

On the Γ -limit of singular perturbation problems with optimal profiles which are not one-dimensional. Part II: The lower bound

ARKADY POLIAKOVSKY ¹

Department of Mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev,
P.O.B. 653, Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel

Abstract

In part II we construct the lower bound, in the spirit of Γ -lim for some general classes of singular perturbation problems, with or without the prescribed differential constraint, taking the form

$$E_\varepsilon(v) := \int_\Omega \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(\varepsilon^n \nabla^n v, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla v, v\right) dx \quad \text{for } v : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \text{ such that } A \cdot \nabla v = 0,$$

where the function $F \geq 0$ and $A : \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a prescribed linear operator (for example, $A := 0$, $A \cdot \nabla v := \operatorname{curl} v$ and $A \cdot \nabla v = \operatorname{div} v$). Furthermore, we studied the cases where we can easily prove the coinciding of this lower bound and the upper bound obtained in [25]. In particular we find the formula for the Γ -limit for the general class of anisotropic problems without a differential constraint (i.e., in the case $A := 0$).

1 Introduction

Definition 1.1. Consider a family $\{I_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ of functionals $I_\varepsilon(\phi) : U \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$, where U is a given metric space. The Γ -limits of I_ε are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma - \varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon)(\phi) &:= \inf \left\{ \varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon) : \{\phi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset U, \phi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \phi \text{ in } U \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+ \right\}, \\ (\Gamma - \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon)(\phi) &:= \inf \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon) : \{\phi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset U, \phi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \phi \text{ in } U \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+ \right\}, \\ (\Gamma - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon)(\phi) &:= (\Gamma - \varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon)(\phi) = (\Gamma - \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon)(\phi) \quad \text{in the case they are equal.} \end{aligned}$$

It is useful to know the Γ -limit of I_ε , because it describes the asymptotic behavior as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ of minimizers of I_ε , as it is clear from the following simple statement:

Proposition 1.1 (De-Giorgi). *Assume that ϕ_ε is a minimizer of I_ε for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Then:*

- *If $I_0(\phi) = (\Gamma - \varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon)(\phi)$ and $\phi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \phi_0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ then ϕ_0 is a minimizer of I_0 .*

¹E-mail: poliakov@math.bgu.ac.il

- If $I_0(\phi) = (\Gamma - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon)(\phi)$ (i.e. it is a full Γ -limit of $I_\varepsilon(\phi)$) and for some subsequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\phi_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \phi_0$, then ϕ_0 is a minimizer of I_0 .

Usually, for finding the Γ -limit of $I_\varepsilon(\phi)$, we need to find two bounds.

- (*) Firstly, we find a lower bound, i.e. a functional $\underline{I}(\phi)$ such that for every family $\{\phi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$, satisfying $\phi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \phi$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we have $\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon(\phi_\varepsilon) \geq \underline{I}(\phi)$.
- (**) Secondly, we find an upper bound, i.e. a functional $\overline{I}(\phi)$, such that for every $\phi \in U$ there exists a family $\{\psi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$, satisfying $\psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \phi$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon(\psi_\varepsilon) \leq \overline{I}(\phi)$.
- (***) If we find that $\underline{I}(\phi) = \overline{I}(\phi) := I(\phi)$, then $I(\phi)$ is the Γ -limit of $I_\varepsilon(\phi)$.

In various applications we deal with the asymptotic behavior as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ of a family of functionals $\{I_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ of the following forms.

- In the case of the first order problem the functional I_ε , which acts on functions $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, has the form

$$I_\varepsilon(\psi) = \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon |\nabla \psi(x)|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(\psi(x), x) dx, \quad (1.1)$$

or more generally

$$I_\varepsilon(\psi) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} G(\varepsilon^n \nabla \psi^n, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \psi, \psi, x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(\psi, x) dx, \quad (1.2)$$

where $G(0, \dots, 0, \psi, x) \equiv 0$.

- In the case of the second order problem the functional I_ε , which acts on functions $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, has the form

$$I_\varepsilon(v) = \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon |\nabla^2 v(x)|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(\nabla v(x), v(x), x) dx, \quad (1.3)$$

or more generally

$$I_\varepsilon(v) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} G(\varepsilon^n \nabla^{n+1} v, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla^2 v, \nabla v, v, x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(\nabla v, v, x) dx, \quad (1.4)$$

where $G(0, \dots, 0, \nabla v, v, x) \equiv 0$.

The functionals of the form (1.1) arise in the theories of phase transitions and minimal surfaces. They were first studied by Modica and Mortola [16], Modica [15], Sterenberg [29] and others. The Γ -limit of the functional in (1.1), where W don't depend on x explicitly, was obtained in the general vectorial case by Ambrosio in [1]. The Γ -limit of the functional of the form (1.2), where $n = 1$ and there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $W(h, x) = 0$ if and only if $h \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$, under some restriction on the explicit dependence on x of G and W , was obtained by Fonseca and Popovici in [12]. The Γ -limit of the functional of the form (1.2), with $n = 2$, $G(\cdot)/\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon^3 |\nabla^2 \psi|^2$ and W which doesn't depend on x explicitly, was found by I. Fonseca and C. Mantegazza in [11].

The functionals of second order of the form (1.3) arise, for example, in the gradient theory of solid-solid phase transitions, where one considers energies of the form

$$I_\varepsilon(v) = \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon |\nabla^2 v(x)|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(\nabla v(x)) dx, \quad (1.5)$$

where $v : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ stands for the deformation, and the free energy density $W(F)$ is nonnegative and satisfies

$$W(F) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad F \in K := SO(N)A \cup SO(N)B.$$

Here A and B are two fixed, invertible matrices, such that $\text{rank}(A - B) = 1$ and $SO(N)$ is the set of rotations in \mathbb{R}^N . The simpler case where $W(F) = 0$ if and only if $F \in \{A, B\}$ was studied by Conti, Fonseca and Leoni in [9]. The case of problem (1.5), where $N = 2$ and $W(QF) = W(F)$ for all $Q \in SO(2)$ was investigated by Conti and Schweizer in [8] (see also [7] for a related problem). Another important example of the second order energy is the so called Aviles-Giga functional, defined on scalar valued functions v by

$$\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon |\nabla^2 v|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (1 - |\nabla v|^2)^2 \quad (\text{see [2],[4],[5]}). \quad (1.6)$$

In this paper we deal with the asymptotic behavior as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ of a family of functionals of the following general form: Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ consider the general functional

$$I_{\varepsilon}(v) = \{I_{\varepsilon}(\Omega)\}(v) := \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} G\left(\varepsilon^n \nabla^n v, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla v, v, x\right) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(v, x) dx \quad \text{with } v := (\nabla u, h, \psi),$$

where $u \in W_{loc}^{(n+1),1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $h \in W_{loc}^{n,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N})$ s.t. $\text{div } h \equiv 0$, $\psi \in W_{loc}^{n,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. (1.7)

Here

$$G : \mathbb{R}^{\left(\{k \times N\} + \{d \times N\} + m\right) \times N^n} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{\left(\{k \times N\} + \{d \times N\} + m\right) \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{\{k \times N\} + \{d \times N\} + m} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and $W : \mathbb{R}^{\{k \times N\} + \{d \times N\} + m} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are nonnegative continuous functions and G satisfies $G(0, \dots, 0, v, x) \equiv 0$. The functionals in (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), (1.4) are important particular cases of the general energy I_{ε} in (1.7). In the general form (1.7) we also include the dependence on div-free function h , which can be useful in the study of problems with non-local terms as the Rivière-Serfaty functional and other functionals in Micromagnetics.

In order to simplify the notations for every open $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ consider

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{U}) := \left\{ v \in L_{loc}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m) : v = (\nabla u, h, \psi), \right. \\ \left. u \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R}^k), h \in L_{loc}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}) \text{ s.t. } \text{div } h \equiv 0, \psi \in L_{loc}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{R}^m) \right\}, \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$F\left(\nabla^n v, \dots, \nabla v, v, x\right) := G\left(\nabla^n v, \dots, \nabla v, v, x\right) + W(v, x) \quad (1.9)$$

Then

$$I_{\varepsilon}(v) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(\varepsilon^n \nabla^n v, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla v, v, x\right) dx \quad \text{with } v \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \cap W_{loc}^{n,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m). \quad (1.10)$$

What can we expect as the Γ -limit or at least as an upper bound of these general energies in L^p -topology for some $p \geq 1$? It is clear that if G and W are nonnegative and W is a continuous on the argument v function, then the upper bound for $I_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ will be finite only if

$$W(v(x), x) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \quad (1.11)$$

i.e. if we define

$$\mathcal{A}_0 := \left\{ v \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m) \cap \mathcal{B}(\Omega) : W(v(x), x) = 0 \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \right\} \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ v \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m) : (\Gamma - \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon)(v) < +\infty \right\}, \quad (1.13)$$

then clearly $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_0$. In most interesting applications the set \mathcal{A}_0 consists of discontinuous functions. The natural space of discontinuous functions is BV space. It turns out that in the general case if G and W are C^1 -functions and if we consider

$$\mathcal{A}_{BV} := \mathcal{A}_0 \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap BV \cap L^\infty, \quad (1.14)$$

then

$$\mathcal{A}_{BV} \subset \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}_0. \quad (1.15)$$

In many cases we have $\mathcal{A}_{BV} = \mathcal{A}$. For example this is indeed the case if the energy $I_\varepsilon(v)$ has the simplest form $I_\varepsilon(v) = \int_\Omega \varepsilon |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} W(v) dx$, and the set of zeros of W : $\{h : W(h) = 0\}$ is finite. However, this is in general not the case. For example, as was shown by Ambrosio, De Lellis and Mantegazza in [2], $\mathcal{A}_{BV} \subsetneq \mathcal{A}$ in the particular case of the energy defined by (1.6) with $N = 2$. On the other hand, there are many applications where the set \mathcal{A} still inherits some good properties of BV space. For example, it is indeed the case for the energy (1.6) with $N = 2$, as was shown by Camillo de Lellis and Felix Otto in [14].

The main contribution of [25] was to improve our method (see [22],[18]) for finding upper bounds in the sense of (**) for the general functional (1.7) in the case where the limiting function belongs to BV -space, i.e. for $v = (\nabla u, h, \psi) \in \mathcal{A}_{BV}$. In order to formulate the main results of [25] and of this paper we present the following definitions.

Definition 1.2. For every $\nu \in S^{N-1}$ define $Q(\nu) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : -1/2 < y \cdot \nu_j < 1/2 \quad \forall j\}$, where $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N\}$ is an orthonormal base in \mathbb{R}^N such that $\nu_1 = \nu$. Then set

$$\mathcal{D}_1(v^+, v^-, \nu) := \left\{ v \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \right. \\ \left. v(y) \equiv \theta(\nu \cdot y) \text{ and } v(y) = v^- \text{ if } y \cdot \nu \leq -1/2, \quad v(y) = v^+ \text{ if } y \cdot \nu \geq 1/2 \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\cdot)$ is defined in (1.8), and

$$\mathcal{D}_{per}(v^+, v^-, \nu) := \left\{ v \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \right. \\ \left. v(y) = v^- \text{ if } y \cdot \nu \leq -1/2, \quad v(y) = v^+ \text{ if } y \cdot \nu \geq 1/2, \quad v(y + \nu_j) = v(y) \quad \forall j = 2, \dots, N \right\}.$$

Next define

$$E_1(v^+, v^-, \nu, x) = \inf \left\{ \int_{Q(\nu_v)} \frac{1}{L} F(L^n \nabla^n \zeta, \dots, L \nabla \zeta, \zeta, x) dy : L > 0, \zeta(y) \in \mathcal{D}_1(v^+, v^-, \nu) \right\}, \quad (1.16)$$

$$E_{per}(v^+, v^-, \nu, x) = \inf \left\{ \int_{Q(\nu_v)} \frac{1}{L} F(L^n \nabla^n \zeta, \dots, L \nabla \zeta, \zeta, x) dy : L > 0, \zeta(y) \in \mathcal{D}_{per}(v^+, v^-, \nu) \right\}. \quad (1.17)$$

$$E_{abs}(v^+, v^-, x) = \left(\Gamma - \overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon(Q(\nu)) \right) \left(\eta(v^+, v^-, \nu) \right), \quad (1.18)$$

where

$$\eta(v^+, v^-, \boldsymbol{\nu})(y) := \begin{cases} v^- & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot y < 0, \\ v^+ & \text{if } \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot y > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.19)$$

and we mean the $\Gamma - \lim$ in L^p topology for some $p \geq 1$.

