

A GEOMETRIC PROOF OF THE AFFABILITY THEOREM FOR PLANAR TILINGS

FERNANDO ALCALDE CUESTA, PABLO GONZÁLEZ SEQUEIROS,
AND ÁLVARO LOZANO ROJO

ABSTRACT. We give a geometric proof of the Affability Theorem of T. Giordano, H. Matui, I. Putnam and C. Skau for aperiodic and repetitive planar tilings.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we give a more accessible proof of a deep theorem by T. Giordano, H. Matui, I. Putnam and C. Skau [11] on the orbit structure of minimal dynamical systems on the Cantor set. We combine the absorption techniques from [12] with some new ideas and techniques, which are inspired by the famous tiling constructed by R. M. Robinson [23] to disprove the Wang conjecture about the decidability of the Tiling Problem [16].

For us, a dynamical system is an action of a countable group of transformations, or more generally an étale equivalence relation (EER). Recall that an equivalence relation \mathcal{R} on a second-countable locally compact Hausdorff space X is said to be *étale* if \mathcal{R} admits a topology that makes it a locally compact Hausdorff r -discrete groupoid, so the projection maps $r, s : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$ are local homeomorphisms. The orbit equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} = \{(x, g.x) \in X \times X \mid x \in X, g \in G\}$ defined by a countable discrete group G acting continuously on X is the basic example of EER.

From a dynamical point of view, a main problem is to determine when two EERs \mathcal{R} on X and \mathcal{R}' on X' are orbit equivalent. As for group actions, we say \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}' are *orbit equivalent* (OE) if there is a homeomorphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow X'$ such that $(\varphi \times \varphi)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}'$. In measurable dynamics, the study of orbit equivalence was initiated by H. A. Dye [7, 8] for group actions. Pursued by W. Krieger [17], J. Feldman and D. A. Lind [9], and D. S. Ornstein and B. Weiss [21] among others authors, the idea consisted of finding a Rohlin approximation (similar to the approximation by periodic transformations of any aperiodic nonsingular transformation of a probability space) for the group. An analogous method was used by C. Series [25] to show that any measurable equivalence relation \mathcal{R} with polynomial growth is *hyperfinite*. This means that \mathcal{R} is the increasing union of countably many finite equivalence relations on a full measure set, or equivalently \mathcal{R} is defined by a measurable \mathbb{Z} -action.

Date: October 18, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37A20, 43A07, 57R30.

Key words and phrases. tilings, equivalence relations, laminations.

Partially supported by the Ministry of Science and Innovation - Government of Spain (Grant MTM2010-15471), the University of the Basque Country (Grant EHU09/04) and the Xunta de Galicia (IEMath Network CN 2012/077).

The equivalence between hyperfiniteness and amenability was finally proved by A. Connes, J. Feldman and B. Weiss in their celebrated paper [6].

In the topological setting, the solution to the same problem is more subtle. Firstly, ergodicity for measurable equivalence relations is replaced by minimality of EERs, which means that all equivalence classes are dense. Moreover, since any orbit equivalence reduces to an isomorphism for connected spaces, we can focus on minimal dynamical systems defined on totally disconnected spaces. According to a strategy drawn by Giordano, Putnam and Skau in a series of papers [14, 15] previous to the aforementioned [11], the idea consists of approaching minimal EERs by finite equivalence relations and providing an invariant of orbit equivalence being complete for these approximate finite (AF) equivalence relations. Following [15], an EER \mathcal{R} on X is said to be *affable* if \mathcal{R} is OE to an AF equivalence relation. Since the classification was completed in [14], the main problem remains to prove that any minimal amenable EER on a totally disconnected compact space is affable [15]. For the amenability of étale groupoids and minimal laminations, see [4] and [3] respectively.

The case of \mathbb{Z} -actions was studied in [15]. In this paper, the authors show any AF equivalence relation on the Cantor set is represented by a combinatorial object, called a *Bratteli diagram*, providing an orbit equivalence with a Cantor minimal \mathbb{Z} -system. Reciprocally, any EER arising from a Cantor minimal \mathbb{Z} -system is affable. To prove this result, they introduce the idea of a ‘small’ extension of a minimal AF equivalence relation, which is obtained by a sort of Rohlin approximation and described in a pure combinatorial way. The same ideas and techniques was used in the remarkable papers [11] and [13] to prove that minimal free \mathbb{Z}^2 -actions and \mathbb{Z}^m -actions on the Cantor set are OE to minimal free \mathbb{Z} -actions. Nevertheless, the first step of the proof also involves subtle geometrical and combinatorial arguments in both cases $m = 2$ and $m > 2$. These methods are combined with an important result regarding the extension of minimal AF equivalence relations, called the Absorption Theorem [12], whose first version was stated and proved in [15].

Cantor dynamical systems appear naturally in the study of laminations defined by tilings and graphs [10]. In fact, the geometry of tilings plays a important role in the proof of the Affability Theorems in [11] and [13]. Any repetitive planar tiling satisfying a finiteness pattern condition defines an interesting minimal dynamical system on a compact connected space [5, 10], which is said to be a *tiling dynamical system* (TDS). If we choose a point on each tile, and we force the tiling to have the origin on one of these points, we obtain a totally disconnected closed subspace X . Such a subspace meets all the orbits, and the TDS induces a minimal EER \mathcal{R} on X . For repetitive planar tilings, \mathcal{R} is OE to a minimal free \mathbb{Z}^2 -action. Reciprocally, using the classical suspension method [12], the orbit equivalent relation defined by any Cantor minimal \mathbb{Z}^2 -system can be realized as the EER induced by a minimal dynamical \mathbb{R}^2 -system. However, when we restrict \mathcal{R} to any clopen subset, we need that each induced class to be realized as base point (Delone) set of some Voronoi tiling. In fact, the proof of the Affability Theorem for $m = 2$ involves a fine control on the geometry of Voronoi tiles [11]. For dimension $m > 2$, the same authors have had to modify their geometrical arguments on Voronoi tilings, as well as the combinatorial ones related with the Rohlin approximation [13].




FIGURE 1. Robinson's aperiodic tiles

Our purpose in this paper is to simplify this part of the proof of the Affability Theorem for aperiodic and repetitive planar tilings. We focus our attention on the 2-dimensional case to facilitate intuition and to make more accessible the proof, but the first advantage of our approach is that there is no fundamental difference between the cases $m = 2$ and $m > 2$. Moreover, since Voronoi tiling are not involved in our proof, it might make easier to extend it to aperiodic tilings for other amenable Lie groups as the Heisenberg group H^3 or the solvable group Sol^3 . We believe that any Cantor dynamical system arising from an aperiodic and repetitive tiling of the nilpotent group H^3 is affable, since there is no significant differences with the abelian case $m = 3$. But we do not know the answer for Sol^3 which admit a solvable cocompact discrete subgroup with exponential growth.

One of the first and most remarkable examples in the theory of aperiodic tilings was constructed by R. M. Robinson [23] from a set of 32 aperiodic prototiles, 6 up to isometries of the plane. For any repetitive Robinson tiling, there is a Borel isomorphism from the space of sequences of 0s and 1s equipped with the cofinal equivalence relation to a full measure and residual set of orbits of the corresponding TDS. Since all cofinality classes are orbits of a 2-adic adding machine, except for one orbit that is the union of two cofinality classes, this Cantor minimal dynamical system is measurewise OE to a 2-adic adding machine. By construction, any repetitive Robinson tiling is the increasing union of patches which are inflated from patches at the previous step. So this inflation process gives us a finite approximation of the corresponding orbit equivalence relation \mathcal{R} by a minimal open AF equivalence subrelation \mathcal{R}_∞ . In this example, it is quite easy to see how 'small' is the difference between \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}_∞ . We can actually identify the boundary set $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$, made up of the points in the boundary of the \mathcal{R}_∞ -classes into the \mathcal{R} -classes. Then we can deduce that $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is indeed 'small' (in the sense that is specified in [15]) from a growth argument. By using the Absorption Theorem [12], we can obtain an orbit equivalence with a Cantor minimal \mathbb{Z} -system.

In this paper, we make use of Robinson's example to define a special inflation process, which we call *Robinson inflation*, verifying most of the properties needed to apply absorption techniques from [12]. In [1], we announced a proof of the Affability Theorem where we used a former version of the Absorption Theorem. Unfortunately, there are two gaps in the proof of Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 5.1, which we close now by defining this new inflation process. Anyway, we shall keep the general schema given in [1]. Thus, denoting by \mathcal{R} the EER induced by a TDS on any closed subset X determined by the choice of a base point in each tile, we distinguish three steps:

- The first step consist of applying the *inflation* or *zooming process* of [5] to obtain an open AF equivalence subrelation \mathcal{R}_∞ of \mathcal{R} .
- In the second step, we introduce the *discrete boundary* $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ of \mathcal{R}_∞ , as well as its *continuous* or geometrical counterpart $\partial_c\mathcal{R}_\infty$.
- In the third step, we review all the conditions needed to apply the Absorption Theorem [12].

These general steps are grouped in the first part of the paper developed in Section 3. In Section 4, we describe Robinson inflation, allowing us to deal with the third part in Sections 5, 6 and 7. We start by reducing planar tilings to square tilings according to a theorem by L. Sadun and R. F. Williams [24]. At each iteration of the inflation process, the new inflated tiling is still of finite type, although the prototiles become more and more complicated and highly nonconvex (as a complicated version of the Amman-Penrose tiles described in [10] and [16]). But they look as squares on a large scale, so the isoperimetric ratios of any nested sequence of tiles converge to 0. At the beginning of Section 5, using this fundamental result, we prove that the whole boundary is ‘small’. In this Section 5, we show another important property of the boundary. As before, when we consider any planar orbit of the TDS, it is very complicate to know the real look of the trace of the continuous boundary $\partial_c\mathcal{R}_\infty$ (which splits the orbit into several regions corresponding to \mathcal{R}_∞ -classes). But once again, by looking on a large scale, we can see that every \mathcal{R} -equivalence class separates into at most four \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes. However, since the boundary is not \mathcal{R}_∞ -étale, it does not suffice to apply the Absorption Theorem of [12]. This problem is solved in a somewhat different way than in [11] by coloring the tiles of all the inflated tilings. Notice, however, that this trick (which we develop in Section 6 to make étale the original boundary) has the similar effet as that applied in [11], although it is not always possible to obtain an OE between \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}_∞ . Finally, using this idea, the absorption of the boundary is finally accomplished in Section 7.

To conclude, we hope that our method helps to achieve the goal stated in [15] (where the problem is formulated using group actions instead equivalence relations) by proving that the orbit equivalence relation defined by a Cantor minimal dynamical system with subexponential growth is affable. More specifically, we think that Series’ ideas may be extremely useful to solve the polynomial growth case.

2. TILING DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS AND ÉTALE EQUIVALENCE RELATIONS

In this section, we present the two basic notions of the paper, *tiling dynamical systems* (TDS) and *étale equivalence relations* (EER), although frequently we do not distinguish both concepts.

A *planar tiling* is a partition of \mathbb{R}^2 into polygons touching face-to-face, called *tiles*, obtained by translation from a finite set of *prototiles*. Such a tiling always satisfies the *Finite Pattern Condition* given in [5]. It is said to be *aperiodic* if it has no translation symmetries, and *repetitive* if for any patch M , there exists a constant $R > 0$ (depending only on the diameter of M) such that any ball of radius R contains a translated copy of M .

