, \hat{v})$ respects L . Furthermore, if we used extension for u (or v) in one of the steps, then $|\hat{u}| \leq m^2$ (resp., $|\hat{v}| \leq m^2$); in any case $t \in S$. Recall that w was chosen as the shortest word from $L \setminus L(I, S)$. As $|\hat{x}\hat{u}v_1|, |u_2\hat{v}\hat{y}| < |z| + 6m = |w|$, the words $\hat{x}\hat{u}v_1$ and $u_2\hat{v}\hat{y}$ belong to $L(I, S)$, and as $(\hat{x}\hat{u}v_1, u_2\hat{v}\hat{y}) \vdash_t x_1zy_1$, we conclude that x_1zy_1 as well as w belong to $L(I, S)$ — the desired contradiction. \square

We can now prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Recall that for a splicing language L with $m = |M_L|$ we intend to prove that the splicing system (I, R) with $I = \Sigma^{<m^2+6m} \cap L$ and

$$R = \left\{ r \in \Sigma^{<m^2+10m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<2m} \times \Sigma^{<m^2+10m} \mid r \text{ respects } L \right\}$$

generates the language $L = L(I, R)$.

Obviously, $L(I, R) \subseteq L$. By Lemma 4.8, we may assume that L is generated by a splicing system (J, S) where

$$S = \left\{ r \in (\Sigma^{<m^2+10m})^4 \mid r \text{ respects } L \right\}.$$

In order to prove $L \subseteq L(I, R)$, we use induction on the length of words in L . For $w \in L$ with $|w| < m^2 + 6m$, by definition, $w \in I \subseteq L(I, R)$.

Now, consider $w \in L$ with $|w| \geq m^2 + 6m$. The induction hypothesis states that every word $\tilde{w} \in L$ with $|\tilde{w}| < |w|$ belongs to $L(I, R)$. Factorize $w = x\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y$ such that $|x| = |y| = 3m$, $|\alpha\beta\gamma| = m^2$, $\beta \neq \varepsilon$, $\alpha \sim \alpha\beta$, and $\gamma \sim \beta\gamma$.

The proof idea is similar is in the proof of Lemma 4.8. We use a pumping argument on β in order to obtain a very long word. This word has to be created by a series of splicings in (J, S) . We show that these splicings can be modified in order to create w by splicing from a set of strictly shorter words and with rules from R . Then, the induction hypothesis yields $w \in L(I, R)$.

Choose j sufficiently large ($j > |w| + m^2 + 10m$ and J does not contain words of length j or more). We let $z = \alpha\beta^j\gamma\delta$ and investigate the creation of $xzy \in L$ by splicing in (J, S) . As z is not a factor of a word in J , we can trace back the creation of xzy by splicing to the point where the factor z is affected for the last time. Let $z_{k+1} = x_{k+1}zy_{k+1}$, where $x_{k+1} = x$ and $y_{k+1} = y$, be created by k splicings from a word $z_1 = x_1zy_1$ which is created by a splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1$ with $\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2 \in L$, $s \in S$, and the splicing position lies in the factor z . Furthermore, for $i = 1, \dots, k$ the intermediate splicings are either

- (i) $(w_i, z_i) \vdash_{r_i} x_{i+1}zy_{i+1} = z_{i+1}$, $w_i \in L$, $r_i \in S$, $y_{i+1} = y_i$, and the splicing position lies to the left of the factor z or
- (ii) $(z_i, w_i) \vdash_{r_i} x_{i+1}zy_{i+1} = z_{i+1}$, $w_i \in L$, $r_i \in S$, $x_{i+1} = x_i$, and the splicing position lies to the right of the factor z .

As $|z| \geq m^2 + 10m$ we can apply Lemma 4.7. Thus, $w_1, \dots, w_k \in I$, $r_1, \dots, r_k \in R$, and $|x_1|, |y_1| < 5m$.

Consider a rule r_i in a splicing of form (i). Suppose the fourth component of r_i covers a prefix of the factor $\alpha\beta^j$ in z which is longer than $\alpha\beta$. As j is very large, it cannot fully cover $\alpha\beta^j$, we may write $r_i = (u_1, v_1; u_2, \tilde{v}\alpha\beta^\ell\beta_1)$ for some $\ell \geq 1$ and a prefix β_1 of β . By Lemma 4.3 and as $\alpha \sim \alpha\beta$, we may replace this rule by $(u_1, v_1; u_2, \tilde{v}\alpha\beta_1) \in R$. Moreover, after we symmetrically treated rules of form (ii), these new rules r_1, \dots, r_k and the words w_1, \dots, w_k can be used in order to create $w = x_{k+1}\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y_{k+1}$ from $x_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y_1$ by splicing. Thus, if $x_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y_1$ belongs to $L(I, R)$, so does w .