It is not difficult to deduce that

$$E_{abst}(v^+, v^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x) \leq E_{per}(v^+, v^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x) \leq E_1(v^+, v^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x). \quad (1.20)$$

Next define the functionals $K_1(\cdot), K_{per}(\cdot), K^*(\cdot) : \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \cap BV \cap L^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$K_1(v) := \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega \cap J_v} E_1(v^+(x), v^-(x), \boldsymbol{\nu}_v(x), x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{A}_0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.21)$$

$$K_{per}(v) := \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega \cap J_v} E_{per}(v^+(x), v^-(x), \boldsymbol{\nu}_v(x), x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{A}_0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.22)$$

$$K^*(v) := \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega \cap J_v} E_{abst}(v^+(x), v^-(x), \boldsymbol{\nu}_v(x), x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{A}_0, \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (1.23)$$

where J_v is the jump set of v , $\boldsymbol{\nu}_v$ is the jump vector and v^-, v^+ are jumps of v . Then, by (1.20) trivially follows

$$K^*(v) \leq K_{per}(v) \leq K_1(v). \quad (1.24)$$

We call $K_1(\cdot)$ by the bound, achieved by one dimensional profiles, $K_{per}(\cdot)$ by the bound, achieved by multidimensional periodic profiles and $K^*(\cdot)$ by the bound, achieved by abstract profiles.

Our general conjecture is that $K^*(\cdot)$ coincides with the Γ -limit for the functionals $I_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ in (1.10), under L^p convergence, in the case where the limiting functions $v \in BV \cap L^\infty$. It is known that in the case of the problem (1.1), where $W \in C^1$ don't depend on x explicitly, this is indeed the case and moreover, in this case we have equalities in (1.24) (see [1]). The same result is also known for problem (1.6) when $N = 2$ (see [2] and [6],[22]). It is also the case for problem (1.5) where $W(F) = 0$ if and only if $F \in \{A, B\}$, studied by Conti, Fonseca and Leoni, if W satisfies the additional hypothesis (H_3) in [9]. However, as was shown there by an example, if we don't assume (H_3) -hypothesis, then it is possible that $E_{per}(\nabla v^+, \nabla v^-, \boldsymbol{\nu})$ is strictly smaller than $E_1(\nabla v^+, \nabla v^-, \boldsymbol{\nu})$ and thus, in general, $K_1(\cdot)$ can differ from the Γ -limit. In the same work it was shown that if, instead of (H_3) we assume hypothesis (H_5) , then $K_{per}(\cdot)$ turns to be equal to $K^*(\cdot)$ and the Γ -limit of (1.5) equals to $K_{per}(\cdot) \equiv K^*(\cdot)$. The similar result known also for problem (1.2), where $n = 1$ and there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $W(h, x) = 0$ if and only if $h \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$, under some restriction on the explicit dependence on x of G and W . As was obtained by Fonseca and Popovici in [12] in this case we also obtain that $K_{per}(\cdot) \equiv K^*(\cdot)$ is the Γ -limit of (1.2). In the case of problem (1.5), where $N = 2$ and $W(QF) = W(F)$ for all $Q \in SO(2)$, Conti and Schweizer in [8] found that the Γ -limit equals to $K^*(\cdot)$ (see also [7] for a related problem). However, by our knowledge, it is not known, weather in general $K^*(\cdot) \equiv K_{per}(\cdot)$.

On [18] we showed that for the general problems (1.2) and (1.4), $K_1(\cdot)$ is the upper bound in the sense of (**), if the limiting function belongs to BV -class. However, as we saw, this bound is not sharp in general. In [25] we improved our method and obtained that for the general problem (1.10), $K_{per}(\cdot)$ is always an upper bound in the sense of (**) in the case where the limiting functions v belong to BV -space and $G, W \in C^1$. More precisely, we have the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set and*

$$F : \mathbb{R}^{(\{k \times N\} + \{d \times N\} + m) \times N^n} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{(\{k \times N\} + \{d \times N\} + m) \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{\{k \times N\} + \{d \times N\} + m} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be a nonnegative C^1 function. Furthermore assume that $v := (\nabla u, h, \psi) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap BV(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfies $\operatorname{div} h \equiv 0$, $|Dv|(\partial\Omega) = 0$ and

$$F(0, \dots, 0, v(x), x) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Then there exists a sequence $v_\varepsilon = (\nabla u_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon, \psi_\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\operatorname{div} h_\varepsilon \equiv 0$, for every $p \geq 1$ we have $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$ in L^p and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F(\varepsilon^n \nabla^n v_\varepsilon(x), \dots, \varepsilon \nabla v_\varepsilon(x), v(x), x) dx = K_{per}(v).$$

Here $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ was defined by (1.8) and $K_{per}(\cdot)$ was defined by (1.22).

The main result of this paper provides with that, for the general problem (1.10), when G, W don't depend on x explicitly, $K^*(\cdot)$ is a lower bound in the sense of (*). More precisely, we have the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set and*

$$F : \mathbb{R}^{(\{k \times N\} + \{d \times N\} + m) \times N^n} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{(\{k \times N\} + \{d \times N\} + m) \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{\{k \times N\} + \{d \times N\} + m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be a nonnegative continuous function. Furthermore assume that $v := (\nabla u, h, \psi) \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \cap BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfies

$$F(0, \dots, 0, v(x)) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Then for every $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset \mathcal{B}(\Omega) \cap W_{loc}^{n,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$, such that $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$ in L^p as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we have

$$\underline{\lim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F(\varepsilon^n \nabla^n v_\varepsilon(x), \dots, \varepsilon \nabla v_\varepsilon(x), v(x)) dx \geq K^*(v).$$

Here $K^(\cdot)$ is defined by (1.23) with respect to L^p topology.*

For slightly generalized formulation and additional details see Theorem 2.3. See also Theorem 2.2 as an analogous result for more general functionals than that defined by (1.10).

As we saw there is a natural question: weather in general $K^*(\cdot) \equiv K_{per}(\cdot)$? The answer yes will mean that, in the case when G, W are C^1 functions which don't depend on x explicitly, the upper bound in Theorem 1.1 will coincide with the lower bound of Theorem 1.2 and therefore we will find the full Γ -limit in the case of BV limiting functions. The equivalent question is weather

$$E_{abst}(v^+, v^-, \nu, x) = E_{per}(v^+, v^-, \nu, x),$$

where $E_{per}(\cdot)$ is defined in (1.17) and $E_{abst}(\cdot)$ is defined by (1.18). In section 3 we formulate and prove some partial results that refer to this important question. In particular we prove that this is indeed the case for the general problem (1.2) i.e. when we have no prescribed differential constraint. More precisely, we have the following Theorem:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $G \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{m \times N^n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^{(n-1)}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ and $W \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ be nonnegative functions such that $G(0, 0, \dots, 0, b) = 0$ for every $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and there exist $C > 0$ and $p \geq 1$ satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{C}|A|^p \leq F\left(A, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b\right) \leq C\left(|A|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |a_j|^p + |b|^p + 1\right) \quad \text{for every } (A, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, b), \quad (1.25)$$

where we denote

$$F\left(A, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b\right) := G\left(A, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b\right) + W(b)$$

Next let $\psi \in BV(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^\infty$ be such that $\|D\psi\|(\partial\Omega) = 0$ and $W(\psi(x)) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then $K^*(\psi) = K_{per}(\psi)$ and for every $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W_{loc}^{n,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\varphi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \psi$ in $L_{loc}^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon(\varphi_\varepsilon) &:= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} F\left(\varepsilon^n \nabla^n \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon^{n-1} \nabla^{n-1} \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \\ &\geq K_{per}(\psi) := \int_{\Omega \cap J_\psi} \bar{E}_{per}\left(\psi^+(x), \psi^-(x), \boldsymbol{\nu}(x)\right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (1.26)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E}_{per}\left(\psi^+, \psi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}\right) &:= \\ \inf \left\{ \int_{Q_\nu} \frac{1}{L} F\left(L^n \nabla^n \zeta, L^{n-1} \nabla^{n-1} \zeta, \dots, L \nabla \zeta, \zeta\right) dx : L \in (0, +\infty), \zeta \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{per}(\psi^+, \psi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.27)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{per}(\psi^+, \psi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}) &:= \left\{ \zeta \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m) : \zeta(y) = \psi^- \text{ if } y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \leq -1/2, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \zeta(y) = \psi^+ \text{ if } y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}(x) \geq 1/2 \text{ and } \zeta(y + \mathbf{k}_j) = \zeta(y) \quad \forall j = 2, 3, \dots, N \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.28)$$

Here $Q_\nu := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ where $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1, \boldsymbol{\nu}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\nu}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in \mathbb{R}^N such that $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 := \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Moreover, there exists a sequence $\{\psi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} \psi_\varepsilon(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \psi(x) dx$, for every $q \geq 1$ we have $\psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \psi$ in $L^q(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon(\psi_\varepsilon) &:= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} F\left(\varepsilon^n \nabla^n \psi_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon^{n-1} \nabla^{n-1} \psi_\varepsilon(x), \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(x), \psi_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \\ &= K_{per}(\psi) := \int_{\Omega \cap J_\psi} \bar{E}_{per}\left(\psi^+(x), \psi^-(x), \boldsymbol{\nu}(x)\right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (1.29)$$

See Theorem 3.2 as a slightly generalized result.

Remark 1.1. In what follows we use some special notations and apply some basic theorems about BV functions. For the convenience of the reader we put these notations and theorems in Appendix.

2 The abstract lower bound

Definition 2.1. Given an open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and a vector $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, such that $q_j \geq 1$ for every $1 \leq j \leq m$, define the Banach space $L^{\mathbf{q}}(G, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as the space of all (equivalency classes of a.e. equal) functions $f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_m(x)) : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, such that $f_j(x) \in L^{q_j}(G, \mathbb{R})$ for every $1 \leq j \leq m$, endowed with the norm $\|f\|_{L^{\mathbf{q}}(G, \mathbb{R}^m)} := \sum_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{q_j}(G, \mathbb{R})}$. Next define, as usual, $L_{loc}^{\mathbf{q}}(G, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as a space of all functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, such that for every compactly embedded $U \subset\subset G$ we have $f \in L_{loc}^{\mathbf{q}}(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Finally in the case where $q \in [1, +\infty)$ is a scalar we as, usual, consider $L^q(G, \mathbb{R}^m) := L^{\mathbf{q}}(G, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $L_{loc}^q(G, \mathbb{R}^m) := L_{loc}^{\mathbf{q}}(G, \mathbb{R}^m)$, where $\mathbf{q} := (q, q, \dots, q)$.

Definition 2.2. Given a vector $x := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and a vector $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, such that $q_j \geq 1$, we define $|x|^{\mathbf{q}} := \sum_{j=1}^m |x_j|^{q_j}$. Note that for a scalar q , $|x|^q$ and $|x|^{(q, q, \dots, q)}$ are, in general, different quantities, although they have the same order, i.e. $|x|^q/C \leq |x|^{(q, q, \dots, q)} \leq C|x|^q$ for some constant $C > 0$.