Let $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P})$ be the set of tilings \mathcal{T} obtained from a finite set of prototiles \mathcal{P} . It is possible to endow $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P})$ with the *Gromov-Hausdorff topology* [5, 10] generated by the basic neighborhoods

$$U_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}^r = \{ \mathcal{T}' \in \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P}) \mid \exists v, v' \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \|v\| < \varepsilon, \|v'\| < \varepsilon', R(\mathcal{T} + v, \mathcal{T}' + v') > r \},$$

where $R(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}')$ is the supremum of radii $R > 0$ such that \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{T}' coincide on the ball $B(0, R)$. Then $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P})$ becomes a compact metrizable space, which is naturally laminated by the orbits $L_{\mathcal{T}}$ of the natural \mathbb{R}^2 -action by translation. For each $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P})$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}$ the Delone set determined by the choice of base points in the prototiles. Now $T = \{\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P}) \mid 0 \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}\}$ is a totally disconnected closed subspace which meets all the leaves, so T is a *total transversal* for $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P})$.

If $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P})$ is a repetitive tiling, then $\mathbb{X} = \overline{L}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a minimal closed subset of $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P})$, called the *continuous hull of \mathcal{T}* . If \mathcal{T} is also aperiodic, any tiling in \mathbb{X} has the same property and hence $X = T \cap \mathbb{X}$ is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. Then $\mathcal{R} = \{(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T} - v) \in X \times X \mid v \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}\}$ is an EER on X , which completely represents the transverse dynamics of the TDS.

Let \mathcal{R} be any EER on a second countable locally compact Hausdorff space X . Following [15], we say that \mathcal{R} is a *compact étale equivalence relation* (CEER) if $\mathcal{R} - \Delta_X$ is a compact subset of $X \times X$ (where Δ_X is the diagonal of $X \times X$). This means that \mathcal{R} is *proper* in the sense of [22] and trivial out of a compact set.

Definition 2.1 ([15]). An equivalence relation \mathcal{R} on a totally disconnected space X is *affable* if there exists an increasing sequence of CEERs \mathcal{R}_n such that $\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R}_n$. The inductive limit topology turns \mathcal{R} into an EER and we say that $\mathcal{R} = \varinjlim \mathcal{R}_n$ is *approximately finite* (or AF).

An example of AF equivalence relation is the cofinal equivalence relation on the infinite path space of certain type of oriented graphs (V, E) , called *Bratteli diagrams*. According to [14] and [15], their vertices are stacked on levels and their edges join two consecutive levels. More precisely, we denote by V_n the set of vertices of the level n and by E_n the set of edges e with origin $s(e)$ in V_{n-1} and endpoint $r(e)$ in V_n in such a way that $V = \bigcup V_n$ and $E = \bigcup E_n$. In fact, they are actually the only examples of AF equivalence relations:

Theorem 2.2 ([15], [22]). *Let \mathcal{R} be an AF equivalence relation on a totally disconnected space X . There exists a Bratteli diagram (V, E) such that \mathcal{R} is isomorphic to the tail equivalence relation on the infinite path space*

$$X_{(V,E)} = \{(e_1, e_2, \dots) \mid e_i \in E_i, r(e_i) = s(e_{i+1}), \forall i \geq 1\}$$

given by

$$\mathcal{R}_{cof} = \{((e_1, e_2, \dots), (e'_1, e'_2, \dots)) \in X_{(V,E)} \times X_{(V,E)} \mid \exists m \geq 1 : e_n = e'_n, \forall n \geq m\}.$$

If X is compact, then (V, E) can be chosen standard, i.e. $V_0 = \{v_0\}$ and $r^{-1}(v) \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \in V - \{v_0\}$. Furthermore, \mathcal{R} is minimal if and only if (V, E) is simple, i.e. for each $v \in V$, there is $m \geq 1$ such that all vertices in V_m are reachable from v . \square

As for the continuous hull of a Robinson repetitive tiling, the cofinal equivalence relation on the infinite path space of a simple *ordered* Bratteli diagram (i.e. having a linear order on each set of edges with the same endpoint) is essentially isomorphic to a Cantor minimal \mathbb{Z} -system. Indeed, by using lexicographic order on cofinal infinite paths and sending the unique maximal path to the unique minimal path, we have a minimal homeomorphism $\lambda_{(V,E)} : X_{(V,E)} \rightarrow X_{(V,E)}$, called a *Vershik map*, see [14, 15]. This map preserves cofinality, except for the maximal and minimal paths. We refer to the corresponding dynamical system as the *Bratteli-Vershik \mathbb{Z} -system* associated to (V, E) . Now, as proved in [15], it is not difficult to see that any

minimal AF equivalence relation on the Cantor set X is OE to its Bratteli-Vershik \mathbb{Z} -system.

3. THEOREM STATEMENT AND PROOF SCHEMA

In this section, we describe the general schema of the proof of the main result:

Theorem 3.1 (Affability Theorem, [11]). *The continuous hull of any aperiodic and repetitive planar tiling is affable.*

As explained above, we distinguish three steps:

- 1) The first step consist of applying the *inflation* or *zooming process* developed in [5] to obtain an increasing sequence of CEERs \mathcal{R}_n , and thus an open AF equivalence subrelation $\mathcal{R}_\infty = \varinjlim \mathcal{R}_n$ of \mathcal{R} .
- 2) In the second step, we define a *discrete boundary* $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ of \mathcal{R}_∞ and we study its properties. It is a nonempty meager closed subset of X whose saturation contains all the points with \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence class different from its \mathcal{R} -equivalence class.
- 3) In the third step, to apply the *Absorption Theorem* of [12], we must distinguish three other steps:
 - Firstly, the discrete boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ Section must be \mathcal{R}_∞ -thin in the sense of [15]. This means that $\mu(\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty) = 0$ for every \mathcal{R}_∞ -invariant probability measure μ . It is also important to show that all \mathcal{R} -equivalence classes split into a (uniformly bounded) finite number of \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes. In Section 4, we present a special inflation process, which we call *Robinson inflation*, allowing us to construct a sequence of transverse CEERs with these properties (which will be proved in Section 5). However, in order to apply absorption techniques from [12], we also need to see that $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is \mathcal{R}_∞ -étale (i.e. the equivalence relation induced by \mathcal{R}_∞ on $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is étale), but it is not true.
 - In the second step, which occupies the whole Section 6, we replace \mathcal{R}_∞ with a minimal open AF subrelation $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ such that $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -étale.
 - In the last step, corresponding to Section 7, we finally apply the required techniques for the boundary absorption.

3.1. Inflation. We start by recalling the general *inflation process* developed in [5]. By definition of its topology, the continuous hull \mathbb{X} admits a *box decomposition* $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbb{B}_i\}_{i=1}^k$ consisting of closed flow boxes $\varphi_i : \mathbb{B}_i \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_i \times X_i$ such that $\mathbb{X} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathbb{B}_i$ and $\mathbb{B}_i \cap \mathbb{B}_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. In this context, we can also assume that the plaque \mathbb{P}_i is a \mathcal{P} -tile and the change of coordinates is given by

$$\varphi_i \circ \varphi_j^{-1}(x, y) = (\varphi_{ij}(x), \gamma_{ij}(y)),$$

where the map φ_{ij} is a translation from an edge of \mathbb{P}_j to an edge of \mathbb{P}_i . In general, any box decomposition is said to be *well-adapted* (to the \mathcal{P} -tiled structure) if each plaque \mathbb{P}_i is a \mathcal{P} -patch and the associated total transversal $\bigsqcup_{i=1}^k X_i$ is a clopen subset of X . For any flow box \mathbb{B}_i in \mathcal{B} , the set $\partial_v \mathbb{B}_i = \varphi_i^{-1}(\partial \mathbb{P}_i \times X_i)$ is called the *vertical boundary* of \mathbb{B}_i .

Theorem 3.2 ([5]). *Let \mathbb{X} be the continuous hull of an aperiodic and repetitive Euclidean tiling satisfying the finite pattern condition. Then, for any well-adapted flow box decomposition \mathcal{B} of \mathbb{X} , there exists another well-adapted flow box decomposition \mathcal{B}' inflated from \mathcal{B} having the following properties:*

- i) for each tiling \mathcal{T} in a box $\mathbb{B} \in \mathcal{B}$ and in a box $\mathbb{B}' \in \mathcal{B}'$, the transversal of \mathbb{B}' through \mathcal{T} is contained in the corresponding transversal of \mathbb{B} ;
- ii) the vertical boundary of the boxes of \mathcal{B}' is contained in the vertical boundary of boxes of \mathcal{B} ;
- iii) for each box $\mathbb{B}' \in \mathcal{B}'$, there exists a box $\mathbb{B} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathbb{B} \cap \mathbb{B}' \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbb{B} \cap \partial_v \mathbb{B}' = \emptyset$. \square

By applying this theorem inductively, we have a sequence of well-adapted box decompositions $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ such that

- 1) $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \mathcal{B}$,
- 2) $\mathcal{B}^{(n+1)}$ is inflated from $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ and
- 3) $\mathcal{B}^{(n+1)}$ defines a finite set $\mathcal{P}^{(n+1)}$ of $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$ -patches (which contain at least a $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$ -tile in their interiors) and a tiling in $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P}^{(n+1)})$ of each leaf of \mathbb{X} .

Since $\mathcal{P}^{(n+1)}$ -tiles are $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$ -patches and also plaques of $\mathcal{B}^{(n+1)}$, we shall use the same letter \mathbb{P} to denote them.

Let $X^{(n)}$ be the decreasing sequence of total transversals associated to $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$. Given any increasing sequence of integers N_n , we can construct a sequence of inflated box decompositions $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ that such each Delone set $\mathcal{D}_\tau^{(n)} = L_\tau \cap X^{(n)}$ is N_n -separated, i.e. if $\mathcal{T}_1 \neq \mathcal{T}_2$ in $\mathcal{D}_\tau^{(n)}$, the distance between \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 is bigger or equal than N_n . Such a sequence defines an increasing sequence of CEERs \mathcal{R}_n on X . Indeed, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the equivalence class $\mathcal{R}_n[\mathcal{T}]$ coincides with the *discrete plaque* $P_n = \mathbb{P}_n \cap X$ determined by the plaque \mathbb{P}_n of $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ passing through \mathcal{T} . We can also see each discrete plaque P_n as a plaque of a *discrete flow box* $B = \mathbb{B} \cap X$ and each discrete flow box B as an element of a discrete box decomposition defined by \mathcal{B} .

Proposition 3.3. *The inductive limit $\mathcal{R}_\infty = \varinjlim \mathcal{R}_n$ is a minimal open AF equivalence subrelation of \mathcal{R} .*

Proof. It is clear that \mathcal{R}_∞ is an open AF equivalence subrelation of \mathcal{R} . On the other hand, in order to show that \mathcal{R}_∞ is minimal, we must prove that all \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes meet any open subset A of X . But each \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence class contains an increasing sequence of discrete plaques $P_n = \mathbb{P}_n \cap X$ where \mathbb{P}_n are the plaques of the box decompositions $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$. Since \mathcal{R} is minimal, the intersection $A \cap L_\tau$ remains a Delone set quasi-isometric to L_τ , and therefore $A \cap \mathbb{P}_n = A \cap P_n \neq \emptyset$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $A \cap \mathcal{R}_\infty[\mathcal{T}]$ is also nonempty, and thus $\mathcal{R}_\infty[\mathcal{T}]$ is dense. \square

Remark 3.4. The inflation process developed in [5] for tilings and tilable laminations has been extended in [2] and [18] for transversely Cantor laminations.

