

# ON THE NUMBER OF FIXED POINTS OF SOFIC FLIP SYSTEMS

YOUNG-ONE KIM AND SIEYE RYU

ABSTRACT. In the case when  $X$  is a sofic shift and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  is a homeomorphism such that  $\varphi^2 = \text{id}_X$  and  $\varphi\sigma_X = \sigma_X^{-1}\varphi$ , the number of points in  $X$  that are fixed by  $\sigma_X^m$  and  $\sigma_X^n\varphi$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is expressed in terms of a finite number of square matrices: The matrices are obtained from Krieger's joint state chain of a sofic shift which is conjugate to  $X$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, T)$  be an invertible topological dynamical system. A homeomorphism  $F : X \rightarrow X$  is called a *flip map* (or simply a *flip*) for  $(X, T)$  if

$$(1.1) \quad FT = T^{-1}F \quad \text{and} \quad F^2 = \text{id}_X.$$

In this case, we call the triple  $(X, T, F)$  a flip system. Two flip systems  $(X, T, F)$  and  $(X', T', F')$  are said to be *conjugate* if there is a homeomorphism  $\theta : X \rightarrow X'$  such that

$$\theta \circ T = T' \circ \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \theta \circ F = F' \circ \theta.$$

We call the homeomorphism  $\theta$  a *conjugacy* from  $(X, T, F)$  to  $(X', T', F')$ .

If  $m$  is a positive integer, the number of periodic points in  $(X, T)$  of period  $m$  is denoted by  $p_m(T)$ :

$$p_m(T) = |\{x \in X : T^m(x) = x\}|.$$

Similarly, if  $F$  is a flip for  $(X, T)$ ,  $m$  is a positive integer and  $n$  is an integer, then  $p_{m,n}(T, F)$  will denote the number of points in  $X$  that are fixed by  $T^m$  and  $T^n F$ :

$$p_{m,n}(T, F) = |\{x \in X : T^m(x) = T^n F(x) = x\}|.$$

It is obvious that if the flip systems  $(X, T, F)$  and  $(X', T', F')$  are conjugate, then  $p_m(T) = p_m(T')$  and  $p_{m,n}(T, F) = p_{m,n}(T', F')$  for all  $m$  and  $n$ . From (1.1), it follows that

$$p_{m,n}(T, F) = p_{m,n+m}(T, F) = p_{m,n+2}(T, F).$$

Hence  $p_{m,n}(T, F) = p_{m,0}(T, F)$  if  $m$  and  $n$  are even;  $p_{m,n}(T, F) = p_{m,1}(T, F)$  if  $m$  is even and  $n$  is odd; and  $p_{m,n}(T, F) = p_{m,0}(T, F)$  for all  $n$  if  $m$  is odd.

It is well known that if  $X$  is a shift of finite type, then there is a square matrix  $A$  whose entries are non-negative integers such that

$$(1.2) \quad p_m(\sigma_X) = \text{tr}(A^m) \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

It is also well known that if  $X$  is a sofic shift, then there are square matrices  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_r$  whose entries are integers such that

$$p_m(\sigma_X) = \sum_{k=1}^r (-1)^{k+1} \text{tr}(A_k^m) \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Suppose that the sequence  $\{(p_m(T))^{1/m}\}$  is bounded. Then the Artin-Mazur zeta function  $\zeta_T$  of  $(X, T)$  is defined by

$$\zeta_T(t) = \exp \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_m(T)}{m} t^m \right);$$

and the results mentioned above imply that if  $X$  is a shift of finite type, then  $\zeta_{\sigma_X}$  is the reciprocal of a polynomial, and if  $X$  is a sofic shift, then  $\zeta_{\sigma_X}$  is a rational function.

In [KLP], Y.-O. Kim, J. Lee and K.K.Park introduced a zeta function  $\zeta_{T,F}$  of a flip system which is given by

$$\zeta_{T,F}(t) = \zeta_T(t^2)^{1/2} \exp(G_{T,F}(t)),$$

where

$$G_{T,F}(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( p_{2m-1,0}(T, F) t^{2m-1} + \frac{p_{2m,0}(T, F) + p_{2m,1}(T, F)}{2} t^{2m} \right).$$

The function  $G_{T,F}$  will be called the *generating function* of  $(X, T, F)$ .

In the case when  $X$  is a shift of finite type and  $\varphi$  is a flip for  $(X, \sigma_X)$ , a formula for  $p_{m,n}(\sigma_X, \varphi)$  which is similar to (1.2) is known. In order to present it, we need some notation: If  $M$  is a matrix  $\mathcal{S}[M]$  will denote the sum of the entries of  $M$ , that is,

$$\mathcal{S}[M] = \sum_{I,J} M_{IJ}$$

and  $M^\Delta$  will denote the matrix whose diagonal entries are identical with those of  $M$  but the other entries are equal to 0, that is,

$$(M^\Delta)_{IJ} = \begin{cases} M_{IJ} & \text{if } I = J, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For instance,  $\text{tr}(M) = \mathcal{S}[M^\Delta]$ , whenever  $M$  is a square matrix.