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{M} be a subset of \mathbb{R}^m , $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set and $D \subset \Omega$ be a \mathcal{H}^{N-1} σ -finite Borel set. Consider $F \in C(\mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, which satisfies $F \geq 0$ and the following property: For every $x_0 \in \Omega$ and every $\tau > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ satisfying

$$F(a, b, x) - F(a, b, x_0) \geq -\tau F(a, b, x_0) \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \quad \forall b \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad \text{such that } |x - x_0| < \alpha. \quad (2.1)$$

Furthermore, let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times N}; \mathbb{R}^m)$, $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $p \geq 1$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that $q_j \geq 1$, $\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v \in L_{loc}^q(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $F(0, \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}(x), x) = 0$ a.e. in Ω . Assume also that there exist three Borel mappings $\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^+(x) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^-(x) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{n}(x) : D \rightarrow S^{N-1}$ such that for every $x \in D$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_\rho^+(x, \mathbf{n}(x))} |\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}(y) - \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^+(x)|^q dy}{\mathcal{L}^N(B_\rho(x))} &= 0, \\ \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_\rho^-(x, \mathbf{n}(x))} |\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}(y) - \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^-(x)|^q dy}{\mathcal{L}^N(B_\rho(x))} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{see Definition 2.2}). \quad (2.2)$$

Then for every $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$, satisfying $\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon \in L_{loc}^q(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x) \in \mathcal{M}$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v$ in $L_{loc}^q(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} F\left(\varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x), x\right) dx &\geq \\ \int_D E_0\left(\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^+(x), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^-(x), \mathbf{n}(x), x\right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and any unit vector $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x) &:= \inf \left\{ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_\boldsymbol{\nu}} F\left(\varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon\}(y), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon\}(y), x\right) dy : \varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}'(I_\boldsymbol{\nu}, \mathbb{R}^d) \quad \text{s.t.} \right. \\ &\left. \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon \in L^q(I_\boldsymbol{\nu}, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{1,p}(I_\boldsymbol{\nu}, \mathbb{R}^m), \quad \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M} \text{ a.e. in } I_\boldsymbol{\nu} \text{ and } \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon\}(y) \rightarrow \xi(y, a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^q(I_\boldsymbol{\nu}, \mathbb{R}^m) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Here $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ where $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1, \boldsymbol{\nu}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\nu}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in \mathbb{R}^N such that $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 := \boldsymbol{\nu}$ and

$$\xi(y, a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}) := \begin{cases} a & \text{if } y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} > 0, \\ b & \text{if } y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} < 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. It is clear that we may assume that

$$T_0 := \varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} F\left(\varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_{\varepsilon}\}(x), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_{\varepsilon}\}(x), x\right) dx < +\infty, \quad (2.6)$$

otherwise it is trivial. Then, up to a subsequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} F\left(\varepsilon_n \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_n\}(x), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_n\}(x), x\right) dx \rightharpoonup \mu, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$T_0 \geq \mu(\Omega), \quad (2.8)$$

where μ is some positive finite Radon measure on Ω and the convergence is in the sense of the weak* convergence of finite Radon measures. Moreover, for every compact set $K \subset \Omega$ we have

$$\mu(K) \geq \varliminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \int_K F\left(\varepsilon_n \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_n\}(x), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_n\}(x), x\right) dx. \quad (2.9)$$

Next by the Theorem about k -dimensional densities (Theorem 2.56 in [3]) we have

$$\mu(D) \geq \int_D \sigma(x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\sigma(x) := \varlimsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(B_{\rho}(x))}{\omega_{N-1} \rho^{N-1}}, \quad (2.11)$$

with ω_{N-1} denoting the \mathcal{L}^{N-1} -measure of $(N-1)$ -dimensional unit ball. Fix now $\delta > 1$. Then by (2.9), for every $x \in \Omega$ and every $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small we have

$$\mu(B_{(\delta\rho)}(x)) \geq \mu(\overline{B}_{\rho}(x)) \geq \varliminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\overline{B}_{\rho}(x)} F\left(\varepsilon_n \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_n\}(y), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_n\}(y), y\right) dy. \quad (2.12)$$

On the other hand by (2.8), (2.10) and (2.11) we obtain

$$T_0 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq \mu(D) \geq \int_D \sigma(x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x) = \int_D \left\{ \varlimsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(B_{(\delta\rho)}(x))}{\omega_{N-1} (\delta\rho)^{N-1}} \right\} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x). \quad (2.13)$$

Thus plugging (2.12) into (2.13) we deduce

$$T_0 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq \frac{1}{\delta^{N-1}} \int_D \left\{ \varlimsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1} \rho^{N-1}} \varliminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\overline{B}_{\rho}(x)} F\left(\varepsilon_n \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_n\}(y), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_n\}(y), y\right) dy \right) \right\} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x). \quad (2.14)$$

Therefore, since $\delta > 1$ was chosen arbitrary we deduce

$$T_0 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq \int_D \left\{ \varlimsup_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1} \rho^{N-1}} \varliminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\overline{B}_{\rho}(x)} F\left(\varepsilon_n \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_n\}(y), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_n\}(y), y\right) dy \right) \right\} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x). \quad (2.15)$$

Next set

$$\varphi_{n,\rho,x}(z) := \frac{1}{\rho}v_n(x + \rho z) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{\rho,x}(z) := \frac{1}{\rho}v(x + \rho z). \quad (2.16)$$

Then changing variables $y = x + \rho z$ in the interior integration in (2.15) we infer

$$T_0 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq \int_D \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)} \int_{B_1(0)} F\left((\varepsilon_n/\rho) \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), x + \rho z \right) dz \right) \right\} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x). \quad (2.17)$$

However, by (2.1) for every $x \in D$ and every $\tau > 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)} \int_{B_1(0)} F\left((\varepsilon_n/\rho) \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), x + \rho z \right) dz \right) \geq \\ \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)} \int_{B_1(0)} (1 - \tau) F\left((\varepsilon_n/\rho) \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), x \right) dz \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

Thus since $\tau > 0$ is arbitrary

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)} \int_{B_1(0)} F\left((\varepsilon_n/\rho) \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), x + \rho z \right) dz \right) \geq \\ \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)} \int_{B_1(0)} F\left((\varepsilon_n/\rho) \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), x \right) dz \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

Plugging (2.19) into (2.17) we deduce

$$T_0 \geq \mu(\Omega) \geq \int_D \left\{ \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)} \int_{B_1(0)} F\left((\varepsilon_n/\rho) \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), x \right) dz \right) \right\} d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x). \quad (2.20)$$

Furthermore, for every $x \in D$ for every small $\rho > 0$ we have

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x} \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\rho,x} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{in } L^q(B_1(0), \mathbb{R}^m). \quad (2.21)$$

On the other hand by (2.2) for every $x \in D$ we have

$$\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\rho,x}\}(z) \rightarrow \xi\left(z, \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^+(x), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^-(x), \mathbf{n}(x)\right) \quad \text{as } \rho \rightarrow 0^+ \quad \text{in } L^q(B_1(0), \mathbb{R}^m). \quad (2.22)$$

Thus, for every $x \in D$, we can extract appropriate diagonal subsequences of $\{\varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}_{\{n,\rho\}}$, and $\{\varepsilon_n/\rho\}_{\{n,\rho\}}$ which we denote by $\{\vartheta_j\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$, and $\{\varepsilon'_j\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ respectively, so that $\varepsilon'_j \rightarrow 0^+$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$, $\vartheta_j(z) \rightarrow \xi\left(z, \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^+(x), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^-(x), \mathbf{n}(x)\right)$ in $L^q(B_1(0), \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_n/\rho)} \int_{B_1(0)} F\left((\varepsilon_n/\rho) \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{n,\rho,x}\}(z), x \right) dz \right) \\ \geq \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}} \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon'_j} \int_{B_1(0)} F\left(\varepsilon'_j \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \vartheta_j\}(z), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \vartheta_j\}(z), x \right) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Plugging this fact into (2.20) we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} F\left(\varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_{\varepsilon}(x)\}, \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_{\varepsilon}\}(x), x\right) dx = T_0 \geq \int_D E_1\left(\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^+(x), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^-(x), \mathbf{n}(x), x\right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x), \quad (2.24)$$

where for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and any unit vector $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$E_1(a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x) := \inf \left\{ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}\varepsilon} \int_{B_1(0)} F\left(\varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}(y)\}, \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\}(y), x\right) dy : \varphi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{D}'(B_1(0), \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ s.t.} \right. \\ \left. \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \in L^q(B_1(0), \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{1,p}(B_1(0), \mathbb{R}^m), \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{M} \text{ a.e. in } B_1(0) \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\}(y) \rightarrow \xi(y, a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^q(B_1(0), \mathbb{R}^m) \right\}. \quad (2.25)$$

So it is sufficient to prove that for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and any unit vector $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we have

$$E_1(a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x) \geq E_0(a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x), \quad (2.26)$$

where $E_0(a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x)$ is defined by (2.4). Without loss of generality it is sufficient to prove (2.26) in the particular case where $\boldsymbol{\nu} = \mathbf{e}_1$ and $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y \cdot \mathbf{e}_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ where $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is the standard orthonormal base in \mathbb{R}^N . Choose a natural number $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then changing variables of integration $z = ny$ in (2.25) we obtain

$$E_1(a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x) = \inf \left\{ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}n^{N-1}\varepsilon} \int_{B_n(0)} F\left(\varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}(y)\}, \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\}(y), x\right) dy : \varphi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{D}'(B_n(0), \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ s.t.} \right. \\ \left. \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \in L^q(B_n(0), \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{1,p}(B_n(0), \mathbb{R}^m), \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{M} \text{ a.e. in } B_n(0) \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\}(y) \rightarrow \xi(y, a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^q(B_n(0), \mathbb{R}^m) \right\}. \quad (2.27)$$

Next for every integers $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ consider the set

$$I_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-1})} := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{R}^N : |z \cdot \mathbf{e}_1| < 1/2 \text{ and } |z \cdot \mathbf{e}_j - i_{j-1}| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 2, 3, \dots, N \right\}. \quad (2.28)$$

and set $I_0 := I_{(0,0,\dots,0)}$. Then by (2.27)

$$E_1(a, b, \mathbf{e}_1, x) \geq \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}n^{N-1}} \text{Card} \left(\left\{ (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1} : I_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-1})} \in B_n(0) \right\} \right) \times \\ \times \inf \left\{ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_0} F\left(\varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}(y)\}, \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\}(y), x\right) dy : \varphi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{D}'(I_0, \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ s.t.} \right. \\ \left. \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \in L^q(I_0, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{1,p}(I_0, \mathbb{R}^m), \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{M} \text{ a.e. in } I_0 \text{ and } \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon}\}(y) \rightarrow \xi(y, a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^q(I_0, \mathbb{R}^m) \right\} \\ = \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}n^{N-1}} \text{Card} \left(\left\{ (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1} : I_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-1})} \in B_n(0) \right\} \right) E_0(a, b, \mathbf{e}_1, x). \quad (2.29)$$

On the other hand clearly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\omega_{N-1}n^{N-1}} \text{Card} \left(\left\{ (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1} : I_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-1})} \in B_n(0) \right\} \right) = 1. \quad (2.30)$$

Therefore, since $n \in \mathbb{N}$ was chosen arbitrary we deduce (2.26). Plugging it into (2.24) completes the proof. \square

By the same method we can prove the following more general Theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathcal{M} be a subset of \mathbb{R}^m , $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set and $D \subset \Omega$ be a \mathcal{H}^{N-1} σ -finite Borel set. Consider $F \in C(\mathbb{R}^{m \times N^n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^{(n-1)}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, which satisfies $F \geq 0$ and the following property: For every $x_0 \in \Omega$ and every $\tau > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ satisfying*

$$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b, x) - F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b, x_0) \geq -\tau F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b, x_0) \\ \forall a_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^n} \forall a_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^{n-1}} \dots \forall a_n \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \forall b \in \mathbb{R}^m \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ such that } |x - x_0| < \alpha. \quad (2.31)$$