Now, consider the first splicing $(\tilde{w}_1, \tilde{w}_2) \vdash_s z_1 = x_1zy_1$. By Lemma 4.4, let $s = (u, v_1; u_2, v)$ such that $\tilde{w}_1 = xuv_1$, $\tilde{w}_2 = u_2vy$ and $|v_1|, |u_2| < m$ (here, x and y are newly chosen words). Hence,

$$z_1 = xuvy = x_1zy_1 = x_1\alpha\beta^j\gamma\delta y_1$$

where xu is a proper prefix of x_1z and vy is a proper suffix of zy_1 .

Next, we will pump down the factor $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ to $\alpha\beta\gamma$ in z again in order to obtain the words $\hat{x}, \hat{u}, \hat{v}, \hat{y}$ from the word x, u, v, y , respectively. The pumping is done as in the proof of Lemma 4.8:

If u is covered by the factor $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$, extend u to the left such that it becomes a prefix of $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$. Symmetrically, if v is covered by the factor $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$, extend v to the right such that it becomes a suffix of $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ (Lemma 4.2). The extension ensures that the factor $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ is covered by either xu , uv , or vy .

If $\alpha\beta^j$ or $\beta^j\gamma$ is fully covered by one of x, u, v , or y , then replace this factor by $\alpha\beta$ or $\beta\gamma$, respectively. Otherwise, let us show how to pump when $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ is covered by xu . The cases when $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ is covered by uv or vy can be treated analogously. We can factorize

$$x = \tilde{x}\alpha\beta^{j_1}\beta_1 \qquad u = \beta_2\beta^{j_2}\gamma\tilde{u}$$

where $\beta_1\beta_2 = \beta$ and $j_1 + j_2 + 1 = j$. The pumping result are the words $\hat{x} = \tilde{x}\alpha\beta_1$ and $\hat{u} = \beta_2\gamma\tilde{u}$, respectively.

Observe that, $\hat{x}\hat{u} \sim xu$, $\hat{v}\hat{y} \sim vy$, $\hat{x}\hat{u}\hat{v}\hat{y} = x_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y_1$, and the rule $t = (\hat{u}, v_1; u_2, \hat{v})$ respects L . Furthermore, if we used extension for u (or v), then $|\hat{u}| \leq m^2$ (resp., $|\hat{v}| \leq m^2$). No matter whether we used extension, $t \in R$. As $|\hat{x}\hat{u}v_1|, |u_2\hat{v}\hat{y}| < |z| + 6m = |w|$ and by induction hypothesis, the words $\hat{x}\hat{u}v_1$ and $u_2\hat{v}\hat{y}$ belong to $L(I, S)$. We conclude that $x_1\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y_1 \in L(I, R)$ and, therefore, $w = x_{k+1}\alpha\beta\gamma\delta y_{k+1} \in L(I, R)$ as well. \square

5 Decidability

The main question we intended to answer when starting our investigation was, if it is decidable whether a given regular language L is a splicing language. If we can decide whether a splicing rule respects a regular language and if we can construct a (non-deterministic) finite automaton accepting the language generated by a given splicing system, then we can decide whether L is a classic splicing language (Pixton splicing language) as follows. We compute the splicing system (I, R) as given in Theorem 4.1 (resp., Theorem 3.1) and we compute a finite automaton accepting the splicing language $L(I, R)$. Theorem 4.1 (resp., Theorem 3.1) implies that L is a splicing language if and only if $L = L(I, R)$. Recall that equivalence of regular languages is decidable, e. g., by constructing and comparing the minimal deterministic finite automata of both languages.

It is known from [8, 13] that it is decidable whether a classic splicing rule respects a regular language. Furthermore, there is an effective construction of a finite automaton which accepts the language generated by a Pixton splicing system [15]. As mentioned earlier, Pixton splicing systems are more general than classic splicing systems, which means the latter result can easily be extended to classic splicing systems. Such a construction for classic splicing systems is also given in [12].

Let us prove that it is decidable whether a Pixton splicing rule r respects a regular language L . Actually, we will decide whether the set $[r]_L$ respects L , which is equivalent by Lemma 4.3. The proof can easily be adapted in order to prove that it is decidable whether a classic splicing rule respects L .

Lemma 5.1. *Let L be a regular language and let r be a Pixton splicing rule. It is decidable whether r respects L .*

Proof. Let \sim denote the equivalence relation \sim_L and $[\cdot]$ denote the corresponding equivalence classes $[\cdot]_L$.