**Theorem A.** *If  $X$  is a shift of finite type and  $\varphi$  is a flip for  $(X, \sigma_X)$ , then there are zero-one square matrices  $A$  and  $J$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} p_m(\sigma_X) &= \text{tr}(A^m), \\ p_{2m,0}(\sigma_X, \varphi) &= \mathcal{S}[J^\Delta A^m J^\Delta], \\ p_{2m,1}(\sigma_X, \varphi) &= \mathcal{S}[(JA)^\Delta A^{m-1} (AJ)^\Delta] \quad \text{and} \\ p_{2m-1,0}(\sigma_X, \varphi) &= \mathcal{S}[J^\Delta A^{m-1} (AJ)^\Delta] \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

**Remark.** As it is proved in [KLP], Theorem A implies that if  $X$  is a shift of finite type and  $\varphi$  is a flip for  $(X, \sigma_X)$ , then the generating function of  $(X, \sigma_X, \varphi)$  is a rational function.

In this paper, we extend Theorem A to the case when  $X$  is a sofic shift.

**Theorem B.** *If  $X$  is a sofic shift and  $\varphi$  is a flip for  $(X, \sigma_X)$ , then there are square matrices  $A_k, B_k$  and  $J_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , whose entries are integers such that*

$$\begin{aligned} p_m(\sigma_X) &= \sum_{k=1}^r (-1)^{k+1} \operatorname{tr}(A^m), \\ p_{2m,0}(\sigma_X, \varphi) &= \sum_{k=1}^r (-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{S}[J_k^\Delta B_k^m J_k^\Delta], \\ p_{2m,1}(\sigma_X, \varphi) &= \sum_{k=1}^r (-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{S}[(J_k A_k)^\Delta B_k^{m-1} (A_k J_k)^\Delta] \quad \text{and} \\ p_{2m-1,0}(\sigma_X, \varphi) &= \sum_{k=1}^r (-1)^{k+1} \mathcal{S}[J_k^\Delta B_k^{m-1} (A_k J_k)^\Delta] \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

The same method as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [KLP] gives the following.

**Corollary.** *If  $X$  is a sofic shift and  $\varphi$  is a flip for  $(X, \sigma_X)$ , then the generating function of  $(X, \sigma_X, \varphi)$  is a rational function.*

We prove Theorem B in Section 2. In our proof of the theorem, Krieger's joint state chain plays a crucial role and it is described in Section 3. We conclude the paper with an example and some remarks (Section 4).

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM B

We start with some preliminaries. Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite alphabet and  $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $\tau^2 = \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . Then the map  $\varphi_\tau : \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  defined by

$$\varphi_\tau(x)_i = \tau(x_{-i})$$

is a flip for  $(\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \sigma)$ . If  $X$  is a shift space over  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\varphi_\tau(X) = X$ , the restriction of  $\varphi_\tau$  to  $X$  is a flip for  $(X, \sigma_X)$ . Conversely, if  $X$  is a shift space over  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\varphi$  is a flip for  $(X, \sigma_X)$  and  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$x, x' \in X \text{ and } x_0 = x'_0 \Rightarrow \varphi(x)_0 = \varphi(x')_0,$$

then there is a map  $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\tau^2 = \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $\varphi_\tau(X) = X$  and  $(\varphi_\tau)|_X = \varphi$ . In this case, we say that  $\varphi$  is a *one-block flip* and we call the restriction of  $\tau$  to  $\mathcal{B}_1(X)$  the *symbol map* of  $\varphi$ .

If  $A$  is a zero-one  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  matrix,  $X_A$  will denote the topological Markov chain determined by  $A$ :

$$X_A = \{x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} \ A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1\}.$$

We denote by  $\sigma_A$  the restriction of the shift map to  $X_A$ . Let  $A$  be such a matrix. Then we have  $\varphi_\tau(X_A) = X_A$  whenever

$$(2.1) \quad A(a, b) = A(\tau(b), \tau(a)) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A})$$

holds. If we define the  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  matrix  $J$  by

$$(2.2) \quad J(a, b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \tau(a) = b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

then  $J$  is a symmetric permutation matrix,  $J^2 = I$  and the condition (2.1) is equivalent to the equation

$$(2.3) \quad JA = A^\top J.$$

Conversely, if  $J$  is a zero-one  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  matrix such that  $J^2 = I$ , then it is a symmetric permutation matrix and hence there is a unique map  $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  such that (2.2) holds and consequently  $\tau^2 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ . In this case, the flip  $\varphi_\tau$  will also be denoted by  $\varphi_J$ . If (2.3) holds, the flip  $\varphi_J$  to  $X_A$  is a flip for  $(X_A, \sigma_A)$ . We denote the restriction by  $\varphi_{J,A}$ .

The following proposition will be proved in the next section.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose  $X$  is a sofic shift and  $\varphi$  is a flip for  $(X, \sigma_X)$ . Then there are a finite set  $\mathcal{A}$ , a map  $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1(X)$  and zero-one  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  matrices  $A$  and  $J$  having the following properties:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{L}_\infty : X_A \rightarrow X$  is a factoring.
- (2)  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  has no graph diamonds.
- (3)  $JA = A^\top J$  and  $J^2 = I$ .
- (4)  $\mathcal{L}_\infty \circ \varphi_{J,A} = \varphi \circ \mathcal{L}_\infty$ .
- (5) If  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $x \in X$  and  $\sigma_X^\delta \varphi(x) = x$ , then there is a  $y \in X_A$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(y) = x$  and  $\sigma_A^\delta \varphi_{J,A}(y) = y$ .