3.2. Boundary. Let us start by defining the (discrete) boundary of an EER \mathcal{R}_n :

Definition 3.5. i) For any tiling $\mathcal{T} \in X$, let $\partial \mathcal{R}_n[\mathcal{T}]$ be the set of tilings $\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{T} - v$ such that v is the base point of any \mathcal{P} -tile of the patch \mathbb{P}_n meeting $\partial \mathbb{P}_n$.

ii) We define the *boundary of \mathcal{R}_n* as the clopen set

$$\partial \mathcal{R}_n = \bigcup_{\mathcal{T} \in X^{(n)}} \partial \mathcal{R}_n[\mathcal{T}] = \bigcup_{\mathbb{B}_n \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}} \partial_v B_n$$

where $\partial_v B_n$ is the vertical boundary of the discrete flow box $B_n = \mathbb{B}_n \cap X$.

iii) Finally, $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \partial\mathcal{R}_n$ is a meager closed subset of X , which we call the *boundary of \mathcal{R}_∞* .

Notice that, even if \mathcal{R} is AF, the boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is always nonempty.

For each tiling $\mathcal{T} \in \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$, the \mathcal{R} -class $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{T}]$ separates into several \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes. By replacing the elements of these \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes with the corresponding \mathcal{P} -tiles, we obtain a decomposition of the leaf $L = L_{\mathcal{T}}$ passing through \mathcal{T} into regions having a common boundary $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$. In fact, the union of all these common boundaries is a closed subset

$$\partial_c \mathcal{R}_\infty = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{\mathbb{B}_n \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}} \partial_v \mathbb{B}_n$$

of \mathbb{X} , which we call the *continuous boundary of \mathcal{R}_∞* . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the closed subset $\bigcup_{\mathbb{B}_n \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}} \partial_v \mathbb{B}_n$ of \mathbb{X} intersects L into an infinite graph $\Gamma^{(n)} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^{(n)}$ where each edge separates two different tiles of \mathcal{T} and is never terminal. According to property (iii) in Theorem 3.2, $\Gamma = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Gamma^{(n)}$ is an infinite tree without terminal edges. It is clear that Γ is acyclic. Moreover, if we assume that Γ is not connected, then there would be a sequence \mathbb{P}_n of inflated \mathcal{B}_n -plaques such that the distance between two disjoint edges would be bounded, but this is not possible. As the box decomposition $\mathcal{B}^{(0)} = \mathcal{B}$ is finite, $\Gamma^{(0)}$ has *bounded geometry*, i.e. each vertex has uniformly bounded degree. The same happens with Γ and $\Gamma^{(n)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By replacing X with a different total transversal \check{X} passing through the vertices of \mathcal{T} , we can assume that the corresponding discrete boundary $\check{\partial}\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is equal to $\partial_c \mathcal{R}_\infty \cap \check{X}$. However, each point in the new boundary $\check{\partial}\mathcal{R}_\infty$ determines four points (in the interior of four different adjacent tiles) of the original boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$. We resume the above discussion in the following statement:

Proposition 3.6. *The continuous boundary $\partial_c \mathcal{R}_\infty$ is a closed subset of \mathbb{X} which admits a natural partition into trees without terminal edges (induced by the TDS of \mathbb{X}) with total transversal $\check{\partial}\mathcal{R}_\infty$.*

By construction, if $\mathcal{T} \in \check{\partial}\mathcal{R}_\infty$, the degree of the origin in $\Gamma^{(n)} = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}^{(n)}$ depends continuously on \mathcal{T} . Thus, we obtain a continuous map $D_n : \check{\partial}\mathcal{R}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, which is actually defined on the whole transversal $\check{X}^{(n)}$ consisting of all vertices of the $\mathcal{P}^{(n)}$ -tiling inflated from \mathcal{T} . This extends to a continuous map on \check{X} by defining $D_n(\mathcal{T}) = 2$ when the origin belongs to some edge of the inflated tiling (so that it is a vertex of \mathcal{T} different from the vertices of the inflated tiling) and $D_n(\mathcal{T}) = 0$ otherwise (that is, when the origin belongs to the interior of some inflated tile). We denote by $D : \check{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ the infimum of this family of continuous functions, called *the degree function of the inflation process*, which verifies the following property:

Proposition 3.7. *The degree function $D : \check{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and its restriction $D : \check{\partial}\mathcal{R}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ to the boundary $\check{\partial}\mathcal{R}_\infty$ are upper semi-continuous.* \square

In order to apply the Absorption Theorem of [12] in the next step, we also need to prove the following result:

Proposition 3.8. *The boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is \mathcal{R}_∞ -thin.*

In [1], we showed how to derive this result from the type of growth of the leaves of \mathcal{F} . To do so, we simply adapted the method used by C. Series in [25] to prove that any

measurable foliation with polynomial growth is hyperfinite. But as in the original proof of the Affability Theorem in [11], Proposition 3.8 will be recovered here using the isoperimetric properties of the pieces involved in the Robinson inflation.

3.3. Absorption. We give now a first description of the last step of the proof of the Affability Theorem. We start by recalling the Absorption Theorem 4.6 of [12], which is a key ingredient in this proof:

Theorem 3.9 ([12]). *Let \mathcal{R} be a minimal AF equivalence relation on the Cantor set X . Assume Y is a \mathcal{R} -étale and \mathcal{R} -thin closed subset of X , and let \mathcal{K} be a CEER on Y that is transverse to $\mathcal{R}|_Y$ (i.e. $\mathcal{R}|_Y \cap \mathcal{K} = \Delta_Y$ and there is an isomorphism of topological groupoids $\varphi : \mathcal{R}|_Y * \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} * \mathcal{R}|_Y$). Then there is a homeomorphism $h : X \rightarrow X$ such that*

- i) h implements an orbit equivalence between the equivalence relation $\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{K}$ generated by \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{K} , and the AF equivalence relation \mathcal{R} ;*
- ii) $h(Y)$ is \mathcal{R} -étale and $h(Y)$ is \mathcal{R} -thin;*
- iii) $h|_Y$ implements an isomorphism between $\mathcal{R}|_Y \vee \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{R}|_{h(Y)}$.*

In particular, $\mathcal{R} \vee \mathcal{K}$ is affable. □

According to Propositions 3.3, 3.6 and 3.8, the equivalence relation \mathcal{R}_∞ fulfills most of the hypotheses of the Absorption Theorem. On the other hand, since \mathcal{R}_∞ and \mathcal{R} are EER on X , their graphs (denoted again by \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}_∞) split into countably many clopen bisections of \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{R}_∞ respectively. Recall that a *bisection* of \mathcal{R}_∞ (or \mathcal{R}) is the graph of a partial transformation $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{R}_∞ (or \mathcal{R}) between two subsets A and B of X . If all \mathcal{R} -equivalence classes split into at most two \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes, we have a global decomposition of $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ into two clopen subsets A and B and a global transformation $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ of $\mathcal{R}|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$ which generates a CEER \mathcal{K} transverse to $\mathcal{R}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$. In this case, we can use a former version of the Absorption Theorem (Theorem 4.18 of [15]) to see that $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}$ is OE to \mathcal{R}_∞ . But, in general, there may be a partial transformation $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{R}_∞ sending a point $\mathcal{T}_1 \in \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ to a point $\mathcal{T}_2 = \varphi(\mathcal{T}_1) \in \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ (so that its graph is a clopen bisection of \mathcal{R}_∞ passing through $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \in \mathcal{R}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$) and admitting another point $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1 \in A \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_2 = \varphi(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1) \notin \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ (and hence $(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1, \hat{\mathcal{T}}_2) \notin \mathcal{R}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$). In the other words, the boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ may not be \mathcal{R}_∞ -étale. In order to divide the boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ into smaller closed pieces with the same finite number of \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes, we can use some special inflation process, like that described in [11] using Voronoi tilings, or the one we describe below inspired by Robinson tilings.

4. ROBINSON INFLATION

In this section, we define an inflation process for planar tilings which is modeled by the natural inflation of Robinson tilings (see [16] and [23]). Firstly, the tiling space is replaced with one whose tiles are marked squares using [24]. Then we construct a family of inflated flow boxes whose plaques are squares of side N_1 , that is maximal in the sense that there is no space for any other square of side N_1 . Finally, we replace this partial box decomposition with a complete box decomposition in such a way that the isoperimetric ratios of the plaques are still good. In this way, we recurrently obtain an inflation process such that all \mathcal{R} -equivalence classes split at most into 4 different \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes. However, as in the general case, the EER \mathcal{R}_∞




FIGURE 2. Arms and axes

is still too coarse to make étale its boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$. Thus, in Section 6, we shall decorate Robinson plaques to obtain a minimal open AF equivalence subrelation $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ such that $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ becomes a $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -thin and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -étale closed subset of X . Using similar absorption techniques to those used in [11], we shall reduce the number of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -equivalence classes in which \mathcal{R} -equivalence classes are decomposed until \mathcal{R} is proven to be affable.

4.1. Sadun-Williams reduction to square tilings. Let $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P})$ be the foliated space of all planar tilings \mathcal{T} constructed from a finite set of prototiles \mathcal{P} . Let us recall that tiles are obtained by translation from a finite number of polygons, which are touching face-to-face. For any repetitive tiling $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P})$, the continuous hull of \mathcal{T} is the minimal closed subset $\mathbb{X} = \overline{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{T}}$. The induced TDS is transversely modeled by the set X of elements of \mathbb{X} where the origin belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{T}}$. If \mathcal{T} is also aperiodic, then X is homeomorphic to the Cantor set.

Theorem 4.1 ([24]). *The continuous hull of any planar tiling is OE to the continuous hull of a tiling whose tiles are marked squares.* \square

According to this theorem, we assume \mathcal{P} is a finite set of marked squares and we consider an aperiodic and repetitive tiling \mathcal{T} in \mathbb{X} . Furthermore, all leaves \mathbb{X} are endowed with the max-distance.

4.2. Constructing an intermediate tiling. Now, let us start by inflating some tiles in the usual sense: there is a finite number of flow boxes $\mathbb{B}_{1,i} \cong \mathbb{P}_{1,i} \times X_{1,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, k_1$, such that the plaques $\mathbb{P}_{1,i}$ are squares of side N_1 and the transversal $X_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_1} X_{1,i}$ is N_1 -dense in \mathbb{X} (i.e. any ball of radius N_1 meets X_1) with respect to the longitudinal max-distance. We say that $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\mathbb{B}_{1,i}\}_{i=1}^{k_1}$ is a *partial box decomposition* of \mathbb{X} , and we write $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{\mathbb{P}_{1,i}\}_{i=1}^{k_1}$. The first step in order to inflate tilings in \mathbb{X} is to replace \mathcal{B}_1 with a true box decomposition \mathcal{B}'_1 . To do so, we need some preliminaries:

Definition 4.2. Let \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} be two plaques of \mathcal{B}_1 contained in the same leaf of \mathbb{X} . We say that \mathbb{Q} is a *neighbor of \mathbb{P}* if the orthogonal distance from an edge of \mathbb{P} to \mathbb{Q} is the minimum of the distance from this edge of \mathbb{P} to another plaque, see Figure 2(a). In this case, the union of the orthogonal segments which realize the distance between \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} is called an *arm* (of the complement of the partial tiling defined by \mathcal{B}_1), see Figure 2(b). Such an arm contains a segment, called the *axis*, which is parallel




FIGURE 3. Crosses with 4 arms




FIGURE 4. Crosses with 3 arms

and equidistant to the corresponding edges of \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q} . Also note that \mathbb{P} is not always a neighbor of \mathbb{Q} , even though \mathbb{Q} is a neighbor of \mathbb{P} , see Figure 2(a).