Let $r = (u_1, u_2; v)$. We define the two sets $S_1, S_2 \subseteq M_L$ as

$$S_1 = \{X \in M_L \mid \exists Y: X[u_1]Y \subseteq L\}, \quad S_2 = \{Y \in M_L \mid \exists X: X[u_2]Y \subseteq L\},$$

i. e., $[x_1]$ belongs to S_1 if and only if $x_1 u_1 y_1 \in L$ for some word y_1 and $[y_2]$ belongs to S_2 if and only if $x_2 u_2 y_2 \in L$ for some word x_2 . We claim that r respects L if and only if $X[v]Y \subseteq L$ for all $X \in S_1$ and $Y \in S_2$, which is a property that can easily be decided.

Firstly, suppose r respects L . For $X \in S_1$ and $Y \in S_2$ choose words $x_1 \in X$ and $y_2 \in Y$. By definition of S_1 and S_2 , there is y_1 and x_2 such that $x_i u_i y_i \in L$ for $i = 1, 2$ and, as r respects L , $x_1 v y_2 \in L$. This implies $X[v]Y \subseteq L$.

Vice versa, suppose $X[v]Y \subseteq L$ for all $X \in S_1$ and $Y \in S_2$. For all $x_i u_i y_i \in L$ with $i = 1, 2$, we have $[x_1] \in S_1$ and $[y_2] \in S_2$. Therefore, $x_1 v y_2 \in [x_1][v][y_2] \subseteq L$ and r respects L . \square

These observations lead to the decidability results.

Corollary 5.2.

- i.) *For a given regular language L , it is decidable whether or not L is a classic splicing language. Moreover, if L is a classic splicing language, a splicing system (I, R) generating L can be effectively constructed.*
- ii.) *For a given regular language L , it is decidable whether or not L is a Pixton splicing language. Moreover, if L is a Pixton splicing language, a splicing system (I, R) generating L can be effectively constructed.*

6 Conclusions

Since 1991 it has been known that the class \mathcal{S} of languages that can be generated by a splicing system is a proper subclass of the class of regular languages. Yet, up to date, no other natural

characterization for the class \mathcal{S} exists. The problem of deciding regularity is a fundamental problem in this context and has remained unsolved. To the best of our knowledge, the problem was first stated in the literature in 1998 [11]. In this paper we solved this long standing open problem.

References

- [1] P. Bonizzoni. Constants and label-equivalence: A decision procedure for reflexive regular splicing languages. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 411(6):865–877, 2010.
- [2] P. Bonizzoni, C. de Felice, and R. Zizza. The structure of reflexive regular splicing languages via Schützenberger constants. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 334(1-3):71–98, 2005.
- [3] P. Bonizzoni, C. de Felice, and R. Zizza. A characterization of (regular) circular languages generated by monotone complete splicing systems. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 411(48):4149–4161, 2010.
- [4] P. Bonizzoni, C. Ferretti, G. Mauri, and R. Zizza. Separating some splicing models. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 79(6):255–259, 2001.
- [5] P. Bonizzoni and N. Jonoska. Regular splicing languages must have a constant. In G. Mauri and A. Leporati, editors, *Developments in Language Theory*, volume 6795 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 82–92. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2011.
- [6] K. Culik II and T. Harju. Splicing semigroups of dominoes and DNA. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 31(3):261–277, 1991.
- [7] R. W. Gatterdam. Splicing systems and regularity. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 31(1-2):63–67, 1989.
- [8] E. Goode. *Constants and Splicing Systems*. PhD thesis, Binghamton University, 1999.
- [9] E. Goode and D. Pixton. Recognizing splicing languages: Syntactic monoids and simultaneous pumping. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 155(8):989–1006, 2007.
- [10] T. Head. Formal language theory and DNA: an analysis of the generative capacity of specific recombinant behaviors. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 49(6):737–759, 1987.
- [11] T. Head. Splicing languages generated with one sided context. In G. Păun, editor, *Computing With Bio-molecules: Theory and Experiments*, pages 269–282. Springer Verlag, 1998.
- [12] T. Head and D. Pixton. Splicing and regularity. In Z. Ésik, C. Martín-Vide, and V. Mitrana, editors, *Recent Advances in Formal Languages and Applications*, volume 25 of *Studies in Computational Intelligence*, pages 119–147. Springer, 2006.
- [13] T. Head, D. Pixton, and E. Goode. Splicing systems: Regularity and below. In M. Hagiya and A. Ohuchi, editors, *DNA*, volume 2568 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 262–268. Springer, 2002.
- [14] J. E. Hopcroft and J. D. Ullman. *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages and Computation*. Addison-Wesley, 1979.
- [15] D. Pixton. Regularity of splicing languages. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 69(1-2):101–124, 1996.
- [16] G. Păun. On the splicing operation. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 70(1):57 – 79, 1996.
- [17] M. P. Schützenberger. Sur certaines opérations de fermeture dans le langages rationnels. *Symposia Mathematica*, 15:245–253, 1975.