In the rest of this section, we suppose that  $X$  is a sofic shift,  $\varphi$  is a flip for  $(X, \sigma_X)$  and the system  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}, A, J)$  is as in the above proposition. We denote by  $\tau$  the map of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto itself such that  $J(a, \tau(a)) = 1$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

If  $\pi$  is a permutation of a finite set, its sign will be denoted by  $\text{sgn}(\pi)$ . When  $<$  is a linear order of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $S \subset \mathcal{A}$  and  $f : S \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is one-to-one, we set

$$\text{sgn}_<(f) = (-1)^{N(<, f)},$$

where

$$N(<, f) = |\{(a, b) \in S \times S : a < b \text{ and } f(b) < f(a)\}|.$$

If  $g : f(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is one-to-one again, we have

$$\text{sgn}_<(g \circ f) = \text{sgn}_<(g) \text{sgn}_<(f).$$

We also have  $\text{sgn}_<(f) = \text{sgn}(f)$  whenever  $f$  is a permutation of a subset of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

From here on, we fix a linear order  $<$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  and write

$$\text{sgn}(f) = \text{sgn}_<(f)$$

for arbitrary one-to-one function  $f$  from a subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $k$  be a positive integer  $\leq |\mathcal{A}|$ . We set

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \{S \subset \mathcal{A} : |S| = k \text{ and } |\mathcal{L}(S)| = 1\};$$

and for  $S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{A}_k$  we denote by  $F(S_1, S_2)$  the set of one-to-one functions  $f : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  such that  $A(a, f(a)) = 1$  for all  $a \in S_1$ . Define the  $\mathcal{A}_k \times \mathcal{A}_k$

matrices  $A_k, B_k$  and  $J_k$  by

$$A_k(S_1, S_2) = \sum_{f \in F(S_1, S_2)} \operatorname{sgn}(f),$$

$$B_k(S_1, S_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } F(S_1, S_2) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$J_k(S_1, S_2) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau|_{S_1}) & \text{if } \tau(S_1) = S_2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is well known that

$$(2.4) \quad p_m(\sigma_X) = \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|} (-1)^{k+1} \operatorname{tr}(A_k^m) \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Hence the first formula in Theorem B holds. A proof of (2.4) is found in Section 6.4 of [LM](See also [B] and [M].) and our proof of the other formulas in Theorem B is a ‘modification’ of it. In order to explain the modification, we give an outline of the proof of (2.4).

Let  $m$  be a positive integer. We put

$$P(m) = \{x \in X : \sigma_X(x) = x\},$$

so that  $p_m(\sigma_X) = |P(m)|$ . Suppose  $x \in P(m)$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}_\infty : \mathbf{X}_A \rightarrow X$  is a factoring with no graph diamonds and since  $\sigma_X^m(x) = x$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_\infty^{-1}(x)$  is a non-empty finite subset of  $\mathbf{X}_A$  and the restriction of  $\sigma_A^m$  to  $\mathcal{L}_\infty^{-1}(x)$  is a permutation of  $\mathcal{L}_\infty^{-1}(x)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}(m; x)$  denote the set of all subsets of  $\mathcal{L}_\infty^{-1}(x)$  that are fixed by  $\sigma_A^m$ :

$$\mathcal{C}(m; x) = \{E \subset \mathcal{L}_\infty^{-1}(x) : \sigma_A^m(E) = E\}.$$

The following lemma is proved in section 6.4 of [LM].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of a nonempty finite set  $F$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \{E \subset F : \pi(E) = E\}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{\emptyset\}} (-1)^{|E|+1} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi|_E) = 1.$$

With the help of this lemma, we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad p_m(\sigma_X) = \sum_{x \in P(m)} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{C}(m; x) \setminus \{\emptyset\}} (-1)^{|E|+1} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_A^m|_E).$$

For  $k = 1, 2, \dots, |\mathcal{A}|$  let  $X_k$  denote the topological Markov chain determined by the zero-one  $\mathcal{A}_k \times \mathcal{A}_k$  matrix  $B_k$ :

$$X_k = \{z \in \mathcal{A}_k^{\mathbb{Z}} : \forall i \in \mathbb{Z} F(z_i, z_{i+1}) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We denote the shift map of  $X_k$  by  $\sigma_k$  and put

$$P(m; k) = \{z \in X_k : \sigma_k^m(z) = z\}.$$

If  $z \in P(m; k)$ , then  $|F(z_i, z_{i+1})| = 1$  for all  $i$ , because  $\mathcal{L}_\infty : \mathbf{X}_A \rightarrow X$  has no graph diamonds; hence  $A_k(z_i, z_{i+1}) = 1$  or  $-1$  for all  $i$ . In this case, we write

$$\operatorname{sgn}(z) = A_k(z_0, z_1)A_k(z_1, z_2) \cdots A_k(z_{m-1}, z_m).$$

With this notation, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{z \in P(m; k)} \operatorname{sgn}(z) = \operatorname{tr}(A_k^m) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, |\mathcal{A}|).$$