Furthermore, let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times N}; \mathbb{R}^m)$, $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $p \geq 1$ and $v \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that $q_j \geq 1$, $\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v \in L_{loc}^q(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and

$$F(0, 0, \dots, 0, \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}(x), x) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

Assume also that there exist three Borel mappings $\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^+(x) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^-(x) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{n}(x) : D \rightarrow S^{N-1}$ such that for every $x \in D$ we have

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_\rho^+(x, \mathbf{n}(x))} |\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}(y) - \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^+(x)|^q dy}{\mathcal{L}^N(B_\rho(x))} = 0, \\ \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_\rho^-(x, \mathbf{n}(x))} |\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}(y) - \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^-(x)|^q dy}{\mathcal{L}^N(B_\rho(x))} = 0 \quad (\text{see Definition 2.2}). \quad (2.32)$$

Then for every $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^d)$, satisfying $\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon \in L_{loc}^q(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W_{loc}^{n,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x) \in \mathcal{M}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v$ in $L_{loc}^q(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_\Omega F\left(\varepsilon^n \nabla^n \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x), \varepsilon^{n-1} \nabla^{n-1} \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x), \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v_\varepsilon\}(x), x\right) dx \\ \geq \int_D E_0^{(n)}\left(\{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^+(x), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla v\}^-(x), \mathbf{n}(x), x\right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x), \quad (2.33)$$

where for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and any unit vector $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$E_0^{(n)}(a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x) := \\ \inf \left\{ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{I_\nu} F\left(\varepsilon^n \nabla^n \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon\}(y), \varepsilon^{n-1} \nabla^{n-1} \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon\}(y), \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon\}(y), \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon\}(y), x\right) dy : \right. \\ \left. \varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}'(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon \in L^q(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{n,p}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m), \mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{M} \text{ a.e. in } I_\nu \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \{\mathbf{A} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon\}(y) \rightarrow \xi(y, a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^q(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m) \right\}. \quad (2.34)$$

Here $I_\nu := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ where $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1, \boldsymbol{\nu}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\nu}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in \mathbb{R}^N such that $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 := \boldsymbol{\nu}$ and

$$\xi(y, a, b, \boldsymbol{\nu}) := \begin{cases} a & \text{if } y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} > 0, \\ b & \text{if } y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} < 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.35)$$

We have the following particular case of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.3. Let \mathcal{M} be a subset of \mathbb{R}^m , $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set and $D \subset \Omega$ be a \mathcal{H}^{N-1} σ -finite Borel set. Furthermore, let $q_1, q_2, q_3 \geq 1$, $p \geq 1$ and let F be a continuous function defined on

$$\{\mathbb{R}^{k \times N^{n+1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N^{n+1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^n}\} \times \dots \times \{\mathbb{R}^{k \times N \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}\} \times \{\mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m\} \times \mathbb{R}^N,$$

taking values in \mathbb{R} and satisfying $F \geq 0$ and the following property: For every $x_0 \in \Omega$ and every $\tau > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ satisfying

$$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b, x) - F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b, x_0) \geq -\tau F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b, x_0) \quad \forall a_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times N^{n+1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N^{n+1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^n} \\ \dots \forall a_n \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times N \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \quad \forall b \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ such that } |x - x_0| < \alpha. \quad (2.36)$$

Let $v(x) \in W_{loc}^{1, q_1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\bar{m}(x) \in L_{loc}^{q_2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N})$ and $\varphi \in L_{loc}^{q_3}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that $\text{div}_x \bar{m}(x) \equiv 0$ in Ω and

$$F(0, 0, \dots, 0, \{\nabla v, \bar{m}, \varphi\}, x) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Assume also that there exist Borel mappings $\{\nabla v\}^+(x) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times N}$, $\{\nabla v\}^-(x) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times N}$, $\bar{m}^+(x) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$, $\bar{m}^-(x) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$, $\varphi^+(x) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $\varphi^-(x) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{n}(x) : D \rightarrow S^{N-1}$ such that for every $x \in D$ we have

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N(B_\rho(x))} \int_{B_\rho^+(x, \mathbf{n}(x))} \left(\left| \nabla v(y) - \{\nabla v\}^+(x) \right|^{q_1} + \left| \bar{m}(y) - \bar{m}^+(x) \right|^{q_2} + \left| \varphi(y) - \varphi^+(x) \right|^{q_3} \right) dy = 0, \\ \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^N(B_\rho(x))} \int_{B_\rho^-(x, \mathbf{n}(x))} \left(\left| \nabla v(y) - \{\nabla v\}^-(x) \right|^{q_1} + \left| \bar{m}(y) - \bar{m}^-(x) \right|^{q_2} + \left| \varphi(y) - \varphi^-(x) \right|^{q_3} \right) dy = 0. \quad (2.37)$$

Then for every $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset W_{loc}^{1, q_1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k) \cap W_{loc}^{(n+1), p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\{m_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset L_{loc}^{q_2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}) \cap W_{loc}^{n, p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N})$ and $\{\psi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset L_{loc}^{q_3}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W_{loc}^{n, p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfying $\text{div}_x m_\varepsilon(x) \equiv 0$ in Ω , $\psi_\varepsilon(x) \in \mathcal{M}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$ in $W_{loc}^{1, q_1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, $m_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{m}$ in $L_{loc}^{q_2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N})$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L_{loc}^{q_3}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$, we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(\{\varepsilon^n \nabla^{n+1} v_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^n \nabla^n m_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^n \nabla^n \psi_\varepsilon\}, \dots, \{\varepsilon \nabla^2 v_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \nabla m_\varepsilon, \varepsilon \nabla \psi_\varepsilon\}, \{\nabla v_\varepsilon, m_\varepsilon, \psi_\varepsilon\}, x\right) dx \\ \geq \int_D \bar{E}_0^{(n)}\left(\{\nabla v\}^+(x), \bar{m}^+(x), \varphi^+(x), \{\nabla v\}^-(x), \bar{m}^-(x), \varphi^-(x), \mathbf{n}(x), x\right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x), \quad (2.38)$$

where for any unit vector $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^N$

$$\bar{E}_0^{(n)}\left(\{\nabla v\}^+, \bar{m}^+, \varphi^+, \{\nabla v\}^-, \bar{m}^-, \varphi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x\right) := \\ \inf \left\{ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(\{\varepsilon^n \nabla^{n+1} \sigma_\varepsilon(y), \varepsilon^n \nabla^n \theta_\varepsilon(y), \varepsilon^n \nabla^n \gamma_\varepsilon(y)\}, \dots, \{\nabla \sigma_\varepsilon(y), \theta_\varepsilon(y), \gamma_\varepsilon(y)\}, x\right) dy : \right. \\ \sigma_\varepsilon \in W^{1, q_1}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k) \cap W^{(n+1), p}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k), \quad \theta_\varepsilon \in L^{q_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}) \cap W^{n, p}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}), \\ \left. \begin{aligned} &\{\gamma_\varepsilon : I_\nu \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\} \in L^{q_3}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W^{n, p}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m) \\ &\text{s.t. } \text{div}_y \theta_\varepsilon(y) \equiv 0, \quad \nabla \sigma_\varepsilon(y) \rightarrow \sigma(y, \{\nabla v\}^+, \{\nabla v\}^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^{q_1}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N}), \\ &\theta_\varepsilon(y) \rightarrow \theta(y, \bar{m}^+, \bar{m}^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^{q_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}), \quad \gamma_\varepsilon(y) \rightarrow \gamma(y, \varphi^+, \varphi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \text{ in } L^{q_3}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m) \end{aligned} \right\}. \quad (2.39)$$

Here $I_\nu := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y \cdot \nu_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ where $\{\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in \mathbb{R}^N such that $\nu_1 := \nu$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(y, \{\nabla v\}^+, \{\nabla v\}^-, \nu) &:= \begin{cases} \{\nabla v\}^+ & \text{if } y \cdot \nu > 0, \\ \{\nabla v\}^- & \text{if } y \cdot \nu < 0, \end{cases} & \theta(y, \bar{m}^+, \bar{m}^-, \nu) &:= \begin{cases} \bar{m}^+ & \text{if } y \cdot \nu > 0, \\ \bar{m}^- & \text{if } y \cdot \nu < 0, \end{cases} \\ \text{and } \gamma(y, \varphi^+, \varphi^-, \nu) &:= \begin{cases} \varphi^+ & \text{if } y \cdot \nu > 0, \\ \varphi^- & \text{if } y \cdot \nu < 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

Proof. Without any loss of generality we may assume that $\Omega = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x_j| < c_0 \quad \forall j\}$. for some $c_0 > 0$. Let $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W_{loc}^{1,q_1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k) \cap W_{loc}^{(n+1),p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\{m_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L_{loc}^{q_2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}) \cap W_{loc}^{n,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N})$ and $\{\psi_\varepsilon : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset L_{loc}^{q_3}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap W_{loc}^{n,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that $\text{div}_x m_\varepsilon(x) \equiv 0$ in Ω , $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow v$ in $W_{loc}^{1,q_1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^k)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, $m_\varepsilon \rightarrow \bar{m}$ in $L_{loc}^{q_2}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N})$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L_{loc}^{q_3}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Clearly there exist $L(x'), L_\varepsilon(x') : \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} : |x'_j| < c_0 \quad \forall j\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times (N-1)}$ such that $\text{div}_{x'} L(x') \equiv \bar{m}_1(0, x')$ and $\text{div}_{x'} L_\varepsilon(x') \equiv m_{\varepsilon,1}(0, x')$, where we denote by $\bar{m}_1(x) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\bar{m}'(x) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times (N-1)}$ the first column and the rest of the matrix valued function $\bar{m}(x) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$, so that $(\bar{m}_1(x), \bar{m}'(x)) := \bar{m}(x) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$, and we denote by $m_{\varepsilon,1}(x) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $m'_\varepsilon(x) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times (N-1)}$ the first column and the rest of the matrix valued function $m_\varepsilon(x) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$, so that $(m_{\varepsilon,1}(x), m'_\varepsilon(x)) := m_\varepsilon(x) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$. Then define $\Psi_\varepsilon, \Psi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $M_\varepsilon, M : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times (N-1)}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\varepsilon(x) &:= \int_0^{x_1} \psi_\varepsilon(s, x') ds, \quad \Psi(x) := \int_0^{x_1} \varphi(s, x') ds, \quad M(x) := -L(x') + \int_0^{x_1} \bar{m}'(s, x') ds \quad \text{and} \\ M_\varepsilon(x) &:= -L_\varepsilon(x') + \int_0^{x_1} m'_\varepsilon(s, x') ds \quad \forall x = (x_1, x') := (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) \in \Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

Then, since $\text{div}_x \bar{m} \equiv 0$ and $\text{div}_x m_\varepsilon \equiv 0$, by (2.41) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x_1}(x) &= \varphi(x), \quad \frac{\partial M}{\partial x_1}(x) = \bar{m}'(x), \quad -\text{div}_{x'} M(x) = \bar{m}_1(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x = (x_1, x') \in \Omega, \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{\partial \Psi_\varepsilon}{\partial x_1}(x) &= \psi_\varepsilon(x), \quad \frac{\partial M_\varepsilon}{\partial x_1}(x) = m'_\varepsilon(x), \quad -\text{div}_{x'} M_\varepsilon(x) = m_{\varepsilon,1}(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x = (x_1, x') \in \Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.42)$$

Therefore, the result follows by applying Theorem 2.2 to the functions $\{v, M, \Psi\}$ and to the sequence $\{v_\varepsilon, M_\varepsilon, \Psi_\varepsilon\}$. \square

3 Further estimates for the lower bound

Lemma 3.1. *Let $Q \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{m \times N}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ be linear operator and let $F \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ be such that $F \geq 0$ and there exist $C > 0$, $q \geq 1$ and $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that $p_j \geq 1$ for every j and*

$$0 \leq F(a, b, c) \leq C(|a|^p + |b|^q + |c|^q + 1) \quad \forall (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m \quad (3.1)$$

(see Definition 2.2). Next let $\nu \in S^{N-1}$ and let $\{m_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset L_{loc}^p(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$ and $m_0(x) \in L^p(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$ be such that $F(m_0(x), 0, 0) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in I_\nu$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} m_\varepsilon = m_0$ in $L_{loc}^p(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$, where, as before, $I_\nu := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y \cdot \nu_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, N\}$ and $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in \mathbb{R}^N , such that $\nu_1 := \nu$.