A Pumping Algorithm

Before we prove Lemma A.1, let us recall a basic fact about primitive words. A word p is called *primitive* if there is no word x and $i \geq 2$ such that $p = x^i$. The *primitive root* of a word $w \neq \varepsilon$ is the unique primitive word p such that $w = p^i$ for some $i \geq 1$. For primitive p , it is well known that if $pp = xpy$, then either $x = p$ and $y = \varepsilon$ or $x = \varepsilon$ and $y = p$. Informally speaking, whenever p is a factor of a word in p^n , then it has to match one of the n consecutive occurrences of p .

Lemma A.1. *Let z, α, β, γ be words with $\beta \neq \varepsilon$ and $j > |z| + |\alpha\beta\gamma|$ be an even number. The following algorithm will terminate and output \tilde{z} .*

1. $\tilde{z} := z$;
2. if there is a factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ of \tilde{z} such that neither
 - (a) the factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is a prefix of a factor $\alpha\beta^{j/2}$ in \tilde{z} nor
 - (b) the factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ is a suffix of a factor $\beta^{j/2}\gamma$ in \tilde{z} ,
 then replace this factor by $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$;
3. repeat step 2 until there is no such factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ left.

Proof. Let p be the primitive root of β and $\beta = p^k$.

First, observe that even though a factor $\alpha\beta\gamma$ for which neither (a) nor (b) holds may overlap with a factor $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$, it must not be fully covered by $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$ (or by a factor β^j). Indeed, if $\alpha\beta\gamma$ was fully covered by $\alpha\beta^j\gamma$, then, by symmetry, assume α is covered by $\alpha\beta^{j/2}$. Therefore, β is a prefix of $p^{k \cdot j/2} = \beta^{j/2}$ and (a) holds.

Let $z_0 = z$, let z_n be the word \tilde{z} after the n -th pumping step in the algorithm, and let $y = p^{k \cdot j - 2}$ ($= \beta^j p^{-2}$). For each n , we will define a unique factorization

$$z_n = x_{n,0} y x_{n,1} \cdots y x_{n,n}$$

where p is a suffix of $x_{n,i}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ and p is a prefix of $x_{n,i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. This factorization is defined inductively: Naturally, we start with $x_{0,0} = z_0 = z$. Now, let $\alpha\beta\gamma$ be the factor of z_n where neither (a) nor (b) holds that we replace in the $(n+1)$ -st step (if there is no such factor, the algorithm terminates). By contradiction, assume that its prefix α is covered by the i -th factor $y = p^{k \cdot j - 2}$ in the factorization of z_n for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. By the first observation we made, $\beta\gamma$ must overlap with x_i . However, as p is a prefix of x_i , the prefix p of β has to match with one of the p s, α is a suffix of a word in p^+ , and (b) holds — contradiction. Symmetrically, γ is not covered by one of the factors y either.

Thus, β is covered by some $x_{n,i}$, which can be factorized $x_{n,i} = u\beta v$ where $u \neq \varepsilon$ and $v \neq \varepsilon$. Note that the length of $x_{n,i}$ has to be at least $|\beta| + 2$. Now, let $x_{n+1,\ell} = x_{n,\ell}$ for $\ell = 0, \dots, i-1$, let $x_{n+1,\ell+1} = x_{n,\ell}$ for $\ell = i+1, \dots, n$, let $x_{n+1,i} = up$ and $x_{n+1,i+1} = pv$. Observe that this defines the desired factorization. Also note that

$$|x_{n+1,i}| = |u| + |p| = |x_{n,i}| - |\beta| - |v| + |p| \leq |x_{n,i}| - |v| < |x_{n,i}|$$

and, symmetrically, $|x_{n+1,i+1}| < |x_{n,i}|$. Thus, in each pumping step, we replace one of the factors $x_{n,i}$ by two strictly shorter factors $x_{n+1,i}$ and $x_{n+1,i+1}$. As we have noted above, in a factor $x_{n,i}$ cannot be pumped anymore, if it is shorter than $|\beta| + 2$. Eventually, all the the factors will be too short and the pumping algorithm will stop. \square