Let  $P_0$  denote the map  $\mathsf{X}_{\mathcal{A}} \ni y \mapsto y_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ . Suppose  $x \in P(m)$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty} : \mathsf{X}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow X$  has no graph diamonds and  $x$  is periodic, the restriction of  $P_0$  to  $\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{-1}(x)$  is one-to-one. In particular,  $|\mathcal{L}_{\infty}^{-1}(x)| \leq |\mathcal{A}|$ . If  $E \in \mathcal{C}(m; x) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  and  $k = |E|$ , then  $1 \leq k \leq |\mathcal{A}|$ ,  $P_0(\sigma_A^i(E)) \in \mathcal{A}_k$  for all  $i$  and

$$(P_0(\sigma_A^i(E)))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in P(m; k).$$

We denote the point  $(P_0(\sigma_A^i(E)))_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$  by  $\Phi(E)$ . With this notation, we have

$$(2.7) \quad \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_A^m|_E) = \operatorname{sgn}(\Phi(E)), \quad (E \in \mathcal{C}(m, x) \setminus \{\emptyset\}).$$

Finally, it is easy to check that the map

$$\Phi : \bigcup_{x \in P(m)} \mathcal{C}(m; x) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|} P(m; k)$$

is a one-to-one correspondence. Hence (2.4) follows from (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7).

In our proof of the other formulas in Theorem B, we need the following modification of Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\pi$  be a permutation of a finite set  $F$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{C} \subset 2^F$  and  $G \subset F$  satisfy the following:*

- (1)  $\pi(E) = E$  for every  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- (2) If  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $E_1 \cup E_2, E_1 \setminus E_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- (3)  $G \neq \emptyset$  and  $\pi(G) = G$ .
- (4) If  $E \subset G$  and  $\pi(E) = E$ , then  $E \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Then

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{E \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{\emptyset\}} (-1)^{|E|+1} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi|_E) = 1.$$

*Proof.* The assumptions imply that  $\mathcal{C}$  has at least two distinct elements, namely  $G$  and  $\emptyset$ . For convenience, we set  $\operatorname{sgn}(\pi|_{\emptyset}) = 1$ . With this notation, (2.8) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{C}} (-1)^{|E|} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi|_E) = 0.$$

If we put

$$\mathcal{N} = \{E \in \mathcal{C} : E \subset G\} \text{ and } \mathcal{R} = \{E \in \mathcal{C} : E \cap G = \emptyset\},$$

then (2) implies that

$$\mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{R} \ni (E_1, E_2) \mapsto E_1 \cup E_2 \in \mathcal{C}$$

is a one-to-one correspondence. If  $(E_1, E_2) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{R}$ , then  $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$ , and hence

$$(-1)^{|E_1 \cup E_2|} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi|_{E_1 \cup E_2}) = (-1)^{|E_1|} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi|_{E_1}) (-1)^{|E_2|} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi|_{E_2}).$$

From (3) and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{E \subset G, \pi(E)=E} (-1)^{|E|} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi|_E) = 0;$$

and from (1) and (4), we have

$$\{E \subset G : \pi(E) = E\} = \mathcal{N}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{C}} (-1)^{|E|} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi|_E) &= \sum_{(E_1, E_2) \in \mathcal{N} \times \mathcal{R}} (-1)^{|E_1 \cup E_2|} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi|_{E_1 \cup E_2}) \\ &= \sum_{E_1 \in \mathcal{N}} (-1)^{|E_1|} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi|_{E_1}) \sum_{E_2 \in \mathcal{R}} (-1)^{|E_2|} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi|_{E_2}) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark.** The condition that  $G \neq \emptyset$  is crucial. Otherwise we cannot apply Lemma 2.2.

Now, suppose that  $N$  is a positive integer and  $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$ . We put

$$P(N, \delta) = \{x \in X : \sigma_X^N(x) = \sigma_X^\delta \varphi(x) = x\}$$

so that  $p_{N, \delta}(\sigma_x, \varphi) = |P(N, \delta)|$ ; and for  $x \in P(N, \delta)$  we put

$$\mathcal{C}(N, \delta; x) = \{E \subset \mathcal{L}_\infty^{-1}(x) : \sigma_A^N(E) = \sigma_A^\delta \varphi_{J, A}(E) = E\}.$$

We have  $P(N, \delta) \subset P(N)$  and for  $x \in P(N, \delta)$  we have  $\mathcal{C}(N, \delta; x) \subset \mathcal{C}(N; x)$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *We have*

$$p_{N, \delta}(\sigma_X, \varphi) = \sum_{x \in P(N, \delta)} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{C}(N, \delta; x) \setminus \{\emptyset\}} (-1)^{|E|+1} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_k^N|_E).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in P(N, \delta)$  and put

$$G(x) = \{y \in \mathcal{L}_\infty^{-1}(x) : \exists n \in \mathbb{Z} \sigma_A^\delta \varphi_{J, A}(y) = \sigma_A^{nN}(y)\}.$$

It follows from (5) in Proposition 2.1 that  $G(x) \neq \emptyset$ . It is then straightforward to check that the conditions in Lemma 2.3 are satisfied with  $F = \mathcal{L}_\infty^{-1}(x)$ ,  $\pi = \sigma_A^N|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty^{-1}(x)}$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(N, \delta; x)$  and  $G = G(x)$ . Hence we obtain

$$\sum_{E \in \mathcal{C}(N, \delta; x) \setminus \{\emptyset\}} (-1)^{|E|+1} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma_A^N|_E) = 1 \quad (x \in P(N, \delta)),$$

and the result follows. □

Suppose  $1 \leq k \leq |\mathcal{A}|$  and let us consider the topological Markov chain  $X_k$  again. If  $S \in \mathcal{A}_k$ , we have  $\tau(S) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ . We also have

$$B_k(S_1, S_2) = B_k(\tau(S_2), \tau(S_1)) \quad (S_1, S_2 \in \mathcal{A}_k).$$