Furthermore, let $\{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset L^q_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi_\varepsilon = 0$ in $L^q_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$, and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L^q_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there exist $\{r_\varepsilon\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\{\psi_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset C_c^\infty(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} r_\varepsilon = 1$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \psi_\varepsilon = 0$ in $L^q(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L^q(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} m_{(r_\varepsilon \varepsilon)}(r_\varepsilon x) = m_0(x)$ in $L^p(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$ and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_{(r_\varepsilon \varepsilon)}(r_\varepsilon x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(x), \psi_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Clearly we may assume

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx < +\infty, \quad (3.3)$$

otherwise it is trivial. Moreover, without any loss of generality we may assume that $\nu = e_1 := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $I_\nu = I := \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y_j| < 1/2 \ \forall j = 1, \dots, N\}$. Furthermore, since, by mollification, we always can approximate φ_ε by smooth functions, there exist $\{\bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset C^\infty(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$, such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon = 0$ in $L^q_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L^q_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(x), \bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(x)\right) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^1_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}) \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (3.4)$$

Next consider $l(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ with the properties

$$\begin{cases} l(t) = 1 & \text{for every } t \in (-\infty, \delta), \\ l(t) \in [0, 1] & \text{for every } t \in [\delta, 1 - \delta], \\ l(t) = 0 & \text{for every } t \in (1 - \delta, +\infty), \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$. Clearly such a function exists. Then for every $0 \leq t < 1/2$ define

$$\psi_{\varepsilon, t}(x) := \bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(x) \times \prod_{j=1}^N \left(l((x_j - t)/\varepsilon) \cdot l(-(t + x_j)/\varepsilon) \right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.6)$$

Then for every $t \in [0, 1/2)$ clearly $\psi_{\varepsilon, t} \in C^\infty(I^1, \mathbb{R}^m)$ where

$$I^s = \left\{ y = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y_j| < s/2 \ \forall j = 1, \dots, N \right\} \quad \forall s > 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, for each such $t \in [0, 1/2)$ we have

$$\begin{cases} \psi_{\varepsilon, t}(x) = \bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(x) & \text{if for every } j \in \{1, \dots, N\} \text{ we have } |x_j| < t, \\ \psi_{\varepsilon, t}(x) = 0 & \text{if } |x_j| > t + (1 - \delta)\varepsilon \text{ for some } j \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

So, by (3.8) for small $\varepsilon > 0$ we have $\psi_{\varepsilon, t} \in C_c^\infty(I^{t+\varepsilon}, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Next we will prove that for every $\tau \in (0, 1/2)$ we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\tau \int_{\cup_{j=1}^N \{x \in I^{t+\varepsilon} : |x_j| > t\}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon, t}(x), \psi_{\varepsilon, t}(x)\right) dx dt = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Indeed, fix $\tau_0 \in (\tau, 1/2)$. Then for $0 < \varepsilon < (\tau_0 - \tau)/2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\tau \int_{\cup_{j=1}^N \{x \in I^{t+\varepsilon} : |x_j| > t\}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,t}(x), \psi_{\varepsilon,t}(x)\right) dx dt \leq \\
& \sum_{j=1}^N \int_0^\tau \int_{\{x \in I^{t+\varepsilon} : |x_j| > t\}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,t}(x), \psi_{\varepsilon,t}(x)\right) dx dt \leq \\
& \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\tau}^\tau \left\{ \int_{\{x: x \in I^{t+\varepsilon}, -\varepsilon < x_j < \varepsilon\}} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x + t\mathbf{e}_j), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,|t|}(x + t\mathbf{e}_j), \psi_{\varepsilon,|t|}(x + t\mathbf{e}_j)\right) dx \right\} dt \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^\varepsilon \left\{ \int_{I^{\tau+\varepsilon}} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x + s\mathbf{e}_j), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j|}(x + s\mathbf{e}_j), \psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j|}(x + s\mathbf{e}_j)\right) dx \right\} ds \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{-\varepsilon}^\varepsilon \left\{ \int_{I^{\tau_0}} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j-s|}(x), \psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j-s|}(x)\right) dx \right\} ds. \quad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus changing variables in (3.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\tau \int_{\cup_{j=1}^N \{x \in I^{t+\varepsilon} : |x_j| > t\}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,t}(x), \psi_{\varepsilon,t}(x)\right) dx dt \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^N \int_{-1}^1 \left\{ \int_{I^{\tau_0}} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}(x), \psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}(x)\right) dx \right\} ds. \quad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, clearly by (3.6) there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ such that for every $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ every $s \in [0, 1/2)$ and every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\int_{I^{\tau_0}} \left(\left| \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,s}(x) \right|^q + \left| \psi_{\varepsilon,s}(x) \right|^q \right) dx \leq C_0 \int_{I^{\tau_0}} \left(\left| \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(x) \right|^q + \left| \bar{\varphi}_\varepsilon(x) \right|^q \right) dx. \quad (3.12)$$

In particular since $0 < \tau < \tau_0 < 1/2$ were chosen arbitrary we deduce that for every $s \in [0, 1/2)$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \psi_{\varepsilon,s} = 0$ in $L_{loc}^q(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,s}) = 0$ in $L_{loc}^q(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^d)$. Next, by (3.12) we deduce

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I^{\tau_0}} \left(\left| \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}(x) \right|^q + \left| \psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}(x) \right|^q \right) dx = 0 \quad \text{uniformly by } s \in (-1, 1) \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (3.13)$$

On the other hand we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} m_\varepsilon = m_0$ in $L^p(I_{\tau_0}, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $F(m_0(x), 0, 0) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in I_{\tau_0}$ and (3.1).

Therefore, by (3.13) for every $j = 1, \dots, N$ we deduce

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-1}^1 \left\{ \int_{I^{\tau_0}} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}(x), \psi_{\varepsilon,|x_j-\varepsilon s|}(x)\right) dx \right\} ds = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Then plugging (3.14) into (3.11) we deduce (3.9).

Next let $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ be such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} F\left(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \varepsilon_n \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \varphi_{\varepsilon_n}(x)\right) dx = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx. \quad (3.15)$$

Then, since (3.9) is valid for every $\tau \in (0, 1/2)$, we can pass to a subsequence, still denoted by $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$, so that for a.e. $t \in (0, 1/2)$ we will have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\cup_{j=1}^N \{x \in I^{t+\varepsilon_n} : |x_j| > t\}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} F\left(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \varepsilon_n \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon_n,t}(x), \psi_{\varepsilon_n,t}(x)\right) dx = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Therefore, by (3.4), (3.8) and (3.16), for a.e. $t \in (0, 1/2)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} F\left(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \varepsilon_n \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \varphi_{\varepsilon_n}(x)\right) dx &\geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{I^t} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} F\left(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \varepsilon_n \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \varphi_{\varepsilon_n}(x)\right) dx \\ &= \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{I^t} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} F\left(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \varepsilon_n \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon_n, t}(x), \psi_{\varepsilon_n, t}(x)\right) dx \\ &= \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{I^{t+\varepsilon_n}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} F\left(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \varepsilon_n \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon_n, t}(x), \psi_{\varepsilon_n, t}(x)\right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Thus, by (3.15), (3.8) and (3.17) for a.e. $t \in (0, 1/2)$ we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{I^{t+\varepsilon_n}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} F\left(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \varepsilon_n \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon_n, t}(x), \psi_{\varepsilon_n, t}(x)\right) dx. \quad (3.18)$$

Since the last inequality is valid for a.e. $t \in (0, 1/2)$, by diagonal arguments we deduce that there exists a new sequences $t_n \uparrow (1/2)$ and $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\varepsilon_n + t_n < 1/2$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{I^{t_n+\varepsilon_n}} \left| m_{\varepsilon_n}(x) - m_0(x) \right|^p dx = 0, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{I^{t_n+\varepsilon_n}} \left(\left| \psi_{\varepsilon_n, t_n} \right|^q + \left| \varepsilon_n \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon_n, t_n} \right|^q \right) dx = 0, \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{I^{t_n+\varepsilon_n}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n} F\left(m_{\varepsilon_n}(x), \varepsilon_n \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \psi_{\varepsilon_n, t_n}(x), \psi_{\varepsilon_n, t_n}(x)\right) dx. \quad (3.21)$$

On the other hand defining $\bar{\psi}_n(x) := \psi_{\varepsilon_n, t_n}((2t_n + 2\varepsilon_n)x)$ we clearly have $\psi_\varepsilon \in C_c^\infty(I^1, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Moreover, changing variables of integration $z = x/(2t_n + 2\varepsilon_n)$ in (3.21) we finally deduce,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F\left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \mathbf{B} \cdot \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\right) dx &\geq \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{I^1} \frac{2(t_n + \varepsilon_n)}{\varepsilon_n} F\left(m_{\varepsilon_n}(2(t_n + \varepsilon_n)z), \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2(t_n + \varepsilon_n)} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \bar{\psi}_n(z), \bar{\psi}_n(z)\right) dz, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

and (3.2) follows. Finally, since $m_0 \in L^p(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$, by (3.19) we deduce $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} m_{(r_{\varepsilon_n} \varepsilon_n)}(r_{\varepsilon_n} x) = m_0(x)$ in $L^p(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$, and by (3.20) we obtain $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{\psi}_n = 0$ in $L^q(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\varepsilon_n \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla \bar{\psi}_n) = 0$ in $L^q(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^d)$. This completes the proof. \square

By the same method we can prove the following more general result.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $n \geq 1$ be a natural number and let $F \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^{n-1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ be such that $F \geq 0$ and there exist $C > 0$, $q \geq 1$ and $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ such that $p_j \geq 1$ for every j and*

$$0 \leq F\left(a, b, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, d\right) \leq C\left(|a|^{p_1} + |b|^{p_2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |c_j|^{p_{j+1}} + |d|^{p_n} + 1\right)$$

$$\forall (a, b, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}, d) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^{n-1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m$$

(see Definition 2.2). Next let $\mathbf{Q}_n \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{m \times N^n}, \mathbb{R}^d)$ be a linear operator, $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in S^{N-1}$ and let $\{m_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset L^p_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$ and $m_0(x) \in L^p(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$ be such that $F(m_0(x), 0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in I_\nu$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} m_\varepsilon = m_0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$, where, as before, $I_\nu := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y \cdot \nu_j| < 1/2 \ \forall j = 1, \dots, N\}$ and $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in \mathbb{R}^N , such that $\nu_1 := \nu$. Furthermore, let $\{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset L^q_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi_\varepsilon = 0$ in $L^q_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^n \mathbf{Q}_n \cdot \nabla^n \varphi_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L^q_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^d)$, and we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \varphi_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L^q_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^j})$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Then there exist $\{r_\varepsilon\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\{\psi_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset C_c^\infty(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} r_\varepsilon = 1$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \psi_\varepsilon = 0$ in $L^q(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^n \mathbf{Q}_n \cdot \nabla^n \psi_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L^q(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^d)$, for every $j = 1, \dots, (n-1)$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \psi_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L^q(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^j})$ $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} m_{(r_\varepsilon)}(r_\varepsilon x) = m_0(x)$ in $L^p(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F \left(m_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon^n \mathbf{Q}_n \cdot \nabla^n \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon^{n-1} \nabla^{n-1} \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varphi_\varepsilon(x) \right) dx \geq \\ & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F \left(m_{r_\varepsilon}(r_\varepsilon x), \varepsilon^n \mathbf{Q}_n \cdot \nabla^n \psi_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon^{n-1} \nabla^{n-1} \psi_\varepsilon(x), \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(x), \psi_\varepsilon(x) \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