Lemma 4.3. *Any arm has a length and width less than or equal to N_1 .*

Proof. Obviously the length of any arm is bounded by the side length N_1 of the plaques of \mathcal{B}_1 . On the other hand, if the width of an arm (between two plaques \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q}) were larger than N_1 , there would be space for another plaque (between \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{Q}) and X_1 would not be N_1 -dense, see Figure 2(c). \square

Lemma 4.4. *For each tiling $\mathcal{T} \in \mathbb{X}$, let us consider the union of the plaques of the partial box decomposition \mathcal{B}_1 and the corresponding arms. Each connected component of the complement of this union is a marked rectangle, called a cross, with sides of a length less than or equal to $2N_1$.*

Proof. Since each plaque of \mathcal{B}_1 has at most 4 neighbors, the boundary of each connected component meets 3 or 4 plaques of \mathcal{B}_1 and 3 or 4 arms between these plaques. In both cases, the connected component is a rectangle of side length at most $2N_1$, as can be see in Figures 3 and 4. \square

Remark 4.5. It is also interesting to note that there could be degenerate arms and crosses, such as those illustrated in the Figure 5.

4.3. Robinson inflation. Using arms and crosses, we can replace the partial box decomposition \mathcal{B}_1 with a complete box decomposition \mathcal{B}'_1 . In this step, we modify this decomposition so that it becomes inflated from the initial decomposition \mathcal{B} by unit square plaques. We start by introducing some definitions:

Definition 4.6. i) The middle point of the intersection of each arm with a cross is called an *exit point* of the cross. Each side of a cross contains at most 1 exit point and each nondegenerate cross has at least 3 exit points, see Figures 3 and 4.




FIGURE 5. Degenerate crosses and arms

They are *positive* or *negative* end points of the axes (relatively to the usual positive orientation). If the cross is degenerated, there are still at least 3 exit points counting multiplicities, see Figure 5.

ii) Each cross is decorated with a graph which is obtained by joining the center of mass with the exit points. In the nondegenerate case, this decoration separates the cross into 3 or 4 regions. In the degenerate case, the cross reduces to a common side of two different arms (decorated with the middle point contained in the two axes) or a single point (which is the intersection of the degenerate arms). Each of these regions is called a *cross-sector*. We also use the term *cross-sector* to refer to the union of \mathcal{P} -tiles that meet the original cross-sector. As explained in [5], it is irrelevant where \mathcal{P} -tiles which meet several sectors are included, although for simplicity we shall assume such a \mathcal{P} -tiles are included in the sectors pointing right and upward.

iii) Let \mathbb{P} be a plaque of the partial box decomposition \mathcal{B}_1 . We denote by \mathbb{P}' the union of \mathbb{P} with the half-arms and the cross-sectors meeting \mathbb{P} . Replacing these half-arms and cross-sectors with corresponding half-arms and cross-sectors made of \mathcal{P} -tiles as above, we obtain another planar set \mathbb{P}'' . Now \mathbb{P}'' is a tile of a planar tiling \mathcal{T}'' inflated from \mathcal{T} and a plaque of a box decomposition \mathcal{B}_1'' inflated from \mathcal{B} . We call this process *Robinson inflation*.

Lemma 4.7. *Any tile \mathbb{P}' and any inflated tile \mathbb{P}'' contain a square of side N_1 , and they are contained in a square of side $3N_1$. Thus their areas $\text{area}(\mathbb{P}')$ and $\text{area}(\mathbb{P}'')$ are comprised between N_1^2 and $9N_1^2$. If \mathbb{P}' and \mathbb{P}'' are associated to the same plaque \mathbb{P} of the partial box decomposition \mathcal{B}_1 , then*

$$\text{area}(\mathbb{P}'') \geq \text{area}(\mathbb{P}') - \text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}') \text{area}(\mathbb{P}_0) = \text{area}(\mathbb{P}') - \text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}')$$

and

$$\text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}'') \leq \text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}') \text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}_0) \leq 64N_1$$

where \mathbb{P}_0 is the square prototile of \mathcal{T} .

Proof. Firstly, since \mathbb{P}'' is obtained from \mathbb{P}' by adding or removing \mathcal{P} -tiles, we have that

$$\text{area}(\mathbb{P}'') \geq \text{area}(\mathbb{P}') - \text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}') \text{area}(\partial\mathbb{P}_0)$$

and

$$\text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}'') \leq \text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}') \text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}_0)$$

where $\text{area}(\mathbb{P}_0) = 1$ and $\text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}_0) = 4$. On the other hand, when we replace \mathbb{P} with \mathbb{P}' , the side length increases by at most $2\sqrt{2}N_1 \leq 3N_1$, where $2\sqrt{2}N_1$ is the product of the maximum number of nondegenerate crosses that meet each edge of \mathbb{P} (equal to 2) and the half-diagonal of the square of side $2N_1$ (equal to $\sqrt{2}N_1$). Thus $\text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}') \leq 4(N_1 + 3N_1) = 16N_1$ and we have finished. \square

Arguing inductively, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 4.8. *There is a sequence of box decompositions \mathcal{B}_n'' such that $\mathcal{B}_0'' = \mathcal{B}$ and \mathcal{B}_{n+1}'' is obtained by Robinson inflation of \mathcal{B}_n'' .* \square

We denote by \mathcal{P}'_n and \mathcal{P}''_n the sets of the plaques of \mathcal{B}'_n and \mathcal{B}''_n respectively. Then \mathcal{B}'_n and \mathcal{B}''_n induce tilings $\mathcal{T}'_n \in \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P}'_n)$ and $\mathcal{T}''_n \in \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P}''_n)$ on each leaf of \mathbb{X} . By construction, each plaque \mathbb{P}'_{n+1} of the box decomposition \mathcal{B}'_{n+1} is a \mathcal{P}'_n -patch, which is constructed from a square of side N_{n+1} and contained in a square of side $3N_{n+1}$. In the same way, each plaque \mathbb{P}''_{n+1} of the inflated box decomposition \mathcal{B}''_{n+1} is a \mathcal{P}''_n -patch. Thus, the tiling $\mathcal{T}''_{n+1} \in \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P}''_{n+1})$ induced by \mathcal{B}''_{n+1} is obtained by Robinson inflation from the tiling $\mathcal{T}''_n \in \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{P}''_n)$ induced by \mathcal{B}''_n . As in the proof of Lemma 4.7, we can see that

$$\text{area}(\mathbb{P}''_{n+1}) \geq \text{area}(\mathbb{P}'_{n+1}) - \text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}'_{n+1})A_n$$

and

$$\text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}''_{n+1}) \leq \text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}'_{n+1})L_n$$

where $A_n = \max\{\text{area}(\mathbb{P}''_n) \mid \mathbb{P}''_n \in \mathcal{P}''_n\}$ and $L_n = \max\{\text{length}(\mathbb{P}''_n) \mid \mathbb{P}''_n \in \mathcal{P}''_n\}$. On the other hand, we have that

$$\text{area}(\mathbb{P}'_{n+1}) \geq N_{n+1}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}'_{n+1}) \leq 16N_{n+1}.$$

Finally, we can assume that $L_n \leq A_n$ when n is chosen large enough.

Proposition 4.9. *There is a sequence of positive integers N_n such that the isoperimetric ratio*

$$\frac{\text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}''_n)}{\text{area}(\mathbb{P}''_n)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. According to the previous inequalities, if we choose $N_{n+1} \geq N_n^3$, then the isoperimetric ratio

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}'_{n+1})}{\text{area}(\mathbb{P}'_{n+1})} &\leq \frac{\text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}'_{n+1})L_n}{\text{area}(\mathbb{P}'_{n+1}) - \text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}'_{n+1})A_n} \\
&\leq \frac{\text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}'_{n+1})A_n}{\text{area}(\mathbb{P}'_{n+1}) - \text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}'_{n+1})A_n} \\
&= \frac{1}{\frac{\text{area}(\mathbb{P}'_{n+1})}{\text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}')} \frac{1}{A_n} - 1} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\frac{N_{n+1}^2}{16N_{n+1}} \frac{1}{9N_n^2} - 1} = \frac{(12N_n)^2}{N_{n+1} - (12N_n)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

converges to 0. \square

5. PROPERTIES OF THE BOUNDARY FOR ROBINSON INFLATION

In this section, we replace the initial box decompositions $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ by the box decompositions \mathcal{B}_n'' provided by Theorem 4.8, but we keep all of the notations introduced in Section 3. Thus, according to Proposition 3.3, we have a minimal open AF equivalence subrelation $\mathcal{R}_\infty = \varinjlim \mathcal{R}_n$ of the equivalence relation \mathcal{R} . Our first aim is to prove Proposition 3.8:

Proof of Proposition 3.8. Let μ be a \mathcal{R}_∞ -invariant probability measure on X . For each discrete flow box $B_n'' \cong P_n'' \times X_n''$ defined from an element of \mathcal{B}_n'' , we have that

$$\mu(B_n'') = \#P_n'' \mu(X_n'') \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\partial_v B_n'') = \#\partial P_n'' \mu(X_n'')$$

where $\#P_n''$ and $\#\partial P_n''$ denote the number of elements of the discrete plaque P_n'' and its boundary $\partial P_n''$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\partial\mathcal{R}_n) &= \sum_{\mathbb{B}_n'' \in \mathcal{B}_n''} \mu(\partial_v B_n'') \\
&= \sum_{\mathbb{B}_n'' \in \mathcal{B}_n''} \#\partial P_n'' \mu(X_n'') \\
&= \sum_{\mathbb{B}_n'' \in \mathcal{B}_n''} \frac{\#\partial P_n''}{\#P_n''} \mu(B_n'') \\
&\leq \max_{\mathbb{P}_n'' \in \mathcal{P}_n''} \left\{ \frac{\#\partial P_n''}{\#P_n''} \right\} \sum_{\mathbb{B}_n'' \in \mathcal{B}_n''} \mu(B_n'') \\
&= \max_{\mathbb{P}_n'' \in \mathcal{P}_n''} \left\{ \frac{\#\partial P_n''}{\#P_n''} \right\} \mu(X) = \max_{\mathbb{P}_n'' \in \mathcal{P}_n''} \left\{ \frac{\#\partial P_n''}{\#P_n''} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the leaves of the continuous hull \mathbb{X} are quasi-isometric to the \mathcal{R} -equivalence classes in X , Proposition 4.9 implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\partial P_n''}{\#P_n''} = 0$$

for all $\mathbb{P}_n'' \in \mathcal{P}_n''$. In fact, by replacing the max-metric along the leaves with the discrete metric (defined as the minimum length of the paths of \mathcal{P} -tiles connecting two

points), we can assume that $\text{length}(\partial\mathbb{P}_n'') = \#\partial P_n''$ and $\text{area}(\mathbb{P}_n'') = \#P_n''$. Anyway, we have that

$$\mu(\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\partial\mathcal{R}_n) = 0$$

and then $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is \mathcal{R}_∞ -thin. \square

As announced, we are interested in a key property of the boundary:

Proposition 5.1. *Any \mathcal{R} -equivalence class separates into at most four \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes.*

We shall demonstrate that each tree $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ contained in the continuous boundary $\partial_c\mathcal{R}_\infty$ separates the corresponding leaf $L = L_{\mathcal{T}} \subset \mathbb{X}$ into at most 4 connected components. Firstly, we need to introduce some definitions and distinguish some cases. Let us recall that we have a sequence of box decompositions \mathcal{B}'_n of \mathbb{X} whose plaques are obtained from squares \mathbb{P}_n of side N_n , arms A_n and crosses C_n . In the rest of section, we shall assume all these plaques belong to the same leaf L of \mathbb{X} .