Hence the map  $\varphi_k : X_k \rightarrow X_k$  defined by

$$\varphi_k(z)_i = \tau(z_{-i})$$

is a flip for  $(X_k, \sigma_k)$ . We put

$$P(N, \delta; k) = \{z \in X_k : \sigma_k^N(z) = \sigma_k^\delta \varphi_k(z) = z\}.$$

Again we have  $P(N, \delta; k) \subset P(N; k)$  for every  $k$ . Moreover, if  $x \in P(N, \delta)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{C}(N, \delta; x) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  and  $k = |E|$ , then  $1 \leq k \leq |\mathcal{A}|$  and  $\Phi(E) \in P(N, \delta; k)$ ; and, as before, the map

$$\Phi : \bigcup_{x \in P(N, \delta)} \mathcal{C}(N, \delta; x) \setminus \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow \bigcup_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|} P(N, \delta; k)$$

is a one-to-one correspondence.

If  $E \in \mathcal{C}(N, \delta; x) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  for some  $x \in P(N, \delta)$ , then (2.7) implies that

$$\text{sgn}(\sigma_A^N|_E) = \text{sgn}(\Phi(E)).$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$p_{N, \delta}(\sigma_X, \varphi) = \sum_{k=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{z \in P(N, \delta; k)} \text{sgn}(z).$$

Therefore the following lemma completes our proof of Theorem B.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $1 \leq k \leq |\mathcal{A}|$ . Then we have*

$$\sum_{z \in P(N, \delta; k)} \text{sgn}(z) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{S}[J_k^\Delta B_k^m J_k^\Delta] & \text{if } N = 2m \text{ and } \delta = 0, \\ \mathcal{S}[(J_k A_k)^\Delta B_k^{m-1} (A_k J_k)^\Delta] & \text{if } N = 2m \text{ and } \delta = 1, \\ \mathcal{S}[J_k^\Delta B_k^m (A_k J_k)^\Delta] & \text{if } N = 2m + 1 \text{ and } \delta = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We prove the last one only. The others are similarly proved. Let  $m$  be a non-negative integer. We have to show that

$$\sum_{z \in P(2m+1, 0; k)} \text{sgn}(z) = \mathcal{S}[J_k^\Delta B_k^m (A_k J_k)^\Delta].$$

For  $S \in \mathcal{A}_k$  we write  $\tau(S) = S^*$ . Thus  $J_k(S_1, S_2) \neq 0$  if and only if  $S_1^* = S_2$ .

Let  $S_0, S_1, \dots, S_m \in \mathcal{A}_k^{m+1}$ . We denote the product

$$J_k(S_0, S_0) B_k(S_0, S_1) \cdots B_k(S_{m-1}, S_m) A_k(S_m, S_m^*) J_k(S_m^*, S_m)$$

by  $\Pi(S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m)$ . If  $m = 0$ ,

$$\Pi(S_0) = J_k(S_0, S_0) A_k(S_0, S_0^*) J_k(S_0^*, S_0).$$

The expression  $\mathbb{E}(S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m)$  will denote the unique point  $z \in \mathcal{A}_k^{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that

$$z_0 z_1 \cdots z_{2m} = S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m S_m^* \cdots S_1^*$$

and

$$z_{i+2m+1} = z_i \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

If  $m = 0$ , then  $\mathbb{E}(S_0)$  is the point in  $\mathcal{A}_k^{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $z_i = S_0$  for all  $i$ .

We have

$$\mathcal{S}[J_k^\Delta B_k^m (A_k J_k)^\Delta] = \sum_{S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m \in \mathcal{I}} \Pi(S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m),$$

where

$$\mathcal{I} = \{S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m \in \mathcal{A}_k^{m+1} : \Pi(S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m) \neq 0\},$$

and it is straightforward to check that the map

$$\mathcal{I} \ni S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m \mapsto \mathbb{E}(S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m) \in P(2m+1, 0; k)$$



is well-defined, one-to-one and onto. It remains only to show that

$$\Pi(S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m) = \text{sgn}(\mathbb{E}(S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m))$$

holds for every  $S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m \in \mathcal{I}$ .

Let  $S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m \in \mathcal{I}$ . We have to show that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Pi(S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m) \\ = A_k(S_0, S_1) \cdots A_k(S_{m-1}, S_m) A_k(S_m, S_m^*) \cdots A_k(S_1^*, S_0). \end{aligned}$$

If we put  $z = \mathbb{E}(S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m)$ , then  $|A_k(z_i, z_{i+1})| = 1$  for all  $i$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(S_0 S_1 \cdots S_m) \\ = J_k(S_0, S_0) (A_k(S_0, S_1) \cdots A_k(S_{m-1}, S_m))^2 A_k(S_m, S_m^*) J_k(S_m^*, S_m). \end{aligned}$$

We also have  $S_0^* = S_0$ , because  $J_k(S_0, S_0) \neq 0$ .