As a consequence of Lemma 3.2 we have the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.1. Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the linear operators $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times N}, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{k \times N^{n_2}}, \mathbb{R}^l)$, and let $F \in C^0(\{\mathbb{R}^{m \times N^{n_1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m\} \times \{\mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times N^{n_2-1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^k\}, \mathbb{R})$ be such that $F \geq 0$ and there exist $C > 0$ and $p_1 \geq 1, p_2 \geq 1$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq F \left(\{a_1, \dots, a_{n_1+1}\}, \{b_1, \dots, b_{n_2+1}\} \right) & \leq C \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1+1} |a_j|^{p_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2+1} |b_j|^{p_2} + 1 \right) \\ & \text{for every } \left(\{a_1, \dots, a_{n_1+1}\}, \{b_1, \dots, b_{n_2+1}\} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in S^{N-1}$, $\varphi^+, \varphi^- \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $W^+, W^- \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be such that if we set

$$\varphi_0(x) := \begin{cases} \varphi^+ & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} > 0, \\ \varphi^- & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} < 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad W_0(x) := \begin{cases} W^+ & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} > 0, \\ W^- & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} < 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

then

$$F \left(\{0, 0, \dots, W_0(x)\}, \{0, 0, \dots, \varphi_0(x)\} \right) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (3.25)$$

Next let $\{w_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset L^p_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset W^{n_2, p_2}_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$ be such that $\{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla w_\varepsilon\} \in W^{n_1, p_1}_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi_\varepsilon = \varphi_0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla w_\varepsilon\} = W_0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^{n_2} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \{\nabla^{n_2} \varphi_\varepsilon\}) = 0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^l)$ and for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, (n_2 - 1)$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \varphi_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N^j})$. Here, as before, $I_\nu := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y \cdot \nu_j| < 1/2 \ \forall j = 1, \dots, N\}$ where $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in \mathbb{R}^N such that $\nu_1 := \nu$. Then, there exist $\{\psi_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset \mathcal{S}^{(n_2)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_\nu)$ and $\{f_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset L^p(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^d)$, where

$$\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_\nu) := \left\{ \zeta \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^k) : \zeta(y) = \varphi_0(y) \text{ if } |y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}| \geq 1/2, \text{ and } \zeta(y + \nu_j) = \zeta(y) \ \forall j = 2, \dots, N \right\}, \quad (3.26)$$

such that $\{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla f_\varepsilon\} \in W^{n_1, p_1}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \psi_\varepsilon = \varphi_0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla f_\varepsilon\} = W_0$ in $L^{p_1}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^{n_2} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \{\nabla^{n_2} \psi_\varepsilon\}) = 0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^l)$, for every $j = 1, \dots, (n_2 - 1)$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \psi_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N^j})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F \left(\left\{ \varepsilon^{n_1} \nabla^{n_1} \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla w_\varepsilon\}, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla w_\varepsilon\}, \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla w_\varepsilon\} \right\}, \left\{ \varepsilon^{n_2} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla^{n_2} \varphi_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{n_2-1} \nabla^{n_2-1} \varphi_\varepsilon, \dots, \varphi_\varepsilon \right\} \right) dx \geq \\ & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F \left(\left\{ \varepsilon^{n_1} \nabla^{n_1} \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla f_\varepsilon\}, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla f_\varepsilon\}, \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla f_\varepsilon\} \right\}, \left\{ \varepsilon^{n_2} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla^{n_2} \psi_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{n_2-1} \nabla^{n_2-1} \psi_\varepsilon, \dots, \psi_\varepsilon \right\} \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Proof. Consider a function $\lambda(x) \in \mathcal{S}^{(n_2)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_\nu)$, such that $\lambda(x) \equiv l_0(\nu \cdot x)$ for some function l_0 (i.e. $\lambda(x)$ depends actually only on the first real variable in the base $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N\}$), and set $\lambda_\varepsilon(x) := \lambda(x/\varepsilon)$. Then clearly $\lambda_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow \varphi_0(x)$ in $L_{loc}^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^k)$. Moreover clearly $\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \lambda_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ in $L_{loc}^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N^j})$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n_2\}$ and $\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \lambda_\varepsilon(x)$ is bounded in L^∞ for every $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_2\}$. Next define $\theta_\varepsilon(x) := \varphi_\varepsilon(x) - \lambda_\varepsilon(x)$. Then clearly $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \theta_\varepsilon = 0$ in $L_{loc}^{p_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^{n_2} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \{\nabla^{n_2} \theta_\varepsilon\}) = 0$ in $L_{loc}^{p_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^l)$, and for every $j = 1, \dots, (n_2 - 1)$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \theta_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L_{loc}^{p_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N^j})$. Then by Lemma 3.2 there exist $\{r_\varepsilon\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset (0, 1)$ and $\bar{\theta}_\varepsilon(x) \in C_c^\infty(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$, such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} r_\varepsilon = 1$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{\theta}_\varepsilon = 0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^{n_2} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \{\nabla^{n_2} \bar{\theta}_\varepsilon\}) = 0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^l)$, for every $j = 1, \dots, (n_2 - 1)$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \bar{\theta}_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N^j})$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F \left(\left\{ \varepsilon^{n_1} \nabla^{n_1} \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla w_\varepsilon\}, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla w_\varepsilon\}, \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla w_\varepsilon\} \right\}, \left\{ \varepsilon^{n_2} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla^{n_2} \varphi_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{n_2-1} \nabla^{n_2-1} \varphi_\varepsilon, \dots, \varphi_\varepsilon \right\} \right) dx = \\ & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F \left(\left\{ \varepsilon^{n_1} \nabla^{n_1} \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla w_\varepsilon\}, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla w_\varepsilon\}, \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla w_\varepsilon\} \right\}, \right. \\ & \left. \left\{ \varepsilon^{n_2} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla^{n_2} (\lambda_\varepsilon + \theta_\varepsilon), \varepsilon^{n_2-1} \nabla^{n_2-1} (\lambda_\varepsilon + \theta_\varepsilon), \dots, (\lambda_\varepsilon + \theta_\varepsilon) \right\} \right) dx \geq \\ & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F \left(\left\{ \varepsilon^{n_1} \nabla^{n_1} \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla f_\varepsilon\}, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla f_\varepsilon\}, \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla f_\varepsilon\} \right\}, \right. \\ & \left. \left\{ \varepsilon^{n_2} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \nabla^{n_2} (\bar{\lambda}_\varepsilon + \bar{\theta}_\varepsilon), \varepsilon^{n_2-1} \nabla^{n_2-1} (\bar{\lambda}_\varepsilon + \bar{\theta}_\varepsilon), \dots, (\bar{\lambda}_\varepsilon + \bar{\theta}_\varepsilon) \right\} \right) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where $f_\varepsilon(x) := w_{r_\varepsilon \varepsilon}(r_\varepsilon x)/r_\varepsilon$ and $\bar{\lambda}_\varepsilon(x) := \lambda_{r_\varepsilon \varepsilon}(r_\varepsilon x)$. Moreover, by the same Lemma, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \{\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla f_\varepsilon\} = W_0$ in $L^{p_1}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$. On the other hand clearly $\bar{\lambda}_\varepsilon(x) \in \mathcal{S}^{(n_2)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_\nu)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Thus, since $\bar{\theta}_\varepsilon(x) \in C_c^\infty(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$, we have $\psi_\varepsilon(x) \in \mathcal{S}^{(n_2)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_\nu)$ where $\psi_\varepsilon(x) \equiv \bar{\lambda}_\varepsilon(x) + \bar{\theta}_\varepsilon(x)$ for every $x \in I_\nu$. So by (3.28) we deduce (3.27). On the other hand since $r_\varepsilon \rightarrow 1^-$ we easily obtain $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \psi_\varepsilon = \varphi_0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^{n_2} \mathbf{Q} \cdot \{\nabla^{n_2} \psi_\varepsilon\}) = 0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^l)$, and for every $j = 1, \dots, (n_2 - 1)$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \psi_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{k \times N^j})$. This completes the proof. \square

Next we have the following simple Lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset W_{loc}^{n_0, p}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that $\varepsilon^{n_0} \nabla^{n_0} \varphi_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{loc}^p(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^{n_0}})$ and the sequence $\{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is bounded in $L_{loc}^p(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^m)$ i.e. for every compactly embedded open set $G \subset \subset I_\nu$*

there exists a constant $\bar{C} := \bar{C}(G) > 0$ such that $\int_G |\varphi_\varepsilon|^p dx \leq \bar{C}$. Then for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n_0\}$ we have $\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \varphi_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^j})$.

Proof. Indeed fix an arbitrary domain $U \subset\subset I_\nu$ with a smooth boundary. Then clearly

$$d_\varepsilon := \|\varepsilon^{n_0} \nabla^{n_0} \varphi_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(U)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (3.29)$$

Moreover, clearly there exists $\bar{C} > 0$ such that $\int_U |\varphi_\varepsilon|^p dx \leq \bar{C}$. On the other hand, by Theorem 7.28 in [13] there exists $C_0 > 0$, which depends only on U , p and n_0 , such that for every $\sigma(x) \in W^{n_0, p}(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and every $\tau > 0$ we have

$$\|\nabla^j \sigma(x)\|_{L^p(U)} \leq \tau \|\sigma(x)\|_{W^{n, p}(U)} + C_0 \tau^{-j/(n-j)} \|\sigma(x)\|_{L^p(U)} \quad \forall 2 \leq n \leq n_0, \quad 1 \leq j < n. \quad (3.30)$$

Thus in particular we deduce from (3.30) that there exists $C_1 > 0$, which depends only on U , p and n_0 , such that for every $\sigma(x) \in W^{n_0, p}(U, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and every $\tau \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\|\tau^j \nabla^j \sigma(x)\|_{L^p(U)} \leq \|\tau^{n_0} \nabla^{n_0} \sigma(x)\|_{L^p(U)} + C_1 \|\sigma(x)\|_{L^p(U)} \quad \forall 1 \leq j < n_0. \quad (3.31)$$

Then setting $\tau := \varepsilon \cdot (d_\varepsilon)^{-1/n_0}$, where d_ε is defined by (3.29), using (3.31) and the fact that $\int_U |\varphi_\varepsilon|^p dx \leq \bar{C}$ we obtain

$$\|\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\|_{L^p(U)} \leq \hat{C} d_\varepsilon^{j/n_0} \quad \forall 1 \leq j < n_0, \quad (3.32)$$

where $\hat{C} > 0$ does not depend on ε . Thus using (3.29) we deduce

$$\|\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \varphi_\varepsilon(x)\|_{L^p(U)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+ \quad \forall 1 \leq j < n_0,$$

Therefore, since the domain with a smooth boundary $U \subset\subset I_\nu$ was chosen arbitrary, we finally deduce that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \varphi_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L^p_{loc}(I_\nu, \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^j})$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n_0\}$. This completes the proof. \square

Plugging Lemma 3.3 with the particular case of Proposition 3.1 we get the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the linear operator $Q \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^{m \times N^{n_2}}, \mathbb{R}^l)$, which satisfies*

- either $Q = Id$
- or $n_2 = 1$.