Definition 5.2. We call *virtual arm* of the inflation process a sequence of arms A_n whose axes a_n are contained in a horizontal or vertical ribbon of constant width. Similarly, a *virtual cross* is a sequence of crosses C_n whose centers of mass c_n are contained in a square of constant side. Note that the axes and centers of mass can oscillate in the interior of the ribbon or square, see Figure 6.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. To prove this result, we distinguish some cases:

Case 1) *There are neither axes nor virtual crosses.* In this case, L does not intersect $\partial_c\mathcal{R}_\infty$. This means that $\mathcal{R}_\infty[\mathcal{T}] = \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{T}]$ for all $\mathcal{T} \in L \cap X$.

Case 2) *There is a virtual axis, but there are no virtual crosses.* By definition, there is a sequence of arms A_n whose axes a_n remain in the interior of a ribbon of constant width. There are now two possibilities:

Subcase 2.1) *The positive and negative end points of the axes a_n do not remain in the interior of a square of constant side.* Thus, all the axes a_n grow in both opposite (horizontal or vertical) directions determined by the axis of the ribbon. For simplicity, we say that the axes a_n grow *on the left and right* in the horizontal case and *upward and downward* in the vertical case. In both cases, since $\partial_c\mathcal{R}_\infty$ intersect L in a tree without terminal edges (see Proposition 3.6), which is contained in the horizontal or vertical ribbon, this intersection separates L into 2 connected components, and therefore $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{T}]$ separates into two \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes.

Subcase 2.2) *Positive or negative end points remain in the interior of a square of constant side.* Let us assume first that only negative end points remain in the interior of a square of constant side. In this case, since there are no virtual crosses, there are bigger and bigger crosses C_n whose exit points on the right (resp. up) side coincide with the negative end points of the axes a_n of the horizontal (resp. vertical) arms A_n . Thus, the decorations of the crosses C_n must grow on the left (i.e. the negative direction of the axis of the horizontal ribbon) or downward (i.e. the negative direction of the axis of the vertical ribbon). Now, by joining the axis a_n with the horizontal (resp. vertical) edge of the decoration of C_n , this subcase is reduced to the above one. A similar argument may be used when only positive end points remain in the interior of a square of constant side. Finally, if both negative and positive end points remain in the interior of such a square, then there is a




FIGURE 6. Virtual arms and crosses

virtual arm (defined by a sequence of arms of bounded length) connecting bigger and bigger crosses C_n and C'_n on the left and right (resp. upward and downward) side. They must have 3 exit points, and grow in the opposite directions. Reasoning on the left and right (resp. upward and downward) as in the previous cases, we come to the same conclusion.

Case 3) *There is a single virtual cross.* Thus, there is a sequence of crosses C_n whose centers of mass c_n belong to a square of constant side. As before, we distinguish two subcases:

Subcase 3.1) *The crosses have four exit points (including multiplicities).* Assume first that the crosses grow in the horizontal (on the left and right) and vertical (upward and downward) opposite directions, see Figure 7. Since the crosses cover the whole leaf L , the continuous boundary $\partial_c \mathcal{R}_\infty$ separates L into 4 connected components in the same way that decorations separate crosses. In general, the exit




FIGURE 7. Virtual cross with 4 exit points




FIGURE 8. Virtual cross with degenerate arms

points located on the left and right sides of the crosses are positive and negative end points of the axes of horizontal arms pointing to the left and right. Similarly the exit points on the up and down sides are negative and positive end points of the axes of vertical arms pointing upward and downward. Thus, if the crosses do not grow in all directions, there will be exit points on the right or left, up or down, remaining in the interior of a square of constant side. But these points will be end points of the axes defining virtual arms pointing to the left or right, upward or downward. From the discussion of the previous case, it is clear that $\partial_c \mathcal{R}_\infty$ still separates L into 4 connected components. On the other hand, we may have a degenerate virtual cross defined by a sequence of degenerate crosses of type (a) or (c) in Figure 5. In this case, there will always be 4 virtual arms, while some will be degenerate, see Figure 8. In any event, as before, $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{T}]$ separates into four \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes.

Subcase 3.2) *The crosses have three exit points (including multiplicities).* This situation is obviously very similar to the previous one, see Figure 9. Reasoning as before, we can see that $\partial_c \mathcal{R}_\infty$ separates L into 3 connected components, and then $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{T}]$ separates into three \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes.

Before dealing with the last case, we would like to point out that there may be different types of configurations in successive inflation steps. But because \mathcal{B}''_{n+1} is




FIGURE 9. Virtual cross with 3 exit points




FIGURE 10. Two virtual crosses

inflated from \mathcal{B}_n'' , the number of \mathcal{R}_∞ -classes in each \mathcal{R} -class $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{T}]$ is determined by the configuration with the fewest number of exit points.

Case 4) *There is more than one virtual cross.* Let us recall that the side of the plaques of the partial decomposition \mathcal{B}_n tend to ∞ . So the only possibility is that there are two virtual crosses defined by sequences of crosses with 3 exit points (which may be nondegenerate or degenerate of type (b) or (d) as in Figure 5) connected by horizontal (or vertical) arms of bounded length and whose other horizontal (resp. vertical) arms grow in opposite directions. Furthermore, their only vertical (resp. horizontal) arms must also grow pointing in opposite directions, see Figure 10. In this case, $\partial_c \mathcal{R}_\infty$ still separates L into 4 connected components, and then $\mathcal{R}[\mathcal{T}]$ separates into four \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes. \square

Let us resume the previous results in the following statement:

Proposition 5.3. *Let \mathbb{X} be the continuous hull of an aperiodic and repetitive planar tiling and X the total transversal defined by the choice of base points in the prototiles. Let \mathcal{R} be the EER on X induced by the TDS of \mathbb{X} , and \mathcal{R}_∞ the minimal open AF equivalence subrelation defined by Robinson inflation. Then the boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$ is a*

\mathcal{R}_∞ -thin meager closed subset of X such that every \mathcal{R} -equivalence class represented by an element of $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ separates into at most four \mathcal{R}_∞ -equivalence classes. \square

6. PREPARING THE ABSORPTION

In this section, we shall prepare the boundary to apply absorption and to complete the proof of the Affability Theorem in the next section. Let us start by considering the natural filtration

$$\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty = \bigcup_{i=2}^4 \partial_i\mathcal{R}_\infty = \bigcup_{i=2}^4 \{ \mathcal{T} \in X \mid \mathcal{R}[\mathcal{T}] \text{ is union of } i \text{ } \mathcal{R}_\infty\text{-equivalence classes} \}$$

deduced from Proposition 5.3. We know that the whole boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is a \mathcal{R}_∞ -thin closed subset of X , but it is not \mathcal{R}_∞ -étale. Given a partial transformation $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{R}_∞ between clopen subsets A and B of X sending $\mathcal{T}_1 \in \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ to $\mathcal{T}_2 = \varphi(\mathcal{T}_1) \in \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ (so that its graph is a clopen bisection of \mathcal{R}_∞ passing through $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \in \mathcal{R}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$), it may be that there was a point $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1 \in A \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_2 = \varphi(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1) \notin \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ (and hence $(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1, \hat{\mathcal{T}}_2) \notin \mathcal{R}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$). On the other hand, although $\partial_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$ and $\partial_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$ are not closed, we can construct fundamental domains \mathcal{H}_3 for $\mathcal{R}|_{\partial_3\mathcal{R}_\infty}$ and \mathcal{H}_4 for $\mathcal{R}|_{\partial_4\mathcal{R}_\infty}$. They are naturally equipped with CEERs which are transverse to the restrictions of \mathcal{R}_∞ . Before we continue, let us clarify this definition:

Definition 6.1. A subset A of X is said to be a *fundamental domain* for the equivalence relation induced on its \mathcal{R} -saturation when A intersects all the \mathcal{R} -equivalence classes of this saturation in exactly one point. Such a subset is obviously \mathcal{R} -étale.

Unfortunately, $\partial_2\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is not closed and it is not easy to construct a fundamental domain for $\mathcal{R}|_{\partial_2\mathcal{R}_\infty}$. As has been said, the idea is to replace \mathcal{R}_∞ with an equivalence subrelation $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ by splitting the \mathcal{R}_n -classes into smaller pieces so that $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ becomes $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -thin and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -étale. Before this, we shall construct the announced fundamental domains \mathcal{H}_3 and \mathcal{H}_4 .

6.1. Constructing the fundamental domains \mathcal{H}_3 and \mathcal{H}_4 . For each $\mathcal{T} \in \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the equivalence class $\mathcal{R}_n[\mathcal{T}]$ is the intersection of X with the \mathcal{P}_n'' -tile \mathbb{P}_n'' passing through the base point of \mathcal{T} . From Proposition 4.9, even if each \mathcal{P}_n'' -tile may have a very nasty boundary, it looks as a square on a large scale when $n \rightarrow \infty$. In Subsection 3.2, we have denoted by $\Gamma^{(n)}$ the union of these boundaries, that is, $\Gamma^{(n)}$ is the union of the edges of the \mathcal{P}_n'' -tiling \mathcal{T}_n'' . We shall distinguish the edges and the vertices of \mathcal{T}_n'' (which define the total transversal $\check{X}^{(n)}$ described in Subsection 3.2) from the edges and the vertices of the n -boundary $\Gamma^{(n)}$ (endowed with the graph structure derived from the original tiling \mathcal{T}). The intersection of the total transversal \check{X} with the graph $\Gamma^{(n)}$ give us the whole vertex set of $\Gamma^{(n)}$ whose degree function has been denoted $D_n : \check{X} \cap \Gamma^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Let us now recall that $\partial_c\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is equipped with a treed equivalence relation induced by \mathcal{F} so that the class $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ passing through \mathcal{T} coincides with the intersection of graphs $\Gamma^{(n)}$. According to Proposition 5.1, this is a tree without terminal edges having 2, 3 or 4 ends, see Figures 11 and 12.

If we assume $\mathcal{T} \in \check{\partial}_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$, there is a virtual cross defined by a sequences of crosses C_n with 3 or 4 arms for the intermediate tilings \mathcal{T}_n' . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there are 3




FIGURE 11. Two and three ends




FIGURE 12. Four ends

or 4 different \mathcal{P}'_n -tiles which meet in a neighborhood in $\Gamma^{(n)}$ of some fixed vertex $o = o_\Gamma$ in C_n . They form a \mathcal{P}'_n -patch $\mathbb{M}_n(\Gamma)$.

Definition 6.2. We say that o is the *root* of Γ and $\mathbb{M}_n(\Gamma)$ is a *basic \mathcal{P}'_n -patch around the root o* . We denote by $D(\mathbb{M}_n(\Gamma))$ the number of \mathcal{P}'_n -tiles of $\mathbb{M}_n(\Gamma)$.