It is easy to show that

$$J_k(S, \mathcal{T}) = J_k(\mathcal{T}, S) \quad \text{and} \quad J_k(S, S^*) A_k(S, \mathcal{T}) = A_k(\mathcal{T}^*, S^*) J_k(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}^*)$$

holds for all  $S, \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{A}_k$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & J_k(S_0, S_0) A_k(S_0, S_1) \cdots A_k(S_{m-1}, S_m) J_k(S_m^*, S_m) \\ &= A_k(S_1^*, S_0^*) \cdots A_k(S_m^*, S_{m-1}^*) J_k(S_m, S_m^*) J_k(S_m^*, S_m) \\ &= A_k(S_m^*, S_{m-1}^*) \cdots A_k(S_1^*, S_0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.9) follows.  $\square$

### 3. KRIEGER'S JOINT STATE CHAIN (PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.1)

We start with some notation. Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite alphabet,  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $j \leq k$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , the expression  $x_{[j, k]}$  will denote the restriction of  $x$  to the set  $[j, k] = \{i \in \mathbb{Z} : j \leq i \leq k\}$ . Thus  $x_{[j, k]} \in \mathcal{A}^{[j, k]}$ . If  $E \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we also write  $E_{[j, k]} = \{x_{[j, k]} : x \in E\}$ . We will use similar notation for other subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}$  as well.

The following lemma implies that every flip for a shift dynamical system is conjugate to a one-block one.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose  $X$  is a shift space and  $\varphi$  is a flip for  $(X, \sigma_X)$ . Then there are a finite set  $\mathcal{A}$ , a map  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1(X)$ , a shift space  $Y$  over  $\mathcal{A}$  and a one-block flip  $\psi$  for  $(Y, \sigma_Y)$  such that  $\Phi_\infty$  is a conjugacy from  $(Y, \sigma_Y, \psi)$  to  $(X, \sigma_X, \varphi)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\varphi$  is (uniformly) continuous, there is a non-negative integer  $N$  such that

$$x, x' \in X \quad \text{and} \quad x_{[-N, N]} = x'_{[-N, N]} \Rightarrow \varphi(x)_0 = \varphi(x')_0.$$

Put

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(a, b) : \exists x \in X \text{ s.t. } a = x_0 \text{ and } b = \varphi(x)_0\}$$

and define the maps  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_1(X)$  and  $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  by

$$\Phi(a, b) = a \quad \text{and} \quad \tau(a, b) = (b, a).$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite set,  $\Phi$  and  $\tau$  are well-defined, and that  $\tau^2 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}$ .

Define  $\theta : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  by

$$\theta(x)_i = (x_i, \varphi(x)_{-i}).$$

Since  $\varphi$  is (uniformly) continuous, there is a non-negative integer  $N$  such that

$$x, x' \in X \text{ and } x_{[-N, N]} = x'_{[-N, N]} \Rightarrow \varphi(x)_0 = \varphi(x')_0.$$

So,  $\theta$  is a sliding block code with memory  $N$  and anticipation  $N$ , and  $\theta(X)$  is a shift space over  $\mathcal{A}$ .

We set  $Y = \theta(X)$  and define  $\psi : Y \rightarrow Y$  by

$$\psi(y)_i = \tau(y_{-i}).$$

It is then straightforward to check that  $\psi$  is well-defined, is a flip for  $(Y, \sigma_Y)$  and that  $\Phi_\infty$  is a conjugacy from  $(Y, \sigma_Y, \psi)$  to  $(X, \sigma_X, \varphi)$ .  $\square$

Now, we prove Proposition 2.1. Let  $X$  be a sofic shift and  $\varphi$  a flip for  $(X, \sigma_X)$ . With the aid of Lemma 3.1, we may assume that  $\varphi$  is a one-block flip. We put  $\mathcal{B}_1(X) = \mathcal{B}$  and denote the symbol map of  $\varphi$  by  $\tau$ . Thus  $\tau : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\tau^2 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$  and we have

$$\varphi(x)_i = \tau(x_{-i}) \quad (x \in X, i \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

We prove Proposition 2.1 by showing that Krieger's joint state chain of the sofic system  $(X, \sigma_X)$  has the desired properties. In the case when  $X$  is irreducible (topologically transitive) are further show that Krieger's joint finitary state chain also has the desired properties.

We denote the set of all left-infinite sequences, right-infinite sequences and blocks in  $X$  by  $L$ ,  $R$  and  $B$ , respectively:

$$L = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{(-\infty, j]}, \quad R = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{[j, \infty)} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \bigcup_{-\infty < j \leq k < \infty} X_{[j, k]}.$$

The rules “ $\sigma(x)_i = x_{i+1}$ ” and “ $\varphi(x)_i = \tau(x_{-i})$ ” will be applied to those sequences as well. For instance, if  $\lambda \in X_{(-\infty, j]}$ , then  $\sigma(\lambda) \in X_{(-\infty, j-1]}$ ,  $\varphi(\lambda) \in X_{[-j, \infty)}$ ,

$$\sigma(\lambda)_i = \lambda_{i+1} \quad (i \leq j-1),$$

and

$$\varphi(\lambda)_i = \tau(\lambda_{-i}) \quad (i \geq -j).$$

For notational simplicity, we write  $\varphi(x) = x^*$  whenever  $x \in L \cup R \cup B$ . Thus we have  $(x^*)^* = x$  for all  $x$ ,

$$L = \{\rho^* : \rho \in R\}, \quad R = \{\lambda^* : \lambda \in L\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{w^* : w \in B\}.$$

We also write  $a^* = \tau(a)$  for  $a \in \mathcal{B}$ .