Next assume that F is a continuous function, defined on

$$\left\{ \mathbb{R}^{k \times N^{n_1+1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times N^2} \times \mathbb{R}^{k \times N} \right\} \times \left\{ \mathbb{R}^{d \times N^{n_1+1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N^2} \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times N} \right\} \times \left\{ \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^{n_2-1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m \right\},$$

taking values in \mathbb{R} and satisfying $F \geq 0$. Moreover assume that there exist $C > 0$ and $p_1 \geq 1, p_2 \geq 1$ satisfying

$$\frac{|c_1|^{p_2}}{C} \leq F\left(\{a_1, \dots, a_{n_1+1}\}, \{b_1, \dots, b_{n_1+1}\}, \{c_1, \dots, c_{n_2+1}\}\right) \leq C \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1+1} |a_j|^{p_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1+1} |b_j|^{p_1} + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2+1} |c_j|^{p_2} + 1 \right) \\ \text{for every } \left(\{a_1, \dots, a_{n_1+1}\}, \{b_1, \dots, b_{n_1+1}\}, \{c_1, \dots, c_{n_2+1}\}\right). \quad (3.33)$$

Furthermore let $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in S^{N-1}$, $\varphi^+, \varphi^- \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $V^+, V^- \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times N}$ and $W^+, W^- \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$ be such that if we set

$$\varphi_0(x) := \begin{cases} \varphi^+ & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} > 0, \\ \varphi^- & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} < 0, \end{cases} \quad V_0(x) := \begin{cases} V^+ & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} > 0, \\ V^- & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} < 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad W_0(x) := \begin{cases} W^+ & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} > 0, \\ W^- & \text{if } x \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} < 0, \end{cases}$$

then

$$F(\{0, 0, \dots, V_0(x)\}, \{0, 0, \dots, W_0(x)\}, \{0, 0, \dots, \varphi_0(x)\}) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Next consider $\{v_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset W_{loc}^{n_1+1, p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\{m_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset W_{loc}^{n_1, p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N})$ and $\{\varphi_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset W_{loc}^{n_2, p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^m)$, such that $\operatorname{div} m_\varepsilon \equiv 0$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi_\varepsilon = \varphi_0$ in $L_{loc}^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^k)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} m_\varepsilon = W_0$ in $L_{loc}^{p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N})$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \nabla v_\varepsilon = V_0$ in $W_{loc}^{1, p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^k)$. Here, as before, $I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, N\}$ where $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\nu}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in \mathbb{R}^N such that $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 := \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Then, there exist $\{\psi_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset \mathcal{S}^{(n_2)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}})$, $\{h_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset W^{n_1, p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N})$ and $\{u_\varepsilon(x)\}_{0 < \varepsilon < 1} \subset W^{n_1+1, p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^k)$, where

$$\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}) := \left\{ \zeta \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m) : \zeta(y) = \varphi_0(y) \text{ if } |y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}| \geq 1/2, \text{ and } \zeta(y + \boldsymbol{\nu}_j) = \zeta(y) \quad \forall j = 2, \dots, N \right\},$$

such that $\operatorname{div} h_\varepsilon \equiv 0$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \psi_\varepsilon = \varphi_0$ in $L^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} h_\varepsilon = W_0$ in $L^{p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{d \times N})$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \nabla u_\varepsilon = V_0$ in $W^{1, p_1}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^k)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F \left(\left\{ \varepsilon^{n_1} \nabla^{n_1+1} v_\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla^2 v_\varepsilon, \nabla v_\varepsilon \right\}, \left\{ \varepsilon^{n_1} \nabla^{n_1} m_\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla m_\varepsilon, m_\varepsilon \right\}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left\{ \varepsilon^{n_2} \boldsymbol{Q} \cdot \nabla^{n_2} \varphi_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{n_2-1} \nabla^{n_2-1} \varphi_\varepsilon, \dots, \varphi_\varepsilon \right\} \right) dx \geq \\ & \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F \left(\left\{ \varepsilon^{n_1} \nabla^{n_1+1} u_\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla^2 u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon \right\}, \left\{ \varepsilon^{n_1} \nabla^{n_1} h_\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla h_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon \right\}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left\{ \varepsilon^{n_2} \boldsymbol{Q} \cdot \nabla^{n_2} \psi_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{n_2-1} \nabla^{n_2-1} \psi_\varepsilon, \dots, \psi_\varepsilon \right\} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is clear that without any loss of generality we may assume

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} F \left(\left\{ \varepsilon^{n_1} \nabla^{n_1+1} v_\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla^2 v_\varepsilon, \nabla v_\varepsilon \right\}, \left\{ \varepsilon^{n_1} \nabla^{n_1} m_\varepsilon, \dots, \varepsilon \nabla m_\varepsilon, m_\varepsilon \right\}, \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left\{ \varepsilon^{n_2} \boldsymbol{Q} \cdot \nabla^{n_2} \varphi_\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{n_2-1} \nabla^{n_2-1} \varphi_\varepsilon, \dots, \varphi_\varepsilon \right\} \right) dx < +\infty. \quad (3.34) \end{aligned}$$

Then by (3.33) and (3.34) we deduce that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^{n_2} \boldsymbol{Q} \cdot \{\nabla^{n_2} \varphi_\varepsilon\}) = 0$ in $L_{loc}^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^l)$. Next remember we assumed that

- either $\boldsymbol{Q} = Id$
- or $n_2 = 1$.

So in any case, by Lemma 3.3 we deduce that for every $1 \leq j < n_2$ we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (\varepsilon^j \nabla^j \varphi_\varepsilon) = 0$ in $L_{loc}^{p_2}(I_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^j})$. Thus, applying Proposition 3.1 completes the proof. \square

Next by the composition of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 2.3 we obtain the following result describing the lower bound for the first order problems.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set. Furthermore, let $F \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^{m \times N^n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^{(n-1)}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, be such that $F \geq 0$ and there exist $g(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^N, (0, +\infty))$ and $p \geq 1$ satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{g(x)}|A|^p \leq F\left(A, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b, x\right) \leq g(x)\left(|A|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |a_j|^p + |b|^p + 1\right) \quad \text{for every } (A, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, b, x). \quad (3.35)$$

Assume also that for every $x_0 \in \Omega$ and every $\tau > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ satisfying

$$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b, x) - F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b, x_0) \geq -\tau F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b, x_0) \\ \forall a_1 \in \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^n} \dots \forall a_n \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \quad \forall b \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad \text{such that } |x - x_0| < \alpha. \quad (3.36)$$

Next let $\varphi \in L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that $F(0, 0, \dots, 0, \varphi(x), x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Assume also that there exist a \mathcal{H}^{N-1} σ -finite Borel set $D \subset \Omega$ and three Borel mappings $\varphi^+(x) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $\varphi^-(x) : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathbf{n}(x) : D \rightarrow S^{N-1}$ such that for every $x \in D$ we have

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_\rho^+(x, \mathbf{n}(x))} |\varphi(y) - \varphi^+(x)|^p dy}{\mathcal{L}^N(B_\rho(x))} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_\rho^-(x, \mathbf{n}(x))} |\varphi(y) - \varphi^-(x)|^p dy}{\mathcal{L}^N(B_\rho(x))} = 0. \quad (3.37)$$

Then for every $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0} \subset W_{loc}^{n,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\varphi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L_{loc}^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we will have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} F\left(\varepsilon^n \nabla^n \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon^{n-1} \nabla^{n-1} \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varphi_\varepsilon(x), x\right) dx \\ \geq \int_D \bar{E}_{per}^{(n)}(\varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x), \mathbf{n}(x), x) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x), \quad (3.38)$$

where

$$\bar{E}_{per}^{(n)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, \mathbf{n}, x) := \\ \inf \left\{ \int_{I_n} \frac{1}{L} F\left(L^n \nabla^n \zeta(y), L^{n-1} \nabla^{n-1} \zeta(y), \dots, L \nabla \zeta(y), \zeta(y), x\right) dy : L \in (0, +\infty), \zeta \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_n) \right\}, \quad (3.39)$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_n) := \left\{ \zeta \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m) : \zeta(y) = \varphi^- \quad \text{if } y \cdot \mathbf{n} \leq -1/2, \right. \\ \left. \zeta(y) = \varphi^+ \quad \text{if } y \cdot \mathbf{n} \geq 1/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(y + \nu_j) = \zeta(y) \quad \forall j = 2, \dots, N \right\}, \quad (3.40)$$

Here $I_n := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y \cdot \nu_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ where $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in \mathbb{R}^N such that $\nu_1 := \mathbf{n}$.

Thus by plugging Proposition 3.2 into Theorem 1.1 we deduce the Γ -limit result for the first order problem.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an open set. Furthermore, let $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{m \times N^n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^{(n-1)}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$, be such that $F \geq 0$ and there exist $g(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^N, (0, +\infty))$ and $p \geq 1$ satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{g(x)}|A|^p \leq F\left(A, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b, x\right) \leq g(x)\left(|A|^p + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |a_j|^p + |b|^p + 1\right) \quad \text{for every } (A, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, b, x). \quad (3.41)$$

Assume also that for every $x_0 \in \Omega$ and every $\tau > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ satisfying

$$F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b, x) - F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b, x_0) \geq -\tau F(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b, x_0) \\ \forall a_1 \in \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times N^n} \dots \forall a_n \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times N} \quad \forall b \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad \text{such that } |x - x_0| < \alpha. \quad (3.42)$$

Next let $\varphi \in BV(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m) \cap L^\infty$ be such that $\|D\varphi\|(\partial\Omega) = 0$ and $F(0, 0, \dots, 0, \varphi(x), x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Then for every $\{\varphi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset W_{loc}^{n,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\varphi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L_{loc}^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we will have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} F\left(\varepsilon^n \nabla^n \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon^{n-1} \nabla^{n-1} \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \varphi_\varepsilon(x), \varphi_\varepsilon(x), x\right) dx \\ \geq \int_{\Omega \cap J_\varphi} \bar{E}_{per}^{(n)}\left(\varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x), \boldsymbol{\nu}(x), x\right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x), \quad (3.43)$$

where

$$\bar{E}_{per}^{(n)}\left(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, \boldsymbol{\nu}, x\right) := \\ \inf \left\{ \int_{I_\nu} \frac{1}{L} F\left(L^n \nabla^n \zeta(y), L^{n-1} \nabla^{n-1} \zeta(y), \dots, L \nabla \zeta(y), \zeta(y), x\right) dy : L \in (0, +\infty), \zeta \in \mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_\nu) \right\}, \quad (3.44)$$

with

$$\mathcal{S}^{(n)}(\varphi^+, \varphi^-, I_\nu) := \left\{ \zeta \in C^n(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m) : \zeta(y) = \varphi^- \text{ if } y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \leq -1/2, \right. \\ \left. \zeta(y) = \varphi^+ \text{ if } y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} \geq 1/2 \text{ and } \zeta(y + \boldsymbol{\nu}_j) = \zeta(y) \quad \forall j = 2, \dots, N \right\}, \quad (3.45)$$

Here $I_\nu := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_j| < 1/2 \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, N\}$, where $\{\boldsymbol{\nu}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\nu}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an orthonormal base in \mathbb{R}^N such that $\boldsymbol{\nu}_1 := \boldsymbol{\nu}$. Moreover, there exists a sequence $\{\psi_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon>0} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} \psi_\varepsilon(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) dx$, $\psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi$ in $L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} F\left(\varepsilon^n \nabla^n \psi_\varepsilon(x), \varepsilon^{n-1} \nabla^{n-1} \psi_\varepsilon(x), \dots, \varepsilon \nabla \psi_\varepsilon(x), \psi_\varepsilon(x), x\right) dx \\ = \int_{\Omega \cap J_\varphi} \bar{E}_{per}^{(n)}\left(\varphi^+(x), \varphi^-(x), \boldsymbol{\nu}(x), x\right) d\mathcal{H}^{N-1}(x). \quad (3.46)$$