The decoration of C_n and the axis of the corresponding arms A_n form a rough model for Γ in neighborhood of the root o , whereas the axis of the virtual arms provide rough models for the ends of Γ .

Proposition 6.3. *The natural EER induced on the \mathcal{R} -saturation of $\check{\partial}_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$ admits a fundamental domain $\check{\mathcal{H}}_3$ which is the union of countably many disjoint closed subsets $\check{\mathcal{H}}_{3,m}$.*

Proof. Given $\mathcal{T} \in \check{\partial}_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$, let $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ be the trace of the continuous boundary $\partial_c\mathcal{R}_\infty$ on the leaf $L = L_{\mathcal{T}}$. According to the previous discussion (see again the proof of Proposition 5.1), we know that $\mathbb{M}_n(\Gamma)$ grows in at least three different directions, see Figure 11(b). But notice that we may also find a basic patch of this kind in a leaf of the saturation of $\mathcal{T} \in \check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$ having exactly two virtual crosses. In this case, Γ has two roots o and o' of degree $D(o) = D(o') = 3$, see Figure 12(b). If we assume that $\mathbb{M}_n(\Gamma)$ contains a ball of radius n centered at o and if n is larger than the

distance ℓ between o and o' , we need 4 different \mathcal{P}_n'' -tiles to cover the ball. In other words, for a large enough n , the basic \mathcal{P}_n'' -patches around o and o' have nontrivial intersection, and we can replace each of the original basic \mathcal{P}_n'' -patches (made up of 3 different \mathcal{P}_n'' -tiles) with its union (made up of 4 different \mathcal{P}_n'' -tiles). We still denote by $\mathbb{M}_n(\Gamma)$ the new basic \mathcal{P}_n'' -patch around o and o' . Thus $D(\mathbb{M}_n(\Gamma)) = 4$ is different from the degrees $D(o) = D(o') = 3$. Now, since o belongs to $\check{\partial}_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$, there is a minimal integer $m(\Gamma) \geq 0$ such that $D(\mathbb{M}_n(\Gamma)) = 3 = D(o)$ for all $n \geq m(\Gamma)$.

Let $X_n(\Gamma)$ be the set of tilings in \check{X} containing the patch $\mathbb{M}_n(\Gamma)$ (which cover the ball of radius n) around the origin. This is a clopen subset of \check{X} . Let us note that each clopen subset $X_n(\Gamma) \cap \check{\partial}_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is a fundamental domain for the equivalence relation induced on $\partial_c\mathcal{R}_\infty$. But $X_n(\Gamma)$ is never a fundamental domain for the EER induced on the \mathcal{R} -saturation of $\check{\partial}_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$. However, the intersection

$$\check{\mathcal{H}}_{3,\Gamma} = \bigcap_{n \geq m(\Gamma)} X_n(\Gamma)$$

is a closed subset of $\check{\partial}_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$ which meets all the \mathcal{R} -equivalence classes in the \mathcal{R} -saturation of $\check{\partial}_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$ at most one time, although its \mathcal{R} -saturation may be smaller than that of $\check{\partial}_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$. Unfortunately, there are uncountably many finite labeled trees Γ with 3 ends. However, we can consider the closed set

$$\check{\mathcal{H}}_{3,\Gamma_m} = \bigcap_{n \geq m} \bigcup_{\Gamma'_m = \Gamma_m} X_n(\Gamma')$$

where Γ' represents any tree in $\partial_c\mathcal{R}_\infty$ such that $\mathbb{M}_m(\Gamma') = \mathbb{M}_m(\Gamma)$ and therefore $\Gamma'_m = \Gamma' \cap \mathbb{M}_m(\Gamma') = \Gamma \cap \mathbb{M}_m(\Gamma) = \Gamma_m$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there are only finitely many trees Γ_m , and then the union $\check{\mathcal{H}}_{3,\Gamma_m}$ of the closed sets $\check{\mathcal{H}}_{3,\Gamma_m}$ still is closed. The union

$$\check{\mathcal{H}}_3 = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \check{\mathcal{H}}_{3,m}$$

is fundamental domain which meets each leaf of the saturation of $\check{\partial}_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$ in a unique point: the root o of the boundary Γ . \square

The construction of a fundamental domain for the \mathcal{R} -saturation of $\check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$ should be a little different since many leaves admit two roots. Only in the case when the leaves have a unique real root of degree 4, see Figure 12(a), we can conclude as in the previous case. The rest of four-divided leaves (with two roots of degree 3 as in Figure 12(b)) should be treated in another way.

Proposition 6.4. *There is a closed subset $\check{\mathcal{H}}_{4,0} \subset \check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$ of the continuous boundary $\check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$ intersecting all \mathcal{R} -equivalence classes in at most one point: the unique vertex of degree 4 of the corresponding tree in $\partial_c\mathcal{R}_\infty$. \square*

In general, for each tiling $\mathcal{T} \in \check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty - \check{\mathcal{H}}_{4,0}$, we have pairs of crosses C_n and C'_n with 3 exit points defining two virtual crosses connected by a virtual arm of bounded length. Let us assume that these crosses are of the type described in Figure 12(b), that is, the corresponding arms are included in the union of a horizontal ribbon and two vertical semi-ribbons pointing upward and downward. Like for tilings in $\check{\partial}_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$, the union of the decoration of C_n and C'_n and the axis of the corresponding arms A_n and A'_n forms a rough model for the tree $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ passing through \mathcal{T} in a neighborhood of the two roots o and o' , see Figure 12(b). In this case, the axes of

the vertical semi-ribbons meet the horizontal axis in two different points, making up a rough model for Γ . We define $\check{\mathcal{H}}_{4,\ell}$ as the set of tilings $\mathcal{T} \in \check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$ with origin in a o such that the distance to the other root o' is equal to a nonnegative integer ℓ .

Proposition 6.5. *The natural EER induced on the \mathcal{R} -saturation of $\check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$ admits a fundamental domain $\check{\mathcal{H}}_4$ which is the union of countably many disjoint closed subsets $\check{\mathcal{H}}_{4,\ell}$.*

Proof. We start by fixing a positive integer $\ell \geq 1$, and considering a tiling $\mathcal{T} \in \check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$ such that there is a geodesic path γ of length ℓ joining o and o' . If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is large enough, there are 4 different \mathcal{P}_n'' -tiles that meet together in a neighborhood of γ in Γ . As in the proof of Lemma 6.3, they form a basic \mathcal{P}_n'' -patch around any vertex of γ which coincides with $\mathbb{M}_n(\Gamma)$. We denote by $X_n(\Gamma)$ the set of tilings in \check{X} having this patch around the origin (that becomes one of the vertices of γ). In this case, since all the points of γ belong to $\check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$, there is a minimal integer $m(\gamma) \geq 0$ such that $D(\mathbb{M}_n(\Gamma)) = 4$ for all $n \geq m(\gamma)$ whereas $D(o) = D(o') = 3$. Therefore, if Γ' represents any tree in $\partial_c\mathcal{R}_\infty$ such that $\mathbb{M}_m(\Gamma') = \mathbb{M}_m(\Gamma)$, then

$$\check{\mathcal{G}}_{4,\gamma} = \bigcap_{n \geq m} \bigcup_{\Gamma'_m = \Gamma_m} X_n(\Gamma')$$

is a closed subset of $\check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$ which meets all the \mathcal{R} -equivalence classes of the \mathcal{R} -saturation of $\check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$ in at most $\ell + 1$ points. Since there is a finite number of paths of length $\leq \ell$ starting from the origin, the union $\check{\mathcal{G}}_{4,\ell}$ of all these closed subsets $\check{\mathcal{G}}_{4,\gamma}$ of $\check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$ still is closed. Moreover, each closed subset $\check{\mathcal{G}}_{4,\gamma}$ split into $\ell + 1$ closed subsets intersecting all \mathcal{R} -equivalence classes in at most one point. We denote by $\check{\mathcal{H}}_{4,\gamma}$ any of these closed sets. As before, their union $\check{\mathcal{H}}_{4,\ell}$ still has the same property. Then

$$\check{\mathcal{H}}_4 = \bigcup_{\ell \geq 0} \check{\mathcal{H}}_{4,\ell}$$

is a fundamental domain for the \mathcal{R} -saturation of $\check{\partial}_4\mathcal{R}_\infty$. \square

Although Propositions 6.3 and 6.5 has been proved in a similar way, there is an important difference between $\check{\mathcal{H}}_3$ and $\check{\mathcal{H}}_{4,\ell}$:

Proposition 6.6. *The fundamental domain $\check{\mathcal{H}}_3$ is a closed subset of \check{X} .*

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{T}_n is a sequence of tilings belonging to $\check{\mathcal{H}}_3$ that converges to a tiling \mathcal{T} . According to Proposition 3.7, the set $\{\mathcal{T} \in \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty | D(\mathcal{T}) \geq 3\} \supset \check{\mathcal{H}}_3$ is a closed subset of $\check{\partial}\mathcal{R}_\infty \subset \check{X}$. Thus, the origin of \mathcal{T} is placed at a root of degree 3 or 4. In the first case, if $\mathcal{T} \notin \check{\mathcal{H}}_3$, there is a \mathcal{T} -patch (consisting of four \mathcal{P}_n'' -tiles) containing a second root of the boundary $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$. For a large enough n , there are \mathcal{T}_n -patches (consisting of four \mathcal{P}_n'' -plaques in the same flow boxes in \mathcal{B}_n'') which determine two different roots of the boundaries $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_n}$ of \mathcal{T}_n . But this contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{T}_n \in \check{\mathcal{H}}_3$. In the second case, if $D(\mathcal{T}) = 4$, we can argue similarly to conclude that $D(\mathcal{T}_n) = 4$ for a large enough n , contradicting again the fact that $\mathcal{T}_n \in \check{\mathcal{H}}_3$. \square

Remark 6.7. Going back to the total transversal X , we can replace $\check{\mathcal{H}}_3$ and $\check{\mathcal{H}}_{4,\ell}$ by 3 or 4 disjoint closed fundamental domains for \mathcal{R} . We shall denote by \mathcal{H}_3 and $\mathcal{H}_{4,\ell}$ any of these closed fundamental domains.




FIGURE 13. Constructing $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_n$ and coloring \mathcal{P} -tiles

6.2. Making a patchwork. As we have already said, it is not clear how a fundamental domain for $\partial_2 \mathcal{R}_\infty$ can be constructed. Now, we shall replace \mathcal{R}_n with an equivalence subrelation $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_n$ obtained using the following procedure.

Firstly, we color each \mathcal{T}_n'' -edge of the tile \mathbb{P}_n'' and consequently all the \mathcal{P}_{n-1}'' -tiles touching $\partial \mathbb{P}_n''$. Thus, a well-defined color $c \in \mathcal{C} = \{1, \dots, 8\}$ is associated with any \mathcal{P}_{n-1}'' -tile touching only the interior of the \mathcal{T}_n'' -edges in \mathbb{P}_n'' , while two colors are necessary to encode each \mathcal{P}_{n-1}'' -tile incident to some \mathcal{T}_n'' -vertex in \mathbb{P}_n'' . Secondly, we split the complementary of their union into the same number of \mathcal{P}_{n-1}'' -patches ≤ 8 , and finally we color arbitrarily these \mathcal{P}_{n-1}'' -patches with the same number of colors, see Figure 13(a). Now, if the origin of the tiling $\mathcal{T} \in X$ belongs to \mathbb{P}_n'' , the class $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_n[\mathcal{T}]$ is defined as the intersection of X with each \mathcal{P}_{n-1}'' -tile having the same color that the \mathcal{P} -tile containing the origin.