If  $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\lambda \in X_{(-\infty, j]}$  and  $\rho \in X_{[k, \infty)}$ , we denote the bi-infinite sequence  $x \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $x_{(-\infty, -1]} = \sigma^{j+1}(\lambda)$  and  $x_{[0, \infty)} = \sigma^k(\rho)$  by  $\lambda \cdot \rho$ :

$$(\lambda \cdot \rho)_i = \begin{cases} \lambda_{i+j+1} & (i \leq -1), \\ \rho_{i+k} & (i \geq 0). \end{cases}$$

We will use similar notation for other sequences in  $L \cup R \cup B$  as well.

If  $\lambda \in L$ , its future is the set  $\mathbb{F}(\lambda) \subset X_{[0, \infty)}$  defined by

$$\mathbb{F}(\lambda) = \{\rho \in X_{[0, \infty)} : \lambda \cdot \rho \in X\}.$$

The pasts are similarly defined:

$$\mathbb{P}(\rho) = \{\lambda \in X_{(-\infty, 0]} : \lambda \cdot \rho \in X\} \quad (\rho \in R).$$

It is well known that the sets

$$\Xi_+ = \{\mathbb{F}(\lambda) : \lambda \in L\} \text{ and } \Xi_- = \{\mathbb{P}(\rho) : \rho \in R\}$$

are finite [K]. A triple  $(F, a, P) \in \Xi_+ \times \mathcal{B} \times \Xi_-$  is called a joint state of  $(X, \sigma_X)$  if  $a \in P_0(F) \cap P_0(P)$ , where

$$P_0(F) = \{\rho_0 : \rho \in F\} \text{ and } P_0(P) = \{\lambda_0 : \lambda \in P\}.$$

We denote the set of all joint states of  $(X, \sigma_X)$  by  $\Omega$  and define  $\mathcal{L} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  by

$$\mathcal{L}(F, a, P) = a.$$

If  $F \in \Xi_+$  and  $a \in P_0(F)$ , then the set

$$F(a) = \{\rho \in X_{[0, \infty)} : \sigma^{-1}(a.\rho) \in F\}$$

is an element of  $\Xi_+$ ; and  $P \in \Xi_-$  and  $a \in P_0(P)$ , then the set

$$P(a) = \{\lambda \in X_{(-\infty, 0]} : \lambda.a \in P\}$$

is an element of  $\Xi_-$ . We define the zero-one  $\Omega \times \Omega$  matrix  $A$  by

$$A((F_1, a_1, P_1), (F_2, a_2, P_2)) = 1 \Leftrightarrow F_2 = F_1(a_1) \text{ and } P_1 = P_2(a_2).$$

The topological Markov chain  $\mathbf{X}_A$  is called Krieger's joint state chain of  $(X, \sigma_X)$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{L}_\infty : \mathbf{X}_A \rightarrow X$  is a factoring [K] and it is easy to check that  $\mathcal{L}_\infty$  has no graph diamonds.

If  $F \in \Xi_+$  and  $P \in \Xi_-$ , then the sets

$$F^* = \{\rho^* : \rho \in F\} \text{ and } P^* = \{\lambda^* : \lambda \in P\}$$

are elements of  $\Xi_-$  and  $\Xi_+$ , respectively. For  $(F, a, P) \in \Omega$  we write

$$(F, a, P)^* = (P^*, a^*, F^*).$$

If  $\mathbf{a} \in \Omega$ , we have  $\mathbf{a}^* \in \Omega$  and  $(\mathbf{a}^*)^* = \mathbf{a}$ . We also have

$$A(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = A(\mathbf{b}^*, \mathbf{a}^*) \quad (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \Omega).$$

Consequently, if we put  $\mathcal{A} = \Omega$  and define the zero-one  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  matrix  $J$  by

$$J(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{a}^* = \mathbf{b},$$

then the system  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}, A, J)$  satisfies the condition (1) through (4) in Proposition 2.1.

To prove (5), suppose  $x \in X$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}_\infty : \mathbf{X}_A \rightarrow X$  is a factoring, there is a  $y \in \mathbf{X}_A$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(y) = x$ . We may write

$$y = (F_i, x_i, P_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

We then have

$$\varphi_{J,A}(y)_i = (P_{-i}^*, x_{-i}^*, F_{-i}^*) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$\sigma_A \varphi_{J,A}(y) = (P_{-(i+1)}^*, x_{-(i+1)}^*, F_{-(i+1)}^*) \quad (i \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

If  $\varphi(x) = x$ , then  $x_i = (x_{-i})^*$  for all  $i$  and hence the point

$$y' = (F_i, x_i, F_{-i}^*)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbf{X}_A$$

satisfies  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(y') = x$  and  $\varphi_{J,A}(y') = y'$ ; and if  $\sigma_X \varphi(x) = x$ , then  $x_i = (x_{-(i+1)})^*$  for all  $i$  and hence the point

$$y'' = (F_i, x_i, F_{-(i+1)}^*) \in \mathbf{X}_A$$

satisfies  $\mathcal{L}_\infty(y'') = x$  and  $\sigma_A \varphi_{J,A}(y'') = y''$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 2.1.