A Notations and basic results about BV-functions

- For given a real topological linear space X we denote by X^* the dual space (the space of continuous linear functionals from X to \mathbb{R}).
- For given $h \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$ we denote by $\langle h, x^* \rangle_{X \times X^*}$ the value in \mathbb{R} of the functional x^* on the vector h .
- For given two normed linear spaces X and Y we denote by $\mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ the linear space of continuous (bounded) linear operators from X to Y .
- For given $A \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ and $h \in X$ we denote by $A \cdot h$ the value in Y of the operator A on the vector h .
- For given two reflexive Banach spaces X, Y and $S \in \mathcal{L}(X; Y)$ we denote by $S^* \in \mathcal{L}(Y^*; X^*)$ the corresponding adjoint operator, which satisfies

$$\langle x, S^* \cdot y^* \rangle_{X \times X^*} := \langle S \cdot x, y^* \rangle_{Y \times Y^*} \quad \text{for every } y^* \in Y^* \text{ and } x \in X.$$

- Given open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ we denote by $\mathcal{D}(G, \mathbb{R}^d)$ the real topological linear space of compactly supported \mathbb{R}^d -valued test functions i.e. $C_c^\infty(G, \mathbb{R}^d)$ with the usual topology.
- Denote $\mathcal{D}'(G, \mathbb{R}^d) := \{\mathcal{D}(G, \mathbb{R}^d)\}^*$ (the space of \mathbb{R}^d -valued distributions in G).
- Given $h \in \mathcal{D}'(G, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(G, \mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote the value in \mathbb{R} of the distribution h on the test function δ by $\langle \delta, h \rangle := \langle \delta, h \rangle_{\mathcal{D}(G, \mathbb{R}^d) \times \mathcal{D}'(G, \mathbb{R}^d)}$.
- Given a linear operator $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^k)$ and a distribution $h \in \mathcal{D}'(G, \mathbb{R}^d)$ we denote by $\mathbf{A} \cdot h$ the distribution in $\mathcal{D}'(G, \mathbb{R}^k)$ defined by

$$\langle \delta, \mathbf{A} \cdot h \rangle := \langle \mathbf{A}^* \cdot \delta, h \rangle \quad \forall \delta \in \mathcal{D}(G, \mathbb{R}^k).$$

- Given $h \in \mathcal{D}'(G, \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{D}(G, \mathbb{R})$ by $\langle \delta, h \rangle$ we denote the vector in \mathbb{R}^d which satisfy $\langle \delta, h \rangle \cdot \mathbf{e} := \langle \delta \mathbf{e}, h \rangle$ for every $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.
- For a $p \times q$ matrix A with ij -th entry a_{ij} and for a $q \times d$ matrix B with ij -th entry b_{ij} we denote by $AB := A \cdot B$ their product, i.e. the $p \times d$ matrix, with ij -th entry $\sum_{k=1}^q a_{ik} b_{kj}$.
- We identify a $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_q) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ with the $q \times 1$ matrix having $i1$ -th entry u_i , so that for a $p \times q$ matrix A with ij -th entry a_{ij} and for $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_q) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ we denote by $A \mathbf{v} := A \cdot \mathbf{v}$ the p -dimensional vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$, given by $u_i = \sum_{k=1}^q a_{ik} v_k$ for every $1 \leq i \leq p$.
- As usual A^T denotes the transpose of the matrix A .
- For $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ we denote by $\mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} := \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} := \sum_{k=1}^p u_k v_k$ the standard scalar product. We also note that $\mathbf{u} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{u}$ as products of matrices.
- For $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_q) \in \mathbb{R}^q$ we denote by $\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{v}$ the $p \times q$ matrix with ij -th entry $u_i v_j$.
- For any $p \times q$ matrix A with ij -th entry a_{ij} and $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we denote by $A \otimes \mathbf{v}$ the $p \times q \times d$ tensor with ijk -th entry $a_{ij} v_k$.
- Given a vector valued function $f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x)) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$) we denote by Df or by $\nabla_x f$ the $k \times N$ matrix with ij -th entry $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$.
- Given a matrix valued function $F(x) := \{F_{ij}(x)\} : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k \times N}$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$), we denote $\text{div } F := (l_1, \dots, l_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, where $l_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial F_{ij}}{\partial x_j}$.
- Given a matrix valued function $F(x) = \{f_{ij}(x)\} (1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$ ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$) we denote by DF or by $\nabla_x F$ the $p \times q \times N$ tensor with ijk -th entry $\frac{\partial f_{ij}}{\partial x_k}$.
- Given a vector measure $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ (where $\forall j = 1, \dots, k$ μ_j is a finite signed measure) we denote by $\|\mu\|(E)$ the total variation of μ on the set E .
- For any μ -measurable function f , we define the product measure $f \cdot \mu$ by: $f \cdot \mu(E) = \int_E f d\mu$, for every μ -measurable set E .

In what follows we present some known results on BV-spaces. We rely mainly on the book [3] by Ambrosio, Fusco and Pallara. We begin by introducing some notation. For every $\boldsymbol{\nu} \in S^{N-1}$ (the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N) and

$R > 0$ we set

$$B_R^+(x, \nu) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y - x| < R, (y - x) \cdot \nu > 0\}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$B_R^-(x, \nu) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |y - x| < R, (y - x) \cdot \nu < 0\}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Definition A.1. Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^N and let $f \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. We say that $f \in BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ if the following quantity is finite:

$$\int_{\Omega} |Df| := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} f \cdot \operatorname{div} \varphi \, dx : \varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{m \times N}), |\varphi(x)| \leq 1 \, \forall x \right\}.$$

Definition A.2. Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^N . Consider a function $f \in L_{loc}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$ and a point $x \in \Omega$.

i) We say that x is an *approximate continuity point* of f if there exists $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_{\rho}(x)} |f(y) - z| \, dy}{\rho^N} = 0.$$

In this case we denote z by $\tilde{f}(x)$. The set of approximate continuity points of f is denoted by G_f .

ii) We say that x is an *approximate jump point* of f if there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\nu \in S^{N-1}$ such that $a \neq b$ and

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_{\rho}^+(x, \nu)} |f(y) - a| \, dy}{\mathcal{L}^N(B_{\rho}(x))} = 0, \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{B_{\rho}^-(x, \nu)} |f(y) - b| \, dy}{\mathcal{L}^N(B_{\rho}(x))} = 0.$$

The triple (a, b, ν) , uniquely determined, up to a permutation of (a, b) and a change of sign of ν , is denoted by $(f^+(x), f^-(x), \nu_f(x))$. We shall call $\nu_f(x)$ the *approximate jump vector* and we shall sometimes write simply $\nu(x)$ if the reference to the function f is clear. The set of approximate jump points is denoted by J_f . A choice of $\nu(x)$ for every $x \in J_f$ determines an orientation of J_f . At an approximate continuity point x , we shall use the convention $f^+(x) = f^-(x) = \tilde{f}(x)$.

Theorem A.1 (Theorems 3.69 and 3.78 from [3]). *Consider an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and $f \in BV(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m)$. Then:*

- i) \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. point in $\Omega \setminus J_f$ is a point of approximate continuity of f .
- ii) The set J_f is σ - \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -rectifiable Borel set, oriented by $\nu(x)$. I.e. J_f is σ -finite with respect to \mathcal{H}^{N-1} , there exist countably many C^1 hypersurfaces $\{S_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(J_f \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} S_k\right) = 0$, and for \mathcal{H}^{N-1} -a.e. $x \in J_f \cap S_k$, the approximate jump vector $\nu(x)$ is normal to S_k at the point x .
- iii) $[(f^+ - f^-) \otimes \nu_f](x) \in L^1(J_f, d\mathcal{H}^{N-1})$.

References

- [1] L. Ambrosio, *Metric space valued functions of bounded variation*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **17** (1990), 439–478.
- [2] L. Ambrosio, C. De Lellis and C. Mantegazza, *Line energies for gradient vector fields in the plane*, Calc. Var. PDE **9** (1999), 327–355.
- [3] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco and D. Pallara, *Functions of Bounded Variation and Free Discontinuity Problems*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.

- [4] P. Aviles and Y. Giga, *A mathematical problem related to the physical theory of liquid crystal configurations*, Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ. **12** (1987), 1–16.
- [5] P. Aviles and Y. Giga, *On lower semicontinuity of a defect energy obtained by a singular limit of the Ginzburg-Landau type energy for gradient fields*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **129** (1999), 1–17.
- [6] Sergio Conti, Camillo de Lellis, *Sharp upper bounds for a variational problem with singular perturbation*, Math. Ann. **338** (2007), no. 1, 119–146.
- [7] Sergio Conti, Ben Schweizer *A sharp-interface limit for a two-well problem in geometrically linear elasticity*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 179 (2006), no. 3, 413–452.
- [8] Sergio Conti, Ben Schweizer *Rigidity and Gamma convergence for solid-solid phase transitions with $SO(2)$ -invariance*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 59 (2006), no. 6, 830–868.
- [9] S. Conti, I. Fonseca, G. Leoni *A Γ -convergence result for the two-gradient theory of phase transitions*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **55** (2002), pp. 857–936.
- [10] A. DeSimone, S. Müller, R.V. Kohn and F. Otto, *A compactness result in the gradient theory of phase transitions*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **131** (2001), 833–844.
- [11] I. Fonseca and C. Mantegazza, *Second order singular perturbation models for phase transitions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 31 (2000), no. 5, 1121–1143 (electronic).
- [12] I. Fonseca, C. Popovici, *Coupled singular perturbations for phase transitions*, Asymptotic Analysis **44** (2005), 299–325.
- [13] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Elliptic Type*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1983.
- [14] C. De Lellis, F. Otto *Structure of entropy solutions to the eikonal equation* J. Eur. Math. Soc. **5**, (2003), 107–145.
- [15] L. Modica, *The gradient theory of phase transitions and the minimal interface criterion*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **98** (1987), 123–142.
- [16] L. Modica and S. Mortola, *Un esempio di Γ^- -convergenza*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B **14** (1977), 285–299.
- [17] L. Modica and S. Mortola, *Il limite nella Γ -convergenza di una famiglia di funzionali ellittici*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A **14** (1977), 526–529.
- [18] A. Poliakovsky, *A general technique to prove upper bounds for singular perturbation problems*, Journal d’Analyse Mathématique, **104** (2008), no. 1, 247–290.
- [19] A. Poliakovsky, *Sharp upper bounds for a singular perturbation problem related to micromagnetics*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze. **6** (2007), no. 4, 673–701.

- [20] A. Poliakovsky, *Upper bounds for a class of energies containing a non-local term*, , ESAIM: Control, Optimization and Calculus of Variations, **16** (2010), 856–886.
- [21] A. Poliakovsky, *A method for establishing upper bounds for singular perturbation problems*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 341 (2005), no. 2, 97–102.
- [22] A. Poliakovsky, *Upper bounds for singular perturbation problems involving gradient fields*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., **9** (2007), 1–43.
- [23] A. Poliakovsky, *On a singular perturbation problem related to optimal lifting in BV-space*, Calculus of Variations and PDE, **28** (2007), 411–426.
- [24] A. Poliakovsky, *On a variational approach to the Method of Vanishing Viscosity for Conservation Laws*, Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications, **18** (2008), no. 2., 429–451.
- [25] A. Poliakovsky, *On the Γ -limit of singular perturbation problems with optimal profiles which are not one-dimensional. Part I: The upper bound*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.2305>
- [26] A. Poliakovsky, *On the Γ -limit of singular perturbation problems with optimal profiles which are not one-dimensional. Part III: The energies with non local terms*, preprint, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1112.2971>
- [27] T. Rivière and S. Serfaty, *Limiting domain wall energy for a problem related to micromagnetics*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 54 No 3 (2001), 294–338.
- [28] T. Rivière and S. Serfaty, *Compactness, kinetic formulation and entropies for a problem related to micromagnetics*, Comm. in Partial Differential Equations 28 (2003), no. 1-2, 249–269.
- [29] P. Sternberg, *The effect of a singular perturbation on nonconvex variational problems*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **101** (1988), 209–260.