Note, however, that the base point of each \mathcal{P} -tile incident to some \mathcal{T}_n'' -vertex must be doubled by attaching two color codes at each stage of the inflation. In some cases, namely when there are two \mathcal{P} -tiles included in the same \mathcal{P}_n'' -tile, the color of each incident \mathcal{T}_n'' -edge determine a single color code for each base point, see Figure 13(b). In other word, we can assume that the two color codes are equal, so the corresponding colored base points are identified by $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_n$. Otherwise, when there is a unique \mathcal{P} -tile included in the \mathcal{P}_n'' -tile, each of two color codes attached to the base point is determined by the color of each \mathcal{T}_n'' -edge incident with the \mathcal{T}_n'' -vertex. In other words, if we consider the n -boundary $\Gamma^{(n)} \subset \check{X}^{(n)} \subset \check{X}$, each of four base points in $X^{(n)} \subset X$ associated with a vertex of \mathcal{T}_n'' is doubled by attaching two color codes in \mathcal{C} , which may be different or not, while each of four base points associated with the other vertices of $\Gamma^{(n)}$ has a well-defined color.

In order to assure that $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_n$ is a CEER, it is enough to consider the box decomposition \mathcal{B}_n'' inflated from \mathcal{B}_{n-1}'' provided by Theorem 4.8, and coloring in the same way all the plaques $\mathbb{P}_n'' \times \{*\}$ contained in the same flow box $\mathbb{B}_n'' \cong \mathbb{P}_n'' \times X_n''$. Now, assuming that each colored \mathcal{P}_{n-1}'' -patch contains a ball of the same radius that goes to infinity as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have immediately the following version of Proposition 3.3:

Proposition 6.8. *The inductive limit $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty = \varinjlim \hat{\mathcal{R}}_n$ is a minimal open AF equivalence subrelation of \mathcal{R}_∞ whose boundary $\partial \hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \supset \partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$. \square*

As X and $X^{(n)}$, the boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$ becomes bigger than the original one. If $\mathcal{T} \in \partial_3 \mathcal{R}_\infty \cup \partial_4 \mathcal{R}_\infty$, each of four base points in $\partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$ associated with the roots (one or two) of $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}}$ is doubled and encoded with two color codes in \mathcal{C} at each stage of the inflation. If the root o has degree $D(o) = 3$, there are exactly two pairs of colored base points whose color codes coincide from a certain inflation stage (so the associated colored base points are $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -related), but it is not possible when $D(o) = 4$, see again Figure 13(b). Now, we can prove the following fundamental result:

Proposition 6.9. *The boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$ is $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -étale.*

Proof. Assume that $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ with $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 \in \partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$. We need to construct a partial transformation $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ between open neighborhoods A of \mathcal{T}_1 and B of \mathcal{T}_2 in X such that $\mathcal{T}_2 = \varphi(\mathcal{T}_1)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1 \in A \cap \partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$ if and only if $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_2 = \varphi(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1) \in B \cap \partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$. By definition, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}_n$. It follows that \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 belong to a single plaque of a multicolored flow box \mathbb{B}_n'' . This means that its origins belong to the same \mathcal{P}_n'' -tile. Since $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 \in \partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$, these points belong to two \mathcal{P}_{n-1}'' -tiles that meet $\partial \mathbb{P}_n''$ along the same colored \mathcal{T}_n'' -edge. By trivality of $\mathbb{B}_n'' \cong \mathbb{P}_n'' \times X_n''$, we have a partial transformation $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ of \mathcal{R} between two copies A and B of X_n'' passing through \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 respectively such that $\mathcal{T}_2 = \varphi(\mathcal{T}_1)$. By construction, since the base points determined by \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 have the same color code, the graph of φ is an open subset of the graph of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_n$ and therefore of the graph of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$. Finally, if a tiling $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1 \in A \cap \partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$, then the tiling $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_2 = \varphi(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1) \in B \cap \partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$ because they are in the same multicolored plaque in \mathbb{B}_n'' and the \mathcal{P}_{n-1}'' -tiles containing them must meet the same colored \mathcal{T}_n'' -edge. Indeed, if this \mathcal{T}_n'' -edge belong to $\partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$, then $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1$ and $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_2$ are simultaneously in $\partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$, while none of these tilings are in $\partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$ if the \mathcal{T}_n'' -edge does not belong to $\partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$. \square

Proposition 6.10. *The boundary $\partial \mathcal{R}_\infty$ is $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -thin.*

Proof. The proof reduces to adapt the proof of Proposition 3.8. Firstly, notice that any discrete flow box $B_n'' \cong P_n'' \times X_n''$ defined from an element of \mathcal{B}_n'' split into a finite number ≤ 8 of flow boxes $B_n''(c) \cong P_n''(c) \times X_n''$ whose plaques have the same color encoded by $c \in \mathcal{C} = \{1, \dots, 8\}$. So they are $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_n$ -classes contained in one single \mathcal{R}_n -class. For any $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -invariant probability measure μ on X , we can similarly argue

to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(\partial\mathcal{R}_n) &= \sum_{\mathbb{B}''_n \in \mathcal{B}''_n} \mu(\partial_v B''_n) = \sum_{\mathbb{B}''_n \in \mathcal{B}''_n} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \# \partial P''_n(c) \mu(X''_n) \\
&= \sum_{\mathbb{B}''_n \in \mathcal{B}''_n} \sum_{c \in \mathcal{C}} \frac{\# \partial P''_n(c)}{\# P''_n(c)} \mu(B''_n) \\
&\leq \max_{\mathbb{P}''_n \in \mathcal{P}''_n} \left\{ \frac{\# \partial P''_n(c)}{\# P''_n(c)} \right\} \sum_{\mathbb{B}''_n \in \mathcal{B}''_n} \mu(B''_n) \\
&= \max_{\mathbb{P}''_n \in \mathcal{P}''_n} \left\{ \frac{\# \partial P''_n(c)}{\# P''_n(c)} \right\} \mu(X) \leq 8 \max_{\mathbb{P}''_n \in \mathcal{P}''_n} \left\{ \frac{\# \partial P''_n(c)}{\# P''_n(c)} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\# P''_n(c)$ and $\# \partial P''_n(c)$ are the number of elements of the discrete colored piece $P''_n(c)$ and its boundary $\partial P''_n(c)$. Since $\# P''_n(c)$ and $\# P''_n$ have the same growth type, the isoperimetric ratio $\frac{\# \partial P''_n(c)}{\# P''_n(c)}$ still converges to 0 and hence $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -thin. \square

7. ABSORBING THE BOUNDARY

The aim of this last section is to prove Theorem 3.1. We start by constructing a CEER \mathcal{K}_2 on $Y_2 = \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ that is transverse to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty|_{Y_2}$. By applying the Absorption Theorem of [12], see Theorem 3.9, we shall obtain that $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2$ is a minimal AF equivalence relation OE to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$. In the next step, we construct a new CEER \mathcal{K}_3 that is generated by two compact étale equivalence subrelations which are transverse to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2$ in restriction to some étale and thin closed subset Y_3 of Y_2 , and hence $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \mathcal{K}_3$ is another minimal AF equivalence relation OE to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$. In the last step, we shall complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 by constructing a new CEER \mathcal{K}_4 , but now \mathcal{K}_4 is generated by the union of two compact étale equivalence subrelations which are transverse to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \mathcal{K}_3$ in restriction to the union Y_4 of an increasing sequence of étale and thin closed subsets of Y_3 . We shall deduce that

$$\mathcal{R} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \mathcal{K}_3 \vee \mathcal{K}_4$$

is affable.

7.1. Constructing \mathcal{K}_2 . According to Proposition 6.9, the boundary $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -étale. For each pair $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2) \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ with $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2 \in \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$, we have constructed a partial transformation $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ such that $\mathcal{T}_2 = \varphi(\mathcal{T}_1)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1 \in A \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ if and only if $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_2 = \varphi(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1) \in B \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$. By definition, \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 belong to \mathcal{B}''_{n-1} -plaques in the same multicolored \mathcal{B}''_n -plaque \mathbb{P}''_n that meet $\partial\mathbb{P}''_n$ along the same colored edge. Then A and B are local transversals for \mathcal{B}''_{n-1} that meet these \mathcal{B}''_{n-1} -plaques. On the other hand, given $\mathcal{T}_1 \in \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$, there is a unique $\mathcal{T}_1^\# \in \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ such that \mathcal{T}_1 and $\mathcal{T}_1^\#$ belong to \mathcal{B}''_{n-1} -plaques contained in two different \mathcal{B}''_n -plaques, so $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_1^\#) \notin \mathcal{R}_\infty$. To each of these \mathcal{B}''_{n-1} -plaques, we have associated a well-defined color (not necessary equal) even if they are incident to some vertex at some inflation stage, see Figure 13. Respecting these colors and arguing as in the proof of Proposition 6.9, we construct a partial transformation $\varphi^\# : A^\# \rightarrow B^\#$ of \mathcal{R} such that $\mathcal{T}_1^\# = \varphi^\#(\mathcal{T}_1)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1 \in A^\# \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ if and only if $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1^\# = \varphi^\#(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1) \in B^\# \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$. Here $A^\#$ and $B^\#$ are clopen subsets of two local transversals relative to the box decomposition \mathcal{B}''_n .

Definition 7.1. We say that \mathcal{T}_1 is \mathcal{K}_2 -equivalent to \mathcal{T}_1^\flat , and so we have a finite equivalence relation \mathcal{K}_2 on $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$.

Proposition 7.2. *The equivalence relation \mathcal{K}_2 is a CEER transverse to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$.*

Proof. By construction, for each pair $(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_1^\flat) \in \mathcal{K}_2$, the graph of the local transformation $\varphi^\flat|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty} : A^\flat \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty \rightarrow B^\flat \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ becomes a bisection of \mathcal{K}_2 containing $(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1, \hat{\mathcal{T}}_1^\flat) = (\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1, \varphi^\flat(\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1))$ for all $\hat{\mathcal{T}}_1 \in \hat{A} \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$. Then \mathcal{K}_2 is an étale equivalence relation on $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$. Now, since $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ is compact and every \mathcal{K}_2 -class has two points, \mathcal{K}_2 is also compact. To conclude, we show that \mathcal{K}_2 is transverse to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$. It is clear that the intersection of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$ and \mathcal{K}_2 is reduced to the diagonal set $\Delta_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$. Moreover, for each element $((\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2), (\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_2^\flat)) \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty} * \mathcal{K}_2$, there are local transformations $\varphi : A \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty \rightarrow B \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$ and $\varphi^\flat : A^\flat \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty \rightarrow B^\flat \cap \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ of \mathcal{K}_2 such that $\mathcal{T}_2 = \varphi(\mathcal{T}_1)$ and $\mathcal{T}_2^\flat = \varphi^\flat(\mathcal{T}_2)$ are well-defined. We can assume $A^\flat = A$. By denoting $\mathcal{T}_1^\flat = \varphi^\flat(\mathcal{T}_1)$, we have:

$$\Phi : ((\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2), (\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_2^\flat)) \in \hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty} * \mathcal{K}_2 \mapsto ((\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_1^\flat), (\mathcal{T}_1^\flat, \mathcal{T}_2^\flat)) \in \mathcal{K}_2 * \hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$$

becomes a homeomorphism between the bisections of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty} * \mathcal{K}_2$ and $\mathcal{K}_2 * \hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty|_{\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty}$ defined by φ and φ^\flat , which extends naturally to a global topological isomorphism. \square