A block  $w \in B$  is said to be finitary (intrinsically synchronizing) if it has following property:

If  $\lambda \in L$ ,  $\rho \in R$ ,  $\lambda.w \in L$  and  $w.\rho \in R$ , then  $(\lambda.w).\rho \in X$ .

The set of all finitary blocks in  $X$  will be denoted by  $B^0$ . It is obvious that if  $w \in B^0$ , then the sets

$$\mathbb{F}(w) = \{\rho \in X_{[0,\infty)} : w.\rho \in R\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(w) = \{\lambda \in X_{(-\infty,0]} : \lambda.w \in L\}$$

are elements of  $\Xi_+$  and  $\Xi_-$ , respectively. It is also obvious that  $w \in B^0$  if and only if  $w^* \in B^0$ .

We put

$$\Xi_+^0 = \{\mathbb{F}(w) : w \in B^0\}, \quad \Xi_-^0 = \{\mathbb{P}(w) : w \in B^0\}$$

and

$$\Omega^0 = \{(F, a, P) \in \Omega : F \in \Xi_+^0 \text{ and } P \in \Xi_-^0\}.$$

We denote the restrictions of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $A$  and  $J$  to  $\Omega^0$  by  $\mathcal{L}^0$ ,  $A^0$  and  $J^0$ , respectively. The topological Markov chain  $X_{A^0}$  is called Krieger's joint finitary state chain of  $(X, \sigma_X)$ .

If  $X$  is irreducible, it is well known that  $(\mathcal{L}^0)_\infty : X_{A^0} \rightarrow X$  is a factoring [K]. Now, it is clear that if  $X$  is irreducible, then the system  $(\Omega^0, \mathcal{L}^0, A^0, J^0)$  also satisfies the conditions in Proposition 2.1.

#### 4. AN EXAMPLE AND SOME REMARKS

**Remark.** Let  $X$  be a sofic shift and  $\varphi$  a one-block flip for  $(X, \sigma_X)$ . Suppose  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{L}, A, J)$  satisfy the conditions (1) through (4) in Proposition 2.1. Then the condition (5) is equivalent to the followings:

- (i) for any  $a \in \mathcal{B}_1(X)$  and  $\rho \in F(a)$  with  $a^* = a$  and  $\rho^*.a\rho \in X$  there exist  $b \in \mathcal{L}^{-1}(a)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^{-1}(\rho)$  such that  $b^* = b$  and  $\sigma^{-1}(b.\lambda) \in (X_A)_{[0,\infty]}$ , and
- (ii) for any  $a \in \mathcal{B}_1(X)$  and  $\rho \in F(a)$  with  $a^*a \in \mathcal{B}_2(X)$  and  $\rho^*a^*.a\rho \in X$  there exist  $b \in \mathcal{L}^{-1}(a)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}^{-1}(\rho)$  such that  $b^*b \in \mathcal{B}_2(X_A)$  and  $\sigma^{-1}(b.\lambda) \in (X_A)_{[0,\infty]}$ .

The joint finitary state chain of a irreducible sofic shift may not be irreducible. If we take

$$\Omega' = \{(\mathbb{F}(w_1), a, \mathbb{P}(w_2)) \in \Omega^0 : w_1aw_2 \in B\},$$

then  $(\Omega', \mathcal{L}', A', J')$  is a irreducible component which satisfies the conditions (1) through (4) in Proposition 2.1, where  $\mathcal{L}'$ ,  $A'$  and  $J'$  are restrictions of  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $A$  and  $J$  to  $\Omega'$ . However, it may not satisfy the condition (5).

For example, the even shift  $(X, \sigma)$  with the flip map  $\varphi$  induced by the symbol map  $\tau = \text{id}_{\{0,1\}}$  has a fixed point  $0^\infty \in P(2m, 0)$  for any positive integer  $m$ , but there is not  $y \in (X_{A'}, \sigma_{A'})$  such that  $\sigma_{A'}^{2m}(y) = \varphi_{J'A'}(y) = y$ . In this case,  $(\Omega', \mathcal{L}', A', J')$  dose not satisfy the condition (i) of the above Remark.

**Example.** Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be the even shift, and  $\varphi : X \rightarrow X$  be the flip induced by the symbol map  $\tau = \text{id}_{\{0,1\}}$ . Then the zeta function is given by

$$\zeta_{\sigma, \varphi}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{1+t^2}{1-t^2-t^4}} \exp\left(\frac{2t+2t^2-t^3-t^4-2t^5-t^6}{(1-t^2)(1-t^2-t^4)}\right).$$




Figure. The joint finitary state chain of the even shift

### References

- [B] R. Bowen, *On Axiom A Diffeomorphisms*, AMS-CBMS Reg. Conf. 35, Providence, 1978
- [K] W. Krieger, *On Sofic Systems I*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, 48(1984), 305-332.
- [KLP] Y.-O. Kim, J. Lee and K. K. Park, *A Zeta Function for Flip Systems*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 209(2003), 289-301.
- [L] D. Lind, *A zeta function for  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 228 (M. Pollicott and K.Schmidt, ed.), 1996, 433-450.
- [LM] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [M] A. Manning, *Axiom A diffeomorphisms have rational zeta functions*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 3(1971), 215-220