By applying the Absorption Theorem of [12], see Theorem 3.9, we obtain the following result:

Proposition 7.3. *The inductive limit $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2 = \varinjlim \hat{\mathcal{R}}_n \vee \mathcal{K}_2$ is a minimal AF equivalence relation OE to the open AF equivalence subrelation $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ of \mathcal{R}_∞ .* \square

7.2. Constructing \mathcal{K}_3 . When we replace $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ with $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2$, all the elements of $\partial_2\mathcal{R}_\infty$ are absorbed, but some \mathcal{R} -classes still split into several $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$ -equivalence classes because double-colored base points in Y_2 are never absorbed using \mathcal{K}_2 . From Proposition 3.7, the set $\{\mathcal{T} \in \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty | D(\mathcal{T}) \geq 3\}$ is a closed subset of $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$, which contains the fundamental domains \mathcal{H}_3 and \mathcal{H}_4 constructed in Propositions 6.3, 6.4 and 6.5. From Propositions 6.4 and 6.6, we know that $\mathcal{H}_{4,0}$ and \mathcal{H}_3 are closed subset of $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$. On the other hand, when we construct \mathcal{H}_4 in the proof of Proposition 6.5, we can choose the configuration of the roots that belong to \mathcal{H}_4 . For example, belonging to a vertical semi-ribbon pointing upward or a horizontal semi-ribbon pointing to the right. This allows us to realize the union of \mathcal{H}_3 and \mathcal{H}_4 as a closed subset \check{Y}_3 of $\partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$. Indeed, if \mathcal{T}_n is a sequence in \check{Y}_3 that converges to \mathcal{T} , then \mathcal{T} belongs to the set $\{\mathcal{T} \in \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty | D(\mathcal{T}) \geq 3\} \supset \mathcal{H}_3 \cup \mathcal{H}_4$ according to Proposition 3.7. Repeating the proof of Proposition 6.6, we deduce that $\mathcal{T} \in \check{Y}_3$.

Now, combining Remark 6.7 with the discussion preceding Proposition 6.8 and 6.9, we can derive another closed set $Y_3 \subset Y_2 = \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ in the following way. Each element in \check{Y}_3 determine four double-colored base points in X providing four different closed fundamental domains for \mathcal{R} and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2$. If the root belongs to \mathcal{H}_3 , then two base points are \mathcal{R}_∞ -related, whereas the two other base points are not \mathcal{R}_∞ -related between them. But recall that each base point is doubled and encoded by two color codes in \mathcal{C} at each stage of the inflation process. These color codes are equal for each of the two base points that are \mathcal{R}_∞ -related, see Figure 13(b). For each root in $\mathcal{H}_{4,\ell}$ with $\ell > 0$, the local situation around the root is the same than in the case above. Finally, for each root in $\mathcal{H}_{4,0}$, the four base points, which are never \mathcal{R}_∞ -related, are

doubled and colored at each stage of the inflation process. Thus, we shall denote by Y_3 the union of two of these four closed fundamental domains whose elements are double-encoded by two different colors in \mathcal{C} . This is a closed subset Y_3 of $Y_2 = \partial\mathcal{R}_\infty$ verifying:

Proposition 7.4. *The set Y_3 is $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2$ -thin and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2$ -étale.*

Proof. Firstly, the $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2$ -thinness of Y_3 is an easy consequence of Proposition 6.10. On the other hand, since Y_3 is the disjoint union of two fundamental domains for $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2$, it is clear that $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2$ is étale on Y_3 . \square

Now, we have an involutive homeomorphism from Y_3 onto itself changing the color code of each element of Y_3 and respecting each of the two fundamental domains in Y_3 . This generates a CEER \mathcal{K}_3 on Y_3 which is not transverse to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2|_{Y_3}$. But restricting this involutive homeomorphism to each fundamental domain and extending trivially the corresponding CEERs to Y_3 , we obtain two CEERs on Y_3 which are transverse to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2|_{Y_3}$ and whose union generates \mathcal{K}_3 . Using twice the Absorption Theorem of [12], see Theorem 3.9, we have:

Proposition 7.5. *The equivalence relation $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \mathcal{K}_3$ is a minimal AF equivalence relation OE to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$.* \square

7.3. Constructing \mathcal{K}_4 . Now, when we replace $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2$ by $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \mathcal{K}_3$, all the elements of $\partial_3\mathcal{R}_\infty$ are also absorbed, but there is a copy of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_4$ that remains unabsorbed. In the final step of the absorption procedure, we shall consider again the union Y_4 of two copies of the fundamental domain \mathcal{H}_4 constructed in Remark 6.7. We choose these domains in such way that each base point around the second root is doubled by attaching two different color codes in \mathcal{C} . We still have an involutive homeomorphism from Y_4 onto itself changing the color code of each element of Y_4 . This generates a CEER \mathcal{K}_4 on Y_4 which is not transverse to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \mathcal{K}_3$. But \mathcal{K}_4 is generated by the union of two CEERs on Y_4 which are transverse to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \mathcal{K}_3$. In this case, we need to restrict the involutive homeomorphism to a complete copy of \mathcal{H}_4 in the first case, and to a copy of $\mathcal{H}_4 - \mathcal{H}_{4,0}$ in the second case. Next, we extend trivially the corresponding CEERs to Y_4 . In order to apply the Absorption Theorem of [12], we need to restrict \mathcal{K}_4 to the increasing sequence of closed subsets $Y_{4,0} \cup Y_{4,1} \cup \dots \cup Y_{4,\ell}$ of Y_4 (all of whose elements are encoded by two different colors) derived from the fundamental domains $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{4,0} \cup \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{4,1} \dots \cup \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{4,\ell}$ as before:

Proposition 7.6. *For all $\ell \geq 0$, the equivalence relation*

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \mathcal{K}_3 \vee \mathcal{K}_4|_{Y_{4,0} \cup Y_{4,1} \cup \dots \cup Y_{4,\ell}}$$

is a minimal AF equivalence relation OE to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$. \square

Finally, since $\mathcal{R} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \mathcal{K}_3 \vee \mathcal{K}_4$, Theorem 3.1 follows as \mathcal{R} can be described as the direct limit

$$\mathcal{R} = \varinjlim \hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty \vee \mathcal{K}_2 \vee \mathcal{K}_3 \vee \mathcal{K}_4|_{Y_{4,0} \cup Y_{4,1} \cup \dots \cup Y_{4,\ell}}$$

which should be affable. Note, however, that this last step does not allow us to assert that \mathcal{R} is OE to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_\infty$.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Alcalde Cuesta, P. González Sequeiros, Á. Lozano Rojo. Affability of Euclidean tilings. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, **347** (2009) 947–952.
- [2] F. Alcalde Cuesta, Á. Lozano Rojo, M. Macho Stadler. Transversely Cantor laminations as inverse limits. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139** (2011), 2615-2630.
- [3] F. Alcalde Cuesta, A. Rechtman. Minimal Følner foliations are amenable. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **31** (2011), 685–707.
- [4] C. Anantharaman-Delaroche, J. Renault. *Amenable groupoids*. Monographs of L'Enseignement Mathématique 36, L'Enseignement Mathématique, Geneva, 2000.
- [5] J. Bellissard, R. Benedetti, J.M. Gambaudo, Spaces of tilings, finite telescopic approximations and gap-labelling. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **261** (2006), 1-41.
- [6] A. Connes, J. Feldman, B. Weiss, An amenable equivalence relation is generated by a single transformation. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **1** (1981), 431–450.
- [7] H. A. Dye, On groups of measure preserving transformations, I. *Amer. J. Math.*, **81** (1959), 119-1159.
- [8] H. A. Dye, On groups of measure preserving transformations, II. *Amer. J. Math.*, **85** (1963), 551-576.
- [9] J. Feldman, D. A. Lind, Hyperfiniteness and the Halmos-Rohlin theorem for nonsingular abelian actions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **55** (1976), 339-344.
- [10] E. Ghys, Laminations par surfaces de Riemann. *Panor. Syntheses*, **8** (1999), 49-95.
- [11] T. Giordano, H. Matui, I. Putnam, C. Skau, Orbit equivalence for Cantor minimal \mathbb{Z}^2 -systems. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **21** (2008), 863-892.
- [12] T. Giordano, H. Matui, I. Putnam, C. Skau, The absorption theorem for affable equivalence relations. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **28** (2008), 1509-1531.
- [13] T. Giordano, H. Matui, I. Putnam, C. Skau, Orbit equivalence for Cantor minimal \mathbb{Z}^d -systems. *Invent math.*, **179** (2010), 119-158.
- [14] T. Giordano, I. Putnam, C. Skau, Topological orbit equivalence and C^* -crossed products. *J. reine angew. Math.*, **469** (1995), 51-111.
- [15] T. Giordano, I. Putnam, C. Skau, Affable equivalence relations and orbit structure of Cantor minimal systems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **24** (2004), 441-475.
- [16] B. Grünbaum, G. C. Shephard, *Tilings and patterns*. W. H. Freeman & Co., New York, 1987.
- [17] W. Krieger, On ergodic flows and the isomorphism of factors. *Math. Ann.*, **223** (1976), 19-70.
- [18] Á. Lozano Rojo, Codimension zero laminations are inverse limits. *Topology App.*, **160** (2013), 341-349.
- [19] H. Matui, Affability of equivalence relations arising from two-dimensional substitution tilings. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **26** (2006), 467-480.
- [20] H. Matui, An absorption theorem for minimal AF equivalence relations on Cantor sets. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **60** (2008), 1171-1185.
- [21] D. S. Ornstein, B. Weiss, Ergodic theory of amenable group actions. I : The Rohlin lemma. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **2** (1980), 161-164.
- [22] J. Renault, AF equivalence relations and their cocycles, in *Operator algebras and mathematical physics (Constanța, 2001)*, 365-377, Theta, Bucharest, 2003.
- [23] R. M. Robinson, Undecidability and nonperiodicity of tilings of the plane. *Inventiones Math.*, **12** (1971), 177-209.
- [24] L. Sadun, R. F. Williams, Tiling spaces are Cantor set fiber bundles. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, **23** (2003), 307-316.
- [25] C. Series, Foliation of polynomial growth are hyperfinite. *Israel J. Math.*, **34** (1979), 245-258.

DEPARTAMENTO DE XEOMETRÍA E TOPOLOXÍA, FACULTADE DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDADE DE SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA, RÚA LOPE GÓMEZ DE MARZOA S/N, E-15782 SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA (SPAIN)

E-mail address: fernando.alcalde@usc.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE DIDÁCTICA DAS CIENCIAS EXPERIMENTAIS, FACULTADE DE FORMACIÓN DO PROFESORADO, UNIVERSIDADE DE SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA, AVDA. RAMÓN FERREIRO, 10, E-27002 LUGO (SPAIN)

E-mail address: pablo.gonzalez.sequeiros@usc.es

CENTRO UNIVERSITARIO DE LA DEFENSA - IUMA UNIVERSIDAD DE ZARAGOZA, ACADEMIA GENERAL MILITAR, CTRA. HUESCA S/N, E-50090 ZARAGOZA (SPAIN)

E-mail address: alvarolozano@unizar.es